A Flavor Kit for BSM models

Werner Porod¹, Florian Staub², Avelino Vicente³

¹ Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astronomie, Universität Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany
 ²BCTP & Physikalisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Nußallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany
 ³IFPA, Dep. AGO, Université de Liège, Bat B5, Sart-Tilman B-4000 Liège 1, Belgium

BONN-TH-2014-07

Abstract We present a new kit for the study of flavor observables in models beyond the standard model. The setup is based on the public codes SARAH and SPheno and allows for an easy implementation of new observables. The Wilson coefficients of the corresponding operators in the effective lagrangian are computed by SPheno modules written by SARAH. New operators can also be added by the user in a modular way. For this purpose a handy Mathematica package called PreSARAH has been developed. This uses FeynArts and FormCalc to derive the generic form factors at tree- and 1-loop levels and to generate the necessary input files for SARAH. This framework has been used to implement BR($\ell_{\alpha} \rightarrow \ell_{\beta}\gamma$), BR($\ell_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3\ell_{\beta}$), CR($\mu - e, A$), BR($\tau \rightarrow P\ell$), BR($h \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}$), BR($Z \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}$), BR($B_{s,d}^{0} \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell}$), BR($\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{s}\gamma$), BR($\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{s}\ell\bar{\ell}$), BR($\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{d,s}\nu\bar{\nu}$), BR($K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\nu\bar{\nu}$), BR($K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0}\nu\bar{\nu}$), $\Delta M_{B_{s},B_{d}}$, ΔM_{K} , ε_{K} , BR($B \rightarrow K\mu\bar{\mu}$), BR($B \rightarrow \ell\nu$), BR($D_{s} \rightarrow \ell\nu$) and BR($K \rightarrow \ell\nu$) in SARAH. Predictions for these observables can now be obtained in a wide range of SUSY and non-SUSY models. Finally, the user can use the same approach to easily compute additional observables.

Contents

1	Intro	oduction	2
2	Gen	eral strategy: calculation of flavor observables in a nutshell	3
3	Setu	np	4
	3.1	FlavorKit: usage and goals	4
	3.2	Download and installation	6
	3.3	Basic usage	6
	3.4	Limitations	7
4	Adv	anced usage I: Implementation of new observables using existing operators	8
5	Adv	anced usage II: Implementation of new operators	11
	5.1	Introduction	11
	5.2	Input for PreSARAH	11
	5.3	Operators with massless gauge bosons	15
	5.4	Combination and normalization of operators	16
6	Vali	dation	17
7	Con	clusion	20
A:	Lag	ranoin ranoin	21
R٠	One	rators available by default in the SPhene output of SARAH	22
D.	B 1.	2.Fermion-1-Roson operators	22
	B.1. B.2.	A Fermion operators	22
C٠	Ann	Vication: Elavor beautrables implemented in SADAH	21
0.	C 1.		21
	C 2.	Deputi have observables	11
п.	0.2. Mai		44 64
D:	D 1	leis	04
	D.1:		04
	D.2:	Non-Supersymmetric Models	60

1 Introduction

With the exploration of the terascale, particle physics has entered a new era. On the one hand, the discovery of a Higgs boson at the LHC [1,2] seemingly completed the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, even though there is still quite some room for deviations from the SM predictions. The observed mass of about 125 GeV in combination with a top quark mass of 173.34 GeV [3] implies within the SM that we potentially live in a metastable vacuum [4]. This, together with other observations, like the dark matter relic density or the unification of gauge forces, indicates that there is physics beyond the SM (BSM). Although no sign of new physics has been found so far at the LHC, colliders are not the only places where one can search for new physics. Low energy experiments focused on flavor observables can also play a major role in this regard, since new particles leave their traces via quantum effects in flavor violating processes such as $b \to s\gamma$, $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ or $\mu \to e\gamma$. In the last few years there has been a tremendous progress in this field, both on the experimental as well as on the theoretical side. In particular, observables from the Kaon- and B-meson sectors, rare lepton decays and electric dipole moments have put stringent bounds on new flavor mixing parameters and/or additional phases in models beyond the SM.

There are several public tools on the market which predict the rates of several flavor observables: superiso [5-7], SUSY_Flavor [8, 9], NMSSM-Tools [10], MicrOmegas [11-15], SuperBSG [16], SupeLFV [17], SuseFlav [18], IsaJet with IsaTools [19-24] or SPheno [25, 26]. However, all of these codes have in common that they are only valid in the Two-Higgs-doublet model or in the MSSM or simple extensions of it (NMSSM, bilinear R-parity violation). In addition, none of these tools can be easily extended by the user to calculate additional observables. This has made flavor studies beyond the SM a cumbersome task. The situation has changed with the development of SARAH [27-31]. This Mathematica package can be used to generate modules for SPheno, which then can calculate flavor observables at the 1-loop level in a wide range of supersymmetric and non-supersymmetric models [32-34]. However, so far all the information about the underlying Wilson coefficients¹ for the operators triggering the flavor violation as well as the calculation of the flavor observables had been hardcoded in SARAH. Therefore, it was also very difficult for the user to extend the list of calculated observables. The implementation of new operators was even more difficult.

We present a new kit for the study of flavor observables beyond the standard model. In contrast to previous flavor codes, FlavorKit is not restricted to a single model, but can be used to obtain predictions for flavor observables in a wide range of models (SUSY and non-SUSY). FlavorKit can be used in two different ways. The basic usage of FlavorKit allows for the computation of a large number of lepton and quark flavor observables, using generic analytical expressions for the Wilson coefficients of the relevant operators. The setup is based on the public codes SARAH and SPheno, and thus allows for the analytical and numerical computation of the observables in the model defined by the user. If necessary, the user can also go beyond the basic usage and define his own operators and/or observables. For this purpose, a Mathematica package called PreSARAH has been developed. This tool uses FeynArts/FormCalc [35-40] to compute generic expressions for the required Wilson coefficients at the tree- and 1-loop levels. Similarly, the user can easily implement new observables. With all these tools properly combined, the user can obtain analytical and numerical results for the observables of his interest in the model of his choice. To calculate new flavor observables with SPheno for a given model the user only needs the definition of the operators and the corresponding expressions for the observables as well as the model file for SARAH. All necessary calculations are done automatically. We have used this setup to implement $BR(\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\gamma), BR(\ell_{\alpha} \to 3\ell_{\beta}), CR(\mu - e, A), BR(\tau \to P\ell), BR(h \to \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}), BR(Z \to \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}), BR(B^{0}_{s,d} \to \ell\bar{\ell}),$ $BR(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma), BR(\bar{B} \to X_s \ell \bar{\ell}), BR(\bar{B} \to X_{d,s} \nu \bar{\nu}), BR(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}), BR(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}), \Delta M_{B_s,B_d}, \Delta M_K,$ ε_K , BR $(B \to K \mu \bar{\mu})$, BR $(B \to \ell \nu)$, BR $(D_s \to \ell \nu)$ and BR $(K \to \ell \nu)$ in SARAH.

This manual is structured as follows: in the next section we give a brief introduction into the calculation of flavor observables focusing on the main steps that one has to follow. Then we present FlavorKit, our setup to combine FeynArts/FormCalc, SPheno and SARAH in Section 3. In Section 4 we explain how new observables can be added and in Section 5 how the list of operators can be extended by the user. A comparison between FlavorKit and the other public codes is presented in Section 6 taking the MSSM as an example before we conclude in Section 7. The appendix contains information about the existing operators and how they have been combined to compute the different flavor observables.

¹Sometimes the <u>Wilson coefficients</u> are also referred to as <u>form factors</u>. We will nevertheless stick to the name <u>Wilson</u> <u>coefficients</u> in the following, also for lepton flavor violating processes.

2 General strategy: calculation of flavor observables in a nutshell

Once we have chosen a BSM model 2 , our general strategy for the computation of a flavor observable follows these steps:

- Step 1: We first consider an effective Lagrangian that includes the operators relevant for the flavor observable of our interest,

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \sum_{i} C_i \mathcal{O}_i \,. \tag{1}$$

This Lagrangian consists of a list of (usually) higher-dimensional operators \mathcal{O}_i . The Wilson coefficients C_i can be induced either at tree or at higher loop levels and include both the SM and the BSM contributions $(C_i = C_i^{\text{SM}} + C_i^{\text{BSM}})$. They encode the physics of our model.

- Step 2: The Wilson coefficients are computed diagrammatically, taking into account all possible tree-level and 1-loop topologies leading to the \mathcal{O}_i operators ³.
- Step 3: The results for the Wilson coefficients are plugged in a general expression for the observable and a final result is obtained.

The user has to make a choice in step 1. The list of operators in the effective Lagrangian can be restricted to the most relevant ones or include additional operators beyond the leading contribution, depending on the required level of precision. Usually, the complete set of renormalizable operators contributing to the observable of interest is considered, although in some well motivated cases one may decide to concentrate on a smaller subset of operators. This freedom is not present in step 2. Once the list of operators has been arranged, the computation of the corresponding C_i coefficients follows from the consideration of all topologies (penguin diagrams, box diagrams, ...) leading to the \mathcal{O}_i operators. This is the most complicated and model dependent step, since it demands a full knowledge of all masses and vertices in the model under study. Furthermore, it may be necessary to compute the coefficients at an energy scale and then obtain, by means of their renormalization group running, their values at a different scale. Finally, step 3 is usually quite straightforward since, like step 1, is model independent. In fact, the literature contains general expressions for most flavor observables, thus facilitating the final step. However, one should be aware that the formulas given in the literature assume that certain operators contribute only sub-dominantly and, thus, omit the corresponding contributions. This is in general justified for the SM but not in a general BSM model. In particular, this is the case for processes involving external neutrinos, which are often assumed to be purely left-handed, making the operators associated to their right-handed components to be neglected.

We will exemplify our strategy using a simple example: $BR(\mu \to e\gamma)$ in the Standard Model extended by right-handed neutrinos and Dirac neutrino masses. The starting point is, as explained above, to choose the relevant operators. In this case, it is well known that only dipole interactions can contribute to to the radiative decay $\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\gamma$ at leading order ⁴. Therefore, the relevant operators are contained in the $\ell - \ell - \gamma$ dipole interaction Lagrangian. This is in general given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell\gamma}^{\text{dipole}} = ie \, m_{\ell_{\alpha}} \, \bar{\ell}_{\beta} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \left(K_2^L P_L + K_2^R P_R \right) \ell_{\alpha} A_{\mu} + \text{h.c.}$$
(2)

Here e is the electric charge, q the photon momentum, $P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$ are the usual chirality projectors and $\ell_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the lepton flavors. This concludes step 1.

The information about the underlying model is encoded in the coefficients $K_2^{L,R}$. In the next step, these coefficients have to be calculated by summing up all Feynman diagrams contributing at a given loop level. Expressions for these coefficients for many different models are available in the literature. In the SM only neutrino loops contribute and one finds [41]

$$K_{2}^{L} = \frac{G_{F}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^{2}} m_{\mu} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i\mu} \lambda_{ie}^{*}(F_{1} + F_{2})$$
(3)

$$K_2^R = \frac{G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2} m_e \sum_i \lambda_{i\mu} \lambda_{ie}^* (F_1 - F_2) \tag{4}$$

 $^{^{2}}$ The current version of FlavorKit can only handle renormalizable operators at this stage of the computation.

 $^{^{3}}$ In principle, one can go beyond the 1-loop level, although in our case we will restrict our computation to the addition of a few NLO corrections.

⁴At next to leading order, one would also have to consider operators like $\bar{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}e\,\bar{q}\gamma^{\nu}q$, to be combined with a $q-q-\gamma$ dipole interaction.

Here, λ_{ij} denote the entries of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix and F_1 and F_2 are loop functions. One finds approximately $F_1 \simeq -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{m_{\nu}}{m_W}\right)^2$ and $F_2 \simeq 0$. Finally, we just need to proceed to the last step, the computation of the observable. After computing the Wilson coefficients $K_2^{L,R}$ it is easy to relate them to $BR(\mu \to e\gamma)$ by using [42]

$$\Gamma\left(\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\gamma\right) = \frac{\alpha m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{5}}{4} \left(|K_{2}^{L}|^{2} + |K_{2}^{R}|^{2}\right), \qquad (5)$$

This expression holds for all models. With this final step, the computation concludes.

As we have seen, the main task to get a prediction for $BR(\mu \to e\gamma)$ in a new model is to calculate $K_2^{L,R}$. However, this demands the knowledge of all masses and vertices involved. Moreover, in most cases a numerical evaluation of the resulting loop integrals is also welcome. Therefore, even for a <u>simple</u> process like $\mu \to e\gamma$, a computation from scratch in a new model can be a hard work. In order to solve this practical problem, we are going to present here a fully automatized way to calculate a wide range of flavor observables for several classes of models.

3 Setup

3.1 FlavorKit: usage and goals

As we have seen, the calculation of flavor observables in a specific model is a very demanding task. A detailed knowledge about the model is required, including

- 1. expressions for all involved masses and vertices
- 2. optionally, renormalization group equations to get the running parameters at the considered scale
- 3. expressions to calculate the operators
- 4. formulae to obtain the observables from the operators

Nearly all codes devoted to flavor physics have those pieces hardcoded, and they are only valid for a few specific models ⁵. The only exception is SPheno, thanks to its extendability with new modules for additional models. These modules are generated by the Mathematica package SARAH and provide all necessary information about the calculation of the (loop corrected) mass spectrum, the vertices and the 2-loop RGEs. These expressions, derived from fundamental principles for any (renormalizable) model, contain all the information required for the computation of flavor observables. In fact, SARAH also provides Fortran code for a set of flavor observables. For this output, generic expressions of the necessary Wilson coefficients have been included. These are matched to the model chosen by the user and related to the observables by the standard formulae available in the literature. However, it was hardly possible for the user to extend the list of observables or operators included in SARAH without a profound knowledge of either the corresponding Mathematica or Fortran code.

We present a new setup to fill this gap in SARAH: FlavorKit. As discussed in Sec. 2, the critical step in the computation of a flavor observable is the derivation of analytical expressions for the Wilson coefficients of the relevant operators. This step, being model dependent, requires information about the model spectrum and interactions. However, generic expressions can be derived, later to be matched to the specific spectrum and interaction Lagrangian of a given model. For this purpose, we have created a new Mathematica package called PreSARAH. This package uses the power of FeynArts and FormCalc to calculate generic 1-loop amplitudes, to extract the coefficients of the demanded operators, to translate them into the syntax needed for SARAH and to write the necessary wrapper code. PreSARAH works for any 4-fermion or 2-fermion-1-boson operators and will be extended in the future to include other kinds of operators. The current version already contains a long list of fully implemented operators (see Appendix B). The results for the Wilson coefficients obtained with PreSARAH are then interpreted by SARAH, which adapts the generic expressions to the specific details of the model chosen by the user and uses snippets of Fortran code to calculate flavor observables from the resulting Wilson coefficients. As for the operators, there is a long list of observables already implemented (see Appendices C.1 and C.2). Finally, SARAH can be used to obtain analytical output in IATEX format or to create Fortran modules for SPheno, thus making possible numerical studies.

FlavorKit can be used in two ways:

⁵Recently, Peng4BSM0L0 [43] was made public. This code derives analytical expressions for vector penguins for a model defined in the corresponding FeynArts model file.

Lepton flavor	Quark flavor
$\ell_{lpha} ightarrow \ell_{eta} \gamma$	$B^0_{s,d} \to \ell^+ \ell^-$
$\ell_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3 \ell_{\beta}$	$\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma$
$\mu - e$ conversion in nuclei	$\bar{B} \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$
$\tau \to P\ell$	$\bar{B} \rightarrow X_{d,s} \nu \bar{\nu}$
$h ightarrow \ell_{lpha} \ell_{eta}$	$B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$
$Z \to \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}$	$K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$
	$\Delta M_{B_{s,d}}$
	ΔM_K and ε_K
	$P \rightarrow \ell \nu$

Table 1 List of flavor violating processes and observables which have been already implemented in FlavorKit. To the left, observables related to lepton flavor, whereas to the right observables associated to quark flavor. See appendicesC.1 and C.2 for the definition of the observables and the relevant references for their calculation.

- Basic usage: This is the approach to be followed by the user who does not need any operator nor observable beyond what is already implemented in FlavorKit. In this case, FlavorKit reduces to the standard SARAH package. The user can use SARAH to obtain analytical results for the flavor observables and, if he wants to make numerical studies, to produce Fortran modules for SPheno. For the list of implemented operators we refer to AppendixB, whereas the list of implemented observables is given in Table 1.
- Advanced usage: This is the approach to be followed by the user who needs an operator or an observable not included in FlavorKit. In case the user is interested in an operator that is not implemented in FlavorKit, he can define his own operators and get analytical results for their coefficients using PreSARAH. Then the output can be passed to SARAH in order to continue with the basic usage. In case the user is interested in an observable that is not implemented in FlavorKit, this can be easily implemented by the addition of a Fortran file, with a few lines of code relating the observable to the operators in FlavorKit (implemented by default or added by the user). The Fortran files just have to be put together with a short steering file into a specific directory located in the main SARAH directory. Then one can continue with the basic usage.



Fig. 1 Schematic way to use FlavorKit: the user can define new operators in PreSARAH, which then calculates the coefficients in a generic form using FeynArts and FormCalc and creates the necessary input files for SARAH. In addition, Fortran code can be provided to relate the Wilson coefficients to specific flavor observables. This information is used by SARAH to generate SPheno code for the numerical calculation of the observables.

The combination of **PreSARAH** together with **SARAH** and **SPheno** allows for a modular and precise calculation of flavor observables in a wide range of particles physics models. We have summarized the setup in Fig. 1:

the user provides as input SARAH model files for his favorite models or takes one of the models which are already implemented in SARAH (see Appendix D for a list of models available in SARAH). New observables are implemented by providing the necessary Fortran code to SARAH while new operators can be either implemented by hand or by using PreSARAH which then calls FeynArts and FormCalc for the calculation of the necessary diagrams. However, most users will not require to implement new operators or observables. In this case, the user can simply use SARAH in the standard way and (1) derive analytical results for the Wilson coefficients and observables, and (2) generate Fortran modules for SPheno in order to run numerical analysis.

3.2 Download and installation

FlavorKit involves several public codes. We proceed to describe how to download and install them.

```
1. FeynArts/FormCalc
FeynArts and FormCalc can be downloaded from
```

www.feynarts.de/

It is also possible to use the script FeynInstall, to be found on the same site, for an automatic installation. 2. SARAH and PreSARAH

SARAH can be downloaded from

sarah.hepforge.org/

No installation or compilation is necessary. Both packages just need to be extracted by using tar. > tar -xf SARAH-4.2.0

- > tar -xf PreSARAH-1.0.0
 PreSARAH needs the paths to load FeynArts and FormCalc. These have to be provided by the user in the
 file PreSARAH.ini
- 1 | FeynArtsPackage = "FeynArts/FeynArts.m";
- 2 FormCalcPackage = "FormCalc/FormCalc.m";

This would work if FeynArts and FormCalc have been installed in the Application directory of the local Mathematica installation. Otherwise, absolute paths should be used, e.g.

```
    FeynArtsPackage = "/home/$user/$path/FeynArts-3.7/FeynArts.m";
    FormCalcPackage = "/home/$user/$path/FormCalc-8.1/FormCalc.m";
```

3. SPheno SPheno can be downloaded from

spheno.hepforge.org/

After extracting the package, make is used for the compilation. > tar -xf SPheno-3.3.0.tar.gz > cd SPheno-3.3.0 > make

3.3 Basic usage

As explained above, FlavorKit can be used in several ways, depending on the user's needs and interests. The advanced usage, which involves the introduction of new observables and/or the computation of new operators, is explained in detail in Secs. 4 and 5. Here we focus on the basic usage, which just requires the codes SARAH and SPheno.

SARAH can handle the analytical derivation of all the relevant Wilson coefficients in the model defined by the user. The resulting expressions can be then extracted in IAT_EX form or used to generate a SPheno module for numerical evaluation. These are the steps to follow in order to use SARAH:

- 1. Loading SARAH: after starting Mathematica, SARAH is loaded via «SARAH-4.2.0/SARAH.m
 - or via

«[\$path]/SARAH-4.2.0/SARAH.m

The first choice works if SARAH has been installed in the Application directory of Mathematica. Otherwise, the absolute path ([\$path]) to the local SARAH installation must been used.

- 2. Initialize a model: as example for the initialization of a model in SARAH we consider the NMSSM: Start["NMSSM"];
- 3. Obtaining the IATEX output: the user can get IATEX output with all the information about the model (including the coefficients for the flavor operators) via ModelOutput[EWSB]; MakeTeX[];
- 4. Obtaining the SPheno code: to create the SPheno output the user should run MakeSPheno[];

Thanks to FlavorKit, SARAH can also write $I\!\!A T_E\!X$ files with the analytical expressions for the Wilson coefficients. These are given individually for each Feynman diagram contributing to the coefficients, and saved in the folder

[\$SARAH]/Output/[\$MODEL]/EWSB/TeX/FlavorKit/

For the 4-fermion operators the results are divided into separated files for tree-level contributions, penguins contributions and box contributions. The corresponding Feynman diagrams are drawn by using FeynMF [44]. To compile all Feynman diagrams at once and to generate the pdf file, a shell script called MakePDF_[\$OPERATOR].sh is written as well by SARAH.

In case the user is interested in the numerical evaluation of the flavor observables, a SPheno module must be created as explained above. Once this is done, the resulting Fortran code can be used for the numerical analysis of the model. This can be achieved in the following way:

- 1. building SPheno: as soon as the SPheno output is finished, open a terminal and enter the root directory of the SPheno installation, and create a new subdirectory, copy the SARAH output to that directory and compile it
 - > cd [\$SPheno]
 - > mkdir NMSSM
 - > cp [\$SARAH]/Output/NMSSM/EWSB/SPheno/* NMSSM/
 - > make Model=NMSSM
- 2. Running SPheno: After the compilation, a new binary SPhenoNMSSM is created. This file can be executed providing a standard Les Houches input file (SARAH provides an example file, see the SARAH output folder). Finally, SPheno is executed via

> ./bin/SPhenoNMSSM NMSSM/LesHouches.in.NMSSM

This generates the output file SPheno.spc.NMSSM, which contains the blocks QFVobservables and LFVobservables. In those two blocks, the results for quark and lepton flavor violating observables are given.

Finally, an even easier way to implement new models in SARAH is the butler script provided with the SUSY Toolbox [45]

sarah.hepforge.org/Toolbox/

3.4 Limitations

FlavorKit is a tool intended to be as general as possible. For this reason, there are some limitations compared to codes which perform specific calculations in a specific model. Here we list the main limitations of FlavorKit:

- Chiral resummation is not included because of its large model dependence, see e.g. [46] and references therein.

- Even though we have included some of the higher order corrections for the SM part of some observables in a parametric way, 2- or higher loop corrections, calculated in the context of the SM or the MSSM for specific observables, are not considered, see for instance [47–54].

4 Advanced usage I: Implementation of new observables using existing operators

In order to introduce new observables to the SPheno output of SARAH, the user can add new definitions to the directories

[\$SARAH]/FlavorKit/[\$Type]/Processes/

[\$Type] is either LFV for lepton flavor violating or QFV for quark flavor violating observables. The definition of the new observables consists of two files

- 1. A steering file with the extension .m
- 2. A Fortran body with the extension .f90

The steering file contains the following information:

- NameProcess: a string as name for the set of observables.
- NameObservables: names for the individual observables and numbers which are used to identify them later in the SPheno output. The value is a three dimensional list. The first part of each entry has to be a symbol, the second one an integer and the third one a comment to be printed in the SPheno output file ({{name1,number1,comment1},...}).
- NeededOperators: The operators which are needed to calculate the observables. A list with all operators already implemented in FlavorKit is given in Appendix B. In case the user needs additional operators, this is explained in Sec. 5.
- Body: The name (as string) of the file which contains the Fortran code to calculate the observables from the operators.

