

# A NOTE ON A STRONGLY DAMPED WAVE EQUATION WITH FAST GROWING NONLINEARITIES

VARGA KALANTAROV<sup>1</sup>, AND SERGEY ZELIK<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. A strongly damped wave equation including the displacement depending nonlinear damping term and nonlinear interaction function is considered. The main aim of the note is to show that under the standard dissipativity restrictions on the nonlinearities involved the initial boundary value problem for the considered equation is globally well-posed in the class of sufficiently regular solutions and the semigroup generated by the problem possesses a global attractor in the corresponding phase space. These results are obtained for the nonlinearities of an arbitrary polynomial growth and without the assumption that the considered problem has a global Lyapunov function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , we consider the following problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u + f(u)\partial_t u - \gamma\partial_t \Delta_x u - \Delta_x u + g(u) = h, & u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1. \end{cases}$$

Here  $u = u(t, x)$  is unknown function,  $\Delta_x$  is the Laplacian with respect to the variable  $x$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  is a given dissipation parameter,  $f$  and  $g$  are given nonlinearities and  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$  are given external forces. We assume throughout of the paper that the nonlinearities  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} 1. & f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ 2. & -C + \alpha|u|^p \leq f(u) \leq C_1(1 + |u|^p), \\ 3. & -C + \alpha|u|^q \leq g'(u) \leq C(1 + |u|^q), \end{cases}$$

where  $p, q \geq 0$  and  $p + q > 0$ .

Strongly damped wave equations of the form (1.1) and similar equations are of a great current interest, see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 20] and references therein. The most studied is the case with only one nonlinearity ( $f \equiv 0$ ), i.e. the problem of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \gamma\partial_t \Delta_x u - \Delta_x u + g(u) = h, & u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \partial_t u|_{t=0} = u_1. \end{cases}$$

Even in this particular case, the equation has a lot of non-trivial and interesting features attracting the attention of many mathematicians, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 13, 20] and references therein. For instance, it has been thought for a long time that, for the case of the solutions belonging to the so-called energy phase space, there is a critical growth exponent  $q_{max} = 4$  for the nonlinearity  $g$  and that the properties of the solutions in the supercritical case  $q > q_{max}$  are *principally* different from the subcritical case  $q < q_{max}$ . On the other hand, as has been shown already in [20] that the problem (1.3), with nonlinear term satisfying just the condition  $g'(s) \geq -C$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$  has a global unique solution belonging to the more regular phase space

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 := [H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)] \times H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \xi_u(t) \in \mathcal{E}_1, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here and below  $H^s(\Omega)$  stands for the usual Sobolev space of distributions whose derivatives up to order  $s$  belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H_0^s(\Omega)$  means the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^s(\Omega)$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35B45.

*Key words and phrases.* strongly damped wave equations, attractors, supercritical nonlinearities.

The work of Varga Kalantarov was partially supported by the Scientific and Research Council of Turkey, grant no. 112T934.

So, there is no critical growth exponent for the class of smooth solutions  $\xi_u := (u, \partial_t u) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ . A dissipativity of the semigroup generated by problem (1.3) in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_1$  was shown in [7] and [15], in [15] regularity of the attractor of the semigroup was also established.

The global unique solvability, dissipativity and asymptotic regularity of solutions of (1.3) *without* any growth restrictions (just assuming that  $g(u)$  satisfies (1.2) with arbitrary  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ) has been relatively recently established in [8] also for the case of solutions belonging to the natural energy space

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{E}_{f=0} := [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{q+2}(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega).$$

Thus, despite the expectations, even on the level of energy solutions there is no critical exponent for the growth rate of  $g$  and the analytic and dynamic properties (existence and uniqueness, dissipativity, asymptotic smoothing, attractors and their dimension) look very similar for the cases  $q < 4$  and  $q > 4$ . This is related with the non-trivial monotonicity properties of the equation considered in the space  $L^2(\Omega) \times H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , see [8] for more details.

The alternative case when another nonlinearity vanishes  $g \equiv 0$  also leads to essential simplifications. Indeed, assuming that  $h = 0$  for simplicity and introducing the new variable  $v(t) := \int_0^t u(s) ds$ , we reduce (1.1) to

$$\partial_t^2 v - \gamma \partial_t v + F(\partial_t v) - \Delta_x v = c,$$

where  $c$  depends on the initial data and  $F(u) := \int_0^u f(v) dv$ . Using e.g., the methods of [9], one can show the absence of a critical exponent for the growth rate of  $f(u)$  in the energy phase space.

