# Square-Well Approximation for the Anharmonic and the Double-Well Oscillators

# B.P.Mahapatra\*

School of Physical Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research (NISER), Bhubaneswar 751005, India

## N. B. Pradhan<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics, G.M.(Autonomous)College, Sambalpur 768004, India
(Dated: July 4, 2018)

# Abstract

A novel general approximation scheme (NGAS) proposed earlier<sup>1,2</sup> is applied to the problem of the quartic anharmonic (QAHO) and the double-well oscillator (QDWO) in quantum theory by choosing the infinite square-well potential in one dimension as the input approximation. The leading order (LO) results obtained for the energy eigen-values are uniformly accurate to within a few percent of the exact results for arbitrary values of the quartic-coupling:  $\lambda > 0$  and for all the energy levels:  $n_s \geq 0$ . These results are shown to be non-perturbative in the LO and reproduce the known analytic and scaling properties of energy as a function of the coupling  $\lambda$  and the level-index:  $n_s$ . The LO-results are further improved in accuracy by including the perturbative-correction at the next non-trivial order of an improved perturbation theory (IPT) based upon NGAS. The method can be trivially extended to other cases of higher anharmonicity.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The anharmonic oscillator (AHO) and the double-well oscillator (DWO) are among the simplest of the interacting quantum systems which find extensive application in various areas<sup>1</sup> of physics and chemistry. These include areas as diverse as atomic and molecular physics, quantum chemistry, condensed matter physics, particle physics, statistical physics, quantum field theory and cosmology. However, exact analytical solutions are not available for the above systems. One must therefore resort to approximations to solve these cases. For this reason, there is a lot of theoretical/mathematical interest in testing and improving upon the existing approximation schemes applied to the AHO/DWO systems. These investigations include perturbation theory, variational methods, path-integral approximation, variation-perturbation-methods, summability-methods, Pade-approximation etc. Consequently, there is a vast amount of literature accumulated<sup>1</sup> on the subject demonstrating the perennial interest in these systems.

The AHO in one dimension, with quartic-, sextic- and octic-anharmonic- interaction, as well as, the DWO systems with quartic and sextic-anharmonicity were earlier investigated<sup>2,3</sup> by the present authors in a novel general approximation scheme (NGAS). This scheme, by construction, is potentially capable of providing accurate approximation for any general interaction of arbitrary strength in quantum theory. The basic input to the scheme is the choice of a suitable approximating-Hamiltonian  $H_0$ , which is required to be exactly solvable, but which involves certain adjustable (variational) parameters,  $\alpha_i$ . The scheme is implemented by imposing the constraint that the quantum average (QA) of the original Hamiltonian H be equal to that of the approximating Hamiltonian  $H_0$  with respect to any (arbitrary) eigen-state of the latter. In the next step of implementation of the scheme, the (variational) minimization of this QA with respect to the free-parameters:  $\alpha_i$  of the model,completely determine the latter. The evaluation of the energy eigen-value of the reference (input) Hamiltonian then determines the leading order (LO) result in NGAS.

It has been demonstrated<sup>2,3</sup> that this simple procedure automatically builds into the approximating Hamiltonian  $H_0$ , the nonlinearity and other symmetries of the original Hamiltonian, thereby guaranteeing accurate results for *arbitrary* coupling strength,  $\lambda$  and for *arbitrary* excited states of the system *even* in the LO. Furthermore, the LO-results thus obtained, are seen to be essentially non-perturbative, yet improvable order-by-order in an

improved perturbation theory (IPT) defined in NGAS. This IPT is distinct from the conventional perturbation method in several respects. In particular, the IPT is not restricted to 'small-coupling-expansion'.

In the earlier investigations<sup>2,3</sup>, the simple harmonic-approximation (SHA) was made as the natural choice in selecting the input Hamiltonian,  $H_0$  as that for the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) but suitably generalized to include variable parameters corresponding to the frequency, an over-all energy- shift and an appropriate choice of the ground-state configuration (to take into account the symmetry breaking mechanism in case of the DWO). With these inputs, excellent results for the energy-spectrum in all the above cases were uniformly achieved for arbitrary coupling strength of anharmonicity  $\lambda$ , as well as, for arbitrary excitation levels, 'n' even in the LO. In addition, this study<sup>2,3</sup> also revealed important insight into the structure of the interacting vacuum, the instability of the perturbative-ground state. Furthermore, consistency with the results of super-symmetry were demonstrated wherever applicable. Similarly, in the domain of quantum field theory, NGAS has been applied to the case of  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory, with the choice of approximating Hamiltonian as that for the free-hermitian-scalar field (but with adjustable 'mass' and 'shifted' field). In this case, the standard results of the Gaussian-approximation<sup>5</sup> were reproduced in the LO including the non-perturbative renormalization of the 'mass' and 'coupling strength'.