For instance, the corresponding file to calculate $\ell_\alpha \to \ell_\beta \gamma$ reads

The observables will be saved in the variables muEgamma, tauEgamma, tauMuGamma and will show up in the spectrum file written by SPheno in the block FlavorKitLFV as numbers 701 to 703.

The file which contains the body to calculate the observables should be standard Fortran90 code. For our example it reads

```
Real(dp) :: width
1
\mathbf{2}
    Integer :: i1, gt1, gt2
3
   Do i1=1,3
4
5
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
6
                                   ! mu -> e gamma
7
     gt1 = 2
     gt2 = 1
8
9
    Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
                                   !tau -> e gamma
10
     gt1 = 3
11
     gt2 = 1
12
    Else
                                   ! tau \rightarrow mu gamma
     gt1 = 3
13
     gt2 = 2
14
15 End if
```

```
16
   width = 0.25 dp*mf l(gt1)**5*(Abs(K2L(gt1,gt2))**2 &
17
                \& +Abs(K2R(gt1,gt2)) * * 2) * Alpha
18
19
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
20
    muEgamma = width/(width+GammaMu)
21
    Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
22
    tauEgamma = width / (width+GammaTau)
23
24
    Else
    tauMuGamma = width/(width+GammaTau)
25
   End if
26
27
   End do
28
```

Real(dp) is the SPheno internal definition of double precision variables. Similarly one would have to use Complex(dp) for complex double precision variables when necessary.

Besides the operators, the SM parameters given in Table 2 and the hadronic parameters given in Tables 3 and 4 can be used in the calculations. For instance, we used Alpha for $\alpha(0)$ and mf_l which contains the poles masses of the leptons as well as GammaMu and GammaTau for the total widths of μ and τ leptons.

Real Variables						
AlphaS_MZ	AlphaS_MZ $\alpha_S(M_Z)$ AlphaS_160 $\alpha_S(Q)$					
sinW2_MZ	$\sin(\Theta_W)^2$ at M_Z	sinW2_160	$\sin(\Theta_W)^2$ at Q	sinW2	$\sin(\Theta_W)^2$	
Alpha_MZ	$\alpha(M_Z)$	Alpha_160	lpha(Q)	Alpha	$\alpha(0)$	
MW_MZ	$M_W(M_Z)$	MW_160	$M_W(Q)$	MW	M_W	
GammaMu	Width Γ_{μ} of μ	GammaTau	Width Γ_{τ} of τ			
		Real Vectors of	length 3			
mf_d_160	$m_d(Q)$	mf_d_MZ	$m_d(M_Z)$	mf_d	m_d	
mf_u_160	$m_u(Q)$	mf_u_MZ	$m_u(M_Z)$	mf_u	m_u	
mf_1_160	$m_l(Q)$	mf_1_MZ	$m_l(M_Z)$	mf_l	m_l	
Complex Arrays of dimension 3×3						
CKM_MZ	CKM at (M_Z)	CKM_160	CKM at ${\cal Q}$	CKM	input	

Table 2 List of SM parameters available in FlavorKit. All hadronic observables are calculated at Q = 160 GeV.

By extending or changing the file hadronic_parameters.m in the FlavorKit directory, it is possible to add new variables for the mass or life time of mesons. These variables are available globally in the resulting SPheno code. The numerical values for the hadronic parameters can be changed in the Les Houches input file by using the blocks FCONST and FMASS defined in the Flavor Les Houches Accord (FLHA) [55].

It may happen that the calculation of a specific observable has to be adjusted for each model. This is for instance the case when (1) the calculation requires the knowledge of the number of generations of fields, (2) the mass or decay width of a particle, calculated by SPheno, is needed as input, or (3) a rotation matrix of a specific field enters the analytical expressions for the observable. For these situations, a special syntax has been created. It is possible to start a line with @ in the Fortran file. This line will then be parsed by SARAH, and Mathematica commands, as well as SARAH specific commands, can be used. We made use of this functionality in the implementation of $h \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}$. The lines in hLLp.f90 read

Dantiala	Tife times	defendt [a]	Magg	defendt [CeV]	DDC mumh an
Faiticle	Life time	default [s]	Iviass	default [Gev]	FDG number
π^0	tau_pi0	$8.52 \cdot 10^{-17}$	mass_pi0	0.13498	111
π^+	tau_pip	$2.60 \cdot 10^{-8}$	mass_pip	0.13957	211
$ ho(770)^{0}$	tau_rho0	$4.41 \cdot 10^{-24}$	mass_rho0	0.77549	113
D^0	tau_DO	$4.10 \cdot 10^{-13}$	mass_DO	1.86486	421
D^+	tau_Dp	$1.04 \cdot 10^{-12}$	mass_Dp	1.86926	411
D_s^+	tau_DSp	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-13}$	mass_DSp	1.96849	431
D_s^{*+}	tau_DSsp	-	mass_DSsp	2.1123	433
η	tau_eta	$5.06 \cdot 10^{-19}$	mass_eta	0.54785	221
$\eta'(958)$	tau_etap	$3.31 \cdot 10^{-21}$	mass_etap	0.95778	331
$\omega(782)$	tau_omega	$7.75 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_omega	0.78265	223
$\phi(1020)$	tau_phi	$1.54 \cdot 10^{-22}$	mass_phi	1.01946	333
K_L^0	tau_KLO	$5.12 \cdot 10^{-8}$	mass_KLO	-	130
K_S^0	tau_KSO	$0.90 \cdot 10^{-10}$	mass_KSO	-	310
K^0	tau_KO	-	mass_KO	0.49761	311
K^+	tau_Kp	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-8}$	mass_Kp	0.49368	321
B_d^0	tau_B0d	$1.52 \cdot 10^{-12}$	mass_B0d	5.27958	511
B_s^0	tau_B0s	$1.50 \cdot 10^{-12}$	mass_B0s	5.36677	531
B^+	tau_Bp	$1.64 \cdot 10^{-12}$	mass_Bp	5.27925	521
B^{*0}	tau_B0c	$1.43 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_BOc	5.3252	513
B^{*+}	tau_Bpc	$1.43 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_Bpc	5.3252	523
B_c^+	tau_Bcp	$4.54 \cdot 10^{-13}$	mass_Bcp	6.277	541
$K^{*0}(892)$	tau_KOc	$1.42 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_KOc	0.8959	313
$K^{*+}(892)$	tau_Kpc	$1.30 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_Kpc	0.8917	323
$\eta_c(1S)$	tau_etac	$2.22 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_etac	2.9810	441
$J/\Psi(1S)$	tau_JPsi	$7.08 \cdot 10^{-24}$	mass_JPSi	3096.92	443
$\Upsilon(1S)$	tau_Ups	$1.21 \cdot 10^{-23}$	mass_Ups	9.4603	553

Table 3 Hadronic parameters used in FlavorKit. These can be changed via FMASS and and FLIFE in the Les Houches input file.

Decay constant	Variable	default $[MeV]$	FLHA
f_K	f_k_CONST	176	FCONST[321,1]
f_{K^+}	f_Kp_CONST	156	FCONST[323,1]
f_{π}	f_pi_CONST	118	FCONST[111,1]
$f_{B^0_{II}}$	f_BOd_CONST	194	FCONST[511,1]
$f_{B^0_s}^a$	f_BOs_CONST	234	FCONST[531,1]
f_{B^+}	f_Bp_CONST	234	FCONST[521,1]
$\bar{f}_{\eta'}$	f_etap_CONST	172	FCONST[231,1]
$f'_{ ho}$	f_rho_CONST	220	FCONST[213,1]
f_{D^+}	f_Dp_CONST	256	FCONST[411,1]
f_{D_s}	f_Ds_CONST	248	FCONST[431,1]

Table 4 Decay constants available in the SPheno output of SARAH. The values can be changed according to the FLHA conventions using the block FCONST in the Les Houches input file.

7 ! Get Higgs width

8

In this implementation we define an integer hLoc that gives the generation index of the SM-like Higgs, to be found among all CP even scalars. In the first line it is checked if more than one scalar Higgs is present. If this is the case, the hLoc is set to the component which has the largest amount of the up-type Higgs, if not, it is just put to 1. Of course, this assumes that the electroweak basis in the Higgs sector is always defined as $(\phi_d, \phi_u, ...)$ as is the case for all models delivered with SARAH. In the second and third lines, the variables mh and gamh are set to the mass and total width of the SM-like Higgs, respectively. For this purpose, the SARAH commands SPhenoMass[x] and SPhenoWidth[x] are used. They return the name of the variable for the mass and width in SPheno and it is checked if these variables are arrays or not ⁶. For the MSSM, the above lines lead to the following code in the SPheno output:

 $^{^{6}}$ The user can define in the parameters.m and particles.m file for a given model in SARAH the particles which should be taken to be the CP-even or CP-odd Higgs and the parameter that corresponds to their rotation matrices. This

getGen[x]	returns the number of generations of a particle \mathbf{x}
getDim[x]	returns the dimension of a variable \mathbf{x}
SPhenoMass[x]	returns the name used for the mass of a particle x in the SPheno output
SPhenoMassSq[x]	returns the name used for the mass squared of a particle x in the SPheno output
SPhenoWidth[x]	returns the name used for the width of a particle ${\tt x}$ in the ${\tt SPheno}$ output
HiggsMixingMatrix PseudoScalarMixingMatrix	name of the mixing matrix for the CP even Higgs states in a given model name of the mixing matrix for the CP odd Higgs states in a given model

Table 5 SARAH commands which can be used in the input file for the calculation of an observable.

```
1 ! Check for SM like Higgs
2 hLoc = MaxLoc(Abs(ZH(2,:)),1)
3
4 ! Get Higgs mass
5 mh =Mhh(hLoc)
6
7 ! Get Higgs width
8 gamh =gThh(hLoc)
```

We give in Table 5 the most important SARAH commands which might be useful in this context.

Many more examples are given in AppendixC.1, where we have added all input files for the calculations of flavor observables delivered with SARAH.

5 Advanced usage II: Implementation of new operators

The user can also implement new operators and obtain analytical expressions for their Wilson coefficients. In this case, he will need to use PreSARAH which, with the help of FeynArts and FormCalc, provides generic expressions for the coefficients, later to be adapted to specific models with SARAH.

5.1 Introduction

New operators can be implemented by extending the content of the folder

[\$SARAH]/FlavorKit/[\$Type]/Operators/

In the current version of FlavorKit, 3- and 4-point operators are supported. Each operator is defined by a .m-file. These files contain information about the external particles, the kind of considered diagrams (tree-level, self-energies, penguins, boxes) as well as generic expressions for the coefficients. These expressions, derived from the generic Feynman diagrams contributing to the coefficients, are written in the form of a Mathematica code, which can be used to generate Fortran code.

For the automatization of the underlying calculations we have created an additional Mathematica package called PreSARAH, which can be used to create the files for all 4-fermion as well as 2-fermion-1-boson operators. This package creates not only the infrastructure to include the operators in the SPheno output of SARAH but makes also use of FeynArts and FormCalc to calculate the amplitudes and to extract the coefficient of the demanded operators. It takes into account all topologies depicted in Figs. 2 to 6.

5.2 Input for PreSARAH

In order to derive the results for the Wilson coefficients, **PreSARAH** needs an input file with the following information:

is done by using the Description statements Higgs or Pseudo-Scalar Higgs as well as Scalar-Mixing-Matrix or Pseudo-Scalar-Mixing-Matrix. If the particle or parameter needed to calculate an observable is not present or has not been defined, the observable is skipped in the SPheno output.



Fig. 2 All topologies considered by PreSARAH to calculate the Wilson coefficients of 2-fermion-1-boson operators. All possible generic combinations of the internal fields are taken into account.



Fig. 3 All tree topologies considered by PreSARAH to calculate the Wilson coefficients of 4-fermion operators. All possible generic combinations of the internal fields are taken into account.

- ConsideredProcess: A string which defines the generic type for the process
 - "4Fermion"
 - "2Fermion1Scalar"
 - "2Fermion1Vector"
- NameProcess: A string to uniquely define the process
- ExternalFields: The external fields. Possible names are ChargedLepton, Neutrino, DownQuark, UpQuark, ScalarHiggs, PseudoScalar, Zboson, Wboson ⁷
- FermionOrderExternal: the fermion order to apply the Fierz transformation (see the FormCalc manual for more details)
- NeglectMasses: which external masses can be neglected (a list of integers counting the external fields)
- ColorFlow: defines the color flow in the case of four quark operators. To contract the colors of external fields, ColorDelta is used, i.e ColorFlow = ColorDelta[1,2]*ColorDelta[3,4] assigns $(\bar{q}^{\alpha}\Gamma q_{\alpha})(\bar{q}^{\beta}\Gamma' q_{\beta})$.
- AllOperators: a list with the definition of the operators. This is a two dimensional list, where the first entry defines the name of the operator and the second one the Lorentz structure. The operators are expressed in the chiral basis and the syntax for Dirac chains in FormCalc is used:
 - 6 for $P_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 \gamma_5)$, 7 for $P_R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \gamma_5)$
 - Lor[1], Lor[2] for γ_{μ} , γ_{ν}
 - ec[3] for the helicity of an external gauge boson.
 - k[N] for the momentum of the external particle N (N is an integer).

⁷ The particles.m file is used to define for each model which particle corresponds to SM states using the Description statement together with Leptons, Neutrinos, Down-Quarks, Up-Quarks, Higgs, Pseudo-Scalar Higgs, Z-Boson, W-Boson. If there is a mixture between the SM particles and other states (like in *R*-parity violating SUSY or in models with additional vector quarks/leptons) the combined state has to be labeled according to the description for the SM state. Notice that in the SM Pseudo-Scalar Higgs is just the neutral Goldstone boson. If an external state is not present in a given model or has not been defined as such in the particles.m file the corresponding Wilson coefficients are not calculated by SPheno.



Fig. 4 All self-energy topologies considered by PreSARAH to calculate the Wilson coefficients of 4-fermion operators. All possible generic combinations of the internal fields are taken into account.

- Pair[A,B] is used to contract Lorentz indices. For instance, Pair[k[1],ec[3]] stands for $k_{\mu}^{1}\epsilon^{\mu,*}$

– A Dirac chain starting with a negative first entry is taken to be anti-symmetrized.

See the FormCalc manual for more details.

To make the definitions more readable, not the full DiracChain object of FeynArts/FormCalc has to be defined: PreSARAH puts everything with the head Op into a Dirac chain using the defined fermion order. For 4-fermion operators the combination of both operators is written as dot product. For instance Op[6].Op[6] is internally translated into

DiracChain[Spinor[k[1],MassEx1,-1],6,Spinor[k[2],MassEx2,1]]*
DiracChain[Spinor[k[3],MassEx3,-1],6,Spinor[k[4],MassEx4,1]]

while Op[6] Pair[ec[3],k[1] becomes

DiracChain[Spinor[k[1],MassEx1,-1],6,Spinor[k[2],MassEx2,1]] Pair[ec[3],k[1]]

- CombinationGenerations: the combination of external generations for which the operators are calculated by SPheno
- Filters: a list of filters to drop specific diagrams. Possible entries are NoBoxes, NoPenguins, NoTree, NoCrossedDiagrams.
 - Filters = {NoBoxes, NoPenguins} can be used for processes which are already triggered at tree-level
 - Filters = {NoPenguins} might be useful for processes which at the 1-loop level are only induced by box diagrams



Fig. 5 All penguin topologies considered by PreSARAH to calculate the Wilson coefficients of 4-fermion operators. All possible generic combinations of the internal fields are taken into account.



Fig. 6 All box topologies considered by PreSARAH to calculate the Wilson coefficients of 4-fermion operators. All possible generic combinations of the internal fields are taken into account.

- Filters = {NoCrossedDiagrams} is used to drop diagrams which only differ by a permutation of the external fields.

For instance, the **PreSARAH** input to calculate the coefficient of the $(\bar{\ell}\Gamma\ell)(\bar{d}\Gamma'd)$ operator reads

```
NameProcess="2L2d";
1
    Considered Process = "4Fermion";
\mathbf{2}
    ExternalFields = {{ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton],
 3
                               DownQuark, bar [DownQuark] } ;
 4
\mathbf{5}
    FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};
6
    NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
\overline{7}
8
9
    AllOperators={
10
         (* scalar operators*)
11
         \{ OllddSLL, Op[7], Op[7] \},
12
         \{OllddSRR, Op[6], Op[6]\},
13
         \{ OllddSRL, Op[6]. Op[7] \},
14
15
         \{ OllddSLR, Op[7], Op[6] \},
16
17
         (* vector operators*)
18
         {OllddVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]]. Op[7, Lor[1]]},
         \left\{ \text{OllddVLL}, \text{Op[6}, \text{Lor[1]} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \text{OllddVLL}, \text{Op[6}, \text{Lor[1]} \right\} \right\},
19
         {OllddVRL,Op[7,Lor[1]].Op[6,Lor[1]]},
20
         {OllddVLR, Op[6, Lor[1]]. Op[7, Lor[1]]},
21
```

22(* tensor operators*) 23 $\{OllddTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\},\$ 24 $\{OllddTLR, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\},\$ 25 $\{OllddTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\}, \}$ 26{OllddTRR, Op[-6, Lor [1], Lor [2]]. Op[-6, Lor [1], Lor [2]]} 27}; 2829CombinationGenerations = $\{\{2, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 2, 1, 1\}, \}$ 30 $\{2,1,2,2\}, \{3,1,2,2\}, \{3,2,2,2\}\};$ 31 32 Filters = $\{\};$ 33

Here, we neglect all external masses in the operators (NeglectMasses={1,2,3,4}), and the different coefficients of the scalar operators $(\bar{\ell}P_X\ell)(\bar{d}P_Yd)$ are called OllddSXY, the ones for the vector operators $(\bar{\ell}P_X\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{d}P_Y\gamma^\mu d)$ are called OllddVYX and the ones for the tensor operators $(\bar{\ell}P_X\sigma_{\mu\nu}\ell)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Yd)$ OllddTYX, with X,Y=L,R. Notice that FormCalc returns the results in form of $P_X\gamma_\mu$ while in the literature the order $\gamma_\mu P_X$ is often used. Finally, SPheno will not calculate all possible combinations of external states, but only some specific cases: μedd , τedd , $\tau \mu dd$, μess , τess , $\tau \mu ss^8$.

The input file to calculate the coefficients of the $\ell - \ell - Z$ operators $(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L,R}\ell)Z^{\mu}$ and $(\bar{\ell}p_{\mu}P_{L,R}\gamma_{\mu}\ell)Z^{\mu}$ is

```
NameProcess="Z21";
 1
 2
    ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
 3
    FermionOrderExternal = \{1, 2\};
 4
    NeglectMasses = \{1, 2\};
 \mathbf{5}
 6
 \overline{7}
 8
    ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton], Zboson};
 9
    CombinationGenerations = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\};
10
11
    AllOperators={
12
        \{OZ2lSL, Op[7]\}, \{OZ2lSR, Op[6]\},
13
        \{OZ2IVL, Op[7, ec[3]]\}, \{OZ2IVR, Op[6, ec[3]]\}
14
15
    };
16
    OutputFile = "Z2l.m";
17
18
    Filters = \{\};
19
```

Note that ExternalFields must contain first the involved fermions and the boson at the end. Furthermore, in the case of processes involving scalars one can define

1	ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton], ScalarHiggs};
2	$CombinationGenerations = \{\{1, 2, ALL\}, \{1, 3, ALL\}, \{2, 3, ALL\}\};$

In this case the operators for all Higgs states present in the considered model will be computed.

5.3 Operators with massless gauge bosons

We have to add a few more remarks concerning 2-fermion-1-boson operators with massless gauge bosons since those are treated in a special way. It is common for these operators to include terms in the amplitude which

⁸Here we used d for the first generation of down-type quarks while in the rest of this manual it is used to summarize all three families.

are proportional to the external masses. Therefore, if one proceeds in the usual way and neglects the external momenta, some inconsistencies would be obtained. For this reason, a special treatment is in order. In **PreSARAH**, when one uses

- ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
- 2 | FermionOrderExternal = $\{1, 2\};$
- 3 Neglect Masses = $\{3\};$

the dependence on the two fermion masses is neglected in the resulting Passarino-Veltman integrals but terms proportional to m_{f_1} and m_{f_2} are kept. This solves the aforementioned potential inconsistencies.

Furthermore, for the dipole operators, defined by

{DipoleL,Op[6]	Pair[ec[3], k[1]]
$\{DipoleR, Op[7]\}$	Pair [ec [3] , k [1]] }

we are using the results obtained by FeynArts and FormCalc and have implemented all special cases for the involved loop integrals $(C_0, C_{00}, C_1, C_2, C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{22})$ with identical or vanishing internal masses in SPheno. This guarantees the numerical stability of the results ⁹.

The monopole operators of the form $q^2(\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}f)V^{\mu}$ are only non-zero for off-shell external gauge bosons, while **PreSARAH** always treats all fields as on-shell. Because of this, and to stabilize the numerical evaluation later on, these operators are treated differently to all other operators: the coefficients are not calculated by **FeynArts** and **FormCalc** but instead we have included the generic expressions in **PreSARAH** using a special set of loop functions in **SPheno**. In these loop functions the resulting Passarino-Veltman integrals are already combined, leading to well-known expressions in the literature, see [42,56]. They have been cross-checked with the package **Peng4BSM@LO** [43]. To get the coefficients for the monopole operators, these have to be given always in the form

```
\frac{1}{2}
```

{MonopoleL, Op[6, ec[3]] Pair[k[3], k[3]]}, {MonopoleR, Op[7, ec[3]] Pair[k[3], k[3]]}

in the input of PreSARAH.

5.4 Combination and normalization of operators

The user can define new operators as combination of existing operators. For this purpose wrapper files containing the definition of the operators can be included in the FlavorKit directories. These files have to begin with ProcessWrapper = True;. This function is also used by PreSARAH in the case of 4-fermion operators: for these operators the contributions stemming from tree-level, box- and penguin- diagrams are saved separately and summed up at the end. Thus, the wrapper code for the 4-lepton operators written by PreSARAH reads

```
ProcessWrapper = True;
1
   NameProcess = "4L"
\mathbf{2}
   ExternalFields = {ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton], ChargedLepton, \hookrightarrow
3
       \leftrightarrow bar [ChargedLepton]};
   SumContributionsOperators["4L"] = {
4
   \{O4ISLL, BO4ISLL + PSO4ISLL + PVO4ISLL + TSO4ISLL + TVO4ISLL \},
\mathbf{5}
   \{O4|SRR, BO4|SRR + PSO4|SRR + PVO4|SRR + TSO4|SRR + TVO4|SRR \},
6
7
   };
8
```

It is also possible to use these wrapper files to change the normalization of the operators. We have made use of this functionality for the operators with external photons and gluons to match the standard definition used in literature: it is common to write these operators as $e m_f (\bar{f} \sigma_{\mu\nu} f) F^{\mu\nu}$, i.e. with the electric coupling (or strong coupling for gluon operators) and fermion mass factored out. This is done with the files Photon_wrapper.m and Gluon_wrapper.m, included in the FlavorKit directory of SARAH:

⁹We note that the coefficients for the operators defined above $(\bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}f V^{\mu})$ are by a factor of 2 (4) larger than the coefficients of the standard definition for the dipole operators $\bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Lfq^{\nu}V^{\mu}$ $(\bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_LfF^{\mu\nu})$.

