

# EP MODULAR OPERATORS AND THEIR PRODUCTS

K. SHARIFI

ABSTRACT. We study first EP modular operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and then we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the product of two EP modular operators to be EP. These enable us to extend some results of Koliha [*Studia Math.* **139** (2000), 81–90.] for an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra and the  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

A bounded linear operator  $T$  with closed range on a complex Hilbert space  $H$  is called an EP operator if  $T$  and  $T^*$  have the same range. This was introduced for matrices by Schwerdtfeger in [18] and has been studied in detail by several authors, see e.g. [3, 4, 6, 7, 13, 15, 17] and references therein. A problem that has been open for over twenty-five years is when the product of two EP matrices is again EP [2]. Hartwig and Katz [11], and Koliha [12] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a product of two  $n \times n$  complex EP matrices to be EP. Djordjević [5] provided a generalization of the result for EP operators on Hilbert spaces. In this note we investigate about the EP operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra of coefficients, and then we reformulate some results of [12, 13] for the product of EP modular operators.

Since the finite-dimensional spaces, Hilbert spaces and  $C^*$ -algebras can all be regarded as Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, one can study EP modular operators in a unified way in the framework of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Indeed, a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module is an object like a Hilbert space except that the inner product is not scalar-valued, but takes its values in a  $C^*$ -algebra of coefficients. Since the geometry of these modules emerges from the  $C^*$ -valued inner product, some basic properties of Hilbert spaces like Pythagoras' equality, self-duality, and decomposition into orthogonal complements must be given up. These modules play an important role in the modern theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and the study of locally compact quantum groups. A (right) *pre-Hilbert  $C^*$ -module* over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $X$  endowed with an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$  which is linear in the second variable  $y$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A05; Secondary 15A09, 46L08, 46L05.

*Key words and phrases.* EP operator, Moore-Penrose inverse,  $C^*$ -algebra,  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, closed range.

(and conjugate-linear in  $x$ ), satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, y \rangle &= \langle y, x \rangle^*, \quad \langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A}, \\ \langle x, x \rangle &\geq 0 \text{ with equality if and only if } x = 0.\end{aligned}$$

A pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $X$  is called a *Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module* if  $X$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$ . If  $X, Y$  are two Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules then the set of all ordered pairs of elements  $X \oplus Y$  from  $X$  and  $Y$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with respect to the  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_X + \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_Y$ . It is called the *direct orthogonal sum of  $X$  and  $Y$* . If  $V$  is a (possibly non-closed)  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $X$ , then  $V^\perp := \{y \in X : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V\}$  is a closed  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule of  $X$  and  $\overline{V} \subseteq V^{\perp\perp}$ . A Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule  $V$  of a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $X$  is orthogonally complemented if  $V$  and its orthogonal complement  $V^\perp$  yield  $X = V \oplus V^\perp$ , in this case,  $V$  and its biorthogonal complement  $V^{\perp\perp}$  coincide. For the basic theory of Hilbert C\*-modules we refer to the books [14, 16].

Throughout the present paper we assume  $\mathcal{A}$  to be an arbitrary C\*-algebra (i.e. not necessarily unital). We use the notations  $Ker(\cdot)$  and  $Ran(\cdot)$  for kernel and range of operators, respectively. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  the Banach space of all bounded adjointable operators between  $X$  and  $Y$ , i.e., all bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear maps  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that there exists  $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$  with the property  $\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^*y \rangle$  for all  $x \in X, y \in Y$ . The C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{L}(X, X)$  is abbreviated by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

In this paper we first briefly investigate some basic facts about EP modular operators with closed ranges and then we give some factorizations and characterizations of such operators. If  $T, S$  and  $TS$  are EP modular operators with closed ranges then  $Ran(TS) = Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$ . If, in addition,  $Ker(T) + Ker(S)$  is dense in its biorthogonal complement then we obtain  $Ker(TS) = \overline{Ker(T) + Ker(S)}$ . Some special cases for EP elements of C\*-algebras and C\*-algebras of compact operators are considered.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Closed submodules of Hilbert modules need not to be orthogonally complemented at all, but Lance states in [14, Theorem 3.2] under which conditions closed submodules may be orthogonally complemented. Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and suppose that an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  has closed range, then one has:

- $Ker(T)$  is orthogonally complemented in  $X$ , with complement  $Ran(T^*)$ ,
- $Ran(T)$  is orthogonally complemented in  $X$ , with complement  $Ker(T^*)$ ,
- the map  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  has closed range, too.

