

ON THE STRUCTURE OF THUE-MORSE SUBWORDS, WITH AN APPLICATION TO DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

F. MICHEL DEKKING

ABSTRACT. We give an in depth analysis of the subwords of the Thue-Morse sequence. This allows us to prove that there are infinitely many injective primitive substitutions with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue 2 that generate a symbolic dynamical system topologically conjugate to the Thue-Morse dynamical system.

Key words: Thue-Morse substitution; Thue-Morse factors; Substitution dynamical system; conjugacy;

MSC: 37B10, 54H20

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the Thue-Morse sequence $x = 0110100110010110\dots$ fixed point of the substitution θ given by

$$\theta(0) = 01, \theta(1) = 10.$$

By taking its orbit closure under the shift map, the sequence x generates a dynamical system called the Thue-Morse dynamical system. In the recent paper [3] it is proved that there are 12 primitive injective substitutions of length 2 that generate a system topologically conjugate to the Thue-Morse system. A natural question is: what is the list of all primitive injective substitutions whose incidence matrix has maximal eigenvalue 2 that generate a system topologically conjugate to the Thue-Morse system?

The usual way to generate systems topologically conjugate to a given substitution dynamical system is to consider the N -block substitution associated to the substitution ([5], [3]). See Section 3 for more details, here we give an example: the 5-block substitution θ_5 associated to the Thue-Morse substitution θ .

There are twelve Thue-Morse subwords of length $N = 5$ (see Example 1 in Section 2 for the complete list): $w_1 = 00101, \dots, w_4 = 01011, \dots, w_{12} = 11010$.

The θ_5 -image of a w_i is obtained as the prefix of length 5 of $\theta(w_i)$ followed by the prefix of length 5 of $\theta(w_i)$ with the first letter discarded. For example, since $\theta(00101) = 0101100110$, we have $\theta_5(w_1) = w_4w_{10}$, since $w_{10} = 10110$. In this way

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_5(w_1) &= w_4w_{10}, & \theta_5(w_4) &= w_5w_{11}, & \theta_5(w_7) &= w_7w_1, & \theta_5(w_{10}) &= w_8w_2, \\ \theta_5(w_2) &= w_4w_{10}, & \theta_5(w_5) &= w_6w_{12}, & \theta_5(w_8) &= w_7w_1, & \theta_5(w_{11}) &= w_9w_3, \\ \theta_5(w_3) &= w_5w_{11}, & \theta_5(w_6) &= w_6w_{12}, & \theta_5(w_9) &= w_8w_2, & \theta_5(w_{12}) &= w_9w_3\end{aligned}$$

We go from this substitution, which is not injective, to an injective one by redistributing the four letters in the θ_5 -images of words of length 2 with odd indices—which always occur in pairs, i.e., the couples w_5w_{11} , w_7w_1 , and w_9w_3 . Concretely, we define a new substitution ζ_5 by keeping $\zeta_5(w_i) = \theta_5(w_i)$ for all words with an even index, and changing the six others in pairs as, e.g.,

$$\theta_5(w_7)\theta_5(w_1) = w_7w_1 w_4w_{10} = w_7w_1w_4 w_{10} = \zeta_5(w_7)\zeta_5(w_1).$$

This leads to the substitution given by

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_5(w_1) &= w_{10}, & \zeta_5(w_4) &= w_5w_{11}, & \zeta_5(w_7) &= w_7w_1w_4, & \zeta_5(w_{10}) &= w_8w_2, \\ \zeta_5(w_2) &= w_4w_{10}, & \zeta_5(w_5) &= w_6w_{12}w_9, & \zeta_5(w_8) &= w_7w_1, & \zeta_5(w_{11}) &= w_3, \\ \zeta_5(w_3) &= w_{11}, & \zeta_5(w_6) &= w_6w_{12}, & \zeta_5(w_9) &= w_8w_2w_5, & \zeta_5(w_{12}) &= w_9w_3\end{aligned}$$

Obviously the substitution ζ_5 is injective, and it is not hard to see that $\zeta_5^n(w_6) = \theta_5^n(w_6)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, if ζ_5 would be a primitive substitution, then ζ_5 would generate the same dynamical system as θ_5 . However, ζ_5 is *not* primitive, since $\zeta_5^2(w_3) = \zeta_5(w_{11}) = w_3$.