1

```
ProcessWrapper = True;
1
   NameProcess = "Gamma2Q"
\mathbf{2}
   ExternalFields = {bar[BottomQuark], BottomQuark, Photon};
3
4
   SumContributionsOperators["Gamma2Q"] = \{
\mathbf{5}
   \{CC7, OA2qSL\},\
6
    \{CC7p, OA2qSR\}
7
8
   };
9
   NormalizationOperators ["Gamma2Q"] ={
10
    "CC7(3,:) = 0.25 \text{ dp}*CC7(3,:)/sqrt(Alpha 160*4*Pi)/mf d 160(3)",
11
    CC7p(3,:) = 0.25 \text{ dp*CC7p}(3,:)/sqrt(Alpha 160*4*Pi)/mf d 160(3)",
12
13
   "CC7SM(3,:) = 0.25_dp*CC7SM(3,:)/sqrt(Alpha_160*4*Pi)/mf_d_160(3)",
14
   "CC7pSM(3,:) = 0.25 dp*CC7pSM(3,:)/sqrt(Alpha 160*4*Pi)/mf d 160(3)"
15
16
   };
```

First, the coefficients OA2qSL and OA2qSR derived with PreSARAH are matched to the new coefficients CC7 and CC7p. The same matching is automatically applied also to the SM coefficients OA2qSLSM and OA2qSRSM. In a second step, these operators are re-normalized to the standard definition of the Wilson coefficients C_7 and C'_7 . The initial coefficients OA2qSR, OA2qSL are not discarded, but co-exist besides CC7, CC7p. Thus, the user can choose in the implementation of the observables which operators are more suitable for his purposes.

6 Validation

The validation of the FlavorKit results happened in three steps:

- 1. Agreement with SM results: we checked that the SM prediction for the observables agree with the results given in literature
- 2. Independence of scale in loop function: the loop integrals for two and three point functions (B_i, C_i) depend on the renormalization scale Q. However, this dependence has to drop out for a given process at leading order. We checked numerically that the sum of all diagrams is indeed independent of the choice of Q.
- 3. Comparison with other tools: as we have pointed out in the introduction, there are several public tools which calculate flavor observables mostly in the context of the MSSM. We did a detailed comparison with these tools using the SPheno code produced by SARAH for the MSSM. Some results are presented in the following.

We have compared the FlavorKit results using SARAH4.2.0 and SPheno3.3.0 with

- superiso 3.3
- SUSY_Flavor 1 and 2.1
- MicrOmegas 3.6.7
- SPheno 3.3.0
- a SPheno version produced by SARAH 4.1.0 without the FlavorKit functionality

Since these codes often use different values for the hadronic parameters and calculate the flavor observables at different loop levels, we are not going to compare the absolute numbers obtained by these tools. Instead, we compare the results normalized to the SM prediction of each code and thus define, for an observable X, the ratio

$$R(X) = \frac{X^{MSSM}}{X^{SM}} \,. \tag{6}$$

 X^{SM} is obtained by taking the value of X calculated by each code in the limit of a very heavy SUSY spectrum. As test case we have used the CMSSM. The dependence of a set of flavor observables as function of m_0 is shown in Fig. 7 and as function of $M_{1/2}$ in Fig. 8.

We see that all codes show in general the same dependence. However, it is also obvious that the lines are not on



Fig. 7 Comparison of the results for $\operatorname{BR}(B_{s,d}^0 \to \mu\mu)$, $\operatorname{BR}(\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma)$, $\operatorname{BR}(B \to \tau\nu)$, ΔM_{B_s} , ε_K , $\operatorname{BR}(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$, $\operatorname{BR}(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu})$ as a function of m_0 using the FlavorKit (red), superiso (purple), SUSY_Flavor 1 (brown), SUSY_Flavor 2 (green), SPheno (blue), MicrOmegas (orange) and the old implementation in SARAHI (red dashed). The three lines for SUSY_Flavor 2 correspond to different options of the chiral resummation. We used $M_{1/2} = 200$ GeV, $A_0 = 0$, $\tan \beta = 10$, $\mu > 0$.

top of each other but differences are present. These differences are based on the treatment of the resummation of the bottom Yukawa couplings, the different order at which SM and SUSY contributions are implemented,



Fig. 8 Comparison of the results for different flavor observables as function of $M_{1/2}$. The color code is the same as in Fig. 7. We used $m_0 = 500$ GeV, $A_0 = -1000$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 10$, $\mu > 0$.

the different handling of the Weinberg angle, and the different level at which the RGE running is taken into account by the tools. Even if a detailed discussion of the differences of all codes might be very interesting it is, of course, far beyond the scope of this paper and would require a combined effort. The important point is that the results of FlavorKit agree with the codes specialized for the MSSM to the same level as those codes agree among each other. Since the FlavorKit results for all observables are based on the same generic routines it

might be even more trustworthy than human implementations of the lengthy expressions needed to calculate these observables because it is less error prone. Of course, known 2-loop corrections for the MSSM which are implemented in other tools are missing.

Finally, it is well known that the process $B_{s,d}^0 \to \ell \bar{\ell}$ has a strong dependence on the value of $\tan \beta$. We show in Fig. 9 that this is reproduced by all codes.



Fig. 9 Comparison of $BR(B_{s,d}^0 \to \mu\mu)$ (first row) and $BR(B_{s,d}^0 \to ee)$ (second row) as function of $\tan \beta$. The color code is the same as in Fig. 7. We used $m_0 = M_{1/2} = 500$ GeV, $A_0 = 0, \mu > 0$.

7 Conclusion

We have presented FlavorKit, a new setup for the calculation of flavor observables for a wide range of BSM models. Generic expressions for the Wilson coefficients are derived with PreSARAH, a Mathematica package that makes use of FeynArts and FormCalc. The output of PreSARAH is then passed to SARAH, which generates the Fortran code that allows to calculate numerically the values of these Wilson coefficients with SPheno. The observables are derived by providing the corresponding pieces of Fortran code to SARAH, which incorporates them into the SPheno output. We made use of this code chain to fully implement a large set of important flavor observables in SARAH and SPheno. In fact, due the simplicity of this kit, the user can easily extend the list with his own observables and operators. In conclusion, FlavorKit allows the user to easily obtain analytical and numerical results for flavor observables in the BSM model of his choice.

Acknowledgments

We thank Asmaa Abada, Martin Hirsch, Farvah Mahmoudi, Manuel E. Krauss, Kilian Nickel, Ben O'Leary and Cédric Weiland for helpful discussions. FS is supported by the BMBF PT DESY Verbundprojekt 05H2013-THEORIE 'Vergleich von LHC-Daten mit supersymmetrischen Modellen'. WP is supported by the DFG,

21

project No. PO-1337/3-1. AV is partially supported by the EXPL/FIS-NUC/0460/2013 project financed by the Portuguese FCT.

A: Lagrangian

In this section we present our notation and conventions for the operators (and their corresponding Wilson coefficients) implemented in **PreSARAH**. Although a more complete list of flavor violating operators can be built, we will concentrate on those implemented in **PreSARAH**. If necessary, the user can extend it by adding his/her own operators.

The interaction Lagrangian relevant for flavor violating processes can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FV} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm QFV} \,. \tag{A.1}$$

The first piece contains the operators that can trigger lepton flavor violation whereas the second piece contains the operators responsible for quark flavor violation.

The general Lagrangian relevant for lepton flavor violation can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} = \mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell\gamma} + \mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell Z} + \mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell h} + \mathcal{L}_{4\ell} + \mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2g}.$$
(A.2)

The first term contains the $\ell - \ell - \gamma$ interaction, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell\gamma} = e \,\bar{\ell}_{\beta} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \left(K_1^L P_L + K_1^R P_R \right) + i m_{\ell_{\alpha}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \left(K_2^L P_L + K_2^R P_R \right) \right] \ell_{\alpha} A_{\mu} + \text{h.c.}$$
(A.3)

Here e is the electric charge, q the photon momentum, $P_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)$ are the usual chirality projectors and $\ell_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the lepton flavors. For practical reasons, we will always consider the photonic contributions independently, and we will not include them in other vector operators. On the contrary, the Z- and Higgs boson contributions will be included whenever possible. Therefore, the $\ell - \ell - Z$ and $\ell - \ell - h$ interaction Lagrangians will only be used for observables involving real Z- and Higgs bosons. These two Lagrangians can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell Z} = \bar{\ell}_{\beta} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \left(R_1^L P_L + R_1^R P_R \right) + p^{\mu} \left(R_2^L P_L + R_2^R P_R \right) \right] \ell_{\alpha} Z_{\mu} , \qquad (A.4)$$

where p is the ℓ_{β} 4-momentum, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell\ell h} = \bar{\ell}_{\beta} \left(S_L P_L + S_R P_R \right) \ell_{\alpha} h \,. \tag{A.5}$$

The general 4ℓ 4-fermion interaction Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{4\ell} = \sum_{\substack{I=S,V,T\\X,Y=L,R}} A_{XY}^{I} \bar{\ell}_{\beta} \Gamma_{I} P_{X} \ell_{\alpha} \bar{\ell}_{\delta} \Gamma_{I} P_{Y} \ell_{\gamma} + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (A.6)$$

where $\ell_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$ denote the lepton flavors and $\Gamma_S = 1$, $\Gamma_V = \gamma_{\mu}$ and $\Gamma_T = \sigma_{\mu\nu}$. We omit flavor indices in the Wilson coefficients for the sake of clarity. This Lagrangian contains the most general form compatible with Lorentz invariance. The Wilson coefficients A_{LR}^S and A_{RL}^S were included in [57], but absent in [42, 58]. As previously stated, the coefficients in Eq.(A.6) do not include photonic contributions, but they include Z-boson and scalar ones. Finally, the general $2\ell 2q$ four fermion interaction Lagrangian at the quark level is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2q} = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2d} + \mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2u} \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2d} = \sum_{\substack{I=S,V,T\\X,Y=L,R}} B_{XY}^{I} \bar{\ell}_{\beta} \Gamma_{I} P_{X} \ell_{\alpha} \bar{d}_{\gamma} \Gamma_{I} P_{Y} d_{\gamma} + \text{h.c.}$$
(A.8)

$$\mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2u} = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2d}|_{d \to u, B \to C} . \tag{A.9}$$

Here d_{γ} denotes the d-quark flavor.

Let us now consider the Lagrangian relevant for quark flavor violation. This can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{QFV} = \mathcal{L}_{qq\gamma} + \mathcal{L}_{qqg} + \mathcal{L}_{4d} + \mathcal{L}_{2d2l} + \mathcal{L}_{2d2\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{du\ell\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{ddH} \,. \tag{A.10}$$

The first two terms correspond to operators that couple quark bilinears to massless gauge bosons. These are

$$\mathcal{L}_{qq\gamma} = e \left[\bar{d}_{\beta} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \left(m_{d\beta} Q_1^L P_L + m_{d\alpha} Q_1^R P_R \right) d_{\alpha} \right] F^{\mu\nu}$$
(A.11)

$$\mathcal{L}_{qqg} = g_s \left[\bar{d}_\beta \sigma_{\mu\nu} \left(m_{d_\beta} Q_2^L P_L + m_{d_\alpha} Q_2^R P_R \right) T^a d_\alpha \right] G_a^{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{A.12}$$

Here T^a are SU(3) matrices. The Wilson coefficients $Q_{1,2}^{L,R}$ can be easily related to the usual $C_{7,8}^{(\prime)}$ coefficients, sometimes normalized with an additional $\frac{1}{16\pi^2}$ factor. The 4d four fermion interaction Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{4d} = \sum_{\substack{I=S,V,T\\X,Y=L,R}} D_{XY}^{I} \bar{d}_{\beta} \Gamma_{I} P_{X} d_{\alpha} \bar{d}_{\delta} \Gamma_{I} P_{Y} d_{\gamma} + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (A.13)$$

where $d_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$ denote the lepton flavors. Again, we omit flavor indices in the Wilson coefficients for the sake of clarity. The $2d2\ell$ four fermion interaction Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{2d2\ell} = \sum_{\substack{I=S,V,T\\X,Y=L,R}} E_{XY}^{I} \bar{d}_{\beta} \Gamma_{I} P_{X} d_{\alpha} \bar{\ell}_{\gamma} \Gamma_{I} P_{Y} \ell_{\gamma} + \text{h.c.}$$
(A.14)

Here ℓ_{γ} denotes the lepton flavor. $\mathcal{L}_{2d2\ell}$ should not be confused with $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell 2d}$. In the former case one has QFV operators, whereas in the latter one has LFV operators. This distinction has been made for practical reasons. The $2d2\nu$ and $du\ell\nu$ terms of the QFV Lagrangian are

$$\mathcal{L}_{2d2\nu} = \sum_{X,Y=L,R} F_{XY}^V \bar{d}_\beta \gamma_\mu P_X d_\alpha \bar{\nu}_\gamma \gamma^\mu P_Y \nu_\gamma + \text{h.c.}$$
(A.15)

$$\mathcal{L}_{du\ell\nu} = \sum_{\substack{I=S,V\\XY=I,R}} G_{XY}^{I} \bar{d}_{\beta} \Gamma_{I} P_{X} u_{\alpha} \bar{\ell}_{\gamma} \Gamma_{I} P_{Y} \nu_{\gamma} + \text{h.c.}$$
(A.16)

Note that we have not introduced scalar or tensor $2d2\nu$ operators, nor tensor $du\ell\nu$ ones, and that lepton flavor (denoted by the index γ) is conserved in these operators. Finally, we have also included a term in the Lagrangian accounting for operators of the type $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)S$ and $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)P$, where S(P) is a virtual ¹⁰ scalar (pseudoscalar) state. This piece can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{ddH} = \bar{d}_{\beta} \left(H_L^S P_L + H_R^S P_R \right) d_{\alpha} S + \bar{d}_{\beta} \left(H_L^P P_L + H_R^P P_R \right) d_{\alpha} P \,. \tag{A.17}$$

B: Operators available by default in the SPheno output of SARAH

The operators presented in Appendix A have been implemented by using the results of **PreSARAH** in **SARAH**. Those are exported to **SPheno**. We give in the following the list of all internal names for these operators, which can be used in the calculation of new flavor observables.

B.1: 2-Fermion-1-Boson operators

These operators are arrays with either two or three elements. While operators involving vector bosons have always dimension 3×3 , those with scalars have dimension $3 \times 3 \times n_g$. n_g is the number of generations of the considered scalar and for $n_g = 1$ the last index is dropped.

$$(\bar{d}_{\beta}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Gamma d_{\alpha})F^{\mu\nu}$$
 and $(\bar{d}_{\beta}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\Gamma d_{\alpha})G^{\mu\nu}$

¹⁰We would like to emphasize that our implementation of these operators is only valid for virtual scalars and pseudoscalars. They have been introduced in order to provide the 1-loop vertices necessary for the computation of the double penguin contributions to ΔM_{B_q} . Therefore, they are not valid for observables in which the scalar or pseudoscalar states are real particles.

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
CC7	$em_{d_{\beta}}(\bar{d}_{\beta}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_{L}d_{\alpha})F^{\mu\nu}$	$\begin{array}{c} Q_1^L \\ Q_R^R \end{array}$	CC8	$g_s m_{d_\beta} (\bar{d}_\beta \sigma_{\mu\nu} P_L d_\alpha) G^{\mu\nu}$	Q_2^L Q^R

These operators are derived by PreSARAH with the following input files

Listing 1 PhotonQQp.m

```
NameProcess="Gamma2Q";
1
\mathbf{2}
    ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
3
    FermionOrderExternal = \{1,2\};
4
\mathbf{5}
    NeglectMasses = \{3\};
\mathbf{6}
7
    ExternalFields= {bar[BottomQuark], BottomQuark, Photon};
8
9
    CombinationGenerations = \{\{3,2\}\};
10
11
    AllOperators={
12
        {OA2qSL,Op[7] Pair[ec[3],k[1]]},
{OA2qSR,Op[6] Pair[ec[3],k[1]]},
13
14
15
         \{OA2qVL, Op[7, ec[3]]\},\
16
        \{OA2qVR, Op[6, ec[3]]\}
    };
17
18
    OutputFile = "Gamma2Q.m";
19
20
    Filters = \{\};
21
```

Listing 2 GluonQQp.m

```
NameProcess="Gluon2Q";
1
2
    ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
3
    FermionOrderExternal = \{1, 2\};
4
    NeglectMasses = \{3\};
5
6
7
    ExternalFields= {bar[BottomQuark], BottomQuark,Gluon};
8
9
    CombinationGenerations = \{\{3,2\}\};
10
11
    AllOperators={
12
        \{OG2qSL, Op[7] Pair[ec[3], k[1]]\}, \\ \{OG2qSR, Op[6] Pair[ec[3], k[1]]\} \}
13
14
    };
15
16
    OutputFile = "Gluon2Q.m";
17
18
    Filters = \{\};
19
```

The normalization is changed to match the standard definitions by

 $Listing \ 3 \ {\rm Photon_wrapper_QFV.m}$

```
ProcessWrapper = True;
NameProcess = "Gamma2Q"
1
2
    ExternalFields = {bar[BottomQuark], BottomQuark, Photon};
3
4
    SumContributionsOperators["Gamma2Q"] = \{
\mathbf{5}
\mathbf{6}
    \{CC7, OA2qSL\},\
7
    \{CC7p, OA2qSR\}
8
    };
9
    NormalizationOperators ["Gamma2Q"] ={
10
    "CC7(2,:) = 0.25 dp*CC7(2,:) / sqrt(Alpha_160*4*Pi) / MFd(2) ",
11
```

 ${\bf Listing \ 4} \ {\rm Gluon_wrapper.m}$

```
ProcessWrapper = True;
NameProcess = "Gluon2Q"
 1
  2
        ExternalFields = {bar[BottomQuark], BottomQuark, Gluon};
 3
 4
        SumContributionsOperators["Gluon2Q"] = \{
  \mathbf{5}
  6
        \{CC8, OG2qSL\},\
 \overline{7}
        \{CC8p, OG2qSR\};
  8
        NormalizationOperators["Gluon2Q"] ={
 9
       10
11
12
        "CC8p(3,:) = 0.25 dp*CC8p(3,:) / sqrt (AlphaS_160*4*Pi) / MFd(3) ",
13
14
       \label{eq:ccssm(2,:)} \begin{array}{l} = & 0.25 \ _{dp*CC8SM(2,:)} / \ sqrt\left( \ AlphaS \ _{160*4*Pi} \right) / \ MFd(2) ", \\ \ "CC8SM(3,:) = & 0.25 \ _{dp*CC8SM(3,:)} / \ sqrt\left( \ AlphaS \ _{160*4*Pi} \right) / \ MFd(3) ", \\ \ "CC8pSM(2,:) = & 0.25 \ _{dp*CC8pSM(2,:)} / \ sqrt\left( \ AlphaS \ _{160*4*Pi} \right) / \ MFd(2) " \\ \ "CC8pSM(3,:) = & 0.25 \ _{dp*CC8pSM(3,:)} / \ sqrt\left( \ AlphaS \ _{160*4*Pi} \right) / \ MFd(2) " \\ \ "CC8pSM(3,:) = & 0.25 \ _{dp*CC8pSM(3,:)} / \ sqrt\left( \ AlphaS \ _{160*4*Pi} \right) / \ MFd(3) " \\ \end{array}
15
16
17
18
19
20
        };
```

$\bar{\ell}_{\beta} \left(q^2 \gamma^{\mu} + i m_{\ell_{\alpha}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \right) \ell_{\alpha} A_{\mu}$

Variable	Operator	Name Variable	Operator	Name
K2L	$em_{\ell_{lpha}}(\bar{\ell}_{eta}\sigma_{\mu u}P_L\ell_{lpha})q^{ u}A^{\mu}$	K_2^L K1L	$q^2(\bar{\ell}_\beta\gamma_\mu P_L\ell_\alpha)A^\mu$	K_1^L
K2R	$em_{\ell_{\alpha}}(\bar{\ell}_{\beta}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_{R}\ell_{\alpha})q^{\nu}A^{\mu}$	K_2^L K1R	$q^2(\bar{\ell}_\beta\gamma_\nu P_R\ell_\alpha)A^\mu$	K_1^R

These operators are derived by PreSARAH with the following input files

Listing 5 PhotonLLp.m

```
1
   NameProcess="Gamma2l";
\mathbf{2}
    ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
3
    FermionOrderExternal = \{1, 2\};
4
    NeglectMasses = \{3\};
\mathbf{5}
6
7
    ExternalFields= {bar[ChargedLepton], ChargedLepton, Photon};
8
    CombinationGenerations = \{\{2,1\},\{3,1\},\{3,2\}\};
9
10
11
12
    AllOperators={
       \{OA2lSL, Op[6] Pair[ec[3], k[1]]\},
13
14
        [OA2lSR, Op[7] Pair[ec[3], k[1]] \}
       15
16
    };
17
18
    OutputFile = "Gamma2l.m";
19
20
21
    Filters = \{\};
```

The normalization is changed to match the standard definitions by

 $Listing \ 6 \ {\rm Photon_wrapper_LFV.m}$

```
ProcessWrapper = True;
 1
       NameProcess = "Gamma2l"
 2
       ExternalFields = {bar[ChargedLepton], ChargedLepton, Photon};
 3
 4
 \mathbf{5}
       SumContributionsOperators["Gamma2l"] = {
       \left\{ K1L,\ OA1L\right\} ,
 6
      {K1R, OA1R},
{K2L, OA2lSL},
{K2R, OA2lSR}};
 7
 8
 9
10
       NormalizationOperators["Gamma2l"] ={
11
       "K1L = K1L/sqrt(Alpha_MZ*4*Pi)"
12
       \label{eq:K1R} \begin{split} & \text{"K1R} = \text{K1R/sqrt} (\text{Alpha}_{\text{MZ}*4*\text{Pi}}) \text{"}, \\ & \text{"K2L}(2,:) = -0.5 \text{_dp}*\text{K2L}(2,:) / \text{sqrt} (\text{Alpha}_{\text{MZ}*4*\text{Pi}}) / \text{MFe}(2) \text{"} \end{split}
13
14
      15
      \label{eq:started} \begin{split} & \text{"K2R}(2\,,:) \ = \ -0.5 \ \text{d}p \text{``K2R}(2\,,:) / \text{sqrt}(\text{Alpha}\text{MZ*4*Pi}) / \text{MFe}(2) \text{"}\\ & \text{"K2R}(3\,,:) \ = \ -0.5 \ \text{d}p \text{``K2R}(3\,,:) / \text{sqrt}(\text{Alpha}\text{MZ*4*Pi}) / \text{MFe}(3) \text{"} \end{split}
16
17
18
       };
```

(Ī.	I	٦l)	Z	
ς.						