The following results express when the product of two modular operators with closed range again has closed range. Suppose  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  are bounded adjointable operators with closed range. Then  $TS$  has closed range, if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T) + \text{Ran}(S)$  is an orthogonal summand in  $X$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(S^*) + \text{Ran}(T^*)$  is an orthogonal summand in  $X$ . For the proof of the results and historical notes about the problem we refer to [20] and references therein.

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , then a bounded adjointable operator  $T^\dagger \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is called the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of  $T$  if

$$(2.1) \quad TT^\dagger T = T, \quad T^\dagger T T^\dagger = T^\dagger, \quad (TT^\dagger)^* = TT^\dagger \text{ and } (T^\dagger T)^* = T^\dagger T.$$

The notation  $T^\dagger$  is reserved to denote the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $T$ . These properties imply that  $T^\dagger$  is unique and  $T^\dagger T$  and  $TT^\dagger$  are orthogonal projections. Moreover,  $\text{Ran}(T^\dagger) = \text{Ran}(T^\dagger T)$ ,  $\text{Ran}(T) = \text{Ran}(TT^\dagger)$ ,  $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Ker}(T^\dagger T)$  and  $\text{Ker}(T^\dagger) = \text{Ker}(TT^\dagger)$  which lead us to  $X = \text{Ker}(T^\dagger T) \oplus \text{Ran}(T^\dagger T) = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus \text{Ran}(T^\dagger)$  and  $X = \text{Ker}(T^\dagger) \oplus \text{Ran}(T)$ . If  $T^\dagger$  exists then  $T^\dagger = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} (\omega 1 + T^* T)^{-1} T^* = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0^+} T(\omega 1 + T^* T)^{-1}$ , cf. [19, 21].

Xu and Sheng in [23] have shown that a bounded adjointable operator between two Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules admits a bounded Moore-Penrose inverse if and only if the operator has closed range. The reader should be aware of the fact that a bounded adjointable operator may admit an unbounded operator as its Moore-Penrose, see [10, 19, 21] for more detailed information.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is called *EP* if  $\text{Ran}(T)$  and  $\text{Ran}(T^*)$  have the same closure.

In the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module context, one needs to add the extra condition, closedness of the range, in order to get a reasonably good theory. This ensure that an EP operator has a bounded adjointable Moore-Penrose inverse. Like the general theory of Hilbert spaces one can easily see that the following conditions are equivalent:

- $T$  is EP with closed range,
- $T$  and  $T^*$  have the same kernel,
- $T$  is Moore-Penrose invertible and  $TT^\dagger = T^\dagger T$ ,
- $\text{Ran}(T)$  is orthogonally complemented in  $X$ , with complement  $\text{Ker}(T)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  have a closed range. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is EP with closed range,
- (ii) there exists an isomorphism  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $T^* = VT$ ,
- (iii) there exists an isomorphism  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $T^\dagger = VT = TV$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $T$  is EP. Then  $\text{Ker}(T)$  is orthogonally complemented and there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$  for all  $x \in \text{Ker}(T)^\perp$ , cf. [9, Proposition 1.3]. The latter inequality implies that the module map  $T|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp} : \text{Ran}(T^*) = \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  has a bounded inverse, which allows us to define  $\mathcal{A}$ -module map

$$Vx = \begin{cases} T^* (T|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp})^{-1}x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ran}(T) \\ x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ker}(T). \end{cases}$$

Then  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is an isomorphism which satisfies  $T^* = VT$ , that is, (ii) holds. To prove (iii) we define

$$Vx = \begin{cases} (T|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp})^{-2}x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ran}(T) \\ x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ker}(T), \end{cases}$$

and

$$Sx = \begin{cases} (T|_{\text{Ker}(T)^\perp})^{-1}x & \text{if } x \in \text{Ran}(T) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \text{Ker}(T). \end{cases}$$

