

ISOSPECTRALITY FOR GRAPH LAPLACIANS UNDER THE CHANGE OF COUPLING AT GRAPH VERTICES

YULIA ERSHOVA, IRINA I. KARPENKO, AND ALEXANDER V. KISELEV

ABSTRACT. Laplacian operators on finite compact metric graphs are considered under the assumption that matching conditions at graph vertices are of δ and δ' types. An infinite set of trace formulae is obtained which link together two different quantum graphs under the assumption that their spectra coincide. The general case of graph Schrödinger operators is also considered, yielding the first trace formula. Tightness of results obtained under no additional restrictions on edge lengths is demonstrated by an example. Further examples are scrutinized when edge lengths are assumed to be rationally independent. In all but one of these impossibility of isospectral configurations is ascertained.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we focus our attention on the so-called quantum graph, i.e., a metric graph Γ with an associated second-order differential operator acting in Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma)$ of square summable functions with an additional assumption that functions belonging to the domain of the operator are coupled by certain matching conditions at graph vertices. Recently these operators have attracted a considerable interest of both physicists and mathematicians due to a number of important physical applications. Extensive literature on the subject is surveyed in, e.g., [20, 6].

The present paper is devoted to the study of an inverse spectral problem for Laplace and Schrödinger operators on finite compact metric graphs. One might classify the possible inverse problems on quantum graphs in the following way: (i) given spectral data, edge potentials and matching conditions, to reconstruct the metric graph; (ii) given

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A10; Secondary 34A55, 81Q35.

Key words and phrases. Quantum graphs, Schrödinger operator, Laplace operator, inverse spectral problem, trace formulae, boundary triples, isospectral graphs.

The third authors' work was partially supported by the RFBR, grant no. 12-01-00215-a.

the metric graph, edge potentials and spectral data, to reconstruct matching conditions; (iii) given the metric graph, spectral data and matching conditions, to reconstruct edge potentials.

There exists an extensive literature devoted to the problem (i). To name just a few, we would like to mention pioneering works [28, 17, 14] and later contributions [21, 23, 2, 15]. Different approaches to the same problem were developed, e.g., in [27, 4, 5], see also [22] for the analysis of a related problem. The problem (iii) is a generalization of the classical inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators and thus unsurprisingly has attracted by far the most interest; it is nonetheless beyond the scope of the present paper.

The problem (ii) has attracted much less attention. We believe it was first treated in [7] for the square of self-adjoint operator of the first derivative on a graph. Then, after being mentioned in [23, 2], it was treated in [3], but only in the case of star graphs. In our papers [9, 10] we suggested an approach based on the theory of boundary triples, leading to the asymptotic analysis of Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function of the graph.

Unlike [7, 3], in the present paper we consider the case of a general connected compact finite metric graph (in particular, this graph is allowed to possess cycles and loops), but only for two possible classes of matching conditions at graph vertices. Namely, each vertex is allowed to have matching of either δ or δ' type (see Section 2 for definitions). The named two classes singled out by us prove to be physically viable [11, 12].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Definition of the Laplace operator on a quantum graph.

We call $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathbf{E}_\Gamma, \sigma)$ a finite compact metric graph, if it is a collection of a finite non-empty set \mathbf{E}_Γ of compact intervals $\Delta_j = [x_{2j-1}, x_{2j}]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, called *edges*, and of a partition σ of the set of endpoints $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{2n}$ into N classes, $\mathbf{V}_\Gamma = \bigcup_{m=1}^N V_m$. The equivalence classes V_m , $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$ will be called *vertices* and the number of elements belonging to the set V_m will be called the *valence* (or, alternatively, *degree*) of the vertex V_m (denoted $\deg V_m \equiv \gamma_m$).

With a finite compact metric graph Γ we associate Hilbert spaces $L_2(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n L_2(\Delta_j)$ and $W_2^2(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_2^2(\Delta_j)$. These spaces obviously do not feel the graph connectivity, being the same for each graph with the same number of edges of same lengths.

In what follows, we single out two natural [11] classes of so-called *matching conditions* which lead to a properly defined self-adjoint operator on the graph Γ , namely, matching conditions of δ and δ' types. In order to describe these, we introduce the following notation. For a function $f \in W_2^2(\Gamma)$, we will use throughout the following definition of the normal derivative $\partial_n f(x_j)$ at the endpoints of the interval Δ_k :

$$\partial_n f(x_j) = \begin{cases} f'(x_j), & \text{if } x_j \text{ is the left endpoint of the edge,} \\ -f'(x_j), & \text{if } x_j \text{ is the right endpoint of the edge.} \end{cases}$$

It will be convenient to introduce the following notation for a function $f \in W_2^2(\Gamma)$ at any graph vertex:

$$f^\Sigma(V_k) = \sum_{x_j \in V_k} f(x_j), \quad \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) = \sum_{x_j \in V_k} \partial_n f(x_j).$$

Associate either of the two symbols, δ or δ' , to each vertex of the graph Γ . The graph thus obtained will be referred to as *marked* and denoted Γ_δ . Any marked graph Γ_δ determines the lineal

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta) := \left\{ f \in W_2^2(\Gamma) \left| \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is continuous at all} \\ \text{internal vertices of } \delta \text{ type,} \\ \partial_n f(x_i) = \partial_n f(x_j) \forall i, j : x_i, x_j \in V \text{ at all} \\ \text{internal vertices } V \text{ of } \delta' \text{ type} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Note that the latter definition imposes no restrictions on the functions from $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta)$ at boundary vertices of the graph, i.e., at vertices of valence 1. For reasons of convenience, we refer to all graph vertices of higher valence as *internal vertices* throughout.

We remark that if the vertex V_k of valence γ_k is of δ type, then obviously $f^\Sigma(V_k) = \gamma_k f(x_j)$, $x_j \in V_k$. In the same way, for a vertex V_k of δ' type one has $\partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) = \gamma_k \partial_n f(x_j)$, $x_j \in V_k$. In fact, throughout the rest of the paper we will only use the notation $f^\Sigma(V_k)$ and $\partial_n^\Sigma(V_k)$ in these two respective cases.

In Hilbert space $L_2(\Gamma)$ consider the operator A_{\min} , defined on each edge of the graph by the differential expression $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, the domain of which $\text{dom}(A_{\min})$ consists of all functions $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta)$ such that

$$f^\Sigma(V_k) = 0, \quad \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) = 0 \quad \forall k. \quad (1)$$

Obviously, A_{\min} is a closed symmetric operator, which will be henceforth referred to as *the symmetric operator of the graph* Γ_δ . The adjoint to it $A_{\max} := A_{\min}^*$ is defined by the same differential expression on the domain $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta)$. The deficiency indices of A_{\min} are equal to (N, N) .