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OZ2lVL	$(\bar{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} P_L \ell) Z_{\mu}$	R_1^L	OZ2lSL	$(\bar{\ell}p^{\mu}P_{L}\ell)Z_{\mu}$	R_2^L
OZ2lVR	$(\bar{\ell} \gamma^{\mu} P_R \ell) Z_{\mu}$	R_1^R	OZ2lSR	$(\bar{\ell}p^{\mu}P_R\ell)Z_{\mu}$	R_2^R

In the following we omit flavor indices for the sake of simplicity. These operators are derived by **PreSARAH** with the following input files

Listing 7 Z2l.m

```
NameProcess="Z21";
1
\mathbf{2}
   ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Vector";
3
   FermionOrderExternal = \{1, 2\};
4
\mathbf{5}
   NeglectMasses = \{1, 2\};
6
7
8
   ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton], Zboson};
9
   Combination Generations = \{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\};
10
11
12
   AllOperators={
      13
14
   };
15
16
   OutputFile = "Z2l.m";
17
18
   Filters = \{\};
19
```

$(\bar{\ell}\Gamma\ell$)h
-------------------------	----

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OH2lSL	$\bar{\ell} P_L \ell h$	S_L	OH2lSR	$\bar{\ell} P_R \ell h$	S_R

These operators are derived by PreSARAH with the following input files

Listing 8 H2l.m

1 NameProcess="H2l";

```
2
    ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Scalar";
3
    FermionOrderExternal = {1,2};
4
    \operatorname{NeglectMasses} = \{1, 2\};
\mathbf{5}
\mathbf{6}
7
    ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton], HiggsBoson};
8
    CombinationGenerations = \{\{1, 2, ALL\}, \{1, 3, ALL\}, \{2, 3, ALL\}\};
9
10
11
    AllOperators = \{ \{ OH2lSL, Op[7] \} \}
12
                      \{OH2lSR, Op[6]\}
13
    };
14
15
    OutputFile = "H2l.m";
16
17
    Filters = \{\};
18
```

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OH2qSL	$\bar{d}P_L dS$ $\bar{d}P_L dP_L$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} H_L^S \\ H^P \end{array} \right $	OH2qSR	$\bar{d}P_R dS$ $\bar{d}P_R dP$	H_R^S H^P

These auxiliary 11 operators are derived by **PreSARAH** with the following input files

Listing 9 H2q.m

```
NameProcess="H2q";
 1
 2
 3
      (* operators needed for double penguins with internal scalars *)
      (* we neglect therefore the mass of the scalar in the loop functions *)
 4
      (* and treat it as massless *)
 \mathbf{5}
 \mathbf{6}
 \overline{7}
      ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Scalar";
      FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1\};
 8
 9
      NeglectMasses = \{3\};
10
11
       \begin{array}{l} \texttt{ExternalFields} = \{\texttt{DownQuark},\texttt{bar}[\texttt{DownQuark}],\texttt{HiggsBoson}\};\\ \texttt{CombinationGenerations} = \{\{\texttt{2},\texttt{1},\texttt{ALL}\},\{\texttt{3},\texttt{1},\texttt{ALL}\},\{\texttt{3},\texttt{2},\texttt{ALL}\}\}; \end{array} \end{array}
12
13
14
15
      AllOperators = \{ \{ OH2qSL, Op[7] \} \}
16
                              \{OH2qSR, Op[6]\}
17
      };
18
19
      OutputFile = "H2q.m";
20
21
22
      Filters = \{\};
```

Listing 10 A2q.m

```
1 NameProcess="A2q";
2
3 (* operators needed for double penguins with internal scalars *)
4 (* we neglect therefore the mass of the scalar in the loop functions *)
5 (* and treat it as massless *)
6
7 ConsideredProcess = "2Fermion1Scalar";
8 FermionOrderExternal={2,1};
```

¹¹The $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)S$ and $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)P$ operators have been introduced to compute double penguin corrections to ΔM_{B_q} , where S and P appear as intermediate (virtual) particles. They should not be used in processes where the scalar or pseudoscalar states are real particles because the loop functions are calculated with vanishing external momenta.

```
NeglectMasses = \{3\};
9
10
11
     {\tt ExternalFields} = \ \{ {\tt DownQuark\,}, {\tt bar\,} [ \ {\tt DownQuark\,} ] \ , {\tt PseudoScalar\,} \};
12
13
     CombinationGenerations = \{\{2, 1, ALL\}, \{3, 1, ALL\}, \{3, 2, ALL\}\};\
14
15
     AllOperators = \{ \{ OAh2qSL, Op[7] \} ,
16
17
                         \{OAh2qSR, Op[6]\}
18
     };
19
     OutputFile = "A2q.m";
20
21
22
     Filters = \{\};
```

B.2: 4-Fermion operators

All operators listed below carry four indices and have dimension $3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3$. In addition, the user can access the different contributions of all operators from tree-level diagrams, as well as penguin and box diagrams. The name conventions are as follows: for each operator op the additional parameter exist

- TSop: tree-level contributions with scalar propagator
- TVop: tree-level contributions with scalar propagator
- PSop: sum of penguin and self-energy contributions with scalar propagator
- PVop: sum of penguin and self-energy contributions with scalar propagator
- Bop: box contributions.

We will denote the 4-fermion operators involving two leptons and two down-type quarks depending on whether they lead to LFV or to QFV processes: $\ell\ell dd$ for LFV and $dd\ell\ell$ for QFV.

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OddllSLL	$(\bar{d}P_L d)(\bar{\ell}P_L \ell)$	E_{LL}^S			
OddllSRR	$(\bar{d}P_R d)(\bar{\ell}P_R \ell)$	E_{RR}^S			
OddllSLR	$(\bar{d}P_L d)(\bar{\ell}P_R \ell)$	E_{LR}^S			
OddllSRL	$(ar{d}P_R d)(ar{\ell}P_L\ell)$	E_{RL}^S			
OddllVLL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\ell)$	E_{LL}^V	OddvvVLL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\nu)$	F_{LL}^V
OddllVRR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\ell)$	E_{RR}^V	OddvvVRR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\nu)$	F_{RR}^V
OddllVLR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\ell)$	E_{LR}^V	OddvvVLR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\nu)$	F_{LR}^V
OddllVRL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\ell)$	E_{RL}^V	OddvvVRL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\nu)$	F_{RL}^V
OddllTLL	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Ld)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)$	E_{LL}^T			
OddllTRR	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Rd)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)$	E_{RR}^T			
OddllTLR	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Ld)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)$	E_{LR}^T			
OddllTRL	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Rd)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)$	E_{RL}^T			

$(\bar{d}\Gamma d)(\bar{\ell}\Gamma'\ell)$ and $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)(\bar{\nu}\Gamma'\nu)$

These operators are derived by $\ensuremath{\texttt{PreSARAH}}$ with the following input files

Listing 11 2d2L.m

```
1 NameProcess="2d2L";
2
3 ConsideredProcess = "4Fermion";
4 FermionOrderExternal={2,1,4,3};
5 NeglectMasses={1,2,3,4};
6
7
8 ExternalFields= {DownQuark, bar[DownQuark], ChargedLepton, bar[ChargedLepton]};
9
```

$10 \\ 11$	CombinationGenerations = { $\{3,1,1,1\}, \{3,1,2,2\}, \{3,1,3,3\}, \{3,2,1,1\}, \{3,2,2,2\}, \{3,2,3,3\}$;
12	
13	
14	AllOperators = { $\{ OddllSLL, Op[7], Op[7] \}, $
15	$\{\text{OddllSRR}, \text{Op}[6], \text{Op}[6]\},\$
16	$\{ OddllSRL, Op[6], Op[7] \},$
17	$\{OddllSLR, Op[7], Op[6]\},$
18	
19	$\{ OddllVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]] . Op[7, Lor[1]] \},$
20	$\{ OddllVLL, Op[6, Lor[1]] \}$. $Op[6, Lor[1]] \}$,
21	$\{OddllVRL, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]]\},$
22	$\{OddllVLR, Op[6, Lor[1]], Op[7, Lor[1]]\},$
23	
24	$\{ OddllTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}, $
25	$\{ OddllTLR, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \},$
26	$\{OddllTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\},\$
27	$\{ OddllTRR, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}$
28	};

Listing 12 2d2nu.m

```
NameProcess="2d2nu";
 1
 \mathbf{2}
        ConsideredProcess = "4Fermion";
 3
       FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};
 4
 \mathbf{5}
       NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
 6
 7
       ExternalFields= {DownQuark, bar [DownQuark], Neutrino, bar [Neutrino]};
 8
       \label{eq:combinationGenerations} {\rm CombinationGenerations} \ = \ {\rm Flatten} \left[ \, {\rm Table} \left[ \left\{ \left\{ 2\,, 1\,, \ neutrino1\,, \ neutrino2 \right\} \right, \right. \right. \right. \right.
 9
                     {3,1, neutrino1, neutrino2},{3,2, neutrino1, neutrino2}},
{neutrino1,1,3},{neutrino2,1,3}],2];
10
11
12
13
        \begin{split} AllO\,perators = & \{ OddvvVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]] . Op[7, Lor[1]] \} , \\ & \{ OddvvVLL, Op[6, Lor[1]] . Op[6, Lor[1]] \} , \\ & \{ OddvvVRL, Op[7, Lor[1]] . Op[6, Lor[1]] \} , \\ & \{ OddvvVRR, Op[6, Lor[1]] . Op[7, Lor[1]] \} , \\ & \{ OddvvVLR, Op[6, Lor[1]] . Op[7, Lor[1]] \} \end{split} 
14
15
16
17
18
       };
```

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OllddSLL	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{d}P_Ld)$	B_{LL}^S	OlluuSLL	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{u}P_Lu)$	C_{LL}^S
OllddSRR	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{d}P_Rd)$	B_{RR}^S	OlluuSRR	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{u}P_Ru)$	C_{RR}^S
OllddSRL	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{d}P_Ld)$	B_{RL}^S	OlluuSRL	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{u}P_Lu)$	C_{RL}^S
OllddSLR	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{d}P_Rd)$	B_{LR}^S	OlluuSLR	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{u}P_Ru)$	C_{LR}^S
OllddVLL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}d)$	B_{LL}^V	OlluuVLL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}u)$	C_{LL}^V
OllddVRR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}d)$	B_{RR}^V	OlluuVRR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}u)$	C_{RR}^V
OllddVLR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}d)$	B_{LR}^V	OlluuVLR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}u)$	C_{LR}^V
OllddVRL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}d)$	B_{RL}^V	OlluuVRL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}u)$	C_{RL}^V
OllddTLL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ld)$	B_{LL}^T	OlluuTLL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{u}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Lu)$	C_{LL}^T
OllddTRR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Rd)$	B_{RR}^T	OlluuTRR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{u}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ru)$	C_{RR}^T
OllddTLR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Rd)$	B_{LR}^T	OlluuTLR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{u}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ru)$	C_{LR}^T
OllddTRL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ld)$	B_{RL}^{T}	OlluuTRL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{u}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Lu)$	C_{RL}^{T}

$(\bar{\ell}\Gamma\ell)(\bar{d}\Gamma)$	'd)	and ($(\bar{\ell}\Gamma\ell)$	$(\bar{u}\Gamma')$	u)
---	-----	-------	--------------------------	--------------------	----

Listing 13 2L2d.m

1 NameProcess="2L2d";

Considered Process = "4Fermion"; $FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};$ $NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};$ $\mathbf{5}$ $\mathbf{6}$ $\label{eq:chargedLepton} ExternalFields = \ \{ChargedLepton\]\ , DownQuark\ , bar\ [\ DownQuark\]\ \}\ ;$ CombinationGenerations = $\{\{2, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 2, 1, 1\}, \{2, 1, 2, 2\}, \{3, 1, 2, 2\}, \{3, 2, 2, 2\}\};$ $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:alpha} AllOperators = & \{ OllddSLL, Op[7], Op[7] \} , \\ & \{ OllddSRR, Op[6], Op[6] \} , \\ & \{ OllddSRL, Op[6], Op[7] \} , \\ & \{ OllddSLR, Op[7], Op[6] \} , \end{array} \end{array}$ $\{OllddVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[7, Lor[1]]\}, \}$ $\begin{array}{l} \left\{ OllddVLL, Op[6, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ OllddVRL, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]] \right\}, \end{array}$ {OllddVLR, Op[6, Lor [1]]. Op[7, Lor [1]]} $\{OllddTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\},\$ $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:constraint} \left\{ \text{OllddTLR}, \text{Op} \left[-7, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right], \text{Op} \left[-6, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right] \right\}, \\ \left\{ \text{OllddTRL}, \text{Op} \left[-6, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right], \text{Op} \left[-7, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right] \right\}, \\ \left\{ \text{OllddTRR}, \text{Op} \left[-6, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right], \text{Op} \left[-6, \text{Lor} \left[1 \right], \text{Lor} \left[2 \right] \right] \right\} \end{array} \right\}$ };

Listing 14 2L2u.m

```
1
      NameProcess="2L2u";
 2
      ConsideredProcess = "4Fermion";
 3
      FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};
 4
 \mathbf{5}
      NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
 6
 \overline{7}
      ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar [ChargedLepton], UpQuark, bar [UpQuark]};
 8
      CombinationGenerations = \{\{2, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 2, 1, 1\}\};
 9
10
11
12
      AllOperators = \{ \{OlluuSLL, Op[7], Op[7] \} \}
13
                               {OlluuSRR,Op[6].Op[6]},
{OlluuSRL,Op[6].Op[7]},
{OlluuSLR,Op[7].Op[6]},
14
15
16
17
18
                                {OlluuVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]]. Op[7, Lor[1]]},
                                {OlluuVLL, Op[6, Lor [1]]. Op[6, Lor [1]]},
{OlluuVRL, Op[7, Lor [1]]. Op[6, Lor [1]]},
19
20
21
                                {OlluuVLR, Op[6, Lor[1]]. Op[7, Lor[1]]},
22
                                \begin{cases} OlluuTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}, \\ OlluuTLR, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}, \\ OlluuTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}, \\ OlluuTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \}, \end{cases} 
23
24
25
26
                                OlluuTRR, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]]. Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]]
      };
27
```

 $(\bar{d}\Gamma d)(\bar{d}\Gamma' d)$ and $(\bar{\ell}\Gamma\ell)(\bar{\ell}\Gamma'\ell)$

Variable	Operator	Nomo	Variable	Operator	Namo
variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
O4dSLL	$(\bar{d}P_L d)(\bar{d}P_L d)$	D_{LL}^S	O4lSLL	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)$	A_{LL}^S
O4dSRR	$(\bar{d}P_R d)(\bar{d}P_R d)$	D_{RR}^S	O4lSRR	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)$	A_{RR}^S
O4dSLR	$(\bar{d}P_L d)(\bar{d}P_R d)$	D_{LR}^S	O4lSLR	$(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)$	A_{LR}^S
O4dSRL	$(\bar{d}P_R d)(\bar{d}P_L d)$	D_{RL}^S	04lSRL	$(\bar{\ell}P_R\ell)(\bar{\ell}P_L\ell)$	A_{RL}^S
O4dVLL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}d)$	D_{LL}^V	04lVLL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\ell)$	A_{LL}^V
O4dVRR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}d)$	D_{RR}^V	O4lVRR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\ell)$	A_{RR}^V
O4dVLR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}d)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}d)$	D_{LR}^V	O4lVLR	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\ell)$	A_{LR}^V
O4dVRL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}d)(\bar{d}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}d)$	D_{RL}^V	O4lVRL	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\ell)$	A_{RL}^V
O4dTLL	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Ld)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ld)$	D_{LL}^T	04lTLL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)$	A_{LL}^T
O4dTRR	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Rd)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Rd)$	D_{RR}^T	O4lTRR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)$	A_{RR}^T
O4dTLR	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Ld)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Rd)$	D_{LR}^T	04lTLR	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)$	A_{LR}^T
O4dTRL	$(\bar{d}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_Rd)(\bar{d}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Ld)$	D_{RL}^T	04lTRL	$(\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_R\ell)(\bar{\ell}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_L\ell)$	A_{RL}^T

Listing 15 4d.m

NameProcess="4d"; 1 2 ConsideredProcess = "4Fermion"; 3 $FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};$ 4 5 $NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};$ $\mathbf{6}$ 78 ExternalFields= {DownQuark, bar [DownQuark], DownQuark, bar [DownQuark]}; 9 ColorFlow = ColorDelta [1,2] ColorDelta [3,4]; 1011CombinationGenerations = $\{\{3, 1, 3, 1\}, \{3, 2, 3, 2\}, \{2, 1, 2, 1\}\};$ 12 1314 $AllOperators = \{ \{ O4dSLL, Op[7] \}, \\ Op[7] \} \}$ 15 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} O4dSRR, Op[6] . Op[6] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4dSRL, Op[6] . Op[7] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4dSRL, Op[6] . Op[7] \right\}, \end{array} \right.$ 1617 $\{O4dSLR, Op[7], Op[6]\},$ 1819 $\{O4dVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[7, Lor[1]]\},\$ 2021 $\{O4dVLL, Op[6, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]]\},\$ {O4dVRL,Op[7,Lor[1]].Op[6,Lor[1]]}, {O4dVLR,Op[6,Lor[1]].Op[7,Lor[1]]}, 2223 24 $\begin{array}{l} \left\{ O4dTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4dTLR, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \end{array}$ 2526 $\{ \text{O4dTRL}, \text{Op}[-6, \text{Lor}[1], \text{Lor}[2]] : \text{Op}[-7, \text{Lor}[1], \text{Lor}[2]] \}, \\ \{ \text{O4dTRL}, \text{Op}[-6, \text{Lor}[1], \text{Lor}[2]] : \text{Op}[-6, \text{Lor}[1], \text{Lor}[2]] \}$ 272829}; 30 Filters = {NoPenguins}; 31

Listing 16 4L.m

NameProcess="4L"; 1 2 Considered Process = "4Fermion";3 $FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 4, 3\};$ 4 $NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};$ 5 $\mathbf{6}$ 7ExternalFields= {ChargedLepton, bar [ChargedLepton], ChargedLepton]}; CombinationGenerations = $\{\{2, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 1, 1, 1\}, \{3, 2, 2, 2\}\};$ 8 9 10 $AllOperators = \{ \{O4lSLL, Op[7], Op[7] \} \}$ 11 $O_4 (O_4 (SRR, O_p[6], O_p[6]))$ 12

```
\{O4ISRL, Op[6], Op[7]\},\
13
                                                                                                                                                                              \{O4ISLR, Op[7], Op[6]\},
14
15
                                                                                                                                                                              \{O4IVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[7, Lor[1]]\},\
16
                                                                                                                                                                                \begin{array}{l} \left\{ O4IVLL, Op[6, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4IVRL, Op[7, Lor[1]], Op[6, Lor[1]] \right\}, \end{array} 
17
18
                                                                                                                                                                              O4VLR, Op[6, Lor[1]]. Op[7, Lor[1]]
19
20
                                                                                                                                                                             \begin{array}{l} \left\{ O4lTLL, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTLR, Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]] . Op[-7, Lor[1], Lor[2]] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2] \right\}, \\ \left\{ O4lTRL, 
21
22
23
                                                                                                                                                                            \{O4|TRR, Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]], Op[-6, Lor[1], Lor[2]]\}
24
25
                                    };
26
                                    Filters = \{NoCrossedDiagrams\};
27
```

 $(\bar{d}\Gamma u)(\bar{\ell}\Gamma'\nu)$

Variable	Operator	Name	Variable	Operator	Name
OdulvVLL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}u)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\nu)$	G_{LL}^V	OdulvSLL	$(\bar{d}P_L u)(\bar{\ell}P_L \nu)$	G_{LL}^S
OdulvVRR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}u)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\nu)$	G_{RR}^V	OdulvSRR	$(\bar{d}P_R u)(\bar{\ell}P_R \nu)$	G_{RR}^S
OdulvVLR	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L}u)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{R}\nu)$	G_{LR}^V	OdulvSLR	$(\bar{d}P_L u)(\bar{\ell}P_R \nu)$	G_{LR}^S
OdulvVRL	$(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}P_{R}u)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}\nu)$	G_{RL}^V	OdulvSRL	$(\bar{d}P_R u)(\bar{\ell}P_L \nu)$	G_{RL}^S

 $Listing \ 17 \ \mathrm{du_lv.m}$

```
NameProcess="dulv";
 1
 2
     ConsideredProcess = "4Fermion";
 3
 4
     FermionOrderExternal = \{2, 1, 3, 4\};
     NeglectMasses = \{1, 2, 3, 4\};
 5
 \mathbf{6}
 7
     ExternalFields= {DownQuark, bar[UpQuark], Neutrino, bar[ChargedLepton]};
 8
 9
     Combination Generations =
10
        Flatten [Table [{{3,1,i,j},{3,2,i,j},{2,2,i,j},{2,1,i,j}},{i,1,3},{j,1,3}],2];
11
12
     Clear\left[ {\,i\,,j\,} \right];
13
14
15
     AllOperators = \{ \{ OdulvSLL, Op[7] \}, \}
16
                          \begin{array}{c} OdulvSRR, Op[6]. Op[6] \},\\ OdulvSRL, Op[6]. Op[7] \}, \end{array}
17
18
                         OdulvSLR, Op[7]. Op[6]
19
20
                          \{ OdulvVRR, Op[7, Lor[1]] . Op[7, Lor[1]] \}
21
                         {OdulvVLL,Op[6,Lor[1]].Op[6,Lor[1]]},
{OdulvVRL,Op[7,Lor[1]].Op[6,Lor[1]]},
{OdulvVRL,Op[7,Lor[1]].Op[6,Lor[1]]},
22
23
24
25
     };
26
     Filters = {NoBoxes, NoPenguins};
27
```

C: Application: Flavor observables implemented in SARAH

C.1: Lepton flavor observables

Lepton flavor violation in the SM or MSSM without neutrino masses vanishes exactly. Even adding Dirac neutrino masses to the SM predicts LFV rates which are far beyond the experimental reach. However, many extensions of the SM can introduce new sources for LFV of a size which is testable nowadays. The best-known

1

7

examples are SUSY and non-SUSY models with high- or low-scale seesaw mechanism, models with vector-like leptons and SUSY models with *R*-parity violation, see for instance Refs. [32, 42, 58–89].

We discuss in the following the implementation of the most important LFV observables in SARAH and SPheno using the previously defined operators which are calculated by SPheno.

C.1.1: $\ell_{\alpha} \rightarrow \ell_{\beta} \gamma$

The decay width is given by [42]

$$\Gamma\left(\ell_{\alpha} \to \ell_{\beta}\gamma\right) = \frac{\alpha m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{5}}{4} \left(|K_{2}^{L}|^{2} + |K_{2}^{R}|^{2}\right), \qquad (C.18)$$

where α is the fine structure constant and the dipole Wilson coefficients $K_2^{L,R}$ are defined in Eq.(A.3).

Listing 18 LLgGamma.m

```
NameProcess = "LLpGamma";
   NameObservables = {{muEgamma, 701, "BR(mu->e gamma)"}, {tauEgamma, 702, "BR(tau->e gamma)"}
2
3
                           {tauMuGamma, 703, "BR(tau->mu gamma)"}};
4
5
   NeededOperators = \{K2L, K2R\};
6
   Body = "LLpGamma.f90";
8
```

Listing 19 LLgGamma.f90

```
Real(dp) :: width
1
\mathbf{2}
    Integer :: i1, gt1, gt2
3
4
    1
    ! l \rightarrow l ' gamma
 \mathbf{5}
      Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
6
      Based on J. Hisano et al, PRD 53 (1996) 2442 [hep-ph/9510309]
7
    8
    9
    Do i1=1,3
10
11
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
                                   ! mu-\!\!>e gamma
12
     gt1 = 2
13
     gt2 = 1
14
    Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
15
                                  !tau -> e gamma
16
     gt1 = 3
     gt2 = 1
17
    Else
                                   ! tau \rightarrow mu gamma
18
19
     gt1 = 3
     \tilde{g}t2 = 2
20
    End if
21
22
    width=0.25 dp*mf l(gt1)**5*(Abs(K2L(gt1,gt2))**2 &
23
                 & +Abs(K2R(gt1,gt2))**2)*Alpha
24
25
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
26
    muEgamma = width/(width+GammaMu)
Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
27
28
29
    tauEgamma = width/(width+GammaTau)
30
    Else
    tauMuGamma = width/(width+GammaTau)
31
32
    End if
33
    End do
34
```

C.1.2: $\ell_{\alpha} \rightarrow 3\ell_{\beta}$

The decay width is given by

$$\begin{split} \Gamma\left(\ell_{\alpha} \to 3\ell_{\beta}\right) &= \frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{5}}{512\pi^{3}} \left[e^{4} \left(\left|K_{2}^{L}\right|^{2} + \left|K_{2}^{R}\right|^{2}\right) \left(\frac{16}{3}\log\frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}}}{m_{\ell_{\beta}}} - \frac{22}{3}\right) \right. \tag{C.19} \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \left(\left|A_{LL}^{S}\right|^{2} + \left|A_{RR}^{S}\right|^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\left|A_{LR}^{S}\right|^{2} + \left|A_{RL}^{S}\right|^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \left(\left|\hat{A}_{LL}^{V}\right|^{2} + \left|\hat{A}_{RR}^{V}\right|^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \left(\left|\hat{A}_{LR}^{V}\right|^{2} + \left|\hat{A}_{RL}^{V}\right|^{2}\right) + 6 \left(\left|A_{LL}^{T}\right|^{2} + \left|A_{RT}^{T}\right|^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{e^{2}}{3} \left(K_{2}^{L}A_{RL}^{S*} + K_{2}^{R}A_{LR}^{S*} + c.c.\right) - \frac{2e^{2}}{3} \left(K_{2}^{L}\hat{A}_{RL}^{V*} + K_{2}^{R}\hat{A}_{LR}^{V*} + c.c.\right) \\ &- \frac{4e^{2}}{3} \left(K_{2}^{L}\hat{A}_{RR}^{T*} + K_{2}^{R}\hat{A}_{LL}^{T*} + c.c.\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left(A_{LL}^{S}A_{LL}^{T*} + A_{RR}^{S}A_{RR}^{T*} + c.c.\right) - \frac{1}{6} \left(A_{LR}^{S}\hat{A}_{LR}^{V*} + A_{RL}^{S}\hat{A}_{RL}^{V*} + c.c.\right) \\ \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Here we have defined

$$\hat{A}_{XY}^{V} = A_{XY}^{V} + e^{2}K_{1}^{X} \qquad (X, Y = L, R) .$$
(C.20)

The mass of the leptons in the final state has been neglected in this formula, with the exception of the dipole terms $K_2^{L,R}$, where an infrared divergence would otherwise occur due to the massless photon propagator. Eq.(C.19) is in agreement with [58], but also includes the coefficients A_{LR}^S and A_{RL}^S .

