

# A SIMPLE PROOF OF A MARTINGALE REPRESENTATION THEOREM USING NONSTANDARD ANALYSIS

TRISTRAM DE PIRO

ABSTRACT. We give a proof of a Martingale Representation Theorem using the methods of nonstandard analysis.

We introduce the following spaces;

**Definition 0.1.** *Let  $\nu \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , and set  $\eta = 2^\nu$ . Define;*

$$\overline{\Omega}_\eta = \{x \in {}^*\mathcal{R} : 0 \leq x < 1\}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu = \{x \in {}^*\mathcal{R} : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$$

*We let  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$  consist of internal unions of the intervals  $[\frac{i}{\eta}, \frac{i+1}{\eta})$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq \eta - 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{D}_\nu$  consist of internal unions  $[\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu})$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq \nu - 1$ , together with  $\{1\}$*

*We define counting measures  $\mu_\eta$  and  $\lambda_\nu$  on  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$  and  $\mathcal{D}_\nu$  respectively, by setting  $\mu_\eta([\frac{i}{\eta}, \frac{i+1}{\eta})) = \frac{1}{\eta}$ ,  $\lambda_\nu([\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]) = \frac{1}{\nu}$  and  $\lambda_\nu(\{1\}) = 0$*

*We let  $(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$  and  $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\nu, \lambda_\nu)$  be the resulting  $*$ -finite measure spaces, in the sense of [4], and let  $(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, L(\mathcal{C}_\eta), L(\mu_\eta))$ ,  $(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu, L(\mathcal{D}_\nu), L(\lambda_\nu))$  be the associated Loeb spaces.*

*We let  $V(\mathcal{C}_\eta) = \{f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}, f(x) = f(\frac{[ \eta x ]}{\eta})\}$  and  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta) \subset V(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$  be the set of measurable functions  $f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta$ , in the sense of [4]. Then  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$  is a  $*$ -finite vector space over  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ , of dimension  $\eta$ , <sup>(1)</sup>. Similarly, we let  $V(\mathcal{D}_\nu) = \{f : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}, f(t) = f(\frac{[ \nu t ]}{\nu})\}$  and*

---

<sup>1</sup> By a  $*$ -vector space, one means an internal set  $V$ , for which the operations  $+$  :  $V \times V \rightarrow V$  of addition and scalar multiplication  $\cdot$  :  ${}^*\mathcal{C} \times V \rightarrow V$  are internal. Such spaces have the property that  $*$ -finite linear combinations  ${}^*\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \cdot v_i$ ,  $(*)$ , for a  $*$ -finite index set  $I$ , belong to  $V$ , by transfer of the corresponding standard result for vector spaces. We say that  $V$  is a  $*$ -finite vector space, if there exists a  $*$ -finite

$W(\mathcal{D}_\nu) \subset V(\mathcal{D}_\nu)$  be the set of measurable functions  $f : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{D}_\nu$ , in the sense of [4]. Then  $W(\mathcal{D}_\nu)$  is a  $*$ -finite vector space over  ${}^*\mathcal{C}$ , of dimension  $\nu + 1$ .

**Definition 0.2.** Given  $n \in \mathcal{N}_{>0}$ , we let  $\Omega_n = \{m \in \mathcal{N} : 0 \leq m < 2^n\}$ , and let  $C_n$  be the set of sequences of length  $n$ , consisting of 1's and  $-1$ 's. We let  $\theta_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^n$  be the map which associates  $m \in \Omega_n$  with its binary representation, and let  $\phi_n : \Omega_n \rightarrow C_n$  be the composition  $\phi_n = (\gamma \circ \theta_n)$ , where, for  $\bar{m} \in \mathcal{N}^n$ ,  $\gamma(\bar{m}) = 2 \cdot \bar{m} - \bar{1}$ . For  $\nu \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , we let  $\phi_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow C_\nu$  be the map, obtained by transfer of  $\phi_n$ , which associates  $i \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ ,  $0 \leq i < 2^\nu$ , with an internal sequence of length  $\nu$ , consisting of 1's and  $-1$ 's. Similarly, for  $\eta = 2^\nu$ , we let  $\psi_\eta : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow C_\nu$  be defined by  $\psi_\eta(x) = \phi_\nu([\eta x])$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , we let  $\omega_j : C_\nu \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  be the internal projection map onto the  $j$ 'th coordinate, and let  $\omega_j : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  also denote the composition  $(\omega_j \circ \psi_\eta)$ , so that  $\omega_j \in W(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ . By convention, we set  $\omega_0 = 1$ . For an internal sequence  $\bar{t} \in C_\nu$ , we let  $\omega_{\bar{t}} : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  be the internal function defined by;

$$\omega_{\bar{t}} = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} \omega_j^{\frac{\bar{t}(j)+1}{2}}$$

Again, it is clear that  $\omega_{\bar{t}} \in W(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ .

**Lemma 0.3.** The functions  $\{\omega_j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}$  are  $*$ -independent in the sense of [2], (Definition 19), in particular they are orthogonal with respect to the measure  $\mu_\eta$ . Moreover, the functions  $\{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in C_\nu\}$  form an orthogonal basis of  $V(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , and, if  $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$ ,  $E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = 0$ , and  $\text{Var}_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = 1$ , where,  $E_\eta$  and  $\text{Var}_\eta$  are the expectation and variance corresponding to the measure  $\mu_\eta$ .

*Proof.* According to the definition, we need to verify that for an internal index set  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, \nu\}$ , and an internal tuple  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$ , where  $s = |J|$ ;

$$\mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_1}(x) < \alpha_1, \dots, \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k, \dots, \omega_{j_s}(x) < \alpha_s)$$

---

index set  $I$  and elements  $\{v_i : i \in I\}$  such that every  $v \in V$  can be written as a combination  $(*)$ , and the elements  $\{v_i : i \in I\}$  are independent, in the sense that if  $(*) = 0$ , then each  $\lambda_i = 0$ . It is clear, by transfer of the corresponding result for finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathcal{C}$ , that  $V$  has a well defined dimension given by  $\text{Card}(I)$ , see [5], even though  $V$  may be infinite dimensional, considered as a standard vector space.

$$= \prod_{k=1}^s \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k) \quad (*)$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that each  $\alpha_{j_k} > -1$ , as if some  $\alpha_{j_k} \leq -1$ , both sides of  $(*)$  are equal to zero. Let  $J' = \{j' \in J : -1 < \alpha_{j'} \leq 1\}$  and  $J'' = \{j'' \in J : 1 < \alpha_{j''}\}$ , so  $J = J' \cup J''$ . Then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_1}(x) < \alpha_1, \dots, \omega_{j_s}(x) < \alpha_s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} \text{Card}(z \in C_\nu : z(j') = -1 \text{ for } j' \in J', z(j'') \in \{-1, 1\} \text{ for } j'' \in J'') \\ &= \frac{1}{2^\nu} \text{Card}(z \in C_\nu : z(j') = -1 \text{ for } j' \in J') = \frac{2^{\nu-s'}}{2^\nu} = 2^{-s'} \end{aligned}$$

where  $s' = \text{Card}(J')$ . Moreover;

$$\prod_{k=1}^s \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j_k}(x) < \alpha_k) = \prod_{j' \in J'} \mu_\eta(x : \omega_{j'}(x) = -1) = 2^{-s'}$$

