

Hardy inequality and the construction of infinitesimal operators with non-basis family of eigenvectors

Grigory M. Sklyar^{a,b,*}, Vitalii Marchenko^c

^a*Institute of Mathematics, University of Szczecin, Wielkopolska 15, 70451, Szczecin, Poland*

^b*V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Svobody Sq. 4,
61077, Kharkiv, Ukraine*

^c*Mathematical Division, Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of NASU, Prospekt Nauky
47, 61103, Kharkiv, Ukraine*

Abstract

Some special Hilbert spaces are introduced to present the class of infinitesimal operators with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors. The discrete Hardy inequality plays an important role in the proposed approach. The construction complement the results of G.Q. Xu et al. [1] (2005) and H. Zwart [2] (2010) on the Riesz basis property of eigenvectors (eigenspaces) of infinitesimal operators. Our results are extended to the case of operators on some Banach spaces.

Keywords: Hardy inequality, infinitesimal operator, C_0 -group, Riesz basis, eigenvectors, symmetric basis, spectrum

2010 MSC: 47D06, 34G10, 46B45, 46B15

1. Introduction

The Hardy inequality (in both its discrete and continuous forms) was discovered at the beginning of XX century and has a lot of applications in various fields of mathematics such as analysis, differential equations, mathematical physics, differential geometry and others [3, 4, 5]. The discrete form of it states [6] that if $p > 1$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: sklar@univ.szczecin.pl (Grigory M. Sklyar), v.marchenko@ilt.kharkov.ua (Vitalii Marchenko)

numbers, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^p \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p. \quad (1)$$

We notice that G.H. Hardy came to the discovery of inequality (1) when he tried to obtain an elementary proof of (the weak form of) the Hilbert inequality [7, 3]. The latter inequality was discovered when D. Hilbert studied the solutions to a certain integral equations, see [8], and the weak form of it asserts that if $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2$ and $a_n \geq 0, b_n \geq 0$, then the double series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n}$ converges. We must also stress an essential contribution of such mathematicians as M. Riesz, E. Landau and I. Schur to the development of (1). For more details see, e.g., [3].

In the following we denote by H a separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The central concept of this paper is the concept of C_0 -group of linear bounded operators. If A is an infinitesimal generator of the C_0 -group on H , then the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ x(0) = x_0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

on H is well-posed in the sense of J. van Neerven (for each $x_0 \in D(A)$ the problem (2) has a unique classical solution) and $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, see [9]. A remarkable result in the spectral theory of C_0 -semigroups in Hilbert spaces was obtained in [1, 2], where the Riesz basis property for eigenvectors of certain class of generators of C_0 -groups was established. We give here a formulation of the main result of these works in some particular case.

Theorem 1 ([2]). *Let A be the generator of the C_0 -group on H with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (counting with multiplicity) and the corresponding (normalized) eigenvectors $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. If the following two conditions hold,*

1. $\overline{\text{Lin}}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = H$;
2. *The point spectrum has a uniform gap, i.e.,*

$$\inf_{n \neq m} |\lambda_n - \lambda_m| > 0, \quad (3)$$

then $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ forms a Riesz basis of H .

We note that Theorem 1 follows from the main result of [1], but the approach used by H. Zwart in [2] essentially differs from the one proposed by G.Q. Xu and S.P. Yung in [1]. The

proof of Theorem 1 in [2] is based on Carleson's interpolation theorem [10] and on the fact that each generator of a C_0 -group on H has a bounded \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus on a strip [11, 12, 13].

Let the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of the generator A of the C_0 -group on H can be grouped into K sets $\{\lambda_{n,1}\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{\lambda_{n,2}\}_{n=1}^\infty, \dots, \{\lambda_{n,K}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\inf_{n \neq m} |\lambda_{n,k} - \lambda_{m,k}| > 0, k = 1, \dots, K$, and the span of the generalized eigenvectors of A is dense. Then, as it is shown in [2], there exists a sequence of spectral projections $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of A such that $\{P_n H\}_{n=1}^\infty$ forms a Riesz basis of subspaces in H with $\max_n \dim P_n H \leq K$.

The main goal of our work is to show that the assumption (3) in Theorem 1 is essential, i.e. if we omit this assumption or even weaken it, the statement of Theorem 1 becomes false. The case when $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ can be decomposed into K sets, with every set satisfying (3), was considered in [2]. So we consider the case when $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not satisfy (3) and, moreover, cannot be decomposed into K sets, with every set satisfying (3). We present the construction of the generator A of the C_0 -group with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors (Theorem 6). It must be emphasized that the application of the discrete Hardy inequality (1) (for $p = 2$) is crucial in our approach.

Furthermore, we give some generalizations of our result and present a class of infinitesimal operators with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors (Theorem 10). For this purpose we consider special classes of real sequences $\mathcal{S}_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, present classes of Hilbert spaces $H_k(\{e_n\}), k \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on H and on a chosen Riesz basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of H , and prove that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ do not form a Schauder basis of $H_k(\{e_n\}), k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further on, we propose certain development of our approach. Namely, we use the same idea for the construction of infinitesimal operators, acting in certain Banach spaces, with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors. This construction is essentially based on Hardy inequality (1) for $p > 1$. In Theorem 15 we present a class of infinitesimal operators on Banach spaces with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors. To this end we use classes of real sequences $\mathcal{S}_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, introduce certain classes of Banach sequence spaces $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}), p \geq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on given ℓ_p space and on arbitrary chosen symmetric basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of ℓ_p , and show that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ do not form a Schauder basis of $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$. The concept of symmetric basis was first introduced and studied by I. Singer [14] in connection with S. Banach's hyperplane problem. For various properties of symmetric bases see, e.g., [15, 16].

2. Auxiliary constructions and preliminary results

2.1. Spaces $H_k(\{e_n\})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2. Let E be a Banach space with basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then the operator T defined on E by $Te_n = e_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, will be called the right shift operator associated with the basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

Suppose that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an arbitrary Riesz basis of H and T is the right shift operator associated with $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. We introduce the following spaces,

$$H_k^0(\{e_n\}) = \left\{ x \in H : \|x\|_k = \left\| (I - T)^k x \right\| \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We notice that $0 \in \sigma((I - T)^k)$ for any k . Hence, $H_k^0(\{e_n\})$ is a normed linear space, but not complete. By $H_k(\{e_n\})$ we denote the completion of $H_k^0(\{e_n\})$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_k$. Using the characteristic property of Riesz basis we obtain

$$H = \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2 \right\}.$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_k &= \left\| (I - T)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n (e_n - C_k^1 e_{n+1} + \cdots + (-1)^{k-1} C_k^{k-1} e_{n+k-1} + (-1)^k e_{n+k}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{k+1} C_k^{k-1} c_{n-k+1} + (-1)^k c_{n-k}) e_n \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

where for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $c_{1-j} = 0$. The last norm is finite if and only if the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}|^2 < \infty$$

holds. Consequently, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space $H_k(\{e_n\})$ consists of formal series $x =$

(f) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n$ with the property

$$\{c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2.$$

It turns out that $H_k(\{e_n\})$ is a Hilbert space with a norm

$$\|x\|_k = \left\| \left(\text{f} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \right\|_k = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}) e_n \right\|, \quad (4)$$

$x \in H_k(\{e_n\})$, and a scalar product

$$\langle x, y \rangle_k = \left\langle (I - T)^k x, (I - T)^k y \right\rangle, \quad x, y \in H_k(\{e_n\}).$$

For example, given any $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have $(\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\alpha e_n \in H_1(\{e_n\})$. Indeed, for $\alpha = 0$ this fact is obvious. For $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ we have that

$$n^\alpha - (n-1)^\alpha \sim c_\alpha n^{\alpha-1}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\{n^\alpha - (n-1)^\alpha\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2$.

Concerning the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$ we can say more. If $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of H , $x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n$, $y = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$, then

$$\langle x, y \rangle_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}) (\bar{d}_n - C_k^1 \bar{d}_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k \bar{d}_{n-k}).$$

We note that, in particular case of $H = \ell_2$ and when $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the canonical basis of ℓ_2 , $H_k(\{e_n\}) = \ell_2(\Delta^k)$. A sequence space $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$ is the space consisting of all sequences whose k^{th} order differences are 2-absolutely summable, with norm $\|x\|_{\ell_2(\Delta^k)} = \|\Delta^k x\|_{\ell_2}$, where Δ is a difference operator, i.e.