We are now ready to define the Laplacian $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ on the graph Γ_δ which is an operator of the negative second derivative on functions from $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_\delta)$ subject to the following additional *matching conditions*.

(δ) If V_k is of δ type, then

$$\sum_{x_j \in V_k} \partial_n f(x_j) = \frac{\alpha_k}{\gamma_k} f^\Sigma(V_k).$$

(δ') If V_k is of δ' type, then

$$\sum_{x_j \in V_k} f(x_j) = -\frac{\alpha_k}{\gamma_k} \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k).$$

Here $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N)$ is a set of arbitrary real constants which we will refer to as *coupling constants*.

Note that matching conditions at internal vertices reflect the graph connectivity: if two graphs having the same set of edges have different topology, the resulting operators are different.

Provided that all coupling constants α_m , $m = 1 \dots N$, are real, it is easy to ascertain that the operator $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ is a proper self-adjoint extension of the operator A_{\min} in Hilbert space $L_2(\Gamma)$ [11, 16].

Clearly, the self-adjoint operator thus defined on a finite compact metric graph has purely discrete spectrum that accumulates to $+\infty$.

Note that w.l.o.g. each edge Δ_j of the graph Γ can be considered to be an interval $[0, l_j]$, where $l_j = x_{2j} - x_{2j-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ is the length of the corresponding edge. Throughout the present paper we will therefore only consider this situation.

In order to treat the inverse spectral problem (ii) for graph Laplacians, we will first need to get an explicit expression for the generalized Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function of the operator considered. The most elegant and straightforward way of doing so is in our view by utilizing the apparatus of boundary triples developed in [13, 18, 19, 8]. We briefly recall what is essential for our work.

2.2. Boundary triples and the Weyl-Titchmarsh matrix M-function. Suppose that A_{\min} is a symmetric densely defined closed linear operator acting in Hilbert space H . Assume that A_{\min} is completely non-self-adjoint (simple)¹, i.e., there exists no reducing subspace

¹The condition of simplicity of A_{\min} was studied in [1], where necessary and sufficient conditions of this property were obtained. In the simplest case, provided that all edge lengths are rationally independent and the graph contains no loops, simplicity is guaranteed. For the problems discussed in the present paper, simplicity is in fact irrelevant. Indeed, even if A_{\min} is not simple, under the condition of isospectrality of two graph Laplacians the formula (11) of Section 4 still holds, see

H_0 in H such that the restriction $A_{\min}|_{H_0}$ is a selfadjoint operator in H_0 . Further assume that the deficiency indices of A_{\min} (possibly being infinite) are equal: $n_+(A_{\min}) = n_-(A_{\min}) \leq \infty$.

Definition 2.1 ([13, 18, 8]). Let Γ_0, Γ_1 be linear mappings of $\text{dom}(A_{\max})$ to \mathcal{H} – a separable Hilbert space. The triple $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ is called a *boundary triple* for the operator A_{\max} if:

- (1) for all $f, g \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$

$$(A_{\max}f, g)_H - (f, A_{\max}g)_H = (\Gamma_1f, \Gamma_0g)_{\mathcal{H}} - (\Gamma_0f, \Gamma_1g)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
- (2) the mapping γ defined as $f \mapsto (\Gamma_0f; \Gamma_1f)$, $f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$ is surjective, i.e., for all $Y_0, Y_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists an element $y \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$ such that $\Gamma_0y = Y_0$, $\Gamma_1y = Y_1$.

A boundary triple can be constructed for any operator A_{\max} of the class considered. Moreover, the space \mathcal{H} can be chosen in a way such that $\dim \mathcal{H} = n_+ = n_-$. In particular one has $A_{\min} = A_{\max}|_{(\ker \Gamma_0 \cap \ker \Gamma_1)}$.

We further single out two proper self-adjoint extensions of the operator A_{\min} : $A_{\infty} := A_{\max}|_{\ker \Gamma_0}$, $A_0 := A_{\max}|_{\ker \Gamma_1}$.

A nontrivial extension A_B of the operator A_{\min} such that $A_{\min} \subset A_B \subset A_{\max}$ is called *almost solvable* if for every $f \in \text{dom}(A_{\max})$

$$f \in \text{dom}(A_B) \text{ if and only if } \Gamma_1f = B\Gamma_0f.$$

for a bounded in \mathcal{H} operator B .

The generalized Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function is then defined as follows.

Definition 2.2 ([8, 13, 19]). Let A_{\min} be a closed densely defined symmetric operator, $n_+(A_{\min}) = n_-(A_{\min})$, $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ being its boundary triple. The operator-function $M(\lambda)$, defined by

$$M(\lambda)\Gamma_0f_{\lambda} = \Gamma_1f_{\lambda}, \quad f_{\lambda} \in \ker(A_{\max} - \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm},$$

is called the Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function of a symmetric operator A_{\min} .

The following Theorem describing properties of the M-function clarifies its meaning.

Theorem 2.1 ([13, 8], in the form adopted by [29]). *Let $M(\lambda)$ be the M-function of a symmetric operator A_{\min} with equal deficiency indices ($n_+(A_{\min}) = n_-(A_{\min}) < \infty$). Let A_B be an almost solvable extension of A_{\min} corresponding to a bounded operator B . Then for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$:*

below for details, which is sufficient for our analysis, although in this case not all of the spectrum of a graph Laplacian is “visible” to both factors of (11).

- (1) $M(\lambda)$ is an analytic operator-function when $\text{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, its values being bounded linear operators in \mathcal{H} .
- (2) $(\text{Im } M(\lambda))\text{Im } \lambda > 0$ when $\text{Im}\lambda \neq 0$.
- (3) $M(\lambda)^* = M(\bar{\lambda})$ when $\text{Im}\lambda \neq 0$.
- (4) $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A_B)$ if and only if $(B - M(\lambda))^{-1}$ admits analytic continuation into the point λ_0 .

3. WEYL-TITCHMARSH FUNCTION FOR THE GRAPH LAPLACIAN

In this Section we derive an explicit formula for Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function pertaining to graph Laplacians of the class considered.