In the current investigation, we exploit the freedom of choice of the input approximating Hamiltonian in NGAS to choose the same for the infinite-square-well (ISW)-potential. One important objective in selecting the ISW-potential as the input is the possible pedagogical interest as well as the simplicity of this choice. It is well-known that the ISW-potential constitutes one of the simplest systems admitting exact analytical solution. As such, it is included in any standard course of introductory quantum mechanics and introduced to the learner fairly early in the subject. The establishment of an approximation connecting the AHO/DWO to the ISW-case, may very well be considered as an illustrative example of application of a standard text-book topic to advanced research. Admittedly, however, the ISW- approximation is perhaps the crudest among possible choices. This is due to the fact that the system subjected to the ISW potential propagates freely between the infinite walls, in stark contrast to the actual situation for the AHO/DWO. Nevertheless, we choose it on purpose here, in order to test the robustness and tolerance of NGAS to the crudest possible input approximation. Moreover, as a by-product of this study, it is possible to obtain an

approximation for the celebrated case of the SHO by simply setting the anharmonic-coupling strength to zero in the AHO-Hamiltonian, thus gaining further insight into the accuracy of the approximation.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we recall the main steps for the general formulation of NGAS. In Section-III, we demonstrate the method by applying it to the case of the quartic-AHO choosing the ISW-Hamiltonian as the input. The LO-results for the energy spectrum are obtained and compared with the results from other calculations. The non-perturbative aspects and the analytic structure of energy as a function of the quartic-coupling are also discussed. In Section-IV, analogous results for the quartic-DWO and the SHO are presented. We outline the method of the improved perturbation theory (IPT) based in NGAS in Section-V, compute the next-order correction to the energy and show how the LO-results could be further improved by this correction. Finally, we conclude in Section-VI with a summary and discussion of the results.

#### II. BASIC FEATURES OF THE GENERAL FORMULATION OF NGAS

Consider a quantum system described by the Hamiltonian, $H \equiv H(x, \hat{p}; \lambda)$  which is to be solved to obtain the energy-spectrum and the eigen states. Here we consider a one-dimensional system for simplicity and denote by  $\lambda$ , the *generic* strength of interaction in H. The first step in implementation of NGAS consists of the choice of a *suitable* approximating Hamiltonian, $H_0(x, \hat{p}, \{\alpha_i\})$  which is *exactly solvable* and which, is chosen to depend upon a set of the *free* (adjustable) parameters,  $\{\alpha_i\}$ . We denote the eigen-value equation for  $H_0$  as:

$$H_0|\phi_n(x)\rangle = \mathcal{E}_n|\phi_n(x)\rangle;$$
 (1)

and assume the normalization of the eigen-states expressed in standard notation as:  $\langle \phi_n(x) | \phi_n(x) \rangle = 1$ .

The next step in the implementation of NGAS is the imposition of the *constraint*:

$$\langle \phi_n(x)|H|\phi_n(x)\rangle = \langle \phi_n(x)|H_0|\phi_n(x)\rangle$$
  

$$\equiv \mathcal{E}_n(\{\alpha_i\},\lambda) \tag{2}$$

In what follows, eq.(1) will be referred as the "Constraint of Equal Quantum Average (CEQA)". In the third step, the variational minimization of  $\mathcal{E}_n(\alpha_i, \lambda)$ , with respect to the

free-parameters, as given below

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_n}{\partial \alpha_i} = 0, \tag{3}$$

determines the adjustable parameters to their optimal values:

$$\alpha_i = \beta_i(\lambda, n) \tag{4}$$

Eq.(3) is referred as the 'Condition of Variational Minimization(VMC)' in the following. The leading order(LO) result for the energy eigenvalues are then obtained by substitution of Eq.(4) in Eq.(2) and given by:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(\beta_i, \lambda) \equiv E_n^{LO} \tag{5}$$