In Section 4 we will repair this defect by defining a substitution η_5 which generates the same dynamical system as θ_5 , but *is* primitive. Actually, we give this construction for all η_N , where N is a power of two plus one. For this we need an explicit expression for θ_N , which is given in Section 3, based on the combinatorial analysis in Section 2. Our main result is in Section 6: there exist infinitely many substitutions in the Thue-Morse conjugacy class if we allow also non-constant length substitutions with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue 2.

2. COMBINATORICS OF THUE-MORSE SUBWORDS

The subwords of the Thue-Morse sequence have been well studied (see, e.g., [1]). We show here that the subwords of length $N = 2^m + 1$ have a particularly elegant structure for $m = 2, 3, \dots$. Let \mathcal{A}_m be the set of these words. It is well known (and will be reproved here) that the cardinality of \mathcal{A}_m equals $|\mathcal{A}_m| = 3 \cdot 2^m$. We lexicographically order the words in \mathcal{A}_m , representing them as

$$w_1^m < w_2^m < \dots < w_{|\mathcal{A}_m|}^m.$$

Crucial to the following analysis is the partition of \mathcal{A}_m into 4 sets

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2 \cup \mathcal{Q}_3 \cup \mathcal{Q}_4,$$

where each \mathcal{Q}_k consists of one quarter of consecutive words from \mathcal{A}_m . If we want to emphasize the dependence on m we write \mathcal{Q}_k^m . Let

$$q_k = \min \mathcal{Q}_k, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Thus

$$q_1^m = w_1^m, \quad q_2^m = w_{\frac{1}{4}|\mathcal{A}_m|+1}^m, \quad q_3^m = w_{\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|+1}^m, \quad q_4^m = w_{\frac{3}{4}|\mathcal{A}_m|+1}^m.$$

Let $f_0^\omega = 0110\dots$ and $f_1^\omega = 1001\dots$ be the two infinite fixed points of θ , and let $f_0 = f_0^m$ and $f_1 = f_1^m$ be the length $2^m + 1$ prefixes of f_0^ω and f_1^ω .

Example 1 The case $m = 2$. The set \mathcal{A}_2 is given by $\{00101, 00110, 01001, 01011, 01100, 01101, 10010, 10011, 10100, 10110, 11001, 11010\}$. Here $q_1 = 00101, q_2 = 01011, q_3 = 10010, q_4 = 10110$, and $f_0 = 01101, f_1 = 10010$.

We use frequently mirror invariance of the Thue-Morse words, i.e., if the mirroring operation is define as the length 1 substitution given by $\tilde{0} = 1, \tilde{1} = 0$, then u is a Thue-Morse subword if and only if \tilde{u} is a Thue-Morse subword. This follows directly from $\theta(\tilde{0}) = \theta(1)$.

The Thue-Morse substitution θ has the following trivial, but important property.

Lemma 2.1. *If words u and v satisfy $u < v$, then $\theta(u) < \theta(v)$.*

The words in \mathcal{A}_{m+1} are generated by the words in \mathcal{A}_m in a simple way. Each word $u \in \mathcal{A}_m$ has two $\delta\varepsilon$ descendants, $\delta(u)$ and $\varepsilon(u)$, where, by definition, $\delta(u)$ is the length $2^{m+1} + 1$ prefix, and $\varepsilon(u)$ the length $2^{m+1} + 1$ suffix of $\theta(u)$. For example: since $\theta(00101) = 0101100110$, we have

$$\delta(00101) = 010110011 \in \mathcal{A}_3, \quad \varepsilon(00101) = 101100110 \in \mathcal{A}_3.$$

The next lemma follows from Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *If two words u and v satisfy $u < v$, then $\delta(u) < \delta(v)$. If moreover, $u_1 = v_1$, then $\varepsilon(u) < \varepsilon(v)$.*

In the following we will freely use group notation for words over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. For instance $(01)^{-1}0110 = 10$.

