# ON SEMILINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF THE INFINITE SYMMETRIC GROUP

#### M.ROVINSKY

ABSTRACT. In this note the smooth (i.e. with open stabilizers) linear and *semilinear* representations of certain permutation groups (such as infinite symmetric group or automorphism group of an infinite-dimensional vector space over a finite field) are studied. Many results here are well-known to the experts, at least in the case of *linear representations* of symmetric group. The presented results suggest, in particular, that an analogue of Hilbert's Theorem 90 should hold: in the case of faithful action of the group on the base field the irreducible smooth semilinear representations are one-dimensional (and trivial in appropriate sense).

Throught the paper, G denotes a permutation group.

**Definition 0.1.** A permutation group is a Hausdorff topological group G admitting a base of open subsets consisting of the left and right shifts of subgroups.

If we denote by B a collection of open subgroups such that the finite intersections of conjugates of elements of B form a base of open neighbourhoods of 1 in G (e.g., the set of all open subgroups of G), then G acts faithfully on the set  $\Psi := \coprod_{U \in B} G/U$ , so (i) G becomes a permutation group of  $\Psi$ , (ii) the shifts of the pointwise stabilizers  $G_T$  of the finite subsets  $T \subset \Psi$  form a base of the topology of G. Clearly, G is totally disconnected.

In the most general setting, we are interested in the continuous G-actions on the discrete sets (i.e. with open stabilizers; they are called smooth in what follows). In practice, the considered G-sets are endowed with extra structures, e.g., of a vector k-space for a field k. In that case our primary goal is a description of the smooth representations of G in the k-vector spaces, especially of the irreducible ones. The smooth representations form a k-linear Grothendieck category with  $\bigoplus_{s \in S} W^{\otimes_k^s}$  as one of many possible generators, where  $W = k[\Psi]$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is an infinite set. However, structure of the k[G]-modules  $W^{\otimes_k^s}$  can be quite complicated.

Here and throughout the paper, for any abelian group P and a set S, we denote by P[S] the abelian group consisting of the finite formal sums  $\sum_i a_i[s_i]$  for all  $a_i \in P$ ,  $s_i \in S$ . If P is a left module over a ring A then P[S] is naturally a left A-module.

For any group G and any field k there is a field extension K|k endowed with a faithful k-linear G-action. Namely, as K one can take the fraction field of the symmetric algebra of a faithful representation W of G over k. Then there is a natural surjection  $W \otimes_k K \to K$  of K-semilinear representations of G. (The semilinear representations are defined in §1.)

This leads to the problem of describing smooth semilinear representations over K for a field K endowed with a smooth faithful G-action. Another motivation for the study of the semilinear representations comes from the guess that there are quite few smooth irreducible semilinear representations. Two examples of this phenomenon are given by Theorems 0.2 and 0.3.

**Theorem 0.2** (Hilbert's Theorem 90). Suppose that G is precompact, i.e., any open subgroup of G is of finite index. Then any smooth semilinear representation V of G over K is trivial: the natural map  $V^G \otimes_{K^G} K \to V$  is an isomorphism, so  $V \cong \bigoplus_I K$  for a basis I of the  $K^G$ -vector space  $V^G$ .

In the case of finite G Theorem 0.2 is shown in [10, Satz 1]. It implies that (i) the functor  $H^0(G, -): V \mapsto V^G$  is an equivalence between the category of smooth semilinear representations of G over K and the category of vector spaces over the fixed field  $K^G$ , (ii) the symmetric algebra of any smooth faithful k-representation of G is a generator of the category of smooth k-representations of G, (iii) any cyclic smooth k[G]-module can be embedded into an arbitrary field extension K of k endowed with a smooth faithful G-action trivial on k.

For any set  $\Psi$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  the group of all permutations of  $\Psi$ .

**Theorem 0.3** ([7]). Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  be the field of rational functions over a field k in variables from a set  $\Psi$ , endowed with the natural  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action by permuting the variables. Then any smooth K-semilinear representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  of finite length is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of K.

Recall that *length* of an object of a concrete category is defined as the maximal number of its proper subobjects in the chains of its subobjects. E.g., length is cardinality for the category of sets; length is dimension for the categories of projective or vector spaces.

There is a (rather wild) description of all smooth semilinear G-actions on a given K-vector space in the case when a dense subgroup of G is exhausted by precompact subgroups, cf. Appendix A. This description is quite explicit in the case when G is exhausted by open precompact subgroups.

Our principal examples of G will be permutation groups of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type, cf. Definition 4.4. Typical groups of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type are the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ , or the automorphism group of an infinite-dimensional projective space  $\Psi$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , or the automorphism group  $\operatorname{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\Psi)$  of an infinite-dimensional vector space  $\Psi$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Such groups admit a dense subgroup exhausted by finite subgroups.

The standard generalization of the finite-dimensional representations of a permutation group G is the notion of an admissible representation: a representation V of G is called admissible if dim  $V^U < \infty$  for each open subgroup  $U \subseteq G$ .

It is well-known (cf., e.g., [9, §6 and references therein]) that over a field of characteristic zero (i) any smooth cyclic representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  is admissible and of finite length (cf., e.g., Lemma 4.5), (ii) the isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with (finite) Young diagrams. However, the approach of loc.cite is different and I was unable to find in the literature a description of all injective smooth representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ .

One of the purposes of this note is to examine the similar questions in the context of semilinear representations.

The results are as follows:

- (1) a description of injective smooth representations of the automorphism group of a countable  $\Psi$ , which is either a set or a vector space over a finite field (Theorem 5.7);
- (2) a smooth linear representation of a group of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type is of finite length if and only if it is finitely generated (Lemma 4.5);
- (3) for any smooth  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action on a field K, the category of smooth K-semilinear representations of the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  is locally noetherian, i.e., any smooth finitely generated K-semilinear representation of the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  is noetherian, cf. Corollary 6.6;
- (4) a generalization of the well-known cyclicity of finite-dimensional semilinear representations of infinite semigroups to the cyclicity of certain smooth finitely generated K-semilinear representation of G, for a large class of smooth G-actions on fields K (Lemma 9.1);
- (5) an example  $(K \langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle, K[\Psi], K)$  of a triple (A, M, P) consisting of an associative unital ring A, a noetherian A-module M and a simple A-module P such that (i) any quotient of M by any non-zero submodule is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of P, (ii) for any integer  $N \ge 0$  there is a quotient of M isomorphic to a direct sum of N copies of P (Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 7.1; notations are in  $\S1$ ).

If K is the field of rational functions over a field k in variables enumerated by a set  $\Psi$  and G is the symmetric group of  $\Psi$ , there are some reasons to expect an explicit description of the indecomposable injectives of the category of smooth K-semilinear representations of G, cf. Conjecture 9.4. This is compatible with Theorem 0.3.

0.1. Permutation groups and categories associated to collections of their subgroups. It is well-known (e.g., [8, Exposé IV,  $\S2.4-2.5$ ] or [4,  $\S8.1$ , Example 8.15 (iii)]) that the category Sm-G of smooth G-sets and their G-equivariant maps is a topos. For a base B of open subgroups of G, considered as a poset, let  $\mathcal{C}_B$  be the small full subcategory of Sm-G whose objects are the images of the contravariant functor  $B \to \text{Sm-}G$ ,  $U \mapsto [U] := G/U$ . Thus, any morphism in  $\mathcal{C}_B$  is epimorphic and  $\mathcal{C}_B([U], [V]) := \text{Maps}_G(G/U, G/V) = (G/V)^U = \{g \in G \mid gVg^{-1} \supseteq U\}/V.$  We endow  $\mathcal{C}_B$  with the maximal topology, i.e. we assume that any sieve is covering. Then the sheaves of sets, groups, etc. on  $\mathcal{C}_B$  are identified with the smooth *G*-sets, groups, etc.:  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \underset{U \in B}{\lim} \mathcal{F}(U)$  (this is a smooth *G*-set, since any element in it belongs to the image of some  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  and

the U-action on it is trivial by definition) and  $W \mapsto [U \mapsto W^U]$ .

## 1. Skew group rings and semilinear representations

We use the following slightly more general setting.

Let A be a (unital) associative ring, G be a semigroup acting on A, i.e., a (unital) semigroup homomorphism  $\rho : G \to \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{ring}}(A)$  is given. Denote by  $A\langle G \rangle_{\rho} = A\langle G \rangle$  the unital associative subring in  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A[G])$  generated by the natural left action of A and the diagonal left action of G on A[G]. In other words,  $A\langle G \rangle$  is the ring of A-valued measures on G with finite support. Then  $A\langle G \rangle$  is a k-algebra, where  $k := A^{\rho(G)}$  is the fixed ring. If  $\rho$  is injective then  $A\langle G \rangle$  is a central k-algebra.

More explicitly, as a left A-module  $A\langle G \rangle$  coincides with A[G]. Multiplication is a unique distributive one such that  $(a[g])(b[h]) = ab^{\rho(g)}[gh]$ , where we write  $a^h$  for the result of applying of  $h \in \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{ring}}(A)$  to  $a \in A$ .

An additive action of G on an A-module V is called semilinear if  $g(a \cdot v) = a^g \cdot gv$  for any  $g \in G$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $a \in A$ . Then an A-module endowed with an additive semilinear G-action is the same as an  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module.

The principal example of A will be a field.

For a field K endowed with a G-action, a K-semilinear representation of G is a left  $K\langle G \rangle$ -module. We say that a K-semilinear representation of G is non-degenerate if the action of each element of G is injective. (Of course, this condition is redundunt if G is a group rather than a semigroup.) We omit the G-action on K from notation and denote by  $k := K^G$  the fixed field.

The non-degenerate K-semilinear representations of G form an abelian tensor k-linear category. The category of smooth K-semilinear representations of G is also k-linear abelian.

The following result will be used in the particular case of the trivial G-action on the A-module V (i.e.,  $\chi \equiv i d_V$ ), claiming the injectivity of the natural map  $A \otimes_{A^G} V^G \to V$  (since  $V_{id_V} = V^G$ ).

**Lemma 1.1.** Let A be a division ring endowed with a G-action  $G \to \operatorname{Aut_{ring}}(A)$ , V be a  $A\langle G \rangle$ module and  $\chi : G \to \operatorname{Aut}_A(V)$  be an invertible G-action on the A-module V.

Set  $V_{\chi} := \{ w \in V \mid \sigma w = \chi(\sigma) w \text{ for all } \sigma \in G \}.$ 

Then  $V_{\chi}$  is a  $A^{G}$ -module and the natural map  $A \otimes_{A^{G}} V_{\chi} \to V$  is injective.

Proof. This is well-known: Suppose that some elements  $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in V_{\chi}$  are  $A^G$ -linearly independent, but A-linearly dependent for a minimal  $m \ge 2$ . Then  $w_1 = \sum_{j=2}^m \lambda_j w_j$  for some  $\lambda_j \in A^{\times}$ . Applying  $\sigma - \chi(\sigma)$  for each  $\sigma \in G$  to both sides of the latter equality, we get  $\sum_{j=2}^m (\lambda_j^{\sigma} - \chi_j^{\sigma})$ .

Applying  $\sigma - \chi(\sigma)$  for each  $\sigma \in G$  to both sides of the latter equality, we get  $\sum_{j=2}^{m} (\lambda_j^{\sigma} - \lambda_j)\chi(\sigma)w_j = 0$ , and therefore,  $\sum_{j=2}^{m} (\lambda_j^{\sigma} - \lambda_j)w_j = 0$ . By the minimality of m, one has  $\lambda_j^{\sigma} - \lambda_j = 0$  for each  $\sigma \in G$ , so  $\lambda_j \in A^G$  for any j, contradicting to the  $A^G$ -linear independence of  $w_1, \ldots, w_m$ .  $\Box$ 

A K-semilinear representation V of G is called *trivial*, if the natural map  $V^G \otimes_k K \to V$  (injective by Lemma 1.1 with  $\chi = id_V$ ) is bijective, i.e., if V is isomorphic to a direct sum of several copies of K with G-action via  $\rho$ .

Now let the semigroup G be totally disconnected and the homomorphism  $\rho$  be continuous. (We endow any set  $H \subseteq \text{Maps}(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$  of mappings between sets  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  with topology, where a base  $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$  of open subsets of H is indexed by the mappings  $\alpha$  of finite subsets  $S_\alpha \subset \Psi_1$  to  $\Psi_2$  and  $U_\alpha$  is the set of all elements of H with restriction  $\alpha$  to  $S_\alpha$ .)

**Lemma 1.2.** Let K be a field,  $G \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\text{field}}(K)$  be a group of automorphisms of the field K. Let B be such a system of open subgroups of G that any open subgroup contains a subgroup conjugated, for some  $H \in B$ , to an open subgroup of finite index in H. Then the objects K[G/H] for all  $H \in B$  form a system of generators of the category of smooth K-semilinear representations of G.

