A GENERALIZATION OF ALMOST SCHUR LEMMA ON CR MANIFOLDS

JUI-TANG CHEN^{1*}, NGUYEN THAC DUNG², AND CHIN-TUNG WU^{3*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a general almost Schur Lemma on pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1)-manifolds (M, J, θ) for $n \ge 2$. When the equality of almost Schur inequality holds, we derive the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein and the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature is constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Riemannian manifolds, the classical Schur Lemma states that the scalar curvature of an Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ must be constant. So it is interesting to see the relation between scalar curvature and Einstein condition. Recently, De Lellis and C. Topping [LT] proved an almost Schur Lemma assuming the nonnegative of Ricci curvature. Their result can be seen as a quantitative version or a stability property of the Schur Lemma. Later, in [B][C1][C2] and [GW], the authors considered general closed Riemannian manifolds, and obtained a generalization of the De Lellis-Topping's theorem.

However, in the pseudo-Hermitian manifold, the pseudo-Einstein condition does not imply the constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. This is because of the appearance of torsion terms in the contracted Bianchi identity (2.3). Hence there is a natural question to ask under which condition a pseudo-Einstein manifold has constant pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature. More general, how does the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature change when the manifold is close to the pseudo-Einstein manifold. In [CSW], the authors addressed to this question

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32V05, 32V20; Secondary 53C56.

Key words and phrases. Pseudo-Einstein, Pseudohermitian Manifold, Almost Schur Lemma, Eigenvalue of sub-Laplacian.

^{*}Research supported in part by NSC.

and shown that if $Im(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, where $A_{\alpha\beta}$ is the pseudo-Hermitian torsion, then the answer is affirmative. In fact, the answer came from the following CR almost Schur theorem in [CSW] on a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)-manifold M for $n \ge 2$.

Theorem 1.1. ([CSW]) For $n \ge 2$, if (M, J, θ) is a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)manifold with Im $(A_{\alpha\beta}, \alpha^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ and

(1.1)
$$(Ric - \frac{n+1}{2}Tor)(Z, Z) \ge 0 \text{ for all } Z \in T_{1,0}(M)$$

then

(1.2)
$$\int_M (R - \overline{R})^2 \le \frac{2n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n+2)} \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n} h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}|^2,$$

where \overline{R} is the average value of the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature R over M. Moreover, equality holds then the contact form $e^{\frac{1}{n+1}\varphi}\theta$ will be pseudo-Einstein.

In this paper, motivated by [C1], we are interested in a more general curvature condition with respect to (1.1). We prove a similar inequality to (1.2) with the inequality constant depending on the lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor minus $\frac{n+1}{2}$ times torsion tensor and also on the value of the first positive eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian.

Theorem 1.2. For $n \ge 2$, if (M, J, θ) is a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)-manifold with

(1.3)
$$(Ric - \frac{n+1}{2}Tor)(Z, Z) \ge -2K |Z|^2$$

for all $Z \in T_{1,0}(M)$ and for some nonnegative constant K, then

(1.4)
$$||R - \overline{R}||_{L^2} \le \sqrt{\kappa} \left(\int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2n}{\lambda_1} ||\operatorname{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta})||_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $\kappa = \frac{2n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left(1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1}\right)$ and λ_1 is the first positive eigenvalue of the sub-Laplacian. Moreover, if the equality holds then the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein, $\operatorname{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, and the pseudo-Hermitian scalar curvature $R = \overline{R}$ is a constant.

3

We observe that when K = 0 in Theorem 1.2, we obtain Theorem 1.1. Moreover, equality holds in (1.2), we know that the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein and $R = \overline{R}$ is a constant. This result is stronger than we gave in Theorem 1.1.

In Section 3, we consider a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1)-manifold M with zero pseudo-Hermitian torsion and we derive a lower bound estimate for the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of the sub-Laplacian Δ_b by using the diameter of M and lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor (see Proposition 3.1). This estimate is also an independent interesting result. As a consequence, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.3. Under the same conditions as in the Theorem 1.2. We also assume that M is torsion free, then

(1.5)
$$\int_{M} (R - \overline{R})^{2} \leq C(Kd^{2}) \int_{M} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}|^{2},$$

where d is the diameter of M with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and $C(Kd^2)$ is a constant only depending on Kd^2 . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if the contact form θ is pseudo-Einstein.

