

Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation *

X. H. Tang

School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Central South University,
Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R.China
E-mail: tangxh@mail.csu.edu.cn

Abstract: We consider the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

where f is a superlinear, subcritical nonlinearity. We mainly study the case where $V(x) = V_0(x) + V_1(x)$, $V_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N and $\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (0, \infty)]$, $V_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x) = 0$. Inspired by previous work of Li et al. [14], Pankov [20] and Szulkin and Weth [25], we develop a more direct approach to generalize the main result in [25] by removing the “strictly increasing” condition in the Nehari type assumption on $f(x, t)/|t|$. Unlike the Nahari manifold method, the main idea of our approach lies on finding a minimizing Cerami sequence for the energy functional outside the Nehari-Pankov manifold \mathcal{N}^0 by using the diagonal method.

Keywords: Schrödinger equation; Non-Nehari manifold method; Asymptotically periodic; Ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20; 35J60

1 Introduction

Consider the following semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following basic assumptions, respectively:

*This work is partially supported by the NNSF (No: 11171351) and the SRFDP(No: 20120162110021) of China; This paper has been accepted for publication in SCIENCE CHINA Mathematics. There are some errors in section 4 of the paper “arXiv:1405.2607v1 [math.AP], 12 May 2014”, this paper is also the revised version.

(V0) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V) \cap (0, \infty)]; \quad (1.2)$$

or

(V0') $V(x) = V_0(x) + V_1(x)$, $V_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\sup[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (-\infty, 0)] < 0 < \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (0, \infty)], \quad (1.3)$$

$V_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_1(x) = 0$;

(F0) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, and there exist constants $p \in (2, 2^* = 2N/(N-2))$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C_0 (1 + |t|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R};$$

(F1) $f(x, t) = o(|t|)$, as $|t| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = -\Delta + V$ with $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then \mathcal{A} is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with domain $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [9, Theorem 4.26]). Let $\{\mathcal{E}(\lambda) : -\infty \leq \lambda \leq +\infty\}$ and $|\mathcal{A}|$ be the spectral family and the absolute value of \mathcal{A} , respectively, and $|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}$ be the square root of $|\mathcal{A}|$. Set $U = id - \mathcal{E}(0) - \mathcal{E}(0-)$. Then U commutes with \mathcal{A} , $|\mathcal{A}|$ and $|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}$, and $\mathcal{A} = U|\mathcal{A}|$ is the polar decomposition of \mathcal{A} (see [8, Theorem IV 3.3]). Let

$$E = \mathfrak{D}(|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}), \quad E^- = \mathcal{E}(0-)E, \quad E^0 = [\mathcal{E}(0) - \mathcal{E}(0-)]E, \quad E^+ = [id - \mathcal{E}(0)]E. \quad (1.4)$$

For any $u \in E$, it is easy to see that $u = u^- + u^0 + u^+$ and

$$\mathcal{A}u^0 = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}u^- = -|\mathcal{A}|u^-, \quad \mathcal{A}u^+ = |\mathcal{A}|u^+, \quad \forall u \in E \cap \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$u^- := \mathcal{E}(0-)u \in E^-, \quad u^0 = [\mathcal{E}(0) - \mathcal{E}(0-)]u \in E^0, \quad u^+ := [id - \mathcal{E}(0)]u \in E^+. \quad (1.6)$$

Note that $E^0 = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{A})$, we can define an inner product

$$(u, v) = \left(\left\| |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}u, |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}v \right\|_{L^2} + (u^0, v^0)_{L^2} \right), \quad \forall u, v \in E \quad (1.7)$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\left\| |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}u \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad (1.8)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ denotes the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\|\cdot\|_s$ denotes the norm of $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Firstly, we assume that (V0) is satisfied. In this case, $E^0 = \{0\}$, $E = H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with equivalent norms (see [5, 7]). Therefore, E embeds continuously in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2 \leq s \leq 2^*$. In addition, one has an orthogonal decomposition $E = E^- \oplus E^+$, where orthogonality is with respect to both $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ and (\cdot, \cdot) . If $\sigma(-\Delta + V) \subset (0, \infty)$, then $E^- = \{0\}$, otherwise E^- is infinite-dimensional.

Under assumptions (V0), (F0) and (F1), the solutions of problem (1.1) are critical points of the functional

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad (1.9)$$

where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$. In view of (1.5) and (1.8), we have

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u = u^- + u^+ \in E^- \oplus E^+ = E. \quad (1.10)$$

By virtue of (F0) and (F1), for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon |t| + C_\varepsilon |t|^{p-1}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.11)$$

Consequently,

$$|F(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon |t|^2 + C_\varepsilon |t|^p, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.12)$$

According to (1.12), we can demonstrate that Φ is of class $C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (1.13)$$

In the recent paper [25], Szulkin and Weth developed an ingenious approach to find ground state solutions for problem (1.1). This approach transforms, by a direct and simple reduction, the indefinite variational problem to a definite one, resulting in a new minimax characterization of the corresponding critical value. More precisely, they proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. ([25]) *Assume that V and f satisfy (V0), (F0), (F1) and the following assumptions:*

(V1) $V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N ;

(F2) $f(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N ;

(SQ) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, t)}{|t|^2} = \infty$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(Ne) $t \mapsto \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|}$ is strictly increasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$.

