

News-Based Group Modeling and Forecasting

Wenbin Zhang
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794-4400 USA
wbzhang@cs.sunysb.edu

Steven Skiena
Department of Computer Science
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, NY 11794-4400 USA
skiena@cs.sunysb.edu

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study news group modeling and forecasting methods using quantitative data generated by our large-scale natural language processing (NLP) text analysis system. A news group is a set of news entities, like top U.S. cities, governors, senators, golfers, or movie actors. Our fame distribution analysis of news groups shows that log-normal and power-law distributions generally could describe news groups in many aspects. We use several real news groups including cities, politicians, and CS professors, to evaluate our news group models in terms of time series data distribution analysis, group-fame probability analysis, and fame-changing analysis over long time. We also build a practical news generation model using a HMM (Hidden Markov Model) based approach. Most importantly, our analysis shows the future entity fame distribution has a power-law tail. That is, only a small number of news entities in a group could become famous in the future. Based on these analysis we are able to answer some interesting forecasting problems - for example, what is the future average fame (or maximum fame) of a specific news group? And what is the probability that some news entity become very famous within a certain future time range? We also give concrete examples to illustrate our forecasting approaches.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.2.8 [Database Management]: Database applications—*Data mining*

General Terms

Algorithms; Experimentation

Keywords

News Group, Fame, Log-normal Distribution, Power-law Distribution, News Forecasting, Hidden Markov Model

1. INTRODUCTION

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to publish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Copyright 200X ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$10.00.

You will never see a newspaper headline announcing that the sun came up yesterday. This is because news, by definition, must be unpredictable: reporting on unexpected events around the world. Attempting to predict the contents of tomorrow's news seems a misguided and futile task. And yet news prediction is regularly attempted in several domains, including financial modeling, weather forecasting, and political polling.

In this paper, we applying modeling and forecasting techniques to the future reference frequency of people, places, and things in the news. We seek to estimate the probability that a given entity (or set of entities) E will be mentioned in the news at least f times over the next time period t . Our techniques are analogous to volatility-based financial models which attempt to predict the probably future trading range of a given stock, as opposed to the unknowable question of whether it will go up or down tomorrow. Our news forecasting methods can be used to answer questions like:

- What are the chances a particular political party will suffer a significant scandal over the next year?
- Will any other celebrity death over the next decade attract the same media coverage as Michael Jackson's?
- How famous will the most successful graduate of your college class become?

The Lydia system ([11], <http://www.textmap.com>), a project developed in the Algorithms Lab at Stony Brook University, is capable of capturing quantitative news time series, and analyzing spatial, temporal, and linguistic statistics of named entity occurrences over a large corpus of news text. This makes Lydia data a perfect source to analyze daily news with respect to our time-series-world.

Lydia system identifies news entities or synonymous sets (synsets), and provides their daily statistics in terms of their frequency, sentence counts, article counts, and sentiment counts. News entities mean entity names like "Tiger Woods", "Summer Olympics", or "Lehman Brothers", while entities "Lehman Brothers Holdings" and "Lehman Brothers Inc." refer to the same synset "Lehman Brothers". Lydia system analyzes and tracks all entities occurring in several different depositories, among which the *Dailies* depository has the biggest data volume and thus it will be used for our analysis. Indeed, the *Dailies* depository constructs the entity/synset time series from over one terabyte of U.S. and international English-language newspapers, starting in November 2004. It contains news from about 500 different sources each day.

Actually, most interesting forecasting problems are considered under the context of news groups. For example, top U.S. cities, governors, college athletes, golfers, or movie actors are all groups. Our purpose in this paper is to investigate news group models, find out news group data generation rules underneath, and then build methods to forecast the future of news groups. For example, can we build model to forecast the future average fame (or maximum fame) of a specific group? And can we predict the probability that some entity in a group become famous within a certain time frame? These topics are intensively related to the area of data modeling and forecasting. However, to the best of our knowledge, these particular news-based forecasting problems have never been seriously studied before. More specifically, Our contributions in this paper are:

- *Statistical Modeling on the Emergence of Fame* – Through extensive computation on our terabyte-scale news corpus, we study changes in the reference frequency among various classes of entities. Future reference frequency can be modeled as a combination of log-normal distributions (for frequent entities) and power law distributions (for less frequently mentioned ones), captured using an appropriate hidden Markov model (HMM).
- *Group Frequency Analysis* – Predicting phenomena like the frequency of political scandals requires forecasting the future of large groups of individuals. We generalize our forecasting models to answer questions on the total and maximum news volume among members of a group.
- *Domain-specific News Forecasting* – We apply our news forecasting techniques to three interesting domains with different sizes (Top 50 U.S. cities, representatives, and computer science faculty) and backtest these models over historical news data to confirm the general validity of our models.

The contents of this paper are organized as follows. We review related work in Section 2. Section 3 studies the statistical patterns for news entities and groups. In Section 4, we propose a HMM based news generation model and evaluate its accuracy. We build models to solve entity fame and domain-specific fame forecasting problems and validate them in Section 5 and 6. We give conclusions in Section 7.

2. PREVIOUS WORK

Our work here is related to several existed research directions: news frequency modeling, news event/topic modeling, and modeling method for other relevant data streams.