Then  $V, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Using the orthogonal direct sum decompositions, we have  $TV = VT = S$ . Moreover,  $T$  and  $S$  satisfy equations (2.1), i.e.,  $S$  is the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $T$ . The remaining parts follow trivially.  $\square$

### 3. ON THE PRODUCT OF EP MODULAR OPERATORS

In this section we try to generalize some results of Koliha [12, 13] to the framework of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Some special cases for EP elements of  $C^*$ -algebras and the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators are also obtained.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  have closed range and  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be an arbitrary operator which commutes with  $T$ . If  $T$  is normal or EP, then  $S$  commutes with  $T^\dagger$ .*

*Proof.* Using Fuglede-Putnam Theorem [22, Theorem 2.8], the operator  $S$  commutes with  $T^*$ . Hence,  $S$  commutes with  $(\omega 1 + T^*T)^{-1}T^*$ ,  $\omega > 0$ , and its limit  $T^\dagger$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  be EP operators with closed range and  $TS = ST$ . Then  $TS$  is an EP operator with closed range.*

*Proof.* The operators  $T, S, T^\dagger$  and  $S^\dagger$  are mutually commute by Lemma 3.1. Therefore  $TS$  and  $S^\dagger T^\dagger$  satisfies equations (2.1), i.e.,  $TS$  is Moore-Penrose invertible and its Moore-Penrose inverse equals  $S^\dagger T^\dagger = T^\dagger S^\dagger$ . These implies that  $TS$  has a closed range and  $(TS)^\dagger$  commutes with  $TS$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. If  $T, S, TS \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  are EP operators then  $T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)}) \subseteq \overline{\text{Ran}(S)}$  and  $S^*(\overline{\text{Ran}(T)}) \subseteq \overline{\text{Ran}(T)}$ .*

*Proof.* Continuity of  $T$  implies that  $T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)}) \subseteq \overline{T(\text{Ran}(S))}$  and so  $\overline{T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)})} = \overline{T(\text{Ran}(S))}$ . We therefore have

$$T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)}) \subseteq \overline{T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)})} = \overline{T(\text{Ran}(S))} = \overline{\text{Ran}(TS)} = \overline{\text{Ran}(S^*T^*)} \subseteq \overline{\text{Ran}(S)}.$$

The second inclusion follows in a similar manner.  $\square$

Koliha in [12, 13] demonstrated that the converse of the above statement is true in the case of finite dimensional spaces. Indeed, he showed that for matrices  $T$  and  $S$ ,  $TS$  is EP if and only if  $\text{Ker}(T) \subseteq \text{Ker}(TS)$  and  $\text{Ran}(TS) \subseteq \text{Ran}(S)$ . However, the statement does not hold in the case of Hilbert space or Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $H_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the standard Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module which is countably generated by orthonormal basis  $\xi_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $W = \overline{\text{span}\{\xi_{2j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}}$  and  $S$  be the orthogonal projection onto the closed submodule  $W$ . We define  $T_0$  by  $T_0(\xi_1) = \xi_2$ ,  $T_0(\xi_{2j}) = \xi_{2j+2}$  and  $T_0(\xi_{2j+1}) = \xi_{2j-1}$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The inverse of  $T_0$  is defined by  $T_0^{-1}(\xi_2) = \xi_1$ ,  $T_0^{-1}(\xi_{2j}) = \xi_{2j-2}$  and  $T_0^{-1}(\xi_{2j+1}) = \xi_{2j+3}$ . Then  $T_0$  and  $T_0^{-1}$  can be extended uniquely to  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  on  $H_{\mathcal{A}}$  which satisfy  $T^* = T^{-1}$ . One can easily see that  $T(\overline{\text{Ran}(S)}) \subseteq \overline{\text{Ran}(S)}$  and  $S^*(\overline{\text{Ran}(T)}) = \text{Ran}(S^*) = \text{Ran}(S) = W \subseteq \overline{\text{Ran}(T)}$ . However,  $TS$  is not EP since  $\xi_2$  is orthogonal to  $\overline{\text{Ran}(TS)}$  and to  $\text{Ker}(TS)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  have a closed range. If  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodule  $W$  is orthogonally complemented in  $Y$  then the operator  $T$  has a matrix representation with respect to the orthogonal sums  $X = \text{Ran}(T^*) \oplus \text{Ker}(T)$  and  $Y = W \oplus W^\perp$  as follows:*

$$(3.1) \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T^*) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} W \\ W^\perp \end{bmatrix}.$$