 $Listing \ 20 \ {\rm Lto3Lp.m}$

```
NameProcess = "Lto3Lp";
 1
     NameObservables = {{BRmuTo3e, 901, "BR(mu->3e)"},
{BRtauTo3e, 902, "BR(tau->3e)"}
 \mathbf{2}
 3
                                   \{BRtauTo3mu, 903, "BR(tau->3mu)"\}
 4
 \mathbf{5}
                                  };
 \mathbf{6}
     ExternalStates = {Electron};
NeededOperators = {K1L, K1R, K2L, K2R,
O4ISLL, O4ISRR, O4ISRL, O4ISLR,
 7
 8
 9
10
       O4lVRR, O4lVLL, O4lVRL, O4lVLR
11
       O4ITLL, O4ITRR };
12
     Body = "Lto3Lp.f90";
13
```

Listing 21 Lto3Lp.f90

```
Complex(dp) :: cK1L, cK1R, cK2L, cK2R
1
   Complex(dp) :: CSLL, CSRR, CSLR, CSRL, CVLL, &
\mathbf{2}
3
                         & CVRR, CVLR, CVRL, CTLL, CTRR
    Real(dp) :: BRdipole, BRscalar, BRvector, BRtensor
4
\mathbf{5}
    Real(dp) :: BRmix1, BRmix2, BRmix3, BRmix4, GammaLFV
   6
7
8
9
    ! 1 \rightarrow 3 1'
10
    ! Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
11
12
13
   e2 = (4._dp*Pi*Alpha_MZ)
14
   e4 \ = \ e2**2
15
16
   Do i1=1,3
17
18
19
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
    gt\dot{1} = 2
20
    \mathrm{gt} 2 \ = \ 1
21
```

```
Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
22
     gt1 = 3
23
24
     gt2 = 1
    Else
25
     gt1 = 3
26
     gt2 = 2
27
28
    End if
29
    gt3 = gt2
    gt4 = gt2
30
31
    cK1L = K1L(gt1, gt2)
32
33
    cK1R = K1R(gt1, gt2)
34
    cK2L = K2L(gt1, gt2)
35
    cK2R\ =\ K2R(\,g\,t\,1\ ,\,g\,t\,2\,)
36
37
    {
m CSLL} \;=\; {
m O4lSLL}\,(\,{
m gt1}\,,{
m gt2}\,,{
m gt3}\,,{
m gt4}\,)
38
    CSRR = O4lSRR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
39
40
    CSLR = O4lSLR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
    CSRL = O4lSRL(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
41
42
    CVLL \ = \ O4lVLL\,(\,gt1\,,gt2\,,gt3\,,gt4\,)
43
44
    CVRR = O4IVRR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
    CVLR = O4IVLR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
45
    \mathrm{CVRL} = \mathrm{O4lVRL}\,(\,\mathrm{gt1}\,,\mathrm{gt2}\,,\mathrm{gt3}\,,\mathrm{gt4}\,)
46
47
48
    \mathrm{CVLL}\,=\,\mathrm{CVLL}\,+\,\,\mathrm{e}\,2\,\ast\mathrm{c}\mathrm{K}1\mathrm{L}
    CVRR = CVRR + e2*cK1R
49
    CVLR = CVLR + e2*cK1L
50
51
    CVRL = CVRL + e2*cK1R
52
    {
m CTLL} \;=\; {
m O4lTLL}\,(\,{
m gt1}\,,{
m gt2}\,,{
m gt3}\,,{
m gt4}\,)
53
    CTRR = O4ITRR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
54
55
    ! Photonic dipole contributions
56
    BRdipole = (Abs(cK2L)**2+Abs(cK2R)**2)\&
57
    \& * (16.\_dp*Log(mf_l(gt1)/mf_l(gt2)) - 22.\_dp) / 3.\_dp
58
59
    ! Scalar contributions
60
    BRscalar = (Abs(CSLL)**2+Abs(CSRR)**2)/24. dp&
61
    (Abs(CSLR)**2+Abs(CSRL)**2)/12. dp
62
63
64
    ! Vector contributions
    BRvector = 2.\_dp*(Abs(CVLL)**2+Abs(CVRR)**2)/3.\_dp\&
65
    \&+(Abs(CVLR)**2+Abs(CVRL)**2)/3. dp
66
67
    ! Tensor contributions
68
    BRtensor = 6. dp*(Abs(CTLL)**2+Abs(CTRR)**2)
69
70
    ! Mix: dipole x scalar
71
    BRmix1 = 2. \_dp/3. \_dp*Real(cK2L*Conjg(CSRL) + cK2R*Conjg(CSLR), dp)
72
73
74
    ! Mix: dipole x vector
    BRmix2 = -4. dp/3. dp*Real(cK2L*Conjg(CVRL) + cK2R*Conjg(CVLR), dp) & \&
75
          & -8. d\overline{p}/3. d\overline{p} * Real(cK2L * Conjg(CVRR) + cK2R * Conjg(CVLL), dp)
76
77
78
    ! Mix: scalar x vector
    BRmix3 \ = \ -1.\_dp / 3.\_dp * Real(CSLR * Conjg(CVLR) \ + \ CSRL * Conjg(CVRL) \ , dp)
79
80
    ! Mix: scalar x tensor
81
    BRmix4 = -1. dp*Real(CSLL*Conjg(CTLL) + CSRR*Conjg(CTRR), dp)
82
83
    GammaLFV = oo512pi3*mf_l(gt1)**5* \&
84
          & (e4*BRdipole + \overline{B}Rscalar + BRvector + BRtensor &
85
86
          \& + e2*BRmix1 + e2*BRmix2 + BRmix3 + BRmix4)
87
88
    !taking alpha(Q=0) instead of alpha(m_Z) as this contains most of the
89
    !running of the Wilson coefficients
90
```

91 92If (i1.Eq.1) Then 93 BRmuTo3e=GammaLFV/GammaMu 94Else If (i1.Eq.2) Then 95BRtauTo3e=GammaLFV/GammaTau 9697Else BRtauTo3mu=GammaLFV/GammaTau 98 99 End If 100 End do

C.1.3: Coherent $\mu - e$ conversion in nuclei

The conversion rate, relative to the the muon capture rate, can be expressed as [90, 91]

$$CR(\mu - e, Nucleus) = \frac{p_e E_e m_{\mu}^3 G_F^2 \alpha^3 Z_{eff}^4 F_p^2}{8\pi^2 Z} \\ \times \left\{ \left| (Z + N) \left(g_{LV}^{(0)} + g_{LS}^{(0)} \right) + (Z - N) \left(g_{LV}^{(1)} + g_{LS}^{(1)} \right) \right|^2 + \left| (Z + N) \left(g_{RV}^{(0)} + g_{RS}^{(0)} \right) + (Z - N) \left(g_{RV}^{(1)} + g_{RS}^{(1)} \right) \right|^2 \right\} \frac{1}{\Gamma_{capt}}.$$
(C.21)

Z and N are the number of protons and neutrons in the nucleus and Z_{eff} is the effective atomic charge [92]. Similarly, G_F is the Fermi constant, F_p is the nuclear matrix element and Γ_{capt} represents the total muon capture rate. α is the fine structure constant, p_e and E_e ($\simeq m_{\mu}$ in the numerical evaluation) are the momentum and energy of the electron and m_{μ} is the muon mass. In the above, $g_{XK}^{(0)}$ and $g_{XK}^{(1)}$ (with X = L, R and K = S, V) can be written in terms of effective couplings at the quark level as

$$g_{XK}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \left(g_{XK(q)} G_K^{(q,p)} + g_{XK(q)} G_K^{(q,n)} \right),$$

$$g_{XK}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \left(g_{XK(q)} G_K^{(q,p)} - g_{XK(q)} G_K^{(q,n)} \right).$$
(C.22)

For coherent $\mu - e$ conversion in nuclei, only scalar (S) and vector (V) couplings contribute. Furthermore, sizable contributions are expected only from the u, d, s quark flavors. The numerical values of the relevant G_K factors are [90, 93]

$$G_V^{(u,p)} = G_V^{(d,n)} = 2; \quad G_V^{(d,p)} = G_V^{(u,n)} = 1;
 G_S^{(u,p)} = G_S^{(d,n)} = 5.1; \quad G_S^{(d,p)} = G_S^{(u,n)} = 4.3;
 G_S^{(s,p)} = G_S^{(s,n)} = 2.5.$$
(C.23)

Finally, the $g_{XK(q)}$ coefficients can be written in terms of the Wilson coefficients in Eqs.(A.3), (A.8) and (A.9) as

$$g_{LV(q)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F} \left[e^2 Q_q \left(K_1^L - K_2^R \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{\ell \ell q q}^{VLL} + C_{\ell \ell q q}^{VLR} \right) \right]$$
(C.24)

$$g_{RV(q)} = g_{LV(q)} \Big|_{L \to R} \tag{C.25}$$

$$g_{LS(q)} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F} \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{\ell\ell qq}^{SLL} + C_{\ell\ell qq}^{SLR} \right)$$
(C.26)

$$g_{RS(q)} = g_{LS(q)} \Big|_{L \to R} . \tag{C.27}$$

Here Q_q is the quark electric charge $(Q_d = -1/3, Q_u = 2/3)$ and $C_{\ell\ell qq}^{IXK} = B_{XY}^K (C_{XY}^K)$ for d-quarks (u-quarks), with X = L, R and K = S, V.

Listing 22 MuEconversion.m

1	NameProcess = "MuEconversion";
2	NameObservables = {{CRmuEAl, 800 , "CR(mu-e, Al)"},
3	$\{$ CRmuETi, 801, "CR(mu-e, Ti)" $\},$
4	{CRmuESr, 802 , "CR(mu-e, Sr)"},
5	$\{CRmuESb, 803, "CR(mu-e, Sb)"\},$
6	$\{CRmuEAu, 804, "CR(mu-e, Au)"\},$
$\overline{7}$	$\{CRmuEPb, 805, "CR(mu-e, Pb)"\}$
8	};
9	
10	NeededOperators = $\{K1L, K1R, K2L, K2R,$
11	OllddSLL, OllddSRR, OllddSRL, OllddSLR, OllddVRR, OllddVLL,
12	OllddVRL, OllddVLR, OllddTLL, OllddTLR, OllddTRL, OllddTRR,
13	OlluuSLL, OlluuSRR, OlluuSRL, OlluuSLR, OlluuVRR, OlluuVLL,
14	OlluuVRL, OlluuVLR, OlluuTLL, OlluuTLR, OlluuTRL, OlluuTRR
15	};
16	
17	Body = "MuEconversion. f90";

Listing 23 MuEconversion.f90

```
Complex(dp) :: gPLV(3), gPRV(3)
   1
               Complex(dp), Parameter :: mat0(3,3)=0._dp
   \mathbf{2}
               \operatorname{Real}(dp) :: Znuc, Nnuc, Zeff, Fp, GammaCapt, \operatorname{GSp}(3), \operatorname{GSn}(3), &
   3
                                    \& GVp(3), GVn(3), e2
   4
              Complex(dp) :: Lcont, Rcont, gLS(3), gRS(3), gLV(3), gRV(3), g0LS, g0RS, & & g0LV, g0RV, g1LS, g1RS, g1LV, g1RV
   \mathbf{5}
   6
                Integer :: i1, i2
   7
   8
   9
              Coherent mu—e conversion in nuclei
Coherent mu—e conversion in nuclei
Sobservable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
Based on Y. Kuno, Y. Okada, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2001) 151 [hep-ph/9909265]
and E. Arganda et al, JHEP 0710 (2007) 104 [arXiv:0707.2955]
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
               e2 = 4. dp*Pi*Alpha_MZ
17
               ! 1: 11
18
19
              ! 2: dd
                ! 3: ss
20
21
               ! vector couplings
22
23
              gLV(1) = 0.5 dp * (OlluuVLL(2,1,1,1) + OlluuVLR(2,1,1,1))
24
               \begin{array}{l} {} gRV(1) = 0.5\_dp*(OlluuVRL(2,1,1,1) + OlluuVRR(2,1,1,1)) \\ {} gLV(2) = 0.5\_dp*(OllddVLL(2,1,1,1) + OllddVLR(2,1,1,1)) \end{array} 
25
26
               \begin{array}{l} gRV(2) &= 0.5 \_dp*(OllddVRL(2,1,1,1) + OllddVRR(2,1,1,1)) \\ gLV(3) &= 0.5 \_dp*(OllddVLL(2,1,2,2) + OllddVLR(2,1,2,2)) \\ gRV(3) &= 0.5 \_dp*(OllddVRL(2,1,2,2) + OllddVRR(2,1,2,2)) \\ \end{array} 
27
28
29
30
              \begin{array}{l} gLV \ = \ -gLV \ast \, S \, qrt \left( \, 2 \, . \ dp \right) / G\_F \\ gRV \ = \ -gRV \ast \, S \, qrt \left( \, 2 \, . \ dp \right) / G\_F \end{array}
31
32
33
              34
35
              \begin{array}{l} gPLV(2) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPRV(2) = (KIR(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPRV(2) = (KIR(2,1) - KZL(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - KZR(2,1)) * (-1 - dp/3 - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(2,1) - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(3,1) - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(3,1) - dp) \\ gPLV(3) = (KIL(3,
36
37
38
               \begin{array}{l} gPRV(3) = (K1R(2,1)-K2L(2,1))*(-1.\_dp/3.\_dp) \\ gPLV = gPLV*Sqrt(2.\_dp)/G_F*e2 \end{array} 
39
40
41
              gPRV = gPRV * Sqrt(2.dp)/G_F * e2
42
              gLV=gPLV+gLV
43
44
              gRV=gPRV+gRV
45
46
```

```
48
      gLS(1) = 0.5 dp*(OlluuSLL(2,1,1,1)+OlluuSLR(2,1,1,1))
 49
      gRS(1) = 0.5 dp * (OlluuSRL (2,1,1,1)+OlluuSRR (2,1,1,1))
 50
 51
      gLS(2) = 0.5 dp*(OllddSLL(2,1,1,1)+OllddSLR(2,1,1,1))
       \begin{array}{l} gRS(2) &= 0.5\_dp*(OllddSRL(2,1,1,1)+OllddSRR(2,1,1,1)) \\ gLS(3) &= 0.5\_dp*(OllddSLL(2,1,2,2)+OllddSLR(2,1,2,2)) \\ gRS(3) &= 0.5\_dp*(OllddSLL(2,1,2,2)+OllddSRR(2,1,2,2)) \\ \end{array} 
 52
 53
 54
 55
      \begin{array}{l} gLS \ = \ -gLS \ast S \, qrt \left( \, 2 \, . \, \_dp \right) / G\_F \\ gRS \ = \ -gRS \ast S \, qrt \left( \, 2 \, . \, \_dp \right) / G\_F \end{array}
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
      Do i1=1,6
       If (i1.eq.1) Then
 61
 62
      Znuc = 13. dp
      Nnuc=14. dp
Zeff=11.5 dp
 63
 64
 65
      Fp = 0.64 dp
      GammaCapt=4.64079e-19 dp
 66
      Else If (i1.eq.2) Then
 67
      Znuc\!=\!22.\_dp
 68
 69
      Nnuc=26. dp
      \operatorname{Zeff} = 17.\overline{6} dp
 70
      Fp=0.54 dp
 71
      GammaCapt \!=\! 1.70422 e \!-\! 18 \_dp
 72
 73
      Else If (i1.eq.3) Then
      Znuc\!=\!38.\_dp
 74
      Nnuc\!=\!42.\_dp
 75
 76
      Zeff = 25.0 dp
      Fp=0.39 dp
 77
      GammaCapt=4.61842e-18_dp
 78
      Else If(i1.eq.4) Then
 79
      Znuc\!=\!51.\_dp
 80
      Nnuc=70._dp
 81
      Zeff = 29.\overline{0}_dp
 82
      Fp=0.32_dp
 83
      GammaCapt=6.71711e-18 dp
 84
      Else If (i1.eq.5) Then
 85
      Znuc = 79. dp
 86
      Nnuc=118. dp
Zeff=33.5 dp
 87
 88
 89
      Fp=0.16_dp
      GammaCapt\!=\!8.59868e\!-\!18\_dp
 90
      Else If (i1.eq.6) Then
 91
      Znuc=82._dp
Nnuc=125._dp
 92
 93
      Zeff = 34.0 dp
 94
 95
      Fp=0.15_dp
      GammaCapt\!=\!8.84868e\!-\!18\_dp
 96
 97
      End If
 98
      ! numerical values
 99
      ! based on Y. Kuno, Y. Okada, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2001) 151 [hep-ph/9909265]
100
      ! and T. S. Kosmas et al, PLB 511 (2001) 203 [hep-ph/0102101]
101
      GSp = (/5.1, 4.3, 2.5/)
102
      GSn = (/4.3, 5.1, 2.5/)
GVp = (/2.0, 1.0, 0.0/)
103
104
      GVn = (/1.0, 2.0, 0.0/)
105
106
      g0LS\!=\!0.\_dp
107
      g0RS = 0. dp
108
      g0LV=0.dp
109
      g_{0RV=0.}dp
110
111
      g1LS\!=\!0.\_dp
     g1RS=0._dp
g1LV=0._dp
112
113
     g1RV=0._dp
114
```

115 Do i2=1,3

! scalar couplings

```
\begin{array}{l} g0LS = \! g0LS + \! 0.5\_dp \! \ast \! gLS \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \! \ast \! \left( GSp \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \! \ast \! GSn \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \right) \\ g0RS = \! g0RS + \! 0.5\_dp \! \ast \! gRS \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \! \ast \! \left( GSp \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \! \ast \! GSn \left( \ i \ 2 \ \right) \right) \end{array}
116
117
               g0LV=g0LV+0.5 dp*gLV(i2)*(GVp(i2)+GVn(i2))
118
               \begin{array}{l} g0RV=g0RV+0.5\_dp*gRV(i2)*(GVp(i2)+GVn(i2))\\ g1LS=g1LS+0.5\_dp*gLS(i2)*(GSp(i2)-GSn(i2)) \end{array}
119
120
               g1RS=g1RS+0.5\_dp*gRS(i2)*(GSp(i2)-GSn(i2))
121
                \begin{array}{l} g1LV = g1LV + 0.5 \\ g1RV = g1RV \\ g1RV \\ g1RV = g1RV \\ g
122
123
               End Do
124
125
                Lcont=(Znuc+Nnuc)*(g0LV+g0LS)+(Znuc-Nnuc)*(g1LV-g1LS)
               Rcont=(Znuc+Nnuc)*(g0RV+g0RS)+(Znuc-Nnuc)*(g1RV-g1RS)
126
127
                ! Conversion rate
128
                If (i1.eq.1) Then
129
                  130
131
                          & (Abs(Lcont)**2+Abs(Rcont)**2)/GammaCapt
                Else if (i1.eq.2) Then
132
                   \begin{array}{l} CRMuETi = & 0.8 \, pi\, 2*mf\_l\,(2\,)**5*G\_F**2*Alpha**3*Zeff**4*Fp**2/Znuc*\& \\ \& \ (Abs(Lcont\,)**2+Abs(Rcont\,)**2)/GammaCapt \end{array} 
133
134
                Else if (i1.eq.3) Then
135
                   \begin{array}{l} CRMuESr = & 0.8 \, pi\, 2*mf\, 1(2)**5*G\, F**2*Alpha**3*Zeff**4*Fp**2/Znuc*\& \\ \& \ (Abs(Lcont)**2+Abs(Rcont)**2)/GammaCapt \end{array} 
136
137
138
                Else if (i1.eq.4) Then
                  \label{eq:cRMuESb} CRMuESb = 008pi2*mf_l(2)**5*G_F**2*Alpha**3*Zeff**4*Fp**2/Znuc*\& (Abs(Lcont)**2+Abs(Rcont)**2)/GammaCapt
139
140
                Else if (i1.eq.5) Then
141
                  CRMuEAu = 008pi2*mf_l(2)**5*G_F**2*Alpha**3*Zeff**4*Fp**2/Znuc*&
142
                         & (Abs(Lcont)**2+Abs(Rcont)**2)/GammaCapt
143
144
                Else if (i1.eq.6) Then
                  CRMuEPb = 008pi2*mf_l(2) **5*G_F**2*Alpha**3*Zeff**4*Fp**2/Znuc*&
145
                          & (Abs(Lcont)**2+Abs(Rcont)**2)/GammaCapt
146
               End if
147
148
               End do
```

C.1.4: $au o P\ell$

Our analytical expressions for $\tau \to P\ell$, where $\ell = e, \mu$ and P is a pseudoscalar meson, generalize the results in [94]. The decay width is given by

$$\Gamma(\tau \to \ell P) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(m_{\tau}^2, m_{\ell}^2, m_P^2)}{m_{\tau}^2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,f} |\mathcal{M}_{\tau\ell P}|^2, \qquad (C.28)$$

where the averaged squared amplitude can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,f} |\mathcal{M}_{\tau\ell P}|^2 = \frac{1}{4m_\tau} \sum_{I,J=S,V} \left[2m_\tau m_\ell \left(a_P^I a_P^{J*} - b_P^I b_P^{J*} \right) + (m_\tau^2 + m_\ell^2 - m_P^2) \left(a_P^I a_P^{J*} + b_P^I b_P^{J*} \right) \right]. \tag{C.29}$$

The coefficients $a_P^{S,V}$ and $b_P^{S,V}$ can be expressed in terms of the Wilson coefficients in Eqs.(A.8) and (A.9) as

$$a_P^S = \frac{1}{2} f_\pi \sum_{X=L,R} \left[\frac{D_X^d(P)}{m_d} \left(B_{LX}^S + B_{RX}^S \right) + \frac{D_X^u(P)}{m_u} \left(C_{LX}^S + C_{RX}^S \right) \right]$$
(C.30)

$$b_P^S = \frac{1}{2} f_\pi \sum_{X=L,R} \left[\frac{D_X^d(P)}{m_d} \left(B_{RX}^S - B_{LX}^S \right) + \frac{D_X^u(P)}{m_u} \left(C_{RX}^S - C_{LX}^S \right) \right]$$
(C.31)

$$a_P^V = \frac{1}{4} f_\pi C(P)(m_\tau - m_\ell) \left[-B_{LL}^V + B_{LR}^V - B_{RL}^V + B_{RR}^V + C_{LL}^V - C_{LR}^V + C_{RL}^V - C_{RR}^V \right]$$
(C.32)

$$b_P^V = \frac{1}{4} f_\pi C(P)(m_\tau + m_\ell) \left[-B_{LL}^V + B_{LR}^V + B_{RL}^V - B_{RR}^V + C_{LL}^V - C_{LR}^V - C_{RL}^V + C_{RR}^V \right].$$
(C.33)

In these expressions m_d and m_u are the down- and up-quark masses, respectively, f_{π} is the pion decay constant and the coefficients C(P), $D_{L,R}^{d,u}(P)$ take different forms for each pseudoscalar meson P [94]. For $P = \pi$ one has

$$C(\pi) = 1 \tag{C.34}$$

$$D_L^d(\pi) = -\frac{m_\pi^2}{4}$$
(C.35)

$$D_L^u(\pi) = \frac{m_\pi^2}{4},$$
 (C.36)

for $P = \eta$

$$C(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\sin \theta_{\eta} + \sqrt{2} \cos \theta_{\eta} \right)$$
(C.37)

$$D_L^d(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[(3m_\pi^2 - 4m_K^2) \cos\theta_\eta - 2\sqrt{2}m_K^2 \sin\theta_\eta \right]$$
(C.38)

$$D_L^u(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} m_\pi^2 \left(\cos\theta_\eta - \sqrt{2}\sin\theta_\eta\right) \,, \tag{C.39}$$

and for $P = \eta'$

$$C(\eta') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_{\eta} - \cos \theta_{\eta} \right)$$
(C.40)

$$D_L^d(\eta') = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} \left[(3m_\pi^2 - 4m_K^2) \sin \theta_\eta + 2\sqrt{2}m_K^2 \cos \theta_\eta \right]$$
(C.41)

$$D_L^u(\eta') = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} m_\pi^2 \left(\sin\theta_\eta + \sqrt{2}\cos\theta_\eta\right) \,. \tag{C.42}$$

Here m_{π} and m_K are the masses of the neutral pion and Kaon, respectively, and θ_{η} is the $\eta - \eta'$ mixing angle. In addition, $D_R^{d,u}(P) = -\left(D_L^{d,u}(P)\right)^*$.

