

# Spin entanglement entropy and number fluctuations in the BCS ground state

Xavier M. Puspendu,\* Kristian Hauser Villegas,† and Francis N. C. Paraan‡

National Institute of Physics, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City 1101, Philippines

(Dated: November 21, 2022)

We study the entanglement between the spin components of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) ground state by calculating the corresponding von Neumann entropy and obtaining the full entanglement spectrum. This spin entanglement entropy is jointly proportional to the number of electrons about the Fermi surface, the pairing energy, and the variance in particle number. The entanglement spectrum is approximated by a canonical distribution of non-interacting fermions at a temperature equal to the BCS critical temperature.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 03.67.-a, 03.65.Ud

Bipartite entanglement in a pure state, say  $\rho^{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ , arises from quantum correlations between subsystem partitions  $A$  and  $B$ . Due to these correlations measurements performed on one partition, say  $A$ , exhibits fluctuations of purely quantum character.<sup>1</sup> These fluctuations are completely characterized by the reduced density operator  $\rho^A = \text{tr}_B \rho^{AB}$  that is obtained by a statistical averaging over a complete set of states belonging to  $B$ . Quantifying entanglement in  $\rho^{AB}$  involves measuring the degree of uncertainty of the underlying probability distribution over projections onto the Schmidt states of  $\rho^A$  (that is, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the reduced density operator). A popular scalar measure used for this purpose is the von Neumann entanglement entropy

$$S(\rho^A) = -\text{tr} \rho^A \ln \rho^A, \quad (1)$$

which is identical to the Gibbs entropy associated with the probability distribution  $\{p_i\} = \text{spec} \rho^A$ . Alternatively, the full eigenvalue spectrum of  $\rho^A$  may be used as a measure of entanglement in pure states, because comparisons with effective thermal distributions can sometimes provide additional physical insight.<sup>2</sup>

Many recent studies of entanglement entropy in many-particle systems focus on correlations between spatial partitions.<sup>3</sup> This emphasis may be based on the current design of quantum computers that manipulate entangled qubits that are separated in space. However, the more general idea of entanglement as a manifestation of quantum correlations makes studies of entanglement under other partitioning schemes valuable in the understanding of interacting systems. For instance, a general scheme for the computation of modewise entanglement entropy that is relevant to the system discussed here has been derived for bosonic<sup>4-7</sup> and fermionic<sup>8,9</sup> Gaussian states. One of the main conclusions in these papers is that the analysis of mode entanglement in such Gaussian states can be reduced to an analysis of two-mode (pair-wise) entanglement.

In this paper, we calculate the entanglement entropy present between the spin components of an electron system with pair interactions. In particular, we partition the ground state of a mean-field Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) model<sup>10</sup> into spin-up and spin-down subsystems and compute the von Neumann entropy in the result-

ing reduced state. Due to the pairing mechanism between electrons of opposite spin and momenta, this spin-entanglement entropy (spin-EE) is non-zero in the BCS ground state. Previously, the entanglement between two spins in the BCS state has been studied<sup>9,11-14</sup> by computing the concurrence between electrons of opposite spin. Closest to our work is a general calculation for the mode-wise entanglement in a pure Gaussian state,<sup>8</sup> of which the BCS ground state is an example. However, our main goal in this paper is to investigate the dependence of the total spin-EE on the relevant parameters of the model: the pairing energy  $\Delta$  (which depends on the electron-phonon coupling strength) and the number of single particle orbitals  $g(0)$  in the vicinity of the Fermi energy  $\mu$  (which depends on the mean number of electrons).

Our investigation begins with a brief review of the BCS model, with an emphasis on essential features that are relevant to the generation of ground state entanglement. We then construct a thermal model of non-interacting fermions that allow us to treat the statistical effects of pair formation and annihilation in the reduced single component state as resulting from effective thermal excitations. Next, we calculate the total spin entanglement entropy in the BCS state and discuss its simple relationship with the pairing energy, the number of electrons forming Cooper pairs, and the number fluctuations in the ground state.