*In this case,  $A = T_1^* T_1 + T_2^* T_2 : \text{Ran}(T^*) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T^*)$  is invertible. Moreover,*

$$(3.2) \quad T^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}T_1^* & A^{-1}T_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\text{Ran}(T^*) \oplus \text{Ker}(T), W \oplus W^\perp)$  can be represented by the matrix

$$(3.3) \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_3 \\ T_2 & T_4 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T^*) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} W \\ W^\perp \end{bmatrix},$$

in which,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= T|_{\text{Ran}(T^*)} : \text{Ran}(T^*) \rightarrow W, \\ T_2 &= T|_{\text{Ran}(T^*)} : \text{Ran}(T^*) \rightarrow W^\perp, \\ T_3 &= T|_{\text{Ker}(T)} : \text{Ker}(T) \rightarrow W, \\ T_4 &= T|_{\text{Ker}(T)} : \text{Ker}(T) \rightarrow W^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $T(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$ , we obtain  $T_3 = T_4 = 0$ . Suppose  $x \in \text{Ran}(T^*)$  and  $Ax = 0$  then  $0 = \langle T_1^* T_1 + T_2 T_2^* x, x \rangle = \langle T_1 x, T_1 x \rangle + \langle T_2 x, T_2 x \rangle \geq \langle T_1 x, T_1 x \rangle \geq 0$ , which implies  $T_1 x = 0$ . Similarly,  $T_2 x = 0$  and so  $x \in \text{Ker}(T) \cap \text{Ran}(T^*) = \{0\}$ , i.e.,  $A$  is injective. Using closedness of the range of  $T^*$  and [20, Lemma 2.1], we have  $\text{Ran}(T^*T) = \text{Ran}(T^*)$  which follows subjectivity of  $A$ . Hence,  $A$  is invertible. Finally, the operators  $T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} A^{-1}T_1^* & A^{-1}T_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfy equations (2.1) which obtain the matrix form for the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  with closed range. Then  $T$  is EP if and only if it is of the matrix form*

$$(3.4) \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix},$$

for some invertible operator  $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\text{Ran}(T), \text{Ran}(T))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be EP then the bounded adjointable  $T_1 : \text{Ker}(T)^\perp = \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$ ,  $T_1 x = Tx$ , has a bounded inverse. This fact together with the matrix representation (3.1) give us the desired representation.

Conversely, let  $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\text{Ran}(T), \text{Ran}(T))$  and  $T$  admit the matrix representation (3.4). Then

$$(3.5) \quad T^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} (T_1^* T_1)^{-1} T_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $T$  which commutes with  $T$ , i.e.,  $T$  is EP.  $\square$

Suppose  $M$  and  $N$  are submodule of a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $X$ , then  $(M + N)^\perp = M^\perp \cap N^\perp$ . In particular, if  $M + N$  is dense in its biorthogonal complement then

$$(M^\perp \cap N^\perp)^\perp = (M + N)^{\perp\perp} = \overline{M + N}.$$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  are EP operators with closed ranges. Among the following four properties of  $T$ ,  $S$  and  $TS$ , the implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) holds. Moreover, (i) and (ii) are equivalent to (iii) and (iv).*