Proof. Let V be a smooth semilinear representation of G. Then the stabilizer of any vector v is open, i.e., the stabilizer of some vector v' in the G-orbit of v admits a subgroup commensurable with some  $H \in B$ . The K-linear envelope of the (finite) H-orbit of v' is a smooth K-semilinear representation of H, so it is trivial, i.e., v' belongs to the K-linear envelope of the  $K^H$ -vector subspace fixed by H. As a consequence, there is a morphism from a finite cartesian power of K[G/H] to V, containing v' (and therefore, containing v as well) in the image.

EXAMPLE. For an integer  $N \geq 0$  denote by  $\binom{\Psi}{N}$  the set of all subsets in  $\Psi$  of order N. Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be an infinite set. Let  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . Suppose that the G-action on K is faithful. Then the objects  $K[\binom{\Psi}{N}] \cong \bigwedge_{K}^{N} K[\Psi] \cong \Omega_{K|k}^{N}$ ,  $[\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\}] \leftrightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (s_{i} - s_{j})[s_{1}] \wedge \cdots \wedge [s_{N}] \leftrightarrow \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (s_{i} - s_{j})ds_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge ds_{N}$ , for  $N \in S$  form a system of generators of the category of smooth K-semilinear representations of G.

The representation  $K[\binom{\Psi}{N}]$  is highly reducible: it will follow from Lemma 6.10 that any finitedimensional k-vector space  $\Xi$  of symmetric rational functions over k in N variables determines a surjective morphism  $K[\binom{\Psi}{N}] \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(\Xi, K), [I] \mapsto [Q \mapsto Q(I)].$ 

For any set  $\Psi$  and a subset  $T \subset \Psi$  denote by (i)  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|T}$  the pointwise stabilizer of T in the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ ; (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,T}$  the setwise stabilizer of T in the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ , i.e., the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|T}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . Then (i) the assumptions of Lemma 1.2 hold if B is the set of subgroups  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,T}$  for a collection of subsets  $T \subset \Psi$  with cardinality in S, (ii)  $K[\binom{\Psi}{N}]$  is isomorphic to  $K[\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}/\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,T}]$  for any T of order N.  $\Box$ 

#### 2. Finiteness conditions on permutation groups

### 2.1. Roelcke precompact groups.

**Definition 2.1.** A permutation group G is called **Roelcke precompact** if the set  $U \setminus G/V$  is finite for any pair of open subgroups  $U, V \subseteq G$ .

EXAMPLE. A locally compact group is Roelcke precompact if and only if it is profinite, since it is a finite union of compact double cosets.

**Lemma 2.2.** The following conditions on a permutation group G are equivalent:

- (1) tensor product of any pair of smooth finitely generated representations of G over a fixed field is again finitely generated,
- (2) for any commutative ring C endowed with a smooth G-action, any associative C-algebra A endowed with a smooth G-action, any smooth finitely generated  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module V and any smooth finitely generated  $C\langle G \rangle$ -module W the  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module V  $\otimes_C W$  is finitely generated,
- (3) restriction of any smooth finitely generated representation of G to any open subgroup is again finitely generated,
- (4) G is Roelcke precompact,
- (5) any open subgroup of G is Roelcke precompact.

*Proof.* The implications  $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$  and  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  are trivial.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ . For any open subgroup H of G and any pair of open subgroups U, V of H the natural map  $U \setminus H/V \to U \setminus G/V$  is injective. As  $U \setminus G/V$  is finite, so is  $U \setminus H/V$ , i.e., H is Roelcke precompact.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ . For any pair of subgroups U, V of G one has the following decomposition of the representations of G:  $k[G/U] \otimes_k k[G/V] = \bigoplus_{O \in G \setminus (G/U \times G/V)} k[O]$ , so  $k[G/U] \otimes_k k[G/V]$  is finitely generated if and only if the set of orbits  $G \setminus (G/U \times G/V) \cong U \setminus G/V$  is finite.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Any smooth finitely generated  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module is a quotient of a finite sum of  $A[G/U_i]$  for some open subgroups  $U_i$  of G, so tensor product of a pair of finitely generated  $A\langle G \rangle$ - and  $C\langle G \rangle$ -modules is a quotient of a finite sum of  $A\langle G \rangle$ -modules  $A[G/U_i] \otimes_C C[G/V_j] = A[(G/U_i) \times (G/V_j)]$  for some open subgroups  $U_i, V_j$  of G.

 $(4) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ . For any pair of subgroups U, V of G one has the following decomposition of the representations of U:  $k[G/V] = \bigoplus_{O \in U \setminus G/V} k[O]$ , so k[G/V] is a finitely generated representation of U if and only if the set of orbits  $U \setminus G/V$  is finite. In particular, this shows implication  $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ .

Any smooth finitely generated representations of G is a quotient of a finite sum of  $k[G/V_i]$  for some open subgroups  $V_i$  of G, so restriction to an open subgroup U of G of a finitely generated representation of G is a quotient of a finite sum of representations  $k[G/V_j]$  for some open subgroups  $V_j$  of G. This proves (3) if we assume (4).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let G be a Roelcke precompact group and  $U \subseteq G$  be an open subgroup. Then the set of subgroups of G containing U is finite. In particular, (i) any ascending chain of open subgroups eventually stabilizes, (ii) any open subgroup is contained in a maximal proper subgroup of G, (iii) any open subgroup is of finite index in a subgroup with no finite extensions.

*Proof.* There is a bijection between subgroups of G containing U and certain subsets of  $U \setminus G/U$ . However, the set of subsets of the finite set  $U \setminus G/U$  is finite.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let G be a Roelcke precompact group. Then for any open subgroup  $U \subseteq G$  the finite group  $N_G(U)/U$  acts transitively and freely on the finite set  $(G/U)^U$ .

Proof. For any open subgroup  $V \subseteq G$  the set  $(G/U)^V = \{g \in G \mid Vg \subseteq gU\}/U$  is identified with the set  $\operatorname{Maps}_G(G/V, G/U)$  (which is the semigroup  $\operatorname{End}_G(G/V)$  if V = U) by  $[g] \mapsto ([h] \mapsto [hg])$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi([1])$ . This set is finite, since  $(G/U)^V$  embeds into the finite set  $V \setminus G/U$ .

 $\varphi \mapsto \varphi([1])$ . This set is finite, since  $(G/U)^V$  embeds into the finite set  $V \setminus G/U$ . If U is a proper subgroup of  $gUg^{-1}$  for some  $[g] \in (G/U)^U$  then we get a strictly increasing sequence of subgroups in  $G: U \subsetneq gUg^{-1} \subsetneq g^2Ug^{-2} \subsetneq g^3Ug^{-3} \subsetneq \ldots$ , contradicting Lemma 2.3 (i). This means that the natural inclusion  $N_G(U)/U \hookrightarrow (G/U)^U$  is bijective.

## 3. INJECTIVITY OF TRIVIAL REPRESENTATIONS AND ADMISSIBILITY

For an abelian group P and a set S we denote by  $P[S]^{\circ}$  the subgroup of the abelian group P[S] consisting of the finite formal sums  $\sum_{i} a_i[s_i]$  for all  $a_i \in P$ ,  $s_i \in S$  with  $\sum_{i} a_i = 0$ . If P is a module over a ring A then  $P[S]^{\circ}$  is naturally an A-submodule of the A-module P[S].

Recall, that an injection  $M \hookrightarrow N$  in an abelian category is called an *essential* extension if any subobject of N has a non-zero intersection with the image of M, cf. [1, Ch. 6, §2].

In Definition 4.4 below, a class of groups G (called the groups of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type) will be introduced satisfying the assumptions of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let G be a permutation group admitting a base of open subgroups B such that for any  $V \subseteq U$  in B the group  $N_G(V)/V$  is finite and the  $(N_G(V)/V)$ -action on the set  $(G/U)^V \supseteq$  $(N_G(V)U)/U = N_G(V)/(U \cap N_G(V))$  is transitive, i.e.,  $(G/U)^V = (N_G(V)U)/U$ . Let R be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra and M be an R-module considered as a trivial G-module.

Then any essential extension E of the R[G]-module M is a trivial G-module. In particular, if M is an injective R-module then (when endowed with the trivial G-action) it is an injective object of the category of smooth left R[G]-modules.

Proof. Let E be an essential extension of M in the category of smooth left R[G]-modules. Any element of E spans a smooth cyclic R[G]-module. Any smooth cyclic R[G]-module is isomorphic to a quotient of a permutation module R[G/U] for an open subgroup U in a base of open subgroups. I claim that the image of  $R[G/U]^{\circ}$  in E has zero intersection with  $E^{G}$ , and in particular, with M.

Indeed, suppose that the image  $\beta \in E$  of an element  $\alpha \in R[G/U]^{\circ}$  is fixed by G.

The support of the element  $\alpha$ , i.e., a finite subset in G/U, is pointwise fixed by an open subgroup  $V \in B$ ; in particular,  $\alpha$  is fixed by V. Then  $g\alpha$  is well-defined for any  $g \in N_G(V)/V$ . As the image  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$  is fixed by G (and in particular, by  $N_G(V)$ ), the image of  $\alpha' := \sum_{g \in N_G(V)/V} g\alpha$  in E is  $\#(N_G(V)/V)\beta$ . By one of the assumptions of the Lemma, the support of  $\alpha$  is contained in a (finite)  $(N_G(V)/V)$ -orbit, so the same holds for  $\alpha'$ , unless  $\alpha' = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\alpha'$  is fixed by  $N_G(V)$ , and thus, it is a multiple of the sum of the elements of a  $N_G(V)$ -orbit. But  $\alpha' \in R[G/U]^{\circ}$ , so this multiple is zero, and therefore,  $\beta = 0$ .

This means that the image of  $R[G/U]^{\circ}$  in E has no non-zero vectors fixed by G. Therefore, E is a quotient of a sum of trivial G-modules  $R[G/U]/R[G/U]^{\circ} = R$ , i.e., E is a trivial G-module.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Let G be a Roelcke precompact group, B be a base of open subgroups of G and k be a field. Suppose that the trivial representations k of any  $V \in B$  are injective as smooth k[V]-modules. Then any smooth finitely generated k[G]-module W is admissible, i.e.,  $\dim_k W^V < \infty$  for any  $V \in B$ .

Proof. As  $H^0(V,W)$  is a direct summand of the k[V]-module W for any  $V \in B$ , the natural map  $H^0(V,W) \to H_0(V,W)$  is injective, so  $\dim_k H^0(V,W) \leq \dim_k H_0(V,W)$ . The k[G]-module W is a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N k[G/U_i]$  for some open subgroups  $U_i \subseteq G$ , in particular,  $H_0(V,W)$  is a quotient of  $H_0(V,\bigoplus_{i=1}^N k[G/U_i])$ , and thus,  $\dim_k H_0(V,W) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \dim_k H_0(V,k[G/U_i]) = \sum_{i=1}^N \#[V \setminus G/U_i] < \infty$ . Combining all these inequalities, we get  $\dim_k H^0(V,W) < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let G be a Roelcke precompact group such that the group  $N_G(V)$  acts transitively on  $(G/U)^V$  for all  $V \subseteq U$  from a base B of open subgroups of G and k be a field of characteristic 0. Then any smooth finitely generated k[G]-module W is admissible (but there exist infinite direct sums among admissible k[G]-modules).

Proof. For any triple  $U_2 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq V$  in B the projection  $(G/U_1)^{U_1} \to (G/U_2)^{U_1}$  is surjective, so its restriction  $(V/U_1)^{U_1} \to (V/U_2)^{U_1}$  is surjective as well. This means that  $N_V(U_1)$  acts transitively on  $(V/U_2)^{U_1}$ , and thus, any open subgroup V of G satisfies assumptions of Lemma 3.1. Then Theorem follows from Lemma 3.2, since any open subgroup V of G satisfies assumptions of Lemma 3.1.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. FILTERED REPRESENTATIONS AND LOCAL LENGTH-FINITENESS

**Lemma 4.1.** Let G be a group, W be a k[G]-module for a ring k, B be a partially ordered set. Let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in B}$  be a partially ordered exhausting collection of k-submodules in W:  $W = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}$ . Let  $G_{\alpha}$  be a subgroup of the stabilizer in G of the k-submodule  $U_{\alpha}$ .