Theorem 3.1. *Let A_{\min} be the symmetric operator of the graph Γ_δ defined in (1). Then a boundary triple for the operator A_{\max} such that any proper self-adjoint extension $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ of Section 2.1 is almost solvable can be defined as follows: $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^N$,*

$$(\Gamma_0 f)_k := \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \begin{cases} f^\Sigma(V_k), & \text{if } V_k \text{ is a vertex of } \delta \text{ type,} \\ \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k), & \text{if } V_k \text{ is a vertex of } \delta' \text{ type,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$(\Gamma_1 f)_k := \begin{cases} \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k), & \text{if } V_k \text{ is a vertex of } \delta \text{ type,} \\ -f^\Sigma(V_k), & \text{if } V_k \text{ is a vertex of } \delta' \text{ type,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma_k = \deg V_k$.

In this setting, the matrix B parameterizing the almost solvable extension $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is diagonal, $B = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\}$, where $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^N$ are coupling constants of conditions (δ) and (δ') .

Proof. It suffices to observe that

$$(A_{\max} f, g) - (f, A_{\max} g) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \left(\partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) \overline{g^\Sigma(V_k)} - f^\Sigma(V_k) \overline{\partial_n^\Sigma g(V_k)} \right).$$

Then formulae (2), (3) and conditions (δ) and (δ') , immediately imply the claimed form of the matrix B . \square

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that V_k, V_j are two adjacent vertices of the graph Γ_δ connected by an edge e_{kj} of length l_{kj} , $\gamma_k = \deg V_k$. Let the function f be in $\ker(A_{\max} - \lambda)$ and put $f_{kj} := f|_{e_{kj}}$.*

(i) *If V_k, V_j are both of δ type and $f^\Sigma(V_k) = \gamma_k$, $f^\Sigma(V_j) = 0$, then*

$$\partial_n f_{kj}(V_k) = -\mu \cot \mu l_{kj}, \quad \partial_n f_{kj}(V_j) = \frac{\mu}{\sin \mu l_{kj}} \quad (4)$$

(ii) If V_k, V_j are two vertices of δ and δ' types, respectively, and $f^\Sigma(V_k) = \gamma_k, \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_j) = 0$, then

$$\partial_n f_{kj}(V_k) = \mu \tan \mu l_{kj}, \quad f_{kj}(V_j) = \frac{1}{\cos \mu l_{kj}}. \quad (5)$$

(iii) If V_k, V_j are two vertices of δ' and δ types, respectively, and $\partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) = \gamma_k, f^\Sigma(V_j) = 0$, then

$$f_{kj}(V_k) = -\frac{1}{\mu} \tan \mu l_{kj}, \quad \partial_n f_{kj}(V_j) = -\frac{1}{\cos \mu l_{kj}}. \quad (6)$$

(iv) If V_k, V_j are both of δ' type and $\partial_n^\Sigma f(V_k) = 1, \partial_n^\Sigma f(V_j) = 0$, then

$$f_{kj}(V_k) = \frac{1}{\mu} \cot \mu l_{kj}, \quad f_{kj}(V_j) = \frac{1}{\mu \sin \mu l_{kj}}. \quad (7)$$

Here $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$ with the branch so chosen that $\Im \mu \geq 0$.

The proof is an explicit computation.

Theorem 3.2. Let Γ_δ be a marked compact metric graph and let the operator A_{\min} be the symmetric operator (1) of the graph Γ_δ . Assume that the boundary triple for A_{\max} is $(\mathbb{C}^N, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, where N is the number of vertices in Γ , whereas the operators Γ_0 and Γ_1 are defined by (2), (3). Then the generalized Weyl-Titchmarsh M -function is a $N \times N$ matrix with matrix elements given by the following formula for a vertex V_k of δ type: $m_{jk}(\lambda) =$

$$\begin{cases} -\mu \left(\sum_{\Delta_t \in E_k} \cot \mu l_t - \sum_{\Delta_t \in E'_k} \tan \mu l_t - \right. \\ \left. -2 \sum_{\Delta_t \in L_k} \tan \frac{\mu l_t}{2} \right), & j = k, \\ \mu \sum_{\Delta_t \in C_{kj}} \frac{1}{\sin \mu l_t}, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex of} \\ & \delta \text{ type adjacent to } V_k, \\ -\sum_{\Delta_t \in C'_{kj}} \frac{1}{\cos \mu l_t}, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex of} \\ & \delta' \text{ type adjacent to } V_k, \\ 0, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex} \\ & \text{not adjacent to } V_k, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

and by the following formula for a vertex V_k of δ' type: $m_{jk}(\lambda) =$

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\mu} \left(\sum_{\Delta_t \in E_k} \cot \mu l_t - \sum_{\Delta_t \in E'_k} \tan \mu l_t + \right. \\ \left. + 2 \sum_{\Delta_t \in L_k} \cot \frac{\mu l_t}{2} \right), & j = k, \\ -\sum_{\Delta_t \in C'_{kj}} \frac{1}{\cos \mu l_t}, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex of} \\ & \delta \text{ type adjacent to } V_k, \\ -\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{\Delta_t \in C_{kj}} \frac{1}{\sin \mu l_t}, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex of} \\ & \delta' \text{ type adjacent to } V_k, \\ 0, & j \neq k, V_j \text{ is a vertex} \\ & \text{not adjacent to } V_k. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$


Here $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$ (the branch such that $\Im \mu \geq 0$), l_t is the length of the edge Δ_t , L_k is the set of loops at the vertex V_k , E_k is the set of graph edges incident to the vertex V_k with both endpoints of the same type, E'_k is the set of graph edges incident to the vertex V_k with endpoints of different type, C_{kj} is the set of graph edges connecting vertices V_k and V_j of the same type, and finally, C'_{kj} is the set of graph edges connecting vertices V_k and V_j of different types.

Proof. The proof is an explicit computation. Consider a function $f_\lambda \in \ker(A_{\max} - \lambda)$. Let $\Gamma_0 f_\lambda = e_k$, where $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ with 1 in the k th position.

From Lemma 3.1 one gets the explicit description of f_λ ; it is then possible to compute $\Gamma_1 f_\lambda$ which yields the k th column of the M-matrix sought provided that the graph has no loops.

If Γ_δ contains loops, it is easy to see that these lead to contributions in diagonal entries as claimed. \square

Example 3.1. Consider the following graph Γ_δ :



Let the vertices V_1, V_2 be of δ type and the vertices V_3, V_4 – of δ' type. Then within the setup of Theorem 3.2 the Weyl-Titchmarsh function admits the form $M(\lambda) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mu \cot \mu l_1 & \mu \csc \mu l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu \csc \mu l_1 & -\mu \left(\cot \mu l_1 - \sum_{t=2}^3 \tan \mu l_t \right) & -\sum_{j=2}^3 \sec \mu l_t & 0 \\ 0 & -\sum_{j=2}^3 \sec \mu l_t & -\frac{1}{\mu} \left(\cot \mu l_4 - \sum_{t=2}^3 \tan \mu l_t \right) & -\frac{1}{\mu} \csc \mu l_4 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\mu} \csc \mu l_4 & -\frac{1}{\mu} \cot \mu l_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. TRACE FORMULAE FOR ISOSPECTRAL GRAPH LAPLACIANS

In the present section, we apply the mathematical apparatus developed in Section 3 in order to study isospectral (i.e., having the same spectrum, counting multiplicities) quantum Laplacians defined on a finite compact metric graph Γ_δ .