The situation becomes more complicated when both nonlinearities  $f$  and  $g$  are presented in the equation and grow sufficiently fast since the methods developed to treat the case of fast growing  $g$  are hardly compatible with the methods for  $f$  and vice versa. In particular, the problem of presence or absence of critical growth exponents for the non-linearities  $f$  and  $g$  is still open here and, to the best of our knowledge, a more or less complete theory for this equation (including existence and uniqueness, dissipativity, asymptotic regularity, attractors, etc.) is built up only for the case where  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the growth restrictions  $p \leq 4$ ,  $q \leq 4$  and additional monotonicity restriction

$$(1.6) \quad f(u) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

see [11] for the details.

The main aim of these notes is to show that problem (1.1) is globally well-posed and dissipative at least in the class of the so-called strong solutions  $\xi_u \in \mathcal{E}_1$  *without* any restrictions on the growth exponents  $p$  and  $q$  and without the monotonicity assumption (1.6). To be more precise, the main result of the notes is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $h \in L^2(\Omega)$  and the nonlinearities  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy assumptions (1.2). Then, for every  $\xi_u(0) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ , there exists a unique strong solution  $\xi_u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E}_1)$  of (1.1) and the following estimate holds:*

$$(1.7) \quad \|\xi_u(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq Q(\|\xi_u(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2})$$

for some positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$ , where

$$\|\xi_u(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 := \|\nabla_x \partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 2.

The dissipative estimate (1.7) is strong enough to obtain the existence of a global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  for the considered system in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and verify that its smoothness is restricted by the regularity of the data  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  only, see Section 3 for more details. Note also that, in contrast to the most part of papers on the subject, we do not use the monotonicity assumption (1.6). As a result, the equation does not possess any more a global Lyapunov function and the non-trivial dynamics on the attractor becomes possible. For instance, our assumptions include the Van der Pole nonlinearities  $f(u) = u^3 - u$  and  $g(u) = u$ , so the time periodic orbits (and chaotic dynamics) become possible. Another classical example with non-trivial dynamics is the so-called FitzHugh-Nagumo system:

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta_x u - \phi(u) - v, \\ \partial_t v = u - v. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, differentiating the first equation by  $t$  and removing the variable  $v$  using the second equation, we obtain the equation

$$(1.9) \quad \partial_t^2 u - \partial_t \Delta_x u + \psi'(u) \partial_t u - \Delta_x u + \psi(u) = 0,$$

where  $\psi(u) = u + \phi(u)$ . So, the FitzHugh-Nagumo system is indeed a particular case of the strongly damped wave equation of the form (1.1).

Thus, relaxing the monotonicity assumption (1.6) indeed makes the theory essentially more general and interesting. As a price to pay, we lose the control over the possible growth of weak energy solutions. Indeed, the energy equality for our problem reads

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (G(u), 1) - (h, u) \right) + \gamma \|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(u) \partial_t u, \partial_t u) = 0$$

(here and below  $(u, v)$  stands for the classical inner product in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $G(u) := \int_0^u g(v) dv$ ). We see that under the assumptions (1.2), we have only the control  $(f(u) \partial_t u, \partial_t u) \geq -C \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2$  which is enough to prove the existence of weak energy solutions but is *not sufficient* to verify that they are globally bounded in time. Actually, we do not know how to obtain the dissipative energy estimate on the level of weak energy solutions and by this reason have to consider more smooth solutions  $\xi_u \in \mathcal{E}_1$ .