as  $\mu_\eta(x : \omega_j(x) = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ . Hence,  $(*)$  is shown. That  $*$ -independence implies orthogonality follows easily by transfer, from the corresponding fact, for finite measure spaces, that  $E(X_{j_1}X_{j_2}) = E(X_{j_1})E(X_{j_2})$ , for the standard expectation  $E$  and independent random variables  $\{X_{j_1}, X_{j_2}\}$ ,  $(**)$ . Hence, by  $(**)$ ;

$$E_\eta(\omega_{j_1}\omega_{j_2}) = E_\eta(\omega_{j_1})E_\eta(\omega_{j_2}) = 0, \quad (j_1 \neq j_2) \quad (***)$$

as clearly  $E_\eta(\omega_j) = 0$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ . If  $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$ , let  $J' = \{j' : 1 \leq j' \leq \nu, \bar{t}(j') = 1\}$ , then;

$$E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}}) = E_\eta\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} \omega_j^{\frac{\bar{t}(j)+1}{2}}\right) = E_\eta\left(\prod_{j' \in J'} \omega_{j'}\right) = \prod_{j' \in J'} E_\eta(\omega_{j'}) = 0 \quad (\#)$$

where, in  $(\#)$ , we have used the facts that  $J' \neq \emptyset$  and internal, and a simple generalisation of  $(***)$ , by transfer from the corresponding fact for finite measure spaces. Hence,  $1 = \omega_{\overline{-1}}$  is orthogonal to  $\omega_{\bar{t}}$ , for  $\bar{t} \neq \overline{-1}$ . If  $\bar{t}_1 \neq \bar{t}_2$  are both distinct from  $\overline{-1}$ , then, if  $J_1 = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \bar{t}_1(j) = 1\}$  and  $J_2 = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \bar{t}_2(j) = 1\}$ , so  $J_1 \neq J_2$  and  $J_1, J_2 \neq \emptyset$ , we have;

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\eta(\omega_{\bar{t}_1}\omega_{\bar{t}_2}) \\ &= E_\eta\left(\prod_{j \in J_1} \omega_j \cdot \prod_{j \in J_2} \omega_j\right) \quad (\#\#) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E_\eta(\prod_{j \in (J_1 \setminus J_2)} \omega_j \cdot \prod_{j \in (J_2 \setminus J_1)} \omega_j) \text{ (###)} \\
&= E_\eta(\prod_{j \in (J_1 \setminus J_2)} \omega_j) E_\eta(\prod_{j \in (J_2 \setminus J_1)} \omega_j) = 0 \text{ (####)}
\end{aligned}$$

In (##), we have used the definition of  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , and in (###), we have used the fact that  $(J_1 \cup J_2) = (J_1 \cap J_2) \sqcup (J_1 \setminus J_2) \sqcup (J_2 \setminus J_1)$ , and  $\omega_j^2 = 1$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ . Finally, in (####), we have used the facts that  $(J_1 \setminus J_2)$  and  $(J_2 \setminus J_1)$  are disjoint, and at least one of these sets is nonempty, the result of (#) and a similar generalisation of (\*\*). This shows that the functions  $\{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t} \in C_\nu\}$  are orthogonal, (\*\*). That they form a basis for  $V(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$  follows immediately, by transfer, from (\*\*\*) and the corresponding fact for finite dimensional vector spaces. The final calculation is left to the reader.  $\square$

We require the following;

**Definition 0.4.** For  $0 \leq l \leq \nu$ , we define  $\sim'_l$ , on  $C_\nu$ , to be the internal equivalence relation given by;

$$\bar{t}_1 \sim'_l \bar{t}_2 \text{ iff } \bar{t}_1(j) = \bar{t}_2(j) \text{ } (\forall j \leq l)$$

We extend this to an internal equivalence relation on  $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , which we denote by  $\sim_l$ ;

$$x_1 \sim_l x_2 \text{ iff } \psi_\eta(x_1) \sim_l \psi_\eta(x_2) \text{ } (*)$$

We let  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^l$  be the  $*$ -finite algebra generated by the partition of  $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$  into the  $2^l$  equivalence classes with respect to  $\sim_l$ , (\*). As is easily verified, we have  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^l \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\eta^{l_2}$ , if  $l_1 \leq l_2$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^0 = \{\emptyset, \overline{\Omega}_\eta\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\eta = \mathcal{C}_\eta^\nu$ . For  $0 \leq l \leq \nu$ , we let  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l) \subseteq W(\mathcal{C}_\eta)$  be the set of measurable functions  $f : \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ , with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^l$ . We will refer to the collection  $\{\mathcal{C}_\eta^l : 0 \leq l \leq \nu\}$  of  $*$ -finite algebras, as the nonstandard filtration associated to  $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$ . We produce a standard filtration  $\{\mathfrak{D}_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ , (\*\*), by following the method of [2], see Definition 7.14 of [5], (replacing the equivalence relation  $\sim$  there, by  $\sim_l$ , as given in (\*), and being careful to use the index  $\nu$  instead of  $\eta$ . Note that Lemma 7.15 of [5] still applies in this case.) We also require a slight modification of the construction of Brownian motion in [2]. Namely, we take;

$$\chi(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{[\nu t]} \omega_i \right), \quad (2)$$

and  $W(t, x) = {}^\circ\chi(t, x)$ ,  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta}$  (\*\*).

One of the advantages of the non-standard approach to stochastic calculus, is that it allows one to show easily that every stochastic integral is a martingale. We follow the notation from Chapter 7 of [5], again using the filtration (\*\*) of Definition 0.4 to replace the one from Definition 7.14, and its subsequent applications;

**Theorem 0.5.** *If  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ , and  $f$  is a 2-lifting of  $g$ , then  $I(t, x)$ , as in Definition 7.20 of [5], is equivalent, as a stochastic process, to a martingale, with respect to the filtration  $\mathfrak{D}_t$ , (3).*

---

<sup>2</sup> We adopt the convention that the sum is zero, when  $t = 0$

<sup>3</sup> By which I mean a function  $I : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega_\eta} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , such that;

(i).  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$  measurable (complete product).

(ii).  $I_t$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathfrak{D}_t$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

(iii).  $E(|I_t|) < \infty$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

(iv).  $E(I_t | \mathfrak{D}_s) = I_s$ , if  $s < t$  belong to  $[0, 1]$ .

(v). For  $C \subset \overline{\Omega_\eta}$ , with  $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$ , and  $x \in C$ , the paths  $\gamma_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\gamma_x(t) = I(t, x)$ , are continuous.

Most of this definition can be found in [7], see also [8] for a thorough discussion of discrete time martingales. We call a martingale tame if it satisfies the additional conditions that;

(vi).  $I_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega_\eta}, L(\mu_\eta))$  and, for  $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ ;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega_\eta}} (I_t^2 - I_s^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \leq C(t - s)$$

where  $C \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$

(vii) (UI) For *a.a.s.*,  $0 \leq s < 1$  and sufficiently small  $h > 0$ ,  $\frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h}$  is strongly uniformly integrable in the sense that there exists  $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$  such that, for  $K > 0$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{R}$ ;

$$\int_{\frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h} > K} \frac{[I]_{s+h} - [I]_s}{h} dL(\mu_\eta) < f(K).$$

where  $[I]$  denotes the quadratic variation of the process  $I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I'$  be the modification of  $I$ , as given in the proof of Theorem 7.25 of [5]. Then  $I'$  and agree  $I$  on  $[0, 1] \times C$ , where  $P(C) = 1$ , and  $P = L(\mu_\eta)$ , so they are equivalent as stochastic processes. We show that  $I'$  is a martingale.