In the final step of implementation of NGAS, the LO-results can be systematically improved further by an improved perturbation theory (IPT) as follows<sup>2,3</sup>:

(i) The unperturbed Hamiltonian is chosen to be  $H_0$  as given by Eq.(2) but incorporating Eq.(4); (ii) Then the *natural* choice for the 'perturbation-Hamiltonian' becomes:

$$H' = H - H_0 \tag{6}$$

It may be noted that a direct consequence of CEQA, Eq.(2) is the result:

$$\langle \phi_n(x)|H'|\phi_n(x)\rangle = 0. (7)$$

Other features of IPT are discussed in Section-V. In the next Section we discuss the application of NGAS to the AHO/DWO system in the ISW-approximation.

## III. THE ISW-APPROXIMATION FOR THE QUARTIC-AHO AND THE SHO

### A.The Quartic AHO

The quartic-AHO is defined by the Hamiltonian in the following dimension-less form:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} gx^2 + \lambda x^4 \tag{8}$$

where, g > 0 and  $\lambda > 0$ . The input-Hamiltonian for the ISW-potential is defined by:

$$H_0^{SW} = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + V_{SW} (9)$$

where the potential,  $V_{SW}$  is given by:

$$V_{SW}(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & |x| \ge a \\ h & |x| < a \end{cases}, \tag{10}$$

Note that the two free-parameters which characterize the ISW-potential are the 'width' (=2a) and the 'depth' (=h). Note also that the potential is chosen to be symmetric under space-inversion:  $V_{SW}(-x) = V_{SW}(x)$  in order to preserve the same symmetry of the original Hamiltonian given by Eq.(8). The eigenvalue equation for  $H_0^{SW}$  as given below

$$H_0^{SW} \phi_n^{SW}(x) = \mathcal{E}_n \phi_n^{SW}(x), \tag{11}$$

is easily solved subject to the boundary-condition:

$$\phi_n^{SW}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \ge a, \tag{12}$$

which ensures the physical requirement of absolute confinement of the system between the (infinite) potential barriers. For |x| < a, the normalized eigen-functions vanishing on the boundary of the potential-well are given by:

$$\phi_n^{SW}(x) \equiv \phi_n^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2a}\right); \text{ for } n = 2, 4, 6, 8...;$$
 (13)

and,

$$\phi_n^{SW}(x) \equiv \phi_n^{(+)}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{2a}\right); \text{ for } n = 1, 3, 5, 7....$$
 (14)

In the above equations, the  $(\pm)$  super-scripts correspond to the even(odd)-parity solutions. The energy eigen-values are trivially obtained by solving the Schrödinger equation and given by

$$\mathcal{E}_n = h + \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{8a^2} \tag{15}$$

The next task is the determination of the two adjustable parameters, a' and h'. The width-parameter a' can be determined by the variational-minimization of A'. Here, we use the notation: A' to define the quantum-average /expectation-value of the operator, A' as given below:

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle \equiv \int_{-a}^{+a} dx \; \phi_n^*(x) \; \hat{A} \; \phi_n(x)$$
 (16)

The evaluation of  $\langle H \rangle$  using the above definition,eq.(16) is straight-forward. Noting that:

$$\langle H \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2} p^2 \rangle + \langle \frac{1}{2} g x^2 \rangle + \langle \lambda x^4 \rangle,$$
 (17)

each term in eq.(17) can be computed easily by exploiting parity-invariance, which forbids parity-changing transitions,i.e.  $<\phi_n^+|H|\phi_n^-> = 0 = <\phi_n^-|H|\phi_n^+>$ . The result is given below:

$$\langle H \rangle = \left(\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{8}\right) \left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) + \left(\frac{g}{6}\right) c_n a^2 + \lambda a^4 \left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{4c_n}{n^2 \pi^2}\right) \tag{18}$$

where,

$$c_n \equiv 1 - \left(\frac{6}{n^2 \pi^2}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$$
 (19)

The minimization of the expression for < H > as given above, with respect to  $u \equiv (1/a^2)$  leads to the following equation:

$$u^{3} - P(g, n) u - Q(\lambda, n) = 0, (20)$$

where,

$$P(g,n) \equiv \left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \left(\frac{gc_n}{n^2\pi^2}\right),\tag{21}$$

$$Q(\lambda, n) \equiv \left(\frac{16\lambda}{n^2\pi^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{4c_n}{n^2\pi^2}\right). \tag{22}$$