Then problem (1.1) has a solution $u_0 \in E$ such that $\Phi(u_0) = m := \inf_{\mathcal{N}^-} \Phi > 0$, where

$$\mathcal{N}^- = \{u \in E \setminus E^- : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in E^-\}. \quad (1.14)$$

The set \mathcal{N}^- was first introduced by Pankov [20], which is a subset of the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in E \setminus \{0\} : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = 0\}. \quad (1.15)$$

Since u_0 is a solution to the equation $\Phi'(u) = 0$ at which Φ has minimal “energy” in set \mathcal{N}^- , we shall call it a ground state solution of Nehari-Pankov type. Theorem 1.1 is also established in Pankov [20, Section 5] under the following additional assumptions on the nonlinearity: $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \mathbb{R})$, $|f'_t(x, t)| \leq a_0(1 + |t|^{p-2})$ and

$$0 < \frac{f(x, t)}{t} < \theta f'_t(x, t) \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (0, 1) \text{ and all } t \neq 0. \quad (1.16)$$

It is easy to see that (1.16) is stronger than both (Ne) and the following classical condition (AR) due to Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1]:

(AR) there exists $\mu > 2$ such that

$$0 < \mu F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad t \neq 0.$$

The existence of a nontrivial solution of (1.1) has been obtained in [2, 4, 12, 21, 31] under (AR) and some other standard assumptions of f . It is well known that (AR) implies (SQ). The idea of using the more natural super-quadratic condition (SQ) to replace (AR) under a Nehari type setting goes back to Liu and Wang [19]. Afterwards, condition (SQ) was also used in many papers, see [3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16, 14, 26, 29, 34, 35]. In the definite case where $\sigma(-\Delta + V) \subset (0, \infty)$, Theorem 1.1 is a slight extension of a result by Li, Wang and Zeng [14].

There have been a few new works on the existence of “ground state solutions” for problem (1.1) after Szulkin and Weth [25] in which, various conditions better than (Ne) are obtained, see [18, 23, 27, 33]. However, the “ground state solutions” for problem (1.1) in [18, 23, 27, 33] are in fact a nontrivial solution u_0 which satisfies $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} \Phi$, where

$$\mathcal{M} = \{u \in E \setminus \{0\} : \Phi'(u) = 0\} \quad (1.17)$$

is a very small subset of \mathcal{N}^- . In general, it is much more difficult to find a solution u_0 for (1.1) which satisfies $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^-} \Phi$ than one satisfying $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} \Phi$.

We point out that the Nehari type assumption (Ne) is very crucial in Szulkin and Weth [25]. In fact, the starting point of their approach is to show that for each $u \in E \setminus E^-$, the Nehari-Pankov manifold \mathcal{N}^- intersects $\hat{E}(u)$ in exactly one point $\hat{m}(u)$, where

$$\hat{E}(u) := E^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u = E^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u^+, \quad \text{and } \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty). \quad (1.18)$$

The uniqueness of $\hat{m}(u)$ enables one to define a map $u \mapsto \hat{m}(u)$, which is important in the remaining proof. If $t \mapsto f(x, t)/|t|$ is not strictly increasing, then $\hat{m}(u)$ may not be unique and their arguments become invalid. This paper intends to address this problem caused by the

dropping of this “strictly increasing” condition on f . Motivated by the works [14, 20, 21, 25, 28, 30], we will develop a more direct approach to generalize and improve Theorem 1.1 by relaxing assumption (Ne) in two cases: the periodic case and the asymptotically periodic case. Unlike the Nahari manifold method, our approach lies on finding a minimizing Cerami sequence for Φ outside \mathcal{N}^- by using the diagonal method, see Lemma 2.10 and [28, Lemma 3.8].

Before presenting our theorems, in addition to (V0), (V1), (F0), (F1) and (F2), we introduce the following assumptions.

$$(F3) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x,t)|}{|t|^2} = \infty, \quad a.e. \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

$$(WN) \quad t \mapsto \frac{f(x,t)}{|t|} \text{ is non-decreasing on } (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty).$$

We are now in a position to state the first result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that V and f satisfy (V0), (V1), (F0), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (WN). Then problem (1.1) has a solution $u_0 \in E$ such that $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^-} \Phi > 0$.*

Next, we assume that (V0') is satisfied, i.e. $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic. In this case, the functional Φ loses the \mathbb{Z}^N -translation invariance, and a powerful equation $u^+(\cdot+k) = [u(\cdot+k)]^+$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ is no longer valid. For the above reasons, many effective methods for periodic problems cannot be applied to asymptotically periodic ones. To the best of our knowledge, there are no results on the existence of ground state solutions for (1.1) when $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic. In this paper, we find new tricks to overcome the difficulties caused by the dropping of periodicity of $V(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = -\Delta + V_0$ with spectral family $\{\mathcal{F}(\lambda) : -\infty \leq \lambda \leq +\infty\}$, $|\mathcal{A}_0|$ be the absolute value of \mathcal{A}_0 , and $|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2}$ be the square root of $|\mathcal{A}_0|$. Let

$$E = \mathfrak{D}(|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2}), \quad E^{\mathcal{F}^-} = \mathcal{F}(0-)E, \quad E^{\mathcal{F}^+} = [id - \mathcal{F}(0)]E. \quad (1.19)$$