Leskovec [10] focuses on the study of the dynamics of news cycle, i.e., to model the process of news start, reaching peaks, and decay. The authors use a so-called “meme-tracking” method to track short, distinctive phrases through on-line text, and show that this method is capable of tracking information spread over Internet and providing a coherent representation of news cycle. They also developed a mathematical model to describe the trend of news cycle, in which both the imitation effects and recency effects of news sources are considered. Although the mathematical news model is proposed, the authors have neither tried to fit the model with real data to validate this model, nor shown the goodness of this model to predict future news.

Other work focuses on the modeling of some other data streams, like blog behavior, disk I/O traffic, or network traffic data. Gotz et al. [4] studied the temporal behavior in

blogosphere, and proposed a ZC model to simulate blog behavior, which uses a ‘zero-crossing’ approach based on random walk. Wang et al. [19] proposed a b -model for disk I/O traffic data simulation, which is a good fit for self-similar data traffic. The authors also provided a fast algorithm to implement the b -model. Leland et al. [9] also analyzed the self-similarity of Ethernet traffic data based on statistical analysis and discussed the significance of self-similarity. Johnson et al. [8] reported the power-law behavior behind terrorist attacks and wars.

In the topic modeling area, all the research works are somehow derived from Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) technique, which was first introduced in U.S. patent [3]. LSA technique analyzes relationships between documents and the terms they contain, and then generate a set of concepts which are related to the documents and terms. Research which can be characterized under this model includes [6], [2], [5]. However, we should note that all LSA related research focuses on recognizing news topics, and these techniques are not quite relevant to forecast future topic trend.

There are several commercial products which track events or topics from News or Blogs, like Google Trend [18], Google Insight Search [15], Blog Pulse [13], and Blog Scope [14]. Basically, they only monitor events from News or Blogs, but they are not relevant to new group modeling or predictions.

3. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF NEWS ENTITIES AND GROUPS

Objects we need to study include news entities and groups. A group is a set of news entities with certain common attributes. Table 1 shows the news groups used in following sections. These groups fall into three categories according to their group sizes, i.e., small, medium, and large groups respectively.

Group	Size	Description
Africa	51	Countries in Africa
Top 50 US Cities	50	The top 50 U.S. cities (by population)
Governors	49	Current United States governors
Senators	105	Current United States senators
Representatives	439	Current United States representatives
NCAA/Big Ten	275	Players in Big Ten Conference in NCAA
CS Professors	1911	CS Professors in Top 40 CS departments
Golfers	1749	The completed list of Golfers
Football Players	2255	Current National Football League Players
Hockey Players	5986	All National Hockey League (NHL) players
Movie Actors	47146	Actors who performed movies in 2000-2010

Table 1: Some News groups and their descriptions.

3.1 Fame and Fame Window

Entities’ magnitudes (fame) differ significantly. A very common entity like “New York” may be mentioned everyday, while many other entities may not. Fame is a term to measure an entity’s magnitude in a certain time period, and it could be measured by the average daily references or logarithmic daily references. Based on an entity E ’s historical time series, the fame of it could be measured as below:

$$F_{E,N} = F(E, N) = \log\left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f_i}{N}\right) \quad (1)$$

In this formula, fame window size N is the length of observation window, usually measured in days, while f_i is entity

Entities	Raw Frequencies (Fame)						Logged Frequencies (Fame)					
	Average Fame			Peak Fame			Average Fame			Peak Fame		
	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009
United States	6036	9262	7720	14041	15965	17889	8.705	9.134	8.952	9.550	9.678	9.792
Barack Obama	2537	38598	33709	9869	129108	98844	7.839	10.561	10.426	9.197	11.768	11.501
Chicago, IL	2594	4567	3408	6228	7429	6380	7.861	8.426	8.134	8.737	8.913	8.761
Tiger Woods	647	1070	2064	4361	12984	20202	6.474	6.977	7.633	8.381	9.472	9.914
Michael Jackson	424	689	8224	1514	5317	123739	6.052	6.537	9.015	7.323	8.579	11.723
Steve Jobs	77	83	110	455	646	1055	4.365	4.435	4.706	6.123	6.472	6.962
George Clooney	0.963	1.402	1.100	14.200	18.999	35.800	0.675	0.876	0.742	2.721	2.996	3.605
Stephen Leeb	0.263	1.690	0.939	7.999	84.600	42.400	0.234	0.989	0.662	2.197	4.449	3.770

Table 2: Fame examples for selected entities, including raw frequencies and logarithmic frequencies. Average fame takes the average daily frequencies for a certain year, while peak fame takes the maximum fame for that particular year, with a certain fame window size. Here the window size for peak fame calculation is 5-day.

E 's frequency counts of day i . Fame is actually also time series data, and keeps changing over time. If the fame window size is 1, the fame time series is just equivalent to the entity's daily frequency time series.

Table 2 provides fame examples for selective entities. It shows some popular entities like "United States" or "Barack Obama" as well as some unpopular entities like "George Clooney" or "Stephen Leeb". In fact, "United States" is one of the top 10 entities in our news depository, while George Clooney (an American actor) and Stephen Leeb (a computer scientist) are very trivial and they do not have much fame.