Then length of the k[G]-module W does not exceed  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha > \beta} \operatorname{length}_{k[G_{\alpha}]} U_{\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n := \inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha \ge \beta} \operatorname{length}_{k[G_{\alpha}]} U_{\alpha}$  is finite and  $0 = W_{-1} \subsetneqq W_0 \subsetneqq W_1 \subsetneqq W_2 \subsetneqq \cdots \hookrightarrow W_n \subseteq W$  is a chain of k[G]-submodules in W. Choose some  $e_i \in W_i \smallsetminus W_{i-1}$  and  $\beta \in B$  such that  $e_0, \ldots, e_n \in U_{\beta}$ . Then  $0 \subsetneqq W_0 \cap U_{\beta} \subsetneqq W_1 \cap U_{\beta} \subsetneqq W_2 \cap U_{\beta} \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq W_n \cap U_{\beta} \subseteq U_{\beta}$  is a chain of  $k[G_{\beta}]$ -submodules in  $U_{\beta}$  of length n + 1, contradicting our assumptions.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.2.** Let G be a permutation group, B be a base of open subgroups of G. Let W be a smooth representation of G over a field k. Then  $\operatorname{length}_{k[G]}W \leq \inf_{V \in B} \sup_{H \in B, H \subseteq V} \operatorname{length}_{k[N_G(H)]}W^H$ .

*Proof.* In Lemma 4.1 we take  $G_H = N_G(H)$  and  $U_H = W^H$ .

The following result is standard.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let C be a finite-dimensional k-algebra for a field k and A, B be associative unital k-algebras. Let M be a simple A-module and N be a simple B-module. Suppose that  $\operatorname{End}_B(N) = k$ . Then (i)  $M \otimes_k N$  is a simple  $A \otimes_k B$ -module, (ii) the A- (and  $A \otimes_k C$ -) module  $M \otimes_k C$  is of finite length.

Proof. (ii) is a trivial. (i) Fix a non-zero  $A \otimes_k B$ -submodule in  $M \otimes_k N$  and a shortest non-zero element  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{a} m_i \otimes n_i$  in it, i.e.,  $a \geq 1$  is minimal possible. Clearly,  $\alpha$  is a generator of  $M \otimes_k N$  if a = 1, so assume that a > 1. If the annihilators of  $n_i$  in B are not the same, say  $\operatorname{Ann}(n_i)$  is not contained in  $\operatorname{Ann}(n_j)$ , then  $(1 \otimes \xi)\alpha$  is a shorter non-zero element for any  $\xi \in \operatorname{Ann}(n_i) \setminus \operatorname{Ann}(n_j)$ , contradicting to the minimality of a. There remains the case of coincident annihilators of  $n_1, \ldots, n_a$ . In that case  $B/\operatorname{Ann}(n_1) \xrightarrow{\cdot n_i} N$  are isomorphisms. As  $\operatorname{End}_B(N) = k$ , all these isomorphisms differ by a non-zero multiple, and therefore, the images of the element  $1 \in B/\operatorname{Ann}(n_1)$  under  $\cdot n_i$  differ by a non-zero multiple as well, i.e., the elements  $n_1, \ldots, n_a$  are proportional, so finally, a = 1.

4.1. *G*-closed subsets. Let  $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  be a permutation group. For a subset  $S \subset \Psi$  we call the set  $\Psi^{G_S}$  the *G*-closure of *S*. We say that a subset  $S \subset \Psi$  is *G*-closed if  $S = \Psi^{G_S}$ . Any intersection  $\bigcap_i S_i$  of *G*-closed sets  $S_i$  is *G*-closed: as  $G_{S_i} \subseteq G_{\bigcap_j S_j}$ , one has  $G_{S_i}s = s$  for any  $s \in \Psi^{G_{\bigcap_j S_j}}$ , so  $s \in \Psi^{G_{S_i}} = S_i$  for any i, and thus,  $s \in \bigcap_i S_i$ . This implies that the subgroup generated by  $G_{S_i}$ 's is dense in  $G_{\bigcap_i S_i}$  (and coincides with  $G_{\bigcap_i S_i}$  if at least one of  $G_{S_i}$ 's is open).

The G-closed subsets of  $\Psi$  form a small concrete category with the morphisms being all those embeddings that are induced by elements of G.

For a finite G-closed subset  $T \subset \Psi$ , (hiding G and  $\Psi$  from notation) set  $\operatorname{Aut}(T) := N_G(G_T)/G_T$ .

## 4.2. Groups of G-type.

**Definition 4.4.** A Roelcke precompact group G is of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type if (i) the maps  $(G/V)^V \to (G/U)^V$ are surjective for all  $V \subseteq U$  from a base B of open subgroups of G, (ii) for each  $U \in B$  the natural projection  $N_U(V) \setminus (G/U)^V \to U \setminus G/U$  is injective for sufficiently small  $V \in B$ .

REMARKS. 1. The condition (i) is the transitivity condition from [3, p.5, §3.1]; (ii) is the bijectivity condition of [3, p.5, §3.2].

2. Clearly, (i) any product of groups of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type is again of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type, (ii) a locally compact group is of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type if and only if it is profinite (as B we take the set of all normal open subgroups of G).

EXAMPLES. The following examples of groups G of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type are constructed as the groups of all permutations of an infinite set  $\Psi$  respecting an extra structure on  $\Psi$ . Thus, G is a closed subgroup of the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  of all permutations of the plain set  $\Psi$ . As a base B of open subgroups we take the subgroups  $G_T$  for some exhausting collection of finite G-closed subsets  $T \subset \Psi$ .

- (1)  $\Psi$  is a plain set, i.e.,  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . Then (i)  $G/G_T$  is the set of all embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow \Psi$ , (ii)  $(G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$  consists of the embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow T'$  (it is clear that  $\operatorname{Aut}(T') := N_G(G_{T'})/G_{T'} = \mathfrak{S}_{T'}$  acts transitively on  $(G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$ ) and (iii) the rule  $[\sigma] \mapsto (\sigma(T) \cap T, \sigma|_{\sigma(T) \cap T})$  identifies the sets  $G_T \setminus G/G_T$  and  $N_{G_T}(G_{T'}) \setminus (G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$  (if  $\#T' \ge \#T$ ) with the set of pairs  $(T_0, \iota)$  consisting of a subset  $T_0 \subseteq T$  and an embedding  $\iota : T_0 \hookrightarrow T$ .
- (2) G is the group of automorphisms of an infinite-dimensional vector space  $\Psi$  over a finite field identical on a marked finite-dimensional subspace V, so all G-closed  $T \subset \Psi$  contain V. Then (i)  $G/G_T$  is the set of all linear embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow \Psi$  identical on V, and (ii)  $(G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$ consists of the embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow T'$  identical on V. Clearly, (i) Aut(T') is transitive on  $(G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$ , (ii) the natural projection  $N_{G_T}(G_{T'}) \setminus (G/G_T)^{G_{T'}} \to G_T \setminus G/G_T$  is injective, at least if dim  $T' \geq 2 \dim T$ .
- (3) The automorphism group of an infinite-dimensional projective space  $\Psi = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}_q^S)$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $G/G_T$  consists of the projective embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow \Psi$  and  $(G/G_T)^{G_{T'}}$  consists of the projective embeddings  $T \hookrightarrow T'$ . The conditions of Definition 4.4 are verified in the same way as in Example (2).

**Lemma 4.5.** Let G be a group of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type. Then, for any left artinian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra R, a smooth R[G]-module is finitely generated if and only if it is of finite length. In particular, the category of smooth left R[G]-modules is a locally artinian and locally noetherian Grothendieck category.

*Proof.* Any smooth finitely generated R[G]-module is a quotient of a finite sum of R[G]-modules of type R[G/U] for some subgroups U from a fixed base B of open subgroups of G. Therefore, it suffices to check that the R[G]-modules R[G/U] are of finite length.

Fix a base B of open subgroups of G as in definition of group of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type, some  $U \in B$  and set  $\Psi := G/U$ . As G is a group of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type,  $\Psi^V \cong H_V/(H_V \cap (U/V))$  is an  $H_V$ -orbit for all  $V \in B$ ,  $V \subseteq U$ , where  $H_V := N_G(V)/V$ , so

(1) 
$$\operatorname{End}_{F[H_V]}(F[\Psi^V]) \cong F[\Psi^V]^{H_V \cap (U/V)} \cong F[(H_V \cap (U/V)) \setminus \Psi^V]$$

for any finite field extension  $F|\mathbb{Q}$ . The natural projection  $(H_V \cap (U/V)) \setminus \Psi^V = (N_G(V) \cap U) \setminus \Psi^V \rightarrow U \setminus \Psi$  is injective for sufficiently small V, and therefore, length of the  $F[H_V]$ -module  $F[\Psi^V]$  does

not exceed

$$\dim_F \operatorname{End}_{F[H_V]}(F[\Psi^V]) = \dim_F F[(H_V \cap (U/V)) \setminus \Psi^V] \le \dim_F F[U \setminus \Psi] = \#[U \setminus \Psi].$$

For a fixed V, we choose F so that the  $F[H_V]$ -module  $F[\Psi^V]$  is a sum of absolutely simple modules. Clearly, length of the R[G]-module  $R[\Psi]$  does not exceed length of the  $(R \otimes F)[G]$ -module  $(R \otimes F)[\Psi]$ . By Lemma 4.1, length of the  $(R \otimes F)[G]$ -module  $(R \otimes F)[\Psi]$  does not exceed

$$\sup_{V \in B} \operatorname{length}_{(R \otimes F)[H_V]}((R \otimes F)[\Psi^V]).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3 (i),  $\operatorname{length}_{(R\otimes F)[H_V]}((R\otimes F)[\Psi^V]) = \operatorname{length}_{(R\otimes F)}(R\otimes F)$ .  $\operatorname{length}_{F[H_V]}(F[\Psi^V])$ , so finally,  $\operatorname{length}_{(R\otimes F)[G]}((R\otimes F)[\Psi]) \leq \operatorname{length}_{R\otimes F}(R\otimes F) \cdot \#[U\setminus \Psi]$ , which is finite by Lemma 4.3 (ii).

#### 5. Smooth representations: coinduction, simple objects and injectives

5.1. Induction and coinduction. Let  $A \subset R$  be a pair of associative unital topological rings with a base of neighbourhoods of 0 given by a collection of left ideals. The restriction functor R-mod  $\rightarrow A$ -mod admits a left adjoint  $R \otimes_A (-)$  (induction): Hom<sub>A</sub>(E, W) = Hom<sub>R</sub>( $R \otimes_A E, W$ ). Let R-mod<sup>sm</sup> be the category of left R-modules such that any element is annihilated by an open left ideal. Then the restriction functor induces a functor R-mod<sup>sm</sup>  $\rightarrow A$ -mod<sup>sm</sup> admitting a right adjoint (coinduction), sending a smooth A-module E to the smooth part of the R-module  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(R, E)$ :  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(W, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(W, \operatorname{Hom}_A(R, E)^{sm})$ . Clearly, if a smooth A-module E is injective then  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(R, E)^{\operatorname{sm}}$  is an injective smooth R-module. In particular, if the restriction to A of a smooth R-module W is injective the adjunction morphism  $W \to \operatorname{Hom}_A(R, W)^{\operatorname{sm}}$  gives an embedding into an injective smooth R-module.

Our only examples of such topological rings will be skew group rings  $A\langle G \rangle$  with the base of open left ideals  $I_U$  indexed by a base B of open subgroups U of G, where  $I_U$  is generated by elements u-1 for all  $u \in U$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** For any group G, any finite collection  $H_1, \ldots, H_N$  of subgroups of G of infinite index and any finite collection of  $\xi_{ij} \in G$  one has  $G \neq \bigcup_{i=1}^N (\coprod_{j=1}^M \xi_{ij} H_i)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $N \ge 1$ , the case N = 1 being trivial. Suppose that G = $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} (\coprod_{j=1}^{M} \xi_{ij} H_i) \text{ for some } \xi_{ij} \in G. \text{ If } H_s \text{ and } H_t \text{ are commensurable for some } s \neq t \text{ then } G = \bigcup_{i\neq t} (\coprod_{j=1}^{M[H_t:H_s\cap H_t]} \xi'_{ij} H_i) \text{ for some } \xi'_{ij} \in G, \text{ contradicting the induction assumption, so we further}$ assume that  $H_i$  are pairwise non-commensurable.

On a set of pairwise non-commensurable subgroups of G define the following relation  $H_1 \preceq H_2$ if  $[H_1: H_1 \cap H_2] < \infty$ . This is a partial order: if  $H_1 \preceq H_2$  and  $H_2 \preceq H_3$  then  $[H_1 \cap H_2:$  $H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3 \leq [H_2: H_2 \cap H_3] < \infty$  (since the natural map  $H_1 \cap H_2/H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3 \rightarrow H_2/H_2 \cap H_3$ ) is injective).

