

# THE QUANTUM LEFSCHETZ PRINCIPLE FOR VECTOR BUNDLES AS A MAP BETWEEN GIVENTAL CONES

TOM COATES

ABSTRACT. Givental has defined a Lagrangian cone in a symplectic vector space which encodes all genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants of a smooth projective variety  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be the subvariety in  $X$  given by the zero locus of a regular section of a convex vector bundle. We review arguments of Iritani, Kim–Kresch–Pantev, and Graber, which give a very simple relationship between the Givental cone for  $Y$  and the Givental cone for Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$ . When the convex vector bundle is the direct sum of nef line bundles, this gives a sharper version of the Quantum Lefschetz Hyperplane Principle.

## 1. GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANTS AND TWISTED GROMOV–WITTEN INVARIANTS

Given a smooth projective variety  $X$ , one can define *Gromov–Witten invariants* of  $X$  [17, 18]:

$$(1) \quad \left\langle \gamma_1 \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \gamma_n \psi_n^{k_n} \right\rangle_{g,n,d}^X := \int_{[X_{g,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \cup \psi_i^{k_i}$$

Notation here is by now standard; a list of notation and definitions can be found in Appendix A. Given a class  $A \in H^\bullet(X_{g,n,d}; \mathbb{Q})$ , we can include it in the integral (1), writing:

$$(2) \quad \left\langle \gamma_1 \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \gamma_n \psi_n^{k_n}; A \right\rangle_{g,n,d}^X := \int_{[X_{g,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}} A \cup \prod_{i=1}^{i=n} \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \cup \psi_i^{k_i}$$

In particular, we can consider *twisted Gromov–Witten invariants* [8]. Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle, and let  $\mathbf{c}(\cdot)$  be an invertible multiplicative characteristic class. We can evaluate  $\mathbf{c}$  on classes in K-theory by setting  $\mathbf{c}(A \ominus B) = \frac{\mathbf{c}(A)}{\mathbf{c}(B)}$ . The twisting class  $E_{g,n,d} \in K^0(X_{g,n,d})$  is defined by  $E_{g,n,d} = \pi_! \text{ev}^* E$ , where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ X_{g,n,d} & & \end{array}$$

is the universal family over the moduli space of stable maps.  $(\mathbf{c}, E)$ -twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$  are intersection numbers of the form:

$$(3) \quad \left\langle \gamma_1 \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \gamma_n \psi_n^{k_n}; \mathbf{c}(E_{g,n,d}) \right\rangle_{g,n,d}^X$$

Consider the  $S^1$ -action on vector bundles  $V \rightarrow B$  which rotates the fibers of  $V$  and leaves the base  $B$  invariant. The  $S^1$ -equivariant Euler class  $\mathbf{e}(\cdot)$  is invertible over the field of fractions  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$  of  $H_{S^1}^\bullet(\{\text{point}\}) = \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$ . Taking  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}$ , we refer to twisted Gromov–Witten invariants (3) as Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14N35 (Primary); 53D45 (Secondary).

*Key words and phrases*. Gromov–Witten invariants, quantum cohomology, Quantum Lefschetz Hyperplane Principle, Givental’s Lagrangian cone, convex vector bundle.

Givental has defined a Lagrangian cone  $\mathcal{L}_X$  in a symplectic vector space  $\mathcal{H}_X$  which encodes all genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$  [13, 14]. Fix a basis  $\{\phi_\epsilon\}$  for  $H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q})$ , and let  $\{\phi^\epsilon\}$  denote the dual basis with respect to the Poincaré pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $H^\bullet(X)$ , so that  $(\phi_\mu, \phi^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$ . Let  $\Lambda_X$  denote the Novikov ring of  $X$ ; this is defined in Appendix A. Consider the vector space (or rather, free  $\Lambda_X$ -module):

$$\mathcal{H}_X := H^\bullet(X; \Lambda_X) \otimes \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$$

equipped with the symplectic form (or rather,  $\Lambda_X$ -valued symplectic form):

$$\Omega_X(f, g) := \text{Res}_{z=0} (f(-z), g(z)) dz$$

Let  $\mathbf{t}(z) = t_0 + t_1 z + t_2 z^2 + \dots$ , where  $t_i \in H^\bullet(X; \Lambda_X)$ . A general point on Givental’s Lagrangian cone  $\mathcal{L}_X \subset \mathcal{H}_X$  has the form:

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{J}_X(\mathbf{t}) := -z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle t_{k_1} \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, t_{k_n} \psi_n^{k_n}, \phi^\epsilon \psi_{n+1}^m \right\rangle_{0, n+1, d}^X \phi_\epsilon (-z)^{-m-1}$$

where the sum runs over non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , multi-indices  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , degrees  $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ , and basis indices  $\epsilon$ . Knowing the Lagrangian submanifold  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is equivalent to knowing all genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants (1) of  $X$ .