For any $u \in E$, it is easy to see that $u = u^{\mathcal{F}^-} + u^{\mathcal{F}^+}$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_0 u^{\mathcal{F}^-} = -|\mathcal{A}_0| u^{\mathcal{F}^-}, \quad \mathcal{A}_0 u^{\mathcal{F}^+} = |\mathcal{A}_0| u^{\mathcal{F}^+}, \quad \forall u \in E \cap \mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{A}_0), \quad (1.20)$$

where

$$u^{\mathcal{F}^-} := \mathcal{F}(0-)u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}, \quad u^{\mathcal{F}^+} := [id - \mathcal{F}(0)]u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}. \quad (1.21)$$

Obviously, If $V_1 = 0$, i.e. $V = V_0$, then $u^- = u^{\mathcal{F}^-}$, $u^+ = u^{\mathcal{F}^+}$, $E^- = E^{\mathcal{F}^-}$ and $E^+ = E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$.

Under assumption (V0'), we can define a new inner product

$$(u, v)_0 = \left(|\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} u, |\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} v \right)_{L^2}, \quad \forall u, v \in E \quad (1.22)$$

and the corresponding norm

$$\|u\|_0 = \left\| |\mathcal{A}_0|^{1/2} u \right\|_2, \quad \forall u \in E. \quad (1.23)$$

It is easy to see the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. In particular, by (V0'), one has

$$\|u\|_0^2 \geq \Pi_0 \|u\|_2^2, \quad \forall u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}, \quad (1.24)$$

where

$$\Pi_0 := \inf[\sigma(-\Delta + V_0) \cap (0, \infty)]. \quad (1.25)$$

Instead of (V1) and (F2), we make the following assumptions.

(V1') $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , and

$$0 \leq -V_1(x) \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N}[-V_1(x)] < \Pi_0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(F2') $f(x, t) = f_0(x, t) + f_1(x, t)$, $f_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, $f_0(x, t)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , $t \mapsto f_0(x, t)/|t|$ is non-decreasing on $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$; $f_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies that

$$0 \leq t f_1(x, t) \leq a(x) (|t|^2 + |t|^p), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $a \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = 0$.

We are now in a position to state the second result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that V and f satisfy (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1), (F2'), (SQ) and (WN). Moreover, $-V_1(x)t^2 + F_1(x, t) > 0$ for $|x| < 1 + \sqrt{N}$ and $t \neq 0$. Then problem (1.1) has a solution $u_0 \in E$ such that $\Phi(u_0) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^0} \Phi > 0$, where*

$$\mathcal{N}^0 = \{u \in E \setminus E^{\mathcal{F}^-} : \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = 0, \forall v \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}\}. \quad (1.26)$$

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results are presented. The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let X be a real Hilbert space with $X = X^- \oplus X^+$ and $X^- \perp X^+$. For a functional $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, φ is said to be weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous if for any $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X one has $\varphi(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u_n)$, and φ' is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi'(u_n), v \rangle = \langle \varphi'(u), v \rangle$ for each $v \in X$.

Lemma 2.1. ([12, 13]) *Let X be a real Hilbert space with $X = X^- \oplus X^+$ and $X^- \perp X^+$, and let $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ of the form*

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u^+\|^2 - \|u^-\|^2) - \psi(u), \quad u = u^- + u^+ \in X^- \oplus X^+.$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied:

(KS1) $\psi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ *is bounded from below and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous;*

(KS2) ψ' *is weakly sequentially continuous;*

(KS3) *there exist $r > \rho > 0$ and $e \in X^+$ with $\|e\| = 1$ such that*

$$\kappa := \inf \varphi(S_\rho^+) > \sup \varphi(\partial Q),$$

where

$$S_\rho^+ = \{u \in X^+ : \|u\| = \rho\}, \quad Q = \{v + se : v \in X^-, s \geq 0, \|v + se\| \leq r\}.$$

Then there exist a constant $c \in [\kappa, \sup \varphi(Q)]$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \|\varphi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|) \rightarrow 0.$$

We set

$$\Psi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E. \quad (2.1)$$

Employing a standard argument, one checks easily the following:

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that (V0'), (F0) and (F1) are satisfied, and $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. Then Ψ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and Ψ' is weakly sequentially continuous.*

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that (V0'), (F0), (F1) and (WN) are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \Phi(tu + w) + \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)w^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1-t^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle - t \langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\tau \neq 0$, (WN) yields

$$f(x, s) \leq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} |s|, \quad s \leq \tau; \quad f(x, s) \geq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} |s|, \quad s \geq \tau. \quad (2.3)$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1-t^2}{2} \tau - t\sigma \right) f(x, \tau) \geq \int_{t\tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s) ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