Let  $H_s$  be maximal among  $H_i$  with respect to  $\preceq$ . Fix  $\xi \in G$  outside the set  $\xi_{s1}H_s \coprod \cdots \coprod \xi_{sM}H_s$ . Then  $\xi H_s \subseteq \bigcup_{i \neq s} (\coprod_{j=1}^M \xi_{ij} H_i)$ . Omitting those  $\xi_{ij}$  for which  $\xi H_s \cap \xi_{ij} H_i = \emptyset$  and replacing appropriately the remaining  $\xi_{ij}$ 's, we may assume that  $\xi^{-1}\xi_{ij} \in H_s$ . Finally,  $H_s = \bigcup_{i \neq s} (\coprod_{j=1}^M \xi^{-1}\xi_{ij}H_i \cap H_s)$ , contradicting induction assumption.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let G be a group and E be an abelian group. For any subgroup  $H \supseteq G$  define the additive map

$$\kappa_H : E[G/H] \to \operatorname{Maps}(G, E) \quad \text{by} \quad e[g] \mapsto \left( \xi \mapsto \begin{cases} e & \text{if } \xi^{-1} \in [g] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right) \quad \text{for any } e \in E \text{ and } g \in G.$$

Let  $\{H_i\}$  be a collection of pairwise non-commensurable subgroups of G.

Then the map  $\sum_i \kappa_{H_i} : \bigoplus_i E[G/H_i] \to \operatorname{Maps}(G, E)$  is G-equivariant (if  $\operatorname{Maps}(G, E)$  is endowed with the standard G-action:  $\varphi^g(\xi) := \varphi(\xi g)$ ), injective and factors through  $\operatorname{Maps}(H \setminus G, E)$ .

Proof. As  $\xi^{-1} \in [g]$  if and only if  $(h\xi)^{-1} \in [g]$  for any  $h \in H$ ,  $\kappa_H([g])(h\xi) = \kappa_H([g])(\xi)$  for any  $h \in H$ , i.e.,  $\kappa_H$  factors through Maps $(H \setminus G, E)$ . Namely,  $\kappa_H$  transforms e[g] to the delta-function with non-zero value e supported on  $[g^{-1}] \in H \setminus G$ .

Suppose that  $\sum_{i} \kappa_{H_i}$  is not injective, so its kernel contains an element  $\sum_{t=1}^{N} \alpha_t$  for some nonzero  $\alpha_t \in E[G/H_{i_t}]$ . Let  $H_{i_s}$  be a maximal subgroup with respect to the partial order  $\leq$  defined in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Then looking at the support of both sides of the equality  $-\kappa_{H_{i_s}}(\alpha_s) =$  $\sum_{t \neq s} \kappa_{H_{i_t}}(\alpha_t)$ , we get an inclusion  $H_{i_s} \subseteq \bigcup_{t \neq s} (\coprod_j H_{i_t}\xi_{t_j})$  into a finite union of cosets. But this contradicts to Lemma 5.1, so  $\sum_i \kappa_{H_i}$  is injective.

To check the G-equivariantness  $(\kappa_H(e[g'g])(\xi) = \kappa_H(e[g])(\xi g'))$ , it suffices to note that  $\xi^{-1} \in [g'g]$  if and only if  $(\xi g')^{-1} \in [g]$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** Let G be a Roelcke precompact group and  $H \subseteq G$  be an open subgroup. Let R be an associative unitary ring endowed with the trivial G-action and E be a left R-module considered as a trivial H-module. Suppose that there is a collection  $\{G_{\Lambda}\}$  of pairwise non-commensurable open subgroups of G such that  $\sum_{\Lambda} \#\{\text{finite U-orbits in } G/G_{\Lambda}\} = \#(H \setminus G/U)$  for any U from a base of open subgroups of G. Then the left R[G]-module W coinduced by E (i.e., the smooth part of  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R[H]}(R[G], E) = \operatorname{Maps}_{H}(G, E))$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} E[G/G_{\Lambda}]$ .

Proof. Lemma 5.2 provides a natural injective morphism  $\sum_{\Lambda \subseteq J} \kappa_{\Lambda} : \bigoplus_{\Lambda \subseteq J} E[G/G_{\Lambda}] \to W$ . To check its surjectivity, we verify the surjectivity of the induced maps  $\bigoplus_{\Lambda \subseteq J} E[G/G_{\Lambda}]^U \to W^U = Maps(H \setminus G/U, E)$ , where U runs over a base of open subgroups of G.

As  $W^U$  is spanned by the delta-functions on  $G_J \setminus G/U$ , it suffices to check the surjectivity in the case  $E = \mathbb{Z}$ , which in turn is equivalent to the cases where E runs over all prime fields. If E is a field then the surjectivity is equivalent to the coincidence of dimensions of the source and the target, i.e., to the equality  $\sum_{\Lambda} \#\{\text{finite } U\text{-orbits in } G/G_{\Lambda}\} = \#(H \setminus G/U)$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** Let G be the group of automorphisms of a countable  $\Psi$ , which is either a set or a vector space over a finite field, fixing a finite subset of  $\Psi$ . Let R be an associative unitary ring endowed with the trivial G-action,  $J \subset \Psi$  be a G-closed finite subset and E be a left R-module considered as a trivial  $G_J$ -module. Then the left R[G]-module coinduced by E is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\Lambda} E[G/G_{\Lambda}]$ , where  $\Lambda$  runs over the G-closed subsets of J.

Proof. As  $G_{\Lambda}$  are pairwise non-commensurable, by Lemma 5.3, we only need to construct a bijection  $\mu : H \setminus G/U \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{\Lambda} \{ \text{finite } U \text{-orbits in } G/G_{\Lambda} \}, \text{ where } H = G_J \text{ and } U = G_T \text{ for } T \text{ running over the } G \text{-closed subsets of } \Psi.$  To each element  $[\sigma] \in H \setminus G/U$  we associate the subgroup  $\langle H, \sigma U \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  (generated by H and  $\sigma U \sigma^{-1}$ ) as  $G_{\Lambda(\sigma)}$  and the class  $[\sigma^{-1}]_{\Lambda}$  of  $\sigma^{-1}$  in  $G/G_{\Lambda(\sigma)}$ . Clearly, these  $G_{\Lambda(\sigma)}$  and  $[\sigma^{-1}]_{\Lambda}$  are well-defined and, in particular,  $[\sigma^{-1}]_{\Lambda(\sigma)}$  is fixed by U. This gives rise to a map  $\eta : H \setminus G/U \to \coprod_{\Lambda} (G/G_{\Lambda})^U$ . According to  $\S4.1, G_{\Lambda(\sigma)} = G_{J\cap\sigma(T)}$ . It is easy to see that (i)  $\eta$  is bijective and (ii) any finite  $G_T$ -orbit in  $G/G_{\Lambda}$  consists of a single element, so we are done.

5.2. Growth estimates. Let  $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  be a permutation group such that for any integer  $N \ge 0$  the *G*-closed subsets of length *N* form a non-empty *G*-orbit. For each integer  $N \ge 0$  fix a *G*-closed subset  $\Psi_N \subset \Psi$  of length *N*, i.e., *N* is the minimal cardinality of the subsets  $S \subset \Phi$  such that  $\Psi_N$  is the *G*-closure of *S*.

For a division ring endowed with a G-action and an  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module M define a function  $d_M : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \sqcup \{\infty\}$  by  $d_M(N) := \dim_{A^{G_{\Psi_N}}}(M^{G_{\Psi_N}}).$ 

**Lemma 5.5.** Let G be either  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  (and then q := 1) or the group of automorphisms of an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space  $\Psi$  fixing a subspace of finite dimension  $v \ge 0$ . Let A be a division ring endowed with a G-action. If  $0 \neq M \subseteq A[G/G_{\Psi_n}]$  for some  $n \ge 0$  then  $d_M$  grows as a q-polynomial of degree n:

$$\frac{1}{d_n(n)}([N]_q - [n+m-1]_q)^n \le \frac{d_{m+n}(N)}{d_m(N)d_n(n)} \le d_M(N) \le q^{vn}d_n(N) \le q^{vn}[N]_q^r$$

for some  $m \ge 0$ , where  $[s]_q := \#\Psi_s$  and  $d_n(N)$  is the number of embeddings  $\Psi_n \hookrightarrow \Psi_N$  induced by elements of G, which is  $([N]_q - [0]_q) \cdots ([N]_q - [n-1]_q)$ .

 $\textit{Proof. As } M^{G_{\Psi_N}} \subseteq A[N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) / (N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) \cap G_{\Psi_n})] \text{ and (by Lemma 1.1) } A \otimes_{A^{G_{\Psi_N}}} M^{G_{\Psi_N}} \to M \subseteq A[N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) / (N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) \cap G_{\Psi_n})]$  $A[G/G_{\Psi_n}]$  is injective, there is a natural inclusion

$$A \otimes_{A^{G_{\Psi_N}}} M^{G_{\Psi_N}} \hookrightarrow A[N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) / (N_G(G_{\Psi_N}) \cap G_{\Psi_n})] = A[\operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_N) / \operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_N | \Psi_n)]$$

if  $n \leq N$ . (Here Aut( $\Psi_N | \Psi_n$ ) denotes the automorphisms of  $\Psi_N$  identical on  $\Psi_n$ .) Then one has  $d_M(N) \leq \#(\operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_N) / \operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_N | \Psi_n)) = q^{vn} d_n(N)$ . The lower bound of  $d_M(N)$  is given by the number of G-closed subsets in  $\Psi_N$  with length-0 intersection with  $\Psi_m$ . Indeed, for any nonzero element  $\alpha \in M \subseteq A[G/G_{\Psi_n}]$  there exist an integer  $m \geq 0$  and elements  $\xi, \eta \in G$  such that  $\xi \alpha$  is congruent to  $\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_n)} b_\sigma \eta \sigma$  for some non-zero collection  $\{b_\sigma \in A\}_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Psi_n)}$  modulo monomorphisms whose images have intersection of positive length with a fixed finite  $\Psi_m$ . 

Let q be either 1 or a primary integer. Let S be a plain set if q = 1 and an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space if q > 1. For each integer  $s \ge 0$ , we denote by  $\binom{S}{s}_q$  the set of subobjects of S (G-closed subsets of  $\Psi$ , if  $S = \Psi$ , where  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  if q = 1 and  $G = \operatorname{GL}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\Psi)$  if q > 1) of length s. In other words,  $\binom{S}{s}_1 := \binom{S}{s}_q$ , while  $\binom{S}{s}_q$  is the Grassmannian of the s-dimensional subspaces in S if q > 1.

**Corollary 5.6.** Let G be either  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  (and then q := 1) or the group of automorphisms of an  $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ vector space  $\Psi$  fixing a finite-dimensional subspace of  $\Psi$ . Let A be a division ring endowed with a G-action. Let  $\Xi$  be a finite subset in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{A(G)}(A[G/G_T], A[G/G_{T'}])$  for some finite G-closed  $T' \underset{\neq}{\subseteq} T \subset \Psi$ . Then

(1) any non-zero  $A\langle G \rangle$ -submodule of  $A[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ m \end{pmatrix}_{g}]$  is essential;

- (2) there are no nonzero isomorphic  $A\langle G \rangle$ -submodules in  $A[G/G_T]$  and  $A[G/G_T]$ ;
- (3) the common kernel  $V_{\Xi}$  of all elements of  $\Xi$  is an essential  $A\langle G \rangle$ -submodule in  $A[G/G_T]$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the lower growth estimate of Lemma 5.5.

(2) follows immediately from Lemma 5.5.

(3) Suppose that there exists a nonzero submodule  $M \subseteq A[G/G_T]$  such that  $M \cap V_{\Xi} = 0$ . Then restriction of some  $\xi \in \Xi$  to M is nonzero. If  $\xi|_M$  is not injective, replacing M with ker  $\xi \cap M$ , we can assume that  $\xi|_M = 0$ . In other words, we can assume that restriction to M of any  $\xi \in \Xi$  is either injective or zero. In particular, restriction to M of some  $\xi \in \Xi$  is injective, i.e.  $\xi$  embeds M into  $A[G/G_{T'}]$ , contradicting to (2). 

5.3. More notations and a description of injective cogenerators. Let  $G \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  be a permutation group. For a finite G-closed subset  $T \subset \Psi$ , denote by  $V_T^{\Psi}$  the common kernel of all morphisms of G-modules  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}[G/G_T] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{T'}]$  for all proper G-closed subsets  $T' \subsetneq T$ .

REMARKS. 1. In this definition it suffices to consider only maximal T' and only the morphisms  $\pi$  induced by the natural projections  $G/G_T \to G/G_{T'}$ , since the elements of the Aut(T')-orbits of such  $\pi$ 's generate  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/G_T], \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{T'}])$ .