3.2 Entity and Group Fame Distribution

Now we focus on the daily fame distribution of news entities or news groups. For any group G (or entity E with regular mentions), we propose a log-normal distribution to model their daily fame distribution $F(G, w_f)$ (or $F(E, w_f)$). Here the w_f is fame window size. For example, Figure 1 shows the daily frequency distribution of Puerto Rico, in which the red curve shows how good it fits to a standard normal distribution. This plot proves log-normal distributions are good approximations for entity fame. Similarly, we have exactly the same result for group fame. In fact, group fame is the union of the fame of all entities in this group.

The log-normality of daily fame could be explained by *multiplicative processes* [12]. Suppose we start with news reference F_0 . For each step i , the news frequency may increase or decrease, then we have $F_i = X_i F_{i-1}$, in which X_i is a random variable. Therefore, we can get

$$\ln F_i = \ln F_0 + \sum_{k=1}^i \ln X_k \quad (2)$$

X_k are random variables. According to the Central Limit Theorem, the $\sum_{k=1}^i \ln X_k$ converges to a normal distribution. Therefore, if i is large, F_i approximately follows a log-normal distribution.

Especially, for a news group, the total fame, the maximum fame, and the average fame all follow log-normal distributions according to our analysis.

- *Truncated Log-normal Distribution*: Truncated log-normal distribution is a more general case. In Figure 1, the left tail could not go beyond 0 because fame is always a non-negative number. Therefore, truncated log-normal distribution [7] is introduced and in our case the truncation point is 0. Moreover, truncated log-normal distribution is more meaningful while the entity or group fame is small. Formally, the probability density function of

a left-truncated log-normal distribution with truncation point X_L is given by:

$$f_{LTN}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\infty \leq x \leq x_L \\ \frac{f(x)}{\int_{x_L}^{\infty} f(x) dx}, & x_L \leq x \leq \infty \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $f(x)$ is the probability density function of regular normal distributions.

For entities with occasional mentions (like "National Park Bank"), we could use poisson distributions or power-law distributions to approximate them. However, this category is less concerned by our paper because people usually pay attention to important news entities only.

In fact, log-normal and power-law distributions are discovered in many physical, biological, economic and social systems. Most of our distribution problems in this paper could also be answered by these two popular distributions. Explanation of log-normal and power-law distributions in social science could be found from [12] and [17].

3.3 Entity Fame Distribution within a Group

Now let's suppose each entity E has a certain fame-level. Within a news group, a large amount of entities have small fame-levels, and only very few entities have large fame-levels. The number of corresponding entities will exponentially decrease with the increasing of fame. This is called power-law property. For example, Figure 2 shows the histogram plot of all entities in Dailies depository, which clearly indicates a power-law distribution of entity fame.

Here we explain a little bit for the formulation of power-law distributions. Initially, let us assume there is only one single entity in the group. At each step, a new entity need to be mentioned by news sources. With probability $\alpha < 1$, the new entity is going to be indeed a *new* entity chosen uniformly at random from outside. With probability $1 - \alpha$, the new entity is going to be actually an *old* entity. This model is often called *preferential attachment model* ([12], [1]), in which new entities tend to attach to popular entities. This also agrees "rich-getting-richer" law. Eventually, above process generates a power-law distribution, and the probability density function is defined as:

$$N(x) = cx^{-\lambda} \quad (4)$$

In this formula, c and λ are constants, and exponent λ is usually a positive number.

- *Truncated Power-law Distribution*: There is a tricky problem for news group in terms of group definition. For example, group *All U.S. Cities* perfectly follows our above




Figure 1: Histogram plot for log-frequency of Puerto Rico.




Figure 2: Fame distribution of Dailies depository.




Figure 3: Magnitude equivalence of bottom vs. top entities for selective groups.

preferential attachment model and thus these cities’ fame follows power-law distribution. However, news group *Top 50 U.S. Cities* is somewhat different because only some big cities are included in the group. In this situation, a truncated power-law distribution [16] or a power-law tail should be applied to model this group.

The fame diversity within a group could be evaluated by the exponent λ of the histogram plot (or the slope in the corresponding Log-Log plot). Another way to measure the inner group diversity is to give bottom vs. top fame equivalence plots. Let us use $F_{bottom}(G, \alpha\%)$ to denote the accumulated fame for the bottom $\alpha\%$ entities, and use $F_{top}(G, \beta\%)$ to denote the accumulated fame for the top $\beta\%$ for group G . If we make $F_{bottom}(G, \alpha\%) = F_{top}(G, \beta\%)$, then we can plot a line for all $(\alpha\%, \beta\%)$ pairs.

Figure 3 shows the fame equivalence plots for 9 selective groups. We can know *movie actors* is the group with the most significant fame diversity, while *Top 50 Cities* is the group with the least fame diversity. In addition, governors, senators, representatives are all politician groups, but governors have little fame differences while senators have much bigger fame differences.

3.4 Group-Fame Probabilistic Modeling

Now we will consider the fame of groups. For group G , we identify three fame variables as below.