A similar Lagrangian cone encodes all genus-zero Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$ . Consider the twisted Poincaré pairing  $(\alpha, \beta)_e = \int_X \alpha \cup \beta \cup e(E)$ , and the twisted symplectic form:

$$\Omega_e(f, g) := \text{Res}_{z=0} (f(-z), g(z))_e dz$$

on  $\mathcal{H}_X$ . Let  $\{\phi_\epsilon^\epsilon\}$  denote the basis dual to  $\{\phi_\epsilon\}$  with respect to the twisted Poincaré pairing, so that  $(\phi_\mu, \phi_\epsilon^\nu)_e = \delta_\mu^\nu$ . A general point on the Lagrangian cone  $\mathcal{L}_e \subset (\mathcal{H}_X, \Omega_e)$  has the form:

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t}) := -z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!} \left\langle t_{k_1} \psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, t_{k_n} \psi_n^{k_n}, \phi_\epsilon^\epsilon \psi_{n+1}^m; e(E_{0, n+1, d}) \right\rangle_{0, n+1, d}^X \phi_\epsilon (-z)^{-m-1}$$

where the sum runs over the same set as above. Knowing  $\mathcal{L}_e$  is equivalent to knowing all genus-zero Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$ . In this expository note, we describe a close relationship, in the case where the vector bundle  $E$  is convex, between Euler-twisted invariants of  $X$  and Gromov–Witten invariants of the subvariety  $Y \subset X$  defined by a regular section of  $E$ . We prove:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety. Let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a convex vector bundle, let  $Y$  be the subvariety in  $X$  defined by a regular section of  $E$ , and let  $i: Y \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion map. Let  $\mathbf{J}_e$  denote the general point (5) on the Lagrangian cone  $\mathcal{L}_e$  for Euler-twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of  $X$ . Let  $\mathbf{J}_Y$  denote the general point on the Lagrangian cone  $\mathcal{L}_Y$  for genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants of  $Y$ , as in (4). Then the non-equivariant limit  $\mathbf{J}_e|_{\lambda=0}$  is well-defined and satisfies:*

$$i^* \mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0} = \mathbf{J}_Y(i^* \mathbf{t})$$

In particular,  $i^* \mathcal{L}_e|_{\lambda=0} \subset \mathcal{L}_Y$ .

Throughout here we have applied the homomorphism  $Q^\delta \mapsto Q^{i^* \delta}$  to the Novikov ring of  $Y$ .

**Remark 1.2.** A vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  is called convex if and only if  $H^1(C, f^* E) = 0$  for all stable maps  $f: C \rightarrow X$  such that the curve  $C$  has genus zero. Globally generated vector bundles are automatically convex, as are direct sums of nef line bundles.

**Remark 1.3.** If the dimension of  $Y$  is at least 3 then, by the Lefschetz theorem, the homomorphism of Novikov rings  $\Lambda_Y \rightarrow \Lambda_X$  given by  $Q^\delta \mapsto Q^{i^* \delta}$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark 1.4.** In the non-equivariant limit, the map  $i^*: \mathcal{H}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_Y$  becomes symplectic: it satisfies  $i^* \Omega_e|_{\lambda=0} = \Omega_Y$ . Thus Theorem 1.1 fits neatly into a general story that encompasses the Crepant Resolution Conjecture [9, 10], Brown’s toric bundle theorem [2], and so on: geometrically-natural

operations in Gromov–Witten theory give rise to symplectic transformations of Givental’s symplectic space that preserve the Lagrangian cones.