To show (2.4), we consider four possible cases. By virtue of (2.3) and $sf(x, s) \geq 0$, one has

Case 1). $0 \leq t\tau + \sigma \leq \tau$ or $t\tau + \sigma \leq \tau \leq 0$,

$$\int_{t\tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s)ds \leq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{t\tau+\sigma}^{\tau} |s|ds \leq \left(\frac{1-t^2}{2}\tau - t\sigma \right) f(x, \tau);$$

Case 2). $t\tau + \sigma \leq 0 \leq \tau$,

$$\int_{t\tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s)ds \leq \int_0^{\tau} f(x, s)ds \leq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_0^{\tau} |s|ds \leq \left(\frac{1-t^2}{2}\tau - t\sigma \right) f(x, \tau);$$

Case 3). $0 \leq \tau \leq t\tau + \sigma$ or $\tau \leq t\tau + \sigma \leq 0$,

$$\int_{\tau}^{t\tau+\sigma} f(x, s)ds \geq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau}^{t\tau+\sigma} |s|ds \geq - \left(\frac{1-t^2}{2}\tau - t\sigma \right) f(x, \tau);$$

Case 4). $\tau \leq 0 \leq t\tau + \sigma$,

$$\int_{\tau}^{t\tau+\sigma} f(x, s)ds \geq \int_{\tau}^0 f(x, s)ds \geq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau}^0 |s|ds \geq - \left(\frac{1-t^2}{2}\tau - t\sigma \right) f(x, \tau).$$

The above four cases show that (2.4) holds.

We let $b : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the symmetric bilinear form given by

$$b(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv)dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (2.5)$$

By virtue of (1.9), (1.13) and (2.5), one has

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}b(u, u) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx, \quad \forall u \in E \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = b(u, v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)vdx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, by (1.20), (1.23), (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(u) - \Phi(tu + w) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[b(u, u) - b(tu + w, tu + w)] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F(x, tu + w) - F(x, u)]dx \\ &= \frac{1-t^2}{2}b(u, u) - tb(u, w) - \frac{1}{2}b(w, w) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F(x, tu + w) - F(x, u)]dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}b(w, w) + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle - t\langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1-t^2}{2}f(x, u)u - tf(x, u)w - \int_{tu+w}^u f(x, s)ds \right] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)w^2 dx + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle - t\langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1-t^2}{2}f(x, u)u - tf(x, u)w - \int_{tu+w}^u f(x, s)ds \right] dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)w^2 dx + \frac{1-t^2}{2}\langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle - t\langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (2.2) holds. \square

From Lemma 2.3, we have the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.4. *Suppose that (V0'), (F0), (F1) and (WN) are satisfied. Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}^0$*

$$\Phi(u) \geq \Phi(tu + w) + \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)w^2 dx, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}. \quad (2.8)$$

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that (V0'), (F0), (F1) and (WN) are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx + \frac{1-t^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle + t^2 \langle \Phi'(u), u^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) [(u^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 - (u^{\mathcal{F}^-})^2] dx, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1) and (WN) are satisfied. Then*

(i) *there exists $\rho > 0$ such that*

$$m := \inf_{\mathcal{N}^0} \Phi \geq \kappa := \inf \{ \Phi(u) : u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}, \|u\|_0 = \rho \} > 0. \quad (2.10)$$

(ii) $\|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0 \geq \max \{ \|u^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0, \sqrt{2m} \}$ *for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^0$.*

Proof. (i) Set $\Theta_0 = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} [-V_1(x)]$. Let $\varepsilon_0 = (\Pi_0 - \Theta_0)/3$. Then (1.12) implies that there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon_0} > 0$ such that

$$F(x, tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}) \leq \varepsilon_0 |tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}|^2 + C_{\varepsilon_0} |tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}|^p, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (2.11)$$

From (1.9), (1.24), (2.8) and (2.11), we have for $u \in \mathcal{N}^0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \Phi(tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}) \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2}\|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0^2 + \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)(u^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, tu^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx \\ &\geq \frac{t^2}{2} [\|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0^2 - (\Theta_0 + 2\varepsilon_0)\|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_2^2] - t^p C_{\varepsilon_0} \|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_p^p \\ &\geq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\Theta_0 + 2\varepsilon_0}{\Pi_0} \right) \|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0^2 - t^p \gamma_p^p C_{\varepsilon_0} \|u^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0^p > 0 \quad \text{for small } t > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

This shows that there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that (2.10) holds.