- *Total Group Fame* $F_{G,T}$ - The total fame of all entities in this group.
- *Average Group Fame* $F_{G,A}$ - The average fame for entities in this group.
- *Maximum Group Fame* $F_{G,M}$ - The fame of the most famous entity in this group.

An interesting question is, can we estimate the probability that the total fame, average fame, or the maximum fame of a certain group is greater than a fame level X ? We denote the probability as $Pr(F_{G,k} > X)$, where k could be replaced with T , A , or M . Clearly, we have $Pr(F_{G,k} > 0) = 1$ and $Pr(F_{G,k} > \infty) = 0$.

According to Section 3.2, we know that the total, average, and maximum fame of groups all approximately follow log-normal distributions. Then using groups’ training data, we can get distribution $F_{G,k} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Assuming $\Phi_F(X)$ is the cumulative distribution function of $F_{G,k}$, we have

$$Pr(F_{G,k} > X) = 1 - \Phi_F(X) \quad (5)$$




Figure 4: Empirical (left) and theoretical (right) curves of fame X vs. the probability that the total fame is greater than X for group *Africa Countries*.

With applying this approach, we get the empirical and theoretical probabilities of the total fame of *Africa Countries*, as shown in Figure 4. The empirical curve is calculated from the real news data, while the theoretical curve is calculated from our log-normal model 5. The two curves are pretty similar, and thus the log-normality of group fame distributions could be validated.

3.5 Fame Change Over Time

Another important problem we study is fame movement over long time. For example, Figure 5 shows the maximum fame time series of group *CS professors* from 2005 to 2009, with fame window size 1 month. We should notice that the most common names are filtered out. For example, “Michael Jordan” may refer to either a basketball players or a CS professor, so these kind of names are not counted in.

An interesting idea is to select some groups and compare their group total, maximum, and average fame. Figure 6 is the example of total fame. We can see below highlights:

- The movement of group *Top 50 cities* is more smooth than other groups.
- For senators, there is a big and durable jump in 2008 in Figure 6. This is because Barack Obama was ever a senator and he was running for the 2008 presidential election at that time.
- Sportsman groups are even more interesting. Figure 6 shows the fame of *Football Players* and *Golfers* fluctuates periodically. Basically the periodicity is caused by sport seasons. For example, the National Football League sea-




Figure 8: Pulses identified from Citigroup time series. The red sections in the time series indicate the pulses identified by our algorithm, and the green curves are fitted by our fitting methodology.

imitation effect and recency effect. Imitation effect means that different news sources imitate one another, and recency effect means that newer threads are favored to older ones. If we define a monotonically increasing function $f(\cdot)$ and a monotonically decreasing function $\delta(\cdot)$ to mimic the two ingredients separately, we can derive below equation:

$$x(t+1) = cf(x(t))\delta(t) \quad (6)$$

Here $x(t)$ is the news reference for time t , $x(t+1)$ is the news reference for time $t+1$, and c is a normalizing constant. Thus a differential equation could be derived: $\frac{dx}{dt} = cf(x)\delta(t) - x$. With assuming $f(x) = qx$ and $\delta(t) = t^{-1}$, then we get:

$$x = At^q e^{-t} \quad (7)$$

The function reaches peak while $t = q$, and the peak value is $\mathcal{H} = x(q) = A(\frac{q}{e})^q$, which is also the height of peak. Therefore, we get $A = \mathcal{H}(\frac{e}{q})^q$, and thus Equation 7 becomes

$$x(t) = \mathcal{H}(\frac{e}{q})^q t^q e^{-t} = \mathcal{H}(\frac{e}{q})^q e^{-t} = \mathcal{H}(\frac{t}{q})^q e^{q-t} \quad (8)$$

In this model, we need to fit two parameters, q and \mathcal{H} , in which q is the days to reach peak and \mathcal{H} is the height of the peak. Formula 8 is a little bit more complicate than Formula 7, but it is practically more meaningful because we can use historical data to estimate the distribution of q and \mathcal{H} for a certain magnitude of entities. News reference monotonically increases before day q but decreases after day q , just like the pulse structure shown at Figure 7.

Figure 8 is an empirical example to show how the pulses are identified, and how well pulses fit to the Formula 7. The green curves are the fitted value of Formula 7, which are calculated by the least-square non-linear regression methods. The three parameters we used for pulse detection are $K = 5$, $t = 20$, and $N = 10$ respectively. If we track down the five pulses, we will see a story chain of Citigroup in 2008.

4.2 Hidden Markov Model of News

Now we are ready to design news HMM model. The detail of our HMM model is shown in Figure 9. There are two states N_i and P_i to denote the normal state and peak state respectively. Usually an entity is in the normal state N_i , but it will jump to P_i state while big pulses are generated. The

transition probabilities are defined by matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\beta & \beta \\ \gamma & 1-\gamma \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Here β is the transition probability from state N_i to state P_i . Usually β is very small because entities are not very exciting in most of the time. γ is the transition probability from state P_i to state N_i , and it should be a probability close to 1 because entities always tend to calm down within a shorter or longer time after a pulse.