2. The Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we take the method used in [C1] to prove the inequality (1.4). But in the equality case, our proof is more different from [C1].

We need the following two integral formulas. The first integral is equation (3.4) in [CSW], for $n \ge 2$ and a smooth real-valued function φ ,

(2.1)
$$\frac{\frac{n+2}{n-1}\int_{M}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}|\varphi_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}-\frac{1}{n}\varphi^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}h_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}|^{2}}{=\frac{n+1}{2n}\int_{M}\left(\Delta_{b}\varphi\right)^{2}-\int_{M}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi_{\alpha\beta}\varphi_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}-\int_{M}\left(Ric-\frac{n+1}{2}Tor\right)\left((\nabla_{b}\varphi)_{\mathbb{C}},(\nabla_{b}\varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}\right).$$

The second integral comes from Lemma 2.2 in [CC] with its last equation in P. 268,

(2.2)
$$\frac{n^2}{2} \int_M \varphi_0^2 = \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\varphi_{\alpha\beta}\varphi_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} - \varphi_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\varphi_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}) + \int_M (Ric + \frac{n}{2}Tor) \left((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}} \right),$$

where $\varphi_0 = T\varphi$ and T is the characteristic vector field of the contact form θ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Proof. We denote the traceless Webster Ricci tensor by $\mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$, then the contracted Bianchi identity yields

(2.3)
$$\mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}, \overline{\beta} = \left(Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{R}{n}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\right), \overline{\beta} = \frac{n-1}{n}(R_{\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}, \beta).$$

Let f be the unique solution of $\Delta_b f = R - \overline{R}$ with $\int_M f = 0$. According to (2.3), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{M} \left(R - \overline{R}\right)^{2} \\ &= \int_{M} \left(R - \overline{R}\right) \Delta_{b} f = -\int_{M} \left\langle \nabla_{b} R, \nabla_{b} f \right\rangle = -\int_{M} (R_{\alpha} f^{\alpha} + R_{\overline{\alpha}} f^{\overline{\alpha}}) \\ &= \left(-\frac{n}{n-1} \int_{M} \mathring{R} i c_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} \overline{f}^{\beta} f^{\alpha} - in \int_{M} A_{\alpha \beta} \overline{f}^{\alpha}\right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ (2.4) &= \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \int_{M} \mathring{R} i c_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} f^{\alpha \overline{\beta}} + in \int_{M} A_{\alpha \beta} f^{\alpha \beta}\right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \int_{M} \mathring{R} i c_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} (f^{\alpha \overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma} \gamma h^{\alpha \overline{\beta}}) + in \int_{M} A_{\alpha \beta} f^{\alpha \beta}\right) + \text{complex conjugate} \\ &= \frac{2n}{n-1} \int_{M} \mathring{R} i c_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} (f^{\alpha \overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma} \gamma h^{\alpha \overline{\beta}}) + in \int_{M} (A_{\alpha \beta} f^{\alpha \beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha} \overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\alpha} \overline{\beta}}) \\ &\leq \frac{2n}{n-1} ||\mathring{R} ic||_{L^{2}} \left(\int_{M} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |f_{\overline{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma} \gamma h_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + in \int_{M} (A_{\alpha \beta} f^{\alpha \beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha} \overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\alpha} \overline{\beta}}), \end{aligned}$$

here we used $\int_M \mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}h^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = 0$ and $f^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n}f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}h^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = f^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} - \frac{1}{n}f^{\overline{\gamma}}{}_{\overline{\gamma}}h^{\overline{\beta}\alpha}$ is symmetric in α, β .