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$

see [17, 18] and earlier paper [19], where only the case $k = 1$ is considered. In other words, $\ell_2(\Delta^k) = \{x = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : \Delta^k x \in \ell_2\}$. Hence,

$$H_k(\{e_n\}) = \left\{ x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta^k) \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5)$$

Thus, our class of spaces $H_k(\{e_n\})$ is analogous to $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$, studied in [17, 19, 18]. Moreover, we note that $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$ naturally arises as a completion of $(\ell_2)_k^0(\{e_n\})$, where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a canonical basis of ℓ_2 . The following proposition includes some properties of the space $H_k(\{e_n\})$.

Proposition 3. *The space $H_k(\{e_n\})$ has the following properties.*

1. $\overline{\text{Lin}}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty = H_k(\{e_n\})$;
2. $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not form a basis of $H_k(\{e_n\})$;
3. $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a unique biorthogonal system

$$\left\{ \chi_n = (I - T)^{-k} (I - T^*)^{-k} e_n^* \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

in $H_k(\{e_n\})$, where $\langle e_n, e_m^* \rangle = \delta_n^m$;

4. $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is uniformly minimal sequence in $H_k(\{e_n\})$ while the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is minimal but not uniformly minimal in $H_k(\{e_n\})$;
5. $H \subset H_1(\{e_n\}) \subset H_2(\{e_n\}) \subset H_3(\{e_n\}) \subset \dots$;
6. $H_k(\{e_n\})$ is a separable Hilbert space, isomorphic to ℓ_2 ;
7. $H_k(\{e_n\})$ has an orthonormal basis;
8. $L = \left\{ x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\}) : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2(\Delta^k) \cap c_0 \right\}$, where c_0 is the space of sequences $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, is not a subspace of $H_k(\{e_n\})$.

PROOF. 1. Follows from the fact that only zero is orthogonal to all the e_n 's with respect to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k$.

2. Is a consequence of the fact that $(\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$ can not be represented by the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n e_n$, convergent in $H_k(\{e_n\})$, since $\inf_n \|e_n\|_k > 0$.

3. Clearly, $\langle e_n, \chi_j \rangle_k = \left\langle (I - T)^k e_n, (I - T)^k (I - T)^{-k} (I - T^*)^{-k} e_j^* \right\rangle = \delta_n^j$, and the uniqueness of $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ follows from 1.

4. Is true since $\sup_n \|e_n\|_k < \infty$ while $\sup_n \|\chi_n\|_k = \infty$ [20].

5. Follows from the chain of inclusions $\ell_2 \subset \ell_2(\Delta) \subset \ell_2(\Delta^2) \subset \ell_2(\Delta^3) \subset \dots$ [18].

6. We fix $x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$ and denote $(\Delta^k c)_n = c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}$.

Combining (4) with the property of Riesz basis in H , we obtain the following inequality,

$$m \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(\Delta^k c)_n|^2 \leq \|x\|_k^2 \leq M \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(\Delta^k c)_n|^2,$$

which generates an isomorphism between $H_k(\{e_n\})$ and $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$. And, since $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$ is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ_2 [18], $H_k(\{e_n\})$ is isomorphic to ℓ_2 . Hence, $H_k(\{e_n\})$ is a separable space.

7. Is a consequence of well-known fact that every separable Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.

8. The proof is based on the fact that $\ell_2(\Delta^k) \cap c_0$ is not closed in $\ell_2(\Delta^k)$. \square

For example, if $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis in H , then it is clear that the sequence

$$\left\{ (I - T)^{-k} e_n \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

forms an orthonormal basis of $H_k(\{e_n\})$. From the other hand, it is interesting to construct a (bounded) non-Riesz basis of $H_k(\{e_n\})$. We recall that the first example of bounded non-Riesz basis appeared only in 1948 and it was given by K.I. Babenko in [21]. He showed that for every $\alpha \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the system of functions $\{|t|^\alpha e^{int}\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ forms a bounded non-Riesz basis in $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$. This example was later generalized by V.F. Gaposhkin [22] and operators generating non-Riesz bases in H were studied by A.M. Olevskii in [23].

2.2. Spaces $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$, $p \geq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

Similarly to the above we introduce the space $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$ as a completion of the space

$$\ell_{p,k}^0(\{e_n\}) = \left\{ x \in \ell_p : \|x\|_k = \left\| (I - T)^k x \right\| \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, and T is the right shift operator associated with $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. It is known that spaces ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, have a unique, up to equivalence, symmetric basis [15] and it is equivalent to the canonical one. Thus we arrive at the following assertion.

Proposition 4. *Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$. Then there exist constants $M \geq m > 0$ such that for each $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n e_n \in \ell_p$,*

$$m \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p \leq \|x\|^p \leq M \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^p.$$

Proposition 4 says that the class of Riesz bases in ℓ_2 coincides with the class of symmetric bases. Using Proposition 4 we obtain that

$$\ell_p = \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n e_n : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p \right\}.$$

Consequently, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) = \left\{ x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n : \{c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p \right\}$$

is a Banach sequence space with norm

$$\|x\|_k = \left\| (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \right\|_k = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - C_k^1 c_{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^k c_{n-k}) e_n \right\|, \quad x \in \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}).$$

Except for the case $p = 2$, the space $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is not an inner product space and, hence, not a Hilbert space. On the other hand, $\ell_{2,k}(\{e_n\})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Hilbert space since $\ell_{2,k}(\{e_n\}) = H_k(\{e_n\})$, where $H = \ell_2$ and $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis of ℓ_2 . We also note that, if $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denotes the canonical basis of ℓ_p , then $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) = \ell_p(\Delta^k)$, where $\ell_p(\Delta^k)$ is the space consisting of all sequences whose k^{th} order differences are p -absolutely summable, with norm $\|x\|_{\ell_p(\Delta^k)} = \|\Delta^k x\|_{\ell_p}$, see [17, 18, 19] for details. In other words, $\ell_p(\Delta^k) = \{x = \{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : \Delta^k x \in \ell_p\}$. It follows that

$$\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) = \left\{ x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(\Delta^k) \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the following proposition we collect some properties of the space $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$.

Proposition 5. *Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are true.*

1. If $p > 1$, then $\overline{\text{Lin}}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$;
2. $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ does not form a basis of $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$;
3. If $p > 1$, then $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a unique biorthogonal system

$$\left\{ \chi_n = (I - T)^{-k} (I - T^*)^{-k} e_n^* \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

in $(\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}))^*$, where $\{e_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is biorthogonal to $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ basis of ℓ_q , where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$;

4. If $p > 1$, then $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly minimal sequence in $(\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}))^*$ while the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is minimal but not uniformly minimal in $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$;
5. $\ell_p \subset \ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\}) \subset \ell_{p,2}(\{e_n\}) \subset \ell_{p,3}(\{e_n\}) \subset \dots$;
6. $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$ is a separable Banach sequence space, isomorphic to ℓ_p ;
7. $L = \left\{ x = (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) : \{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p(\Delta^k) \cap c_0 \right\}$ is not a subspace of $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$.

The proof of Proposition 5 is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.

