

# On the magnitude of the gaussian integer solutions of the Legendre equation.

José Luis Leal Ruperto

*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada.*

*Escuela Politécnica Superior. Universidad de Málaga. Spain.*

## Abstract

Holzer [3] proves that Legendre's equation

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0,$$

expressed in its normal form, when having a nontrivial solution in the integers, has a solution  $(x, y, z)$  where  $|x| \leq \sqrt{|bc|}$ ,  $|y| \leq \sqrt{|ac|}$ ,  $|z| \leq \sqrt{|ab|}$ . This paper proves a similar version of the theorem, for Legendre's equation with coefficients  $a, b, c$  in Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  in which there is a solution  $(x, y, z)$  where

$$|z| \leq \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}.$$

*Keywords:* Legendre Equation; Gaussian Integers

*2010 MSC:* 20, 90.010

## 1. Introduction

Legendre [1] proves for the first time that the equation in the integers

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

expressed in its normal form, that is with  $a, b, c$  being square-free and pairwise coprime, has a nontrivial solution if and only if  $a, b, c$  do not all have the same sign and if  $-bc$ ,  $-ca$  y  $-ab$  are quadratic residues of  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  respectively.

As any multiple of a solution  $(x, y, z)$  is a solution, a solution is called primitive if it is simplified and  $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ . Any equation can be reduced to its normal form: First, if equation

$$a_1x_1^2 + b_1y_1^2 + c_1z_1^2 = 0 \tag{2}$$

*Email address:* jlleal@uma.es, jllealr@hotmail.com (José Luis Leal Ruperto)

is such that  $a_1, b_1, c_1$  are  $a_1 = \alpha^2 a, b_1 = \beta^2 b, c_1 = \gamma^2 c$  with  $a, b, c$  being square-free, then there exists a correspondence between the solutions of 2 and those of 1 so that if  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  is a solution of 2, then  $(\alpha x_1, \beta y_1, \gamma z_1)$  is a solution of 1, and if  $(x, y, z)$  is a solution of 1, then  $(\beta\gamma x, \gamma\alpha y, \alpha\beta z)$  is also a solution of 2. Second, if equation 1 is such that  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $b$  and  $c$ , if  $(x, y, z)$  is a solution of 1, then  $(x, py, pz)$  is a solution of

$$pax_1^2 + \frac{b}{p}y_1^2 + \frac{c}{p}z_1^2 = 0 \quad (3)$$

and, reciprocally, if  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$  is a solution of 2, then  $(x_1, y_1/p, z_1/p)$  is a solution of 1. As  $|pa(b/p)(c/p)| = |abc/p| < |abc|$ , after repeating this process as many times as necessary we will come to an equation whose coefficients are pairwise coprime.

If  $(x, y, z)$  is a primitive solution of 1 expressed in its normal form, then we necessarily have that  $\gcd(x, y) = \gcd(x, z) = \gcd(y, z) = 1$ , since in the case  $\gcd(x, y) \neq 1$  we would have that  $x = px_o, y = py_o$  having a common prime factor  $p$  with  $-cz_o^2 = a(px_o)^2 + b(py_o)^2$ , considering residue classes modulo  $p^2$  we would have that  $[-cz_o^2]_{p^2} = [p^2]_{p^2}[ax_o^2 + by_o^2]_{p^2} = [0]_{p^2}$  which is not possible since  $p$  does not divide  $z_o$  and  $p^2$  does not divide  $z_o^2$ , nor does  $p^2$  divide  $c$  which is square-free.

All this is valid in any unique factorization domain. In particular, Gauss integral domain  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is.

Samet [2] proves a similar theorem for equation 1 in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  expressed in its normal form, which has a solution if and only if  $bc, ca, ab$  are quadratic residues of  $a, b$  and  $c$  respectively, omitting the negative sign in the conditions since  $i^2 = -1$ .

There cannot exist a general formula in terms of coefficients giving a solution to 1 as a result. However, on the basis of an already known solution  $(x_o, y_o, z_o)$ , it is possible to find parametrically the other infinite solutions. Holzer, in [3], proves that if equation 1 in the integers in its normal form has a solution, it is always possible to find one of them  $(x, y, z)$  which satisfies

$$|x| \leq \sqrt{|bc|}, \quad |y| \leq \sqrt{|ac|}, \quad |z| \leq \sqrt{|ab|} \quad (4)$$

so that, at worst, if coefficients aren't very large, solutions can be found by trial and error.

Nevertheless, no results on the magnitude of the solutions of Legendre's equation in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  have been found hitherto.

Holzer's theorem, at least in its original form, does not work for Gaussian integers. For instance, equation  $ix^2 + 7y^2 + z^2 = 0$  has as its smallest solution  $(2 + 2i, 1, 1)$  where  $|x| = |2 + 2i| = \sqrt{8} > \sqrt{|bc|} = \sqrt{|7|}$ .