Now from (2.1) and the assumption on the curvature condition (1.3), we obtain

(2.5)
$$\frac{n+2}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |f_{\overline{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}|^2 \le \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_M (\Delta_b f)^2 + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2.$$

Besides, by using integration by parts and Hölder inequality,

(2.6)
$$i\int_{M} (A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha\beta}}f^{\overline{\alpha\beta}}) = i\int_{M} (A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha\beta}},^{\overline{\alpha\beta}})f \leq 2||\operatorname{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta})||_{L^{2}} ||f||_{L^{2}}.$$

Since the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of sub-Laplacian on M is characterized by

$$\lambda_{1} = \inf \left\{ \int_{M} \left| \nabla_{b} \varphi \right|^{2} / \int_{M} \varphi^{2} \right| \varphi \text{ is nontrivial and } \int_{M} \varphi = 0 \right\},\$$

we have

$$\int_{M} |\nabla_{b} f|^{2} = -\int_{M} f \Delta_{b} f = -\int_{M} f \left(R - \overline{R} \right) \leq \left\| f \right\|_{L^{2}} \left\| R - \overline{R} \right\|_{L^{2}}$$
$$\leq \lambda_{1}^{-1/2} ||\nabla_{b} f||_{L^{2}} \left\| R - \overline{R} \right\|_{L^{2}}.$$

Then

(2.7)
$$\lambda_1 \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 \le \left\| R - \overline{R} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^2 \int_M |f|^2 \le \left\| R - \overline{R} \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Due to (2.7), we can rewrite (2.5) and (2.6) as

$$\frac{n+2}{n-1} \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |f_{\overline{\alpha}\beta} - \frac{1}{n} f^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma} h_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}|^2 \le \left(\frac{n+1}{2n} + \frac{K}{\lambda_1}\right) ||R - \overline{R}||_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$i\int_{M} (A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha\beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}) \leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}} ||\operatorname{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta},^{\alpha\beta})||_{L^{2}} ||R - \overline{R}||_{L^{2}}$$

which combine with (2.4), we then give the equation (1.4).

Moreover, if the equality of (1.4) holds, then f will satisfy

- (i) $(Ric \frac{n+1}{2}Tor + 2K) ((\nabla_b f)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b f)_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0,$
- (ii) $f_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for all α, β ,
- (iii) $R \overline{R} = c_1 f$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\alpha\beta}) = c_2 f$ for some real constants c_1 and c_2 ,
- (iv) $f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma} \gamma h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \mu \mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ for some constant μ , and (v) $\lambda_1 \int_M f^2 = \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2$ and $\lambda_1 \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = \int_M \left(R - \overline{R}\right)^2$.

Simple computation shows that

(2.8)
$$\Delta_b f = R - \overline{R} = -\lambda_1 f$$

and

(2.9)
$$f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n} f_{\gamma} \,\,^{\gamma} h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \mu \check{R} i c_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$$

with $\mu = \frac{n+1}{n+2} (1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1}).$

In order to show θ is pseudo-Einstein, $R = \overline{R}$ is a constant and $\text{Im}(A_{\alpha\beta}, {}^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$, it suffices to claim that f is identically zero. So we need to derive some equations from (i) \sim (v). First, we claim that

(2.10)
$$Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\alpha} - i(n+2)\mu A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}, \overline{\beta} - i(n+1)A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\beta}} + 2Kf_{\overline{\beta}} = 0.$$

We differentiate (ii) and use (2.9), we have

$$0 = f_{\alpha\beta\overline{\gamma}} = f_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}\beta} + ih_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}f_{\alpha0} + R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}{}_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}f_{\rho}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\beta}h_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}} + \mu \mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}}{}_{,\beta} + ih_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}f_{\alpha0} + R_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}{}_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}f_{\rho}.$$

Contracting with $h^{\beta\overline{\gamma}}$, we obtain

(2.11)
$$0 = \frac{1}{n} f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \mu \mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}{}^{\overline{\beta}} + inf_{\alpha0} + Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\beta}}.$$

By differentiating the equation (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha} &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + f_{\overline{\sigma}}{}^{\overline{\sigma}}{}_{\alpha} = f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + P_{\alpha}f - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \frac{n}{n-1}(f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} - \frac{1}{n}f_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}h_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}), \overline{}^{\overline{\beta}} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} \\ &= f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}, \overline{}^{\overline{\beta}} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

here the operator $P_{\alpha}f$ is defined by $P_{\alpha}f = f_{\overline{\sigma}}{}^{\overline{\sigma}}{}_{\alpha} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}$ and the second equation follows from equation (3.3) in [GL]. Thus, the contracted Bianchi identity (2.3) and $R_{\alpha} = -\lambda_1 f_{\alpha}$ which follows from (2.8) imply

$$f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} = -\lambda_{1}f_{\alpha} - \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}{}^{\overline{\beta}} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}$$
$$= -\lambda_{1}f_{\alpha} - \mu(R_{\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta}) + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}$$
$$= (\mu - 1)\lambda_{1}f_{\alpha} + in\mu A_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}.$$