Proposition 2.1. *For all m the smallest words in the \mathcal{Q}_k^m can be expressed in f_1^m :*

$$(1) q_1 = 1^{-1}f_1, \quad (2) q_2 = (10)^{-1}f_1, \quad (3) q_3 = f_1, \quad (4) q_4 = (100)^{-1}f_1.$$

This proposition is tied up with the following one.

Proposition 2.2. *For all $m = 2, 3, \dots$*

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{Q}_1^{m+1} = \varepsilon(\mathcal{Q}_3^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_4^m), \quad (2) \quad \mathcal{Q}_2^{m+1} = \delta(\mathcal{Q}_1^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_2^m), \\ (3) \quad \mathcal{Q}_3^{m+1} = \delta(\mathcal{Q}_3^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_4^m), \quad (4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_4^{m+1} = \varepsilon(\mathcal{Q}_1^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_2^m).$$

We first prove (3) of Proposition 2.1. By mirror symmetry of the Thue-Morse words we know that exactly half of the words in \mathcal{A}_m start with 1, so q_3^m is the *smallest* word starting with 1 in \mathcal{A}_m . From the example above we see that $q_3^2 = f_1^2$. Then it follows by induction that f_1^m is *also* the smallest word with prefix 1, since δ is order preserving, and the other words starting with 1 in \mathcal{A}_{m+1} are generated by words with prefix 00 or 01, which under ε generate words with prefix 101 or 110, which are both larger than the prefix 100 from f_1^{m+1} .

Proof of Proposition 2.2: We first prove (3). By Proposition 2.1 (3) the smallest symbol in \mathcal{Q}_3^{m+1} is mapped by δ to the smallest symbol of $\delta(\mathcal{Q}_3^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_4^m)$. But since δ is orderpreserving, it follows by matching cardinalities that (3) holds.

Since $\mathcal{Q}_1^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_2^m$ maps under δ to words starting with 0, where the largest word is $\delta(f_0^m) = f_0^{m+1}$, again a cardinality argument shows that its image must be \mathcal{Q}_2^{m+1} , so (2) holds.

Since ε maps consecutive symbols starting with 0 to consecutive symbols starting with 1, $\varepsilon(\mathcal{Q}_1^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_2^m)$ must be \mathcal{Q}_4^{m+1} . Then for $\varepsilon(\mathcal{Q}_3^m \cup \mathcal{Q}_4^m)$ there is only \mathcal{Q}_1^{m+1} left, i.e., (1) holds. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1: We already proved (3), i.e., that $q_3^m = f_1^m$. From this it follows that $1^{-1}f_1^m$ is smaller than (or equal to) all words of length 2^m starting with 0, except maybe those that are not of the form $1^{-1}w$ with $w \in \mathcal{A}_m$. But these are of the form $0^{-1}w$, where w starts with 00. This implies that $0^{-1}w$ starts with 01 $>$ 00, since 000 does not occur in a Thue-Morse word. Conclusion: $1^{-1}f_1^m$ is the smallest of all words of length 2^m starting with 0. It has a unique right extension to the word $1^{-1}f_1^m 1$, which is still the smallest among all words of length $2^m + 1$, i.e., (1) holds.