We adapt the proof of Holzer's theorem made years later by Mordell [4] in order to prove that at least, the following theorem holds true:

**Theorem 1.** *The equation  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  expressed in its normal form, if it has solutions, has a solution  $(x, y, z)$  where*

$$|z| \leq \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}.$$

## 2. Proof of the theorem

The proof will consist of showing that, if there exists a solution  $(x_o, y_o, z_o)$  where  $(x_o, y_o) = 1$  and  $|z_o| > \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}$ , then another solution  $(x, y, z)$  where  $|z| < |z_o|$  can be found on the basis of the first solution.

We parametrize the solutions establishing that

$$(x_o + tX, y_o + tY, z_o + tZ) \quad (5)$$

is a solution in Gaussian rationals with  $X, Y, Z$  being Gaussian integer parameters and  $t$  being a Gaussian rational,  $t \neq 0$ . By replacing in 1, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a(x_o + tX)^2 + b(y_o + tY)^2 + c(z_o + tZ)^2 = \\ &ax_o^2 + 2ax_otX + at^2X^2 + by_o^2 + 2by_otY + bt^2Y^2 + cz_o^2 + 2cz_otZ + ct^2Z^2 = \\ &ax_o^2 + by_o^2 + cz_o^2 + (aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)t^2 + 2t(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) = \\ &t((aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)t + 2(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)). \end{aligned}$$

As  $t \neq 0$ , we get that  $t = \frac{-2(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)}{aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2}$ . Substituting  $t$  in 5 we get the solutions of 1 in Gaussian rationals; if we multiply by  $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$  we get the integer solutions

$$\begin{aligned} &x_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2X(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \\ &y_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Y(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \\ &z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which can be simplified by using a common divisor  $\delta$  to all three expressions in 6, not necessarily the greatest common divisor, so that we get a solution  $(x, y, z)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{1}{\delta}(x_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2X(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)) \\ y &= \frac{1}{\delta}(y_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Y(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)) \\ z &= \frac{1}{\delta}(z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We now prove that if

$$\delta \text{ divides } c, \text{ and also divides } Xy_o - Yx_o,$$

then it divides all three expressions in 6 and therefore  $(x, y, z)$  are integers. To do so, we must previously consider that  $a, b, x_o$  and  $y_o$  are invertible in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]_\delta$ . It will be sufficient to notice that  $\gcd(\delta, abx_o y_o) = 1$ . Let's consider the existence of a prime number  $p$  being a common divisor of  $\delta$  and  $abx_o y_o$ . As  $\delta|c$  and  $a, b, c$  are coprime, then  $p$  could only divide  $x_o y_o$ . Let's consider it divides

$x_o$ . As  $ax_o^2 = -by_o^2 - cz_o^2$  and  $p$  does not divide  $b$ , it must divide  $y_o^2$  and therefore it must also divide  $y_o$  which is a contradiction since  $\gcd(x_o, y_o) = 1$ .

Having said that, as  $\delta$  divides  $Xy_o - Yx_o$ , then  $[Xy_o - Yx_o]_\delta = [0]_\delta$ . If we isolate  $[X]_\delta = [Yx_o/y_o]_\delta$  and replace in  $[aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_\delta$  and in  $[ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ]_\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} [a(Yx_o/y_o)^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_\delta &= [(ax_o^2Y^2 + by_o^2Y^2 + cy_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2]_\delta = \\ &= [(-cz_o^2Y^2 + cy_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2]_\delta = [c]_\delta [(-z_o^2Y^2 + y_o^2Z^2)/y_o^2] = [0]_\delta \\ [(ax_o(Yx_o/y_o) + by_oY + cz_oZ)]_\delta &= [(ax_o^2Y + by_o^2Y + cy_o^2Z)/y_o]_\delta = \\ &= [(-cz_o^2Y + cy_o^2Z)/y_o]_\delta = [c]_\delta [(-z_o^2Y + y_o^2Z)/y_o] = [0]_\delta \end{aligned}$$

we get multiples of  $\delta$  since  $c$  also is a multiple of  $\delta$ . Hence, the expressions in 6 are also multiples of  $\delta$ , and  $(x, y, z)$  is an integer solution.

We manipulate equation 7 so as to be able to compare  $z$  to  $z_o$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\delta z}{cz_o} &= \frac{2Z(ax_oX + by_oY + cz_oZ)}{cz_o} - \frac{z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2)}{cz_o} \\ &= Z^2 + 2Z\left(\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{aX^2 + bY^2}{c} \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{aX^2 + bY^2}{c} \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{c^2z_o^2}(aX^2cz_o^2 + bY^2cz_o^2 + a^2x_o^2X^2 + b^2y_o^2Y^2 + 2abx_o y_o XY) \end{aligned}$$

as  $cz_o^2 = -ax_o^2 - by_o^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_o^2X^2 - 2x_o y_o XY + x_o^2Y^2) \\ &= \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_oX - x_oY)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we get that

$$z = z_o \frac{-c}{\delta} \left[ \left(Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}\right)^2 + \frac{ab}{c^2z_o^2}(y_oX - x_oY)^2 \right]. \quad (8)$$