3. The construction of infinitesimal operators with non-basis family of eigenvectors on Hilbert spaces

3.1. Infinitesimal operators on $H_1(\{e_n\})$

In the following, by $[X]$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space X . We define the operator $A : H_1(\{e_n\}) \supset D(A) \mapsto H_1(\{e_n\})$ by formula

$$Ax = A(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n c_n e_n, \quad (6)$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an unbounded sequence on the complex plane, and domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_1(\{e_n\}) : \{\lambda_n c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

An example of the generator of unbounded C_0 -group with eigenvectors that do not form a basis is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *The operator A defined by (6) with domain (7), where $\lambda_n = i \ln n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, generates the C_0 -group $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $H_1(\{e_n\})$, which acts for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula*

$$e^{At}x = e^{At}(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} c_n e_n. \quad (8)$$

PROOF. Recall that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis of H , hence there exist constants $M \geq m > 0$ such that for every $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \phi_n \in H$ we have

$$m\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \leq M\|x\|^2. \quad (9)$$

Also we recall that the norm of element $x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_1(\{e_n\})$ is computed as follows,

$$\|x\|_1 = \left\| (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \right\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - c_{n-1}) e_n \right\|, \quad (10)$$

where $c_0 = 0$. To prove that $(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} c_n e_n \in H_1(\{e_n\})$ let us consider $x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in$

$H_1(\{e_n\})$ and fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, by (10) we will have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} c_n e_n \right\|_1 = \left\| c_1 e_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(e^{it \ln n} c_n - e^{it \ln(n-1)} c_{n-1} \right) e_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| c_1 e_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(e^{it \ln n} c_n - e^{it \ln n} c_{n-1} + e^{it \ln n} c_{n-1} - e^{it \ln(n-1)} c_{n-1} \right) e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} (c_n - c_{n-1}) e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)}) c_{n-1} e_n \right\| = \Xi_1 + \Xi_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

The first term is estimated immediately by using (9),

$$\Xi_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n-1}|^2 \leq \frac{M}{m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - c_{n-1}) e_n \right\|^2 = \frac{M}{m} \|x\|_1^2. \quad (11)$$

Further, since $e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)} = e^{it \ln n} \left(1 - e^{it \ln(1-\frac{1}{n})} \right)$ is valid for all $n \geq 2$, then, by using (9) we will have

$$\Xi_2^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \left(1 - e^{it \ln(1-\frac{1}{n})} \right) c_{n-1} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 \left| 1 - e^{it \ln(1-\frac{1}{n})} \right|^2}{t^2} \frac{t^2}{n^2} |c_{n-1}|^2.$$

We introduce the notation $\xi_n(t) = \frac{n^2 \left| 1 - e^{it \ln(1-\frac{1}{n})} \right|^2}{t^2}$. Note that, for $r \geq 2$ we have $r \left| \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) \right| \leq 2$, and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the following inequalities are true,

$$\sin^2 s \leq s^2, \quad (1 - \cos s)^2 \leq s^2.$$

Consequently, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n(t) &= \frac{n^2}{t^2} \left(\left(1 - \cos \left(t \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \right)^2 + \sin^2 \left(t \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{n^2}{t^2} \left(2 \left(t \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^2 \right) = 2n^2 \left(\ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^2 \leq 8. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Xi_2^2(t) \leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{n-1}|^2}{n^2}.$$

Next, to estimate $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{n-1}|^2}{n^2}$ by $C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n-1}|^2$ we remark that

$$c_{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (c_j - c_{j-1}), \quad n \geq 2,$$

and at the beginning we estimate $\Xi_2^2(t)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_2^2(t) &\leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{n-1}|^2}{n^2} \leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (c_j - c_{j-1}) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |c_j - c_{j-1}| \right)^2 \leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j - c_{j-1}| \right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

The key step in the proof is the application here of the discrete Hardy inequality (1) for $p = 2$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \right)^2 \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2. \quad (12)$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_2^2(t) &\leq \frac{8t^2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j - c_{j-1}| \right)^2 \leq \frac{32t^2}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n - c_{n-1}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{32Mt^2}{m} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_n - c_{n-1}) e_n \right\|_1^2 = \frac{32Mt^2}{m} \|x\|_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with (11) we arrive at the following,

$$\left\| \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} c_n e_n \right\|_1^2 \leq (\Xi_1 + \Xi_2(t))^2 \leq 2\Xi_1^2 + 2\Xi_2^2(t) \leq \frac{2M}{m} (1 + 32t^2) \|x\|_1^2.$$

The last estimate shows that we can define a one-parameter family of operators $e^{At} \in [H_1(\{e_n\})]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by the formula

$$e^{At}x = e^{At} \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} c_n e_n,$$

and that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the following estimate,

$$\|e^{At}\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2M}{m}} \sqrt{1 + 32t^2}. \quad (13)$$

The next step we prove that one-parameter family of operators $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is strongly continuous at zero. For this purpose we observe that for each $x = \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_1(\{e_n\})$ we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e^{At}x - x\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((e^{it \ln n} - 1)c_n - (e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1)c_{n-1})e_n \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} ((e^{it \ln n} - 1)(c_n - c_{n-1})e_n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)})c_{n-1}e_n \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{it \ln n} - 1|^2 |c_n - c_{n-1}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)})c_{n-1}e_n \right\| \\
&= \Upsilon(t) + \Xi_2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the operator $G : H \supset D(G) \mapsto H$, defined as

$$Gy = G \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i \ln n \cdot a_n e_n, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H,$$

with domain $D(G) = \left\{ y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H : \{a_n \ln n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2 \right\}$. It is not hard to show that G generates C_0 -group $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on H , which acts by the formula

$$T(t)y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{it \ln n} a_n e_n, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H,$$

see also [24]. Consequently,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} - i \ln n \right|^2 |a_n|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (14)$$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{it \ln n} - 1|^2 |a_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$, when $t \rightarrow 0$, hence $\Upsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$, when $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $\Xi_2(t) \rightarrow 0$, when $t \rightarrow 0$, then the property of strong continuity at zero for the family $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is proved. Note that $e^{A \cdot 0} = I$ and $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ obviously satisfy the group property. Thus $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a C_0 -group on $H_1(\{e_n\})$.

Let us check that A is an infinitesimal generator of C_0 -group $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ constructed above. To prove this fact we have to verify that $D(A) = E$, where $E = \left\{ x \in H_1(\{e_n\}) : \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t} \right\}$, and that for each $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t}. \quad (15)$$

Let $x = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in D(A)$. Then, by (7) we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |c_n \ln n - c_{n-1} \ln(n-1)|^2 < \infty.$$

Denote $\gamma_n = c_n \ln n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2(\Delta)$ and $c_n = \frac{\gamma_n}{\ln n}$, $n \geq 2$. Consequently, for all $n \geq 3$ we will have

$$(\ln n + \ln(n-1)) |c_{n-1}| = \frac{|\gamma_{n-1}| \ln n}{\ln(n-1)} + \frac{|\gamma_{n-1}| \ln(n-1)}{\ln(n-1)} \leq 3 |\gamma_{n-1}|. \quad (16)$$

The next step we prove that (15) is true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t} - Ax \right\|_1^2 = \left\| (\text{f}) \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} - i \ln n \right) c_n e_n \right\|_1^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left| \left(\frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} - i \ln n \right) c_n - \left(\frac{e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1}{t} - i \ln(n-1) \right) c_{n-1} \right|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} - i \ln n \right|^2 |c_n - c_{n-1}|^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)}}{t} - (i \ln n - i \ln(n-1)) \right|^2 |c_{n-1}|^2 = \Xi_3(t) + \frac{2\Theta(t)}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that, by using (14), we have that $\Xi_3(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $\Theta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

To this end we observe that for all $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - e^{it \ln(n-1)}}{t} - i \ln n + i \ln(n-1) \right|^2 = \frac{(\cos(t \ln n) - \cos(t \ln(n-1)))^2}{t^2} \\ & + \left(\frac{\sin(t \ln n) - \sin(t \ln(n-1))}{t} - \ln n + \ln(n-1) \right)^2 = \tilde{\theta}_n(t) + \tilde{\tilde{\theta}}_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Further we estimate functions $\tilde{\theta}_n(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 2$ in a following manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta}_n(t) &= \frac{4}{t^2} \left(\sin \frac{t(\ln n + \ln(n-1))}{2} \right)^2 \left(\sin \frac{t(\ln n - \ln(n-1))}{2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2^{-2} (\ln n + \ln(n-1))^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 t^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem we conclude that functions $\tilde{\tilde{\theta}}_n(t)$ are estimated for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 2$ in a following manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{\theta}}_n(t) &= \left(\frac{(\sin(t \ln n) - t \ln n) - (\sin(t \ln(n-1)) - t \ln(n-1))}{t} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(\cos(\theta t \ln n + (1-\theta)t \ln(n-1)) - 1)^2 (t \ln n - t \ln(n-1))^2}{t^2} \\ &\leq (\theta t \ln n + (1-\theta)t \ln(n-1))^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 \\ &\leq (\ln n + \ln(n-1))^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 t^2, \quad \text{where } \theta \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