To prove (2), note that $q_2^{m+1} = \delta(q_1^m)$ by (2) of Proposition 2.2. Also note that $\theta(q_1^m)$ has suffix 0, and $\theta(f_1^m)$ has suffix 1 for all m . Applying θ to both sides of (1) we obtain

$$q_2^{m+1} = \theta(q_1^m)0^{-1} = (10)^{-1}\theta(f_1^m)100^{-1} = (10)^{-1}f_1^{m+1}11.$$

To prove (4), note that $q_4^{m+1} = \varepsilon(q_1^m)$, by Proposition 2.2 (4). It follows that $q_4^{m+1} = 0^{-1}\theta(q_1^m) = 0^{-1}\theta(1^{-1}f_1^m 1) = 0^{-1}(10)^{-1}\theta(f_1^m)10 = (100)^{-1}f_1^{m+1}110$. \square

We would like to make the following historical remarks. Our Proposition 2.1 (3) is the finite, mirrored, version of Corollaire 4.4 in [2] by Berstel. Our Proposition 2.1 (1) is the finite version of Corollary 2 to Theorem 1 in [1] by Allouche, Curry and Shallitt.

In the next section we will need the following lemma, in which we use some new notation. For a word $w = w_1 \dots w_k$, we write $\text{Pref}_\ell(w)$ for its prefix $w_1 \dots w_\ell$ of length $\ell \leq k$.

Lemma 2.3. *For all $m \geq 1$ and $N = 2^m + 1$ we have*

$$\text{Pref}_N(w_{2i-1}^{m+1}) = \text{Pref}_N(w_{2i}^{m+1}) = w_i^m, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{A}_m|.$$

Proof: Note first that *all* words w_i^m have to appear as an N -prefix of the words w_j^{m+1} , and in *lexicographical order*. Here \leq can, and will occur, and the only fact that has to be checked is that there are no words w_i^m occurring only once.

A quick glance at \mathcal{A}_2 in the example above shows this is true for $m = 1$, since \mathcal{A}_1 is equal to $\{001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110\}$. Suppose it is true for m . Then for all i the two words w_{2i-1}^{m+1} and w_{2i}^{m+1} will have two δ -descendants that have the same prefix of length $N + 1$, and the same holds for the two ε -descendants. So there are no words w_j^{m+1} occurring only once as a $(N + 1)$ -prefix of a word w_k^{m+2} . \square

Example 2 The case $m = 3$. The set \mathcal{A}_3 has 24 elements given by

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= 001011001 & w_7 &= 010110011 & w_{13} &= 100101100 & w_{19} &= 101100110 \\ w_2 &= 001011010 & w_8 &= 010110100 & w_{14} &= 100101101 & w_{20} &= 101101001 \\ w_3 &= 001100101 & w_9 &= 011001011 & w_{15} &= 100110010 & w_{21} &= 110010110 \\ w_4 &= 001101001 & w_{10} &= 011001101 & w_{16} &= 100110100 & w_{22} &= 110011010 \\ w_5 &= 010010110 & w_{11} &= 011010010 & w_{17} &= 101001011 & w_{23} &= 110100101 \\ w_6 &= 010011001 & w_{12} &= 011010011 & w_{18} &= 101001100 & w_{24} &= 110100110. \end{aligned}$$

Here $q_1 = w_1, q_2 = w_7, q_3 = w_{13}, q_4 = w_{19}$, and $f_0 = w_{12}, f_1 = w_{13}$. \square

3. THE THUE-MORSE N -BLOCK SUBSTITUTIONS θ_N

A simple way to produce substitutions that generate dynamical systems topologically conjugate to a given substitution is to construct N -block substitutions—see Section 4 of [3].

