

SOME \mathbb{Z}_3^n -EQUIVARIANT TRIANGULATIONS OF $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$

SOUMEN SARKAR

ABSTRACT. In 1983, Banchoff and Kuhnel constructed a minimal triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ with 9 vertices. $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ was first triangulated by Bagchi and Datta in 2012 with 18 vertices. Known lower bound on number of vertices of a triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is $1 + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2}$ for $n \geq 3$. We give explicit construction of some triangulations of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with $\frac{4^{n+1}-1}{3}$ vertices for all n . No explicit triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is known for $n \geq 4$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The space of complex lines through the origin of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex vector space \mathbb{C}^{n+1} is called complex projective space, denoted by $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, of dimension n . These can be defined in the following way too. Consider the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional sphere $S^{2n+1} = \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : |z_0|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$. The circle $\mathbb{T}^1 = S^1$ acts on S^{2n+1} by

$$t \cdot (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \rightarrow (tz_0, tz_1, \dots, tz_n).$$

The quotient space S^{2n+1}/\mathbb{T}^1 can be identified with $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. We briefly recall the topological construction of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ in subsection 2.2. \mathbb{T}^n acts naturally on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. This induces a natural $\mathbb{Z}_3^n \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ action on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

There are lower bounds on the number of vertices of a triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ due to Arnoux and Marin [AM91]. Starting with the unique minimum triangulation of \mathbb{T}^2 , Banchoff and Kuhnel constructed a 10-vertex triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ [BK92]. Considering $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ as the orbit space of the natural S_3 -action on $S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2$, Bagchi and Datta constructed 30 vertex triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$, [BD12]. Any explicit triangulation for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is not known for $n \geq 4$. Here we construct some natural \mathbb{Z}_3 -equivariant triangulation of complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ and some toric manifolds.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cubical subdivision of simple polytopes. Codimension one faces of a convex polytope are called *facets*. An n -dimensional *simple polytope* in \mathbb{R}^n is a convex polytope where exactly n facets meet at each vertex. The ready examples of simple polytopes are simplices and cubes.

We discuss the *cubical subdivision* of an n -dimensional simple polytope Q . For a face σ of Q , let C_σ be the center point of σ . Let I_σ be the convex hull of

$$\{C_\tau : \tau \text{ is a face of } Q \text{ containing } \sigma\}.$$

If codimension of σ is zero, i.e., $\sigma = Q$, then $I_\sigma = C_Q$. Let σ be a face of codimension $k > 0$. Since Q is a simple polytope, $\sigma = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ for a unique collection of k many facets $\{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k}\}$ of Q . Note that $C_Q \in I_\sigma$. Also if $F = G_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{j_l}$ with $\{G_{j_1}, \dots, G_{j_l}\} \subseteq \{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k}\}$ then $C_F \in I_\sigma$. So number of vertices of I_σ is 2^{n-k} . That

Date: May 05, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E18, 57Q15.

Key words and phrases. polytopes, triangulation, complex projective spaces.

is I_σ is an $(n - k)$ -dimensional cube in Q . If σ is a face of τ then I_τ is a face of I_σ . Let $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ be the set of faces of Q . Then

$$(2.1) \quad Q = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(Q)} I_\sigma.$$

This gives a cubical subdivision of Q . A cubical subdivision of triangle and tetrahedron are shown in the Figure 1.




FIGURE 1.

2.2. Construction of some toric manifolds. Following [DJ91], we construct some toric manifolds. Let $\mathcal{F}(Q) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ be the facets of an n -dimensional simple polytope Q . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and $e_0 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$.

Definition 2.1. A function $\xi : \mathcal{F}(Q) \rightarrow \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ is called standard characteristic function of Q if $\xi(F_{i_1}), \dots, \xi(F_{i_n})$ are distinct whenever $F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_n}$ is nonempty.