**Key Remark 1.5.** Only the statement of Theorem 1.1 is new. As we will see, the proof is a very minor variation of an argument by Iritani [15, Proposition 2.4]. Iritani’s result in turn builds on arguments by Kim–Kresch–Pantev [16] and Graber [21, §2].

**Remark 1.6.** Theorem 1.1 improves upon [8, formula 19], which roughly speaking, in the special case where  $E$  is the direct sum of nef line bundles, relates  $\mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0}$  to  $i_*\mathbf{J}_Y(i^*\mathbf{t})$ . The improved version determines invariants of  $Y$  with one insertion (that at the last marked point) involving an arbitrary cohomology class on  $Y$ , whereas the original version determined only invariants of  $Y$  such that all insertions are pullbacks of cohomology classes on  $X$ . When combined with the Lee–Pandharipande reconstruction theorem [19] this determines, under moderate hypotheses on  $Y$ , the big quantum cohomology of  $Y$ . This should be compared with §0.3.2 of *ibid.*, which gives a reconstruction result for Gromov–Witten invariants of  $Y$  such that all insertions are pullbacks of cohomology classes on  $X$ . One can use the same approach together with the Abelian/Non-Abelian Correspondence with bundles [4, §6.1] to determine the genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants of many subvarieties of flag manifolds and partial flag bundles.

**Remark 1.7.** The formulation in Theorem 1.1 is well-suited to proving mirror theorems for toric complete intersections or subvarieties of flag manifolds. One first obtains a family  $t \mapsto I_e(t, z)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{L}_e$ , by combining the Mirror Theorem for toric varieties or toric Deligne–Mumford stacks [3, 5, 12] with the Quantum Lefschetz theorem [8] or the Abelian/Non-Abelian Correspondence with bundles [4, §6.1]. After taking the non-equivariant limit  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and applying Theorem 1.1, one can then argue as in [8, §9] or [6, Example 9].

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

**2.1. The Non-Equivariant Limit Exists.** For the remainder of this note, we consider only stable maps of genus zero. Since  $E$  is convex, we have that  $R^1\pi_*\text{ev}^*E = 0$  and hence that  $E_{0,n+1,d}$  is a vector bundle. The fiber of  $E_{0,n+1,d}$  over a stable map  $f: C \rightarrow X$  is  $H^0(C, f^*E)$ , and thus there is an exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E'_{0,n+1,d} \longrightarrow E_{0,n+1,d} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{n+1}} \text{ev}_{n+1}^*E \longrightarrow 0$$

This implies that  $e(E_{0,n+1,d}) = e(E'_{0,n+1,d})e(\text{ev}_{n+1}^*E)$ . The Projection Formula, together with the fact that  $\phi^\epsilon = \phi_\epsilon^\epsilon e(E)$ , gives that:

$$\mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t}) = -z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!} (\text{ev}_{n+1})_* \left[ [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \cap e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^* t_{k_i} \cup \psi_i^{k_i} \right] (-z)^{-m-1}$$

This makes it clear that the non-equivariant limit  $\mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0}$  exists. Let us write  $e(\cdot)$  for the non-equivariant Euler class, noting that  $e(\cdot)$  is the non-equivariant limit of  $e(\cdot)$ .

2.2. **A Comparison of Virtual Fundamental Classes.** Consider the diagram:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{\delta: i_* \delta = d} Y_{0,n+1,\delta} & \xrightarrow{G} & Z & \xrightarrow{F} & X_{0,n+1,d} \\ \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \text{ev} & & \downarrow \text{ev} \\ Y^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & X^n \times Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X^{n+1} \\ & \searrow r & \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

where  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  are projections onto the last factor of their domains (which are products);  $f$  and  $g$  are induced by the inclusion  $i: Y \rightarrow X$ ; the maps  $\text{ev}$  in the first and third columns are the evaluation maps  $\text{ev}_1 \times \cdots \times \text{ev}_{n+1}$ ; the upper right-hand square is Cartesian; the composition  $G \circ F$  is the union of canonical inclusions  $Y_{0,n+1,\delta} \rightarrow X_{0,n+1,d}$ ; and the map  $G$  is defined by the universal property of the fiber product  $Z$ . The stack  $Z$  consists of those stable maps in  $X_{0,n+1,d}$  such that the last marked point lies in  $Y$ ; it is the zero locus of the section  $\text{ev}_{n+1}^* s \in \Gamma(X_{0,n+1,d}, \text{ev}_{n+1}^* E)$ . The map  $\text{ev}$  in the second column is also given by  $\text{ev}_1 \times \cdots \times \text{ev}_{n+1}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *With notation as above, we have:*