Notice that the Wilson coefficients in Eq.(C.33) include all pseudoscalar and axial contributions to $\tau \to \ell P$. Therefore, this goes beyond some well-known results in the literature, see for example [94, 95], where box contributions were neglected.

Listing 24 TauLMeson.m

```
NameProcess = "TauLMeson";
 1
       \label{eq:nameObservables} \begin{array}{ll} NameObservables = \left\{ \left\{ BrTautoEPi \,, \ 2001 \,, \ "BR(tau \rightarrow e \ pi ) " \right\} , \\ \left\{ BrTautoEEta \,, \ 2002 \,, \ "BR(tau \rightarrow e \ eta ) " \right\} \end{array} \right.
 2
 3
                                                 {BrTautoEEtap, 2002, Br(tau \rightarrow e eta) },
{BrTautoEEtap, 2003, "BR(tau \rightarrow e eta)"},
{BrTautoMuPi, 2004, "BR(tau \rightarrow mu pi)"},
{BrTautoMuEta, 2005, "BR(tau \rightarrow mu eta)"},
 4
 5
 \mathbf{6}
                                                  {BrTautoMuEtap, 2006, "BR(tau->mu eta')"}};
 7
 8
        NeededOperators = {OllddSLL, OllddSRR, OllddSRL, OllddSLR,
 9
            OllddVRR, OllddVLL, OllddVRL, OllddVLR,
OlluuSLL, OlluuSRR, OlluuSRL, OlluuSLR,
10
11
            OlluuVRR, OlluuVLL, OlluuVRL, OlluuVLR
12
13
        };
14
       Body = "TauLMeson.f90";
15
```

Listing 25 TauLMeson.f90

```
1 Real(dp) :: Fpi, thetaEta, mPi, mK, mEta, mEtap, meson_abs_T2, cont, &
2 & & mP, CP, factor, BR
3 Complex(dp) :: BSLL, BSLR, BSRL, BSRR, BVLL, BVLR, BVRL, BVRR, &
4 & & CSLL, CSLR, CSRL, CSRR, CVLL, CVLR, CVRL, CVRR, aP(2), bP(2), &
5 & & DLdP, DRdP, DLuP, DRuP
6 Integer :: i1, i2, out, k1, k2
7
8 !
```

```
9
    ! tau \rightarrow l meson
    ! Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
10
    ! Generalizes the analytical expressions in
11
    ! E. Arganda et al, JHEP 0806 (2008) 079 [arXiv:0803.2039]
12
13
14
    Fpi=0.0924 dp! Pion decay constant in GeV thetaEta=-\overline{P}i/10. dp! eta-eta' mixing angle
15
16
    mPi=0.13497 dp! Pion mass in GeV
17
    18
19
    mEtap=0.95\overline{8}_dp! Eta' mass in GeV
20
21
    ! Mesons :
22
    !1:Pi0
23
24
    !2:Eta
    !3:Eta'
25
26
    Do i1=1,3
        If(i1.eq.1) Then !1:Pi0
27
           mP = mPi
28
           CP = 1. dp

DLdP = - mPi**2/4. dp

DRdP = - Conjg(DLdP)
29
30
31
           DLuP = mPi * *2/4. dp
DRuP = - Conjg (DLuP)
32
33
        34
35
           mP = mEta
            CP = (Sin(thetaEta)+Sqrt(2._dp)*Cos(thetaEta))/Sqrt(6._dp)
36
            DLdP = 1. dp/(4. dp*Sqrt(3. dp))*((3. dp*mPi**2-4. dp*mK**2) \&
37
38
              & *\cos(\text{thetaEta}) - 2. _dp*Sqrt(2._dp)*mK**2*Sin(\text{thetaEta}))
            DRdP = - Conjg(DLdP)
39
            \begin{array}{l} DLuP = 1. \ \underline{dp}/(4. \ \underline{dp}*Sqrt(3. \ \underline{dp}))*mPi**2*(Cos(thetaEta))\\ \& -Sqrt(2. \ \underline{dp})*Sin(thetaEta)) \end{array}
                                                                                          &
40
41
        DRuP = -Conjg(DLuP)
Else If(i1.eq.3) Then !3:Eta'
42
43
           m\!P\,=\,mEtap
44
           45
46
47
48
            DRdP = - Conjg(DLdP)
            \begin{array}{l} DLuP = 1. \ \underline{dp/(4. \ dp*Sqrt(3. \ \underline{dp}))*mPi**2*(Sin(thetaEta))} \\ \& \ Sqrt(2. \ \underline{dp})*Cos(thetaEta)) \end{array}
49
                                                                                          &
50
            DRuP = - Conjg(DLuP)
51
        End If
52
53
54
    !Leptons:
55
    !1:e
    ! 2 : mu
56
57
    Do i2 = 1,2
    If (i2.eq.1) Then
                                      ! tau \rightarrow e P
58
59
     out = 1
    Elseif (i2.eq.2) Then
60
                                      ! tau \rightarrow mu P
     out = 2
61
    End if
62
63
    ! d-quark coefficients
64
65
    BSLL = OllddSLL(3, out, 1, 1)
66
67
    BSLR = OllddSLR(3, out, 1, 1)
    BSRL = OllddSRL(3, out, 1, 1)
68
    BSRR = OllddSRR(3, out, 1, 1)
69
    BVLL = OllddVLL(3, out, 1, 1)
70
    BVLR = OllddVLR(3, out, 1, 1)
71
    BVRL = OllddVRL(3, out, 1, 1)
72
73
    BVRR = OllddVRR(3, out, 1, 1)
74
    ! u-quark coefficients
75
76
    CSLL = OlluuSLL(3, out, 1, 1)
77
```

CSLR = OlluuSLR(3, out, 1, 1)7879CSRL = OlluuSRL(3, out, 1, 1)CSRR = OlluuSRR(3, out, 1, 1)80 CVLL = OlluuVLL(3, out, 1, 1)81 82CVLR = OlluuVLR(3, out, 1, 1)CVRL = OlluuVRL(3, out, 1, 1)83 CVRR = OlluuVRR(3, out, 1, 1)84 85 ! aP, bP scalar 86 87 aP(1) = Fpi/2. dp*(DLdP/mf d(1)*(BSLL+BSRL) + DRdP/mf d(1)*(BSLR+BSRR) & $\& + D\overline{L}uP/mf_u(1) * (CSLL+CSRL) + DRuP/mf_u(1) * (CSLR+CSRR))$ 88 $bP(1) = Fpi/2._dp*(DLdP/mf_d(1)*(BSRL-BSLL) + DRdP/mf_d(1)*(BSRR-BSLR) \&$ 89 & + DLuP/mf u(1) * (CSRL-CSLL) + DRuP/mf u(1) * (CSRR-CSLR))90 91 ! aP, bP vector 92 $aP(2) = Fpi/4._dp*CP*(mf_l(3)-mf_l(out))*(-BVLL+BVLR-BVRL+BVRR+$ 93 & & CVLL-CVLR+CVRL-CVRR) 9495& & CVLL-CVLR-CVRL+CVRR) 96 97 98 ! averaged squared amplitude $meson_abs_T2=0._dp$ 99 100 Do k1 = 1,2101Do $k_{2}=1,2$ $\operatorname{cont} = 2. \operatorname{dp*mf}(\operatorname{out}) * \operatorname{mf}(3) * (\operatorname{aP}(k1) * \operatorname{conjg}(\operatorname{aP}(k2)))$ & 102 $\& -bP(k1) \times conjg(bP(k2))) +$ 103 & 104 & (mf l(3) **2 + mf l(out) **2 - mP**2) *(aP(k1) * conjg(aP(k2)) +& & $bP(\overline{k1}) * conjg(b\overline{P}(\overline{k2})))$ 105106 $meson_abs_T2\!\!=\!\!meson_abs_T2\!\!+\!cont$ 107End Do 108End Do $meson_abs_T2 = meson_abs_T2 / (2._dp*mf_l(3))$ 109 110 111! branching ratio $factor=oo4pi*Sqrt(lamb(mf_l(3)**2,mf_l(out)**2,mP**2))$ & 112& $/(mf_1(3) * *2 * GammaTau) *0.5_dp$ 113 114 $BR = factor * meson_abs_T2$ 115Ιf (i1.eq.1) Then !pi If (i2.eq.1) Then 116 BrTautoEPi = BR117118Else BrTautoMuPi = BR119 End If 120 Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then !eta 121If (i2.eq.1) Then 122BrTautoEEta = BR123 124Else BrTautoMuEta = BR125126End If Else !eta ' 127 If (i2.eq.1) Then 128 BrTautoEEtap = BR129130 Else BrTautoMuEtap = BR131 132End If End if 133134 135End Do End Do 136137Contains 138 139140Real(dp) Function lamb(x, y, z)Real(dp), Intent(in)::x,y,z 141 142lamb=(x+y-z)**2-4. dp*x*y End Function lamb 143

C.1.5: $h \to \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}$

The decay width is given by [96]

$$\Gamma\left(h \to \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}\right) \equiv \Gamma\left(h \to \ell_{\alpha}\bar{\ell}_{\beta}\right) + \Gamma\left(h \to \bar{\ell}_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}\right) = (C.43)$$

$$\frac{1}{16\pi m_{h}} \left[\left(1 - \left(\frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}}}{m_{h}}\right)^{2}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}}}{m_{h}}\right)^{2}\right) \right]^{1/2} \times \left[\left(m_{h}^{2} - m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{2} - m_{\ell_{\beta}}^{2}\right) \left(|S_{L}|^{2} + |S_{R}|^{2}\right)_{\alpha\beta} - 4m_{\ell_{\alpha}}m_{\ell_{\beta}}\operatorname{Re}(S_{L}S_{R}^{*})_{\alpha\beta} \right] + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$$

Listing 26 hLLp.m

Listing 27 hLLp.f90

```
Real(dp) :: width1, width2, width, mh, gamh, kinfactor Complex(dp) :: SL1, SR1, SL2, SR2
1
\mathbf{2}
    Integer :: i1, gt1, gt2, hLoc
3
4
 \mathbf{5}
    ! h \rightarrow 1 1'
 6
\overline{7}
      Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
    1
      Based on E. Arganda et al, PRD 71 (2005) 035011 [hep-ph/0407302]
8
    9
    1
10
    !! NEXT LINE HAVE TO BE PARSED BY SARAH
11
    ! Checking if there are several generations of Scalars and what is the SM-like doublet
12
    @ If [getGen [HiggsBoson] >1, "hLoc = \hookrightarrow
13
         \leftarrow \text{MaxLoc}(\text{Abs}("<>\text{ToString}[\text{HiggsMixingMatrix}]<>"(2,:)),1)", "hLoc = 1"]
14
    @ "mh = "<>ToString [SPhenoMass [HiggsBoson]]<>If [getGen [HiggsBoson]>1, "(hLoc)", ""]
15
16
    @ "gamh =" ToString [SPhenoWidth [HiggsBoson]] <> If [getGen [HiggsBoson] >1, "(hLoc)", ""]
17
18
    If (.not.L_BR) gamh = 4.5E-3_dp ! Decays not calculated; using SM value
19
20
    Do i1=1,3
21
22
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
23
                                    ! h \rightarrow e mu
    gt1\ =\ 1
24
25
     gt2 = 2
26
    Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
                                    ! h -\!\!> e tau
    gt1 = 1
27
28
     gt2 = 3
29
    Else
                                    ! h \rightarrow mu tau
    gt1 = 2
30
     \mathrm{gt} 2 \ = \ 3
31
32
    End if
33
    ! width = Gamma(h \rightarrow bar\{11\} 12) + Gamma(h \rightarrow 11 bar\{12\})
34
35
    SL1 = OH2lSL(gt1, gt2, hLoc)
36
    SR1 = OH2lSR(gt1, gt2, hLoc)
37
    SL2 = OH2lSL(gt2,gt1,hLoc)
38
```

```
SR2 = OH2lSR(gt2,gt1,hLoc)
39
40
    kinfactor = (1 - (mf l(gt1) + mf l(gt2)/mh) * 2) * \&
41
             & (1 - (mf_l(gt1) - mf_l(gt2)/mh) * *2)
42
43
    width1 = (mh*2-mf l(gt1)*2-mf l(gt2)*2)*(Abs(SL1)*2+Abs(SR1)*2) \&
44
     \begin{array}{l} \& = 4 \ dp*mf_l(gt1)*mf_l(gt2)*Real(SL1*Conjg(SR1),dp) \\ width2 = (mh**2-mf_l(gt1)**2-mf_l(gt2)**2)*(Abs(SL2)**2+Abs(SR2)**2) \\ \& \end{array} 
45
46
          & - 4. dp*mf \overline{l}(gt1)*mf l(gt2)*Real(SL2*Conjg(SR2),dp)
47
48
    ! decay width
49
    width = oo16pi/mh * sqrt(kinfactor) * (width1+width2)
50
51
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
52
    BrhtoMuE = width/(width+gamh)
Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
53
54
    BrhtoTauE = width/(width+gamh)
55
56
    Else
    BrhtoTauMu = width/(width+gamh)
57
    End if
58
59
    End do
60
```

C.1.6: $Z \to \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}$

The decay width is given by [97]

$$\Gamma\left(Z \to \ell_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}\right) \equiv \Gamma\left(Z \to \ell_{\alpha}\bar{\ell}_{\beta}\right) + \Gamma\left(Z \to \bar{\ell}_{\alpha}\ell_{\beta}\right) =$$

$$\frac{m_Z}{48\pi} \left[2\left(|R_1^L|^2 + |R_1^R|^2\right) + \frac{m_Z^2}{4}\left(|R_2^L|^2 + |R_2^R|^2\right)\right],$$
(C.44)

where the charged lepton masses have been neglected.

Listing 28 ZLLp.m

Listing 29 ZLLp.f90

```
1
    Real(dp) :: width
    Integer :: i1, gt1, gt2
2
3
4
    ! Z -> 1 1'
5
    ! Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
6
      Based on X. -J. Bi et al, PRD 63 (2001) 096008 [hep-ph/0010270]
 7
    1
8
    1
9
    Do i1=1,3
10
11
    If ({\rm il.eq.1}) Then
                                    ! \ Z \rightarrow e \ mu
12
13
    \mathrm{gt1}~=~1
14
     \mathrm{gt} 2 \ = \ 2
    Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
                                    !Z \rightarrow e tau
15
16
    gt1 = 1
17
     gt2\ =\ 3
    Else
                                    ! Z \rightarrow mu tau
18
    gt1 = 2
19
```

44

```
gt2 = 3
20
21
    End if
22
    ! decay width
23
    width = oo48pi*(2*(Abs(OZ2lVL(gt1,gt2))**2 +
24
                                                                               &
                               Abs(OZ2IVR(gt1,gt2))**2)*mZ
                                                                               &
25
      &
       \begin{array}{l} & \& + (Abs(OZ2lSL(gt1,gt2)) **2 + Abs(OZ2lSR(gt1,gt2)) **2) \\ & \& * mZ * mZ2 * 0.25_dp) \end{array} 
26
27
28
29
    If (i1.eq.1) Then
    BrZtoMuE = width/(width+gamZ)
Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then
30
31
32
    BrZtoTauE = width/(width+gamZ)
    Else
33
    BrZtoTauMu = width/(width+gamZ)
34
35
    End if
36
37
    End do
```

C.2: Quark flavor observables

QFV has been observed and its description in the SM due to the CKM matrix is well established. However, the large majority of BSM models causes additional contributions which have to be studied carefully, see for instance Refs. [98–122].

We give also here a description of the implementation of the different observables using the operators present in the SPheno output of SARAH.

C.2.1:
$$B_{s,d}^0 \to \ell^+ \ell^-$$

Our analytical results for $B_{s,d}^0 \to \ell^+ \ell^-$ follow [103]. The $B^0 \equiv B_{s,d}^0$ decay width to a pair of charged leptons can be written as

$$\Gamma\left(B^{0} \to \ell_{\alpha}^{+} \ell_{\beta}^{-}\right) = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\ell\ell}|^{2}}{16\pi M_{B}} \left[\left(1 - \left(\frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}}}{m_{B}}\right)^{2}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}}}{m_{B}}\right)^{2}\right) \right]^{1/2}.$$
(C.45)

Here

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\ell\ell}|^{2} &= 2|F_{S}|^{2} \left[m_{B}^{2} - \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} \right] + 2|F_{P}|^{2} \left[m_{B}^{2} - \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &+ 2|F_{V}|^{2} \left[m_{B}^{2} \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} - \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{2} - m_{\ell_{\beta}}^{2} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &+ 2|F_{A}|^{2} \left[m_{B}^{2} \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} - \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^{2} - m_{\ell_{\beta}}^{2} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &+ 4 \operatorname{Re}(F_{S}F_{V}^{*}) \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right) \left[m_{B}^{2} + \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} \right] \\ &+ 4 \operatorname{Re}(F_{P}F_{A}^{*}) \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right) \left[m_{B}^{2} - \left(m_{\ell_{\alpha}} - m_{\ell_{\beta}} \right)^{2} \right], \end{aligned}$$
(C.46)

and the F_X coefficients are defined in terms of our Wilson coefficients as¹²

$$F_S = \frac{i}{4} \frac{m_B^2 f_B}{m_d + m_{d'}} \left(E_{LL}^S + E_{LR}^S - E_{RR}^S - E_{RL}^S \right)$$
(C.47)

$$F_P = \frac{i}{4} \frac{m_B^2 f_B}{m_d + m_{d'}} \left(-E_{LL}^S + E_{LR}^S - E_{RR}^S + E_{RL}^S \right)$$
(C.48)

$$F_{V} = -\frac{i}{4} f_{B} \left(E_{LL}^{V} + E_{LR}^{V} - E_{RR}^{V} - E_{RL}^{V} \right)$$
(C.49)

$$F_A = -\frac{i}{4} f_B \left(-E_{LL}^V + E_{LR}^V - E_{RR}^V + E_{RL}^V \right) , \qquad (C.50)$$

¹²Notice that our effective Lagrangian differs from the one in [103] by a $1/(4\pi)^2$ factor. This relative factor has been absorbed in the expression for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\ell\ell}$, see Eq.(C.46).

Listing 30 B0ll.m

1	NameProcess = "B0toLL";
2	NameObservables = {{BrB0dEE, 4000, "BR(B^0_d->e e)"},
3	{ratioB0dEE, 4001, "BR(\overline{B}^{0} _d->e e)/BR(B^{0} _d->e e)_SM"},
4	$\{BrB0sEE, 4002, "BR(B^0_s - e e)"\},$
5	${\rm ratioB0sEE}, 4003, "BR(B^0_s -> e e)/BR(B^0_s -> e e)_SM"$
6	${BrB0dMuMu, 4004, "BR(B^0_d \rightarrow mu mu)"},$
7	${\rm ratioB0dMuMu}, 4005, "BR(B^0_d=>mumu)/BR(B^0_d=>mumu)_SM"$
8	${BrB0sMuMu, 4006, "BR(B^0_s - mu mu)"},$
9	${\rm ratioB0sMuMu}, 4007, "BR(B^0_s -> mu mu)/BR(B^0_s -> mu mu)_SM" },$
10	${BrBodTauTau, 4008, "BR(B^0_d->tau tau)"},$
11	$ \{ \texttt{ratioB0dTauTau} , \ 4009, \ \texttt{"BR}(\texttt{B}^0_\texttt{d}=\texttt{tau} \ \texttt{tau}) / \texttt{BR}(\texttt{B}^0_\texttt{d}=\texttt{tau} \ \texttt{tau}) _ \texttt{SM"} \}, $
12	$\{BrBosTauTau, 4010, "BR(B^0_s-tau tau)"\},\$
13	{ratioB0sTauTau, 4011, "BR(B^0_s ->tau tau)/BR(B^0_s ->tau \hookrightarrow
	$\leftrightarrow tau)_SM"\}$;
14	
15	
16	NeededOperators = {OddllSLL, OddllSRR, OddllSRL, OddllSLR,
17	OddllVRR, OddllVLL, OddllVRL, OddllVLR,
18	OddllSLLSM, OddllSRRSM, OddllSRLSM, OddllSLRSM,
19	OddllVRRSM, OddllVLLSM, OddllVRLSM, OddllVLRSM};
20	
21	Body = "B011.f90";