From the standard characteristic function ξ of the simple polytope Q , we construct a toric manifold. Let σ be a codimension- k face of Q . So $F = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_k}$ for a unique collection of facets F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k} . Let \mathbb{Z}_σ be the submodule of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by $\{\xi(F_{i_1}), \dots, \xi(F_{i_k})\}$. So \mathbb{Z}_σ is a direct summand of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let \mathbb{T}_σ be the subtorus of \mathbb{T}^n determined by \mathbb{Z}_σ . We define an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{T}^n \times Q$ by

$$(2.2) \quad (t, p) \sim (s, q) \text{ if and only if } p = q \text{ and } s^{-1}t \in \mathbb{T}_\sigma,$$

where σ is the smallest face containing p in its relative interior. Then the quotient space $M(Q, \xi) := (\mathbb{T}^n \times Q) / \sim$ is a toric manifold, see [DJ91] for details. We denote the equivalence class of (t, p) by $[(t, p)]^\sim$. The group operation on \mathbb{T}^n induces an action of \mathbb{T}^n on $M(Q, \xi)$. The orbit map

$$(2.3) \quad \pi : M(Q, \xi) \rightarrow Q \text{ is defined by } \pi([(t, p)]^\sim) = p.$$

So by Equation 2.1 we get

$$(2.4) \quad M(Q, \xi) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(Q)} \pi^{-1}(I_\sigma).$$

Remark 2.2. Let Q be an n -simplex Δ^n with vertices $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ and F_i be the facet not containing the vertex v_i of Δ^n . The function $\xi : \{F_0, \dots, F_n\} \rightarrow \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$ defined by

$$\xi(F_i) = e_i$$

is the standard characteristic function of Δ^n . The toric manifold $M(\Delta^n, \xi)$ is equivariantly homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, see Proposition 1.8 and Example 1.18 in [DJ91].

2.3. Triangulations on manifolds. We recall some basic definitions for triangulation of manifolds. A compact convex polyhedron in some \mathbb{R}^m which spans an affine subspace of dimension n is called an n -cell. So we can define faces of an n -cell.

Definition 2.3. A *cell complex* X is a finite collection of cells in some \mathbb{R}^n satisfying, (i) if B is a face of A and $A \in X$ then $B \in X$, (ii) if $A, B \in X$ and $A \cap B$ is nonempty then $A \cap B$ is a face of both A and B . Zero dimensional cells of X are called vertices of X . A cell complex X is *simplicial* if each $A \in X$ is a simplex.

We may denote a cell σ with vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ by $v_1v_2 \dots v_k$. The vertex set of a simplicial complex X is denoted by $V(X)$.

Definition 2.4. Let X, Y are two simplicial complexes. Then a *simplicial embedding* from X to Y is an injection $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\eta(\sigma)$ is a face of Y if σ is a face of X .

Definition 2.5. Two simplicial complex X and Y are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijection $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\eta(\sigma)$ is a face of Y if and only if σ is a face of X .

The union of all cells in a simplicial complex X is called the *geometric carrier* of X which is denoted by $|X|$.

Definition 2.6. If a Hausdorff topological space M is homeomorphic to $|X|$, the geometric carrier of a simplicial complex, then we say that X is a *triangulation* of M . If $|X|$ is a topological d -ball (respectively, d -sphere) then X is called a *triangulated d -ball* (resp., *triangulated d -sphere*).

For notational purpose, we may denote the triangulation of a space by the same. From the following proposition we can construct a simplicial complex from a cell complex.

Proposition 2.7 (Proposition 2.9, [RS82]). *A cell complex can be subdivided to a simplicial complex without introducing any new vertices.*

Definition 2.8. Let G be a finite group. A G -*equivariant triangulation* of the G -space N is a triangulation X of N such that if $\sigma \in X$, then $g(\sigma) \in X$ for all $g \in G$, where g is regarded as the homeomorphism corresponding to the action of g on $N = |X|$.

Definition 2.9. Let M be the union of cells in a cell complex X . Let a finite group G acts on M . Let D be a cell in M such that $M = \cup_{g \in G} gD$ and $gD \cap hD$ is a face of both. Then D is called a *fundamental cell* in X .

3. SOME \mathbb{Z}_3^n -EQUIVARIANT TRIANGULATION OF n -TORUS

Let $I^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Define an equivalence relation \sim on I^n by

$$(3.1) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ if and only if } |x_i - y_i| = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $\mathbb{T}^n := \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ is equal to the quotient space I^n / \sim .

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $e_0 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$. Let S_i^1 be the circle subgroup of \mathbb{T}^n determined by the vector $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. So the automorphism of \mathbb{Z}^n defined by

$$e_i \rightarrow e_0 \text{ and } e_j \rightarrow e_j \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, \hat{i}, \dots, n\}$$

induces the following isomorphism, where $\hat{}$ represents the omission of the corresponding entry.