## 2. MAIN ESTIMATE

The main aim of this section is to prove the key estimate (1.7). We start with slightly weaker dissipative estimate in the space  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ . In what follows to simplify notations we will denote by  $C$  various constants that do not depend on the initial data.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the conditions of the Theorem 1.1 be satisfied and let  $\xi_u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E}_1)$  be a strong solution of (1.1). Then the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.1) \quad \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_t^{t+1} \|\partial_t u(s)\|_{H^1}^2 ds \leq Q(\|\partial_t u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(0)\|_{H^2}^2) e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2}),$$

where the positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$  which are independent of  $\xi_u$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this estimate is strongly based on the new estimate obtained by multiplication of (1.1) by  $v := \partial_t u - \gamma \Delta_x u + F(u)$ , where  $F(u) := \int_0^u f(v) dv$ . It is not difficult to show that under the above assumptions on the solution  $\xi_u$ ,  $v \in L^2(\Omega)$  and the multiplication is allowed. Then, after the straightforward transformations, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (G(u), 1) \right) + \gamma \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(u) + \gamma g'(u), |\nabla_x u|^2) + (F(u), g(u)) = (h, v).$$

In addition, due to (1.2) and the assumption  $p + q > 0$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad (f(u) + g'(u), |\nabla_x u|^2) + (F(u), g(u)) \geq \geq (|f(u)| + |g'(u)|, |\nabla_x u|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1) - C(\|\nabla_x u\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + 1)$$

and using the interpolation  $\|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^2}$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(G(u), 1)) + \gamma \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (|f(u)| + \gamma |g'(u)|, |\nabla_x u|^2) + (|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1) \leq C + 2\|h\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2}.$$

This estimate is still not enough to get the desired dissipative estimate since we do not have the positive term related with  $\|v\|_{L^2}$  without the differentiation.

At the next step, we use the energy equality (1.10) which is obtained by multiplication of (1.1) by  $\partial_t u$  and which together with our assumptions on  $f$  gives

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (G(u), 1) \right) + \\ + (|f(u)| + 1, |\partial_t u|^2) + \gamma \|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq L(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^2}^2)$$

for some positive constant  $L$ .

To estimate the term in the right-hand side of (2.5), we multiply equation (1.1) by  $u$  which gives

$$(2.6) \quad \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{d}{dt} \left( (u, \partial_t u) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (g(u), u) + (f(u) \partial_t u, u) - (h, u).$$

Note that, due to our assumptions (1.2) on the nonlinearity  $f$ , for any  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad |(f(u) \partial_t u, u)| \leq \beta (|f(u)|, |\partial_t u|^2) + C_\beta (|f(u)| + 1, u^2 + 1)$$

Using now the assumption that  $p + q > 0$ , we see that  $|f(u)|u^2 \sim |u|^{p+2}$  and  $|F(u)g(u)| \sim |u|^{p+2+q}$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , therefore

$$(2.8) \quad |(f(u) \partial_t u, u)| \leq \beta (|f(u)|, |\partial_t u|^2) + C_\beta (|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1) + C_\beta.$$

Inserting this into the right-hand side of (2.5) and fixing  $\beta > 0$  being small enough, we arrive at

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (G(u), 1) - L((u, \partial_t u) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2) \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{2} (|f(u)| + 1, |\partial_t u|^2) + \gamma \|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CL(|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1) + C(\|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Taking a sum of (2.9) multiplied by a small parameter  $\kappa > 0$  with (2.4), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 + \frac{\kappa}{2} - L \frac{\kappa \gamma}{2}) \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + \right. \\ \left. + (2 + \kappa)(G(u), 1) - L\kappa(u, \partial_t u) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \\ + \beta (\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (|f(u)| + 1, |\partial_t u|^2) + \\ + (|f(u)| + \gamma |g'(u)|, |\nabla_x u|^2) + (|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1) + \|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \\ \leq C(\|h\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

for some positive constant  $\beta$  depending on  $\kappa$ . We now note that it is possible to fix  $\kappa$  being small enough that the function

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_u(t) := \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 + \frac{\kappa}{2} - L \frac{\kappa \gamma}{2}) \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (2 + \kappa)(G(u), 1) - L\kappa(u, \partial_t u) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2$$

will satisfy the inequalities

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha (\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (|G(u)|, 1)) - C_1 \leq \mathcal{E}_u(t) \leq \\ \leq C(1 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (|G(u)|, 1))$$

for some positive  $\alpha$ . Thus, (2.10) reads

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_u(t) + \beta (\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + (|f(u)| + 1, |\nabla_x u|^2) + (|F(u)| + 1, |g(u)| + 1)) + \\ + \beta (|f(u)| + 1, |\partial_t u|^2) \leq C(\|h\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Let now  $q \geq p$ . Then

$$(|F(u)| + 1)(|g(u)| + 1) \sim |u|^{p+q+2} \geq |u|^{2p+2} \sim F(u)^2,$$

and using the obvious estimate

$$(2.14) \quad \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|F(u)\|_{L^2}^2),$$

we see that, in the case  $q \geq p$ , (2.13) implies

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_u(t) + \beta \mathcal{E}_u(t) \leq C(\|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1)$$

for some positive  $\beta$ .