Considering a pair of such Laplacians which differ only in coupling constants defining matching conditions we will derive an infinite series of trace formulae (cf. [9] for an analogous, although written in a different form, result in the case of all vertices being of δ type).

Theorem 4.1. *Let Γ_δ be a finite compact metric graph with N vertices in which V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{N_1} are of δ type, whereas $V_{N_1+1}, V_{N_1+2}, \dots, V_N$ are of δ' type. Let $A_{\bar{\alpha}}, A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ be two graph Laplacians on Γ_δ parameterized by coupling constants $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_k\}$, $k = \overline{1, N}$, respectively. If (point) spectra of the operators $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ coincide counting multiplicities, the following infinite set of trace formulae holds.*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{(-\alpha_i)^m}{\gamma_i^m} + \sum_{i=N_1+1, \alpha_i \neq 0}^N \frac{\gamma_i^m}{\alpha_i^m} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{(-\tilde{\alpha}_i)^m}{\gamma_i^m} + \sum_{i=N_1+1, \tilde{\alpha}_i \neq 0}^N \frac{\gamma_i^m}{\tilde{\alpha}_i^m}, \quad (10)$$

$m = 1, 2, \dots$, where as above $\gamma_i = \deg V_i$. Moreover, the sets $\{\alpha_{N_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_N\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_{N_1+1}, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_N\}$ have equal numbers of zero elements.

Proof. Using results of [25, Chapter I], see [9] for details, it is easy to ascertain that the fraction $\det(B - M(\lambda))/\det(\tilde{B} - M(\lambda))$ is an entire function of exponential type of order not greater than $1/2$ under the assumption that the spectra of $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ coincide (recall, that $B = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$ and $\tilde{B} = \text{diag}\{\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_N\}$). Moreover, it has no finite zeroes. Therefore by Hadamard theorem [25] one has

$$\frac{\det(B - M(\lambda))}{\det(\tilde{B} - M(\lambda))} = \exp(a) \quad (11)$$

for some constant a .

Consider asymptotic expansions of the functions $\det(B - M(\lambda))$ and $\det(\tilde{B} - M(\lambda))$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ along the real line. Using the asymptotic expansion for $M(\lambda)$ provided by Theorem 3.2 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B - M(\lambda)) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} (\alpha_i + \gamma_i \tau) \prod_{i=N_1+1}^N \left(\alpha_i - \frac{\gamma_i}{\tau} \right) + o(\tau^{-M}) \\ \det(\tilde{B} - M(\lambda)) &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} (\tilde{\alpha}_i + \gamma_i \tau) \prod_{i=N_1+1}^N \left(\tilde{\alpha}_i - \frac{\gamma_i}{\tau} \right) + o(\tau^{-M}) \end{aligned}$$

for any natural $M > 0$, where $\tau = -i\sqrt{\lambda} \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, passing to the limit in (11) as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$, one either gets

$$\exp(a) = \frac{\prod_{i=N_1+1, \alpha_i \neq 0}^N \alpha_i \prod_{i=N_1+1, \alpha_i=0}^N \gamma_i}{\prod_{i=N_1+1, \tilde{\alpha}_i \neq 0}^N \tilde{\alpha}_i \prod_{i=N_1+1, \tilde{\alpha}_i=0}^N \gamma_i}$$

in the case when the sets $\{\alpha_{N_1+1}, \dots, \alpha_N\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_{N_1+1}, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_N\}$ have equal numbers of zero elements, or faces a contradiction. Having divided both sides of (11) by $\exp(a)$ and then taking the logarithm of the result, one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_i}{\gamma_i} \frac{1}{\tau}\right) + \sum_{i=N_1+1, \alpha_i \neq 0}^N \ln\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i} \frac{1}{\tau}\right) - \\ & - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_i}{\gamma_i} \frac{1}{\tau}\right) - \sum_{i=N_1+1, \tilde{\alpha}_i \neq 0}^N \ln\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_i}{\tilde{\alpha}_i} \frac{1}{\tau}\right) + o(\tau^{-M}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Taylor expansion of logarithms yields that for any natural M

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{(-1)^j}{j\tau^j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\gamma_i}\right)^j - \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{j\tau^j} \sum_{i=N_1+1, \alpha_i \neq 0}^N \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i}\right)^j + \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{(-1)^j}{j\tau^j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_i}{\gamma_i}\right)^j + \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{j\tau^j} \sum_{i=N_1+1, \tilde{\alpha}_i \neq 0}^N \left(\frac{\gamma_i}{\tilde{\alpha}_i}\right)^j + o(\tau^{-M}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Comparing coefficients at equal powers of τ now completes the proof. \square

We will revisit the trace formulae of Theorem 4.1 in the next Section, see Corollary 5.1. The named Corollary reformulates necessary conditions of isospectrality for two graph Laplacians in a much more transparent and easier to check form. The remainder of the present Section is devoted to a number of results which extend the applicability of the approach developed above in two different directions.

First, we point out that the results obtained in this Section so far allow generalization to the case of Shrödinger operators on finite compact metric graphs. These operators in the case when all edge potentials are assumed to be summable are correctly defined by the differential expression $-d^2/dx^2 + q(x)$, where $q \in L^1(\Gamma)$, on the same domain as the corresponding graph Laplacians (see conditions (δ) and (δ') of Section 2).

If no additional smoothness is required of edge potentials $q_j := q|_{\Delta_j}$, it is only possible to obtain the first trace formula (i.e., for $m = 1$). If however edge potentials are assumed to be C^∞ , the full countable set of trace formulae is available. In the present paper we will confine ourselves to the former case.

Theorem 4.2. *Let Γ_δ be a marked finite compact metric graph having N vertices in which V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{N_1} are vertices of δ type, whereas $V_{N_1+1}, V_{N_1+2}, \dots, V_N$ are of δ' type. Let $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ be two Schrödinger operators on the graph Γ_δ parameterized by coupling constants $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_k\}$, $k = \overline{1, N}$, respectively. Let all edge potentials² $\tilde{q}_i, q_i \in L_1(\Delta_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let the (point) spectra of these two operators (counting multiplicities) be equal, $\sigma(\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = \sigma(A_{\tilde{\alpha}})$. Then the numbers of zero coupling constants at vertices of δ' type are equal and*

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\gamma_i} + \sum_{i=N_1+1: \alpha_i \neq 0}^N \frac{\gamma_i}{\alpha_i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_i}{\gamma_i} + \sum_{i=N_1+1: \tilde{\alpha}_i \neq 0}^N \frac{\gamma_i}{\tilde{\alpha}_i},$$

where $\gamma_i = \deg V_i$.