We will describe this construction for a general substitution α of constant length on an alphabet A . Let the length of α be L , an integer greater than one. Further, let N denote any positive integer. Let \mathcal{L}_α be language of α , i.e., the collection of all words occurring in some power $\alpha^n(a)$, for some $a \in A$. We define the alphabet $B = A^N \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, and construct a substitution α_N on B , called the *N -block substitution* associated to α . Namely, if $b = a_1 \dots a_N$ is an element of B , we apply α to b , obtaining a word $v := \alpha(a_1 \dots a_N)$ of length LN . We then define

$$\alpha_N(b) = v_1 \dots v_N, v_2 \dots v_{N+1}, \dots, v_L \dots v_{L+N-1}.$$

Example 3 Let $N = 3$, let $A = \{0, 1\}$, and let $\alpha = \theta$, the Thue Morse substitution. Then the words of length N in the language of θ are $w_1 = 001, \dots, w_6 = 110$. Since $\theta(001) = 010110$, we have $\theta_3(w_1) = w_2w_5$, and similarly we find

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_3(w_1) &= w_2w_5, \quad \theta_3(w_2) = w_3w_6, \quad \theta_3(w_3) = w_3w_6, \\ \theta_3(w_4) &= w_4w_1, \quad \theta_3(w_5) = w_4w_1, \quad \theta_3(w_6) = w_5w_2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We give an explicit formula for all θ_N , where $N = 2^m + 1$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots$

It is convenient to define the translation τ on $\{1, \dots, |\mathcal{A}_m|\}$ by

$$\tau(i) = (i - 1 + \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|) \bmod |\mathcal{A}_m| + 1.$$

We extend τ to $\tau : \mathcal{A}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ by putting $\tau(w_i) = w_{\tau(i)}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let θ be the Thue-Morse substitution, and let $N = 2^m + 1$, with $m \geq 2$. Write $\theta_N(w_i) = w_{F(i)}w_{G(i)}$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & F(2i) = F(2i - 1) = \frac{1}{4}|\mathcal{A}_m| + i && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|. \\ (2) \quad & G(i) = \tau(F(i)) && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{A}_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Note that $w_{F(i)} = \text{Pref}_N[\delta(w_i)]$, and $w_{G(i)} = \text{Pref}_N[\varepsilon(w_i)]$.

We first show that $\theta_N(q_1) = q_2q_4$. This follows directly from Proposition 2.2 (2) and (4), since by Proposition 2.1 (2) and (4) we have $\text{Pref}_N[q_2^{m+1}] = q_2^m$, and $\text{Pref}_N[q_4^{m+1}] = q_4^m$. So (1) holds for $i = 1$. Similarly, we have $\theta_N(q_3) = q_3q_1$.

It follows directly from Lemma 2.3 that $F(2i) = F(2i - 1)$ for all i , and since δ is orderpreserving (1) follows from the $i = 1$ case.

In the same way (2) follows from the $i = 1$ and the $i = \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m| + 1$ case. \square

4. THE CONSTRUCTION OF INJECTIVE THUE MORSE SUBSTITUTIONS

The substitution θ_N is exactly 2-to-1. In this section we construct for $m \geq 3$ a 1-to-1 substitution η_N on \mathcal{A}_m which admits one of the fixed points of θ_N as a fixed point. The idea for this construction is a sort of converse of a construction in [4]. Notationally it is convenient to introduce the set \mathcal{E}_m of words with even indices, and the set \mathcal{O}_m of words with odd indices.

The substitution η_N will be a non-constant length substitution with lengths 1, 2 or 3. It is defined by $\eta_N(w_i) = \theta_N(w_i)$ for $w_i \in \mathcal{E}_m$, and

$$(3) \quad \eta_N(w_i) = \begin{cases} w_{G(i)} & \text{for } w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_1, \\ w_{F(i)} & \text{for } w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_2, \\ \theta_N(w_i)w_{F(\tau(i))} & \text{for } w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_3, \\ w_{G(\tau(i))}\theta_N(w_i) & \text{for } w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_4. \end{cases}$$