These estimates, by using (16), taking into account that $n^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 \leq 4$ for all $n \geq 2$, lead us to the following,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(t) &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{\theta}_n(t) + \tilde{\bar{\theta}}_n(t) \right) |c_{n-1}|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{5t^2}{4} \left((\ln 2)^4 |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} (\ln n + \ln(n-1))^2 |c_{n-1}|^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 \right) \\
&\leq \frac{45t^2}{4} \left((\ln 2)^4 |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^2 (\ln n - \ln(n-1))^2 \frac{|\gamma_{n-1}|^2}{n^2} \right) \\
&\leq 45t^2 \left((\ln 2)^4 |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{|\gamma_{n-1}|^2}{n^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using arguments which are similar to those were used while estimating $\Xi_2^2(t)$ we conclude that

$$\Theta(t) \leq 180t^2 \left((\ln 2)^4 |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}|^2 \right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, since $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta)$. Thereby $\Theta(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, $D(A) \subset E$ and $Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t}$, when $x \in D(A)$.

Conversely, let $x = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in E$. Denote $z = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t} \in H_1(\{e_n\})$. Then $z = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n e_n$, where $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta)$. The condition $\left\| \frac{e^{At}x - x}{t} - z \right\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow 0$ implies

$$|z_1|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n - \frac{e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1}{t} c_{n-1} + z_{n-1} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $z_1 = 0$ and for all $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n - \frac{e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1}{t} c_{n-1} + z_{n-1} \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

Remark that, for $n \geq 2$,

$$\left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n \right| \leq \left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n - \frac{e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1}{t} c_{n-1} + z_{n-1} \right| + \left| \frac{e^{it \ln(n-1)} - 1}{t} c_{n-1} - z_{n-1} \right|.$$

Since $\frac{e^{it \ln 1} - 1}{t} c_1 - z_1 = 0$, for $n = 2$ we get from the last inequality that $\left| \frac{e^{it \ln 2} - 1}{t} c_2 - z_2 \right| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Applying this inequality subsequently for $n = 3, 4, \dots$, we finally get the relations $\left| \frac{e^{it \ln n} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n \right| \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow 0$, $n \geq 2$. By passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$z_n = ic_n \ln n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore $z = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i \ln n \cdot c_n e_n$. It means that $x \in D(A)$ and $z = Ax$.

Thus A is the generator of constructed C_0 -group $\{e^{At}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the theorem is completely proved. \square

Concerning Theorem 6 we note the following. It turns out that, even if we consider the spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of A defined by (6,7) of the same geometric nature, i.e. satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i\lambda_n = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0, \quad (17)$$

then A not necessary generates even a C_0 -semigroup on $H_1(\{e_n\})$. To show this we choose $\lambda_n = i\sqrt{n}$ and prove the following.

Proposition 7. *The operator A defined by (6) with domain (7), where $\lambda_n = i\sqrt{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, does not generate the C_0 -semigroup on $H_1(\{e_n\})$.*

PROOF. Suppose that A generate the C_0 -semigroup $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $H_1(\{e_n\})$. Since $Ae_n = i\sqrt{n} \cdot e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every $t \geq 0$ we will have $S(t)e_n = e^{it\sqrt{n}}e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$S(t) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n e_n = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{it\sqrt{n}} c_n e_n, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next we show that $S(1)$ is an unbounded operator. To this end we note that, by (9) and using the triangle inequality, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| S(1) \sum_{n=1}^N c_n e_n \right\|_1 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (e^{i\sqrt{n}} c_n - e^{i\sqrt{n-1}} c_{n-1}) e_n \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} (e^{i\sqrt{n}} - e^{i\sqrt{n-1}}) c_{n-1} e_n \right\| - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} e^{i\sqrt{n}} (c_n - c_{n-1}) e_n \right\| \\ &\geq \Xi_N - \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n e_n \right\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since $\sin s \geq s/2$ for $s \in [0, 1]$, and $n(\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1})^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$ for $n \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_N^2 &\geq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} \left| 1 - e^{i(\sqrt{n-1} - \sqrt{n})} \right|^2 |c_{n-1}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} \sin^2(\sqrt{n-1} - \sqrt{n}) |c_{n-1}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4M} \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} n (\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1})^2 \frac{|c_{n-1}|^2}{n} \geq \frac{1}{16M} \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} \frac{|c_{n-1}|^2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose the sequence $x^N = \sum_{n=1}^N e_n$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we see that, on the one hand, $\sup_N \|x^N\|_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}}$, and, on the other hand,

$$\|S(1)x^N\|_1 = \left\| S(1) \sum_{n=1}^N e_n \right\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=2}^{N+1} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\sqrt{2M}}{m}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus we arrive at the unboundedness of $S(1)$, and hence to a contradiction with the definition of the C_0 -semigroup. Consequently A does not generate a C_0 -semigroup on $H_1(\{e_n\})$. \square

The underlying reason of the phenomenon described above is as follows. The rotations $e^{it\sqrt{x}}$, are slowing down, when $x \rightarrow +\infty$, with too low speed to guarantee the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (e^{it\sqrt{n}} - e^{it\sqrt{n-1}}) c_{n-1} e_n$$

in H . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 7 we can say the following.

Proposition 8. *Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset i\mathbb{R}$ satisfy (17) and suppose that there exists $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}| > 0$. Then the operator A defined by (6) with domain (7) does not generate a C_0 -semigroup on $H_1(\{e_n\})$.*

3.2. Generalization of the Theorem 6 and infinitesimal operators on $H_k(\{e_n\})$

We generalize the Theorem 6 in two directions. First, we give some other constructions of infinitesimal operators with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors on $H_k(\{e_n\})$ spaces. Second, we consider more general behaviour of the spectrum of these operators. Throughout the paper it is considered that, if $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a real sequence, then $u_{-n} = 0$ for $n \geq 0$.

Recall that

$$\Delta^k u_n = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_k^j u_{n-j}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For the generalization of the Theorem 6 we introduce the following classes of sequences.

Definition 9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence. Then we define*

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \left\{ \{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = +\infty; \{n^j \Delta^j f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_\infty \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}_k \subseteq \mathcal{S}_m$ provided $m \leq k$. For example, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\{\ln n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $\{\ln \ln(n+1)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $\{\ln \ln \sqrt{n+1}\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$, and $\{\sqrt{n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \notin \mathcal{S}_k$.

Now we formulate our generalization.

Theorem 10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the operator $A_k : H_k(\{e_n\}) \supset D(A_k) \mapsto H_k(\{e_n\})$, defined by*

$$A_k x = A_k(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i f(n) \cdot c_n e_n,$$

where $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$, with domain

$$D(A_k) = \left\{ x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\}) : \{f(n) \cdot c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2(\Delta^k) \right\}, \quad (18)$$

generates the C_0 -group on $H_k(\{e_n\})$, which acts for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$e^{A_k t} x = e^{A_k t}(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n.$$

PROOF. For the case when $k = 1$ the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.