The real, positive root of eq.(20) is required on physical grounds. This is given by,

$$u = \left\{ \left( \frac{8\lambda}{n^2 \pi^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{5} - \frac{4c_n}{n^2 \pi^2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} + \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right], \tag{23}$$

where

$$\rho \equiv \left(\frac{4}{27}\right) \left(\frac{P^3}{Q^2}\right). \tag{24}$$

Substitution of Eq.(20) in Eq.(18) and following Eq.(2) and Eq.(5), one obtains the following simple expression for the energy eigen-values in the LO:

$$E_n^{LO} = \left(\frac{3n^2\pi^2}{16}\right)u + \left(\frac{gc_n}{12}\right)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \tag{25}$$

where, u is given by eq.(23). The remaining parameter, 'h' can then be determined by substitution of eq.(25) in eq.(15) as follows:

$$h = \left(\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{16}\right) u + \left(\frac{gc_n}{12}\right) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \tag{26}$$

At this point, several observations are in order:

(i) note that the free parameters of the input-Hamiltonian,i.e. 'a' and 'h' acquire functional dependence on ' $\lambda$ ' and 'n' through Eq.(23) and Eq.(26). This in turn, implies that the input-Hamiltonian, $H_0^{SW}$  (see,eq.(9) also becomes a function of  $\lambda$  and n. An obvious consequence is that the eigen-functions of  $H_0^{SW}$  corresponding to different eigen-values become non-orthogonal,i.e.

$$(\phi_m(x), \phi_n(x)) \equiv \int_{-a}^{+a} dx \; \phi_m^*(x) \phi_n(x) \neq 0 \; for \; m \neq n,$$
 (27)

- (ii) It is seen from Eq.(23) and Eq.(25) that the energy in the LO is a non-analytic function of  $\lambda$  at the origin. Hence, the results expressed in these equations are *not* accessible to ordinary perturbation theory as a power-series expansion in  $\lambda$ . In this sense, the LO-results are *non-perturbative*.
- (iii) The cube-root singularity at  $\lambda = 0$  and the branch-point-structure in the complex  $\lambda plane$  as given in Eq.(25) are in conformity with rigorous derivation<sup>6</sup> of the analytic structure of the energy of the quartic-AHO in the coupling strength-plane using sophisticated tools of complex-analysis. It is remarkable that, the correct analytic-structure in  $\lambda$  arises here as a simple consequence of the CEQA and the CVM of NGAS (see, Eq.(2) and Eq.(3)).

It needs to be emphasized here that the observed features noted above under (i)-(iii) also hold in the analogous case of the simple-harmonic approximation<sup>2,3</sup> applied to the same examples of the AHO/DWO. This fact demonstrates that the noted features are the *inherent* properties of the scheme, NGAS, arising *independent* of the choice of the input Hamiltonian.

We show in Table-I below, the LO-results for the energy-levels of the quartic-AHO at sample-values of the oscillator-level-index  $n_s$  and the quartic-coupling  $\lambda$  for g=1. (Note that the ISW-level-index and the oscillator-level-index differ by one unit, i.e.  $n_s=n-1$ , for n=1,2,3,4,...). Also shown in this Table are the energy levels,  $E_n^{(2)}$  which include 2nd-order corrections in IPT (see, Section-V) as well as, earlier results from ref. (7) for comparison. It is seen from this tabulation that the LO-results are uniformly accurate to within  $\sim (2-12)$  % of the standard results over the full range of  $n_s$  and  $\lambda$ . It is further seen that the accuracy of the LO-approximation increases with the increase of the level-index,  $n_s$ .

The energy-eigenfunctions in the LO are those as given by Eq.(13) and Eq.(14) but with the width-parameter, 'a' appearing in these equations now becoming a function of  $\lambda$  and nin accordance with Eq.(23). We next discuss the LO-approximation for the SHO in the next sub-section.