(i) follows from the fact that  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$  measurable, and  $I = I'$  a.e  $\mu \times L(\mu_\eta)$ , (\*). Here, completeness of the product is required.

(ii). By the construction in the proof of Theorem 7.25 of [5],  $I'_t$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathfrak{D}'_t \subset \mathfrak{D}_t$ .

(iii). We have, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} I'^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) &= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} I^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \circ F^2(t, x) d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq \circ \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} F^2(t, x) d\mu_\eta \\ &= \circ \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_0^t f^2(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta = \|g\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta)}^2 \quad (\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

using (\*), Definition 7.20, (see notation in Theorem 7.24), Theorem 3.16 and the proof of Theorem 7.22 in [5]. Hence  $I'_t \in L^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, P)$ , so  $I'_t \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, P)$ , by Holder's inequality, see [6].

(iv). Suppose  $s < t$ . We first show that  $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_s) = I'_s$ , ( $\dagger\dagger$ ). Suppose  $i \in {}^* \mathcal{N}$ , with  $\frac{i}{\nu} \simeq s$ , then we claim that  $E(I'_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = I'_s$ , (\*\*). As  $I_t = I'_t$  a.e  $P$ , we have  $E(I'_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = E(I_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp})$ . We can also see that  $F_t \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ . This follows from the calculation ( $\dagger$ ), Theorem 3.34(i) of [5], and the fact that;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} I^2(t, x) dL(\mu_\eta) = \|g\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta)}^2$$

by Ito's isometry, as  $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.34(iv) of [5],  $F_t \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{C}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , (\*\*\*)). Applying Theorem 7.3(ii) of [5] and (\*\*\*):

$$E(I_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = E(\circ F_t | \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = \circ E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i)$$

We have;

$$E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) \frac{\omega_{j+1}}{\sqrt{\nu}}$$

by  $*$ -independence of the sequence  $\{\omega_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq [\nu t]+1}$ . Letting  $s' = \frac{i-1}{\nu}$ , so  $s' \simeq s$ ,  $E(F_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^i) = F_{s'}$ . We have, using Theorem 7.24 of [5], that  $I_s = I_{s'}$  a.e  $P$ , so  $I'_s = I_s = I_{s'}$  a.e  $P$ . As  $I'_s$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}$ -measurable, we have  $E(I'_t | (\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}) = I'_s$ , showing  $(**)$ . As  $\mathfrak{D}'_s \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^i)^{comp}$ , and  $I'_s$  is  $\mathfrak{D}'_s$ -measurable, we have  $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_s) = I'_s$ , showing  $(\dagger\dagger)$ .

If  $A \in \mathfrak{D}_s$ , then, by Lemma 7.15(i) of [5],  $A \in \mathfrak{D}'_{s_1}$ , for  $s < s_1 < t$ . As  $E(I'_t | \mathfrak{D}'_{s_1}) = I'_{s_1}$ , to show  $(iv)$ , it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_A I'_s dL(\mu_\eta) = \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \int_A I'_{s_1} dL(\mu_\eta) \quad (\dagger\dagger\dagger)$$

To show  $(\dagger\dagger\dagger)$ , observe that  $\|I'_{s_1} - I'_s\|_2^2 \leq \|g_{[0, s_1]} - g_{[0, s]}\|_2^2$  by  $(\dagger)$ , where  $g_{[0, s_1]}$  is obtained by truncating the function  $g$  to the interval  $[0, s_1]$ ,  $(^4)$ . Using Holder's inequality and the DCT, we have  $\lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \|I'_{s_1} - I'_s\|_1 \leq \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow s} \|g_{[0, s_1]} - g_{[0, s]}\|_1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $(\dagger\dagger\dagger)$  is shown. This proves  $(iv)$ .

$(v)$ . This is Theorem 25 of [2].

□

We proceed to show the converse, that every martingale can be represented as a stochastic integral, using the nonstandard approach.

**Lemma 0.6.** *For  $0 \leq l \leq \nu$ , a basis of the  $*$ -finite vector space  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l)$  is given by  $D_l = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq l} B_m$ , where, for  $1 \leq m \leq \nu$ ,  $B_m = \{\omega_{\bar{t}} : \bar{t}(m) = 1, \bar{t}(m') = -1, m < m' \leq \nu\}$ , and  $B_0 = \{\omega_{\bar{-1}}\}$ .*

*Proof.* The case when  $l = 0$  is clear as  $\omega_{\bar{-1}} = 1$ , and using the description of  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^0$  in Definition 0.4. Using the observation  $(*)$  there, we have, for  $1 \leq l \leq \nu$ , that  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^l)$  is a  $*$ -finite vector space of dimension  $2^l$ . Using Lemma 0.3, and the fact that  $Card(D_l) = 2^l$ , it is sufficient to show each  $\omega_{\bar{t}} \in D_l$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^l$ . We have that, for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ ,  $\omega_j$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^j \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\eta^l$ . Hence, the result follows easily, by transfer of the result for finite measure spaces, that the product  $X_{j_1} X_{j_2}$ , of two measurable random variables  $X_{j_1}$  and  $X_{j_2}$  is measurable.

---

<sup>4</sup>Technically, you need to show that  $I_{s_1}$  is the non standard stochastic integral of  $g_{[0, s_1]}$ , and then apply Theorem 7.22 of [5], however, this is clear by truncating the corresponding lift of  $g$ .

□

**Definition 0.7.** We define a nonstandard martingale to be a  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function  $Y : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$ , such that;

- (i). For  $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$ ,  $Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}$ .
- (ii).  $E_\eta(Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} | \mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}) = Y_{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}$ , for  $(0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1)$ .
- (iii).  $E_\eta(|Y_{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor}|)$  is finite.

We say that  $Y$  is  $S$ -continuous, if there exists  $C \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$  with  $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$ , such that for  $x \in C$ ,  $Y(t, x) \simeq Y(s, x)$ , when  $s \simeq t$ , and each  $Y(t, x)$  is near standard. We say that  $Y$  has infinitesimal increments if, for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , and  $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$ ,  $t \neq 1$ ,  $Y(\frac{\lfloor t\nu \rfloor + 1}{\nu}, x) \simeq Y(\frac{\lfloor t\nu \rfloor}{\nu}, x)$ .

**Lemma 0.8.** Let  $Y : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function, satisfying (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7, then;

$$Y_t(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x) \quad (*)$$

where  $c_0 : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^0$ -measurable,  $c_j : [\frac{j}{\nu}, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable, for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ , and  $c_0(s, x) = c_0(t, x)$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $c_j(s, x) = c_j(t, x)$ , for  $\frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ . Conversely, if  $\{c_j : 0 \leq j \leq \nu\}$  is a collection of functions satisfying the above conditions, then the definition (\*) produces a  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ -measurable function, satisfying (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7.