Let us prove the theorem for any fixed $k \geq 2$. Consider $x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and prove that $(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$. By (4) we have

$$\left\| (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n \right\|_k = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\Delta^k \left(e^{itf(n)} c_n \right) \right) e_n \right\|,$$

where $c_{1-j} = 0$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Further we will use the following Leibnitz theorem for finite differences:

$$\Delta^k(u_n v_n) = \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \Delta^{k-j} u_{n-j} \Delta^j v_n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (19)$$

see. [25], pp. 34-35. By Leibnitz theorem (19), taking into account (9), since $c_{n-j} = 0$ for

$j \geq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \left(\text{f} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n \right\|_k &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\Delta^j e^{itf(n)} \right) \left(\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \right) e_n \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^j e^{itf(n)} \right|^2 \left| \Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \left(\sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^j e^{itf(n)} \right|^2 \left| \Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \sqrt{\Theta_j(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Function $\Theta_0(t)$ is estimated by (9) and (4) immediately:

$$\Theta_0(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| e^{itf(n)} \Delta^k c_n \right|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k c_n \right|^2 \leq M \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = M \|x\|_k^2.$$

Further we need to estimate functions $\Theta_j(t)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. For this purpose we note that, since $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any fixed $j : 1 \leq j \leq k$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$n^j \left| \Delta^j f(n) \right| \leq C. \tag{20}$$

Next, since $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we will have $\{f(n-m)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Indeed, by (20), taking into account that $f(n-m) = 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq m$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(n-m)^j \left| \Delta^j f(n-m) \right| \leq C$, hence for $n > m$ we get $\left| \Delta^j f(n-m) \right| \leq \frac{C}{(n-m)^j}$. Note that for $n > m$

$$\frac{1}{(n-m)^j} \leq \frac{(1+m)^j}{n^j}.$$

It follows from the following fact:

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-m} \right)^j = \left(1 + \frac{m}{n-m} \right)^j \leq \left(1 + \frac{m}{m+1-m} \right)^j = (1+m)^j.$$

Thus for $n > m$ we obtain $\left| \Delta^j f(n-m) \right| \leq \frac{C(1+m)^j}{n^j}$, and for $1 \leq n \leq m$ we will get $\left| \Delta^j f(n-m) \right| = 0$. Consequently, $n^j \left| \Delta^j f(n-m) \right| \leq C(1+m)^j$ for all n , hence $\{f(n-m)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$.

Let $m : 1 \leq m \leq k$. Consider the following sets: $\Sigma_1 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$, $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k-2\}$, \dots , $\Sigma_{k-1} = \{0, 1\}$, $\Sigma_k = \{0\}$. It is clear that $\Sigma_1 \supset \Sigma_2 \supset \Sigma_3 \supset \dots \supset \Sigma_k$.

Now we claim that for every $m : 1 \leq m \leq k$ and every sequence $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, for any $s \in \Sigma_m$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and arbitrary $n > m$ the following estimate holds true:

$$\left| \Delta^m e^{(-1)^s it \Delta^s \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \leq \frac{\mathcal{P}_m [\tilde{f}(n)] (|t|)}{n^{m+s}}, \quad (21)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_m [\tilde{f}(n)]$ is a polynomial of degree m , with positive coefficients depending on $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and without a free term. We will prove this fact by induction.

Further for convenience we will denote s by $s(m)$, if $s \in \Sigma_m$.

The induction base. Let $m = 1$. In this case we have a set $\Sigma_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ and $s = s(1)$ takes values from 0 to $k-1$. Then for every $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and any $s(1) \in \Sigma_1$, for $n > 1$, taking into account (20), we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta e^{(-1)^{s(1)} it \Delta^{s(1)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| &= \left| e^{(-1)^{s(1)} it \Delta^{s(1)} \tilde{f}(n)} - e^{(-1)^{s(1)} it \Delta^{s(1)} \tilde{f}(n-1)} \right| \\ &= \left| e^{(-1)^{s(1)} it \Delta^{s(1)} \tilde{f}(n)} \left(1 - e^{(-1)^{s(1)+1} it \Delta^{s(1)+1} \tilde{f}(n)} \right) \right| = \left| 1 - e^{(-1)^{s(1)+1} it \Delta^{s(1)+1} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} |t| \left| \Delta^{s(1)+1} \tilde{f}(n) \right| = \frac{\sqrt{2} |t| n^{s(1)+1} \left| \Delta^{s(1)+1} \tilde{f}(n) \right|}{n^{s(1)+1}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C(\tilde{f}) |t|}{n^{s(1)+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\tilde{f})$ is a constant depending on the sequence $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Now assume that for arbitrary $l : 1 \leq l \leq m-1$ and every $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, for all $s(l) \in \Sigma_l$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n > l$ the following is valid:

$$\left| \Delta^l e^{(-1)^{s(l)} it \Delta^{s(l)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \leq \frac{\mathcal{P}_l [\tilde{f}(n)] (|t|)}{n^{l+s(l)}}, \quad (22)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_l [\tilde{f}(n)]$ is a polynomial of degree l , with positive coefficients depending on $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and without a free term.

Next we will prove (22) for $l = m$. For any $\{\tilde{f}(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, arbitrary $s(m) \in \Sigma_m$ and for

$n > m$, by Leibnitz theorem (19) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \Delta^m e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| &= \left| \Delta^{m-1} \left(e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} - e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n-1)} \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \Delta^{m-1} \left(e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \left(1 - e^{(-1)^{s(m)+1} it \Delta^{s(m)+1} \tilde{f}(n)} \right) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} C_{m-1}^l \left(\Delta^l e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right) \left(\Delta^{m-1-l} \left(1 - e^{(-1)^{s(m)+1} it \Delta^{s(m)+1} \tilde{f}(n-l)} \right) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \left| \Delta^{m-1} e^{(-1)^{s(m)+1} it \Delta^{s(m)+1} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} C_{m-1}^l \left| \Delta^l e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \left| \Delta^{m-1-l} e^{(-1)^{s(m)+1} it \Delta^{s(m)+1} \tilde{f}(n-l)} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \Delta^{m-1} e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \left| 1 - e^{(-1)^{s(m)+1} it \Delta^{s(m)+1} \tilde{f}(n-(m-1))} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $s(m) \in \Sigma_m$, and $\Sigma_m \subset \Sigma_{m-1} \subset \Sigma_{m-2} \subset \dots \subset \Sigma_2 \subset \Sigma_1$, then $s(m) \in \Sigma_l$ for any $l : 1 \leq l \leq m-1$. Analogously, since $s(m)+1 \in \Sigma_{m-1}$, then $s(m)+1 \in \Sigma_l$ for any $l : 1 \leq l \leq m-1$. Earlier we proved that, if $\left\{ \tilde{f}(n) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\left\{ \tilde{f}(n-m) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$. Thus we can use the induction assumption (22) and continue the estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \Delta^m e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| &\leq \frac{\mathcal{P}_{m-1} \left[\tilde{f}(n) \right] (|t|)}{n^{m-1+s(m)+1}} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} C_{m-1}^l \frac{\mathcal{P}_l \left[\tilde{f}(n) \right] (|t|)}{n^{l+s(m)}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\mathcal{P}_{m-1-l} \left[\tilde{f}(n-l) \right] (|t|)}{n^{m-1-l+s(m)+1}} + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{m-1} \left[\tilde{f}(n) \right] (|t|)}{n^{m-1+s(m)}} \frac{\sqrt{2}\tilde{C}|t|}{n^{s(m)+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\left| \Delta^m e^{(-1)^{s(m)} it \Delta^{s(m)} \tilde{f}(n)} \right| \leq \frac{\widetilde{P}_m \left[\tilde{f}(n) \right] (|t|)}{n^{m+s(m)}},$$

where $\widetilde{P}_m \left[\tilde{f}(n) \right]$ is a polynomial of degree m , with positive coefficients depending on $\left\{ \tilde{f}(n) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and without a free term, hence (21) is proved.

It follows from (21), in particular, that for our sequence $\left\{ f(n) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$, for any $j : 1 \leq j \leq k$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $n > j$ the following estimate is true:

$$\left| \Delta^j e^{itf(n)} \right| \leq \frac{\mathcal{P}_j (|t|)}{n^j}, \tag{23}$$

where \mathcal{P}_j is a polynomial of degree j , with positive coefficients and without a free term.