Also, by the commutation relations ([Le, Lemma 2.3]), we have

$$inf_{\alpha 0} = inf_{0\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} = (f_{\sigma}^{\ \sigma} - f_{\overline{\sigma}}^{\ \overline{\sigma}})_{,\alpha} - inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}$$
$$= f_{\sigma}^{\ \sigma}{}_{\alpha} - P_{\alpha}f = f_{\sigma}^{\ \sigma}{}_{\alpha} - \frac{n\mu}{n-1}\mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}},^{\overline{\beta}}$$
$$= (2\mu - 1)\lambda_{1}f_{\alpha} + 2in\mu A_{\alpha\beta},^{\beta} + inA_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta}.$$

Substituting these into (2.11) and using the fact $\mu = \frac{n+1}{n+2} \left(1 + \frac{2nK}{(n+1)\lambda_1}\right)$, we final get

$$0 = \frac{1}{n} f_{\sigma}{}^{\sigma}{}_{\alpha} + \mu \mathring{R}ic_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}, \overline{}^{\overline{\beta}} + inf_{\alpha 0} + Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\beta}}$$
$$= 2K f_{\alpha} + i(n+2)\mu A_{\alpha\beta}, \overline{}^{\beta} + i(n+1)A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} + Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\beta}},$$

which is (2.10) as claimed.

Next, we want to show

(2.12)
$$\int_{M} A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} = \int_{M} A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\alpha}} f^{\overline{\beta}} = 0 \text{ and } \int_{M} Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f^{\alpha} f^{\overline{\beta}} + K \int_{M} |\nabla_{b} f|^{2} = 0.$$

From (2.10) we know that

$$Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\alpha}f^{\overline{\beta}} + i(n+2)\mu A_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta}f^{\alpha} + i(n+1)A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha}f^{\beta} + 2Kf_{\alpha}f^{\alpha} = 0.$$

But compare this with (i)

(2.13)
$$Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}f^{\alpha}f^{\overline{\beta}} + \frac{n+1}{2}i(A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha}f^{\beta} - A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\alpha}}f^{\overline{\beta}}) + 2Kf_{\alpha}f^{\alpha} = 0,$$

one gets

$$\frac{2(n+2)}{n+1}\mu A_{\alpha\beta},^{\beta}f^{\alpha} = -(A_{\alpha\beta}f^{\alpha}f^{\beta} + A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}f^{\overline{\alpha}}f^{\overline{\beta}}).$$

Then integral it yields

$$\int_M A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} + \int_M A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\alpha}} f^{\overline{\beta}} = 0$$

due to $\int_M A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\beta f^\alpha = \int_M (A_{\alpha\beta}f^\alpha){}^\beta = 0$, by (ii). Also by the reality of $A_{\alpha\beta}{}^\beta f^\alpha$, we know

$$\int_{M} A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} = -\int_{M} A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} f^{\overline{\alpha}} f^{\overline{\beta}} = \int_{M} A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}, \overline{\beta} f^{\overline{\alpha}} f^{\overline{\beta}}$$

is real. Hence, the integral of (2.13),

$$\int_{M} Ric_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f^{\alpha} f^{\overline{\beta}} + (n+1) i \int_{M} A_{\alpha\beta} f^{\alpha} f^{\beta} + K \int_{M} |\nabla_{b} f|^{2} = 0$$

will imply (2.12) as we wanted.

Now, by applying (ii) and (2.12) to the equation (2.2), we final obtain

$$\frac{n^2}{2}\int_M f_0^2 + \int_M \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} f_{\overline{\alpha}\beta} + K \int_M |\nabla_b f|^2 = 0.$$

It implies that f = 0 as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

7

3. FIRST EIGENVALUE ESTIMATE OF THE SUB-LAPLACIAN

Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)-manifold with vanishing pseudo-Hermitian torsion. In this section, by applying the argument of the CR analogous Li-Yau's gradient estimate in [CKL], we derive a lower bound estimate for the first positive eigenvalue λ_1 of the sub-Laplacian Δ_b using the diameter of M and lower bound of Webster Ricci tensor. In the last, we prove Corollary 1.3.