2. Clearly, any endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_T]$  preserves  $V_T^{\Psi}$ , so  $V_T^{\Psi}$  is an  $\operatorname{End}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G/G_T])$ -module. 3. For any subset  $S \subseteq T$  such that  $G_T = \bigcap_{t \in S} G_{\{t\}}$  the induced map  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_T] \to \mathbb{Z}[\prod_{t \in S} G/G_{\{t\}}]$ is injective and identifies  $V_T^{\Psi}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_T] \cap \bigotimes_{t \in S} V_{\{t\}}^{\Psi} \subseteq \bigotimes_{t \in S} \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{\{t\}}].$ 

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and  $\Pi(T)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of simple  $k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)]$ -modules. (E.g., in the case  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  the elements of  $\Pi(T)$ , called Specht  $k[\mathfrak{S}_T]$ -modules, correspond to the partitions of cardinality of T and they are absolutely irreducible.) For each  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$  we choose its representative  $\Gamma_{T,\alpha}$  and set  $D_{\alpha} := \operatorname{End}_{k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)]}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha})$ , so  $k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)] \cong$  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} \operatorname{End}_{D_{\alpha}}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha})$ . Then there are canonical decompositions of  $k[G \times \operatorname{Aut}(T)]$ -modules

$$k[G/G_T] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\alpha}} \Gamma_{T,\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\alpha}} \Gamma_{T,\alpha}, \quad \text{where}$$
$$S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} := \operatorname{Hom}_{k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)]}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha}, k[G/G_T]) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} := \operatorname{Hom}_{k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)]}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha}, V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k).$$

The following results may be well-known to the experts.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let G be the group of automorphisms of a countable  $\Psi$ , which is either a set or a vector space over a finite field, fixing a finite subset of  $\Psi$ . Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Then the following holds.

- (1) The k[G]-module  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is simple for any T and any  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$ . In particular, the k[G]-module  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  is semisimple. Two k[G]-modules  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  and  $V_{T',\alpha'}^{\Psi}$  are isomorphic if and only if T' = g(T) for some  $g \in G$  and g transforms  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha'$ .
- (2) Any simple smooth k[G]-module W is isomorphic to  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  for some finite G-closed subset  $T \subset \Psi$  and  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$ .
- (3) The minimal essential submodule of any module M of finite length coincides with its maximal semisimple submodule (the socle), which is  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  in the case  $M = k[G/G_T]$ .
- (4) The smooth k[G]-module  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is an injective hull of  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$ .
- (5) The k[G]-module  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is indecomposable for any T and any  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$ . Two k[G]-modules  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  and  $S_{T',\alpha'}^{\Psi}$  are isomorphic if and only if T' = g(T) for some  $g \in G$  and g transforms  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha'$ . Any indecomposable injective smooth k[G]-module is isomorphic to  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  for some  $T \subset \Psi$  and  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$ .
- (6) The simple subquotients of the k[G]-module  $k[G/G_T]$  are isomorphic to  $V_{T',\alpha}^{\Psi}$  for all finite G-closed subsets  $T' \subset T$  and all  $\alpha \in \Pi(T')$ .

Proof. Let us show first that (i) any smooth simple k[G]-module W can be embedded into  $k[G/G_T]$ for a finite subset  $T \subset \Psi$ , (ii) the smooth k[G]-module  $k[G/G_T]$  is injective for any finite T. Let  $J \subset \Psi$  be a finite G-closed subset such that  $W^{G_J} \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.1, the trivial  $k[G_J]$ -module k is injective, so (i) any surjection of k-vector spaces  $W^{G_J} \to k$  extends to a surjection of  $k[G_J]$ -modules  $\pi: W \to k$ , (ii) the smooth part of  $\operatorname{Maps}_{G_J}(G, k)$  is injective. Then  $\pi$  induces a non-trivial, and thus injective, morphism  $W \to \operatorname{Maps}_{G_J}(G, k), w \mapsto [g \mapsto \pi(gw)]$ . By Proposition 5.4, this implies (i) that W can be embedded into  $k[G/G_\Lambda]$  for a subset  $\Lambda \subseteq J$ , (ii) the injectivity of  $k[G/G_T]$ .

To see that any smooth simple k[G]-module W can be embedded into  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  for a finite G-closed subset  $T \subset \Psi$ , we embed W into  $k[G/G_T]$  for a minimal T. Then W is, in fact, embedded into  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k \subseteq k[G/G_T]$ : otherwise W embeds into  $k[M_{T'}^{\Psi}]$  for a proper G-closed  $T' \subset T$ .

For any pair of finite G-closed subsets  $T \subseteq T' \subset \Psi$ , let us construct natural isomorphisms

$$k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T]]), \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T], k[G/G_{T'}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k, V_{T'}^{\Psi} \otimes k),$$

where the latter space vanishes if  $T \subsetneq T'$ . As  $k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)] \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} \operatorname{End}_{D_{\alpha}}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha})$ , this will imply that any endomorphism of  $k[G/G_T]$  or of  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  is a composition of a projector and an automorphism. One has  $\operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T]) \cong k[G/G_T]^{G_T} = k[N_G(G_T)/G_T] = k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)]$ . The restriction  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T], k[G/G_{T'}]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k, k[G/G_{T'}])$  is surjective, since  $k[G/G_{T'}]$  is injective. On the other hand, it is injective, since any  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T], k[G/G_{T'}])$  vanishing on  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  factors through a k[G]-submodule of a finite cartesian power of  $k[G/G_{T''}]$  for a proper G-closed  $T'' \subsetneq T$ , while  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_{T''}], k[G/G_{T'}]) = 0$ . It follows from definition of  $V_T^{\Psi}$  that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k, k[G/G_{T'}]) = \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k, V_{T'}^{\Psi} \otimes k)$ .

Next, let us show that the k[G]-module  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  is semisimple. Assuming the contrary,  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  contains an indecomposable  $W' \supset W_1 \neq 0$  with simple  $W'/W_1$ . There is an embedding  $\iota$ :  $W'/W_1 \hookrightarrow k[G/G_{T'}]$  for some finite G-closed  $T' \subset \Psi$ . As  $k[G/G_{T'}]$  is injective,  $\iota$  extends to  $k[G/G_T]/W_1 \longrightarrow k[G/G_{T'}]$ , so gives rise to a non-zero morphism  $k[G/G_T] \xrightarrow{\varphi} k[G/G_{T'}]$ , and thus,  $\#T \geq \#T'$  if T is G-closed. If #T' < #T then  $\varphi|_{V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k} = 0$ , so  $\varphi|_{W'} = 0$ , which is contradiction. Thus, #T = #T', which means that  $\varphi$  can be considered as an endomorphism in  $\operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(k[G/G_T])$ . As  $\varphi$  is a composition of a projector and an automorphism, we may assume that  $\varphi$  is a projector. Therefore,  $W' \cong \varphi(W') \oplus (id - \varphi)(W')$ , so  $\varphi$  embeds  $W'/W_1$  into  $\varphi(W')$  and  $id - \varphi$  embeds  $W'/W_1$ into  $W_1 \cong (id - \varphi)(W')$ , so  $(\varphi, id - \varphi) : (W'/W_1) \oplus W_1 \to \varphi(W') \oplus (id - \varphi)(W')$  is injective. As the source and the target of  $(\varphi, id - \varphi)$  are of the same finite length,  $(\varphi, id - \varphi)$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $(W'/W_1) \oplus W_1 \cong W'$  contradicting to the indecomposability of W'. Our next task is to show that (i) the k[G]-module  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is simple for any T and any  $\alpha \in \Pi(T)$  and (ii) two k[G]-modules  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  and  $V_{T',\alpha'}^{\Psi}$  are isomorphic if and only if T' = g(T) for some  $g \in G$  and gtransforms  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha'$ . As we already know,  $V_T^{\Psi}$  and  $V_{T'}^{\Psi}$  are semisimple and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi}, V_{T'}^{\Psi}) = 0$  for any finite G-closed subsets  $T \subsetneq T' \subset \Psi$ . This implies that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi}, V_{T'}^{\Psi}) = 0$  if T and T' are not of same length. Then it suffices to check that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}, V_{T,\alpha'}^{\Psi})$  is a division ring if  $\alpha = \alpha'$  or is zero otherwise. One has  $\operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Pi(T)} \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\alpha}} \Gamma_{T,\alpha}, V_{T,\beta}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\beta}} \Gamma_{T,\beta})$ . The natural map  $k[\operatorname{Aut}(T)] \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} \operatorname{End}_{D_{\alpha}}(\Gamma_{T,\alpha}) \to \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi(T)} \operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\alpha}} \Gamma_{T,\alpha}, V_{T,\beta}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\beta}} \Gamma_{T,\beta})$  is also a bijection, the complementary summand  $\bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta \in \Pi(T), \alpha \neq \beta} \operatorname{Hom}_{k[G]}(V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\alpha}} \Gamma_{T,\alpha}, V_{T,\beta}^{\Psi} \otimes_{D_{\beta}} \Gamma_{T,\beta})$ of  $\operatorname{End}_{k[G]}(V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k)$  vanishes.

The coincidence of the minimal essential submodule, the maximal semisimple submodule and the essential semisimple submodule is a general statement: any simple subobject of an object Mis contained in any essential subobject of M, while any non-zero object of finite length contains a simple subobject. By Corollary 5.6 (3),  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  is essential in  $k[G/G_T]$ . As  $V_T^{\Psi} \otimes k$  is semisimple, we are done.

As we already know,  $k[G/G_T]$  is injective, so its direct summand  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is injective as well. As  $V_T^{\Psi}$  is the socle of  $k[G/G_T]$ , the k[G]-module  $V_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is the socle of  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$ . As the socle of  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is simple,  $S_{T,\alpha}^{\Psi}$  is indecomposable.

By the evident induction on #T, the simple subquotients of the k[G]-module  $k[G/G_T]$  are isomorphic to the direct summands of the k[G]-modules  $V_{T'}^{\Psi} \otimes k$  for all  $T' \subseteq T$ . As any simple k[G]-module is a quotient of  $k[G/G_T]$  for an appropriate  $T \subset \Psi$ , we get the claim.  $\Box$ 

6. Noetherian properties of smooth semilinear representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ 

**Lemma 6.1.** Let G be a group acting on a field K. Let U be a subgroup of G such that  $(G/U)^U = \{[U]\}$  (i.e.,  $\{g \in G \mid gU \subseteq Ug\} = U$ ) and  $[U : U \cap (gUg^{-1})] = \infty$ , unless  $g \in U$ . Then  $\operatorname{End}_{K(G)}(K[G/U]) = K^U$  is a field, so K[G/U] is indecomposable.

Proof. Indeed,  $\operatorname{End}_{K\langle G\rangle}(K[G/U]) = (K[G/U])^U = K^U \oplus (K[(G \smallsetminus U)/U])^U$ . As  $U(gUg^{-1})$  consists of  $[U: U \cap (gUg^{-1})]$  classes in  $G/(gUg^{-1})$ , we see that  $(K[(G \smallsetminus U)/U])^U = 0$ .

EXAMPLES. 1. Let  $\Psi$  be an infinite set, possibly endowed with a structure of a projective space. Let G be the group of automorphisms of  $\Psi$ , respecting the structure, if any. Let J be the G-closure of a finite subset in  $\Psi$ , i.e., a finite subset or a finite-dimensional subspace. Let U be the stabilizer of J in G. Then G/U is identified with the set of all G-closed subsets in  $\Psi$  of the same length as J.

2. By Lemma 6.1, K[G/U] is indecomposable in the following examples:

- (1) G is the group of projective automorphisms of an infinite projective space  $\Psi$  (i.e., either  $\Psi$  is infinite-dimensional, or  $\Psi$  is defined over an infinite field), U is the setwise stabilizer in G of a finite-dimensional subspace  $J \subseteq \Psi$ . Then G/U is identified with the Grassmannian of all subspaces in  $\Psi$  of the same dimension as J.
- (2) G is the group of permutations of an infinite set  $\Psi$ , U is the stabilizer in G of a finite subset  $J \subset \Psi$ . Then G/U is identified with the set  $\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \#J \end{pmatrix}$  of all subsets in  $\Psi$  of order #J.
- (3) G is the automorphism group of an algebraically closed extension F of a field k, U is the stabilizer in G of an algebraically closed subextension L|k of finite transcendence degree. Then G/U is identified with the set of all subextensions in F|k isomorphic to L|k.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let G be a group acting on a field K. Let  $U \subset G$  be a subgroup such that an element  $g \in G$  acts identically on  $K^U$  if and only if  $g \in U$ . Then there are no irreducible K-semilinear subrepresentations in K[G/U], unless U is of finite index in G. If G acts faithfully on K and U is of finite index in G then K[G/U] is trivial.

EXAMPLE. Let G be a group acting on a field K;  $U \subset G$  be a maximal proper subgroup. Assume that  $K^U \neq K^G$ . Then we are under assumptions of Lemma 6.2.

More particularly, if  $G = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}, U = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,I}$  (so  $G/U \cong \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \#I \end{pmatrix}$ ) and  $K^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,I}} \neq k := K^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}}$  for a finite subset  $I \subset \Psi$  then there are no irreducible K-semilinear subrepresentations in  $K[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ \#I \end{pmatrix}]$ .