- (i)  $TS$  is an EP operator with closed range.
- (ii)  $\text{Ker}(T) + \text{Ker}(S)$  is dense in its biorthogonal complement.
- (iii)  $\text{Ran}(TS) = \overline{\text{Ran}(T) \cap \text{Ran}(S)}$ .
- (iv)  $\text{Ker}(TS) = \overline{\text{Ker}(T) + \text{Ker}(S)}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $T$ ,  $S$  and  $TS$  are EP operators with closed ranges. Using Lemmata 3.5, 3.6 and the orthogonal sums  $X = \text{Ker}(T) \oplus \text{Ran}(T)$  and  $X = \text{Ker}(S) \oplus \text{Ran}(S)$ , we get the matrix decompositions

$$(3.6) \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(T) \\ \text{Ker}(T) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(S) \\ \text{Ker}(S) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(S) \\ \text{Ker}(S) \end{bmatrix},$$

with respect to the orthogonal sums of submodules. Moreover, the adjoint of  $S$  is given by

$$(3.8) \quad S^* = \begin{bmatrix} S_1^* & S_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(S) \\ \text{Ker}(S) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ran}(S) \\ \text{Ker}(S) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $T_1 : \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  is invertible we obtain  $\text{Ker}(TS) \cap \text{Ran}(S) = \text{Ker}(S_1)$ , which implies that

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Ker}(TS) = \text{Ker}(TS) \cap (\text{Ker}(S) \oplus \text{Ran}(S)) = \text{Ker}(S) \oplus \text{Ker}(S_1).$$

Since  $TS$  is EP,  $Ran(TS) = Ran(S^*T^*) \subseteq Ran(S^*) = Ran(S)$ , which implies  $Ran(TS) \subseteq Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$ .

For the converse, let  $y \in Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$  and  $y \in Ran(TS)^\perp = Ker(TS) = Ker(S) \oplus Ker(S_1)$ . Then  $S_1y = 0$ . Using the matrix decompositions (3.7) and (3.8) for  $S$  and  $S^*$ , respectively, and fact that  $Ran(S) = Ran(S^*)$ , we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1^* & S_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ w \end{bmatrix},$$

for some  $z \in Ran(T)$  and  $w \in Ker(T)$ . Then  $S_1y = S_2y = 0$ , for every  $y \in Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$ , that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,  $y \in Ker(S) \cap Ran(S) = \{0\}$  which yields  $Ran(T) \cap Ran(S) \subseteq Ran(TS)$ . That is, the implication (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) holds.

Suppose  $TS$  is an EP operator with closed range and  $Ker(T) + Ker(S)$  is dense in its biorthogonal complement. Then  $Ran(TS) = Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$  by the preceding argument, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Ker(T) + Ker(S)} &= (Ker(T) + Ker(S))^{\perp\perp} = (Ran(T) \cap Ran(S))^\perp \\ &= Ran(TS)^\perp = Ker(TS). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $Ran(TS) = Ran(T) \cap Ran(S)$  and  $Ker(TS) = \overline{Ker(T) + Ker(S)}$  then  $Ran(TS)$  is a closed submodule as an intersection of two closed submodules  $Ran(T)$  and  $Ran(S)$ . Hence,  $Ran((TS)^*)$  is orthogonally complemented in  $X$ , cf. [14, Theorem 3.2]. We find

$$\begin{aligned} Ran((TS)^*) &= Ker(TS)^\perp = \overline{Ker(T) + Ker(S)}^\perp \\ &= Ran(T) \cap Ran(S) = Ran(TS), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $TS$  is an EP operator with closed range.  $\square$

Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators is a  $c_0$ -direct sum of elementary  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{K}(H_i)$  of all compact operators acting on Hilbert spaces  $H_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A} = c_0\text{-}\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}(H_i)$ , cf. [1, Theorem 1.4.5]. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. It is well known that every norm closed submodule of every Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is automatically an orthogonal summand. Further generic properties of the category of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras which characterize precisely the  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators have



been found in [8, 9, 10] and references therein. We can reformulate Theorem 3.7 in terms of bounded  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear maps on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators,  $X$  is a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module and  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  are EP operators with closed range. Then  $TS$  is an EP operator with closed range if and only if  $\text{Ran}(TS) = \text{Ran}(T) \cap \text{Ran}(S)$  and  $\text{Ker}(TS) = \overline{\text{Ker}(T) + \text{Ker}(S)}$ .*

Koliha in [13] gave necessary and sufficient conditions for elements of  $C^*$ -algebras which commute with their Moore-Penrose inverse. He also studied conditions which ensure that the property is preserved under multiplication. As a special case of our results we recover some parts of Theorem 4.3 of [13].