Proposition 3.1. Let (M, J, θ) be a closed pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)-manifold with vanishing pseudo-Hermitian torsion and the Webster Ricci tensor is bounded from below by a nonpositive constant -K. Let f be an eigenfunction of Δ_b with respect to the first eigenvalue λ_1 . Then there exists constants $C_1(n)$, $C_2(n) > 0$ depending on n alone, such that

(3.1)
$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{C_1}{d^2} \exp(-C_2 d\sqrt{K}).$$

Here d is the diameter of M with respect to the Carnot-Carathéodory distance (see definition 2.3 in [CKL]).

We recall the following CR version of Bochner formula in a pseudo-Hermitian (2n + 1)manifold ([G]). For a smooth real-valued function φ ,

(3.2)
$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2}{+[2Ric - (n-2)Tor] ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}})} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 = |(\nabla^H)^2 \varphi|^2 + \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle + 2 \langle J \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \rangle$$

Since

$$|(\nabla^H)^2 \varphi|^2 = 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta} (|\varphi_{\alpha\beta}|^2 + |\varphi_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}|^2) \ge 2\sum_{\alpha} |\varphi_{\alpha\overline{\alpha}}|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + \frac{n}{2} \varphi_0^2$$

and for any constant v > 0,

$$2 \langle J \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \rangle \le 2 |\nabla_b \varphi| |\nabla_b \varphi_0| \le v^{-1} |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + v |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2.$$

Therefore, for a real function φ and any v > 0, the Bochner formula (3.2) becomes

(3.3)
$$\Delta_b |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} (\Delta_b \varphi)^2 + n\varphi_0^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle - 2v |\nabla_b \varphi_0|^2$$
$$+ 2[2Ric - (n-2)Tor - 2v^{-1}] ((\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}}, (\nabla_b \varphi)_{\mathbb{C}})$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1:

Proof. Let f be an eigenfunction of Δ_b with respect to the eigenvalue λ_1 . Since

$$\lambda_1 \int_M f = -\int_M \Delta_b f = 0,$$

f must change sign. We may normalize f to satisfy min f = -1 and max $f \leq 1$. Let us consider the function $\varphi = \ln(f + a)$, for some constant a > 1. Then the function φ satisfies

$$\Delta_b \varphi = -\left|\nabla_b \varphi\right|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a}$$

and thus

(3.4)
$$\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \Delta_b \varphi \rangle = - \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \left| \nabla_b \varphi \right|^2 \right\rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \left| \nabla_b \varphi \right|^2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_b \varphi_0 &= (\Delta_b \varphi)_0 + 2[(A_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^\beta),^{\alpha} + (A_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} \varphi^{\overline{\beta}}),^{\overline{\alpha}}] \\ &= \left(- |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} \right)_0 = -2 \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \varphi_0 \right\rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \varphi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

(3.5)
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_b\varphi_0^2 = \left|\nabla_b\varphi_0\right|^2 + \varphi_0\Delta_b\varphi_0 = \left|\nabla_b\varphi_0\right|^2 - \left\langle\nabla_b\varphi, \nabla_b\varphi_0^2\right\rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a}\varphi_0^2.$$

And

(3.6)
$$\Delta_b \frac{f}{f+a} = \frac{a}{(f+a)^2} \Delta_b f - \frac{2a}{(f+a)^3} |\nabla_b f|^2 = -2 \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b \frac{f}{f+a} \right\rangle - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} \frac{f}{f+a}.$$

Now, we define $F:M\times [0,1]\to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(x,t) = t(|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \alpha \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} + \gamma t \varphi_0^2)$$

= $t[(\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \alpha \Delta_b \varphi + \gamma t \varphi_0^2],$

where α be a nonzero constant and γ be a positive constant which will be chosen later. By applying the Bochner inequality (3.3) with $v = \gamma t$, and using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), one can derive