Proof. By Artin's independence of characters theorem (applied to the one-dimensional characters  $g: (K^U)^{\times} \to K^{\times}$ ), the morphism  $K[G/U] \to \prod_{(K^U)^{\times}} K$ , given by  $\sum_g b_g[g] \mapsto (\sum_g b_g f^g)_{f \in (K^U)^{\times}}$ , is injective. Then, for any non-zero element  $\alpha \in K[G/U]$ , there exists an element  $Q \in K^U$  such that the morphism  $K[G/U] \to K$ , given by  $\sum_g b_g[g] \mapsto \sum_g b_g Q^g$ , does not vanish on  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha$  generates a subrepresentation V surjecting onto K. If V is irreducible then it is isomorphic to K, so  $V^G \neq 0$ . In particular,  $K[G/U]^G \neq 0$ , which can happen only if index of U in G is finite.

If  $[G:U] < \infty$  set  $U' = \bigcap_{g \in G/U} gUg^{-1}$ . This is a normal subgroup of finite index. Then  $K[G/U'] = K \otimes_{KU'} K^{U'}[G/U']$  and  $K^{U'}[G/U'] \cong (K^{U'})^{[G:U']}$  is trivial by Hilbert's theorem 90, so we get  $K[G/U'] \cong K^{[G:U']}$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** Let G be a permutation group, A be an associative ring endowed with a smooth G-action and  $U \subseteq G$  be an open subgroup. Then any smooth  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module is also smooth when considered as an  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module. Suppose that the set  $U \setminus G/U'$  is finite for any open subgroup  $U' \subseteq G$ . Then the restriction of any smooth finitely generated  $A\langle G \rangle$ -module to  $A\langle U \rangle$  is a finitely generated  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module.

Proof. The  $A\langle G \rangle$ -modules A[G/U'] for all open subgroups U' of G form a generating family of the category of smooth  $A\langle G \rangle$ -modules. It suffices, thus, to check that A[G/U'] is a finitely generated  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module for all open subgroups U' of G. Choose representatives  $\alpha_i \in G/U'$  of the elements of  $U \setminus G/U'$ . Then  $G/U' = \coprod_i U \alpha_i$ , so  $A[G/U'] \cong \bigoplus_i A[U/(U \cap \alpha_i U' \alpha_i^{-1})]$  is a finitely generated  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module.

EXAMPLES. 1. The finiteness assumption of Lemma 6.3 is valid for any open subgroup G of a group of  $\mathfrak{S}$ -type, as well as for any compact group G.

2. The restriction functor splits the indecomposable generators into finite direct sums of indecomposable generators via canonical isomorphisms of  $A\langle G_J \rangle$ -modules  $A[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ t \end{pmatrix}_q] = \bigoplus_{\Lambda \subseteq J} M_{\Lambda}$ , where  $M_{\Lambda}$  is the free A-module on the set of all subobjects of  $\Psi$  of length t and meeting J along  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $s \ge 0$  be an integer and M be a quotient of the  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$  by a non-zero submodule  $M_0$ . Then there is a finite subset  $I \subset \Psi$  such that the  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|I} \rangle$ -module M is isomorphic to a quotient of  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1} K[\binom{\Psi \setminus I}{i}]^{\bigoplus \binom{\#I}{s-j}}$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha = \sum_{S \subseteq J} a_S[S] \in M_0$  be a non-zero element for a finite set  $J \subset \Psi$ . Fix some  $S \subseteq J$  with  $a_S \neq 0$ . Set  $I := J \smallsetminus S$ . Then the morphism of  $K \langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|I} \rangle$ -modules  $K \langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|I} \rangle \alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\varnothing \neq \Lambda \subseteq I} K[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \smallsetminus I \\ s - \#\Lambda \end{pmatrix}] \rightarrow K[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ s \end{pmatrix}]$ , given (i) by the inclusion on the first summand and (ii) by  $[T] \mapsto [T \cup \Lambda]$  on the summand corresponding to  $\Lambda$ , is surjective.

In the following result, our principal examples of the ring A will be division rings endowed with an  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action, though localization of  $\mathbb{Z}[x \mid x \in \Psi]$  at all non-constant indecomposable polynomials gives one more example.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let A be an associative left noetherian ring endowed with an arbitrary  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then the left  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module  $A[\Psi^s]$  is noetherian for any integer  $s \geq 0$  and any open subgroup  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . If the  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action on A is smooth then any smooth finitely generated  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is noetherian.

*Proof.* We need to show that any  $A\langle U \rangle$ -submodule  $M \subset A[\Psi^s]$  is finitely generated for all  $U = \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|S}$  with finite  $S \subset \Psi$ . We proceed by induction on  $s \ge 0$ , the case s = 0 being trivial. Assume that s > 0 and the  $A\langle U \rangle$ -modules  $A[\Psi^j]$  are noetherian for all j < s. Fix a subset  $I_0 \subset \Psi \setminus S$  of order s.

Let  $M_0$  be the image of M under the A-linear projector  $\pi_0 : A[\Psi^s] \to A[I_0^s] \subset A[\Psi^s]$  omitting all *s*-tuples containing elements other than those of  $I_0$ . As A is noetherian and  $I_0^s$  is finite, the A-module  $M_0$  is finitely generated. Let the A-module  $M_0$  be generated by the images of some elements  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N \in M \subseteq A[\Psi^s]$ . Then  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$  belong to the A-submodule  $A[I^s]$  of  $A[\Psi^s]$  for some finite subset  $I \subset \Psi$ .

Let  $J \subset I \cup S$  be the complement to  $I_0$ . For each pair  $\gamma = (j, x)$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq s$  and  $x \in J$ , set  $\Psi_{\gamma}^s := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in \Psi^s \mid x_j = x\}$ . This is a smooth  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|J}$ -set. Then the set  $\Psi^s$  is the union of the  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|J}$ -orbit consisting of s-tuples of pairwise distinct elements of  $\Psi \smallsetminus J$  and of a finite union of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|J}$ -orbits embeddable into  $\Psi^{s-1}$ :  $\bigcup_{\gamma} \Psi_{\gamma}^s \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} \Delta_{ij}$ , where  $\Delta_{ij} := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in \Psi^s \mid x_i = x_j\}$  are diagonals.

As (i)  $M_0 \subseteq \sum_{j=1}^N A\alpha_j + \sum_{\gamma \in \{1,...,s\} \times J} A[\Psi_{\gamma}^s]$ , (ii)  $g(M_0) \subset A[\Psi^s]$  is determined by  $g(I_0)$ , (iii) for any  $g \in U$  such that  $g(I_0) \cap J = \emptyset$  there exists  $g' \in U_J$  with  $g(I_0) = g'(I_0)$  ( $U_J$  acts transitively on the s-configurations in  $\Psi \setminus J$ ), one has inclusions of  $A\langle U_J \rangle$ -modules

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A\langle U \rangle \alpha_j \subseteq M \subseteq \sum_{g \in U} g(M_0) \subseteq \sum_{g \in U_J} g(M_0) + \sum_{\gamma \in \{1, \dots, s\} \times J} A[\Psi_{\gamma}^s].$$

On the other hand,  $g(M_0) \subseteq g(\sum_{j=1}^N A\alpha_j) + \sum_{\gamma \in \{1,...,s\} \times J} A[\Psi_{\gamma}^s]$  for  $g \in U_J$ , and therefore, the  $A\langle U_J \rangle$ -module  $M/\sum_{j=1}^N A\langle U \rangle \alpha_j$  becomes a subquotient of the noetherian, by the induction assumption,  $A\langle U_J \rangle$ -module  $\sum_{\gamma \in \{1,...,s\} \times J} A[\Psi_{\gamma}^s]$ , so the  $A\langle U_J \rangle$ -module  $M/\sum_{j=1}^N A\langle U \rangle \alpha_j$  is finitely generated, and thus, M is finitely generated as well.

**Corollary 6.6.** Let A be a left noetherian associative ring endowed with a smooth  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then (i) any smooth finitely generated left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module W is noetherian if considered as a left  $A\langle U \rangle$ -module for any open subgroup  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ ; (ii) the category of smooth  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -modules is locally noetherian, i.e., any smooth finitely generated left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is noetherian.

*Proof.* The module W is a quotient of a finite direct sum of  $A[\Psi^m]$  for some integer  $m \ge 0$ , while  $A[\Psi^m]$  are noetherian by Proposition 6.5.

The next result generalizes a description of representations  $k[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  from [2].

**Lemma 6.7.** In notation preceding Corollary 5.6, let  $q \ge 1$  be either 1 or a primary number, S be a finite or infinite set (if q = 1) or an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, G be the automorphism group of S. Let the morphism of G-modules  $\partial_s^S : \mathbb{Z}[\binom{S}{s}_q] \to \mathbb{Z}[\binom{S}{s-1}_q]$  be defined by  $[T] \mapsto \sum_{T' \subset T} [T']$ . Then (i)  $\partial_{s+1}^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is surjective if and only if length of S is > 2s, (ii) the representation  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  of G is of length min(s, length(S) - s) + 1 and (iii) the irreducible quotients of its composition series are absolutely irreducible and pairwise non-isomorphic.

Proof. Fix a subobject T of S of length s. Then any element  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[\binom{S}{t}_q], \mathbb{Z}[\binom{S}{t}_q])$  is determined by the image of T. As  $\varphi(T)$  is fixed by the stabilizer of T and the latter acts transitively on the set of all subobjects of S of length t and with a given length of intersection with T, one has  $\varphi([T]) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(s,t)} a_i \sum_{\operatorname{length}(T'\cap T)=i} [T']$  for a collection of coefficients  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  if S is finite and  $\varphi([T]) = a \sum_{T' \subseteq T} [T']$  for a coefficient  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  if S is infinite.

Assume first that S is finite. Comparing the cases t = s and t = s - 1 and arguing by induction, we see that (i)  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  is a direct sum of s + 1 pairwise non-isomorphic absolutely irreducible subrepresentation, (ii)  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  embeds into  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s+1}_q]$ .

Assume that length of S is > 2s. The morphisms  $\partial_s^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \partial_{s-1}^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \ldots, \partial_1^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are non-injective, but they cannot drop length of modules by more than 1, since their composition  $\partial_s^S \partial_{s-1}^S \cdots \partial_1^S$  is non-zero. This means that their kernels are irreducible, and thus, they are surjective.

Now assume that S is infinite. Clearly, the surjectivity of  $\partial_s^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and the irreducibility of its kernel follows from the case of sufficiently large finite S. By Lemma 4.1, length of  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  does not exceed s + 1, so it is precisely s + 1.

If length of S is  $\leq 2s$  then  $\partial_{s+1}^S \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is not surjective, since then  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s+1}_q] = \#\binom{S}{s+1}_q < \#\binom{S}{s}_q = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q].$ 

There are no other irreducible subrepresentation if S is infinite, since  $\mathbb{Q}[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  is injective and indecomposable, cf. [1, Proposition 6.9].

**Corollary 6.8.** In notation of Lemma 6.7, let A be a torsion-free commutative integral domain (or a division ring) endowed with the trivial G-action. Then, any G-submodule  $M \subseteq A[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  with  $(M \otimes \operatorname{Frac}(A)) \cap A[\binom{S}{s}_q] = M$  is the kernel of  $\partial_s^S \partial_{s-1}^S \cdots \partial_{s-i}^S$  for some i. In particular, the A[G]-module  $A[\binom{S}{s}_q]$  is of length s + 1 and  $\partial_s^S \otimes A$  is surjective if A is a division ring and length of S is > 2s.

**Corollary 6.9.** Let A be a left noetherian associative ring endowed with a smooth  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then (i) any sum of smooth injective left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -modules is again injective; (ii) any smooth injective left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is a sum of uniquely determined (up to non-unique isomorphism) collection of indecomposable smooth injective left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -modules; (iii) injective hull of a smooth noetherian left  $A\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is a finite sum of indecomposables.

*Proof.* (i) is [1, Corollary 6.50] by Corollary 6.6; (ii) is [1, Proposition 6.51], again by Corollary 6.6; (iii) is [1, Proposition 6.41].  $\Box$ 

For any  $N, M \geq 0$ , denote by  $S_{M,N}$  the (purely transcendental over k of transcendence degree N) field of rational functions in N variables over a field k symmetric both in the first M and in the remaining N - M variables. For instance, (i)  $S_{M,N} := 0$  if N < M, (ii)  $S_{N,N}$  is the field of symmetric rational functions in N variables over k; (iii)  $S_{N,N+1}$  is the field of rational functions in the field  $S_{N,N}$  of symmetric rational functions in the first N variables. For any  $Q \in S_{M,N}$  and any  $J \subseteq I \subset \Psi$  with #I = N and #J = M, denote by  $Q(J \subseteq I) \in k(\Psi)$ 

the value of Q on the collection I, where the first M arguments are in J.