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{T}^n = S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1 \cong S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_{i-1}^1 \times S_0^1 \times S_{i+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1 =: \mathbb{T}_i^n,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_3 = \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$, where ω is a primitive root of $x^3 = 1$. Then \mathbb{Z}_3 acts freely on S_i^1 by 120-degree rotation for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. So \mathbb{Z}_3^n acts on \mathbb{T}^n by diagonal action. This induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -action on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

Definition 3.1. The map $\mathfrak{p}_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mathfrak{p}_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$ is called i -th coordinate function for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Now we construct some \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of \mathbb{T}^n with 3^n vertices. Let $a = 1/3$ and $b = 2/3$. The triangulation of I^1 as in Figure 2 gives a \mathbb{Z}_3 -equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{T}^1 = S^1$ with 3 vertices. Explicitly the action is given by $\omega 0 = a$, $\omega(a) = b$ and $\omega(b) = 0$.



FIGURE 2.

Consider the triangulation of I^2 as in Figure 3. This induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^2 -equivariant triangulation of \mathbb{T}^2 with 3^2 vertices.




FIGURE 3.

Suppose proceeding in this way we construct a triangulation of I^{n-1} with 4^{n-1} vertices such that this induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} -equivariant triangulation of \mathbb{T}^{n-1} with 3^{n-1} vertices. We give inductive arguments to construct a triangulation of I^n . Let

$$(3.3) \quad I_i^{n-1} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n : x_i = 0\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Consider the linear automorphism A_i of \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$(3.4) \quad A_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_n, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then $A_i : I_n^{n-1} \rightarrow I_i^{n-1}$ is a diffeomorphism as manifold with corners for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. We consider the triangulation \mathcal{I}_n^{n-1} of I_n^{n-1} is same as the triangulation of I^{n-1} . So A_i induces a triangulation \mathcal{I}_i^{n-1} on I_i^{n-1} for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let $a_i = (0, \dots, 0, a, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $b_i = (0, \dots, 0, b, 0, \dots, 0)$ where a and b are i -th component. By translating the triangulation of I_i^{n-1} , we get a triangulation of $I_i^{n-1} + a_i$, $I_i^{n-1} + b_i$ and $I_i^{n-1} + e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By induction, the coordinate functions are increasing along any edge of I_i^{n-1} , $I_i^{n-1} + a_i$, $I_i^{n-1} + b_i$ and $I_i^{n-1} + e_i$.

Let $I_a^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n : x_i \leq a\}$. The triangulation of I_i^{n-1} and $I_i^{n-1} + a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ induces a triangulation on the boundary of I_a^n . Since the coordinate functions along any edge of this boundary triangulation are increasing, by adding the diagonal

edge joining the vertices $(0, \dots, 0)$ and (a, \dots, a) of I_a^n we get a triangulation of I_a^n . Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n : x_i \in \{0, a, b\}\}$. So we get

$$I^n = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{A}} I_a^n + v.$$

Then the triangulation of I_a^n induces a triangulation \mathcal{I}^n of I^n with 4^n vertices. Clearly this triangulation of I^n induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of \mathbb{T}^n with 3^n vertices. Hence we get the following.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation \mathcal{T}^n of \mathbb{T}^n with 3^n vertices where \mathbb{Z}_3^n acts on \mathbb{T}^n diagonally.*

For $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, let

$$I(n; i_1, \dots, i_k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n : x_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}\}.$$

Let

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{i} : I(n; i_1, \dots, i_k) \rightarrow I^n$$

be the inclusion and

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbf{p} : I^n \rightarrow I(n; i_1, \dots, i_k)$$

be the projection. These maps induces the following inclusion and projection which are denoted by same respectively.

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{i} : S_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times S_{i_k}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{p} : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow S_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times S_{i_k}^1.$$

Note that the triangulation \mathcal{I}^n of I^n gives a triangulation $\mathcal{I}(n; i_1, \dots, i_k)$ on $I(n; i_1, \dots, i_k)$ with 4^k vertices. The triangulation $\mathcal{I}(n; i_1, \dots, i_k)$ induces a triangulation on $S_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times S_{i_k}^1$. We get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *With the above triangulation of $S_{i_1}^1 \times \dots \times S_{i_k}^1$ and \mathbb{T}^n , the maps \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{p} are simplicial.*

Now we want to construct some triangulations of \mathbb{T}_i^n such that the simplices are same as \mathbb{T}^n and the homeomorphism in Equation 3.2 is \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant with these triangulation of \mathbb{T}_i^n and \mathbb{T}^n .