The hidden Markov model could be denoted as $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The model consists of two parts: firstly an unobserved ‘state process’ $\{S_t : t = 1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying the Markov property, and secondly the ‘state-dependent process’ $\{X_t : t = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that, when S_t is known, the estimation of X_t depends only on the current state S_t and not on any previous states or observations. The HMM chain to model state transitions is shown in Figure 10, in which the states S_j could be either N_i or P_i .




Figure 9: State transitions of our HMM model. N_i is the normal state, and P_i is the peak state.




Figure 10: The hidden Markov chain in HMM. S_j chain is state series, which could be either N_i or P_i . X_j chain is the observed frequency/fame series.

In our model, we pay much more attention to peak states than normal states, because peaks are the most important parts of an entity’s time series. Therefore, we just use a log-normal distribution or Geometric Brownian Motion to approximate the fluctuation of news while it is in the normal state. In the peak state P_i , we use simulated parameters described in Subsection 4.1 to generate news pulses.

4.3 Group Maximum Fame Forecasting

We have proposed two models to illustrate news generations: log-normal model and HMM model. Now we will use a real forecasting problem to examine the goodness of the two models.

Given the top 50 biggest cities (based on population) in the United States, what is the probability for each city that has the maximum fame in the group? Here the sentiment window size is 1 day. For example, what’s the probability that Miami gains the maximum media exposure among all cities on today? Now we use two approaches to solve this problem and compare the results.




Figure 11: The future frequency distribution for entities in our Dailies depository. The log-log plots show that the histogram plots of $f(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f)$ have power-law tails (Subfigure 11(a) to 11(d)). Moreover, distributions $N(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f) = Pr(X > x)$ also have power-law tails (Subfigure 11(e) to 11(h)).

4.3.1 Using HMM Model

There are two states, *Normal* and *Peak* states, in our HMM model. To train a HMM model, we need to detect and fit pulses for each city's time series. For each city i , we can compute probabilities β_i and γ_i mentioned in Section 4.2 from training data and then the transition matrix $\mathbf{9}$ could be computed. If a city i has the maximum fame within the group, two constraints should be satisfied: 1) city i is in the *Peak* state; 2) all other cities should be either in *Normal* state, or in *Peak* state but their peak references are smaller than city i 's reference. Therefore, city i 's probability to reach the maximum fame in this group could be calculated by $Pr_M(F_i) =$

$$Pr(\mathcal{P}_{F_i}) \times \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n [1 - Pr(\mathcal{P}_{F_j}) + Pr(\mathcal{P}_{F_j}) \times Pr(F_{P_i} > F_{P_j})]$$

in which $Pr(\mathcal{P}_{F_i})$ is the probability that city i is in the *Peak* state, and $Pr(F_{P_i} > F_{P_j})$ is the probability that city i 's peak reference is greater than city j 's peak reference. All these probabilities could be calculated after the HMM models are trained and built.

4.3.2 Using Truncated Log-normal Model

From previous sections, we have already known that entity i 's logged frequency F_i follows a distribution $F_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, in which the parameters μ_i and σ_i could be computed from historical training data. If city i gains the biggest fame among all cities in this group, that means city i should be more famous than any other ones. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Pr_M(F_i) &= Pr(F_i > F_1, F_i > F_2, \dots, F_i > F_n | i \neq j) \\ &= \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n Pr(F_i > F_j) \end{aligned}$$

City	Days _M	Pr(Real)	Pr(LN)	Pr(HMM)
New York	955	0.5323	0.5164	0.4598
Washington	749	0.4175	0.4337	0.3801
New Orleans	43	0.0240	2.34E-12	0.0342
Chicago	11	0.0061	9.31E-06	0.0331
Detroit	10	0.0056	4.04E-07	0.0291
Los Angeles	5	0.0028	7.31E-08	0.0138
Houston	5	0.0028	3.33E-11	0.0056
Denver	5	0.0026	2.57E-15	0.0040
Boston	2	0.0011	1.77E-10	0.0078
Miami	2	0.0011	2.14E-11	0.0088
Pittsburgh	2	0.0011	2.07E-19	0.0028
Philadelphia	1	0.0005	6.64E-14	0.0037

Table 3: Result comparison for the top 15 referred cities (2005-2009), for their actual occurrences and probabilities of maximum peak days, probabilities of peak days calculated by log-normal model (Pr(LN)) and HMM model (Pr(HMM)).

$Pr_M(F_i)$ is the probability that city i have the maximum fame within this group, and $Pr(F_i > F_j)$ is the probability that city i is more famous than city j . $Pr(F_i > F_j)$ could be computed by Monte Carlo simulation because we know both $F_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ and $F_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$.

4.3.3 Backtesting and Result Comparison

We define city i 's "peak day" as the days that city i has the maximum fame among all the cities in this group. Table 3 gives the result comparisons from 2005 to 2009 regarding the number of real peak days, real probabilities, and probabilities calculated by log-normal and HMM models. We can see the HMM method is much better than log-normal method because the latter underestimated the probabilities of smaller cities significantly.

An interesting phenomenon is that, cities' fame in news

is not equivalent to their sizes in terms of population. For example, Washington, DC ranks 23th by population, but it is the second popular city in news stream.