*Model*—We consider here the model BCS hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \xi_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \Delta \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger + c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}) \quad (2)$$

The electron orbital energy  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu$  is measured with respect to the Fermi energy  $\mu$  and the pairing energy  $\Delta$  is approximated to be independent of electron wavevector. The prime in the second sum means that only electrons with energy within the Debye shell  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} \in [-\epsilon_D, \epsilon_D]$  interact attractively to form Cooper pairs (the Debye energy  $\epsilon_D$  is the phonon energy scale). The mean-field hamiltonian  $H$  is bilinear in fermion operators and therefore its eigenstates are fermionic Gaussian states.<sup>8,9</sup> Entanglement in these states can therefore be calculated from the reduced correlation functions of the model.<sup>4,15</sup>

The ground state of the hamiltonian  $H$  is the BCS

wavefunction

$$|\text{BCS}\rangle = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}} (u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger}) |00\rangle_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (3)$$

This is a linear superposition of all possible occupancies of Cooper  $\mathbf{k}$ -pairs  $|n_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} n_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}\rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ , where  $u_{\mathbf{k}}$  ( $v_{\mathbf{k}}$ ) is the probability amplitude for the  $\mathbf{k}$ -pair orbital being unoccupied (occupied). Inside the Debye shell

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\sqrt{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta^2}} \right], \quad (4)$$

$$|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1 - |u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2, \quad (5)$$

while outside this shell  $|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1$  ( $|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 0$ ) for  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} < -\Delta$  ( $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} > +\Delta$ ). The quantity  $|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$  is also interpreted as the probability  $p_{\mathbf{k}}$  that the orbital  $|n_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} n_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}\rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$  is occupied.

The orbital  $|n_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} n_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}\rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$  in eq. (3) is labeled by the wavevector of the spin-up electron of the Cooper pair. In this form, the BCS wavefunction is manifestly Schmidt decomposed with respect to the different spin components. This observation is important because it implies that the reduced density operator  $\rho^{\uparrow} \equiv \text{tr}_{\downarrow} \rho^{\uparrow\downarrow}$  is diagonal in the Fock basis of spin-up electron orbital occupancies. This fact greatly simplifies the analysis of spin entanglement in the  $|\text{BCS}\rangle$  state.

The full density operator  $\rho^{\uparrow\downarrow} = |\text{BCS}\rangle\langle\text{BCS}|$  for the BCS ground state is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{\uparrow\downarrow} = & \bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} u_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}'}^* |00\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle 00|_{\mathbf{k}'} + v_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}'}^* |11\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle 11|_{\mathbf{k}'} \\ & + u_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}'}^* |00\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle 11|_{\mathbf{k}'} + v_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}'}^* |11\rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \langle 00|_{\mathbf{k}'} . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Averaging over all possible occupancies of spin-down electrons gives the reduced density operator for the spin-up electrons

$$\rho^{\uparrow} = \text{tr}_{\downarrow} \rho^{\uparrow\downarrow} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 |0\rangle\langle 0|_{\mathbf{k}} + |v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 |1\rangle\langle 1|_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (7)$$

This reduced density operator  $\rho^{\uparrow} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{k}} \rho_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow}$  acts on a tensor product space that consists of the independent state spaces of spin-up electrons, each labeled by the wavevector  $\mathbf{k}$ .

*Entanglement spectrum and effective thermal model.*—

The entanglement spectrum  $\text{spec } \rho^{\uparrow}$  consists of the set of all probabilities  $\{|u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2, |v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2\}$  (Fig. 1). We observe that the spectrum is qualitatively similar to the probability distribution of orbital occupancies in a non-interacting fermion gas at thermal equilibrium (unit occupancy deep within the Fermi surface, zero occupancy far outside it, and a smooth transition of width  $\Delta \sim \beta_e^{-1}$ ). The one-to-one mapping between the spin entanglement spectrum and the Boltzmann weights of a non-interacting fermion gas may be done directly or by the method of correlation functions.<sup>15</sup> Either gives

$$e^{-\beta_e \xi_{\mathbf{k}}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{|v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{|u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} = \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta^2} - \xi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\sqrt{\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta^2} + \xi_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (8)$$




FIG. 1. (Color online) Entanglement spectrum of the spin-partitioned BCS ground state. Discontinuities at  $\pm\epsilon_D$  have been smoothed out.

Unfortunately, this mapping does not have a solution for a reciprocal temperature  $\beta_e$  independent of  $\xi$ . However, an approximate  $\beta_e$  that reproduces the features of the entanglement spectrum can be made by requiring the mapping to hold identically at  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta$ . Doing so leads to the effective reciprocal temperature

$$\beta_e \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta} \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{\sqrt{2} - 1} \right) \approx \frac{1.76}{\Delta}. \quad (9)$$

This approximation is good in the vicinity of the Fermi surface  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}} \in [-\Delta, \Delta]$  where entanglement is greatest (Fig. 2).

We remark that the effective temperature describing entanglement between the spin components is approximately equal to the BCS critical temperature  $\beta_c = \pi e^{-\gamma}/\Delta$ ,  $\gamma$  being the Euler-Mascheroni constant.<sup>16</sup> This correspondence suggests that the difference between the entanglement entropy of the BCS ground state and the unentangled normal metal state may be physically interpreted as a measure analogous to the difference in free energy between the superconducting and normal phases.