First we discuss another description of \mathbb{T}_i^n for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$J_i^n = \{\epsilon_1 e_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{i-1} e_{i-1} + \epsilon_i e_0 + \epsilon_{i+1} e_{i+1} + \dots + \epsilon_n e_n : \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let I_i^n be the convex hull of J_i^n in \mathbb{R}^n . Define an equivalence relation \sim_i on I_i^n by

$$(3.9) \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim_i (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ if and only if } |x_i - y_i| = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then T_i^n is equal to the quotient space I_i^n / \sim_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let l_0 be the line segment joining origin and the vector e_0 of \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the triangulation of l_0 with vertices

$$\{(l, \dots, l) : l \in \{0, a, b, 1\}\}.$$

This induces a \mathbb{Z}_3 -equivariant triangulation of the circle S_0^1 . So we have a free \mathbb{Z}_3^n -action of T_i^n via the diagonal action.

Lemma 3.4. *The triangulation on I^n induces a triangulation on $I^n \cap I_i^n$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. The intersection $I^n \cap J_i^n$ is the convex hull of $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i-1}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Then the lemma follows from the construction of triangulation of I^n . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of \mathbb{T}_k^n with the same simplices of the triangulation \mathcal{T}^n of \mathbb{T}^n .*

Proof. Let $K = \{\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}\}$ and $\sigma^c = \{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\} - \sigma$. Let

$$(3.10) \quad e_\sigma = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \text{ where } \epsilon_i = 1 \text{ if } i \in \sigma \text{ and } \epsilon_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin \sigma.$$

For each $\sigma \in K$, we define

$$(3.11) \quad A_\sigma = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I_k^n : x_i \geq 1 \text{ if } i \in \sigma \text{ and } x_i \leq 1 \text{ if } i \in \sigma^c\}.$$

Then

$$(3.12) \quad I_k^n = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} A_\sigma \text{ and } I^n = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \{A_\sigma - e_\sigma\}.$$

Note that $A_\sigma - e_\sigma$ is the convex hull of some vertices of

$$\{\epsilon_1 e_1 + \dots + \epsilon_n e_n : \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

From the construction of the triangulation \mathcal{I}^n of I^n , we get that $A_\sigma - e_\sigma$ is a triangulated subcomplex of \mathcal{I}^n . Let $f_\sigma : A_\sigma - e_\sigma \rightarrow A_\sigma$ be a map defined by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n) + e_\sigma.$$

So the triangulation of $A_\sigma - e_\sigma$ induces a triangulation of A_σ via the map f_σ . Hence by equation 3.12, we get a triangulation \mathcal{I}_k^n of I_k^n .

Now we denote the opposite vertices of I^n by the same. This gives the \mathbb{Z}_3 -equivariant triangulation \mathcal{T}^n of \mathbb{T}^n . We denote the image of a vertex under the map f_σ by the same. So we get a triangulation \mathcal{I}_k^n where the points in the opposite facets are identified. Clearly, this gives a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation \mathcal{T}_k^n of \mathbb{T}_k^n . Note that \mathcal{T}_k^n is same as the triangulation \mathcal{T}^n . \square

Remark 3.6. *If σ is Δ^n , then $I_\sigma = C_{\Delta^n}$. We consider the triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ is \mathcal{T}^n .*

4. SOME \mathbb{Z}_3^n -EQUIVARIANT TRIANGULATION OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

In this section we construct some \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. Let Δ^n be an n -dimensional simplex with vertices $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$. Let F_i be the facet not containing the vertex v_i of Δ^n . Recall from Remark 2.2 that $\pi : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \cong M(\Delta^n, \xi) \rightarrow \Delta^n$ be the orbit map and I_σ is the cube in the cubical subdivision of Δ^n corresponding to the face σ of Δ^n . Note that if σ is Δ^n , then $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ is \mathbb{T}^n . To triangulate $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$, we construct \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant subcomplex of $\pi^{-1}(I_\tau)$ for any face τ of σ . We denote the cone on a topological space X by CX .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $B(k) = S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k)$ of $B(k)$ such that \mathcal{T}_k^n is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{B}(k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Let c_k be the center of $CS_0^1 \cong D^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$. Note that \mathbb{T}_k^n is the boundary of $B(k)$ and \mathbb{Z}_3^n -action on \mathbb{T}_k^n extend to an action on $B(k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. We consider the following natural isomorphism,

$$\iota_k : S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times c_k \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1 \rightarrow S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times 1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1 \subset \mathbb{T}^n.$$

This induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} -equivariant triangulation on

$$S_1^1 \times \dots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times c_k \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \dots \times S_n^1.$$

We denote the image of

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \rightarrow T_k^n$$

by the same where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \dots, a)$ in I^n . So

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

is a fundamental cell of $B(k)$. Let $C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}]$ be the triangle with vertices $c_k, \mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{a} . Note that the triangulation on the image (which we denote by the same) of

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times c_k \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \rightarrow B(k)$$

is induced from

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times 1 \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \subset S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times 1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$$

via the map ι_k . Note that we have two embedding following,

$$(4.1) \quad \iota_c : S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times c_k \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1 \rightarrow B(k)$$

$$(4.2) \quad \iota_b : S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times S_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1 \rightarrow B(k).$$

These two maps induces \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} - and \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation on the image of ι_c and ι_b respectively. So we have triangulation on

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times c_k \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \subset B(k)$$

$$\text{and } [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \subset B(k).$$

Observe that

$$D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a) := [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [c_k, \mathbf{0}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

$$\text{and } D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a) := [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [c_k, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

are cell complexes such that each cell is an n -dimensional prism, that is each cell homeomorphic to $\Delta^{n-1} \times [c_k, \mathbf{0}]$ and $\Delta^{n-1} \times [c_k, \mathbf{a}]$ respectively. So by Proposition 2.7, we can triangulate $D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$ without adding extra vertices. Note that

$$D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a) = gD(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}_3^n$. This induces a triangulation on $D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a)$ without adding extra vertices. Again applying Proposition 2.7, we can triangulate

$$E(k) := [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \subset B(k)$$

without adding extra vertices. We have,

$$B(k) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_3^n} gE(k).$$

So this induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k)$ on $B(k)$. □

Remark 4.2. *Note that*

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times c_k \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$$

and

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{l-1}^1 \times c_l \times S_{l+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$$

are isomorphic which is obtained by flipping the k -th and l -th coordinates. We denote the images of the vertices under this isomorphism by the same. Then the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation on $B(k)$ and $B(l)$ are same for $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Remark 4.3. *Let σ be the face not containing the vertex v_0 of Δ^n . We assign the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k)$ to the set $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$.*

Proof of the following lemma is similar to the previous lemma. So we omit the proof.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $C(k) = S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_k^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{C}(k)$ of $C(k)$ such that \mathcal{T}^n is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{C}(k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.*

Remark 4.5. *Let σ be the face not containing the vertex v_k of Δ^n . We assign the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{C}(k)$ to the set $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$.*

Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_s$. Let $B(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ be the set

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times CS_{i_1}^1 \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_k-1}^1 \times CS_{i_k}^1 \times S_{i_k}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1.$$

Lemma 4.6. *There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ of $B(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ such that the triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, j_1, \dots, j_r)$ of $B(k, j_1, \dots, j_r)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant subcomplex of $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ for any subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_r\}$ of $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma when $r = 0$ and $s = 1$. The general case is similar to this proof. We may assume $i_1 < k$. By lemma 4.1 and 4.4 there is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $B(k)$ and \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} -equivariant triangulation of

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times CS_{i_1}^1 \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times 1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$$

respectively. Note that \mathbb{Z}_3^n -action on $B(k)$ extends naturally to an action on $B(k, i_1)$.