## B. The SHO

The Hamiltonian of the SHO is simply obtained from that of the AHO, Eq.(8) by setting the quartic-coupling  $\lambda$  to zero and given by

$$H^{SHO} = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} gx^2. {28}$$

The exact analytic results for this system are, of course, well known and given in any standard text on quantum mechanics. There is therefore no need for any approximation for this celebrated example. However, these 'exact' results provide a further test of the accuracy and efficacy of the ISW-approximation in NGAS. It is purely in this context that the SHO is discussed here as a particular case ( $\lambda = 0$ ) of the AHO. The substitution:  $\lambda = 0$  in Eqs.(17-20) lead to the following corresponding results for the SHO:

$$u = \sqrt{P},\tag{29}$$

$$E_n^{LO}|_{SHO} = \left(\frac{n^2\pi^2}{8}\right)u + \left(\frac{gc_n}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right). \tag{30}$$

The 'depth' parameter for the case of the SHO is given by,

$$h_{SHO} = \left(\frac{gc_n}{6}\right) \left(\frac{1}{u}\right). \tag{31}$$

The LO-approximation for the energy-levels following from Eqs.(29-30) are tabulated in Table-II for typical values of the level-index,  $n_s$  and for g=1 along with the results after inclusion of the 2nd-order correction in IPT (discussed in Section-V). The accuracy of the approximation for both the cases with respect to the exact analytic result are also shown in the same Table. In this context, it may be interesting to obtain an asymptotic estimate for the accuracy of the LO-result given by Eq.(30) as compared to the 'exact' result. This is given by

$$\lim_{n_s \to \infty} \left( \frac{E_n^{LO}}{E_n^{exact}} \right) = \left( \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \simeq 0.9069, \tag{32}$$

which corresponds to an error of approximately 9.31%. At finite values of  $n_s$  the errors are of the same order of magnitude. However, the inclusion of the 2nd-order correction in IPT significantly improves the accuracy of approximation.

The next Section is devoted to the discussion of the ISW-approximation for the quartic-DWO.

### IV. THE ISW-APPROXIMATION FOR THE QUARTIC-DWO

The Hamiltonian for the quartic-DWO is obtained from that of the AHO, Eq.(8) by a change of sign of the quadratic coupling,  $g \rightarrow -g$  and given by

$$H^{DWO} = \frac{1}{2} p^2 - \frac{1}{2} gx^2 + \lambda x^4; \quad \lambda, g > 0$$
 (33)

Apart from the various applications of the DWO as discussed earlier, there is considerable theoretical/mathematical interest in the system. In particular, the instability at  $\lambda = 0$  (due to the non-existence of a physical ground state) prevents the application of the naïve perturbation theory. In some versions of modified-perturbation theory it has been established<sup>8</sup> that power series-expansion in  $\lambda$  is not even Borel-summable. However, in the context of NGAS, the ISW-approximation is routinely applicable to the DWO-case as well, by merely a change of sign of the quartic-coupling:  $g \rightarrow -g$  in the corresponding formulae for the AHO. In particular, the equation analogous to Eq.(20) for the DWO now becomes:

$$u^{3} + P(g, n) u - Q(\lambda, n) = 0, (34)$$

leading to the physical solution (analogous to Eq.(23)) given by

$$u = \left\{ \left( \frac{8\lambda}{n^2 \pi^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{5} - \frac{4c_n}{n^2 \pi^2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ \left\{ \sqrt{\rho + 1} + 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} - \left\{ \sqrt{\rho + 1} - 1 \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \right], \tag{35}$$

The results for the energy in LO are therefore given by:

$$E_n^{LO}|_{DWO} = \left(\frac{3n^2\pi^2}{16}\right)u - \left(\frac{gc_n}{12}\right)\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), \tag{36}$$

However, several authors<sup>7</sup> have found it convenient to measure the energy of the DWO from the *bottom* of the (symmetric)double-well, which means that a term equal to  $1/16\lambda$  be added to formula, Eq.(36). We denote this quantity as:  $E_n^{\text{ref}} \equiv E_n^{LO} + (1/16\lambda)$ .

In Table-II, we present the results for  $E_n^{\rm ref}$  along with results corrected to include 2nd-order perturbation effects in IPT ( see, Section-V) for a range of values of  $\lambda$  and  $n_s$ . The relative accuracy of these results with respect to those from earlier computation are also given in this Table. It can be seen from this tabulation that the ISW-approximation uniformly reproduces the standard results to within  $\sim (2-10)$  % for the case of LO and to within  $\sim (0.5-7.5)$  % with the inclusion of 2nd-order correction in IPT, which is considered in details in the next section.