*Proof.* Using (ii), we have that  $E_\eta(Y_t) = E_\eta(Y_t | \mathcal{C}_\eta^0) = Y_0$ . Replacing  $Y_t$  by  $Y_t - Y_0$ , we can, without loss of generality, assume that  $E_\eta(Y_t) = 0$ , for  $t \in {}^*[0, 1]$ . By (i) and Lemma 0.6;

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t\nu}{\nu} \rfloor} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x)$$

where;

$$c_j(t, x) = \sum_{a=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i_0 < \dots < i_a; 0}^{j-1} p_j^{(i_0, \dots, i_a)}(t) \omega_{i_0} \dots \omega_{i_a}(x)$$

Clearly,  $c_j$  is  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable. Again, using (ii), and the fact that  $c_k(t, x) \omega_k$  is orthogonal to the basis  $D_{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor}$  of  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor})$ , for  $\lfloor \frac{\nu s}{\nu} \rfloor < k \leq \lfloor \frac{\nu t}{\nu} \rfloor$ , (†), we have;



$$\sum_{j=1}^{[\nu s]} c_j(t, x) \omega_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu s]} c_j(s, x) \omega_j(x)$$

Equating coefficients, and using the fact that  $D_j$  is a basis for  $W(\mathcal{C}_\eta^j)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq [\nu s]$ , we obtain  $c_j(s, x) = c_j(t, x)$ , for all  $\frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq t \leq 1$ .

The converse is easy to check. (i) is obtained, observing that for  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , all the functions  $c_{j,t}$  and  $\omega_j$  are measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq [\nu t]$ . To obtain (ii), just take the conditional expectation of (\*) and make the observation † again.

□

**Lemma 0.9.** *Let  $X$  be a martingale, see footnote 3 for the definition, with the extra condition that  $X_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , then there exists a nonstandard martingale  $\overline{X}$ , see Definition 0.7, with  ${}^\circ(\overline{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$ , for  $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ , and such that the sequence  $\{\overline{X}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu\} \subset SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ . Moreover,  $\overline{X}$  is  $S$ -continuous, and we can take  $\overline{X}$  to have infinitesimal increments.*

*Proof.* By (i) of footnote 3, we have  $X$  is  $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{D}$ -measurable. We claim that  $X \in L^1([0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , (\*). Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $X \geq 0$ , <sup>(5)</sup> Then (\*) follows from the fact that, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,  $E(X_t) = E(X_t | \mathfrak{D}_0) = X_0$ , by (iv) of footnote 3, and so;

$$\int_{[0,1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta} X(t, x) d(L(\lambda_\nu) \times L(\mu_\eta)) = X_0 < \infty$$

by (iii) of footnote 3 and Fubini's theorem, see [6]. By the hypothesis that  $X_1 \in L^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , and using Theorem 7 of [2], see also Theorems 3.31 and 3.34 of [5], we can find  $\overline{V} \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , with  $({}^\circ \overline{V}) = X_1$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ , (†). We now define  $\overline{X} : \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow {}^* \mathcal{C}$  by taking  $\overline{X}(t, x) = (E_\eta(\overline{V} | \mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}))(x)$ . We may assume that  $\overline{X}$  is  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$  measurable, by the definition of  $E_\eta(\cdot)$ , see footnote 25 of Chapter 7, [5], and

---

<sup>5</sup> In order to see this, it is sufficient to show that  $X^+$  is a martingale, (\*). We have  $X = X^+ - X^-$ , and, by (iv), for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ;

$$X_t = X_t^+ - X_t^- = E(X_1 | \mathfrak{D}_t) = E(X_1^+ - X_1^- | \mathfrak{D}_t) = Y_t - Y_t' (**)$$

where  $Y_t = E(X_1^+ | \mathfrak{D}_t)$  and  $Y_t' = E(X_1^- | \mathfrak{D}_t)$ . It follows easily, modifying  $Y$  to  $Y^1$ , and  $Y'$  to  $Y'^1$ , a.e  $L(\lambda_\nu) \times L(\mu_\eta)$ , if necessary, and, using the tower law and definition of conditional expectations, see [8], that  $Y, Y'$  are martingales and  $Y, Y' \geq 0$ . We then have, by (\*\*), that  $X_t^+ = Y_t$  and  $X_t^- = Y_t'$  a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ . Hence, (\*) is shown.

transfer of the corresponding result for finite measure spaces. Then, by Theorem 7.3 of [5];

$$({}^\circ\bar{X})(t, x) = {}^\circ(E_\eta(\bar{V}|\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}))(x) = E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}) (**)$$

Moreover, if  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}$ , we have;

$$\int_A X_{\circ t} dL(\mu_\eta) = \lim_{t' \rightarrow \circ t} \int_A X_{t'} dL(\mu_\eta) = \int_A X_1 dL(\mu_\eta) (***)$$

using  $(iv), (v)$  of footnote 3 and the result of  $(*)$  to apply the *DCT*. Hence, as  $\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp} \subset \mathfrak{D}_{t'}$ , for  $0 \leq \circ t < t'$ , using  $(**)$  in Definition 0.4, we have;

$$E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\sigma(\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]})^{comp}) = E({}^\circ\bar{V}|\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t}) = E(X_1|\mathfrak{D}_{\circ t}) = X_{\circ t}$$

by  $(***)$ ,  $(\dagger)$  and  $(iv)$  of footnote 3. By  $(**)$ , we then have  $({}^\circ\bar{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ . We now verify conditions  $(i), (ii), (iii)$  of Definition 0.7.  $(i)$  is clear by Definition of  $\bar{X}$  and footnote 25 of Chapter 7, [5].  $(ii)$  follows by transfer of the tower law for the conditional expectation  $E_\eta(\cdot)$ , see again footnote 25 of Chapter 7.  $(iii)$  follows immediately from the fact that  $\bar{V} \in SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , and;

$$|E_\eta(\bar{X}_t)| = |E_\eta(\bar{V})| \leq E_\eta(|\bar{V}|) \leq \|\bar{V}\|_{SL^2} \simeq \|X_1\|_{L^2} < \infty \text{ (for } t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu)$$

by transfer of Holders inequality, the definition of  $E_\eta(\cdot)$ , and property  $(ii)$  in Definition 0.7. Finally, using Theorem 7.3 of [5], we have that the sequence  $\{\bar{X}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu\} \subset SL^2(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ . The  $S$ -continuity claim follows from the proof of Theorem 8.1 in [3]. We omit the details. For the final claim, we modify  $\bar{X}$  to obtain the final condition, while preserving the other properties. For  $n \in {}^*\mathcal{N}$ , we let;

$$V_n = \{x : \exists t(|\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)| \geq \frac{1}{n})\}, \text{ } ^6$$

By  $S$ -continuity of  $\bar{X}$ , we have that the internal set  $A = \{n \in {}^*\mathcal{N} : \mu_\eta(V_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$  contains  $\mathcal{N}$ , hence, it contains an infinite element  $\kappa$ . For  $x \in V_\kappa$ , we let  $\tau(x)$  be the first  $t$  such that  $|\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)| \geq \frac{1}{\kappa}$  and let

---

<sup>6</sup>We use the notation  $\Delta\bar{X}(t, x)$  to denote the increment  $\bar{X}(t + \frac{1}{\nu}, x) - \bar{X}(t, x)$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\nu}$