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{b}F + 2 \langle \nabla_{b}\varphi, \nabla_{b}F \rangle &\geq \frac{t}{n} (\Delta_{b}\varphi)^{2} + nt\varphi_{0}^{2} - 2 (Kt + \gamma^{-1}) |\nabla_{b}\varphi|^{2} \\
&\quad -\frac{a\lambda_{1}}{f+a}t[2 |\nabla_{b}\varphi|^{2} - \alpha \frac{\lambda_{1}f}{f+a} + 2\gamma t\varphi_{0}^{2}] \\
&= \frac{t}{n} (\Delta_{b}\varphi)^{2} + nt\varphi_{0}^{2} - 2 (Kt + \gamma^{-1}) |\nabla_{b}\varphi|^{2} \\
&\quad -\frac{a\lambda_{1}}{f+a}[F + t |\nabla_{b}\varphi|^{2} + \gamma t^{2}\varphi_{0}^{2}].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the definition of F(x, t), we have

$$\Delta_b \varphi = \alpha^{-1} [t^{-1}F - (\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 - \gamma t \varphi_0^2],$$

thus

$$(\Delta_b \varphi)^2 \ge (\alpha t)^{-2} F^2 - 2\alpha^{-2} t^{-1} F[(\alpha + 1) |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \gamma t \varphi_0^2].$$

Substituting this into (3.7), we obtain

$$\Delta_b F + 2 \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b F \right\rangle$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 t} F^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F + \left[n - \left(\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} t + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F \right) \gamma \right] t \varphi_0^2$$

$$-2 \left[Kt + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} + \frac{\alpha+1}{n\alpha^2} F \right] \left| \nabla_b \varphi \right|^2.$$

Thus, at a maximum point p_t of $F(\cdot, t)$, we have

$$0 \ge \Delta_b F(p_t, t) + 2 \left\langle \nabla_b \varphi, \nabla_b F \right\rangle(p_t, t).$$

Hence, at (p_t, t) ,

(3.8)
$$0 \geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 t} F^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F + \left[n - \left(\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a}t + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2}F\right)\gamma\right] t\varphi_0^2 \\ -2\left[Kt + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{t}{2}\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} + \frac{\alpha+1}{n\alpha^2}F\right] |\nabla_b\varphi|^2.$$

We claim that there exist constants α depending only on n with $(\alpha + 1) < 0$ and γ depending on λ_1 , a and K such that

$$F(x,t) < -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} \left[K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} \right]$$

on $M \times [0, 1]$. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose not, then

$$\max_{M \times [0,1]} F(x,t) \ge -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}].$$

Since F is continuous in the variable t and F(x, 0) = 0, thus there exists a $t_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\max_{M \times [0,t_0]} F(x,t) = -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}].$$

Assume F achieves its maximum at the point (p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) on $M \times [0, t_0]$. Then

(3.9)
$$F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) = -\frac{n\alpha^2}{\alpha+1} [K + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{\alpha-1}] > 0.$$

By applying (3.8) at a maximum point p_{t_0} of $F(\cdot, s_{t_0})$ and using (3.9), one obtain

(3.10)
$$0 \geq \frac{1}{n\alpha^2 s_{t_0}} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0})^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) + [n - (\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} s_{t_0} + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}))\gamma] s_{t_0} \varphi_0^2.$$

Now we choose

$$\alpha + 1 = -\frac{3}{n}$$
 and $\gamma^{-1} = \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K$,

then

$$\frac{1}{n\alpha^2 s_{t_0}} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0})^2 - \frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a} F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) \ge n s_{t_0}^{-1} (K + \frac{1}{2} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}) F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0}) > 0$$

and

$$n - \left(\frac{a\lambda_1}{f+a}s_{t_0} + \frac{2}{n\alpha^2}F(p_{t_0}, s_{t_0})\right)\gamma \ge \frac{n}{3}\left[1 - \left(\frac{n+3}{n}\frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K\right)\gamma\right] = 0.$$

This leads to a contradiction with (3.10). Therefore, we obtain that

$$F(x,t) < (n+3)^2 (\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K)$$

on $M \times [0, 1]$. In particular, at t = 1, we have

$$|\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} + \left(\frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K\right)^{-1} \varphi_0^2 \le (n+3)^2 \left(\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K\right).$$