(ii) (F1) and (WN) imply that $F(x, u) \geq 0$. Hence, it follows from (i), (1.9) and the definition of \mathcal{N}^0 that (ii) holds. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1) and (F3) are satisfied. Then for any $e \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$, $\sup \Phi(E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ e) < \infty$, and there is $R_e > 0$ such that*

$$\Phi(u) \leq 0, \quad \forall u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ e, \quad \|u\|_0 \geq R_e. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Arguing indirectly, assume that for some sequence $\{w_n + s_n e\} \subset E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ e$ with $\|w_n + s_n e\|_0 \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\Phi(w_n + s_n e) \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $v_n = (w_n + s_n e)/\|w_n + s_n e\|_0 = v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e$, then $\|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e\|_0 = 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_n \rightarrow \bar{t}$, $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rightharpoonup v^{\mathcal{F}^-}$, and $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rightarrow v^{\mathcal{F}^-}$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{\Phi(w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|_0^2} \\
&= \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) (v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|_0^2} dx \\
&\leq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|_0^2} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

If $\bar{t} = 0$, then it follows from (2.14) that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|_0^2} dx \leq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields $\|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0 \rightarrow 0$, and so $1 = \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e\|_0^2 \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

If $\bar{t} \neq 0$, then it follows from (2.14) and (F3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_n^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{\|w_n + s_n e\|_0^2} dx \right] \\
&\leq \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{(w_n + s_n e)^2} (v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e)^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{\bar{t}^2}{2} \|e\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, w_n + s_n e)}{(w_n + s_n e)^2} (v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} + t_n e)^2 dx \\
&= -\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1) and (F3) are satisfied. Let $e \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$ with $\|e\|_0 = 1$. Then there is a $r_0 > \rho$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$ for $r \geq r_0$, where*

$$Q = \{w + se : w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}, s \geq 0, \|w + se\|_0 \leq r\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1), (F3) and (WN) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c \in [\kappa, \sup \Phi(Q)]$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \|\Phi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|_0) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.16}$$

where Q is defined by (2.15).

Proof. Lemma 2.9 is a direct corollary of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.6 (i) and Corollary 2.8. □

The following lemma is crucial to demonstrate the existence of ground state solutions of Nehari-Pankov type for problem (1.1).

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1), (F3) and (WN) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c_* \in [\kappa, m]$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|_0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Choose $v_k \in \mathcal{N}^0$ such that

$$m \leq \Phi(v_k) < m + \frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.18)$$

By Lemma 2.6, $\|v_k^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0 \geq \sqrt{2m} > 0$. Set $e_k = v_k^{\mathcal{F}^+} / \|v_k^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0$. Then $e_k \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$ and $\|e_k\|_0 = 1$. In view of Corollary 2.8, there exists $r_k > \max\{\rho, \|v_k\|_0\}$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q_k) \leq 0$, where

$$Q_k = \{w + se_k : w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}, s \geq 0, \|w + se_k\|_0 \leq r_k\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, applying Lemma 2.9 to the above set Q_k , there exist a constant $c_k \in [\kappa, \sup \Phi(Q_k)]$ and a sequence $\{u_{k,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ satisfying

$$\Phi(u_{k,n}) \rightarrow c_k, \quad \|\Phi'(u_{k,n})\|(1 + \|u_{k,n}\|_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.20)$$

By virtue of Corollary 2.4, one can get that

$$\Phi(v_k) \geq \Phi(tv_k + w), \quad \forall t \geq 0, w \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}. \quad (2.21)$$

Since $v_k \in Q_k$, it follows from (2.19) and (2.21) that $\Phi(v_k) = \sup \Phi(Q_k)$. Hence, by (2.18) and (2.20), one has

$$\Phi(u_{k,n}) \rightarrow c_k < m + \frac{1}{k}, \quad \|\Phi'(u_{k,n})\|(1 + \|u_{k,n}\|_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.22)$$

Now, we can choose a sequence $\{n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi(u_{k,n_k}) < m + \frac{1}{k}, \quad \|\Phi'(u_{k,n_k})\|(1 + \|u_{k,n_k}\|_0) < \frac{1}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.23)$$

Let $u_k = u_{k,n_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, going if necessary to a subsequence, we have

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c_* \in [\kappa, m], \quad \|\Phi'(u_n)\|(1 + \|u_n\|_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1), (SQ) and (WN) are satisfied. Then for any $u \in E \setminus E^{\mathcal{F}^-}$, there exist $t(u) > 0$ and $w(u) \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}$ such that $t(u)u + w(u) \in \mathcal{N}^0$.*

Proof. Since $E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u = E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u^{\mathcal{F}^+}$, we may assume that $u \in E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$. By Lemma 2.7, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq 0$ for $u \in (E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u) \setminus B_R(0)$. By Lemma 2.6 (i), $\Phi(tu) > 0$ for small $t > 0$. Thus, $0 < \sup \Phi(E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u) < \infty$. It is easy to see that Φ is weakly upper semi-continuous on $E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u$, therefore, $\Phi(u_0) = \sup \Phi(E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+u)$ for some

$u_0 \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u$. This u_0 is a critical point of $\Phi|_{E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}u}$, so $\langle \Phi'(u_0), u_0 \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u_0), v \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R} u$. Consequently, $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^0 \cap (E^{\mathcal{F}^-} \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u)$. \square

3 The periodic case

In this section, we assume that V and f are 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , i.e., (V1) and (F2) are satisfied. In this case, $V_0 = V$ and $V_1 = 0$. Thus, $u^- = u^{\mathcal{F}^-}$, $u^+ = u^{\mathcal{F}^+}$, $E^- = E^{\mathcal{F}^-}$, $E^+ = E^{\mathcal{F}^+}$ and $\|u\| = \|u\|_0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that (V0), (V1), (F0), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (WN) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying*

$$\Phi(u_n) \rightarrow c \geq 0, \quad \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^\pm \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

is bounded in E .

Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = u_n/\|u_n\|$, then $\|v_n\| = 1$. By Sobolev imbedding theorem, there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\|v_n\|_2 \leq C_2$. If

$$\delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n^+|^2 dx = 0,$$

then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [17] or [32, Lemma 1.21], $v_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < s < 2^*$. Fix $R > [2(1+c)]^{1/2}$. By virtue of (F0) and (F1), for $\varepsilon = 1/4(RC_2)^2 > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that (1.12) holds. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^+) dx &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\varepsilon R^2 \|v_n^+\|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon R^p \|v_n^+\|_p^p] \\ &\leq \varepsilon (RC_2)^2 = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Let $t_n = R/\|u_n\|$. Hence, by virtue of (3.1), (3.2) and Corollary 2.5, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} c + o(1) &= \Phi(u_n) \\ &\geq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|u_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, t_n u_n^+) dx + \frac{1-t_n^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\quad + t_n^2 \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^- \rangle \\ &= \frac{R^2}{2} \|v_n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^+) dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{R^2}{2\|u_n\|^2} \right) \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{R^2}{\|u_n\|^2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^- \rangle \\ &= \frac{R^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^+) dx + o(1) \\ &\geq \frac{R^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + o(1) > c + \frac{3}{4} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta > 0$.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |v_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_n(x) = v_n(x + k_n)$. Since $V(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N . Then $\|w_n\| = \|v_n\| = 1$, and

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |w_n^+|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ in E , $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$, $w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, (3.3) implies that $w \neq 0$.

Now we define $u_n^{k_n}(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$, then $u_n^{k_n}/\|u_n\| = w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N , $w \neq 0$. For $x \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : w(y) \neq 0\}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n^{k_n}(x)| = \infty$. Hence, it follows from (3.1), (F1), (F2), (F3), (WN) and Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c + o(1)}{\|u_n\|^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|v_n^+\|^2 - \|v_n^-\|^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, u_n^{k_n})}{(u_n^{k_n})^2} w_n^2 dx = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Applying Lemmas 2.10 and 3.1, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (2.17). Thus there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that $\|u_n\|_2 \leq C_3$. If

$$\delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0,$$

then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [17] or [32, Lemma 1.21], $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < s < 2^*$. By virtue of (F0) and (F1), for $\varepsilon = c_*/4C_3^2 > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that (1.11) and (1.12) hold. It follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n) \right] dx \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} C_3^2 + \frac{3}{2} C_\varepsilon \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_p^p = \frac{3c_*}{8}. \quad (3.4)$$

From (1.9), (1.13), (2.17) and (3.4), one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} c_* &= \Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle + o(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, u_n) u_n - F(x, u_n) \right] dx + o(1) \leq \frac{3c_*}{8} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\delta > 0$.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let us define $v_n(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$ so that

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |v_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $V(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ are periodic on x , we have $\|v_n\| = \|u_n\|$ and

$$\Phi(v_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'(v_n)\|(1 + \|v_n\|) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E , $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Obviously, (3.5) implies that $\bar{v} \neq 0$. By a standard argument, one has $\Phi'(\bar{v}) = 0$. This shows that $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}^-$ and so $\Phi(\bar{v}) \geq m$. On the other hand, by using (3.6), (WN) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq c_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\Phi(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'(v_n), v_n \rangle \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, v_n) v_n - F(x, v_n) \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, v_n) v_n - F(x, v_n) \right] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x, \bar{v}) \bar{v} - F(x, \bar{v}) \right] dx \\ &= \Phi(\bar{v}) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'(\bar{v}), \bar{v} \rangle = \Phi(\bar{v}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\Phi(\bar{v}) \leq m$ and so $\Phi(\bar{v}) = m = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^-} \Phi > 0$. \square

4 The asymptotically periodic case

In this section, we always assume that V satisfies (V0') and (V1') and define functional Φ_0 as follows:

$$\Phi_0(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0(x)u^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_0(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E, \quad (4.1)$$

where $F_0(x, t) := \int_0^t f_0(x, s) ds$. Then (V0'), (F0), (F1) and (F2') imply that $\Phi_0 \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\langle \Phi'_0(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V_0(x)uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_0(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that (V0'), (V1'), (F0), (F1), (F2'), (SQ) and (WN) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (2.17) is bounded in E .*

Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\|u_n\|_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|_0$. Then $1 = \|v_n\|_0^2$. By Sobolev imbedding theorem, there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ such that $\|v_n\|_2 \leq C_4$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E . There are two possible cases: i). $\bar{v} = 0$ and ii). $\bar{v} \neq 0$.