$\tau(x) = 1$  otherwise. We let  $\overline{W}$  be the internal process defined by;

$$\overline{W}_0 = \overline{X}_0$$

$$\Delta \overline{W}(x, t) = \Delta \overline{X}(x, t), \text{ if } t < \tau(x).$$

$$\Delta \overline{W}(x, t) = 0, \text{ if } t \geq \tau(x).$$

We claim that  $\overline{W}$  is a nonstandard martingale in the sense of Definition 0.7. For (i), by hyperfinite induction, and the fact that  $\overline{W}_0 = \overline{X}_0$ , it is sufficient to show that if  $\overline{W}_{\frac{i-1}{\nu}}$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{i-1}$ , then  $\overline{W}_{\frac{i}{\nu}}$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ , ( $\dagger\dagger$ ). If  $x \sim_i x'$ , we have that  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x)$  iff  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$ , as this is an internal definition depending only on information up to time  $\frac{i}{\nu}$ , hence must contain the equivalence class  $[x]_{\sim_i}$ . In this case, we have that  $\overline{W}(x, \frac{i}{\nu}) = \overline{W}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu}) + \Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})$ , which is constant on  $[x]_{\sim_i}$ , using the inductive hypothesis and measurability of  $\overline{X}$ . The case when  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x)$  is similar. Hence, ( $\dagger\dagger$ ) and (i) are shown. For (ii), it is sufficient to show that if  $x \in \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , then;

$$\int_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} \overline{W}_{\frac{i-1}{\nu}} d\mu_\eta = \int_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} \overline{W}_{\frac{i}{\nu}} d\mu_\eta, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \nu \text{ (}\dagger\dagger\dagger\text{)}$$

Clearly, if  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x]_{\sim_{i-1}}$ , then  $\Delta \overline{W}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}}} = 0$ , and the result ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ) follows trivially. Similarly, if  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x]_{\sim_{i-1}}$ , then  $\overline{W}|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} \times [\frac{i-1}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu})} = \overline{X}|_{[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} \times [\frac{i-1}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu})}$ , and the result ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ) follows from the martingale property of  $\overline{X}$ . We can, therefore, write  $[x]_{\sim_{i-1}} = [x_1]_{\sim_i} \cup [x_2]_{\sim_i}$ , and assume that  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} < \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x_1]_{\sim_i}$ , and  $\frac{i-1}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$ . If  $\frac{i-2}{\nu} \geq \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$ , then the same must hold for all  $x' \in [x_1]_{\sim_i}$ , contradicting the assumption. Hence, we can also assume that  $\frac{i-2}{\nu} < \tau(x')$ , for all  $x' \in [x_2]_{\sim_i}$ . It follows that  $|\Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x_1]_{\sim_i}}| \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}$  and  $|\Delta \overline{X}(x, \frac{i-1}{\nu})|_{[x_2]_{\sim_i}}| > \frac{1}{\kappa}$ , but this contradicts the martingale property ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ) for  $\overline{X}$ . Hence, this case can't happen, so ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ) and (ii) is shown. Property (iii) follows from the fact that  $\overline{W}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ), which we show below, and the inequality;

$$E_\eta(|\overline{W}_{\frac{\nu t}{\nu}}|) \leq E_\eta(\overline{W}_{\frac{\nu t}{\nu}}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E_\eta(\overline{W}_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

which uses Cauchy-Schwartz, and the martingale property (ii). By construction  $\overline{W}$  has infinitesimal increments. As we are only modifying  $\overline{X}$  inside  $V_\kappa \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , where  $L(\mu_\eta)(V_\kappa) = 0$  it is clear that  $S$ -continuity is preserved. Similarly, we must have that  ${}^\circ(\overline{W}_t) = X_{\circ t}$ , for  $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ . It remains to show  $(\dagger\dagger\dagger)$ . By the above remark on modification, it is sufficient to show that  $\int_{V_\kappa} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \simeq 0$ . We can define a relation on  $\overline{\Omega}_\eta$  by  $x \sim x'$  if  $x' \in [x]_{\tau(x)-1}$ . If  $x \sim x'$ , then, by the above discussion,  $\tau(x) = \tau(x')$ , and so  $\sim$  defines an equivalence relation. We clearly have that  $V_\kappa = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq r} [x_j]_{\sim}$  is an internal union of such equivalence classes. A simple calculation gives that;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V_\kappa} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta &= {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\sim}} \overline{W}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\tau(x_j)-1}} \overline{X}_{\tau(x_j)-1}^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq {}^* \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \int_{[x_j]_{\tau(x_j)-1}} \overline{X}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= \int_{V_\kappa} \overline{X}_1^2 d\mu_\eta \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of  $\overline{W}$ , and the calculation of Theorem 12(ii) in [2]. This gives the result. □

**Lemma 0.10.** *Let  $X$  be a tame martingale, and let  $\overline{X}$  be as in Lemma 0.9. Then we can find  $\kappa \in {}^* \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\kappa | \nu$ , and for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ ;*

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\kappa}$$

where  $C \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$  is as given in footnote 3. Moreover, we can find  $D \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , with  $\mu_\eta(D) \simeq 1$ ,  $E \subset \mathcal{T}_\nu$  with  $\mu_\eta(E) \simeq 0$ , such that for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E$ ;

$$1_D \kappa ([\overline{X}]_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}} - [\overline{X}]_t) \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that  $n | \nu$ , for all  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . If  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$  and  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have that  $\{\overline{X}_t, \overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}\} \subset SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , hence  $(\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ . We, therefore, have that;

$${}^\circ(\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\circ(\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}})^2 - \circ(\overline{X}_t)^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (X_{\circ t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - X_{\circ t}^2) dL(\mu_\eta) \leq \frac{C}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{n}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{n}$$

As this holds for all  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , and the property is internal, we can find an infinite  $\kappa | \nu$ , such that;

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{t+\frac{1}{\kappa}}^2 - \overline{X}_t^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\kappa}$$

for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , as required, for the first part.

For the second condition, using Proposition 4.4.12 in [1], we can assume that there exists  $C \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , with  $L(\mu_\eta)(C) = 1$ , such that  $[\overline{X}]$  lifts the standard process  $[X]$  on  $C \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$ . For ease of notation, for  $m \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , let  $[\overline{X}]_{t,m}$  denote the increment  $m([\overline{X}]_{t+\frac{1}{m}} - [\overline{X}]_t)$  and  $[X]_{t,m}$  the corresponding standard increment, for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We clearly have that  $\circ[\overline{X}]_{t,m} = [X]_{\circ t,m}$  on  $C \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$ . Choose a sequence of  $\{C_m : m \in \mathcal{N}\}$ , with  $C_m \subset C$ , such that each  $C_m \in \mathcal{C}_\eta$  and  $\mu_\eta(C_m) = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$ . As  $C_m$  is internal and  $[\overline{X}]$  lifts  $X$  on  $C_m \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , by compactness, we must have that  $[\overline{X}]$  is bounded on  $C_m \times \mathcal{T}_\nu$ ,  $|[\overline{X}]| \leq D(m)$ , where  $D(m) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Let  $V \subset [0, 1]$  be the set on which the incremental condition (vii) in Definition 3 does not hold. Then  $L(\lambda_\nu)(st^{-1}(V)) = 0$ , and we can choose  $E_m \in \mathcal{C}_\nu$ , with  $\lambda_\nu(E_m) = \frac{1}{m}$ , such that  $E_m \supset st^{-1}(V)$ . Then, we have that, for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_m$ , for all  $K \leq 2D(m)m$ , that;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\circ \int_{[\overline{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m} [\overline{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&= \circ \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} 1_{([\overline{X}]_{t,m} > K) \cap C_m} [\overline{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} 1_{([\overline{X}]_{t,m} > K) \cap C_m} [X]_{\circ t,m} dL\mu_\eta
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[\overline{X}]_{t,m} > K} 1_{C_m} [\overline{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta \\
&< \int_{[X]_{\circ t,m} > K-1} 1_{C_m} [X]_{\circ t,m} dL(\mu_\eta) + \frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \int_{[X]^{\circ_{t,m}} >_{K-1}} [X]^{\circ_{t,m}} dL(\mu_\eta) + \frac{1}{m} \\
&= f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used condition (vii) in the definition from footnote 3. The condition (\*) holds trivially when  $K > 2D(m)m$ , as then;

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} >_K} 1_{C_m}[\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta = 0$$

It follows that;

$$*\mathcal{R} \models (\forall t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_m)(\forall K,)$$

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,m} >_K} 1_{C_m}[\bar{X}]_{t,m} d\mu_\eta < f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{m}$$

for all sufficiently large  $m \in \mathcal{N}$ . By overflow, we can satisfy the condition for the same infinite  $\kappa \in *\mathcal{N}$  as above. In particular, we obtain, for infinite  $K$ ,  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_\kappa$  that;

$$\int_{[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} >_K} 1_{C_\kappa}[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} d\mu_\eta < f^*(K-1) + \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 0$$

It follows, using the criterion in Lemma 3.19 of [5], that  $1_{C_\kappa}[\bar{X}]_{t,\kappa} \in SL^1(\bar{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E_\kappa$  as required. Letting  $D = C_\kappa E = E_\kappa$  and noting that  $\mu_\eta(D) = 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 1$ ,  $\mu_\eta(E) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \simeq 0$  we obtain the result.