It only remains to study the case  $p > q$ . In this case, we extract the desired  $L^2$  norm of  $F(u)$  from the term  $(|f(u)| + 1, |\nabla_x u|^2)$ . Indeed

$$(2.16) \quad (|f(u)| + 1, |\nabla_x u|^2) \geq \alpha(|u|^p, |\nabla_x u|^2) = \alpha_1 \|\nabla_x(|u|^{(p+2)/2})\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Since  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is continuously embedded into  $L^4(\Omega)$  we have

$$\alpha_1 \|\nabla_x(|u|^{(p+2)/2})\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \alpha_3 \| |u|^{p+2} \|_{L^2},$$

and we obtain from (2.16) that

$$(|f(u)| + 1, |\nabla_x u|^2) \geq \alpha_3 \|F(u)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{p+2}{p+1}} - C$$

for some positive  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus, (2.13) now reads

$$(2.17) \quad \partial_t \mathcal{E}_u(t) + \beta [\mathcal{E}_u(t)]^{\frac{p+2}{2(p+1)}} \leq C(\|h\|_{L^2} [\mathcal{E}_u(t)]^{1/2} + \|h\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Since  $\frac{p+2}{2(p+1)} > \frac{1}{2}$ , the Gronwall type inequality works in both cases and gives the dissipative estimate for  $\mathcal{E}_u(t)$ :

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{E}_u(t) \leq Q(\mathcal{E}_u(0))e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2}),$$

where the positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$  are independent of  $t$  and  $u$ . Note also that, due to the maximal regularity result for the semilinear heat equation,

$$(2.19) \quad C(\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2) - C \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Q(\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^2}^2)$$

for some positive  $C$  and  $Q$ . The desired estimate (1.7) follows in a straightforward way from (2.18) and (2.19). Thus, Theorem 2.1 is proved.  $\square$

Next proposition gives the uniqueness of the strong solution of equation (1.1).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let the conditions of the Theorem 1.1 hold and let  $\xi_{u_1}, \xi_{u_2} \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E}_1)$  be two solutions of the problem (1.1). Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.20) \quad \|\xi_{u_1}(t) - \xi_{u_2}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C e^{Kt} \|\xi_{u_1}(0) - \xi_{u_2}(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where the constants  $C$  and  $K$  depend on the initial data and  $\|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 := \|\nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $v := u_1 - u_2$ . Then, this function solves

$$(2.21) \quad \partial_t^2 v + f(u_1) \partial_t v - \gamma \Delta_x \partial_t v - \Delta_x v = -[f(u_1) - f(u_2)] \partial_t u_2 - [g(u_1) - g(u_2)].$$

Multiplying this equation by  $\partial_t v$  and using the estimate (2.1) together with the embeddings  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$  and  $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^4(\Omega)$ , we have

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_v\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla_x \partial_t v\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(u_1) \partial_t v, \partial_t v) = - \\ - ([f(u_1) - f(u_2)] \partial_t u_2, \partial_t v) - (g(u_1) - g(u_2), \partial_t v) \leq \\ \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t v\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t u_1\|_{L^4} + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2} \leq \\ \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\nabla_x \partial_t v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_x \partial_t u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we end up with the following inequality

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_v\|^2 + \gamma \|\nabla_x \partial_t v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla_x \partial_t u_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\xi_v\|_{L^2}^2$$

and the Gronwall inequality applied to this relation finishes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

We are now ready to check the dissipativity in  $\mathcal{E}_1$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let the conditions of the Theorem 1.1 be satisfied. Then, for every  $\xi_u(0) \in \mathcal{E}_1$ , there is a unique solution  $\xi_u \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{E}_1)$  of the problem (1.1) and the following estimate holds:*

$$(2.24) \quad \|\xi_u(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} + \int_t^{t+1} \|\partial_t u(s)\|_{H^2}^2 ds \leq Q(\|\xi_u(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2}),$$

for some positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$ .