Case i). $\bar{v} = 0$, i.e. $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in E . Then $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By (V0'), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)(v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)(v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-})^2 dx = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

If

$$\delta := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}|^2 dx = 0,$$

then by Lions' concentration compactness principle [17] or [32, Lemma 1.21], $v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $2 < s < 2^*$. Fix $R > [2(1 + c_*)]^{1/2}$. By virtue of (F0) and (F1), for $\varepsilon = 1/4(RC_4)^2 > 0$, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that (1.12) holds. Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\varepsilon R^2 \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_2^2 + C_\varepsilon R^p \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_p^p] \\ &\leq \varepsilon (RC_4)^2 = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Let $t_n = R/\|u_n\|_0$. Hence, by virtue of (2.17), (4.3), (4.4) and Corollary 2.5, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} c_* + o(1) &= \Phi(u_n) \\ &\geq \frac{t_n^2}{2} \|u_n\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, t_n u_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx + \frac{1 - t_n^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\quad + t_n^2 \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rangle + \frac{t_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) [(u_n^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 - (u_n^{\mathcal{F}^-})^2] dx \\ &= \frac{R^2}{2} \|v_n\|_0^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{R^2}{2\|u_n\|_0^2} \right) \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{R^2}{\|u_n\|_0^2} \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^{\mathcal{F}^-} \rangle + \frac{R^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) [(v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 - (v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-})^2] dx \\ &\geq \frac{R^2}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, Rv_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}) dx + o(1) \\ &\geq \frac{R^2}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + o(1) > c_* + \frac{3}{4} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta > 0$.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_n(x) = v_n(x + k_n)$. Since $V_0(x)$ is 1-periodic in each of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N . Then

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |w_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Now we define $\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$, then $\tilde{u}_n/\|u_n\|_0 = w_n$ and $\|w_n\|_0 = \|v_n\|_0 = 1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_n \rightharpoonup w$ in E , $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $w_n \rightarrow w$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Obviously, (4.5) implies that $w \neq 0$. Hence, it follows from (2.17), (SQ) and Fatou's lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_* + o(1)}{\|u_n\|_0^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_0^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+}\|_0^2 - \|v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-}\|_0^2) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) [(v_n^{\mathcal{F}^+})^2 - (v_n^{\mathcal{F}^-})^2] dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x + k_n, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x + k_n, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x + k_n, \tilde{u}_n)}{\tilde{u}_n^2} w_n^2 dx \\ &= -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case ii). $\bar{v} \neq 0$. In this case, we can also deduce a contradiction by a standard argument.

Cases i) and ii) show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Applying Lemmas 2.10 and 4.1, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ satisfying (2.17). Passing to a subsequence, we have $u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in E . Next, we prove $\bar{u} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\bar{u} = 0$, i.e. $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in E , and so $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By (V0') and (F2'), it is easy to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u_n^2 dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u_n v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in E \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, u_n) dx = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(x, u_n) v dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in E. \quad (4.7)$$

Note that

$$\Phi_0(u) = \Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, u) dx, \quad \forall u \in E \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\langle \Phi'_0(u), v \rangle = \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x) u v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_1(x, u) v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E. \quad (4.9)$$

From (2.17), (4.6)-(4.9), one can get that

$$\Phi_0(u_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'_0(u_n)\| (1 + \|u_n\|_0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we may assume the existence of $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $v_n(x) = u_n(x + k_n)$. Then $\|v_n\|_0 = \|u_n\|_0$ and

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |v_n|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4.11)$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E , $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $2 \leq s < 2^*$ and $v_n \rightarrow \bar{v}$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . Obviously, (4.11) implies that $\bar{v} \neq 0$. Since $V_0(x)$ and $f_0(x, u)$ are periodic in x , then by (4.10), we have

$$\Phi_0(v_n) \rightarrow c_*, \quad \|\Phi'_0(v_n)\| (1 + \|v_n\|_0) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.12)$$

In the same way as the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can prove that $\Phi'_0(\bar{v}) = 0$ and $\Phi_0(\bar{v}) \leq c_*$.

It follows from $\Phi'_0(\bar{v}) = 0$ and (4.2) that $\bar{v}^{\mathcal{F}^+} \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.11, there exist $t_0 = t(\bar{v}) > 0$ and $w_0 = w(\bar{v}) \in E^{\mathcal{F}^-}$ such that $t_0 \bar{v} + w_0 \in \mathcal{N}^0$, and so $\Phi(t_0 \bar{v} + w_0) \geq m$. By virtue of (F2'), $f_0(x, t)/|t|$ is non-decreasing on $t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$, similar to (2.4), we have