□

**Definition 0.11.** Let  $\bar{X}$  be as in Definition 0.7, with  $E_\eta(\bar{X}_0) = 0$ , and let  $\{c_j(t, x) : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}$  be given as in Lemma 0.8. Then we define;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{H} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C}, \bar{Z} : \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C}, \bar{Y} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\kappa \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C}, \bar{W} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\kappa \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C}, \\
&\{d_j(t, x) : 1 \leq j \leq \nu\}, \bar{S} : \bar{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C}, \bar{Q} : \bar{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow *\mathcal{C} \text{ by;}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{H}(t, x) = \sqrt{\nu} c_{[\nu t]+1}(s, x)$$

$$\text{where } s \geq \frac{[\nu t]+1}{\nu}, \text{ for } 0 \leq t < 1 \text{ and;}$$

$$\bar{H}(t, x) = 0, \text{ for } t = 1$$

$$\bar{Z}(x) = * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\bar{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \bar{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2$$

$$\overline{Y}(t, x) = 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq [\nu t] < \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1$$

$$\overline{Y}(t, x) = \frac{k}{\nu} (\overline{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]}{\nu}}^2 + \overline{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \overline{H}_{\frac{[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2), \text{ for } \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1 \leq [\nu t] \leq 1$$

$$\overline{W} = \sqrt{\overline{Y}}$$

$$d_j(s, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \overline{W}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \nu, \text{ and } \frac{j}{\nu} \leq s \leq 1.$$

$$\overline{S}(t, x) = * \sum_{j=1}^{[\nu t]} d_j(1, x) \omega_j$$

$$\overline{Q}(x) = * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\overline{S}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \overline{S}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2$$

**Lemma 0.12.** *If  $\overline{X}$  is as in Lemma 0.9, and  $X$  is tame, then  $\overline{Y} \in SL^1(\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$ ,  $\overline{Z} \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$  and  $\overline{S}$  is a nonstandard martingale, with  $\overline{S}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\overline{Z} \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , (†), follows from Proposition 4.4.3 of [1] and the properties of  $\overline{X}$ . This does not require that  $\overline{X}$  is  $S$ -continuous or has infinitesimal increments.

For the last claim, it is easily seen that the functions  $d_j : [\frac{j}{\nu}, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow * \mathcal{C}$  are  $\mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta^{j-1}$ -measurable, for  $1 \leq j \leq \nu$ . Hence, using Lemma 0.8, we have that  $\overline{S}$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.7. By Proposition 4.4.3 of [1], it is sufficient to show that  $\overline{Q} \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , as  $\overline{S}_0 = 0$ . (explain why we can assume this?) We compute;

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Q}(x) &= * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\overline{S}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \overline{S}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2 \\ &= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} d_j^2(1, x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \overline{W}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \overline{Y}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{\frac{\nu}{\kappa}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-2} \frac{k}{\nu} (\overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 + \overline{H}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \overline{H}_{\frac{j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta + r(x) \\ &= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \nu} c_j^2(1, x) d\mu_\eta + r(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\overline{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}}(x) - \overline{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}(x))^2 + r(x) \\
&= \overline{Z}(x) + r(x)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $r(x) \geq 0$  is a remainder term. We have that  $E_\eta(r(x)) \simeq 0$ , and  $r(x) \leq \overline{Z}(x)$ . It follows, easily, that  $r(x) \simeq 0$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ ,  $\overline{Q}(x) \simeq \overline{Z}(x)$  a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ , and  $\overline{Q}(x) \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$  as required.

For the first part, observe first that  $\overline{H}$  is progressively measurable, that is  $\overline{H}_t$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_\eta^{[\nu t]}$ , hence, so is  $\overline{Y}$ .

By Lemma 3.19 of [5], it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_{\overline{Y} > K} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0, \text{ for } K \text{ infinite}$$

As  $\overline{Y}$  is progressively measurable, the set  $\overline{Y} > K$  is progressively measurable. Moreover, it has infinitesimal measure. This clearly follows from showing that;

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta} \overline{Y} d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \text{ is finite, } (*)$$

To see (\*), we compute;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \overline{Y}\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{\frac{\nu}{k}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \overline{Y}\left(\frac{j}{\nu}, x\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{\frac{\nu}{k}-1 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \left(\frac{k}{\nu} (\overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 + \overline{H}_{\frac{j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \overline{H}_{\frac{j-\frac{\nu}{k}+1}{\nu}}^2)\right) d\mu_\eta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{\nu} {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \nu |c_j(1, x)|^2 d\mu_\eta \quad (\dagger\dagger) \\
&= {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} |c_j(1, x)|^2 d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} |\overline{X}_1|^2 d\mu_\eta \quad (\dagger\dagger\dagger)
\end{aligned}$$

where, in ( $\dagger\dagger$ ), we have used Definition 0.11, and, in ( $\dagger\dagger\dagger$ ), we have used the fact that  $\overline{X}_1 = {}^* \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} c_j(1, x) \omega_j$ , by Lemma 0.8, and the



orthogonality observation (\*) there. Hence, (\*) is shown, by the assumption that  $\overline{X}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_A \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0, \text{ for a progressively measurable set } A \text{ with } \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta(A) \simeq 0. (**)$$

We now verify (\*\*);

Case 1. Let  $A \subset \overline{\Omega}_\eta$ , with  $\mu_\eta(A) \simeq 0$ , then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A \times \overline{T}_\eta} \overline{Y} d\mu_\eta d\lambda_\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_A \overline{Y} d\mu_\eta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} \int_A \overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}}^2 d\mu_\eta \text{ (as above)} \\ &= \int_A * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} c_j(1, x)^2 d\mu_\eta \\ &= \int_A * \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \nu-1} (\overline{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \overline{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 d\mu_\eta = \int_A \overline{Z} \simeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by (†).