Thus, we obtain the subgradient estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_b \varphi|^2 + (\frac{n+3}{n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + 2K)^{-1} \varphi_0^2 &\leq (n+3)^2 (\frac{n+2}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K) - \frac{n+3}{n} \frac{\lambda_1 f}{f+a} \\ &\leq (n+3)^2 (\frac{n+3}{2n} \frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left|\nabla_b\varphi\right|^2 \le (n+3)^2 \left(\frac{n+3}{2n}\frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1} + K\right).$$

By integrating $|\nabla_b \varphi| = |\nabla_b \ln(f+a)|$ along a minimal horizontal geodesic ς joining the points at which f = -1 and $f = \max f$, it follows that

$$\ln \frac{a}{a-1} \leq \ln \left(\frac{a+\max f}{a-1}\right) = \ln(a+\max f) - \ln(a-1)$$
$$\leq \int_{\varsigma} |\nabla_b \ln(f+a)| \leq (n+3)d\sqrt{\frac{n+3}{2n}\frac{a\lambda_1}{a-1}} + K$$

for all a > 1. Setting s = (a - 1)/a, we obtain

$$\frac{(n+3)^3}{2n}\lambda_1 \ge (d^{-2}(\ln s^{-1})^2 - (n+3)^2K)s$$

for all 0 < s < 1. Maximizing the right hand side as a function of s by setting $s = \exp(-1 - \sqrt{1 + (n+3)^2 K d^2})$, we get the estimate

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{4n}{(n+3)^3 d^2} \exp(-1 - \sqrt{1 + (n+3)^2 K d^2})$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Now we prove Corollary 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.3: From the Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\frac{K}{\lambda_1} \le C_1 K d^2 \exp(C_2 d\sqrt{K}).$$

So we obtain the inequality in Corollary 1.3 with the constant

$$C(Kd^2) = \frac{4n^2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left(\frac{n+1}{2n} + C_1 K d^2 \exp(C_2 d\sqrt{K})\right).$$

Acknowledgment. The second author would like to express his thanks to Prof. Shu-Cheng Chang for his encouragement and teaching on CR geometry. He is also grateful to Prof. Xu Cheng for her explanation on the paper [C2].

References

- [B] E.R. Barbosa, A note on the almost-Schur lemma on 4-dimensional Riemannian closed manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 4319-4322.
- [CC] S.-C. Chang and H.-L. Chiu, Nonnegativity of CR Paneitz operator and its Application to the CR Obsta's Theorem in a Pseudo-Hermitian (2n+1)-Manifold, J. Geom. Analysis, 19 (2009), 261-287.
- [CSW] J.-T. Chen, T. Saotome and C.-T. Wu, The CR Almost Schur lemma and Lee conjecture, Kyoto J. Math., 52 (2012), no. 1, 89-98.
- [C1] X. Cheng, A generalization of almost Schur lemma for closed Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., DOI 10.1007/s10455-012-9339-8.
- [C2] X. Cheng, An almost-Schur lemma for symmetric (2;0) tensors and applications, arXiv:1208.2152v1 [math.DG].
- [CKL] S.-C. Chang, T.-J. Kuo and S.-H. Lai, Li-Yau gradient estimate and entropy formula for the CR heat equation in a closed pseudo-Hermitian 3-Manifold, JDG 89 (2011), no. 2, 185-216.
- [G] A. Greenleaf, The first eigenvalue of a sub-Laplacian on a pseudo-Hermitian manifold, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ. 10(2) (1985), no. 3, 191–217.
- [GL] C. R. Graham and J. M. Lee, Smooth solutions of degenerate Laplacians on strictly pseudoconvex domains, Duke Math. J., 57 (1988), 697-720.
- [GW] Y. Ge and G. Wang, An almost Schur Theorem on 4-dimensional manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 1041–1044.
- [Le] J. M. Lee, Pseudo-Einstein structures on CR manifolds, Amer. Jour. Math, 110 (1998), 157-178.
- [LT] De Lellis and C. Topping, Almost Schur lemma, Calc. Var. and PDE, 43 (2012) 347-354.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 11677, TAIWAN. *E-mail address*: jtchen@ntnu.edu.tw

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAM. *E-mail address*: dungmath@yahoo.co.uk

³Department of Applied Mathematics, National Pingtung University of Education, Pingtung 90003, Taiwan.

E-mail address: ctwu@mail.npue.edu.tw