$$\frac{1 - t_0^2}{2} f_0(x, \bar{v}) \bar{v} - t_0 f_0(x, \bar{v}) w_0 - \int_{t_0 \bar{v} + w_0}^v f_0(x, s) ds \geq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence, from (4.1), (4.2), (4.13) and the fact that $-V_1(x)t^2 + F_1(x, t) > 0$ for $|x| < 1 + \sqrt{N}$ and $t \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
m &\geq c_* \geq \Phi_0(\bar{v}) \\
&= \Phi_0(t_0\bar{v} + w_0) + \frac{1}{2}\|w_0\|_0^2 + \frac{1-t_0^2}{2}\langle \Phi'_0(\bar{v}), \bar{v} \rangle - t_0\langle \Phi'_0(\bar{v}), w_0 \rangle \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1-t_0^2}{2}f_0(x, \bar{v})\bar{v} - t_0f_0(x, \bar{v})w_0 - \int_{t_0\bar{v}+w_0}^{\bar{v}} f_0(x, s)ds \right] dx \\
&\geq \Phi_0(t_0\bar{v} + w_0) + \frac{1}{2}\|w_0\|_0^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\|w_0\|_0^2 + \Phi(t_0\bar{v} + w_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_1(x)(t_0\bar{v} + w_0)^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_1(x, t_0\bar{v} + w_0) dx \\
&> \Phi(t_0\bar{v} + w_0) \geq m,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{v}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)$. This contradiction implies that $\bar{u} \neq 0$. In the same way as the last part of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can certify that $\Phi'(\bar{u}) = 0$ and $\Phi(\bar{u}) = m = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^0} \Phi$. This shows that $\bar{u} \in E$ is a solution for problem (1.1) with $\Phi(\bar{u}) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}^0} \Phi > 0$. \square

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [2] T. Bartsch, Z.-Q. Wang, Existence and multiplicity results for some superlinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 20 (1995) 1725-1741.
- [3] G.W. Chen, S.W. Ma, Asymptotically or super linear cooperative elliptic systems in the whole space, *Science in China, Mathematics*, 56 (6) (2013) 1181-1194.
- [4] V. Coti Zelati, P.H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on \mathbb{R}^N , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* XIV (1992) 1217-1269.
- [5] Y. Ding, *Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
- [6] Y. Ding, A. Szulkin, Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 29 (3) (2007) 397-419.
- [7] Y. Ding, C. Lee, Multiple solutions of Schrödinger equations with indefinite linear part and super or asymptotically linear terms, *J. Differential Equations* 222 (2006) 137-163.
- [8] D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.

- [9] Y. Egorov, V. Kondratiev, On Spectral Theory of Elliptic Operators, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [10] Y. He, G.B. Li, The existence and concentration of weak solutions to a class of p -Laplacian type problems in unbounded domains, Science in China, Mathematics, 57 (9) (2014) 1927-1952.
- [11] Y.S. Jiang, H.S. Zhou, Multiple solutions for a Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater equation with external Coulomb potential, Sci China Math, 57 (2014), 57: 1163-1174.
- [12] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation. Adv. Differ. Equ. 3(1998) 441-472.
- [13] G.B. Li, A. Szulkin, An asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part, Commun. Contemp. Math. 4 (2002) 763-776.
- [14] Y.Q. Li, Z.-Q. Wang, J. Zeng, Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 23 (2006) 829-837.
- [15] X. Lin, X.H. Tang, Semiclassical solutions of perturbed p -Laplacian equations with critical nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 413 (2014), 438-449.
- [16] X. Lin, X.H. Tang, Nehari-type ground state solutions for superlinear asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014 (2014), ID 607078, 7pp.
- [17] P.L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, part 2, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984) 223-283
- [18] S. Liu, On superlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potential, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 45 (2012), 1-9.
- [19] Z.L. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, On the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superlinear condition, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 4 (2004) 561-572.
- [20] A. Pankov, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, Milan J. Math. 73 (2005) 259-287.
- [21] P.H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 43 (1992) 270-291.
- [22] M. Schechter, Minimax Systems and Critical Point Theory, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2009.
- [23] M. Schechter, Superlinear Schrödinger operators, J. Funct. Anal. 262 (2012) 2677-2694.
- [24] J. Sun, Z. Wang, Spectral Analysis for Linear Operators, Science Press, Beijing, 2005 (Chinese).

- [25] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems, *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (12) (2009) 3802-3822.
- [26] X. H. Tang, Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Schrödinger equations with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 401 (2013) 407-415.
- [27] X. H. Tang, New super-quadratic conditions on ground state solutions for superlinear Schrödinger equation, *Advance Nonlinear Studies*, 14 (2014) 361-373.
- [28] X. H. Tang, Non-Nehari manifold method for superlinear Schrödinger equation, *Taiwan J. Math.*, 2014, 18 (2014), 1950-1972.
- [29] X. H. Tang, New conditions on nonlinearity for a periodic Schrödinger equation having zero as spectrum, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 413(2014) 392-410.
- [30] X.H. Tang, Non-Nehari manifold method for asymptotically linear Schrödinger equation, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* (2014), 1-13, doi:10.1017/S144678871400041X.
- [31] C. Troestler, M. Willem, Nontrivial solution of a semilinear Schrödinger equation. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* 21 (1996) 1431-1449.
- [32] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [33] M. Yang, Ground state solutions for a periodic Schrödinger equation with superlinear nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (5) (2010) 2620-2627.
- [34] J. Zhang, W.M. Zou, The critical case for a Berestycki-Lions theorem, *Science in China, Mathematics*, 57 (3) (2014) 541-554.
- [35] Zhong X, Zou W M. Ground state and multiple solutions via generalized Nehari manifold. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2014, 102: 251-263