## V. THE IMPROVED PERTURBATION THEORY(IPT) IN NGAS

In the context of NGAS, the IPT is the development of the standard Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation series (RSPS) with the choice of the unperturbedHamiltonian as the input-Hamiltonian,  $H_0$  with the free-parameters in the latter being determined through PEQA and CVM (see,Eqs.(1-4)). The perturbation, H' is then defined by Eq.(6). The following properties of the IPT follow from the above choice of the Hamiltonian-splitting which are notable as being distinct from those of the conventional perturbation theory:

(i) Using Eq.(7) and Eq.(16) it is seen that the perturbation-correction remains always subdominant (by construction), compared to the unperturbed part in the following averagesense:

$$|\langle H_0 \rangle| >> |\langle H' \rangle| \equiv 0.$$
 (37)

This property may be contrasted with the corresponding situation in the *conventional* RSPS, e.g. for the case of the AHO where the 'perturbation' ultimately prevails over the 'unperturbed' component of the Hamiltonian, no matter however small the quartic-coupling is.

- (ii) Secondly, the 1st-order perturbation-correction identically vanishes due to Eq.(7),
- (iii) The IPT is not restricted to small values of the coupling strength  $\lambda$  since Eq.(37) holds for arbitrary values of the latter.
- (iv) There is no small-parameter naturally associated with the perturbation-however, the latter is small in the average sense as defined by Eq.(37). Therefore, to keep track of order-by-order corrections in IPT, one can adopt the standard trick of introducing an arbitrary, real but finite parameter,  $\eta$  through the substitution:  $H' \to \eta H'$  and set this parameter to unity after the computation.
- (v)Property (iv) further implies the "universality" of application of IPT to arbitrary interaction since the perturbation,H' does not involve any parameter of the original Hamiltonian as the expansion-parameter for the RSPS.

Because of the property (ii) the first non-trivial correction for the  $n^{th}$  energy level starts at the 2nd-order and given by the standard expression:

$$\Delta E_n^{(2)} = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n|H'|m\rangle\langle m|H'|n\rangle}{E_n^{(0)} - E_m^{(0)}}$$
 (38)

In Eq.(38), we have used the notation:

$$\langle n|H'|m\rangle \equiv \int_{-a(n,\lambda)}^{+a(n,\lambda)} dx \; \phi_n^*(x) \; H'(n,\lambda) \; \phi_m(x)$$
 (39)

where H' is defined by Eq.(6) and we have displayed the  $(n, \lambda)$ -dependence of the relevant quantities. As an example, the perturbation-part of the Hamiltonian for the case of the quartic-AHO is given by

$$H'|_{AHO} = \frac{1}{2} gx^2 + \lambda x^4 - h(n, \lambda),$$
 (40)

where  $h(n, \lambda)$  is given by Eq.(26). It may be noted that the RSPS can be derived without invoking the properties of the eigen-functions of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. Therefore, the non-orthogonality properties as expressed in Eq.(27) do not affect the standard formule of the RSPS.

As has been discussed earlier and noted in the Tables-(I-III), the inclusion of the 2nd-order correction to the energy levels defined as:  $E_n^{(2)} \equiv E_n^{LO} + \Delta E_n^{(2)}$  significantly improves the accuracy of the approximation in all the cases considered here, viz the AHO, DWO and the SHO. (Computation of still higher-order corrections to energy-levels falls beyond the scope of the present work but can be carried out by standard techniques in a straight-forward manner).

Finally, we summarize and discuss the main results of the present work in the next section.

#### VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have presented a very simple yet accurate approximation in the framework of the NGAS for the quartic anharmonic and the double-well oscillators using the elementary system of the infinite-square-well(ISW) as the approximating Hamiltonian. In the computation of the energy-spectrum, uniform accuracy is achieved to within a few percent of the exact numerical results even in the leading-order, for arbitrary values of the quartic-coupling,  $\lambda$  and level index,  $n_s$  for all the above systems. This situation may be contrasted with the results obtained using text-book methods of approximations, e.g. the naive perturbation method, the variational calculations, the WKB-method etc. Besides, the formalism naturally reproduces the correct analytic-structure of the energy in the complex- $\lambda$  plane otherwise established through rigorous mathematical analysis. To systematically improve