The idea of this definition is that θ_N and η_N act in the same way on words of length 2 occurring at even places in the fixed point f_0^ω of θ_N . Suppose for instance that $w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_2$. Then by (1) of Proposition 3.1 there is a unique $w_j \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap (\mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2)$ such that $F(j) = i$. Note that $w_{G(j)} \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_4$, since by (2) of Proposition 3.1, $G(j) = \tau(F(j)) = \tau(i)$, and $\tau(\mathcal{Q}_2) = \mathcal{Q}_4$. Therefore for all odd j with $w_j \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2$ and since τ is an involution,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}) &= \eta_N(w_iw_{\tau(i)}) = w_{F(i)}w_{G(i)}\theta_N(w_{\tau(i)}) \\ &= \theta_N(w_iw_{G(j)}) = \theta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $w_i \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_3$, then there is a unique $w_j \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap (\mathcal{Q}_3 \cup \mathcal{Q}_4)$ such that $F(j) = i$. Now $w_{G(j)} \in \mathcal{O}_m \cap \mathcal{Q}_1$, and we have for all odd j with $w_j \in \mathcal{Q}_3 \cup \mathcal{Q}_4$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}) &= \eta_N(w_iw_{\tau(i)}) = \theta_N(w_i)w_{F(\tau(i))}w_{G(\tau(i))} \\ &= \theta_N(w_iw_{\tau(i)}) = \theta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\eta_N(w_i) = \theta_N(w_i)$ for $w_i \in \mathcal{E}_m$, it follows that for all $j \in \mathcal{A}_m$

$$\eta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}) = \theta_N(w_{F(j)}w_{G(j)}).$$

Since $w_{F(j)}$ is *always* followed by $w_{G(j)}$, it must be that

$$\eta_N^n(f_0^m) = \theta_N^n(f_0^m) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If we knew that η_N was primitive, i.e., its incidence matrix is primitive, then this would imply that η_N and θ_N generate the same minimal set: $X_{\eta_N} = X_{\theta_N}$.

5. THE PROBLEM OF PRIMITIVITY

Proposition 5.1. *The substitution η_N is primitive.*

Proof: Let us write $v \rightarrow w$ for $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_m$ if there exists an n such that w occurs in $\eta_N^n(v)$. We will prove the following:

(F) $f_0 \rightarrow w$, $f_1 \rightarrow w$ for all $w \in \mathcal{A}_m$, (B) either $v \rightarrow f_0$, or $v \rightarrow f_1$ for all $v \in \mathcal{A}_m$.

Obviously (B)+(F) implies that $v \rightarrow w$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{A}_m$, i.e., η_N is irreducible. But primitivity follows easily from this, by observing that $f_0 \rightarrow f_0$ and $f_1 \rightarrow f_1$ in *one* step.

For the proof of (F), note that $\eta_N^n(f_0^m) = \theta_N^n(f_0^m)$ implies that every w occurs in some $\eta_N^n(f_0^m)$, since θ_N is primitive. For $\eta_N^n(f_1^m)$ such an equality does not hold, but it is still true that $\eta_N^n(f_1^m)$ is a prefix of $\theta_N^n(f_1^m)$, which of course leads to the same conclusion.

The proof of (B) is somewhat more involved, and we will prove something stronger, namely that starting from any v either f_0 or f_1 will occur as *first* letter of $\eta_N^n(v)$ for some $n \geq 1$. We first study θ_N , defining the ‘initials’ map $\phi : \mathcal{A}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ by

$$\phi(w_i) = w_{F(i)}, \quad \text{where } w_{F(i)} = \text{Pref}_1(\theta_N(w_i)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{A}_m|.$$

From Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$\phi(\mathcal{Q}_1) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{Q}_2), \quad \phi(\mathcal{Q}_2) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{Q}_2), \quad \phi(\mathcal{Q}_3) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{Q}_3), \quad \phi(\mathcal{Q}_4) \subseteq \phi(\mathcal{Q}_3).$$

Moreover, ϕ is strictly increasing on $\mathcal{Q}_2 \setminus \{f_0\}$, which implies that

$$\phi^n(w_i) = f_0 \quad \text{for all } n \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|, \quad w_i \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cup \mathcal{Q}_2.$$

By mirroring, we have $\phi^n(w_i) = f_1$ for all $n \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|$ and $w_i \in \mathcal{Q}_3 \cup \mathcal{Q}_4$.