Listing 31 Boll.f90

```
Real(dp) \ :: \ AmpSquared\,, AmpSquared2\,, \ AmpSquared\_SM, \ AmpSquared2\_SM, \ \&
1
    & width SM, width
Real(dp) :: MassB0s, MassB0d, fBs, fBd, TauB0s, TauB0d
\mathbf{2}
 3
    Real(dp) :: hbar=6.58211899E-25_dp
4
    Real(dp) :: MassB0, MassB02, fB0, GammaB0
\mathbf{5}
    6
 \overline{7}
8
9
10
    ! B0 -> 1 1
11
      Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
Based on A. Dedes et al, PRD 79 (2009) 055006 [arXiv:0812.4320]
12
    13
14
15
    ! Using global hadronic data
16
    fBd\ =\ f\_B0d\_CONST
17
    fBs = fB0sCONST
18
    TauB0d = tau_B0d
19
20
    TauB0s = tau_B0s
    MassB0d = mass_B0d
21
    MassB0s = massB0s
22
23
24
    Do i1=1,6
    gt1 = 3
25
    If (i1.eq.1) Then ! Bod \rightarrow e+e-
26
27
      MassB0 = MassB0d
      MassB02\ =\ MassB0d**2
28
      \mathrm{fB0}~=~\mathrm{fBd}
29
      GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0d)
30
31
      gt2 = 1
      \mathrm{gt3}~=~1
32
      \mathrm{gt4}~=~1
33
    Else if (i1.eq.2) Then ! BOs \rightarrow e+ e-
34
      MassB0 = MassB0s
35
      MassB02 = MassB0s**2
36
```

```
fB0 = fBs
 37
        GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0s)
 38
 39
        gt2 = 2
        \mathrm{gt3}~=~1
 40
 41
         gt4 = 1
      Else if (i1.eq.3) Then ! BOd \rightarrow mu+ mu-
 42
        MassB0 = MassB0d
 43
        MassB02\ =\ MassB0d**2
 44
        \mathrm{fB0}~=~\mathrm{fBd}
 45
 46
        GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0d)
        \mathrm{gt}2~=~1
 47
        gt3\ =\ 2
 48
 49
         gt4 = 2
      Else if (i1.eq.4) Then ! BOs \rightarrow mu+ mu-
 50
        MassB0\ =\ MassB0s
 51
 52
        MassB02\ =\ MassB0s**2
        \mathrm{fB0}~=~\mathrm{fBs}
 53
        GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0s)
 54
 55
        gt2 = 2
        gt3 = 2
 56
         gt4 = 2
 57
      Else if (i1.eq.5) Then ! BOd -> tau+ tau-
 58
 59
        {\rm MassB0}~=~{\rm MassB0d}
 60
        MassB02 = MassB0d **2
        \mathrm{fB0}~=~\mathrm{fBd}
 61
 62
        GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0d)
 63
        gt2 = 1
        \mathrm{gt3}~=~3
 64
 65
         gt4 = 3
      Else if (i1.eq.6) Then ! B0s \rightarrow tau+ tau-
 66
        MassB0 = MassB0s
 67
        MassB02 = MassB0s**2
 68
        fB0 = fBs
 69
        GammaB0 = (hbar)/(TauB0s)
 70
 71
        gt2 = 2
        \mathrm{gt3}~=~3
 72
        gt4 = 3
 73
 74
     End if
 75
 76
     ! BSM contributions
 77
     CS(1) = OddllSRR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
 78
     CS(2) = OddllSRL(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
 79
 80
     CS(3) = OddllSLL(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
     CS(4) = OddllSLR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
 81
 82
     CV(1) = OddllVLL(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
 83
     \mathrm{CV}(2) = \mathrm{OddllVLR}(\mathrm{gt1}, \mathrm{gt2}, \mathrm{gt3}, \mathrm{gt4})
 84
 85
     CV(3) = OddllVRR(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
     CV(4) = OddllVRL(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
 86
 87
      \begin{array}{l} FS= \ 0.25\_dp*MassB02*fB0/(MFd(gt1)+MFd(gt2))*(-CS(1)+CS(2)-CS(3)-CS(4))\\ FP= \ 0.25\_dp*MassB02*fB0/(MFd(gt1)+MFd(gt2))*(-CS(1)+CS(2)-CS(3)+CS(4))\\ \end{array} 
 88
 89
     FV = -0.25 dp * fB0 * (CV(1)+CV(2)-CV(3)-CV(4))
 90
     FA = -0.25 dp * fB0 * (-CV(1) + CV(2) - CV(3) + CV(4))
 91
 92
     93
 94
 95
                       & - (mf_l2(gt4)-mf_l2(gt\overline{3}))**2) &
 96
            \& + 2 * abs(FA) * 2 * (MassB02*(mf_l(gt4)+mf_l(gt3))*2 - \&
 97
             \begin{array}{c} \& (mf_{1}2(gt4) - mf_{1}2(gt3)) * 2) \& \\ \& + 4 *REAL(FS*conjg(FV)) * (mf_{1}(gt3) - mf_{1}(gt4)) * (MassB02 \& \\ \& + (mf_{1}(gt3) + mf_{1}(gt4)) * 2) \& \\ \end{array} 
 98
 99
100
             \begin{array}{c} \& + 4 & *\text{REAL}(FP*conjg}(FA)) & *(mf_l(gt3)+mf_l(gt4)) & *(\text{MassB02 \&} \\ \& - & (mf_l(gt3)-mf_l(gt4)) & **2) \end{array} 
101
102
103
     width~=~oo16pi~*~AmpSquared~/~MassB0~*~\&
104
           & sqrt(1-((mf_l(gt4)+mf_l(gt3))/MassB0)**2) &
105
```

```
\& * \operatorname{sqrt}(1 - ((\operatorname{mf_l(gt4)} - \operatorname{mf_l(gt3)})) / \operatorname{MassB0}) * * 2) * (\operatorname{Alpha} / \operatorname{Alpha} - 160) * * 4
106
107
108
      ! SM contributions
109
110
     CS(1) = OddllSRRSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
111
     CS(2) = OddllSRLSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4) 
CS(3) = OddllSLLSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
112
113
     CS(4) = OddllSLRSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
114
115
     CV(1) = OddllVLLSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
116
117
     \mathrm{CV}(2) = \mathrm{OddllVLRSM}(\mathrm{gt1}, \mathrm{gt2}, \mathrm{gt3}, \mathrm{gt4})
     CV(3) = OddllVRRSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
118
     CV(4) = OddllVRLSM(gt1, gt2, gt3, gt4)
119
120
121
     \mathrm{FS}=\ 0.25\ \mathrm{dp*MassB02*fB0}\ /\ (\mathrm{MFd}(\,\mathrm{gt1}\,)+\mathrm{MFd}(\,\mathrm{gt2}\,)) * (\ \mathrm{CS}\,(1)+\mathrm{CS}\,(2)-\mathrm{CS}\,(3)-\mathrm{CS}\,(4)\,)
     FP=0.25 dp*MassB02*fB0/(MFd(gt1)+MFd(gt2))*(-CS(1)+CS(2)-CS(3)+CS(4))
122
     FV = -0.25 dp * fB0 * (CV(1)+CV(2)-CV(3)-CV(4))
123
     FA = -0.25 dp * fB0 * (-CV(1)+CV(2)-CV(3)+CV(4))
124
125
     126
127
128
            \& + 2 * abs(FV) **2 * (MassB02*(mf_l(gt4)-mf_l(gt3))**2 - \&
             \begin{array}{c} \& & (mf_{12}(gt4) - mf_{12}(gt3)) **2) \& \\ \& + 2 & *abs(FA) **2 & * (MassB02*(mf_{1}(gt4) + mf_{1}(gt3)) **2 - \& \\ \& & (mf_{12}(gt4) - mf_{12}(gt3)) **2) \& \end{array} 
129
130
131
132
            \& + 4 \ *\text{REAL}(FS*conjg(FV)) \ *(mf \ l(gt3)-mf \ l(gt4)) \ *(MassB02 \ \&
               &+ (mf l(gt3)+mf_l(gt4))**\overline{2}) &
133
            134
135
                \& - (mf_l(gt3) - mf_l(gt4)) * *2)
136
     width\_SM = oo16pi * AmpSquared / MassB0 * sqrt(1-((mf_l(gt4)+
137
                                                                                            &
            & mf l(gt3))/MassB0)**2) &
138
            \& * \operatorname{sqrt}(1-((\operatorname{mf} l(\operatorname{gt} 4)-\operatorname{mf} l(\operatorname{gt} 3)))/\operatorname{MassB0})**2)*(\operatorname{Alpha}/\operatorname{Alpha} 160)**4
139
140
141
      If (i1.Eq.1) Then
142
143
        BrB0dEE= width / GammaB0
        ratioB0dEE= width / width SM
144
145
      Else If (i1.Eq.2) Then
        BrB0sEE= width / GammaB0
146
        ratioB0sEE= width / width SM
147
148
      Else If (i1.Eq.3) Then
149
        BrB0dMuMu= width / GammaB0
        ratioB0dMuMu= width / width SM
150
      Else If (i1.Eq.4) Then
151
152
        BrB0sMuMu= width / GammaB0
        ratioB0sMuMu= width / width_SM
153
154
      Else If (i1.Eq.5) Then
        BrB0dTauTau= width / GammaB0
155
156
        ratioB0dTauTau= width / width_SM
      Else If (i1.Eq.6) Then
157
        BrB0sTauTau= width / GammaB0
158
        ratioB0sTauTau= width / width_SM
159
     End If
160
161
162
     End do
```

C.2.2: $\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma$

The branching ratio for $\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma$, with a cut $E_{\gamma} > 1.6$ GeV in the \bar{B} rest frame, can be obtained as [104, 125]

$$BR(\bar{B} \to X_s \gamma)_{E_{\gamma} > 1.6 \text{GeV}} = 10^{-4} \left[a_{SM} + a_{77} \left(|\delta C_7^{(0)}|^2 + |\delta C_7^{\prime(0)}|^2 \right) + a_{88} \left(|\delta C_8^{(0)}|^2 + |\delta C_8^{\prime(0)}|^2 \right) + Re \left(a_7 \, \delta C_7^{(0)} + a_8 \, \delta C_8^{(0)} + a_{78} \left(\delta C_7^{(0)} \, \delta C_8^{(0)*} + \delta C_7^{\prime(0)} \, \delta C_8^{\prime(0)*} \right) \right) \right], \quad (C.51)$$

where $a_{SM} = 3.15$ is the NNLO SM prediction [51, 126], the other *a* coefficients in Eq.(C.51) are found to be

$$a_{77} = 4.743$$

$$a_{88} = 0.789$$

$$a_7 = -7.184 + 0.612 i$$

$$a_8 = -2.225 - 0.557 i$$

$$a_{78} = 2.454 - 0.884 i$$
(C.52)

and we have defined $\delta C_i^{(0)} = C_i^{(0)} - C_i^{(0) \text{ SM}}$. Finally, the $C_i^{(0)}$ coefficients can be written in terms of $Q_{1,2}^{L,R}$ in Eqs.(A.11) and (A.12) as

$$C_7^{(0)} = n_{CQ} Q_1^R \tag{C.53}$$

$$C_7^{(0)} = n_{CQ} Q_1^L \tag{C.54}$$

$$C_8^{(0)} = n_{CQ} Q_2^{(i)} \tag{C.55}$$

$$C_8^{\prime(0)} = n_{CQ} Q_2^L \tag{C.56}$$

where $n_{CQ}^{-1} = -\frac{G_F}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2}V_{tb}V_{ts}^*$ and V is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix.

 ${\bf Listing \ 32} \ {\rm bsGamma.m}$

```
1 NameProcess = "bsGamma";
2 NameObservables = {{BrBsGamma, 200, "BR(B->X_s gamma)"},
3 {ratioBsGamma, 201, "BR(B->X_s gamma)/BR(B->X_s gamma)_SM"};
4 
5 NeededOperators = {CC7, CC7p, CC8, CC8p,
6 CC7SM, CC7pSM, CC8sM, CC8pSM};
7 
8 Body = "bsGamma.f90";
```

Listing 33 bsGamma.f90

```
1
    Integer :: gt1, gt2
    \mathbf{2}
3
 4
 \mathbf{5}
      \langle bar \{B\} \rightarrow X_s \text{ gamma } (Egamma > 1.6 \text{ GeV})
6
      Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
Based on E. Lunghi, J. Matias, JHEP 0704 (2007) 058 [hep-ph/0612166]
7
 8
9
10
    gt1=3 !b
11
    gt2=2 !s
12
13
      normalization of our Wilson coefficients
14
15
    ! relative to the ones used in \mathrm{hep-ph}/\mathrm{0612166}
    norm = -CKM_{160}(3,3) * Conjg(CKM_{160}(gt1,gt2)) * Alpha_{160}/ &
16
              & (\overline{8}, dp*Pi*sinW2) 160*mW2)
17
18
    ! Wilson coefficients
19
    delta\_C7\_0 = (CC7(gt1,gt2)-CC7SM(gt1,gt2)) / norm
20
    21
22
    delta C8p_0 = (CC8p(gt1, gt2) - CC8pSM(gt1, gt2)) / norm
23
24
    ! NNLO SM prediction
25
26
    ! as obtained in M. Misiak et al, PRL 98 (2007) 022002
    ! and M. Misiak and M. Steinhauser, NPB 764 (2007) 62
27
    NNLO SM=3.15 dp
28
29
    BrBsGamma=NNLO SM+4.743 dp*(Abs(delta C7 0)**2+Abs(delta C7p 0)**2)&
30
    \&+0.789 \text{ dp} * (\text{Abs}(\text{delta}_C\overline{8}0) * 2 + \text{Abs}(\text{delta}_C\overline{8}p 0) * 2) \&
31
```

```
32 & &+Real((-7.184_dp,0.612_dp)*delta_C7_0&

33 & &+(-2.225_dp,-0.557_dp)*delta_C8_0+(2.454_dp,-0.884_dp)*&

34 & &(delta_C7_0*conjg(delta_C8_0)+delta_C7p_0*conjg(delta_C8p_0)),dp)

35 & 

36 ! ratio BSM/SM

37 ratioBsGamma = BrBsGamma/NNLO_SM

38 & 

39 ! branching ratio

40 BrBsGamma=1E-4_dp*BrBsGamma
```

C.2.3: $\bar{B} \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$

Our results for $\bar{B} \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-$ are based on [106], expanded with the addition of prime operators contributions [127]. The branching ratios for the $\ell = e$ case can be written as

$$10^{7} \operatorname{BR}\left(\bar{B} \to X_{s}e^{+}e^{-}\right) = 2.3148 - 0.001658 \operatorname{Im}(R_{10}) + 0.0005 \operatorname{Im}(R_{10}R_{8}^{*} + R_{10}'R_{8}^{**}) + 0.0523 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}) + 0.02266 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}R_{8}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{8}^{**}) + 0.00496 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}R_{9}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{9}^{**}) + 0.00518 \operatorname{Im}(R_{8}) + 0.0261 \operatorname{Im}(R_{8}R_{9}^{*} + R_{8}'R_{9}^{**}) - 0.00621 \operatorname{Im}(R_{9}) - 0.5420 \operatorname{Re}(R_{10}) - 0.03340 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}) + 0.0153 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{10}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{10}^{**}) + 0.0673 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{8}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{8}^{**}) - 0.86916 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{9}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{9}^{**}) - 0.0135 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}) + 0.00185 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}R_{10} + R_{8}'R_{10}^{**}) - 0.09921 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}R_{9}^{*} + R_{8}'R_{9}^{**}) + 2.833 \operatorname{Re}(R_{9}) - 0.10698 \operatorname{Re}(R_{9}R_{10}^{*} + R_{9}'R_{10}^{**}) + 11.0348 \left(|R_{10}|^{2} + |R_{10}'|^{2}\right) + 0.2804 \left(|R_{7}|^{2} + |R_{7}'|^{2}\right) + 0.003763 \left(|R_{8}|^{2} + |R_{8}'|^{2}\right) + 1.527 \left(|R_{9}|^{2} + |R_{9}'|^{2}\right), \qquad (C.57)$$

whereas for the $\ell = \mu$ case one gets

$$10^{7} \operatorname{BR}\left(\bar{B} \to X_{s}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\right) = 2.1774 - 0.001658 \operatorname{Im}(R_{10}) + 0.0005 \operatorname{Im}(R_{10}R_{8}^{*} + R_{10}'R_{8}'^{*}) + 0.0534 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}) + 0.02266 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}R_{8}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{8}'^{*}) + 0.00496 \operatorname{Im}(R_{7}R_{9}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{9}'^{*}) + 0.00527 \operatorname{Im}(R_{8}) + 0.0261 \operatorname{Im}(R_{8}R_{9}^{*} + R_{8}'R_{9}'^{*}) - 0.0115 \operatorname{Im}(R_{9}) - 0.5420 \operatorname{Re}(R_{10}) + 0.0208 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}) + 0.0153 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{10}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{10}'^{*}) + 0.0648 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{8}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{8}'^{*}) - 0.8545 \operatorname{Re}(R_{7}R_{9}^{*} + R_{7}'R_{9}'^{*}) - 0.00938 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}) + 0.00185 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}R_{10} + R_{8}'R_{10}'^{*}) - 0.0981 \operatorname{Re}(R_{8}R_{9}^{*} + R_{8}'R_{9}'^{*}) + 2.6917 \operatorname{Re}(R_{9}) - 0.10698 \operatorname{Re}(R_{9}R_{10}^{*} + R_{9}'R_{10}'^{*}) + 10.7652 \left(|R_{10}|^{2} + |R_{10}'|^{2}\right) + 0.2880 \left(|R_{7}|^{2} + |R_{7}'|^{2}\right) + 0.003763 \left(|R_{8}|^{2} + |R_{8}'|^{2}\right) + 1.527 \left(|R_{9}|^{2} + |R_{9}'|^{2}\right).$$
(C.58)

Here we have defined the ratios of Wilson coefficients

$$R_{7,8} = \frac{Q_{1,2}^R}{Q_{1,2}^{R,\text{SM}}} , \qquad R'_{7,8} = \frac{Q_{1,2}^L}{Q_{1,2}^{L,\text{SM}}}$$
(C.59)

as well as

1

$$R_{9,10} = \frac{E_{LL}^V \pm E_{LR}^V}{E_{LL}^{V,\text{SM}} \pm E_{LR}^{V,\text{SM}}} \quad , \qquad R'_{9,10} = \frac{E_{RR}^V \pm E_{RL}^V}{E_{RR}^{V,\text{SM}} \pm E_{RL}^{V,\text{SM}}} \,. \tag{C.60}$$

Listing 34 BtoSLL.m

50

```
7
   NeededOperators = \{ OddllVRR, OddllVLL, OddllVRL, OddllVLR, 
                         CC7, CC7p, CC8, CC8p,
8
                         OddllVRRSM, OddllVLLSM, OddllVRLSM, OddllVLRSM,
9
                         \rm CC7SM,\ CC7pSM,\ CC8sSM,\ CC8pSM
10
11
    };
12
   Body = "BtoSLL.f90";
13
```

& c9_cee(2), c9p_cee(2), c10_cee(2), c10p_cee(2), & c9mm(2), c9pmm(2), c10mm(2), c10pmm(2), c9_cmm(2),

&

&

&

&

&

Listing 35 BtoSLL.f90

& r9(2), r9p(2), r10(2), r10p(2),

& c9ee(2), c9pee(2), c10ee(2), c10pee(2),

& $c9p_cmm(2)$, $c10_cmm(2)$, $c10p_cmm(2)$

```
1
    Complex\,(\,dp\,) \ :: \ c7\,(\,2\,) \ , \ c7p\,(\,2\,) \ , \ c8\,(\,2\,) \ , \ c8p\,(\,2\,) \ , \ r7\,, \ r7p\,, \ r8\,, \ r8p\,, \ norm\,,
2
3
 4
5
6
 7
8
9
    Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
10
    1
      Based on T. Huber et al, NPB 740 (2006) 105, [hep-ph/0512066]
11
      Prime operators added after private communication with E. Lunghi
12
13
14
    ! Wilson coefficients
15
16
    c7\,(\,1\,)\ =\ CC7\,(\,3\,\,,2\,)
17
18
    c7(2) = CC7SM(3,2)
    c7p(1) = CC7p(3,2)
19
    c7p(2) = CC7pSM(3,2)
20
21
22
    c8(1) = CC8(3,2)
    c8(2) = CC8SM(3,2)
23
    c8p(1) = CC8p(3,2)
24
    c8p(2) = CC8pSM(3,2)
25
26
    c9ee(1) = OddllVLL(3, 2, 1, 1) + OddllVLR(3, 2, 1, 1)
27
28
    c9ee(2) = (OddllVLLSM(3,2,1,1)+OddllVLRSM(3,2,1,1))
    29
30
    c9pee(1) = OddllVRR(3,2,1,1) + OddllVRL(3,2,1,1)
31
    c9pee(2) = (OddllVRRSM(3,2,1,1)+OddllVRLSM(3,2,1,1))
32
    c9pmm(1) = OddllVRR(3,2,2,2) + OddllVRL(3,2,2,2)
33
    c9pmm(2) = (OddllVRRSM(3,2,2,2)+OddllVRLSM(3,2,2,2))
34
35
36
    c10ee(1) = OddllVLL(3, 2, 1, 1) - OddllVLR(3, 2, 1, 1)
    c10ee(2) = (OddllVLLSM(3,2,1,1)) - OddllVLRSM(3,2,1,1)) 
c10mm(1) = OddllVLL(3,2,2,2) - OddllVLR(3,2,2,2)
37
38
    c10mm(2) = (OddllVLLSM(3,2,2,2) - OddllVLRSM(3,2,2,2))
39
    c10 pee(1) = OddllVRR(3,2,1,1) - OddllVRL(3,2,1,1)
40
    c10pee(2) = (OddllVRRSM(3,2,1,1)-OddllVRLSM(3,2,1,1))
41
    c10pmm(1) = OddllVRR(3,2,2,2) - OddllVRL(3,2,2,2)
42
    c10pmm(2) = (OddllVRRSM(3,2,2,2)) - OddllVRLSM(3,2,2,2))
43
44
    ! ratios
45
46
    r7 = c7(1) / c7(2)
47
    \begin{array}{rrr} r7p &= c7p(1) & / c7(2) \\ r8 &= c8(1) & / c8(2) \\ r8p &= c8p(1) & / c8(2) \end{array}
48
49
50
51
    r9(1) = c9ee(1)/c9ee(2)
52
53
    r9(2) = c9mm(1)/c9mm(2)
    r9p(1) = c9pee(1)/c9ee(2)
r9p(2) = c9pmm(1)/c9mm(2)
54
55
56
```

57

r10(1) = c10ee(1)/c10ee(2)