Further, on the basis of (23) functions $\Theta_j(t)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, are estimated in the following way:

$$\Theta_j(t) \leq (\mathcal{P}_j(|t|))^2 \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2}{n^{2j}} = (\mathcal{P}_j(|t|))^2 \Omega_j. \quad (24)$$

We observe that for all $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the formula

$$\Delta^d c_n = \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta^{d+1} c_m \quad (25)$$

is valid. Hence we can estimate Ω_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, in the following manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_j &= \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2}{n^{2j}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2}{n^{2j}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^j} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{n-j} \Delta^{k-j+1} c_m \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{m=1}^{n-j} |\Delta^{k-j+1} c_m| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^j} \sum_{m=1}^n |\Delta^{k-j+1} c_m| \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the discrete Hardy inequality (12) we will have

$$\Omega_j \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{j-1}} |\Delta^{k-j+1} c_n| \right)^2.$$

To continue the estimate we will consistently apply formula (25) and Hardy inequality (12) $j-1$ more times:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_j &\leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{j-1}} |\Delta^{k-j+1} c_n| \right)^2 = 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{j-1}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n \Delta^{k-j+2} c_m \right| \right)^2 \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{j-1}} \sum_{m=1}^n |\Delta^{k-j+2} c_m| \right)^2 \leq 4^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{j-2}} |\Delta^{k-j+2} c_n| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \dots \leq 4^{j-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} |\Delta^{k-1} c_n| \right)^2 \leq 4^{j-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n |\Delta^k c_m| \right)^2 \\ &\leq 4^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \leq 4^j M \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = 4^j M \|x\|_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with (24) we arrive at the following,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \text{(f)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n \right\|_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \sqrt{\Theta_j(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sqrt{M} \|x\|_k + \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \sqrt{(\mathcal{P}_j(|t|))^2 \Omega_j} \right) \leq \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^j C_k^j \mathcal{P}_j(|t|) \|x\|_k, \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0(|t|) \equiv 1$. This estimate shows that we can define a one-parametric family of operators $e^{A_k t} \in [H_k(\{e_n\})]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by the formula

$$e^{A_k t} x = e^{A_k t}(\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n,$$

and that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|e^{A_k t}\| \leq \mathfrak{p}_k(|t|), \quad (27)$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_k(|t|) = \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^j C_k^j \mathcal{P}_j(|t|)$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients and $\deg \mathfrak{p}_k = k$.

Next we prove that the family $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is strongly continuous at zero. To this end we note that for any $j : 1 \leq j \leq k$ and for every $n > j$ we have $\Delta^j (e^{itf(n)} - 1) = \Delta^j (e^{itf(n)})$. Then by Leibnitz theorem (19), taking into account estimate (26), for each $x = (\mathfrak{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in H_k(\{e_n\})$ we will have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{A_k t} x - x\|_k &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\Delta^k (e^{itf(n)} - 1) c_n \right) e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\Delta^j (e^{itf(n)} - 1) \right) (\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}) e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{itf(n)} - 1|^2 |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \left(\sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} |\Delta^j e^{itf(n)}|^2 |\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \Upsilon_k(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \sqrt{\Theta_j(t)} \leq \Upsilon_k(t) + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j C_k^j \mathcal{P}_j(|t|) \|x\|_k. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_k(|t|) = \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j C_k^j \mathcal{P}_j(|t|)$ is a polynomial of argument $|t|$ with degree k , with positive coefficients and without a free term. Thus $\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}} \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_k(|t|) \|x\|_k \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0$.

Next we will show that $\Upsilon_k(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. To this end let us consider the operator $F : H \supset D(F) \mapsto H$, defined by

$$Fy = F \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} if(n) \cdot a_n e_n, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H,$$

with domain $D(F) = \left\{ y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H : \{a_n f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2 \right\}$.

It is not hard to prove that F generates the C_0 -group $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on H , which acts by the formula

$$T(t)y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} a_n e_n, \quad y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H,$$

see also [24]. Consequently, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |e^{itf(n)} - 1|^2 |a_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $\{\Delta^k c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2$, then $\Upsilon_k(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\|e^{A_k t} x - x\|_k \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, and family $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is strongly continuous at zero. It is obvious that $e^{A_k \cdot 0} = I$ and the group property holds for $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Thus $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the C_0 -group on the space $H_k(\{e_n\})$.

Further we will show that A_k is an infinitesimal generator of constructed C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. For this purpose we need to check that $D(A_k) = E_k$, where $E_k = \left\{x \in H_k(\{e_n\}) : \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t}\right\}$ and that for each $x \in D(A_k)$ we have

$$A_k x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t}. \quad (28)$$

Let $x = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in E_k$. Denote $z = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} \in H_k(\{e_n\})$. Then $z = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n e_n$, where $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta^k)$. The condition $\left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - z \right\|_k \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow 0$, implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n \right) \right|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n \right) \right|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0. \quad (29)$$

We will use induction on n to prove that $z_n = ic_n f(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $n = 1$ from (29) we get $\frac{e^{itf(1)} - 1}{t} c_1 - z_1 \rightarrow 0$, if $t \rightarrow 0$, hence passing to the limit yields $z_1 = ic_1 f(1)$.

Let $z_n = ic_n f(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq l$. Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n - z_n \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_k^j \left(\frac{e^{itf(n-j)} - 1}{t} c_{n-j} - z_{n-j} \right).$$

Therefore, for $n = l + 1$ we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{e^{itf(l+1)} - 1}{t} c_{l+1} - z_{l+1} \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j C_k^j \left(\frac{e^{itf(l+1-j)} - 1}{t} c_{l+1-j} - z_{l+1-j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j C_k^j \left(\frac{e^{itf(l+1-j)} - 1}{t} c_{l+1-j} - z_{l+1-j} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(l+1)} - 1}{t} c_{l+1} - z_{l+1} \right) \right| + \sum_{j=1}^k C_k^j \left| \frac{e^{itf(l+1-j)} - 1}{t} c_{l+1-j} - z_{l+1-j} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $z_{l+1-j} = ic_{l+1-j}f(l+1-j)$, by (29) we obtain that $z_{l+1} = ic_{l+1}f(l+1)$. Hence $z_n = ic_n f(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} if(n)c_n e_n$. It means that $x \in D(A_k)$ and $z = A_k x$.

Conversely, assume that $x = (f) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in D(A_k)$ and prove that $x \in E_k$ and (28) holds. To this end we note that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - A_k x \right\|_k &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\Delta^k \left(\left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right) \right) e_n \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k \left(\left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \Delta^k \left(\left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n \right) \right|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k (if(n)c_n) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in D(A_k)$, then $A_k x \in H_k(\{e_n\})$, hence there exists a constant $C \geq 0$: $\|A_k x\|_k \leq C$.

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^k (if(n)c_n)|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\Delta^k (if(n)c_n)) e_n \right\|_k^2 = \|A_k x\|_k^2 \leq C^2,$$

hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^k (if(n)c_n)|^2 \leq MC^2. \quad (30)$$

Now we observe that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the following holds,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n \right) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} \right|^2 |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j C_k^j \frac{\mathcal{P}_j(|t|)}{|t|} \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \widehat{\Upsilon}_k^N(t) + \mathcal{Q}_k(|t|) \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_n = 0$ for $n \leq N$, and $\mathcal{Q}_k(|t|) = \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j C_k^j \frac{\mathcal{P}_j(|t|)}{|t|}$ is a polynomial of argument $|t|$ with degree $k - 1$ (see the proof of strong continuity of $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ at zero).

Further we note that, since $|e^{itf(n)} - 1| \leq \sqrt{2}|t||f(n)|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\left(\widehat{\Upsilon}_k^N(t) \right)^2 = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} \right|^2 |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |f(n)| |\Delta^k c_n|^2. \quad (31)$$

Let's show that $\{f(n)\Delta^k c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2$. Indeed, since by Leibnitz theorem (19)

$$\Delta^k(c_n f(n)) = \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j \Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \Delta^j f(n) = \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j v_j(n),$$

and $\{f(n) \cdot c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2(\Delta^k)$ from the condition (18), then $v = \sum_{j=0}^k C_k^j v_j \in \ell_2$, where $v_j = \{v_j(n) = \Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \Delta^j f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $0 \leq j \leq k$. Using that $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and applying the discrete Hardy inequality (12), for every $j : 1 \leq j \leq k$ we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_j\|_{\ell_2}^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j} \Delta^j f(n)|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|n^j \Delta^j f(n)|^2 |\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2}{n^{2j}} \\ &\leq C(j)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Delta^{k-j} c_{n-j}|^2}{n^{2j}} = C(j)^2 \Omega_j \leq 4^j M C(j)^2 \|x\|_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