(A)

$$f^! \left( e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right) = \sum_{\delta: i_* \delta = d} G_* [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}}$$

(B) *For any  $(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ :*

$$f^* \text{ev}_* \left( \psi_1^{k_1} \cup \cdots \cup \psi_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}} \cup e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right) = \sum_{\delta: i_* \delta = d} g_* \text{ev}_* \left( \psi_1^{k_1} \cup \cdots \cup \psi_{n+1}^{k_{n+1}} \cap [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} \right)$$

*Proof.* Let  $0_X: X_{0,n+1,d} \rightarrow E_{0,n+1,d}$ ,  $0'_X: X_{0,n+1,d} \rightarrow E'_{0,n+1,d}$ ,  $0'_Z: Z \rightarrow E'_{0,n+1,d}|_Z$  denote the zero sections. Consider the Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod_{\delta: i_* \delta = d} Y_{0,n+1,\delta} & \xrightarrow{G} & Z & \xrightarrow{F} & X_{0,n+1,d} \\ \downarrow G & & \downarrow \bar{s}|_Z & & \downarrow \bar{s} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{0'_Z} & E'_{0,n+1,d}|_Z & & \\ \downarrow F & & \downarrow & & \\ X_{0,n+1,d} & \xrightarrow{0'_X} & E'_{0,n+1,d} & \xrightarrow{j} & E_{0,n+1,d} \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is the inclusion from (6) and  $\tilde{s}$  is the section of  $E_{0,n+1,d}$  induced by the section  $s: X \rightarrow E$  that defines  $Y$ . Note that, on the bottom row,  $0'_X \circ j = 0_X$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\delta: i_*\delta=d} G_*[Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} &= \sum_{\delta: i_*\delta=d} G_*0_X^! [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} && \text{(functoriality [16])} \\
&= \sum_{\delta: i_*\delta=d} G_*(0'_X)^! j^! [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} && \text{(functoriality [11, Theorem 6.5])} \\
&= \sum_{\delta: i_*\delta=d} (0'_X)^*(\tilde{s}|_Z)_* j^! [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} && \text{(by [11, Theorem 6.2])} \\
&= e(E'_{0,n+1,d}|_Z) \cap j^! [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \\
&= j^! \left( e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right) \\
&= f^! \left( e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cap [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (A). Since  $f^* \text{ev}_* = \text{ev}_* f^!$  [11, Theorem 6.2] and  $g_* \text{ev}_* = \text{ev}_* G_*$ , and since the classes  $\psi_i$  on  $Z$  and on  $Y_{0,n+1,\delta}$  are pulled back from the class  $\psi_i$  on  $X_{0,n+1,d}$ , (A) implies (B).  $\square$

**2.3. Applying the Projection Formula.** We now deduce Theorem 1.1 from Proposition 2.1. This amounts to repeated application of the Projection Formula. Recall the diagram (7). The non-equivariant limit  $\mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0}$  is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}
-z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!} (\text{ev}_{n+1})_* \left[ [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \cap e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \text{ev}_i^* t_{k_i} \cup \psi_i^{k_i} \right] (-z)^{-m-1} \\
= -z + \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} p_* \left[ \text{ev}_* \left( [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \cap e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup \bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_{k_i} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $i^* p_* = q_* f^*$ , we see that the pullback  $i^* \mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0}$  is:

$$-z + i^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum \frac{Q^d}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} q_* \left[ f^* \text{ev}_* \left( [X_{0,n+1,d}]^{\text{vir}} \cap e(E'_{0,n+1,d}) \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup \bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_{k_i} \right]$$