*Proof.* We restrict ourselves to the formal derivation of the dissipative estimate (2.24). The existence of a solution as well as the justification of this derivation can be done in a standard way using, e.g., Galerkin approximations. Moreover, due to (2.1), we only need to obtain the control over the higher norms of the derivative  $\partial_t u$ . To this end, we multiply equation (1.1) by  $-\partial_t \Delta_x u$ . Then, after some transformations, we get

$$(2.25) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 + \|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 + \gamma \|\partial_t \Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 = (f(u) \partial_t u, \partial_t \Delta_x u) + \\ + (g(u), \partial_t \Delta_x u) + \|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\partial_t \Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where we have implicitly used that  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$  and the interpolation  $\|v\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C\|v\|_{L^2}\|v\|_{H^2}$ . The obtained estimate gives

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 + \|\xi_u\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 + \gamma \|\partial_t \Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_x u\|_{L^2}^2)$$

and the Gronwall inequality together with (2.1) finishes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

### 3. A GLOBAL ATTRACTOR

In this section, we study the long-time behavior of solutions of the problem (1.1) in terms of the associated global attractor. For the reader convenience, we first remind the key definitions of the attractors theory, see [1, 18] for more details.

According to Proposition 2.3, the solution operators of the problem (1.1) generate a semigroup in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_1$

$$(3.1) \quad S(t)\xi_u(0) := \xi_u(t), \quad S(t) : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1, \quad S(t+h) = S(t) \circ S(h), \quad t, h \geq 0.$$

Moreover, according to the estimate (2.24), the semigroup  $S(t)$  is *dissipative* in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_1$ , i.e., the estimate

$$(3.2) \quad \|S(t)\xi\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq Q(\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{E}_1})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2}), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{E}_1$$

holds for some positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $S(t) : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$  be a semigroup. A set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  is called an attracting set for this semigroup if for every bounded set  $B \subset \mathcal{E}_1$  and every neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B})$  of the set  $\mathcal{B}$ , there exists  $T = T(B, \mathcal{O})$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad S(t)B \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{B})$$

for all  $t \geq T$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $S(t) : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$  be a semigroup. A set  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a global attractor for the semigroup  $S(t)$  if

1. The set  $\mathcal{A}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{E}_1$ ;
2. The set  $\mathcal{A}$  is strictly invariant:  $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
3. The set  $\mathcal{A}$  is an attracting set for the semigroup  $S(t)$ .

To verify the existence of a global attractor, we will use the following version of an abstract attractor existence theorem.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $S(t) : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$  be a semigroup satisfying the following two assumptions:*

1. *There exists a compact attracting set  $\mathcal{B}$  for the semigroup  $S(t)$ ;*
2. *For every  $t \geq 0$ , the map  $S(t) : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$  has a closed graph in  $\mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{E}_1$ .*

*Then, this semigroup possesses a global attractor  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  which is generated by all complete bounded trajectories:*

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}|_{t=0},$$

where  $\mathcal{K} \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_1)$  is a set of functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$  such that  $S(t)u(h) = u(t+h)$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

For the proof of this proposition, see [17].

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.



**Theorem 3.4.** *Suppose that the conditions of the Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Then the semigroup  $S(t)$  associated with problem (1.1) possesses a global attractor  $\mathcal{A}$  in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}_1$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, the second assumption of Proposition 3.3 is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2.2, so we only need to check the first one. To this end, we split a solution  $u$  of equation (1.1) in a sum  $u(t) := v(t) + w(t)$ , where the function  $v$  solves the linear problem:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v - \gamma \partial_t \Delta_x v - \Delta_x v = h, & v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \xi_v|_{t=0} = \xi_u|_{t=0} \end{cases}$$

and the reminder  $w$  satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 w - \gamma \partial_t \Delta_x w - \Delta_x w = -f(u) \partial_t u - g(u), & w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \xi_w|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $g(0) = 0$ . The properties of functions  $v$  and  $w$  are collected in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let the above assumptions hold and let  $H = H(x) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the solution of the problem*

$$-\Delta_x H = h, \quad x \in \Omega; \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