(see estimate for Ω_j in the proof of the boundedness of $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$). Therefore $v_0 = \{f(n)\Delta^k c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_2$ and the remainder of the series in the right hand side of (31) tends to zero when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $\mathcal{Q}_k(|t|)$ is a polynomial of argument $|t|$, then there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for all $t : |t| \leq 1$ we will have the following:

$$\mathcal{Q}_k(|t|) \leq K.$$

So, since $\{f(n)\Delta^k c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2$ $\{\Delta^k c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2$, then for all $t : |t| \leq 1$ we will obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n \right) \right|^2 &\leq \left(\widehat{\Upsilon}_k^N(t) + K \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sqrt{2} \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty |f(n)\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + K \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty |\Delta^k c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Further on, take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and fix it. Let $|t| \leq 1$. Since the condition (30) holds, taking into account the last relation, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^\infty |\Delta^k (if(n)c_n)|^2 \leq \varepsilon, \quad \sum_{n=N+1}^\infty \left| \Delta^k \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} c_n \right) \right|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

It follows that $\left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - A_k x \right\|_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left| \Delta^k \left(\left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right) \right|^2 + 4\varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\|\Delta^k\| \leq 2^k$, where Δ^k is considered as an operator from ℓ_2 to ℓ_2 , then

$$\left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - A_k x \right\|_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(2^{2k} \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right|^2 + 4\varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since for each $n \in [1, N]$, $\left| \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$, then

$$2^{2k} \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Therefore for earlier chosen $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that for all $t : |t| \leq \delta$ we have

$$2^{2k} \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \left(\frac{e^{itf(n)} - 1}{t} - if(n) \right) c_n \right|^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

The last inequality also holds for $t : |t| \leq \min\{1, \delta\}$. So

$$\left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - A_k x \right\|_k \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} (\varepsilon + 4\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{5\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

It means that $x \in E_k$ and $\left\| \frac{e^{A_k t} x - x}{t} - A_k x \right\|_k \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Thus A_k is a generator of constructed C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and the theorem is completely proved. \square

We note that Theorem 6 is a special case of Theorem 10 when $k = 1$ and $f(n) = \ln n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now we pass to the question on the asymptotic behaviour of constructed C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 11. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a C_0 -group from Theorem 10. Then the following assertions hold true:*

1. $\|e^{A_k t}\| \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.
2. *There exists a polynomial \mathfrak{p}_k with positive coefficients, with degree $\deg \mathfrak{p}_k = k$, such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the following estimate is valid:*

$$\|e^{A_k t}\| \leq \mathfrak{p}_k(|t|).$$

PROOF. 1. Recall that A_k has eigenvalues $\{if(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset i\mathbb{R}$ and corresponding eigenvectors $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are dense in $H_k(\{e_n\})$. By Theorem 10 operator A_k generates the C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Assume the opposite, namely that $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is bounded C_0 -group. Then by theorem 2 from the paper of A.I. Miloslavskii [26] the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ forms a Riesz basis of $H_k(\{e_n\})$. Thus we arrive at a contradiction, since by Proposition 3 the sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not form a Schauder basis.

2. The existence of the polynomial \mathfrak{p}_k with the desired properties for the case $k = 1$ follows from the proof of Theorem 6, see estimate (13), and for the case when $k > 1$ it follows from the proof of Theorem 10, see estimate (27).

In 1967 V.E. Katsnel'son [27] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 12. [27] *Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of distinct points in the upper half-plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ and assume that*

$$\inf_{1 \leq j < \infty} \prod_{k=1; k \neq j}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \bar{\lambda}_k} \right| = 0. \quad (32)$$

Then there exists a linear operator $A : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ such that:

1. $\text{Im}\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$, $x \in D(A)$.
2. *The eigenvalues of A are $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\sigma(A) = \overline{\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty}$.*

3. The system of eigenvectors $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of A is dense in H but not uniformly minimal. Furthermore, if $\{Im(\lambda_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is, in addition, a bounded sequence, then there exists a linear operator $A : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ satisfying 1-3 and
4. $A = A_{\mathbb{R}} + iA_{\mathbb{G}}$,
 where $A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is selfadjoint operator and $A_{\mathbb{G}}$ is bounded positive operator.

Remark 1.

- Note that in any horizontal strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < Im(z) < \alpha\}$ the condition (32) turns into $\inf_{j \neq k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k| = 0$, see [10]. It follows that for any sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of distinct points in a vertical strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : -\alpha < Re(z) < 0\}$ not satisfying (3) there exists, by Theorem 12, a dissipative operator $V = iA$ with eigenvalues $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and eigenvectors $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, that are dense but not uniformly minimal in H . Consequently, by the Lumer-Phillips theorem, V is an infinitesimal generator of contractive C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. In this context we note that the C_0 -semigroup $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \geq 0}$ constructed in Theorem 10 (the restriction of the C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$) is not contractive and grows when $t \rightarrow \infty$, see Proposition 11.
- Since $\{Re(\mu_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence, the contractive semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ expands to the C_0 -group $\{T(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.
- Theorem 10 can be easily generalized to the case when $\sigma(A_k)$ belongs to arbitrary vertical line. But Theorem 10 is focused on a critical for Theorem 12 case when $\sigma(A_k) \subset i\mathbb{R}$.
- The construction of unbounded generator of a C_0 -group on H with non-bounded non-Riesz basis family of eigenvectors is trivial. However, the existence of unbounded generator of the C_0 -group on H with bounded non-Riesz basis family of eigenvectors is unknown.

The proof of Theorem 10 leads to the following.

Corollary 13. *Let $\omega_{0,k}$ is the growth bound of the C_0 -group $e^{A_k t}$ constructed in Theorem 10 and $s(A_k) = \sup\{Re\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A_k)\}$ is a spectral bound of its generator A_k . Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\omega_{0,k} = s(A_k) = 0.$$

Combining Theorem 10 and Corollary 13 with the spectral theorem of K. Boyadzhiev and R. DeLaubenfels [11] we obtain the following result.

Proposition 14. *For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary $\alpha > 0$ the operator A_k constructed in Theorem 10 has a bounded \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus on a strip $H_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}(z)| < \alpha\}$.*

It is interesting to compare this result with the construction of an operator A on H without a bounded \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus from [13](Section 5.5). This construction is based on the concept of non-Riesz basis. About \mathcal{H}^∞ -calculus see [11, 12, 13, 2], for example.

Within the context of Theorem 10 we finally note the following.

Remark 2.

- For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator A_k from Theorem 10 is an unbounded linear operator with $\overline{D(A_k)} = H_k(\{e_n\})$ and A_k is closed on $D(A_k)$.
- A_k has pure point spectrum $\{if(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, which does not satisfy the condition (3), and, moreover, cannot be decomposed into K sets, with every set having a uniform gap, since $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$.
- If we omit the condition (3), then the converse, in some sense, statement to the Theorem 1 holds. More precisely, suppose that $f : [1, +\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is any real function such that $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not satisfy the condition (3). If we define $A : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ as $A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n e_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty if(n) \cdot \alpha_n e_n$, with domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n e_n \in H : \{f(n) \cdot \alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2 \right\},$$

then A is a Riesz-spectral operator and it generates a C_0 -group on H . For details see [24].

4. The construction of infinitesimal operators with non-basis family of eigenvectors on certain Banach spaces

The construction of infinitesimal operators with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors on Banach spaces is similar to the construction of infinitesimal operators with complete minimal non-basis family of eigenvectors on Hilbert spaces. Namely, we have the following theorem, analogous to Theorem 10.

Theorem 15. Assume that $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a symmetric basis in ℓ_p , $p > 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not form a basis of $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$ and the operator $A_k : \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) \supset D(A_k) \mapsto \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$, defined by

$$A_k x = A_k(\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i f(n) \cdot c_n e_n,$$

where $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$, with domain

$$D(A_k) = \left\{ x = (\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\}) : \{f(n) \cdot c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\Delta^k) \right\}, \quad (33)$$

generates the C_0 -group on $\ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$, which acts for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$e^{A_k t} x = e^{A_k t}(\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{itf(n)} c_n e_n.$$

PROOF. The proof of Theorem 15 is based on the combination of Proposition 5 and Proposition 4 with Hardy inequality (1) for the case $p > 1$ and may be performed similarly to the proof of Theorem 10. \square

Concerning the asymptotic behaviour of C_0 -group $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ constructed in the Theorem 15 we have the following statement, analogous to the point 2 of Proposition 11.