**Lemma 6.10.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  for a field k. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}\Psi\rangle}(K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\N\end{pmatrix}], K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\M\end{pmatrix}]) = \begin{cases} S_{M,N}, & \text{if } N \ge M, \\ 0, & \text{if } N < M, \end{cases} \qquad Q: [T] \mapsto \sum_{J \subseteq T} Q(J \subseteq T)[J].$$

Under this isomorphism, the composition  $K[\binom{\Psi}{N}] \xrightarrow{R} K[\binom{\Psi}{M}] \xrightarrow{Q} K[\binom{\Psi}{L}]$  is given by

$$(Q \circ R)(J_0 \subseteq T) := \sum_{J_0 \subseteq J \subseteq T} Q(J \subseteq T)R(J_0 \subseteq J), \quad where \ length \ of \ J \ is \ M.$$

Proof. Fix a subset  $I \subset \Psi$  of cardinality N and a subset  $J_0 \subset I$  of cardinality M. Then the morphisms  $K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\N\end{pmatrix}] \to K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\M\end{pmatrix}]$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of  $K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\M\end{pmatrix}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,I}}$ : to a morphism we associate its value on I; any morphism is determined by its value on I. Any element in  $K[\begin{pmatrix}\Psi\\M\end{pmatrix}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi,I}}$  is of the form  $\sum_{J \subseteq I} Q_J[J]$ , where  $\sigma Q_J = Q_{\sigma(J)}$  for any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_I$ . In particular,  $Q_J$  for all J are determined by  $Q_{J_0}$  and  $\overline{Q}_{J_0}$  is a rational function over k in variables I symmetric in the variables  $J_0$  and in the variables  $I \smallsetminus J_0$ , i.e., the space of morphisms is identified with  $S_{M,N}$ .  $\Box$ 

### 7. Triviality of the smooth finite-dimensional semilinear representations of $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$

The following result is analogous to the case of general linear group of [5, Proposition 5.4].

**Lemma 7.1.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  for a field k. Then any smooth finite-dimensional K-semilinear representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  is trivial.

Proof. Set  $G := \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  and let  $b \subset V$  be a K-basis, pointwise fixed by an open subgroup of G, so  $b \subset V_I := V^{G_I}$  for a finite subset  $I \subset \Psi$ . By Lemma 1.1 (with  $\chi \equiv 1$ ), the multiplication maps  $V_I \otimes_{K_I} K = (V_I \otimes_{K_I} K_J) \otimes_{K_J} K \to V_J \otimes_{K_J} K \to V$  are injective for any subset  $J \subseteq \Psi$  containing I, where  $K_J := K^{G_J}$ . The composition is an isomorphism, so  $V_I \otimes_{K_I} K_J \to V_J$  is an isomorphism as well. In particular,  $f_{\sigma} = id_V$  if  $\sigma \in G_I$ , where  $(f_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{GL}_K(V))_{\sigma}$  is the 1-cocycle of the G-action in the basis b. Clearly, (i)  $f_{\sigma}$  depends only on the class  $\sigma|_I$  of  $\sigma$  in  $G/G_I = \{$ embeddings of I into  $\Psi \}$ , (ii)  $f_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{GL}_{K_{I\cup\sigma(I)}}(V_{I\cup\sigma(I)})$ .

Assume that  $I, \sigma(I), \tau\sigma(I)$  are disjoint, X, Y, Z are the standard collections of the elementary symmetric functions in  $I, \tau(I), \tau\sigma(I)$ , respectively. Then the cocycle condition  $f_{\tau\sigma} = f_{\tau}f_{\sigma}^{\tau}$  (where  $f_{\sigma}^{\tau} \in \operatorname{GL}_{K_{\tau(I)\cup\tau\sigma(I)}}(V_{\tau(I)\cup\tau\sigma(I)})$ ) becomes  $\Phi(X,Z) = \Phi(X,Y)\Phi(Y,Z)$  and  $\Phi(Y,X) = \Phi(X,Y)^{-1}$ , where  $f_{\tau\sigma} = \Phi(X,Z)$ , etc. If k is infinite then there is a k-point  $Y_0$ , where  $\Phi(X,Y)$  and  $\Phi(Y,Z)$ are regular. If k is finite then there is a finite field extension k'|k and a k'-point  $Y_0$ , where  $\Phi(X,Y)$  and  $\Phi(Y,Z)$  are regular. Specializing Y to such  $Y_0$ , we get  $\Phi(X,Z) = \Phi(X,Y_0)\Phi(Y_0,Z) =$  $\Phi(X,Y_0)\Phi(Z,Y_0)^{-1}$ . Then  $\Phi(X,Y_0)$  transforms b to a basis b' fixed by all  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(I)$ does not meet I. As the elements  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma(I)$  does not meet I generate the whole group G, the basis b' is fixed by entire G. This gives an embedding of V into a (finite) direct sum of copies of  $K \otimes_k k'$ , which is itself a (finite) direct sum of copies of K, and finally, so is V as well.  $\Box$ 

## 8. Structure of $K[\Psi]$

**Lemma 8.1.** Let K be a field endowed with a smooth  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. For any pair of integers  $m, n \geq 0$ one has  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K[\Psi^m], K[\Psi^n]) = 0$  in the category of smooth K-semilinear representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . In particular, the restriction morphism

$$\rho_{m,n}: \operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle\mathfrak{S}\Psi\rangle}(K[\binom{\Psi}{m}], K[\binom{\Psi}{n}]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle\mathfrak{S}\Psi\rangle}(V, K[\binom{\Psi}{n}])$$

is surjective if  $V = K[\binom{\Psi}{m}]^{\circ}$  or if m = 1,  $K = k(\Psi)$  and  $0 \neq V \subseteq K[\Psi]$  (and injective if n > 0).

Proof. Consider first the case of m = 0. Let  $0 \to K[\Psi^n] \to E \to K \to 0$  be an extension. Choose a section  $v \in E$  (projecting to  $1 \in K$ ). Then  $v \in E^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|I}}$  for a finite subset  $I \subset \Psi$ , and therefore,  $f_{\sigma} := \sigma v - v \in (K[\Psi^n])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|I\cup\sigma(I)}} \subseteq K[(I\cup\sigma(I))^n]$  for any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ . Then  $f_{\sigma} = \sum_{\xi \in (I\cup\sigma(I))^n} a_{\sigma,\xi}[\xi]$ . Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  be such elements that  $\#(I\cup\sigma(I)\cup\tau\sigma(I)) = 3\#I$ . Then  $f_{\sigma}^{\tau} = \sum_{\xi \in (\tau(I)\cup\tau\sigma(I))^n} a_{\sigma,\tau^{-1}\xi}^{\tau}[\xi]$  and  $f_{\tau} = \sum_{\xi \in (I\cup\tau(I))^n} a_{\tau,\xi}[\xi]$ . From the 1-cocycle condition  $f_{\tau\sigma} = f_{\tau} + f_{\sigma}^{\tau}$  we see that  $a_{\tau,\xi} = 0$ , unless support of  $\xi$  is contained either in I or in  $\tau(I)$ . Moreover,  $a_{\tau,\xi} + a_{\sigma,\tau^{-1}\xi}^{\tau} = 0$  if support of  $\xi$  is contained in  $\tau(I)$ ;  $a_{\tau\sigma,\xi} = a_{\sigma,\xi}$  if support of  $\xi$  is contained in I;  $a_{\tau\sigma,\xi} = a_{\sigma,\tau^{-1}\xi}^{\tau}$  if support of  $\xi$  is contained in  $\tau\sigma(I)$ .

Then the element  $v' := v - \sum_{\xi \in I^n} a_{\sigma,\xi}[\xi]$  is fixed by all elements  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$  such that  $\#(I \cup \sigma(I)) = 2\#I$ . As such  $\sigma$  generate the group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ , we get  $v' \in E^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}}$ , i.e., our extension is split.

Now let *m* be arbitrary. We split  $\Psi^m$  into disjoint union of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -orbits *O*. For a fixed *O*, let  $0 \to K[\Psi^n] \to E \xrightarrow{p} K[O] \to 0$  be a smooth extension of  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -modules. We know already that  $0 \to K[\Psi^n] \to p^{-1}(K \cdot [\xi]) \xrightarrow{p} K \cdot [\xi] \to 0$  is a split extension of  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|J} \rangle$ -modules for any  $\xi \in O$ , where  $J \subset \Psi$  is the support of  $\xi$ . Then we can choose  $v \in E^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi|J}}$  with  $p(v) = [\xi]$ . Such v spans in E a  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -submodule identified by p with K[O], i.e., the extension splits. Then the short exact sequence  $0 \to V \to K[\binom{\Psi}{m}] \to K^N \to 0$  for some integer  $N \ge 0$  induces the surjection  $\rho_{m,n}$  with the kernel  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K, K[\binom{\Psi}{n}])$ , which is  $K^{\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}}$  for n = 0 and 0 for n > 0 (cf. Lemma 6.2).  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 8.2.** The cokernel of any non-zero morphism of  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -modules  $\varphi : K[\binom{\Psi}{s}] \to K[\Psi]$  is at most (s-1)-dimensional. In particular, any non-zero submodule of  $K[\Psi]$  is of finite codimension.

*Proof.* Fix a subset  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_{s-1}\}$  of  $\Psi$  of order (s-1). Then, for any element  $b \in \Psi, b \neq b_i$ , the set  $\{b, b_1, \ldots, b_{s-1}\}$  is sent by  $\varphi$  to a linear combination of  $b, b_1, \ldots, b_{s-1}$  with non-zero coefficients, and therefore, the image of b in the cokernel of  $\varphi$  is a linear combination of images of  $b_1, \ldots, b_{s-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 8.3.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  be endowed with the standard  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action.

- (1) There are natural bijections
  - (a) between the  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -submodules of  $K[\Psi]$  of codimension s and the s-dimensional k-vector subspaces in k(T);
  - (b) between the isomorphism classes of  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -submodules of  $K[\Psi]$  of codimension s and the  $k(T)^{\times}$ -orbits of the s-dimensional k-vector subspaces in the space k(T) of rational functions in one variable T.
- (2) An element  $\sum_t q_t[t] \in K[\Psi]$  is a generator if and only if  $\sum_t q_t Q(t) \neq 0$  for any  $Q \in k(T)^{\times}$ .

Proof.

- (1) By Lemma 8.2, the K-vector space  $K[\Psi]/M$  is finite-dimensional for any non-zero  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ submodule M of  $K[\Psi]$ , so by Lemma 7.1 the  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module  $K[\Psi]/M$  is isomorphic to a sum of copies of K, and therefore, M is the common kernel of the elements of a finitedimensional k-vector subspace of  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi], K)$  (identified with the field of rational functions k(T)). Clearly, the  $\operatorname{End}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi])^{\times}$ -action preserves the isomorphism classes of the common kernels (since  $\operatorname{End}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi]) = k(T)$  is a field). On the other hand,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi]/M, K[\Psi]) = 0$  and (by Lemma 8.1)  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi]/M, K[\Psi]) = 0$ , so the restriction morphism  $\operatorname{End}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(K[\Psi]) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle}(M, K[\Psi])$  is an isomorphism, i.e., any morphism between  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -submodule M of  $K[\Psi]$  is induced by an endomorphism of  $K[\Psi]$ (identified with an element of k(T)).
- (2) Any  $Q \in k(T)^{\times}$  such that  $\sum_{t} q_{t}Q(t) = 0$  determines a non-zero morphism  $K[\Psi] \to K$  trivial on the submodule generated by  $\alpha := \sum_{t} q_{t}[t]$ , so  $\alpha$  is not a generator. If  $\alpha$  generate a proper submodule  $M \subset K[\Psi]$  then the quotient  $K[\Psi]/M$  is finite-dimensional, so by Lemma 7.1 it admits a quotient isomorphic to K. Finally, any morphism  $K[\Psi] \to K$  is given by some  $Q \in k(T)^{\times}$ .

**Lemma 8.4.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  be endowed with the standard  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then the following conditions on a non-zero rational function q(X, Y) over k are equivalent

- (1)  $q : K[\binom{\Psi}{2}] \to K[\Psi], [\{a, b\}] \mapsto q(a, b)[a] + q(b, a)[b]$ , is not surjective (in other words, q(a, b)[a] + q(b, a)[b] is not a generator of  $K[\Psi]$ ),
- (2) the cohernel of  $q: K[(\frac{\Psi}{2})] \to K[\Psi]$  is isomorphic to K,
- (3)  $q(X,Y) = (X Y)S(\overline{Y})R(X,Y)$  for some S and a symmetric R,
- (4) there exists some  $S(X) \neq 0$  such that q(a,b)S(a) + q(b,a)S(b) = 0,
- (5) there exists some S(X) such that the sequence  $K[\binom{\Psi}{2}] \xrightarrow{q} K[\Psi] \xrightarrow{S} K$  is exact.