**Corollary 3.9.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra and  $a, b$  and  $ab$  commute with their Moore-Penrose inverse. Then  $ab\mathcal{A} = a\mathcal{A} \cap b\mathcal{A}$ .*

**Corollary 3.10.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators and  $a$  and  $b$  commute with their Moore-Penrose inverse. Then  $ab$  commutes with its Moore-Penrose inverse if and only if  $ab\mathcal{A} = a\mathcal{A} \cap b\mathcal{A}$  and  $(ab)_{-1} = \overline{a_{-1}(0) + b_{-1}(0)}$ , in which  $a_{-1}(0) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} : xa = 0\}$ .*

Recall that every  $C^*$ -algebra is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -module on its own and define the bounded operators  $L_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ,  $L_a(x) = ax$  then  $a^{-1}(0) = \text{Ker}(L_a)$  and  $\text{Ran}(L_a) = a\mathcal{A}$ . The above facts follows from Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8.

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Arveson, *An Invitation to  $C^*$ -algebras*, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [2] T. S. Baskett and I. J. Katz, Theorems on products of  $EP_r$  matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2** (1969), 87–103.
- [3] E. Boasso, On the Moore-Penrose inverse, EP Banach space operators, and EP Banach algebra elements, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **339** (2008), 1003–1014.
- [4] E. Boasso, Factorizations of EP Banach space operators and EP Banach algebra elements, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379** (2011), 245–255.
- [5] D. S. Djordjević, Products of EP operators on Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), no. 6, 1727–1731.
- [6] D. S. Djordjević, J. J. Koliha and I. Straškraba, Factorization of EP elements in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **57** (2009), no. 6, 587–594.
- [7] D. Djordjević and J. J. Koliha, Characterizing hermitian, normal and EP operators, *Filomat* **21** (2007), no. 1, 39–54.

- [8] M. Frank, Characterizing  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators by generic categorical properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *J. K-Theory* **2** (2008), 453-462.
- [9] M. Frank and K. Sharifi, Adjointability of densely defined closed operators and the Magajna-Schweizer theorem, *J. Operator Theory* **63** (2010), 271-282.
- [10] M. Frank and K. Sharifi, Generalized inverses and polar decomposition of unbounded regular operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *J. Operator Theory* **64** (2010), 377-386.
- [11] R. Hartwig and I. J. Katz, On products of EP matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **252** (1997), 339-345.
- [12] J. J. Koliha, A simple proof of the product theorem for EP matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **294** (1999), no. 1-3, 213-215.
- [13] J. J. Koliha, Elements of  $C^*$ -algebras commuting with their Moore-Penrose inverse, *Studia Math.* **139** (2000), no. 1, 81-90.
- [14] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [15] G. Lešnjak, Semigroups of EP linear transformations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **304** (2000) 109-118.
- [16] V. M. Manuilov and E. V. Troitsky, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*. Translated from the 2001 Russian original by the authors. Translations of Mathematical Monographs v. 226, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [17] D. Mosić, D. S. Djordjević and J.J. Koliha, EP elements in rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009), no. 5-7, 527-535.
- [18] H. Schwerdtfeger, *Introduction to Linear Algebra and the Theory of Matrices*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1950.
- [19] K. Sharifi, Descriptions of partial isometries on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **431** (2009), 883-887.
- [20] K. Sharifi, The product of operators with closed range in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **435** (2011), 1122-1130.
- [21] K. Sharifi, Groetsch's representation of Moore-Penrose inverses and ill-posed problems in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **365** (2010), 646-652.
- [22] K. Sharifi, Normality of adjointable module maps, *Math. Commun.* **17** (2012), 187-193
- [23] Q. Xu and L. Sheng, Positive semi-definite matrices of adjointable operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), 992-1000.

KAMRAN SHARIFI,

PERMANENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, P. O. BOX 3619995161-316, SHAHROOD, IRAN

CURRENT ADDRESS: MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

*Email address:* sharifi.kamran@gmail.com and sharifi@shahroodut.ac.ir