Proposition 2.1(B) now gives:

$$i^* \mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0} = -z + i^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum' \frac{Q^{i_*\delta}}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} q_* \left[ g_* \text{ev}_* \left( [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup \bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_{k_i} \right]$$

where the sum  $\sum'$  runs over non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$ , multi-indices  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  in  $\mathbb{N}^n$ , degrees  $\delta \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ , and basis indices  $\epsilon$ . Applying the Projection Formula again, we see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
i^* \mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})|_{\lambda=0} &= -z + i^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum' \frac{Q^{i_*\delta}}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} q_* \left[ g_* \text{ev}_* \left( [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup \bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_{k_i} \right] \\
&= -z + i^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum' \frac{Q^{i_*\delta}}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} q_* g_* \left[ \text{ev}_* \left( [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup g^* \bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_{k_i} \right] \\
&= -z + i^* \mathbf{t}(z) + \sum' \frac{Q^{i_*\delta}}{n!(-z)^{m+1}} r_* \left[ \text{ev}_* \left( [Y_{0,n+1,\delta}]^{\text{vir}} \cup \psi_{n+1}^m \cup \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) \cup \bigotimes_{i=1}^n i^* t_{k_i} \right] \\
&= \mathbf{J}_Y(i^* \mathbf{t})|_{Q^\delta \mapsto Q^{i_*\delta}}
\end{aligned}$$

The Theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a smooth Deligne–Mumford stack with projective coarse moduli space, let  $E \rightarrow X$  be a convex vector bundle, let  $Y$  be the substack in  $X$  defined by a regular section of  $E$ , and let  $i: IY \rightarrow IX$  be the map of inertia stacks induced by the inclusion  $Y \rightarrow X$ . The analog of Theorem 1.1 holds in this context, with the same proof: cf. [15, Proposition 2.4]. Note that a convex line bundle on a Deligne–Mumford stack is necessarily the pullback of a line bundle on the coarse moduli space [7].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Alessio Corti, Tom Graber, Hiroshi Iritani, Yuan-Pin Lee, and Andrea Petracci for useful conversations.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Invent. Math.*, 128(1):45–88, 1997.
- [2] J. Brown. Gromov–Witten invariants of toric fibrations. To appear in IMRN. Available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnt030>.
- [3] Daewoong Cheong, Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine, and Bumsig Kim. Orbifold quasimap theory. in preparation.
- [4] Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Claude Sabbah. The abelian/nonabelian correspondence and Frobenius manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 171(2):301–343, 2008.
- [5] Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. A mirror theorem for toric stacks. arXiv:1310.4163 [math.AG], October 2013.
- [6] Tom Coates, Alessio Corti, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. Some applications of the mirror theorem for toric stacks. arXiv:1401.2611 [math.AG], January 2014.
- [7] Tom Coates, Amin Gholampour, Hiroshi Iritani, Yunfeng Jiang, Paul Johnson, and Cristina Manolache. The quantum Lefschetz hyperplane principle can fail for positive orbifold hypersurfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(5):997–1005, 2012.
- [8] Tom Coates and Alexander Givental. Quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 165(1):15–53, 2007.
- [9] Tom Coates, Hiroshi Iritani, and Hsian-Hua Tseng. Wall-crossings in toric Gromov-Witten theory. I. Crepant examples. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(5):2675–2744, 2009.
- [10] Tom Coates and Yongbin Ruan. Quantum cohomology and crepant resolutions: a conjecture. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 63(2):431–478, 2013.
- [11] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [12] Alexander Givental. A mirror theorem for toric complete intersections. In *Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics (Kyoto, 1996)*, volume 160 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 141–175. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [13] Alexander B. Givental. Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 1(4):551–568, 645, 2001. Dedicated to the memory of I. G. Petrovskii on the occasion of his 100th anniversary.
- [14] Alexander B. Givental. Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures. In *Frobenius manifolds*, Aspects Math., E36, pages 91–112. Friedr. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 2004.
- [15] Hiroshi Iritani. Quantum cohomology and periods. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 61(7):2909–2958, 2011.
- [16] Bumsig Kim, Andrew Kresch, and Tony Pantev. Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 179(1-2):127–136, 2003.
- [17] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin. Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 164(3):525–562, 1994.
- [18] Maxim Kontsevich. Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions. In *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, volume 129 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 335–368. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [19] Y.-P. Lee and R. Pandharipande. A reconstruction theorem in quantum cohomology and quantum  $K$ -theory. *Amer. J. Math.*, 126(6):1367–1379, 2004.
- [20] Jun Li and Gang Tian. Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(1):119–174, 1998.
- [21] Rahul Pandharipande. Rational curves on hypersurfaces (after A. Givental). *Astérisque*, (252):Exp. No. 848, 5, 307–340, 1998. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 1997/98.