Finally, we notice the approximate relation

$$\frac{\pi}{e^{\gamma}} \approx \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} + 1}{\sqrt{2} - 1} \right) \approx 1.76, \quad (10)$$

which may be of interest to number theorists.

*Entanglement entropy.*—The total spin entanglement entropy in the BCS state  $S^{\uparrow} = -\text{tr } \rho^{\uparrow} \ln \rho^{\uparrow}$  is a sum of contributions  $0 \leq S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow} \leq \ln 2$  from each  $\mathbf{k}$ -orbital in the Debye shell:

$$S^{\uparrow} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow} = - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \text{tr } \rho_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow} \ln \rho_{\mathbf{k}}^{\uparrow}. \quad (11)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the spin-EE is given by the integral

$$S(\rho^{\uparrow}) = - \int_{-\epsilon_D}^{\epsilon_D} \left\{ |u_{\xi}|^2 \ln |u_{\xi}|^2 + |v_{\xi}|^2 \ln |v_{\xi}|^2 \right\} g(\xi) d\xi, \quad (12)$$




FIG. 2. (Color online) The entanglement spectrum (solid line) is comparable to the Boltzmann weights of a non-interacting fermion gas at reciprocal temperature  $\beta_e \approx 1.76/\Delta$  (dashed line).

where the density of states  $g(\xi)$  can be calculated from the bare dispersion relation  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}}$ . The total spin-EE can be calculated exactly when the pairing energy is much smaller than the Debye and Fermi energies,  $\Delta \ll \epsilon_D \ll \mu$ , so that  $g(\xi) \approx g(0)$  within the Debye shell and the limits of the integral can be extended to  $\pm\infty$ . This approximation is justified by the fact that the partial entanglement entropy  $S_\xi$  is peaked about the Fermi surface with a width of the order of  $\Delta$  (Fig. 3). Evaluating the integral gives

$$S^\uparrow = g(0)\pi\Delta \sim \langle N \rangle^{2/3}\pi\Delta. \quad (13)$$

Here  $\langle N \rangle$  is the average number of electrons in the BCS ground state. Hence, the entanglement entropy  $S^\uparrow$  is proportional to the number of electron orbitals on the Fermi surface and we have an “area” law<sup>17</sup> for entanglement entropy between the two spin sectors. This result means that the main contributors to the spin-EE are the electrons occupying a thin shell of width  $\sim 2\Delta$  about the Fermi energy (Fig. 3). This particular example of entanglement arising from Cooper pairing within the Debye energy shell has similarities to the valence bond entanglement entropy, which is a measure of the number of spin-singlets shared between spatial partitions in a valence bond state.<sup>18</sup>

Furthermore, the simple result (13) shows that the spin-EE is proportional to the pairing energy  $\Delta$ . This is a clear demonstration of how interactions between spin components lead to entanglement in a many-body system. When the coupling between spin-up and spin-down electrons vanishes at the superconductor-normal metal transition ( $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ ) the ground state entanglement vanishes also. Additionally, since the total spin-EE is a sum over independent partial terms, we expect a scaling relationship similar to eq. (13) to hold even when the pairing energy  $\Delta$  depends on the wavevector  $\mathbf{k}$ , as long as  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  slowly varies within the Debye shell.

*Number fluctuations.*—The reduced spin-up electron state  $\rho_\uparrow$  is a statistical operator over Fock states and




FIG. 3. (Color online) Density of states weighted entanglement entropy of energy orbitals. The density of states used here  $g(\xi) = (\xi + \mu)^{1/2}$  corresponds to a dispersion relation quadratic in momentum. The total spin-EE is dominated by the contributions of orbitals in the vicinity of the Fermi energy.

the number of spin-up electrons  $N_\uparrow$  therefore fluctuates in the ground state. The variance in spin-up electron number is equal to

$$\sigma_\uparrow^2 = \text{tr}[(N_\uparrow - \langle N_\uparrow \rangle)^2 \rho_\uparrow] = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |u_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 |v_{\mathbf{k}}|^2. \quad (14)$$

In the same approximation  $\Delta \ll \epsilon_D \ll \mu$  used to calculate  $S_\uparrow$ , we find

$$\sigma_\uparrow^2 \approx \frac{1}{4}g(0)\pi\Delta, \quad (15)$$

which is one-fourth of the number variance of all electrons  $\sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}^2 = g(0)\pi\Delta$  (orbitals are either doubly occupied or unoccupied in |BCS>). We therefore find the remarkable result that the spin-EE and the number fluctuations in the BCS state are equal:  $S_\uparrow = \sigma_{\uparrow\downarrow}^2 = 4\sigma_\uparrow^2$ . This relationship can be interpreted physically as follows: Pair interactions controlled by  $\Delta$  lead to number fluctuations in the BCS ground state. Thus, electrons in the ground state are gained and lost in pairs of opposite spin. This correlated fluctuation in the number of electrons of opposite spin leads to uncertainty in the determination of the reduced states  $\rho_\uparrow$  and  $\rho_\downarrow$ . This uncertainty in turn gives rise to a non-vanishing ground state entanglement entropy.