Next, we define $\psi : \mathcal{A}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_m$ by

$$\psi(w_i) = w_{H(i)}, \quad \text{where } w_{H(i)} = \text{Pref}_1(\eta_N(w_i)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{A}_m|.$$

From the definition of η_N we see that $\psi|_{\mathcal{Q}_2 \cup \mathcal{Q}_3} = \phi|_{\mathcal{Q}_2 \cup \mathcal{Q}_3}$, which implies that $\psi^n(\mathcal{Q}_2 \cup \mathcal{Q}_3) \subseteq \{f_0, f_1\}$ for $n \geq \frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{A}_m|$.

Note that $\psi(\mathcal{Q}_1) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_4$, so what remains is to study the behavior of ψ on \mathcal{Q}_4 . First, if $w_i \in \mathcal{Q}_4 \cap \mathcal{E}_m$, then $\psi(w_i) = \phi(w_i) \in \mathcal{Q}_3$, so $\psi^n(w_i) = f_1$ for all large n .

Second, if $w_i \in \mathcal{Q}_4 \cap \mathcal{O}_m$, then $\psi(w_i) > w_i$. So iterating ψ will always result in hitting a letter w_j with $w_j \in \mathcal{Q}_4 \cap \mathcal{E}_m$, and we are in the first case. \square

6. AN INFINITE THUE-MORSE CONJUGACY LIST

Our work in the previous sections leads to an answer to the Thue-Morse conjugacy list question.

Theorem 6.1. *There are infinitely many injective primitive substitutions with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue 2 that generate a dynamical system topologically conjugate to the Thue-Morse dynamical system.*

Proof: Infinitely many substitutions are given by the η_N , where $N = 2^m + 1$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots$. These generate minimal systems conjugate to the Thue-Morse substitutions because they generate the same systems as the N -block substitutions θ_N . From Proposition 3.1 and the defining Equation (3) it follows that the η_N are injective, and primitivity is given by Proposition 5.1.

It remains to prove that the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the matrix M_N of η_N is equal to 2. This is clear from the definition, but here is a formal proof. Let e_N be the vector of length $|\mathcal{A}_m|$ with all ones, and let d_N be the vector of length $|\mathcal{A}_m|$ with all zero's, except for a 1 at the position of f_0^m . Let $\ell(v)$ be the length of a word v . Then for all $n \geq 1$ one has $d_N^T M_N^n e_N = \ell(\eta_N^n(f_0^m)) = \ell(\theta_N^n(f_0^m)) = 2^n$. Since M_N is a primitive non-negative matrix it follows from the Perron-Frobenius theorem that this implies that the eigenvalue of largest modulus is equal to 2. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] JEAN-PAUL ALLOUCHE, JAMES CURRIE AND JEFFREY SHALLITT (1997) Extremal infinite overlap-free binary words *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, vol. 5
- [2] J. BERSTEL (1994) A rewriting of Fife's theorem about overlap-free words in J. Karhumaki, H. Maurer, G. Rozenberg, eds., Results and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 812, Springer-Verlag, pp. 19–29.
- [3] ETHAN M. COVEN, F. MICHEL DEKKING AND MICHAEL S. KEANE (2013). Topological conjugacy of constant length substitution dynamical systems *arXiv:1401.0126*.
- [4] F.M.DEKKING (1978). The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitution of constant length *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete* 41, 221–239.
- [5] BERNARD HOST AND FRANÇOIS PARREAU (1989). Homomorphismes entre systèmes dynamiques définis par substitutions *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 9, 469–477.
- [6] MARTINE QUEFFÉLEC (2010). Substitution Dynamical Systems - Spectral Analysis *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1294, 2nd ed., Springer.