*Proof.*  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  and  $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$  are trivial;  $(4) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2)$  are evident.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Let  $A = \{a_0, \ldots, a_s\}$  be a subset of  $\Psi$  of order s + 1. Then  $A \setminus \{a_j\}$  is sent by q to  $\sum_{i \neq j} q(A \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}; a_i)\{a_i\}$ , so the image contains s + 1 elements  $\sum_{i \neq j} q(A \setminus \{a_i, a_j\}; a_i)\{a_i\}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq s$ . In the case s = 2 these three elements span a vector space of dimension 2 or 3. The di- $\begin{pmatrix} q(a_0, a_1) & q(a_1, a_0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

mension is 2 if and only if 
$$D := \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(a_1, a_2) & q(a_2, a_1) \\ q(a_0, a_2) & 0 & q(a_2, a_0) \end{pmatrix} = q(a_0, a_1)q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0) + q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0) + q(a_2, a_0) = q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0)q(a_2, a_0) = q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0)q(a_2, a_0) = q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0)q(a_2, a_0)q(a_2, a_0) = q(a_1, a_2)q(a_2, a_0)q(a_2, a_0$$

 $q(a_1, a_0)q(a_2, a_1)q(a_0, a_2)$  vanishes. Let  $q(X, Y) = P(X)S(Y)\prod_i \Phi_i(X, Y)^{m_i}$  be a decomposition into a product of irreducibles. Then

$$D = R(a_0)R(a_1)R(a_2)(\prod_i (\Phi_i(a_0, a_1)\Phi_i(a_1, a_2)\Phi_i(a_2, a_0))^{m_i} + \prod_i (\Phi_i(a_1, a_0)\Phi_i(a_2, a_1)\Phi_i(a_0, a_2))^{m_i}),$$

where R = PS, vanishes if and only if  $\prod_i (\Phi_i(a_0, a_1)\Phi_i(a_1, a_2)\Phi_i(a_2, a_0))^{m_i}$  is a skew symmetric function, i.e.,  $\prod_i \Phi_i(X, Y)^{m_i}$  is a skew symmetric function.

9. Cyclicity of the smooth finitely generated semilinear representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ 

The following result extends the existence of a cyclic vector in any finite-degree non-degenerate semilinear representation of an endomorphism of infinite order, cf., e.g., [6, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 9.1.** Let G be a permutation group, K be a field endowed with a smooth G-action such that any open subgroup of G contains an element inducing on K an automorphism of infinite order. Then any smooth finitely generated  $K\langle G \rangle$ -module W admits a cyclic vector.

*Proof.* A finite system S of generators of the  $K\langle G \rangle$ -module W is fixed by an open subgroup  $U \subseteq G$ . By [6, Lemma 2.1], the  $K\langle U \rangle$ -module spanned by S admits a cyclic vector v. Then v is a cyclic vector of the  $K\langle G \rangle$ -module W.

**Corollary 9.2.** Let K be a field endowed with a smooth faithful  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then any smooth simple left  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is isomorphic to  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]/K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.2, any smooth simple left  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is isomorphic to a quotient of  $K[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ s \end{pmatrix}]$  for an appopriate  $s \geq 0$  by a left  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -submodule V. By Proposition 6.5, the  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module V is finitely generated, and thus, by Lemma 9.1, it is cyclic, i.e., it is generated by some  $\alpha \in K[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ s \end{pmatrix}]$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 9.3.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  for a field k. Then (1) the K-semilinear representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ of the following 4 classes, where  $s \ge 0$  is integer, are indecomposable: (i)<sub>s</sub>  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$ , where  $s \ne 1$ , (ii) the K-semilinear subrepresentations of  $K[\Psi]$ , (iii)<sub>s</sub>  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]^{\circ}$ , where  $s \ge 2$ ; (2) a pair of such representations consists of isomorphic ones only if they belong to the same class (i.e., to one of (i)<sub>s</sub>, (ii), (iii)<sub>s</sub> for some s) and, in the case (ii), have the same codimension in  $K[\Psi]$ .

*Proof.* This follows from  $\operatorname{End}_{K(\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi})}(K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]^{\circ}) = k$  and Lemma 6.10.

The short exact sequence  $0 \to V \to K[\Psi] \to K[\Psi]/V \to 0$  gives an exact sequence  $0 \to \text{Hom}(K, K[\Psi]/V) \to \text{Ext}^1(K, V) \to \text{Ext}^1(K, K[\Psi])$ . By Lemma 8.1,  $\text{Ext}^1(K, K[\Psi]) = 0$ . By Lemma 8.2,  $\dim_K(K[\Psi]/V)$  is finite if  $V \neq 0$ , so by Lemma 7.1,  $\dim_k \text{Hom}(K, K[\Psi]/V) = \dim_K(K[\Psi]/V)$  if  $V \neq 0$ . This implies that codimension of  $V \neq 0$  in  $K[\Psi]$  is  $\dim_k \text{Ext}^1(K, V)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Conjecture 9.4.** Let  $K = k(\Psi)$  for a field k be endowed with the standard  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Psi}$ -action. Then for any  $s \ge 0$  the indecomposable smooth  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$  is injective. Any indecomposable injective smooth  $K\langle \mathfrak{S}_{\Psi} \rangle$ -module is isomorphic to  $K[\binom{\Psi}{s}]$  for some  $s \ge 0$ .

## Appendix A. Smooth semilinear representations of groups exhausted by compact subgroups

Let K be a field and  $\psi: G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{field}}(K)$  be a group of field automorphisms of K. Set  $k := K^G$ . There is a bijection between k-lattices U in a K-vector space V and the trivial K-semilinear G-actions on V:

{k-vector subspaces U in V such that  $U \otimes_k K \to V$  is bijective}

$$\xi \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow H^0(G,-)$$

{structures on V of K-semilinear representation isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of K}.

The set of isomorphism classes of non-degenerate K-semilinear G-actions on a K-vector space  $V := U \otimes_k K$  is canonically identified with the set  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_K(V))$ . Namely, there is a unique K-semilinear G-action  $\xi$  on V identical on the k-lattice U. This gives rise to the K-semilinear G-action on  $\operatorname{End}_K(V)$  by  $f^{\tau} := \xi(\tau)f\xi(\tau)^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}_K(V)$  if  $f \in \operatorname{End}_K(V)$ , so the matrix of  $f^{\tau}$  in the basis  $\tau(b)$  is the result of applying  $\tau$  to the matrix of f in a basis b of U. For each element  $\sigma \in G$  there exists a unique element  $f_{\sigma} \in \operatorname{GL}_K(V)$  such that  $\sigma|_U = f_{\sigma}|_U$ , so  $\sigma v = f_{\sigma}\xi(\sigma)v$ . This implies  $\tau \sigma v = f_{\tau}\xi(\tau)f_{\sigma}\xi(\sigma)v = f_{\tau}f_{\sigma}^{\tau}\xi(\tau\sigma)v = f_{\tau\sigma}\xi(\tau\sigma)v$  for all  $v \in V$ , so  $f_{\tau\sigma} = f_{\tau}f_{\sigma}^{\tau}$  for all  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ .

Suppose that G is exhausted by its compact subgroups, i.e., any compact subset of G is contained in a compact subgroup.

Let V be an K-vector space. By Theorem 0.2, restriction to any compact subgroup  $U \subseteq G$ of a smooth semilinear G-action  $\Theta : G \to \operatorname{GL}_{K^G}(V)$  on V is given by the action  $id_{\Lambda_{U,\Theta}} \otimes \psi$  on  $\Lambda_{U,\Theta} \otimes_{K^U} K = V$  for a  $K^U$ -lattice  $\Lambda_{U,\Theta}$  in V. Fix a system B of compact subgroups of G such that (i) B covers a dense subgroup in G, (ii) any pair of subgroups in B is contained in a subgroup in B (e.g., as B we can take the collection of all compact subgroups).

Each smooth semilinear action of G on V determines a compatible system of  $K^U$ -lattices  $\Lambda_U$  in V for all  $U \in B$  (in other words, an element of the set  $\varprojlim_{U \in B} \{K^U$ -lattices  $\Lambda_U$  in  $V\}$ ): if  $U \subseteq U'$  then

 $\Lambda_U = \Lambda_{U'} \otimes_{K^{U'}} K^U.$ 

Suppose that G is locally compact. Then we may assume that B consists of open compact subgroups of G and there is a bijection between (a) the smooth semilinear actions of G on V and (b) compatible systems of  $K^U$ -lattices  $\Lambda_U$  in V for all  $U \in B$ .

If we fix a  $K^{G}$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  then the mapping  $[g] \mapsto g(\Lambda \otimes_{K^{G}} K^{U})$  identifies the set of  $K^{U}$ -lattices in V with the set  $\operatorname{GL}_{K}(V)/\operatorname{GL}_{K^{U}}(\Lambda \otimes_{K^{G}} K^{U})$ , and therefore, the set of smooth semilinear G-action on

V can be identified with the set  $\varprojlim_{U \in B} \operatorname{GL}_{KU}(\Lambda \otimes_{K^G} K^U)$ , and the set of isomorphism classes of smooth semilinear actions of C on V coincides with  $\operatorname{CL}_{KU}(V) \setminus [\lim_{U \to U} \operatorname{CL}_{KU}(V) / \operatorname{CL}_{KU}(\Lambda \otimes_{K^G} K^U)]$ 

of smooth semilinear actions of G on V coincides with  $\operatorname{GL}_K(V) \setminus [\varprojlim_{U \in B} \operatorname{GL}_K(V) / \operatorname{GL}_{K^U}(\Lambda \otimes_{K^G} K^U)].$ 

If G is not locally compact then the smooth semilinear G-actions on a given K-vector space V are described as compatible systems  $(\Lambda_U)_{U \in B}$  of  $K^U$ -lattices in V such that for any vector  $v \in V$ the intersection over all  $U \in B$  of the subfields generated over  $K^U$  by the coordinates of v with respect to the lattice  $\Lambda_U$  is of finite type over  $K^G$ .

EXAMPLE. If G is countable at infinity then as B one can choose a totally ordered collection  $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq U_3 \subseteq \ldots$  of compact subgroups in G such that  $G = \bigcup_{m \ge 1} U_m$ . Any compatible systems of  $K^{U_i}$ -lattices  $\Lambda_{U_i}$  can be presented (a priori, not uniquely) as a composition, infinite to the left,  $\cdots b_3 b_2 b_1 b(\Lambda)$ , where  $b_i \in \operatorname{GL}_{K^{U_i}}(\Lambda \otimes_{K^G} K^{U_i})$  (so that  $\Lambda_i := b_{i-1} \cdots b_2 b_1 b(\Lambda) \otimes_{K^G} K^{U_i}$ ).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to the referee for suggesting numerous improvements of exposition. The project originates from my stay at the Max-Planck-Institut in Bonn, some of its elements were realized at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, and then attained a form close the final one at the I.H.E.S. in Bures-sur-Yvette. I am grateful to these institutions for their hospitality and exceptional working conditions.

This research was carried out within the National Research University Higher School of Economics Academic Fund Programme for 2013–2014, research grant no. 12-01-0187. The author was member of the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, supported by the NSF grant DMS-0635607. The author also gratefully acknowledges the support by the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn on the early stage of the project.

#### References

- [1] I.Bucur, A.Deleanu, Introduction to the theory of categories and functors, Wiley, 1968.
- [2] A.R.Camina, D.M.Evans, Some infinite permutation modules, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 42 (1991), no. 165, 15–26.
- [3] W.L.Gan, L.Li, Noetherian property of infinite EI categories, New York J. of Math., **21** (2015), 369–382, arXiv:1407.8235v2.
- [4] P.T.Johnstone, Topos theory. Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1977.
- M.Rovinsky, Motives and admissible representations of automorphism groups of fields. Math. Zeit., 249 (2005), no. 1, 163-221, math.RT/0101170.
- [6] M. Rovinsky, Semilinear representations of PGL, Selecta Math., New ser. 11 (2005), no. 3-4, 491-522, arXiv:math/0306333.
- [7] M. Rovinsky, An analogue of Hilbert's Theorem 90 for infinite symmetric groups, arXiv:math/1508.02267.
- [8] Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1 LNM 269, Springer-Verlag 1972.
- [9] S.V.Sam, A.Snowden, Stability patterns in representation theory, arXiv:1302.5859.
- [10] A.Speiser, Zahlentheoretische Sätze aus der Gruppentheorie, Math. Zeit., 5 (1919), 1./2. Heft, 1–6.

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, AG LABORATORY HSE, 7 VAVILOVA STR., MOSCOW, RUSSIA, 117312 & INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

E-mail address: marat@mccme.ru