The proportionality between entanglement entropy and subsystem number fluctuations has been explored previously in spatially-partitioned fermion gases and other conformal field theories.<sup>19–21</sup> However, the mechanism behind the number fluctuations in these latter examples is the gain/loss of particles from one spatial partition to another, and not the population/depopulation of electron orbitals as in the spin-partitioned BCS state discussed here. Clearly, the nature of subsystem partitions (whether spatial boundaries or not) is irrelevant to the generation of a statistical quantity such as entanglement entropy.

*Concluding remarks.*— We have completely characterized the entanglement shared between the spin components in the BCS ground state. The reduced states are mixed and described by a probability distribution of occupancies that is similar to that of an effective non-interacting fermion gas at thermal equilibrium. We demonstrated that the temperature of the effective canonical ensemble is equal to the critical temperature  $\beta_e = \Delta^{-1} \ln[(2^{1/2} + 1)/(2^{1/2} - 1)] \approx 1.76/\Delta$ . Also, we have calculated the spin entanglement entropy in  $|\text{BCS}\rangle$  and showed that it is proportional to the number of electrons about the Fermi surface. Finally, we provided quantitative arguments that the spin-EE in the BCS states arises from ground state number fluctuations.

In general, the entanglement entropy is a measure of the number of correlated degrees of freedom across a partition weighted by the strength of correlations. The sim-

ple example provided by the BCS ground state illustrates this in a very simple manner. Some of the results presented here do not appear to be sensitive to the particular model in consideration. If the effective distribution of electron (or effective electron) occupancies possesses the following qualitative features: (i) unit occupation deep within the Fermi sphere, (ii) zero occupation far above the Fermi sphere, and (iii) a smooth transition of width  $\sim \Delta$  about the Fermi energy, then one can expect that the entanglement entropy will scale as  $\sim g(0)\pi\Delta$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the University of the Philippines' Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs through Grant No. OVPA-BPhD-2012-05.

---

\* xmpuspus@nip.upd.edu.ph

† kvillegas@nip.upd.edu.ph

‡ fparaan@nip.upd.edu.ph

<sup>1</sup> Since the system is in a pure state, it is isolated from the universe and no classical fluctuations are caused by energy or matter exchanges with external reservoirs.

<sup>2</sup> H. Li and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 010504 (2008).

<sup>3</sup> There are several extensive reviews and entire issues on entanglement in many-body systems, for instance, L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008); P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and B. Doyon, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 500301 (2009).

<sup>4</sup> A. Botero and B. Reznik, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052311 (2003).

<sup>5</sup> R. W. Rendell and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012330 (2005).

<sup>6</sup> M. Cramer and J. Eisert, N. J. Phys. **8**, 71 (2006).

<sup>7</sup> E. Ciancio, P. Giorda, and P. Zanardi, Phys. Lett. A **354**, 274 (2006).

<sup>8</sup> A. Botero and B. Reznik, Phys. Lett. A **331**, 39 (2004).

<sup>9</sup> C. V. Kraus, M. M. Wolf, J. I. Cirac, and G. Giedke, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 012306 (2009).

<sup>10</sup> J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).

<sup>11</sup> C. Dunning, J. Links, and H.-Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 227002 (2005).

<sup>12</sup> S. Oh and J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 144523 (2005).

<sup>13</sup> Y. Gao and S.-J. Xiong, Physica C **466**, 201 (2007).

<sup>14</sup> C. K. Chung and C. K. Law, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 034302 (2008).

<sup>15</sup> I. Peschel, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, L205 (2003).

<sup>16</sup> C. P. Poole, Jr., H. A. Farach, and R. J. Creswick, *Superconductivity* (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1995).

<sup>17</sup> J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 277 (2010).

<sup>18</sup> F. Alet, S. Capponi, N. Laflorencie, and M. Mambrini, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 117204 (2007).

<sup>19</sup> H. F. Song, S. Rachel, and K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 012405 (2010).

<sup>20</sup> P. Calabrese, M. Mintchev, and E. Vicari, Europhys. Lett. **98**, 20003 (2012).

<sup>21</sup> H. F. Song, S. Rachel, C. Flindt, I. Klich, N. Laflorencie, and K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035409 (2012).