*Then, the following estimate holds:*

$$(3.7) \quad \|\xi_v(t) - \xi_H\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \leq C(\|\xi_u(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} + \|h\|_{L^2})e^{-\alpha t},$$

*where  $\xi_H = (H, 0)$  and positive constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$  are independent of  $u$ .*

*Proof of the Lemma.* Indeed, introducing the new variable  $\tilde{v}(t) := v(t) - H$ , we reduce (3.5) to the homogeneous form

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \tilde{v} - \gamma \partial_t \Delta_x \tilde{v} - \Delta_x \tilde{v} = 0, & \tilde{v}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ \xi_{\tilde{v}}|_{t=0} = \xi_u|_{t=0} - \xi_H. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying this equation by  $\partial_t \Delta_x \tilde{v} + \beta \Delta_x \tilde{v}$ , where  $\beta$  is a small positive parameter, and arguing in a standard way we derive that

$$(3.9) \quad \|\xi_{\tilde{v}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 \leq C \|\xi_{\tilde{v}}(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}^2 e^{-\alpha t}$$

for some positive  $C$  and  $\alpha$ , see e.g., [1, 18] as well as the proof of Lemma 3.6 below. The desired estimate (3.7) is an immediate corollary of this estimate and Lemma 3.5 is proved.  $\square$

Thus, we have proved that the  $v$  component of the solution  $u$  converges exponentially to a single function  $H \in H^2(\Omega)$  which is independent of time and the initial data. The next lemma shows that the  $w$  component is more regular.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let the above assumptions hold and let*

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_2 := [H^3(\Omega) \cap \{u|_{\partial\Omega} = \Delta_x u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}] \times [H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)].$$

*Then the solution  $w$  of problem (3.6) belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_2$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and the following estimate holds:*

$$(3.11) \quad \|\xi_w(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_2} \leq Q(\|\xi_u(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|h\|_{L^2}),$$

*for some positive constant  $\alpha$  and monotone function  $Q$  which are independent of  $u$ .*

*Proof of the Lemma.* We give below only the formal derivation of estimate (3.11) which can be justified e.g., using the Galerkin approximations. First, due to the assumption  $g(0) = 0$ , it follows from the equation (3.6) that at least formally  $\Delta_x w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , so we may multiply equation (3.6) by  $\partial_t \Delta_x^2 w + \beta \Delta_x^2 w$  and do integration by parts. This gives

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\xi_w\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2 + \beta (\nabla_x \Delta_x w, \nabla_x \partial_t w) + \frac{\gamma\beta}{2} \|\nabla_x \Delta_x w\|_{L^2}^2 \right) &+ \beta \|\nabla_x \Delta_x w\|_{L^2}^2 - \beta \|\partial_t \Delta_x w\|_{L^2}^2 + \\ &+ \gamma \|\partial_t \nabla_x \Delta_x w\|_{L^2}^2 = (\nabla_x (f(u) \partial_t u + g(u)), \nabla_x (\partial_t \Delta_x w + \beta \Delta_x w)). \end{aligned}$$

Fixing  $\beta > 0$  small enough and using the notation

$$E_2(w) := \frac{1}{2} \|\xi_w\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2 + \beta (\nabla_x \Delta_x w, \nabla_x \partial_t w) + \frac{\gamma\beta}{2} \|\nabla_x \Delta_x w\|_{L^2}^2$$

we see that, on the one hand,

$$(3.13) \quad C_1 \|\xi_w\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2 \leq E_2(w) \leq C_2 \|\xi_w\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2$$

for some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . On the other hand, the equation (3.12) implies that

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_2(w) + \alpha E_2(w) \leq C (\|f(u)\partial_t u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|g(u)\|_{H^1}^2)$$

for some positive constants  $C$  and  $\alpha$ . Finally, using the embedding  $H^2(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$  and growth restrictions (1.2), we estimate the right-hand side of (3.14) as follows:

$$(3.15) \quad \|f(u)\partial_t u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|g(u)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C (\|u\|_{H^2}^{p+q+1} + 1) (\|\partial_t \nabla_x u\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality to (3.14) and using (3.15) and (3.13) together with the dissipative estimate (2.24), we derive the desired estimate (3.11) and finish the proof of Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