Let c_{i_1} be the center of $CS_{i_2}^1 \cong D^2$. We consider a triangulation on

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times c_{i_1} \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1$$

induced from the \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} -equivariant triangulation of

$$S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times 1 \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1 \subset B(k)$$

via the natural isomorphism. We denote the image of

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \rightarrow B(k)$$

by the same where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a, \dots, a)$ in I^n . So

$$[0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[0, a] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

is a fundamental cell of $B(k, i_1)$ where $C[0, a] \subset CS_{i_1}^1$. Let $C[0, a]$ be the triangle with vertices $c_{i_1}, 0$ and a . Note that we have two embedding following,

$$(4.3) \quad \iota_{c_{i_1}} : S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times c_{i_1} \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \cdots \times S_n^1 \rightarrow B(k, i_1),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \iota_{b_{i_1}} : S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times S_{i_1}^1 \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{k-1}^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_{k+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1 \rightarrow B(k, i_1).$$

These two maps induces \mathbb{Z}_3^{n-1} - and \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation on the image of $\iota_{c_{i_1}}$ and $\iota_{b_{i_1}}$ respectively. So we have triangulation on

$$[0, a] \times \cdots [0, a] \times c_{i_1} \times [0, a] \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

$$\text{and } [0, a] \times \cdots [0, a] \times [0, a] \times [0, a] \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a].$$

Let $D(a, \dots, a, 0, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$ be

$$[0, a] \times \cdots [0, a] \times [c_{i_1}, 0] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [c_k, \mathbf{0}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

and $D(a, \dots, a, a, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a)$ be

$$[0, a] \times \cdots [0, a] \times [c_{i_1}, a] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times [c_k, \mathbf{0}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a].$$

So $D(a, \dots, a, 0, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$ and $D(a, \dots, a, a, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a)$ are cell complexes such that each cell is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional prism, that is each cell is homeomorphic

to $\Delta^n \times [c_{i_1}, 0]$ and $\Delta^n \times [c_{i_1}, a]$ respectively. So by Proposition 2.7, we can triangulate $D(a, \dots, a, 0, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$ without adding extra vertices. Note that

$$D(a, \dots, a, a, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a) = gD(a, \dots, a, 0, a, \dots, a, \mathbf{0}, a, \dots, a)$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}_3^n$. This induces a triangulation on $D(a, \dots, a, \mathbf{a}, a, \dots, a)$. Again applying Proposition 2.7, we can triangulate

$$E(k, i_1) := [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[0, a] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a] \times C[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}] \times [0, a] \times \cdots \times [0, a]$$

without adding extra vertices. We have,

$$B(k, i_1) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_3^n} gE(k, i_1).$$

So this induces a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1)$ on $B(k, i_1)$ such that $B(k)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant subcomplex of $B(k, i_1)$. In general, we denote the triangulation of $B(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ by $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$. \square

Remark 4.7. *Note that there is a natural inclusion $C(i_1) \rightarrow B(k, i_1)$. By careful choice of the vertices in the construction of $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1)$, we can show that $C(i_1)$ is also a subcomplex of $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1)$.*

Remark 4.8. *By similar arguments as in Remark 4.2, we can consider the triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ and $B(l, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ are same for any $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$.*

Remark 4.9. *Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n\}$. Let σ be the face not containing the vertices $\{v_0, v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_s}\}$ of Δ^n . We assign the triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, i_1, \dots, i_s)$ to the subset $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_k) = S_1^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_1-1}^1 \times CS_{i_1}^1 \times S_{i_1+1}^1 \times \cdots \times S_{i_k-1}^1 \times CS_{i_k}^1 \times S_{i_k}^1 \times \cdots \times S_n^1.$$

Proof of the following lemma is similar to the Lemma 4.6. So we omit the proof.

Lemma 4.10. *There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{C}(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ of $C(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ such that the triangulation $\mathcal{C}(j_1, \dots, j_l)$ of $C(j_1, \dots, j_l)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant subcomplex of the triangulation $\mathcal{C}(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ for any subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$ of $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$.*

Remark 4.11. *Let $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let σ be the face not containing the vertices $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\}$ of Δ^n . We assign the triangulation $\mathcal{C}(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ to the subset $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Theorem 4.12. *There is a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with $\frac{4^{n+1}-1}{3}$ vertices for all n .*

Proof. Construction of \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ follows from the Remarks 3.6, 4.3, 4.5, 4.9, 4.11 and the Equation 2.4. Observe that vertices of the triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ belong to $\pi^{-1}(C_\tau)$ where σ is a face of τ . If σ is a k -dimensional face, then $\pi^{-1}(C_\sigma)$ is a k -dimensional torus. By our construction $\pi^{-1}(C_\sigma)$ contains 3^k many vertices of the triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$. \square