The *proof* of this Theorem can be obtained using WKB asymptotics of regular Sturm-Liouville problem solutions [26], see [10] for more details.

Corollary 4.1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2,*

(i) *If $\alpha_i = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \tilde{\alpha}$ for all graph vertices of δ type, $i = 1, \dots, N_1$, whereas $\alpha_i = -1/\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_i = -1/\tilde{\alpha}$ for all graph vertices of δ' type, $i = N_1 + 1, \dots, N$, then $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$.*

(ii) *If $\alpha_i = \alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \tilde{\alpha}$ for all graph vertices, $i = 1, \dots, N$, then either $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ or $\tilde{\alpha}\alpha = -(\sum_{i=N_1+1}^N \gamma_i)/(\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \gamma_i^{-1})$, where $\gamma_i = \deg V_i$.*

We finish this Section with a complement to Theorem 4.1 which prohibits the “decoupled” Laplacian A_∞ to have spectrum coinciding with that of an operator $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ for any $\tilde{\alpha}$ provided that all graph vertices are of δ type together with an analogous result for the case of δ' vertices. In order to prove this, one can use the following result.

Proposition 4.1 ([8]). *Let A_{\min} be a closed densely defined symmetric operator with equal deficiency indices, $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be a boundary triple of the operator A_{\max} , and finally let $M(\lambda)$ be the corresponding Weyl-Titchmarsh function. Then for any bounded in \mathcal{H} self-adjoint operator K*

²Note, that we do not need to assume here that the potentials are the same for operators $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$.

- (1) $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 + K\Gamma_0)$ is also a boundary triple for the operator A_{\max} . Moreover, the corresponding Weyl-Titchmarsh function admits the form $\widehat{M}(\lambda) = M(\lambda) + K$;
- (2) If the operator A_B is an almost solvable w.r.t. the boundary triple $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ extension of the operator A_{\min} , this operator is almost solvable w.r.t. the boundary triple $(\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 + K\Gamma_0)$ as well. Its parameterization is then $\widehat{B} = B + K$.

This allows to prove the following

Theorem 4.3. *Let Γ_δ be a finite compact metric graph with N vertices. Let $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ be a graph Laplacian defined on Γ with matching conditions of δ type at all vertices. Then the spectra of the operators $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ and A_∞ coincide for no non-zero parameterizing matrix $B = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\}$.*

Proof. First assume that the matrix B is invertible. In this case, pass over to the boundary triple $(\mathcal{H}, \widehat{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_1, \widehat{\Gamma}_1 = -\Gamma_0)$. The operator A_B is clearly an almost solvable extension of A_{\min} w.r.t. this triple, i.e., $A_B = A_{\widehat{B}}$, where $\widehat{B} = -B^{-1}$. The Weyl-Titchmarsh function admits the form $\widehat{M}(\lambda) = -M(\lambda)^{-1}$, and the operator A_∞ in this “new” boundary triple corresponds to A_0 . Hence in terms of the amended boundary triple one has isospectrality for the operators $A_{B^{-1}}$ and A_0 .

In the case of all vertices having δ type one clearly has the following asymptotics for $M(\lambda)$: $M(\lambda) = -\tau\Gamma_N + o(\tau^{-M}) \quad \forall M > 0$ and hence

$$\widehat{M}(\lambda) = (1/\tau)\Gamma_N^{-1} + o(\tau^{-M}) \quad \forall M > 0,$$

where $\Gamma_N = \text{diag}\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N\}$.

As shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1, this asymptotic expansion together with the condition of isospectrality yields that both matrices parameterizing extensions must have equal ranks, which leads to a contradiction.

Now let the matrix $B = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\}$ degenerate. Consider the new boundary triple defined as follows: $(\mathcal{H}, \widehat{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_0, \widehat{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1 + \alpha\Gamma_0)$, where $\alpha > \max|\alpha_i|$, $i = \overline{1, N}$. Proposition 4.1 gives $A_B = A_{\widehat{B}}$, where $\widehat{B} = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1 + \alpha, \alpha_2 + \alpha, \dots, \alpha_N + \alpha\}$ is a non-degenerate matrix, whereas the operator A_∞ remains the same. The Weyl-Titchmarsh function admits the form $\widehat{M}(\lambda) = M(\lambda) + \alpha I$. We have thus reduced the situation to the one already considered. \square

An analogous result holds with a similar proof in the case when all graph vertices are of δ' type.

Theorem 4.4. *Let Γ_δ be a finite compact metric graph with N vertices. Let $A_{\bar{\alpha}}$ be a graph Laplacian defined on Γ with matching conditions of δ'*

type at all vertices. Then the spectra of the operators $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and A_{∞} coincide for no non-zero parameterizing matrix $B = \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N\}$.

5. ISOSPECTRAL GRAPHS BY EXAMPLES

5.1. Further dissemination of trace formulae. We start with the following

Lemma 5.1. *Every solution of the infinite system of equations*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i^m = \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\beta}_i^m \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (13)$$

is one of the following:

$$\beta_i = \tilde{\beta}_{k_i} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (14)$$

where $\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_N\}$ is a permutation of the finite sequence $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Conversely, each of (14) solves the system (13).

Proof. First assume that all $|\beta_i|$ are different. Let β_{i_0} be the largest (by modulus) element of the sequence $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N\}$. Divide both parts of (13) by $\beta_{i_0}^m$ and pass to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\beta_{i_0} = \tilde{\beta}_{j_0}$ for some j_0 , where $\tilde{\beta}_{j_0}$ is the largest (by modulus) element of the sequence $\{\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_N\}$. (13) then takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1, i \neq i_0}^N \beta_i^m = \sum_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^N \tilde{\beta}_j^m \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Repeating the argument $N - 2$ times, one arrives at the claimed result. The generalization to the case of repeating $|\beta_i|$ is trivial. \square

In particular together with Theorem 4.1 this yields that not only the numbers of zero coupling constants at δ' type vertices have to be equal in $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$, but also the *total* numbers of zero coupling constants have to be the same under the condition of isospectrality.