## APPENDIX A. NOTATION

What follows is a list of notation and definitions: first for symbols in Roman font, then for Greek symbols, then for miscellaneous symbols.

$c$	an invertible multiplicative characteristic class
$e$	the $S^1$ -equivariant Euler class; see page 1 for the definition of the $S^1$ -action
$e$	the non-equivariant Euler class
$E$	a convex vector bundle over $X$
$E_{g,n,d}$	the twisting class $E_{g,n,d} \in K^0(X_{g,n,d})$ ; see page 1
$E'_{0,n+1,d}$	a sub-bundle of $E_{0,n+1,d}$ ; see page 3
$ev_i$	the evaluation map $X_{g,n,d} \rightarrow X$ at the $i$ th marked point
$\mathcal{H}_X, \mathcal{H}_Y$	Givental's symplectic vector space; see page 2
$\mathcal{L}_e$	Givental's Lagrangian cone for Euler-twisted invariants of $X$ ; see page 2
$\mathcal{L}_X, \mathcal{L}_Y$	Givental's Lagrangian cone for $X, Y$ ; see page 2
$i$	the inclusion map $Y \rightarrow X$
$j$	the inclusion map $E'_{0,n+1,d} \rightarrow E_{0,n+1,d}$
$\mathbf{J}_e(\mathbf{t})$	a general point on $\mathcal{L}_e$ ; see (5)
$\mathbf{J}_X(\mathbf{t})$	a general point on $\mathcal{L}_X$ ; see (4)
$k_i$	a non-negative integer
$Q^d$	the representative of $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ in the Novikov ring $\Lambda_X$
$\mathbf{t}$	$\mathbf{t}(z) = t_0 + t_1 z + t_2 z^2 + \dots$ where $t_i \in H^\bullet(X)$
$t_i$	a cohomology class on $X$
$X$	a smooth projective variety
$X_{g,n,d}$	the moduli space of stable maps to $X$ , from genus- $g$ curves with $n$ marked points, of degree $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ [17, 18]
$[X_{g,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}$	the virtual fundamental class of the moduli space of stable maps to $X$ [1, 20]
$Y$	a subvariety of $X$ cut out by a regular section of $E$
$Y_{g,n,d}$	the moduli space of stable maps to $Y$ , from genus- $g$ curves with $n$ marked points, of degree $d \in H_2(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ [17, 18]
$[Y_{g,n,d}]^{\text{vir}}$	the virtual fundamental class of the moduli space of stable maps to $Y$ [1, 20]
$\gamma_i$	a cohomology class on $X$
$\lambda$	the generator of $H_{S^1}^\bullet(\{\text{point}\})$ given by the first Chern class of $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty \cong BS^1$
$\Lambda_X$	the Novikov ring of $X$ ; this is a completion of the group ring $\mathbb{Q}[H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})]$ with respect to the valuation $v(Q^d) = \int_d \omega$ , where $Q^d$ is the representative of $d \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ in the group ring and $\omega$ is the Kähler form on $X$
$\phi_\epsilon$	an element of the basis $\{\phi_\epsilon\}$ for $H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q})$
$\phi^\epsilon$	an element of the dual basis $\{\phi^\epsilon\}$ for $H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{Q})$ , so that $(\phi_\mu, \phi^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$
$\psi_i$	the first Chern class of the universal cotangent line bundle $L_i \rightarrow X_{g,n,d}$ at the $i$ th marked point
$\Omega_X, \Omega_e, \Omega_Y$	the symplectic forms on $\mathcal{H}_X, \mathcal{H}_X$ , and $\mathcal{H}_Y$ respectively; see page 2
$0_X, 0'_X, 0'_Z$	zero section maps; see page 4
$(\cdot, \cdot)$	the Poincaré pairing on $H^\bullet(X)$ , $(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \alpha \cup \beta$
$(\cdot, \cdot)_e$	the twisted Poincaré pairing on $H^\bullet(X)$ , $(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \alpha \cup \beta \cup e(E)$
$\langle \dots \rangle_{g,n,d}^X$	Gromov–Witten invariants or twisted Gromov–Witten invariants of $X$ ; see (1–3)