Example 4.13. We construct some \mathbb{Z}_3^3 -equivariant triangulation of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ following theorem 4.12. Let Δ^3 be the 3-simplex with vertices $\{v_0, \dots, v_3\}$. Let F_i be the facet not containing the vertex v_i for $i = 0, \dots, 3$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \Delta^3$ be the orbit map. The characteristic vectors are given by,

$$F_0 \rightarrow e_0 := (1, 1, 1), \quad F_1 \rightarrow e_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad F_2 \rightarrow e_2 = (0, 1, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad F_3 \rightarrow e_3 = (0, 0, 1).$$

Let S_i^1 be the circle subgroup of \mathbb{T}^3 determined by the vector e_i in \mathbb{R}^3 for $i = 0, \dots, 3$. For each face σ of Δ^3 we find $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ in the following.

(1) If $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times S_3^1.$$

(2) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_2, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times S_3^1.$$

(3) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_1, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times S_3^1.$$

(4) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(5) If $\sigma = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_0^1 \times S_2^1 \times S_3^1 \cong S_1^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_3^1 \cong S_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times CS_0^1.$$

(6) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_1\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(7) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_2\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(8) If $\sigma = \{v_0, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times S_3^1.$$

(9) If $\sigma = \{v_1, v_2\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times CS_0^1 \times CS_3^1 \cong CS_e^1 \times S_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(10) If $\sigma = \{v_1, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = S_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times CS_0^1 \cong CS_0^1 \times CS_2^1 \times S_3^1.$$

(11) If $\sigma = \{v_2, v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times CS_0^1 \times S_3^1 \cong CS_1^1 \times S_2^1 \times CS_0^1.$$

(12) If $\sigma = \{v_0\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(13) If $\sigma = \{v_1\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_0^1 \times CS_2^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(14) If $\sigma = \{v_2\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times CS_0^1 \times CS_3^1.$$

(15) If $\sigma = \{v_3\}$, then

$$\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma) = CS_1^1 \times CS_2^1 \times CS_0^1.$$

Consider the triangulation \mathcal{T}^3 for \mathbb{T}^3 as constructed in Lemma 3.2. Following Lemma 3.2, 4.1, 4.4, 4.6 and 4.10, we can construct \mathbb{Z}_3^3 -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ for each face σ of Δ^3 such that the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ is a equivariant subcomplex of the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\tau)$ if τ is a face of σ .

Remark 4.14. Let Q be an n -dimensional simple polytope with facets $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$. Let

$$\xi : \{F_1, \dots, F_m\} \rightarrow \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$$

be a standard characteristic function of Q . Let $M(Q, \xi)$ be the corresponding toric manifold and $\pi : M(Q, \xi) \rightarrow Q$ be the orbit map.

We consider the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_Q)$ is \mathcal{T}^n . Let σ be a face of Q of codimension l . So $\sigma = F_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_l}$ for a unique collection of facets $\{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_l}\}$. Let $\xi(F_{i_s}) = e_{j_s}$ for $s = 1, \dots, l$. If $0 \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$, then we assign the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{C}(j_1, \dots, j_l)$ of Lemma 4.10 to the subset $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$. Let $0 \in \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$. So there is an $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{j_1, \dots, j_l\}$. We may assume $j_1 = 0$. Then we assign the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation $\mathcal{B}(k, j_2, \dots, j_l)$ of Lemma 4.6 to the subset $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$. By a suitable choice of vertices we can consider the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\sigma)$ is a equivariant subcomplex of the \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $\pi^{-1}(I_\tau)$ if τ is a face of σ . Then by Equation 2.4, we can construct a \mathbb{Z}_3^n -equivariant triangulation of $M(Q, \xi)$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Basudeb Datta for many helpful discussion. He also thanks Pacific Institute for Mathematical Sciences and University of Regina for his post doctoral fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [AM91] P. Arnoux and A. Marin. The Kühnel triangulation of the complex projective plane from the view point of complex crystallography. II. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A*, 45(2):167–244, 1991.
- [BD12] B. Bagchi and B. Datta. A triangulation of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ as symmetric cube of S^2 . *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 48(2):310–329, 2012.
- [BK92] T. F. Banchoff and W. Kühnel. Equilibrium triangulations of the complex projective plane. *Geom. Dedicata*, 44(3):313–333, 1992.
- [DJ91] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz. Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions. *Duke Math. J.*, 62(2):417–451, 1991.
- [RS82] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson. *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*. Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Reprint.