Proposition 16. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $\{e^{A_k t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a C_0 -group from Theorem 15. Then there exists a polynomial \mathbf{p}_k with positive coefficients, with degree $\deg \mathbf{p}_k = k$, such that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|e^{A_k t}\| \leq \mathbf{p}_k(|t|).$$

So we see that our class of C_0 -groups belong to the class of polynomially bounded C_0 -groups.

Define the operator $B : \ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\}) \supset D(B) \mapsto \ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\})$ as

$$Bx = B(\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n = (\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n c_n e_n, \quad (34)$$

with domain

$$D(B) = \left\{ x = (\mathbf{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_n \in \ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\}) : \{\lambda_n c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(\Delta) \right\}, \quad (35)$$

In particular case when $k = 1$ and $f(x) = \ln x$ we have from Theorem 15 an immediate consequence, similar to Theorem 6.

Corollary 17. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $p > 1$. Then $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ does not form a basis of $\ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\})$ and the operator B defined by (34) with domain (35), where $\lambda_n = i \ln n$,
250 generates a C_0 -group on $\ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\})$.

As in the case of Proposition 7 we note that even if we consider the spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of B defined by (34,35) of the same geometric nature, i.e. satisfying (17), then B not necessarily generates a C_0 -semigroup on $\ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\})$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 7 we can obtain the following.

Proposition 18. Let $p \geq 1$, and suppose that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset i\mathbb{R}$ satisfies (17) and, moreover, there exists $\alpha \in \left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right] : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\alpha |\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n| > 0$. Then the operator A , defined by (34), with domain (35), does not generate a C_0 -semigroup on $\ell_{p,1}(\{e_n\})$.

Next, we deduce a consequence, similar to Corollary 13.

Corollary 19. Let $\omega_{0,k}$ is the growth bound of the C_0 -group $e^{A_k t}$ constructed in Theorem 15 and $s(A_k) = \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A_k)\}$ is a spectral bound of its generator A_k . Then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\omega_{0,k} = s(A_k) = 0.$$

We complete the section with the following observation.

Remark 3.

- For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator A_k from Theorem 15 is an unbounded linear operator with $\overline{D(A_k)} = \ell_{p,k}(\{e_n\})$ and A_k is closed on $D(A_k)$.
- A_k has pure point spectrum $\{if(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$, which does not satisfy the condition (3), and, moreover, cannot be decomposed into K sets, with every set having a uniform gap, since $\{f(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_k$.
- Suppose that $f : [1, +\infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is any real function. If we define $A : \ell_p \supset D(A) \mapsto \ell_p$ as $A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n e_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty if(n) \cdot \alpha_n e_n$, with domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n e_n \in \ell_p : \{f(n) \cdot \alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p \right\},$$

then it can be shown that A generates a bounded C_0 -group on ℓ_p .

5. Concluding remarks

The results of the present paper allow us to say the following. A Theorem 1 cannot be improved. Moreover, it is impossible to obtain any analogue of Theorem 1 concerning non-basis family of eigenvectors by means of omitting or weakening of the condition (3). On the other hand, it is interesting to obtain some analogues of Theorem 1 in Banach spaces with certain classes of bases, e.g., symmetric bases, unconditional bases.

Theorem 15 and Remark 3 allow us to say that symmetric bases in ℓ_p spaces behave like Riesz bases in H . Consequently, we arrive at the idea of possible generalization of the Theorem 1 to the case of operators with symmetric basis family of eigenvectors, that generate C_0 -groups on the spaces ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, and propose the following.

Conjecture 20. *Let A be the generator of the C_0 -group on the space ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (counting with multiplicity) and the corresponding (normalized) eigenvectors $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.*

If $\overline{\text{Lin}}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \ell_p$ and the point spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies (3), then $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ forms a symmetric basis of ℓ_p .

Finally, the answer to the following important question does not yet exist. Is it possible to construct the unbounded generator of a C_0 -group with bounded non-Riesz basis family of eigenvectors? We note that the construction of unbounded generator of a C_0 -semigroup with bounded non-Riesz basis family of eigenvectors is quite simple, see, e.g., [13](Section 5.5).

References

- [1] G. Q. Xu, S. P. Yung, The expansion of a semigroup and a Riesz basis criterion, J. Differential Equations 210 (2005) 1–24. doi:10.1016/j.jde.2004.09.015.
- [2] H. Zwart, Riesz basis for strongly continuous groups, J. Differential Equations 249 (2010) 2397–2408. doi:10.1016/j.jde.2010.07.020.
- [3] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda, L. E. Persson, The Prehistory of the Hardy Inequality, Amer. Math. Monthly 113(8) (Oct., 2006) 715–732. doi:10.2307/27642033.
- [4] A. Kufner, L. E. Persson, Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, World Scientific, Singapore, 2003.

- [5] B. Opic, A. Kufner, Hardy-Type Inequalities, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, no. 219, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1990.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Polya, Inequalities, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1964.
- [7] G. H. Hardy, Notes on a theorem of Hilbert, Math. Z. 6(3-4) (1920) 314–317. doi:10.1007/BF01199965.
- [8] D. Hilbert, Grundzuge einer allgemeinen Theorie der linearen Integralgleichungen, Göttingen Nachr. (1906) 157–227.
- [9] J. van Neerven, The Asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operators, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 88, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996. doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-9206-3.
- [10] J. B. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, revised first ed., Springer, 2007.
- [11] K. Boyadzhiev, R. DeLaubenfels, Spectral theorem for unbounded strongly continuous groups on a Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120(1) (1994) 127–136. doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1994-1186983-0.
- [12] M. Haase, A decomposition theorem for generators of strongly continuous groups on Hilbert spaces, J. Operator Theory 52(1) (2004) 21–37.
- [13] M. Haase, The Functional Calculus for Sectorial Operators, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 169, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006. doi:10.1007/3-7643-7698-8.
- [14] I. Singer, On Banach spaces with symmetric bases, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 6 (1961) 159–166 (Russian).
- [15] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I and II, Reprint of the 1977, 1979 ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-37732-0.
- [16] I. Singer, Bases in Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970, MR 45 #7451.
- [17] B. Altay, On the space of p -summable difference sequences of order m , ($1 \leq p < \infty$), Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 43(4) (2006) 387–402. doi:10.1556/SScMath.43.2006.4.1.

- [18] M. Imaninezhad, M. Miri, The continuous dual of the space $\ell_p(\Delta^n)$, ($1 \leq p \leq \infty, n \in \mathbb{N}$), Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N. S.) LXXIX(2) (2010) 273–280.
- [19] F. Başar, B. Altay, On the space of sequences of p -bounded variation and related matrix mappings, Ukrainian Math. J. 55(1) (2003) 136–147. doi:10.1023/A:1025080820961.
- [20] R. Keown, C. Conatser, Biorthogonal systems in l^p -spaces, Canad. J. Math. 21(3) (1969) 625–638.
- [21] K. I. Babenko, On conjugate functions, Dokl. Akad. Nauk 62(2) (1948) 157–160 (Russian), MR 0027093 (10,249b).
- [22] V. F. Gapoškin, A generalization of M. Riesz' theorem on conjugate functions, Mat. Sb. N. S. 46(88), No. 3 (1958) 359–372 (Russian), MR 0099561 (20#6000).
- [23] A. M. Olevskii, On operators generating conditional bases in a Hilbert space, Math. Notes 12(1) (1972) 476–482. doi:10.1007/BF01094395.
- [24] R. F. Curtain, H. J. Zwart, An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Linear Systems Theory, Texts in Applied Mathematics, Volume 21, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1995. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-4224-6.
- [25] L. M. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, Macmillan And Co., Limited, London, 1933.
- [26] A. I. Miloslavskii, On the stability of some classes of evolution equations, Sib. Math. J. 26(5) (1985) 118–132.
- [27] V. E. Katsnel'son, Conditions under which systems of eigenvectors of some classes of operators form a basis, Funct. Anal. Appl. 1(2) (1967) 122–132. doi:10.1007/BF01076084.