the leading-order results further, an improved perturbation theory is formulated in NGAS which is *not* restricted to small-coupling-expansion and shown to yield further significant improvement in accuracy with the inclusion of the 2nd-order correction only. The results and the method are directly relevant in a pedagogic-context owing to the extreme simplicity of the scheme (NGAS) as well as, the input ISW-approximation and further because it uses tools and techniques well within the grasp of a student-learner of a standard course of elementary quantum theory. The method can be extended in a straight forward manner to deal with systems in higher space-dimensions and to cases of higher anharmonicity.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This investigation was carried out at the National Institute of Science Education and Research (NISER), Bhubaneswar, India while one of the authors (BPM) served on the faculty as a visiting Professor. He gratefully acknowledges the facilities for research extended to him at the Institute.

<sup>\*</sup> bimal58.mahapatra@gmail.com; permanent address: 1065/3486,Jagmohan Nagar, Bhubaneswar 751030,India

<sup>†</sup> noubeharypradhan1963@gmail.com

See,e.g. H.Kleinert, Path-Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics and Financial markets (World Scientific, 2004); M.Dineykhan et al. The Oscillator Representation in Quantum Physics- Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, 1995)

N.Santi, A Novel, Non-perturbative, Self-consistent Approximation Method in Quantum Theory (Ph.D.Thesis, Sambalpur University) arXiv:quant-ph/08062048

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> B.P.Mahapatra, N.Santi and N.B.Pradhan, "A New General approximation Scheme (NGAS) in Quantum Theory: Application to the Anharmonic- and Double Well Oscillators", Int.J.Mod.Phys. A20, 2687(2005); arXiv: quant-ph/0406036.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> N.Santi,ref.(2); B. P. Mahapatra, N.Santi and N.B.Pradhan, "Vacuum Structure, Stability and Non-triviality of  $\lambda \phi^4$  Theory", Ind. J.Pure and Appld. Phys. **39**,545(2001); "Generalized Hartree Method: A Novel Non-perturbative Approximation Scheme for Interacting Quantum Systems",

- arXiv: quant-phy/0112108.
- <sup>5</sup> P.M.Stevenson, "Gaussian Effective Potential:Quantum Mechanics", Phys.Rev. **D30**,1712 (1984) and "Gaussian Effective Potential-II:  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory", Phys.Rev.**D32**,1389 (1985).
- <sup>6</sup> B.Simon, "Coupling Constant Analyticity for the Anharmonic Oscillator", Ann.Phys.(N.Y)58,76(1970).
- W.E.Caswell, "Accurate Energy Levels for the Anharmonic Oscillators and a Summable Series for the Double-Well Oscillators in Perturbation Theory", Ann.Phys.(N.Y)123,153 (1979); C.S.Hsue and J.L.Chern, "Two-Step Approach to One-Dimensional Anharmonic Oscillators", Phys.Rev.D29,643 (1984);I.D.Feranchuk et al. "Operator Method in the Problem of the Quantum Anharmonic Oscillator", Ann.Phys.(N.Y)238,370(1995).
- <sup>8</sup> J.Zinn-Justin and U.D.Jentschura, "Multi Instantons and Exact Results-I: Conjectures, WKB-Expansions and Instanton Interactions", Ann.Phys.(N.Y)313,197(2004) and references cited therein.
- <sup>9</sup> See,e.g.S. B. Singh and C. A Singh, "Extension of the Feynman-Hellman Theorem and Applications", Am.J.Phys., 57, 894 (1989) and references cited therein.

TABLE I. Results in the Leading order(LO) and with inclusion of  $2^{nd}$  order perturbation-correction in IPT for the energy-levels of the quartic-AHO computed for sample values of  $\lambda$ ,  $n_s$  and for g=1 (see,Eq.(8)of text). Also shown, are corresponding results from some earlier computations (ref.7) denoted as Exact under column-5. The entries in the last column and in the  $3^{rd}$  column correspond to relative-% error with respect to the 'Exact' results.