As  $\gcd(x_o, y_o) = 1$ , the equation  $y_oX - x_oY = \delta$  always has a solution. For our purposes we will choose the values of  $X, Y, Z$  depending on whether  $c$  is or is not a multiple of  $(1+i)$ , as follows:

If  $c$  is a multiple of  $1+i$ , we can take  $\delta = \frac{1}{1+i}c$ , take parameters  $X, Y$  as any solution of  $y_oX - x_oY = \frac{1}{1+i}c$  and the value of  $Z$  as the nearest Gaussian integer to the Gaussian rational  $-\frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o}$ . That being so, we have that

$$\left| Z + \frac{ax_oX + by_oY}{cz_o} \right| < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

This is thus because, geometrically, Gaussian integers form a grid whose edge is equal to 1 and whose diameter is  $\sqrt{2}$ . Any Gaussian rational located inside a square would be at a distance of half the diameter from a Gaussian integer.

Let's consider that  $|z_o| > \sqrt{(1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|}$ , taking modulus in 8

$$\begin{aligned} |z| &= |z_o| |1 + i| \left| \left( Z + \frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o} \right)^2 + \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2})ab}{(1 + \sqrt{2})(1 + i)^2 z_o^2} \right| < \\ &< |z_o| \sqrt{2} \left( \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{(1 + \sqrt{2})(\sqrt{2})^2} \right) = |z_o| \sqrt{2} \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2 + 2\sqrt{2}} = |z_o|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $c$  is not a multiple of  $1 + i$ , we choose  $X$  and  $Y$  as any solution to  $y_o X - x_o Y = c$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}[i]_{1+i} = \{[0]_{1+i}, [1]_{1+i}\}$ , if  $Z$  satisfies the equation

$$[aX + bY + cZ]_{1+i} = [0]_{1+i} \quad (9)$$

then the expressions in 6 are also divisible by  $1 + i$ , as  $aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$  also is, since whatever the value of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  might be, we have that  $[\alpha^2]_{1+i} = [\alpha]_{1+i}$ , and then

$$[aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2]_{1+i} = [aX + bY + cZ]_{1+i} = [0]_{1+i}.$$

Furthermore,  $2 = (1 + i)(1 - i)$  is also divisible by  $1 + i$ . In this way, it is possible to determine the parity of  $Z$ , which is either a multiple of  $1 + i$  or 1 plus a multiple of  $i + 1$ . Let's then choose  $Z$  as the Gaussian integer having such parity being nearest to  $-\frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o}$  and we will have that

$$\left| Z + \frac{ax_o X + by_o Y}{cz_o} \right| < 1$$

since the multiples of  $1 + i$ , and those which are not, are located inside a grid whose edge is  $\sqrt{2}$  and whose diameter is  $((\sqrt{2})^2 + (\sqrt{2})^2)^{1/2} = 2$ .

We replace the divisor  $\delta$  in 8 by  $(1 + i)\delta$  and taking modulus,

$$|z| < |z_o| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{2}} \right) = |z_o| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{2}} = |z_o|.$$

By repeating this process, as long as  $|z_o|^2 > (1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|$  we will come to a solution where  $|z^2| \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})|ab|$ .

We must finally show that  $z$  can never be 0, since in such a case the solution would necessarily be the trivial one  $(0, 0, 0)$ . If  $z$  were 0, that is

$$z_o(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) - 2Z(ax_o X + by_o Y + cz_o Z) = 0 \quad (10)$$

When isolating, the only possible value of  $Z$  would be

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{-2(ax_oX + by_oY) \pm \sqrt{4(ax_oX + by_oY)^2 + 4cz_o(az_oX^2 + bz_oY^2)}}{2cz_o} \\ &= \frac{-(ax_oX + by_oY)}{cz_o} \pm \frac{\sqrt{ab(y_oX - x_oY)^2}}{cz_o} \end{aligned}$$

However, no integer  $Z$  can be obtained in this way, as  $\sqrt{ab(y_oX - x_oY)^2}$  is, depending on the case, equal to  $\sqrt{ab\frac{c^2}{4}}$  or to  $\sqrt{abc^2}$ , and with irrational values in both cases, since  $a, b$  are square-free.

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] M. Legendre, *Théorème sur la possibilité des équations indéterminées du second degré*, Histoire de l'Académie Royale des Sciences, (1785), pp. 507-513
- [2] P. A. Samet, *An equation in gaussian integers*, The American Mathematical monthly Vol. **59**, No 7 (1952), pp. 448-452.
- [3] L. Holzer, *Minimal solutions of diophantine equations*, Can. J. Math. **2** (1950), 238-244.
- [4] L. J. Mordell, *On the Magnitude of the Integer Solutions of the Equation  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$* , Journal of Number Theory **1** (1969), pp. 1-3.