Hence, Theorem 4.1, although leaving possibilities for isospectrality of graph Laplacians, significantly narrows down the set of ‘‘opportunities’’ for this isospectrality. Precisely, one has the following

Corollary 5.1. *Let Γ_{δ} be a finite compact metric graph with N vertices. Let $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$, $A_{\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ be two graph Laplacians on Γ_{δ} parameterized by coupling constants $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha}_k\}$, $k = \overline{1, N}$, respectively. Then the graph Laplacians $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ are isospectral only if the sets S and \tilde{S} are permutations of each other, where $S = \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$ with $\sigma_j = -\alpha_j/\gamma_j$*

for V_j of δ type; $\sigma_j = \gamma_j/\alpha_j$ for V_j of δ' type with non-zero coupling constant; $\sigma_j = 0$ in the remaining case. The set \tilde{S} is defined in absolutely the same way based on coupling constants $\{\tilde{\alpha}_k\}$.

Using the latter Corollary, for any given graph Γ one could, at least theoretically, try every “allowed” configuration of coupling constants one by one and thus assess directly, which of these (if any) lead to isospectral configurations.

Example 5.1. Let Γ_δ be a decorated “lasso” graph of three vertices all of them being of δ type.



Let all three edges of the graph be of length 1. Let $\vec{\alpha} = (a, 3b, 2c)$ for arbitrary real $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ is isospectral to $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ for $\vec{\alpha} = (b, 3c, 2a)$ provided, that $2b = a + c$ and to $\vec{\alpha} = (c, 3a, 2b)$ provided, that $2a = b + c$.

Note, that both configurations $(b, 3c, 2a)$ and $(c, 3a, 2b)$ are among allowed by Corollary 5.1.

At the same time, if the lengths of graph edges are rationally independent, there are no isospectral configurations.

The *proof* is an explicit computation.

This example demonstrates that if one wishes to go one step further than Theorem 4.1 in the analysis of isospectrality for graph Laplacians, one needs to impose some additional restrictions on edge lengths. The analysis presented in Section 4, based on the asymptotic behavior of Weyl-Titchmarsh M-function, shows that the information derived from the leading term of its asymptotics carries no information on edge lengths. This asks for some additional considerations, to which the remainder of the present Section is devoted.

Henceforth we will assume that all edge lengths are rationally independent and consider a number of examples of quantum graphs.

5.2. The case of a star-graph.

Theorem 5.1. *Consider a finite compact metric graph Γ_δ which is a star, i.e., Γ_δ has exactly $N + 1$, $N \geq 2$ vertices³ with the only internal vertex being V_{N+1} . Assume that all edge lengths are rationally independent and that matching conditions at all vertices are of δ type. Let $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ be two graph Laplacians on Γ_δ . Assume that their spectra coincide counting multiplicities. Then $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, one immediately ascertains the equality

$$\det(M(\lambda) - B) = \det(M(\lambda) - \tilde{B}), \quad (15)$$

since in this case it is easy to see that $\exp(a) = 1$ in (11). By Theorem 3.2,

$$\det(M(\lambda) - B) = \begin{vmatrix} -\mu \cot \mu l_1 - \alpha_1 & 0 & \dots & \mu \csc \mu l_1 \\ 0 & -\mu \cot \mu l_2 - \alpha_2 & \dots & \mu \csc \mu l_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \mu \csc \mu l_1 & \mu \csc \mu l_2 & \dots & -\mu \sum_{t=1}^N \cot \mu l_t - \alpha_{N+1} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $\mu = \sqrt{\lambda}$. Calculating this determinant explicitly, one has

$$\det(M(\lambda) - B) = K_{N+1}(\mu)\mu^{N+1} + K_N(\mu, \vec{\alpha})\mu^N + \dots + K_0(\mu, \vec{\alpha}),$$

where $K_j(\mu)$ are trigonometric functions. Here $\mu^{N+1}K_{N+1}(\mu) = \det M(\lambda)$ and (up to the sign)

$$K_N(\mu) = \sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \alpha_t \prod_{j=1}^N \cot \mu l_j - \sum_{t,i=1; t < i}^N (\alpha_t + \alpha_i) \prod_{j \neq t,i} \cot \mu l_j, \quad (16)$$

Utilizing the standard linear independence argument in conjunction with the condition of rational independence of edge lengths, one obtains

$$\sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \alpha_t = \sum_{t=1}^{N+1} \tilde{\alpha}_t; \quad (17)$$

$$\alpha_t + \alpha_i = \tilde{\alpha}_t + \tilde{\alpha}_i, \quad t, i = \overline{1, N}, \quad t < i. \quad (18)$$

Using the first trace formula of Theorem 3.2, one additionally has

$$\sum_{t=1}^N \alpha_t + \frac{\alpha_{N+1}}{N} = \sum_{t=1}^N \tilde{\alpha}_t + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{N+1}}{N},$$

³If $N = 2$, the coupling constant at the internal vertex is w.l.o.g. assumed to be non-zero, since otherwise the star-graph considered reduces to a single interval by graph cleaning, see, e.g., [24].

which together with (17) implies $\alpha_{N+1} = \tilde{\alpha}_{N+1}$. Then (17) admits the form

$$\sum_{t=1}^N \alpha_t = \sum_{t=1}^N \tilde{\alpha}_t. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, (18) immediately yields

$$(N-1)\alpha_1 + \sum_{t=2}^N \alpha_t = (N-1)\tilde{\alpha}_1 + \sum_{t=2}^N \tilde{\alpha}_t. \quad (20)$$

Comparing (20) with (17), one obtains

$$\alpha_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_1$$

and, proceeding analogously, the same result for all $i = \overline{2, N}$. \square

5.3. The case of a chain graph. We will demonstrate that unless the chain is also a star, it no longer suffices to consider linear relations between coupling constants in order to ascertain the fact that there are no isospectral graphs in this situation. In the present paper, we will only consider the chain of exactly 4 vertices, which is already enough to illustrate the point made. The general case of an arbitrary compact chain can in fact be reduced to this one by a corresponding recurrence relation.

Proposition 5.1. *Let Γ_δ be the metric A_4 graph with δ type matching conditions at all vertices and having rationally independent edge lengths. Let $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ be two graph Laplacians on Γ_δ . Let additionally coupling constants at both internal vertices be non-zero for both Laplacians.⁴ Assume that their spectra coincide counting multiplicities. Then $\vec{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, (15) holds. By Theorem 3.2, one has $\det(M(\lambda) - B) =$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\mu \cot \mu l_1 - \alpha_1 & \mu \csc \mu l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu \csc \mu l_1 & -\mu \sum_{n=1}^2 \cot \mu l_n - \alpha_2 & \mu \csc \mu l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \csc \mu l_2 & -\mu \sum_{n=2}^3 \cot \mu l_n - \alpha_3 & \mu \csc \mu l_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \csc \mu l_3 & -\mu \cot \mu l_3 - \alpha_4 \end{vmatrix},$$

where the enumeration of vertices is chosen so that that the internal vertices are V_2 and V_3 , the edge between them being of length l_2 , whereas the edge of length l_1 connects V_2 to V_1 and consequently l_3 connects V_3 and V_4 .