Case 2. Let  $B \subset \overline{T}_\nu$ , with  $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$  and  $\lambda_\nu(B) \simeq 0$ . We can write  $B = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq s} I_j$ , where  $I_j$  is an interval of the form  $[\frac{i_j}{\nu}, \frac{i_j+1}{\nu})$ , for some  $0 \leq i_j \leq \nu-1$ , and  $\frac{s}{\nu} \simeq 0$ . We compute, for  $i_j \geq \frac{\nu}{\kappa} - 1$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\frac{\kappa}{\nu} (\overline{H}_{\frac{i_j}{\nu}}^2 + \overline{H}_{\frac{i_j-1}{\nu}}^2 + \dots + \overline{H}_{\frac{i_j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+1}{\nu}}^2)) d\mu_\eta \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (c_{i_j+1}^2 + \dots + c_{i_j-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}+2}^2) d\mu_\eta \end{aligned}$$

We have that;

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X}(t, x) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq t\nu} c_j(1, x) \omega_j(x) \\ \overline{X}(t, x)^2 &= \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq t\nu} c_j(1, x) c_k(1, x) \omega_j(x) \omega_k(x) \text{ (\#)} \end{aligned}$$

Then;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_t)^2(x) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq [t\nu]} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} c_j(1, x) c_k(1, x) \omega_j \omega_k d\mu_\eta \text{ (using (\#))} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq [t\nu]} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} c_j^2(1, x) d\mu_\eta \text{ (using Lemma 0.8) (\#\#)}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (c_{i_j+1}^2 + \dots + c_{i_j - \frac{\nu}{\kappa} + 2}^2) d\mu_\eta \\
&= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1}{\nu}}^2 - \overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}{\nu}}^2) d\mu_\eta
\end{aligned}$$

and, therefore, that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
& \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} (\overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1}{\nu}}^2 - \overline{X}_{\frac{i_j+1-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}{\nu}}^2) d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{C+1}{\nu}
\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 0.10. We then have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times B} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\
&= * \sum_{1 \leq j \leq s} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times I_j} \overline{Y}(t, x) d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \leq \frac{s(C+1)}{\nu} \simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Case 3. Let  $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$ , with  $(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B) = \delta \simeq 0$ . Let;

$$I = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq \nu, \mu_\eta(pr_\eta(B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}))) > \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$$

Let  $C = \bigcup_{i \in I} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}]$ , so  $C \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$ , and let  $B_1 = B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(C)$ . As  $B_1 \subset B$ , and by construction of  $C$ , we have that;

$$\delta \geq (\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B_1) > \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_\nu(C)$$

It follows that  $\lambda_\nu(C) < \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \simeq 0$ . By Case 2, we have that;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_1} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
& \leq \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times C} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $B_2 = B \cap B_1^c$ , then  $B_2 \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$  and  $(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)(B_2) \simeq 0$ , and to show Case 3, it is sufficient to prove that;

$$\int_{B_2} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \simeq 0$$

We say that  $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$  is wide, if there exists  $\epsilon \simeq 0$ , with  $\mu_\eta(pr_\eta(B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(t))) \leq \epsilon$ , for  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , and note that  $B_2$  is wide. We are thus reduced to;

Case 4. Suppose  $B$  is progressively measurable and wide, and let;

$$I_j = \{i \in {}^*\mathcal{N} : 0 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, \text{rem}(2, i) = j, B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}) \neq \emptyset\}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$S_j = \bigcup_{i \in I_j} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$B_j = B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(S_j), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

Then  $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq 1} B_j$ , and each  $B_j$  is progressively measurable and wide. Let;

$$V_j = \{(i, s) \in {}^*\mathcal{N}^2 : 1 \leq i \leq \nu - 1, 0 \leq s < 2^i, \text{rem}(2, s) = j, B \cap pr_\nu^{-1}(\frac{i}{\nu}) \neq \emptyset, B \cap pr_\eta^{-1}(\frac{s}{\eta}) \neq \emptyset\}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

$$W_j = \bigcup_{(i,s) \in V_j} [\frac{i}{\nu}, \frac{i+1}{\nu}) \times [\frac{s}{2^i}, \frac{s+1}{2^i}), 0 \leq j \leq 1$$

By the progressive measurability of  $B$ ,  $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq 1} W_j$  and each  $W_j$  is progressively measurable and wide. Let  $B_{ij} = B_i \cap W_j$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 1$ . Then  $B = \bigcup_{0 \leq i, j \leq 1} B_{ij}$  and each  $B_{ij}$  is progressively measurable and wide. We say that  $B \in \mathcal{D}_\nu \times \mathcal{C}_\eta$  is separated if, for all  $(t, x) \in B$ ,  $(t + \frac{1}{\nu}) \notin pr_\nu(B)$ , and  $(t, \frac{[x2^{[t\nu]}]+1}{2^{[t\nu]}}) \notin B$ , for  $[t\nu] \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq [x2^{[t\nu]}] \leq 2^{[t\nu]} - 2$ . . By construction, each  $B_{ij}$  is separated, for  $0 \leq i, j \leq 1$ . We are thus reduced to;

Case 5. Suppose  $B$  is progressively measurable, wide and separated.

Observe that;

$$\kappa([\overline{X}]_t - [\overline{X}]_{t-\frac{1}{\kappa}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \kappa \left( \sum_{j=0}^{[\nu t]-1} (\overline{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \overline{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{[\nu(t-\frac{1}{\kappa})]-1} (\overline{X}_{\frac{j+1}{\nu}} - \overline{X}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 \right) \\
&= \kappa \left( \sum_{j=[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}^{[\nu t]-1} (c_{j+1})^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{\kappa}{\nu} \left( \sum_{j=[\nu t]-\frac{\nu}{\kappa}}^{[\nu t]-1} (\overline{H}_{\frac{j}{\nu}})^2 \right) \\
&= \overline{Y}_{t-\frac{1}{\nu}}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 0.10, that there exists  $E'$  with  $\mu_\eta(E') = 0$ , such that  $1_D \overline{Y}_t \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mu_\eta)$ , ( $\dagger\dagger$ ) for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'$ . We now compute;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_B \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&\leq \int_{B \cap (D^c \times \mathcal{T}_\nu)} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) + \int_{B \cap (\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times E')} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&\quad + \int_{B \cap (D \times \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E')} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \\
&\simeq \int_{B \cap (D \times \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E')} \overline{Y} d(\lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta) \text{ (by Cases 1,2)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'} \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} 1_D \overline{Y}_t d\mu_\eta d\lambda_\nu \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E'} g(t) d\lambda_\nu \text{ (where } g \simeq 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{T}_\nu \setminus E') \\
&\simeq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumption ( $\dagger\dagger$ ) and the fact that  $B$  is wide in the penultimate line. It follows that  $\overline{Y} \in SL^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times \mathcal{T}_\nu)$  as required.

□

**Theorem 0.13.** *Any tame martingale  $X$  is representable as a stochastic integral;*

$$X(t, x) = \int_0^t F(s, x) d\beta_s$$

where  $F : [0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \in L^2([0, 1] \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta, L(\mu_\eta))$ , and  $\beta_s$  is a Brownian motion.

*Proof.* By Lemma 0.9, there exists a nonstandard martingale  $\overline{X}$ , with  ${}^\circ(\overline{X}_t) = X_{\circ t}$ , for  $t \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu$ , a.e  $L(\mu_\eta)$ . Let notation be as in Definition 0.11. Then by Lemma 0.12, we have shown that  $\overline{Y} \in SL^1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ .

We have that  $\overline{S} = \int \overline{W} d\chi$ , where  $\chi$  is Anderson's random walk, and, therefore, the quadratic variation;

$$[\overline{S}] = \overline{Q} = \int \overline{W}^2 dt.$$

We claim that;

$$\circ[\overline{S}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta) \quad (*)$$

where  $f \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times [0, 1])$ . To see this, we first claim that  $\overline{W}_x^2 \in SL^1(\mathcal{T}_\nu)$  a.e  $dL(\mu_\eta)$ , (\*\*). Suppose not, then, using Theorem 9 of [2], there exists  $A$  with  $L(\mu_\eta)(A) > 0$ , such that;

$$\circ \int_0^1 \overline{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu > \int_0^1 \circ \overline{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu).$$

But then;

$$\begin{aligned} & \circ \int_A \int_0^1 \overline{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \\ & \geq \int_A \circ \int_0^1 \overline{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu dL(\mu_\eta) \\ & > \int_A \int_0^1 \circ \overline{W}^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) dL(\mu_\eta) \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that  $\overline{W} \in SL^2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$ . Hence, (\*\*) is shown. Let  $V_x(t) = \int_0^t \overline{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By (\*\*), we have that;

$$\circ V_x(t) = \int_0^t \circ \overline{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu)$$

We claim that  $\circ V_x$  is absolutely continuous, (\*\*\*)). Suppose not, then there exist internal  $B_n \subset \mathcal{T}_\nu$ , with each  $B_n$  a finite union of intervals with real endpoints, such that  $\lambda(B_n \cap [0, 1]) < \frac{1}{n}$ , where  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure, and  $\epsilon \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$ , such that;

$$\int_{B_n} \circ \overline{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) > \epsilon$$

$$\text{Then } \circ \int_{B_n} \overline{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu \geq \int_{B_n} \circ \overline{W}_x^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) > \epsilon$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_\nu(B_n) \simeq \lambda(B_n \cap [0, 1]) < \frac{1}{n}$$

as each  $B_n$  is a finite union of intervals. We can extend the sequence  $(B_n)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$  to an internal sequence indexed by  ${}^*\mathcal{N}$ . By overflow, we can

find an infinite  $\rho \in {}^*\mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ , with  $B_\rho \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$ , such that  $\lambda_\nu(B_\rho) < \frac{1}{\rho} \simeq 0$  and;

$$\int_{B_\rho} \overline{W}_x^2 d\lambda_\nu > \epsilon$$

This contradicts (\*\*). Hence, (\*\*\*) is shown. By real analysis, see [6] Theorem 7.18, the derivative  $f_x = ({}^\circ V_x)'$  exists a.e  $d\lambda$ ,  $f_x \in L^1([0, 1])$  and;

$${}^\circ[\overline{S}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta)$$

We compute;

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_0^1 f(x, s) ds \\ &= \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu} {}^\circ \overline{W}^2 dL(\lambda_\nu) dL(\mu_\eta) \\ &= {}^\circ \int_{\overline{\Omega}_\eta} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu} \overline{W}^2 d\lambda_\nu d\mu_\eta \end{aligned}$$

which is finite, as  $\overline{W} \in SL^2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\nu \times \overline{\Omega}_\eta, \lambda_\nu \times \mu_\eta)$ , hence  $f \in L^1(\overline{\Omega}_\eta \times [0, 1])$ , thus (\*) is shown.

We have that;

$$[\overline{S}]_t \simeq [\overline{X}]_t = \overline{Z}_t \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta)$$

This follows by computing the remainder term  $r(x)$  in the proof of Lemma 0.12 and using the fact that  $\overline{Z}$  is  $S$ -continuous. This last is a consequence of the fact that  $\overline{X}$  is  $S$ -continuous and  $\overline{X}_1 \in SL^2(\overline{\Omega}_\eta)$ , using Theorem 4.2.16 of [1]. Hence, we have;

$${}^\circ[\overline{X}](x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds \text{ a.e } dL(\mu_\eta) \text{ (***)}$$

Define a new adapted process  $g$  by;

$$g(x, t) = f^{\frac{-1}{2}}(x, t) \text{ if } f(x, t) \neq 0, \text{ and } g(x, t) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Let  $1_g$  be the characteristic function of the set  $\{(x, t) : g(x, t) = 0\}$ . We have that;

$$E(\int_0^1 g(x, s)^2 d^\circ \overline{X}) = E(\int_0^1 g(x, s)^2 f(x, s) ds) \leq 1$$

hence,  $g \in L^2(\nu_{\circ[\overline{X}]})$ . Let  $G \in SL^2(\overline{X})$  be a 2-lifting of  $g$ , and  $1_G$  a 2-lifting of  $1_g$ . We can assume that  $G \cdot 1_G = 0$ . Define;

$$\beta(x, t) = \circ(\int_0^t G(x, s) d\overline{X}(x, s) + \int_0^t 1_G(x, s) d\chi(x, s))$$

Since,  $G$  and  $1_G$  have disjoint supports;

$$[\beta](x, t) = \circ[\int G d\overline{X}](x, t) + \circ[\int 1_G d\chi](x, t)$$

$$= \circ(\int G^2 d\overline{X})(x, t) + \circ[\int 1_G dt](x, t)$$

$$= \int_0^t g^2 f ds + \int_0^t 1_g^2 ds = \int_0^t 1 ds = t$$

It follows, using Proposition 4.4.13 and 4.4.18 of [1], this requires that  $\overline{X}$  has infinitesimal increments, that  $\beta$  is a Brownian motion, adapted to the filtration  $(\overline{\Omega}_\eta, \mathcal{D}_t, L(\mu_\eta))$ . We have that  $f^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\nu_\beta)$  and;

$$\int f^{\frac{1}{2}} d\beta = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \overline{X} + \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} 1_g d^\circ \chi = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \overline{X}$$

since  $f^{\frac{1}{2}} 1_g = 0$ . It remains to show that  $\circ \overline{X} = \int f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \overline{X}$ , since, we then get the result by setting  $F = f^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Using Doob's inequality;

$$E(\sup_{q \leq 1, q \in \mathcal{Q}} (\circ \overline{X}(q) - \int_0^q f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \overline{X})^2)$$

$$\leq 4E((\circ \overline{X}(1) - \int_0^1 f^{\frac{1}{2}} g d^\circ \overline{X})^2)$$

$$= 4E(\int_0^1 (1 - f^{\frac{1}{2}} g)^2 d^\circ \overline{X})$$

$$= 4E(\int_0^1 (1 - f^{\frac{1}{2}} g)^2 dt) = 0$$

as  $f^{\frac{1}{2}} g = 1$ , whenever  $f \neq 0$ .

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Nonstandard Methods in Stochastic Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Sergio Albeverio, Jens Erik Fenstad, Raphael Hoegh-Krohn, Tom Lindstrom, Dover, (2009).

- [2] A Non-Standard Representation for Brownian Motion and Ito Integration, Robert Anderson, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, (1976).
- [3] Nonstandard Construction of the Stochastic Integral and Applications to Stochastic Differential Equations II, Douglas Hoover and Edwin Perkins, *Transactions of the AMS*, (1983).
- [4] Conversion from Nonstandard to Standard Measure Spaces and Applications in Probability Theory, Peter Loeb, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, (1975).
- [5] Applications of Nonstandard Analysis to Probability Theory, Tristram de Piro, M.Sc Dissertation in Financial Mathematics, University of Exeter, (2013).
- [6] Real and Complex Analysis, Walter Rudin, McGraw Hill Third Edition, (1987).
- [7] Stochastic Calculus and Financial Applications, Michael Steele, *Applications of Mathematics*, Springer, (2001).
- [8] Probability with Martingales, David Williams, *Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks*, (1991).

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, HARRISON BUILDING, STREATHAM CAMPUS,  
UNIVERSITY OF EXETER, NORTH PARK ROAD, EXETER, DEVON, EX4 4QF,  
UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* `tdpd201@exeter.ac.uk`