It is not difficult now to finish the proof of the theorem. Indeed, Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 show that the set

$$(3.16) \quad \mathcal{B} := \xi_H + \{w \in \mathcal{E}_2, \|\xi_w\|_{\mathcal{E}_2} \leq R\}$$

will be a compact attracting set for the semigroup  $S(t)$  generated by the problem (1.1) if  $R$  is large enough. Thus, all assumptions of the Proposition 3.3 are verified and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** As already it was mentioned in the introduction, we do not know how to deduce the basic dissipative estimate for the *weak* solutions of the problem (1.1) in the phase space  $\mathcal{E}$  in the case when the condition (1.6) is violated. However, as follows from the Theorem 2.1, we have such an estimate in the intermediate space  $[H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1(\Omega)] \times L^2(\Omega)$  which is in a sense natural for strongly damped wave equations, see [15, 8]. Actually, the problem is well posed in this space and the above developed attractor theory can be extended to this phase space as well.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Babin and M. Vishik. *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1992.
- [2] A. Carvalho and J. Cholewa. *Attractors for strongly damped wave equations with critical nonlinearities*, Pacific J. Math., 207 (2002) 287–310.
- [3] A. Carvalho, J. Cholewa and T. Dlotko. *Strongly damped wave problems: bootstrapping and regularity of solutions*. J. Diff. Eqns., **244**, no. 9, (2008), 2310–2333.
- [4] F. Dell’Oro and V. Pata. *Strongly damped wave equations with critical nonlinearities*. Nonlinear Anal. 75, no. 14, (2012) 5723–5735.
- [5] J. Ghidaglia and A. Marzocchi. *Longtime behaviour of strongly damped wave equations, global attractors and their dimension*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **22** (1991), 879–895.
- [6] V. K. Kalantarov. *Attractors for some nonlinear problems of mathematical physics*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov, (LOMI), 152 (1986) 50–54.
- [7] V. K. Kalantarov. *Global behavior of solutions of nonlinear equations of mathematical physics of classical and non-classical types*, Post Doct. Thesis, St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Math. Inst., St. Petersburg, 1988.
- [8] V. Kalantarov and S. Zelik. *Finite-dimensional attractors for the quasi-linear strongly- damped wave equation*, J. Diff. Equations, 247 (2009) 1120–1155.
- [9] A. Khanmamedov. *Long-time behaviour of wave equations with nonlinear interior damping*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 21, no. 4, (2008) 1185–1198.
- [10] A. Khanmamedov. *Global attractors for 2-D wave equations with displacement dependent damping*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 33 (2010) 177–187.
- [11] A. Khanmamedov. *Global attractors for strongly damped wave equations with displacement dependent damping and nonlinear source term of critical exponent*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 31, no. 1, (2011) 119–138.
- [12] A. Khanmamedov. *Strongly damped wave equation with exponential nonlinearities*, arXiv:1212.2180.
- [13] P. Massatt. *Limiting behavior for strongly damped nonlinear wave equations*, J. Differential Equations, **48** (1983), 334–349.
- [14] V. Pata and M. Squassina. *On the strongly damped wave equation*, Commun. Math. Phys., 253 (2005) 511–533.
- [15] V. Pata and S. Zelik. *Smooth attractors for strongly damped wave equations*, Nonlinearity, 19 (2006) 1495–1506.
- [16] V. Pata and S. Zelik. *Global and exponential attractors for 3-D wave equations with displacement dependent damping*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 29 (2006) 1291–1306.



- [17] V. Pata and S. Zelik. *A result on the existence of global attractors for semigroups of closed operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal., vol. 6, no. 2, (2007), 481–486.
- [18] R. Temam. *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [19] M. Yang and C. Sun. *Dynamics of strongly damped wave equations in locally uniform spaces: attractors and asymptotic regularity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), no. 2, 1069–1101.
- [20] G. Webb. *Existence and asymptotic behavior for a strongly damped nonlinear wave equation*, Canad. J. Math., **32** (1980), 631–643.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOÇ UNIVERSITY,  
RUMELIFENERI YOLU, SARIYER 34450  
SARIYER, ISTANBUL, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* vkalantarov@ku.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup> UNIVERSITY OF SURREY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
GUILDFORD, GU2 7XH, UNITED KINGDOM.  
*E-mail address:* s.zelik@surrey.ac.uk