⁴Again, this assumption just guarantees that the graph Γ does not reduce by the procedure of cleaning [24] to either a star or an interval.

Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, one gets the following linear relations between $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$ from consideration of the coefficient $K_3(\mu, \vec{\alpha})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 &= \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_4; \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 &= \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_4; \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 &= \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_4; \\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 &= \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_4.\end{aligned}$$

The matrix of this system of linear equations has rank equal to 3 with kernel $(1, 0, 0, -1)^T$. This of course immediately implies $\alpha_2 = \tilde{\alpha}_2$, $\alpha_3 = \tilde{\alpha}_3$, but still does not prove the claim. Note that the first trace formula of Theorem 4.1 in fact follows from the relations above and thus provides no additional information.

Consider the coefficient $K_2(\mu, \vec{\alpha})$. This equips us with 4 quadratic relations on the coupling constants which together with the linear relations yield the claim. \square

5.4. The case of mixed types with double edges.

Proposition 5.2. *Let Γ_δ be the graph of Example 3.1. Let the edge lengths l_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4$ be rationally independent. Let $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ be two graph Laplacians on Γ_δ . Assume that their spectra coincide counting multiplicities. Then $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$.*

Proof. Assume first that $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \tilde{\alpha}_3, \tilde{\alpha}_4 \neq 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, one has

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_3\alpha_4} \det(M(\lambda) - \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4\}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4} \det(M(\lambda) - \text{diag}\{\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_4\}).$$

By Theorem 3.2, one gets the following decomposition of $\det(M(\lambda) - \text{diag}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4\})$:

$$K_2(\mu)\mu^2 + K_1(\mu, \vec{\alpha})\mu + K_0(\mu, \vec{\alpha}) + K_{-1}(\mu, \vec{\alpha})\mu^{-1} + K_{-2}(\mu)\mu^{-2}$$

with trigonometric coefficients K_j . The only difference with Theorem 5.1 is that here negative powers of μ appear. What's more, explicit calculation shows that both K_1 and K_{-1} already are non-linear w.r.t. the coupling constants. Nevertheless, one ascertains almost immediately that these suffice to complete the proof. Consideration of the remaining cases differs only in minute details. \square

We remark that the same result holds for the graph Γ_δ if all vertices are assumed to be of the same type (either δ or δ'). Thus, a graph possessing double edges may prevent isospectrality.

5.5. Isospectrality can happen if even cycles are allowed. We will show that a cycle C_4 of four vertices even in the situation of rationally independent edge lengths allows for existence of isospectral Laplacians.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\Gamma_\delta = C_4$ with all four vertices of δ type and rationally independent edge lengths l_j , $j = 1, \dots, 4$. Assume that $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ are two graph Laplacians on Γ_δ . Let additionally coupling constants at all vertices be non-zero for both Laplacians.⁵ Assume that their spectra coincide counting multiplicities. Then $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{\tilde{\alpha}}$, unless $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha, -\alpha, \alpha, -\alpha)$ and $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}} = (-\alpha, \alpha, -\alpha, \alpha)$ for some real α . In the latter case $A_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $A_{\vec{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ are isospectral.*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, (15) holds. Calculating this determinant explicitly on the basis of Theorem 3.2, one has

$$\det(M(\lambda) - B) = K_4(\mu)\mu^4 + K_3(\mu, \vec{\alpha})\mu^3 + \dots + K_0(\mu, \vec{\alpha}),$$

where $K_j(\mu)$ are trigonometric functions. Calculating these functions explicitly and utilizing the standard linear independence argument in conjunction with the condition of rational independence of edge lengths, one obtains

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_4; \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha_j \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i = \tilde{\alpha}_j \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{\alpha}_i, \quad i, j = \overline{1, 4},$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_4 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_4, \quad (22)$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_4 + \tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4,$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_4 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4;$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4,$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_4 + \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4,$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_4, \quad (23)$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3 + \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4;$$

$$\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 = \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4. \quad (24)$$

In variables $x_{i,j} := \alpha_i\alpha_j - \tilde{\alpha}_i\tilde{\alpha}_j$, $i, j = 1, 4$, $i < j$, the system (22) turns out to be a linear system with matrix of rank 6. Hence,

$$\alpha_i\alpha_j = \tilde{\alpha}_i\tilde{\alpha}_j, \quad i, j = \overline{1, 4}. \quad (25)$$

⁵This assumption guarantees that the graph Γ does not reduce by the procedure of cleansing [24] to a cycle of lower dimension.

As for (23), one reduces this system to a linear one by the following change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3, & x_2 &= \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4 - \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_4, \\ x_3 &= \alpha_1\alpha_3\alpha_4 - \tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4, & x_4 &= \alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4 - \tilde{\alpha}_2\tilde{\alpha}_3\tilde{\alpha}_4. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Since the rank of the corresponding matrix is equal to 3, the general solution of the latter system is non-trivial. Further, it has the form $(\beta, -\beta, \beta, -\beta)$. Consider the two possibilities separately.

(i) If $\beta = 0$, then (24) immediately implies $\alpha_i - \tilde{\alpha}_i = 0$, $j = \overline{1, 4}$.

(ii) Let now $\beta \neq 0$. Denoting $u := \alpha_2\alpha_3$, $v := \alpha_2\alpha_4$, $w := \alpha_3\alpha_4$ and using (26) and (25) one has:

$$(\alpha_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1)u = \beta, \quad (\alpha_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1)v = -\beta, \quad (\alpha_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_1)w = \beta,$$

whence $u = -v = w$, or equivalently $\alpha_2\alpha_3 = -\alpha_2\alpha_4 = \alpha_3\alpha_4$. Thus,

$$\alpha_3 = -\alpha_4, \quad -\alpha_2 = \alpha_3.$$

In the same way one might also ascertain that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3$. Finally one gets $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = -\alpha$, $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_3 = \tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \tilde{\alpha}_4 = -\tilde{\alpha}$.

From (24) it follows that $|\alpha| = |\tilde{\alpha}|$. If $\alpha \neq \tilde{\alpha}$, the only remaining possibility of isospectral graph Laplacian turns out to be $\tilde{\alpha} = -\alpha$.