5. ENTITY FAME FORECASTING

In the previous sections, we have studied news statistical patterns and news generation models. In the following two sections, we will build predictive models to predict future frequencies or fame of news entities. This section focuses on entities' fame while the next section focuses on the change rate of entities' fame, particularly in a group-based context.




Figure 12: Rachel Uchitel's time series.




Figure 13: Log-Log plot of $R_{ph}(G, t)$ (left column) and $R_{ah}(G, t)$ (right column) for groups *Top 50 cities*, *Representatives*, and *CS professors* respectively. Here t is 1 year. The x-axis is the ratio x (R_{ph} or R_{ah}), and the y-axis is $Pr(X > x)$.

Probably the most interesting forecasting questions is, how can we forecast the probabilities that some unknown news entities become extremely famous? For example, Rachel

Uchitel (Figure 12) appeared in news since the very beginning, but she only had very little fame and kept quite until the end of November 2009 because of Tiger Woods' sex scandal. She became well known since that time. Our key question is how to estimate the probability that she becomes famous.

For a certain group G , if we know today's fame for each entity, what is the forward distribution of tomorrow's fame? For example, for all entities currently with daily references in range $(0, 20)$, how their tomorrow's frequencies $f(G, 0, 20)$ are distributed? More generally, we denote m_l and m_u are the lower and upper bounds of historical frequencies/fame. If current day's fame (with fame window size w_m) is in range (m_l, m_u) , how tomorrow's fame (with fame window size w_f) is distributed? Here we can denote the fame as $f(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f)$. In addition, we define that notion $N(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f)$ is the probability distribution of that frequency/fame is greater than some certain level x . That is, the distribution is $F_i \sim N(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f) = Pr(X > x)$. Figure 11 shows that both the histogram plot of $f(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f)$ and the distribution $N(G, m_l, m_u, w_m, w_f)$ have power-law tails, in spite of their different historical/future fame window sizes. However, the histogram plots 11(b), 11(c), and 11(d) are somewhat truncated into two parts by certain truncation points. The truncation points are some values between $\ln(m_l)$ and $\ln(m_u)$. If $m_l = m_u$, the truncation value is exactly $\ln(m_l)$, just as the truncation point shown in Figure 11(c), with a value of $\ln(30) = 3.4$.

Now Using a subset of our news database as the training data, we will apply this model to estimate the probabilities that some trivial entities become very famous. The results are shown in Table 4. Here both the historical fame window size and the future fame window size is set to 1 day. This table shows that some unknown entities with little fame (historical references $0 \sim 200$) became famous (future references > 3000). Actually, Table 2 tells us that a reference of 3000 means a similar fame with Chicago. We divide entities into four categories according to their historical fame, $(0-20)$, $(20-50)$, $(50-100)$, and $(100-200)$ respectively, and then we train the data to get the slopes and y-intercepts to build four power-law models. We compare the entity counts and probabilities to become famous between real news data and our power-law models, and find they are very close. For example, while $m_l = 0$ and $m_u = 20$, we have

$$\log P = -1.415 * \log(x) + 0.079 \quad (10)$$

To make $x = 3000$, we get $P = 1.44E - 05$ and the estimated counts to become famous is $P * 1022651 \approx 14$.

The final question is that what kind of entities become famous. Table 5 lists some unknown entities (with daily reference < 20) became famous (with daily reference > 1000 for 5 continuous days). We can see many of them became famous because of death, and some of them were because of political events. This tells us the interesting fact that media tends to remember people accompanied with their death. Some non-people entities could also become famous, e.g., "Sichan" and "Haiti" became famous because of earthquakes.

6. GROUP-BASED FAME FORECASTING

Now we consider the fame change of entities within a specific domain or group context. The fact that an entity becomes famous means its fame changes dramatically, which indicates its future fame is significantly higher than its his-

Pre Freq	Set Size	News Data					Power Law Model			
		Cnts	MaxRef	MinRef	AveRef	Prob	slope	y-intercept	Cnts_M	Prob_M
0-20	1022651	8	6736	3153	4388	7.82E-06	-1.415	0.079	14	1.44E-05
20-50	106540	4	12959	3703	6437	3.75E-05	-1.820	1.716	3	2.40E-05
50-100	53153	11	14242	3017	5651	2.07E-04	-2.313	4.218	8	1.50E-04
100-200	28280	22	7007	3007	4452	7.78E-04	-2.287	4.761	18	6.44E-04

Table 4: For entities with difference range of previous frequency levels, we show the probabilities that they become famous, and the maximum, minimum, and average references while becoming famous. Here both the historical fame window w_m and the future fame window w_f are 1-day. We compare the probabilities computed from both real news and our power-law models, and the results indicate our models are pretty accurate.