$n_s$	λ	$E_n^{LO}$	Error(LO)	$E_n^{(2)}$	Exact	Error
			(%)	ref.(8)		(%)
0	0.1	0.6312	12.886	0.5748	0.5591	2.793
	1.0	0.9033	12.386	0.8290	0.8038	3.136
	10.0	1.6902	12.311	1.5546	1.5049	3.296
	100.0	3.5168	12.310	3.2359	3.1314	3.341
1	0.1	1.9636	10.972	1.8058	1.7695	2.055
	1.0	3.0366	10.900	2.7999	2.7379	2.267
	10.0	5.9051	10.911	5.4479	5.3216	2.374
	100.0	12.4162	10.965	11.4561	11.1872	2.403
2	0.1	3.3470	6.640	3.0943	3.1386	1.412
	1.0	5.5818	7.771	5.1796	5.1793	0.006
	10.0	11.9046	8.151	10.3893	10.3471	0.408
	100.0	23.7124	8.242	22.0163	21.9069	0.499
4	0.1	6.3332	1.812	5.8162	6.2203	6.496
	1.0	11.2748	2.634	10.3198	10.9636	5.872
	10.0	23.1124	2.838	21.1642	22.4088	5.556
	100.0	49.2384	3.139	45.0952	47.7072	5.475
10	0.1	16.8320	2.997	18.5203	17.3519	6.733
	1.0	32.1164	2.479	35.3936	32.9326	7.471
	10.0	67.1872	2.350	73.8641	68.8036	7.355
	100.0	143.8104	2.323	157.9952	147.2267	7.312

TABLE II. Results in the Leading order(LO) and with inclusion of  $2^{nd}$  order perturbation-correction in IPT for the energy-levels of the Simple Harmonic Oscillator computed for sample values of  $n_s$  and for g=1,(See,Eq.(28)of text). The entries in the 3rd- and the last column represent estimation of errors for the results in the LO and with inclusion of 2nd-order correction, as compared to the 'exact' results in the 5th-column.

$n_s$	$E_n^{LO}$	Error-LO(%)	$E_n^{(2)}$	Exact	Error(%)
0	0.5678	13.56	0.5091	0.5	1.808
1	1.6703	11.35	1.5239	1.5	1.578
2	2.6272	5.05	2.3888	2.5	4.462
5	5.3952	1.90	5.6456	5.5	2.609
10	9.9508	5.23	10.3945	10.5	1.155
15	14.493	6.49	15.8155	15.5	1.260

TABLE III. Results in the Leading order(LO) and with inclusion of  $2^{nd}$  order perturbation-correction in IPT for the energy-levels of the quartic-DWO computed for sample values of  $\lambda$  and  $n_s$  and for g=1 (see, Eq.(33) of text). Also shown, are corresponding results from ref.7 denoted as Exact under column-6. The entries in the last column correspond to (%)error of results under column-5 with respect to those under column-6. The entries under column-3 represent relative accuracy of the LO-results as compared with the Exact results shown under column-6.

$n_s$	λ	$E_n^{\ ref}$	Error(LO)(%)	$E_n^{(2)}$	Exact ( ref.8)	Error(%)
0	0.1	0.5049	7.220	0.4726	0.4709	0.350
	1.0	0.6422	11.242	0.5967	0.5773	3.358
	10.0	1.5468	12.266	1.4246	1.3778	3.398
	100.0	3.4480	12.313	3.1732	3.0701	3.359
1	0.1	0.8252	7.476	0.7857	0.7678	2.333
	1.0	2.3101	10.898	2.1366	2.0830	2.573
	10.0	5.5457	11.009	5.1180	4.9957	2.449
	100.0	12.2471	10.993	11.3007	11.0337	2.419
2	0.1	1.7393	6.392	1.6632	1.6348	1.740
	1.0	4.6254	8.741	4.2983	4.2536	1.052
	10.0	10.7242	8.378	9.9565	9.8947	0.625
	100.0	23.4937	8.292	21.8101	21.6947	0.532
4	0.1	3.8678	4.994	3.6471	3.6836	0.991
	1.0	9.9139	3.659	9.0975	9.5641	4.878
	10.0	22.4582	3.322	20.5659	21.7365	5.385
	100.0	48.9325	3.248	44.7980	47.3929	5.475
10	0.1	12.2697	1.292	12.840	12.4303	3.731
	1.0	29.7738	2.139	32.5902	30.4248	7.117
	10.0	66.0787	2.327	72.6644	67.6167	7.465
	100.0	143.2937	2.361	157.7026	146.6738	7.519