An explicit calculation shows that in this case one indeed gets the required property (15). \square

Some remarks are in order.

1. Proposition 5.3 admits generalization to an arbitrary cycle C_{2N} , $M > 1$. As for the cycle C_2 , technically one could prove isospectrality if and only if $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha, -\alpha)$ and $\vec{\tilde{\alpha}} = -\vec{\alpha}$, however, this does not lead to non-trivial isospectral configurations since the corresponding two graphs are actually identical.

2. As for the case of cycles C_{2N+1} , $N \geq 1$, one can ascertain much along the same lines that such odd cycles do not permit isospectrality.

3. In our forthcoming publication on necessary and sufficient conditions of isospectrality, we will show that essentially there are no other examples of isospectral graph Laplacians provided that all graph vertices are of δ type.

Acknowledgements. The authors express deep gratitude to Profs. Sergey Naboko and Yurii Samoilenko for constant attention to their work. We would also like to cordially thank our referees for making some very helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ashurova, E.N.; Kandagura, A.N. and Karpenko, I.I. *The criterion of simplicity for symmetric operator on a graph*, Methods Func. Anal. Topology, **20** (2014), no. 1, to appear.
- [2] Avdonin S. and Kurasov P., *Inverse problems for quantum trees*, Inverse Problems and Imaging, **2** (2008), 1–21.
- [3] Avdonin S., Kurasov P. and Nowaczyk M., *Inverse Problems for Quantum Trees II. Recovering Matching Conditions for Star Graphs*, Inverse Problems and Imaging, **4/4** (2010), 579–598.
- [4] Belishev, M. I.; Vakulenko, A. F. *Inverse problems on graphs: recovering the tree of strings by the BC-method*. J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl. **14** (2006), no. 1, 29–46.
- [5] Belishev, M. I.; Wada, N. *On revealing graph cycles via boundary measurements*. Inverse Problems **25** (2009), no. 10, 105011, 21 pp.
- [6] Berkolaiko, G. and Kuchment, P. *Introduction to Quantum Graphs*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. **186**, AMS, 2012.
- [7] Carlson, Robert. *Inverse eigenvalue problems on directed graphs*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 10, 4069–4088.
- [8] Derkach V. A. and Malamud M. M., *Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for Hermitian operators with gaps*, J. Funct. Anal. **95** (1991), 1–95.
- [9] Ershova Yu. and Kiselev A.V., *Trace formulae for graph Laplacians with applications to recovering matching conditions*, Methods of Funct. Anal. Topol. **18**, no. 4 (2012), 343–359.
- [10] Ershova Yu. and Kiselev A.V., *Trace formulae for Schrödinger operators on metric graphs with applications to recovering matching conditions*, Methods of Funct. Anal. Topol. **20**, no. 2 (2014), 134–148.
- [11] Exner, P., *A duality between Schrödinger operators on graphs and certain Jacobi matrices*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **66** (1997), 359–371.
- [12] Exner, P., *Lattice Kronig-Penney models*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995), 3503–3506.
- [13] Gorbachuk V. I. and Gorbachuk M. L., *Boundary value problems for operator differential equations*. Translated and revised from the 1984 Russian original. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), **48**. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [14] Gutkin, B. and Smilansky, U., *Can one hear the shape of a graph?*, J. Phys. A. **34** (2001), 6061–6068.
- [15] Kostykin V., Potthoff J. and Schrader R., *Heat kernels on metric graphs and a trace formula*, in “Adventures in Mathematical Physics”, Contemporary Mathematics **447**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007, 175–198.
- [16] Kostykin V. and Schrader R., *Kirchhoff’s rule for quantum wires*, J. Phys. A. **32** (1999), 595–630.
- [17] Kottos, T. and Smilansky, U., *Periodic orbit theory and spectral statistics for quantum graphs*, Ann. Physics, **274** (1999), 76–124.
- [18] Kočubej A. N., *On extension of symmetric operators and symmetric binary relations* Math. Notes **17** (1975), 41–48.

- [19] Kočubeĭ A. N., *Characteristic functions of symmetric operators and their extensions* (in Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR Ser. Mat.* **15**, **3** (1980), 219–232.
- [20] Kuchment, P., *Quantum graphs: an introduction and a brief survey*, in “Analysis on Graphs and its Applications”, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math.*, AMS 2008, 291–314.
- [21] Kurasov P. and Nowaczyk M., *Inverse spectral problem for quantum graphs*, *J. Phys. A: Mathematical and General*, **38** (2005), 4901–4915; correction: *J. Phys. A: Mathematical and General*, **39** (2006), 993.
- [22] Kurasov, P.; Malenová, G.; Naboko, S. *Spectral gap for quantum graphs and their edge connectivity*. *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013), no. 27, 275309, 16 pp.
- [23] Kurasov P., *Graph Laplacians and Topology*, *Arkiv för Matematik* **46** (2008), 95–111.
- [24] Kurasov P., *Inverse problem for Aharonov-Bohm rings*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **148** (2010), 331–362.
- [25] Levin, B. Ya., *Lectures on entire functions*. In collaboration with and with a preface by Yu. Lyubarskii, M. Sodin and V. Tkachenko. Translated from the Russian manuscript by Tkachenko. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, 150. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. xvi+248 pp.
- [26] Levitan, B.M. and Sargsyan, I.S. *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators*, Moscow, Nauka, 1988 (in Russian).
- [27] Pivovarchik, V.; Taystruk, O. *On characteristic functions of operators on equilateral graphs*. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology* **18** (2012), no. 2, 189–197.
- [28] Roth, J.P., *Le spectre du Laplacien sur un graphe*, in *Théorie du Potentiel* (Orsay, 1983), *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **1096**, 521–539.
- [29] Ryzhov V., *Functional model of a class of nonselfadjoint extensions of symmetric operators*, *Operator Theory: Adv. Appl.* **174** (2007), 117–158.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE.
01601 UKRAINE, KIEV-4, 3, TERESCHENKIVSKA ST.

E-mail address: julija.ershova@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS, V.I. VERNADSKY
TAURIDA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY. 95007 SIMFEROPOL, 4 VERNADSKY PR.

E-mail address: i_karpenko@ukr.net

DEPARTMENT OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS, PIDSTRYHACH INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED PROBLEMS OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, 3-B NAUKOVA STR. 79060, L’VIV, UKRAINE

DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, 1 ULIANOVSKAYA STREET, ST. PETERSBURG, ST. PETERHOFF 198504 RUSSIA

E-mail address: alexander.v.kiselev@gmail.com