Entity Name	Freq	Description	Why become famous	On When
Mike Duvall	2932.8	politician	extramarital scandal exposed	09/08/2009
Steve Irwin	2444	naturalist/zoologist	death	09/04/2006
Eartha Kitt	2062	actress/singer	death	12/25/2008
Bobby Fischer	1625.2	chess player	death	01/17/2008
Tiffany Hall	1481.4	unknown woman	killed her friend's three young children	09/15/2006
Andrew Meyer	1180.2	university student	University of Florida Taser incident	09/17/2007
Trevor Immelman	1090	golfer	win the 2008 Masters Tournament	04/13/2008
Joe Andrew	1069	author/investor	switch of endorsement from Hillary to Obama	05/01/2008

Table 5: Some examples of entities which are from unknown to famous. Before they became famous, their daily references are less than 20.

torical fame. For entities in a group G over a future time range t , the degree of fame-change could be measured by ratio $R_{ph}(G, t)$ or $R_{ah}(G, t)$ shown as below:

- $R_{ph}(G, t) = \frac{\text{next period } (t) \text{ peak fame}}{\text{historical fame}} = \frac{p(t, w_f)}{h}$
- $R_{ah}(G, t) = \frac{\text{next period } (t) \text{ average fame}}{\text{historical fame}} = \frac{a(t)}{h}$

Next period peak fame is a function of future time range t and peak fame window size w_f . In our analysis, we make t as 1 year and w_f as 5 days.

Figure 13 indicates that the distributions of both $R_{ph}(G, t)$ and $R_{ah}(G, t)$ have power-law tails, although the slopes in their Log-Log plots are not exactly the same for different groups. The fitted linear lines are also shown in these Log-Log plots. Based on the power-law models, we can compute the probability that some entity within the group becomes famous in a future time range t . We have

$$Pr(X > x) = cx^{-\lambda}, \text{ for } x \geq x_{min} \quad (11)$$

That is $\log P = -\lambda * \log(x) + \log(c)$. By fitting λ and $\log(c)$ with a linear model, P could be calculated. Table 6 examines the accuracy of the power-law model, which indicates the theoretical result and the real news data match very well.

Table 7 gives some examples that entities have big values of $R_{ph}(G, t)$ or $R_{ah}(G, t)$. Indeed we can use distribution either $R_{ph}(G, t)$ or $R_{ah}(G, t)$ to calculate probabilities that entities become famous, but we may get slight different results with these two ratios.

• Probability of making zero-fame people famous

Based on the power-law tail, we can estimate what's the probability that some people with almost zero fame became famous, e.g., as famous as Tiger Woods. From Table 2, we know Tiger Woods' peak fame is 20,000, and we notice the power-law model (R_{ph}) for CS professors (let's assume this model is generally applicable to any group of people) is

$$\log P = -1.734 * \log X + 0.362 \quad (12)$$

While $X = 20,000$, we can get $P = 8.017E - 08$. We know there are 300 million people in the United States. Therefore, there are roughly $P * 300$ million = 24 persons that will have comparable peak fame with Tiger Woods in the next 1 year.

But how about to reach Tiger Woods' average fame? We know the power-law model (R_{ah}) for CS professors is

$$\log P = -2.803 * \log X - 1.060 \quad (13)$$

Because Woods' average fame is around 1000, our calculation shows there is only 0.1 person who has no previous fame at all but can reach Tiger Woods' average fame in the next year. Similarly, Steve Jobs' average fame is around 100, and our model shows there are roughly 64 unknown persons can reach Steve's average fame in the next year.

In all previous cases, we make t as 1 year to train power-law models. But how about 10 years? That is, what is probability of an unknown person become famous in the next 10 years? Let's suppose the distribution of $R_{ph}(G, t)$ or $R_{ah}(G, t)$ follows Formula 11 for future time range t . Now we could deduce the distribution for a time range of $n \times t$:

$$\begin{aligned} Pr_n(X > x) &= 1 - Pr_n(X \leq x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n Pr_1(X_i \leq x) \\ &= 1 - (1 - cx^{-\lambda})^n \approx 1 - (1 - ncx^{-\lambda}) \\ &= n \times cx^{-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

So we argue that the probability just increases linearly with the increasing of time.

7. CONCLUSIONS

This paper studied new entity and group modeling and forecasting methodologies, including group fame distribution analysis, group fame probability analysis, and group fame evolution over time. We show some important news entity and group statistical patterns could be described by log-normal or power-law distributions. We also proposed a HMM-based news generation model, which has never been used in news modeling before. We show that HMM models are more capable of describing news generations than simple Log-Normal models. Based on these analysis, we answered

Groups	Size	Next_Year_Peak_Fame/Historical_Fame						Next_Year_Ave_Fame/Historical_Fame					
		Real Data			Our Model			Real Data			Our Model		
		T	Cnts	Prob	Slope	Cnts	Prob	T	Cnts	Prob	Slope	Cnts	Prob
Top Cities	50	5	16	0.080	-3.202	21	0.107	2	17	0.085	-4.779	13	0.064
		7	7	0.035	-3.202	7	0.036	2.5	5	0.025	-4.779	4	0.022
		10	2	0.010	-3.202	2	0.010	3	2	0.01	-4.779	2	0.009
Representatives	439	50	101	5.75E-02	-1.828	101	5.76E-02	5	60	3.41E-02	-2.296	55	3.13E-02
		100	33	1.88E-02	-1.828	28	1.62E-02	10	11	6.26E-03	-2.296	11	6.37E-03
		200	7	3.99E-03	-1.828	8	4.57E-03	20	2	1.14E-03	-2.296	2	1.29E-03
CS Profs	1911	50	11	1.44E-03	-1.734	19	2.60E-03	3	16	2.09E-03	-2.803	30	4.00E-03
		100	3	3.92E-04	-1.734	5	7.82E-04	5	4	5.23E-04	-2.803	7	9.56E-04
		200	0	0	-1.734	2	2.34E-04	7	2	2.61E-04	-2.803	3	3.72E-04

Table 6: Accuracy of our models, with the result from a small group (top 50 cities), a medium group (representatives), and a large group (CS professors) respectively. We evaluated both R_{ph} and R_{ah} ratios. The two “T”-columns are thresholds, and the counts and probabilities evaluate the possibilities that R_{ph} or R_{ah} is greater than the thresholds. Estimations from our power-law model are very close to the real news.

Entity Name	Group	P/H	A/H	Peak Freqs	Why become famous	On When
New Orleans	Cities	10.23	1.30	24917	Hurricane Katrina	09/02/2005
Memphis	Cities	12.39	2.54	7763	the first team in NCAA to achieve 30 wins in a season	04/07/2008
Omaha	Cities	8.55	3.11	2622	June 2008 tornado outbreak sequence	06/12/2008
Tulsa	Cities	9.64	3.76	5563	ice storm in December	12/26/2007
Kirsten Gillibrand	Represen	458.8	24.2	5324	elected to the Senator of New York	01/23/2009
Joe Wilson	Represen	491.7	13.2	23323	shouted at Obama during 2009 Presidential address	09/09/2009
Sebastian Thrun	CS Profs	74.3	3.1	1091	helps GM to make robot driven cars	01/07/2008
Amar Bose	CS Profs	51.2	3.7	652	retired from MIT	11/26/2005

Table 7: Some examples of entities with high R_{ph} or R_{ah} ratios.

some interesting news forecasting questions. For example, what is the probability that an entity become the most famous one among its group? And what is the likelihood that a trivial entity becomes incredibly important in the next time period? Our analysis shows these questions could be solved by fitting power-law tails and we validated the model with several interesting news groups in different domains. Our study provides very useful insights for the analysis of issues in finance, political science, or social science.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] A.-L. Barabasi, A. laszlo Barabasi, R. Albert, and H. Jeong. Mean-field theory for scale-free random networks, 1999.
- [2] D. M. Blei, A. Y. Ng, and M. I. Jordan. Latent dirichlet allocation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 3 (2003) 993-1022, 2003.
- [3] S. Deerwester, S. Dumais, G. Furnas, R. Harshman, T. Landauer, K. Lochbaum, and L. Streeter. *Computer information retrieval using latent semantic structure*. US Patent 4,839,853, June 1989.
- [4] M. Gotz, J. Leskovec, M. Mcglohon, and C. Faloutsos. Modeling blog dynamics. In *International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*, May 2009.
- [5] V. Ha-Thuc, Y. Mejova, C. Harris, and P. Srinivasan. A taxonomy-based approach for modeling and tracking news events in the social web. *Working paper*, 2009.
- [6] T. Hofmann. Probabilistic latent semantic indexing. In *Proceedings of the 15th Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, 1999.
- [7] A. C. Johnson and N. T. Thomopoulos. Use of the left-truncated normal distribution for improving achieved service levels. In *Decision Sciences Institute 2002 Annual Meeting Proceedings*, 2002.
- [8] N. F. Johnson¹, M. Spagat, J. A. Restrepo, O. Becerra, J. Camilo, Bohorquez, N. Suarez, E. M. Restrepo, and R. Zarama. Universal patterns underlying ongoing wars and terrorism. *Working Paper*, 2006.
- [9] W. E. Leland, M. S. Taqqu, W. Willinger, and D. V. Wilson. On the self-similar nature of ethernet traffic (extended version). 2(1):1-15, 1994.
- [10] J. Leskovec, L. Backstrom, and J. Kleinberg. Meme-tracking and the dynamics of the news cycle. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2009.
- [11] L. Lloyd, D. Kechagias, and S. Skiena. Lydia: A system for large-scale news analysis. In *Proceedings of 12th String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE 2005)*, volume LNCS 3772, pages 161-166, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 2005.
- [12] M. Mitzenmacher. A brief history of generative models for power law and lognormal distributions. *Internet Mathematics*, 1:226-251, 2001.
- [13] B. Pulse. <http://blogpulse.com>.
- [14] B. Scope. <http://www.blogscope.net>.
- [15] G. I. Search. <http://www.google.com/insights/search>.
- [16] B. H. J. Sjoberg, Mikael; Albrechtsen. Truncated power laws: a tool for understanding aggregation patterns in animals? *Ecology Letters*, 3:90-94, 2000.
- [17] K. Sun. Explanation of log-normal distributions and power-law distributions in biology and social science. *Working Paper*, May 2004.
- [18] G. Trends. <http://www.google.com/trends>.
- [19] M. Wang, T. Madhyastha, N. H. Chan, S. Papadimitriou, and C. Faloutsos. Data mining meets performance evaluation: fast algorithms for modeling bursty traffic. In *Data Engineering, 2002. Proceedings. 18th International Conference on*.