58 | r10(2) = c10mm(1)/c10mm(2)

```
59
          r10p(1) = c10pee(1)/c10ee(2)
          r10p(2) = c10pmm(1)/c10mm(2)
 60
 61
          BrBtoSEE = (2.3148_dp - 1.658e - 3_dp * Aimag(R10(1)))
 62
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
            \& + 5.e - 4_d p * Aimag(r10(1)*Conjg(r8) + r10p(1)*Conjg(r8p))
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 63
            64
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 65
 66
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 67
             \begin{array}{l} \& - \ 6.21e - 3 \ dp \ * \ Aimag(r9(1)) \ - \ 0.5420 \ dp \ * \ Real(\ r10(1), \ dp) \\ \& - \ 3.340e - 2 \ dp \ * \ Real(r7, dp) \ - \ 1.35e - 2 \ dp \ * \ Real(r8, dp) \\ \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 68
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 69
             &+ 1.53e - 2 dp * Real(r7*Conjg(r10(1)) + r7p*Conjg(r10p(1)), dp ) 
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 70
             \begin{array}{l} \& + \ 6.73e - 2 \\ dp \ * \ Real(r7 \ * \ Conjg(r8) \ + \ r7p \ * \ Conjg(r8p) \ , \ dp \ ) \\ \& - \ 0.86916 \\ dp \ * \ Real(r7 \ast Conjg(r9(1)) \ + \ r7p \ast Conjg(r9p(1)) \ , \ dp \ ) \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 71
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 72
             + 1.85e-3 dp * Real(r8*Conjg(r10(1)) + r8p*Conjg(r10p(1)), dp ) 
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 73
 74
            \& - 9.921e-2_dp * Real(r8*Conjg(r9(1)) + r8p*Conjg(r9p(1)), dp)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
             \begin{array}{l} \& + 2.833\_dp \ast \ \ {\rm Real}(r9\,(1)\,,dp) \ + \ 0.2804\_dp \ \ast \ ({\rm Abs}(r7)\,\ast\ast2 \ + \ {\rm Abs}(r7p) \\ \& - \ 0.10698\_dp \ \ast \ \ {\rm Real}(\ r9\,(1) \ \ast \ {\rm Conjg}(r10\,(1)) \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                 *2)&
 75
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 76
                                                                + r9p(1) * Conjg(r10p(1)), dp)
 77
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
            &
            \& + 11.0348 \text{ dp} * (Abs(r10(1)) * 2 + Abs(r10p(1)) * 2)
 78
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
            \& + 1.527 d\overline{p} * (Abs(r9(1)) **2 + Abs(r9p(1)) **2)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 79
            \& + 3.763 e - 3 dp * (Abs(r8)**2 + Abs(r8p)**2))
 80
 81
                ! ratio BR(B \rightarrow Xs mu + mu -)/BR(B \rightarrow Xs e + e -)_SM
 82
                ratioBtoSee = BrBtoSEE / 16.5529 dp
 83
 84
 85
                ! branching ratio B -> Xs e+ e-
               BrBtoSEE = BrBtoSEE* 1.e-7 dp
 86
 87
 88
          BrBtoSMuMu = (2.1774_dp - 1.658e - 3_dp * Aimag(R10(2)))
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
               \& + 5.e - 4_dp * \operatorname{Aimag}(r10(2) * \operatorname{Conjg}(r8) + r10p(2) * \operatorname{Conjg}(r8p))
 89
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
               \& + 5.34e - 2 dp * Aimag(r7) + 5.27e - 3 dp * Aimag(r8)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 90
               &+ 2.266e - 2_dp * Aimag(r7 * Conjg(r8) + r7p * Conjg(r8p) )
 91
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
              &
 92
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 93
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 94
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 95
                \begin{array}{l} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & 
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 96
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 97
               \& - 0.8545 \underline{dp} * \operatorname{Real}(r7*\operatorname{Conjg}(r9(2)) + r7p*\operatorname{Conjg}(r9p(2)), dp)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 98
               \& + 1.85e - \overline{3} dp * Real(r8*Conjg(r10(2)) + r8p*Conjg(r10p(2)), dp)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
 99
               \& - 9.81 \text{e} - 2 \text{d} p * \text{Real}(r8*\text{Conjg}(r9(2)) + r8p*\text{Conjg}(r9p(2)), \text{d} p)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
100
               101
                                                                                                                                                                                       k
102
               \& - 0.10698 dp * Real( r9(2) * Conjg(r10(2))
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
                                                                   + r9p(2) * Conjg(r10p(2)), dp)
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
103
               &
               \begin{array}{l} \& + \ 10.7652 \ dp \ * \ (Abs(r10(2)) * * 2 \ + \ Abs(r10p(2)) * * 2') \\ \& + \ 1.4884 \ dp \ * \ (Abs(r9(2)) * * 2 \ + \ Abs(r9p(2)) * * 2) \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                       k.
104
105
                                                                                                                                                                                       &
               \& + 3.81 e - \overline{3} dp * (Abs(r8)**2 + Abs(r8p)**2))
106
107
                ! ratio BR(B \rightarrow Xs mu + mu -)/BR(B \rightarrow Xs mu + mu -)_SM
108
109
               ratioBtoSMuMu = BrBtoSMuMu/16.0479 \ dp
110
                ! branching ratio B -> Xs mu+ mu-
111
               BrBtoSMuMu = BrBtoSMuMu* 1.e-7 dp
112
```

C.2.4: $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$

Our results for $B^+ \to K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ are based on the expressions given in [102]. The branching ratio for $B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ in the high- q^2 region, q^2 being the dilepton invariant mass squared, can be written as

$$\operatorname{BR}\left(B^{+} \to K^{+} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)_{q^{2} \in [14.18,22] \operatorname{GeV}^{2}} \simeq 1.11 + 0.22 \left(C_{7}^{\operatorname{NP}} + C_{7}'\right) + 0.27 \left(C_{9}^{\operatorname{NP}} + C_{9}'\right) - 0.27 \left(C_{10}^{\operatorname{NP}} + C_{10}'\right) . \tag{C.61}$$

The coefficients in Eq. (C.61) can be related to the ones in our generic Lagrangian as

$$C_7^{\rm NP} = n_{CQ} \left(Q_1^R - Q_1^{R,\rm SM} \right) \tag{C.62}$$

$$C_7' = n_{CQ} Q_1^L \tag{C.63}$$

$$\sum_{V=1}^{NP} \sum_{V=1}^{NP} \left[\left(E_V + E_V \right) - \left(E_V SM + E_V SM \right) \right] \tag{C.64}$$

$$C_{9}^{\text{AL}} = n_{CQ} \left[\left(E_{LL}^{v} + E_{LR}^{v} \right) - \left(E_{LL}^{v,\text{SM}} + E_{LR}^{v,\text{SM}} \right) \right]$$
(C.64)

$$C'_9 = n_{CQ} \left(E^{\nu}_{RR} + E^{\nu}_{RL} \right) \tag{C.65}$$

$$C_{10}^{\rm NP} = n_{CQ} \left[\left(E_{LL}^V - E_{LR}^V \right) - \left(E_{LL}^{V,\rm SM} - E_{LR}^{V,\rm SM} \right) \right]$$
(C.66)

$$C_{10}' = n_{CQ} \left(E_{RR}^V - E_{RL}^V \right) \tag{C.67}$$

where the normalization factor n_{CQ} was already defined after Eq. (C.56).

Listing 36 BtoKLL.m

NameProcess = "BtoKLL";1 $\mathbf{2}$ NameObservables = {{BrBtoKmumu, 6000, "BR(B \rightarrow K mu mu)"} {ratioBtoKmumu, 6001, "BR(B \rightarrow K mu mu)/BR(B \rightarrow K mu mu)_SM"}}; 3 4 NeededOperators = {OddllVRR, OddllVLL, OddllVRL, OddllVLR, CC7, CC7p $\mathbf{5}$ OddllVRRSM, OddllVLLSM, OddllVRLSM, OddllVLRSM, CC7SM, CC7pSM 6 7 }; 8 Body = "BtoKLL.f90";9

Listing 37 BtoKLL.f90

```
Complex\,(\,dp\,) \ :: \ c7NP\,, \ c7p\,, \ c9NP\,, \ c9p\,, \ c10NP\,, \ c10p\,, \ norm
1
\mathbf{2}
   Real(dp) :: GF
3
4
   ! B^+ -> K^+ l+ l- (14.18 GeV^2 < q^2 < 22 GeV^2) 
! Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
\mathbf{5}
6
     Based on W. Altmannshofer, D. M. Straub, EPJ C 73 (2013) 2646
7
    ! [arXiv:1308.1501]
8
9
10
   c7NP = (CC7(3,2) - CC7SM(3,2))
11
   c7p = CC7p(3,2)
12
   c9NP = (OddllVLL(3, 2, 1, 1) + OddllVLR(3, 2, 1, 1) - \&
13
                 & (OddllVLLSM(3,2,1,1)+OddllVLRSM(3,2,1,1)))
14
    c9p = (OddllVRR(3,2,1,1)+OddllVRL(3,2,1,1))
15
   c10NP = (OddllVLL(3,2,1,1) - OddllVLR(3,2,1,1) - \&
16
   17
18
19
20
21
    ! running GF
   GF = (Alpha_{160}*4. _dp*Pi/sinW2_{160}) / mw**2*sqrt2 / 8. _dp
22
23
    ! normalization of our Wilson coefficients
24
    ! relative to the ones used in arXiv:1308.1501
25
   norm = - oo16pi2*4. dp*GF/sqrt2*CKM_{160}(3,3)*Conjg(CKM_{160}(3,2))
26
27
    ! Branching ratio in the high-q^2 region
28
    ! q^2 in [14.18,22] GeV<sup>2</sup>
29
   BrBtoKmumu = (1.11_dp + 0.22_dp*(c7Np+c7p)/norm + \&
30
       & 0.27 dp*(c9NP+c9p)/norm - 0.27 dp*(c10NP+c10p)/norm)
31
32
    ! ratio relative to SM
33
34
   ratioBtoKmumu = BrBtoKmumu/1.11 dp
35
    ! total BR
36
37
   BrBtoKmumu = BrBtoKmumu*1.0E-7 dp
```

The branching ratio for $\bar{B} \to X_q \nu \bar{\nu}$, with q = d, s, is given by [105]

$$BR(\bar{B} \to X_q \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi^2 \sin^4 \theta_W} \frac{|V_{tb} V_{tq}^*|^2}{|V_{cb}|^2} \frac{BR(\bar{B} \to X_c e \bar{\nu}_e) \kappa(0)}{f(\hat{m}_c) \kappa(\hat{m}_c)} \times \sum_f \left[\left(|c_L|^2 + |c_R|^2 \right) f(\hat{m}_q) - 4 \operatorname{Re}\left(c_L c_R^* \right) \hat{m}_q \tilde{f}(\hat{m}_q) \right].$$
(C.68)

The sum runs over the three neutrinos and $\hat{m}_i \equiv m_i/m_b$. The functions $f(\hat{m}_c)$ and $\kappa(\hat{m}_c)$ represent the phase-space and the 1-loop QCD corrections, respectively. In case of $\kappa(\hat{m}_c)$, one needs the numerical values $\kappa(0) = 0.83$ and $\kappa(\hat{m}_c) = 0.88$. The functions f(x) and $\tilde{f}(x)$ take the form

$$f(x) = 1 - 8x^{2} + 8x^{6} - x^{8} - 24x^{4}\log x$$
(C.69)

$$\tilde{f}(x) = 1 + 9x^2 - 9x^4 - x^6 + 12x^2(1+x^2)\log x.$$
(C.70)

Finally, BR $(\bar{B} \to X_c e \bar{\nu}_e)_{exp} = 0.101$ [128] and the coefficients c_L and c_R are given by

$$c_L = n_{BX\nu\nu}^q F_{LL}^V \tag{C.71}$$

$$e_R = n_{BX\nu\nu}^q F_{RL}^V \,, \tag{C.72}$$

where $(n_{BX\nu\nu}^q)^{-1} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} V_{tb}^* V_{tq}$ is the relative factor between our Wilson coefficients and the ones in [105].

Listing 38 BtoQnunu.m

NameProcess = "BtoQnunu";1 $\begin{array}{l} {\{ BrBtoSnunu, 7000, "BR(B->s nu nu)" \}, \\ {ratioBtoSnunu, 7001, "BR(B->s nu nu)/BR(B->s nu nu)_SM" \}, \end{array}$ NameObservables =2 3 {BrBtoDnunu, 7002, "BR(B->D nu nu)"}, 4 {ratioBtoDnunu, 7003, "BR(B->D nu nu)/BR(B->D nu nu)_SM"}}; $\mathbf{5}$ 6 $NeededOperators = \{ OddvvVRR, OddvvVLL, OddvvVRL, OddvvVLR, OddvvVR, OddvvR, OddvvVR, OddvvVR, OddvvVR, OddvvVR, Odd$ $\overline{7}$ OddvvVRRSM, OddvvVLLSM, OddvvVRLSM, OddvvVLRSM}; 8 9 10 Body = "BtoQnunu.f90";

Listing 39 BtoQnunu.f90

```
Complex(dp) :: cL, cR, br, br_SM, cL_SM, cR_SM, norm
 1
       Real(dp) :: f_mq, tf_mq, kappa_0, kappa_c, f_mc, BrBXeNu, sw2, mq
Real(dp) :: prefactor, factor1, factor2, GF
 \mathbf{2}
 3
 4
       Integer :: out, i1, i2
 \mathbf{5}
 6
            \langle bar\{B\} \rightarrow X_{d,s}  nu nu
 7
 8
            Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
       Based on C. Bobeth et al, NPB 630 (2002) 87 [hep-ph/0112305]
 9
10
11
       kappa_0 = 0.830 dp
12
        \begin{array}{l} \text{kappa}_{\text{c}} = 0.88 \text{ dp} \\ \text{kappa}_{\text{c}} = 0.88 \text{ dp} \\ \text{f}_{\text{mc}} = 0.53 \text{ dp} \\ \end{array} 
13
14
       \overline{BrBXeNu} = 0.101 \text{ dp} ! PDG \text{ central value}
15
16
        \begin{array}{l} sw2 \ = \ sinw2\_160 \\ GF \ = \ \left( \ Alpha\_160 \ast 4 \, . \ dp \ast Pi \, / \, sinW2\_160 \, \right) \, /mw \ast 2 \ast \, s\, q\, r\, t\, 2 \, \, / \, 8 \, . \ dp \end{array} 
17
18
19
       Do out = 1, 2
20
       If (out.eq.1) Then ! \ B \longrightarrow X_d nu nu
21
22
         mq = mf_d(1)/mf_d(3)
         \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{norm} = \operatorname{Alpha}_{160 \times 4.} \operatorname{dp*GF/sqrt2}/(2. \operatorname{dp*pi*sinw2}_{160}) \ast \& \\ \& \operatorname{Conjg}(\operatorname{CKM}_{160}(3,3) \ast \operatorname{Conjg}(\operatorname{CKM}_{160}(3,1))) \end{array}
23
24
```

54

```
Else ! B-\!\!> X_s nu nu
25
26
      mq = mf d(2)/mf d(3)
      norm = \overline{Alpha}_{160} * 4. dp*GF/sqrt2/(2. dp*pi*sinw2_160)* \&
27
                       \overline{\&} \operatorname{Conjg}(\operatorname{CKM}_{160}(3,3) * \operatorname{Conjg}(\operatorname{CKM}_{160}(3,2))) 
28
29
     End if
30
    ! f and tilde f functions
f_mq = 1._dp - 8._dp*mq**2 + 8._dp*mq**6 - &
31
32
                     mq**8 - 24. dp*mq**4* Log (mq)
33
    tf_mq = 1. dp + 9. dp*mq**2 - 9. dp*mq**4 - mq**6 + & \\ & 12. dp*mq**2*(1. dp + mq**2)*Log(mq)
34
35
36
    prefactor = Alpha_mz**2/(4._dp*pi**2*sw2**2)*Abs(CKM_160(3,3)/ & & CKM_160(2,3))**2*BrBXeNu/(f_mc*kappa_c)*kappa_0
37
38
     factor1\ =\ f\_mq
39
40
     factor2 = -4. dp*mq*tf_mq
41
     br~=~0.\_dp
42
     br_SM = 0._dp
43
44
      \begin{array}{cccc} Do & i\,1=& 1\,,3 \\ Do & i\,2 \;=\; 1\,,3 \end{array}
45
46
47
         ! BSM
48
         cL = OddvvVLL(3, out, i1, i2) / norm
49
50
         cR = OddvvVRL(3, out, i1, i2) / norm
51
         br = br + factor1*(Abs(cL)**2 + Abs(cR)**2) +
                                                                              &
                         & factor2*Real(cL*Conjg(cR),dp)
52
53
         ! SM
54
         cL\ =\ OddvvVLLSM(\,3\,,out\,\,,i1\,\,,i2\,)\,/\,norm
55
         cR = OddvvVRLSM(3, out, i1, i2)/norm
56
         br_SM = br_SM + factor 1*(Abs(cL)**2 + Abs(cR)**2) + \&
57
                     \& factor 2 * Real (cL * Conjg (cR), dp)
58
59
       End Do
60
61
      End do
62
     If (out.eq.1) Then ! B \rightarrow X d nu nu
       BrBtoDnunu = prefactor*br*Abs(CKM_160(3,1))**2
63
64
       ratioBtoDnunu~=~br/br\_SM
     Else ! B \rightarrow X_s nu nu
BrBtoSnunu = prefactor * br * Abs(CKM_160(3,2)) * *2
65
66
       ratioBtoSnunu \ = \ br/br\_SM
67
68
    End if
    End Do
69
```

C.2.6: $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$

Following [105], the branching ratios for rare Kaon decays involving neutrinos in the final state can be written as

$$\operatorname{BR}\left(K^{+} \to \pi^{+} \nu \bar{\nu}\right) = 2r_{1} r_{2} r_{K^{+}} \sum_{f} \left[\left(\operatorname{Im}\lambda_{t} X_{f}\right)^{2} + \left(\operatorname{Re}\lambda_{c} X_{NL} + \operatorname{Re}\lambda_{t} X_{f}\right)^{2} \right]$$
(C.73)

$$BR\left(K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}\right) = 2r_1 r_{K_L} \sum_f \left(Im\lambda_t X_f\right)^2, \qquad (C.74)$$

where the sums are over the three neutrino species, $X_{NL} = 9.78 \cdot 10^{-4}$ is the SM NLO charm correction [48,129], $\lambda_t = V_{ts}^* V_{td}$ and $\lambda_c = V_{cs}^* V_{cd}$, the coefficients r_1 , r_2 , r_{K^+} and r_{K_L} take the numerical values

$$r_{1} = 1.17 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$r_{2} = 0.24$$

$$r_{K^{+}} = 0.901$$

$$r_{K_{L}} = 0.944$$
(C.75)

and X_f contains the Wilson coefficients contributing to the processes, F_{LL}^V and F_{RL}^V , as

$$X_f = n_{K\pi\nu\nu} \left(F_{LL}^V + F_{RL}^V \right) \,. \tag{C.76}$$

Here $n_{K\pi\nu\nu}^{-1} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{2\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} V_{ts}^* V_{td}$.

Listing 40 KtoPInunu.m

```
NameProcess = "KtoPInunu";
           1
           2
                                                        NameObservables = {{BrKptoPipnunu, 8000, "BR(K^+ \rightarrow pi^+ nu nu)"},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \left\{ {\rm ratioKptoPipnunu}\;,\;\;8001\;,\;\;{\rm "BR}({\rm K}\hat{\ }+\ ->\ {\rm pi}\hat{\ }+\ {\rm nu}\ {\rm nu})\,/{\rm BR}({\rm K}\hat{\ }+\ ->\ {\rm pi}\hat{\ }+\ {\rm nu}\ \hookrightarrow {\rm nu})\,/{\rm BR}({\rm K}\hat{\ }+\ ->\ {\rm nu}\hat{\ }+\ {\rm nu}\ \hookrightarrow {\rm nu})\,/{\rm nu}^{-1}\,/{\rm nu
           3
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \leftrightarrow nu)_SM"},
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      \{BrKltoPinunu, 8002, "BR(K L -> pi^0 nu nu)"\},
           4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \label{eq:ratioKltoPinunu} \{ \texttt{ratioKltoPinunu} \;, \; 8003 \;, \; \texttt{"BR}(\texttt{K\_L} \to \texttt{pi} \, \texttt{^0} \, \texttt{nu'nu'}) \, / \texttt{BR}(\texttt{K\_L} \to \texttt{pi} \, \texttt{^0} \, \texttt{nu} \, \hookrightarrow \, \texttt{N} \, \texttt{S} \, 
           \mathbf{5}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   \leftrightarrow nu)_SM"}};
           6
                                                     NeededOperators = {OddvvVRR, OddvvVLL, OddvvVRL, OddvvVLR,
           \overline{7}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 OddvvVRRSM, OddvvVLLSM, OddvvVRLSM, OddvvVLRSM};
         8
           9
                                                     Body = "KtoPInunu.f90";
10
```

Listing 41 KtoPInunu.f90

```
1
2
   \operatorname{Real}(dp) :: GF
3
4
   Integer :: out, i1, i2
\mathbf{5}
6
7
   ! K -> pi nu nu
8
   ! Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
     Based on C. Bobeth et al, NPB 630 (2002) 87 [hep-ph/0112305]
9
10
11
   12
13
14
15
   r1 = 1.17E-4 dp
16
17
   r2~=~0.24\_dp
   rKp = 0.901
18
   rKl = 0.944
19
20
21
   ! SM NLO charm correction
   ! See G. Buchalla and A. Buras, NPB 412 (1994) 106 and NPB 548 (1999) 309
22
   XNL = 9.78E-4_dp
23
24
   ! out = 1 : K^+ \rightarrow pi^+ nu nu
25
26
   ! out = 2 : K_L \rightarrow pi^0 nu nu
27
28
   Do out = 1, 2
   br = 0.dp
br SM = 0.dp
29
30
    \overline{\text{Do}} il = 1,\overline{3}
31
     {\rm Do}\ i\,2\ =\ 1\ ,3
32
      Xx = ((OddvvVLL(2, 1, i1, i2)+OddvvVRL(2, 1, i1, i2))/norm)
33
      Xx_SM = ((OddvvVLLSM(2,1,i1,i2)+OddvvVRLSM(2,1,i1,i2)) / norm)
34
       Lt = Conjg(CKM_{160}(3,2)) * CKM_{160}(3,1)
35
       Lc = Conjg(CKM_{160}(2,2)) * CKM_{160}(2,1)
36
       If (out.eq.1) Then
37
         br = br + Aimag(Xx*Lt)**2 + (Real(Lc*XNL,dp) + Real(Xx*Lt,dp))**2
38
39
         br_SM = br_SM + Aimag(Xx_SM*Lt)**2 + \&
                     & (\text{Real}(Lc*XNL, dp) + \text{Real}(Xx_SM*Lt, dp))**2
40
       Else
41
        br = br + Abs(Aimag(Xx*Lt))**2
42
        br SM = br SM + Abs(Aimag(Xx SM*Lt))**2
43
       End if
44
```

56

```
End Do
45
46
     End do
    If (out.eq.1) Then ! K^+ -> pi^+ nu nu
47
     BrKptoPipnunu \ = \ 2.\_dp*r1*r2*rKp*br
48
49
     RatioKptoPipnunu = br/br_SM
     ! SM expectation: (7.2 + - 2.1) * 10^{-11} (hep-ph/0112135)
50
    Else ! K L \rightarrow pi^0 nu nu
BrKltoPinunu = 2. dp*r1*rKl*br
51
52
     RatioKltoPinunu = br/br SM
53
     ! SM expectation: (3.1 + - 1.0) * 10^{-11} (hep-ph/0408142)
54
    End if
55
56
    End Do
```

C.2.7: $\Delta M_{B_{s,d}}$

The $B_q^0 - \bar{B}_q^0$ mass difference can be written as [108, 130]

$$\Delta M_{B_q} = \frac{G_F^2 m_W^2}{6\pi^2} m_{B_q} \eta_B f_{B_q}^2 \hat{B}_{B_q} |V_{tq}^{\text{eff}}|^2 |F_{tt}^q| \,, \tag{C.77}$$

where $q = s, d, m_{B_q}$ and f_{B_q} are the B_q^0 mass and decay constant, respectively, $\eta_B = 0.55$ is a QCD factor [47,131], \hat{B}_{B_q} is a non-perturbative parameter (with values $\hat{B}_{B_d} = 1.26$ and $\hat{B}_{B_s} = 1.33$, obtained from recent lattice computations [132]) and $|V_{tq}^{\text{eff}}|^2 = (V_{tb}^* V_{tq})^2$. F_{tt}^q is given by

$$F_{tt}^{q} = S_{0}(x_{t}) + \frac{1}{4r} C_{\text{new}}^{VLL}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4r} C_{1}^{VRR} + \bar{P}_{1}^{LR} C_{1}^{LR} + \bar{P}_{2}^{LR} C_{2}^{LR}$$

$$+ \bar{P}_{1}^{SLL} \left(C_{1}^{SLL} + C_{1}^{SRR} \right) + \bar{P}_{2}^{SLL} \left(C_{2}^{SLL} + C_{2}^{SRR} \right)$$
(C.78)

where r = 0.985 [47], $x_t = \frac{m_t^2}{m_W^2}$, with m_t the top quark mass, the \bar{P} coefficients take the numerical values

$$\bar{P}_{1}^{LR} = -0.71
\bar{P}_{2}^{LR} = 0.90
\bar{P}_{1}^{SLL} = -0.37
\bar{P}_{2}^{SLL} = -0.72$$
(C.79)

and the function

$$S_0(x_t) = \frac{4x_t - 11x_t^2 + x_t^3}{4(1 - x_t)^2} - \frac{3x_t^3 \log x_t}{2(1 - x_t)^3}$$
(C.80)

was introduced by Inami and Lim in [133] and given, for example, in [134]. Finally, the coefficients in Eq. (C.78) are related to the D_{XY}^{I} coefficients in Eq.(A.13) as

$$C_{\text{new}}^{VLL} = n_{\Delta}^q \left(D_{LL}^V - D_{LL}^{V,\text{SM}} \right) \tag{C.81}$$

$$C_1^{VRR} = n_\Delta^q D_{RR}^V \tag{C.82}$$

$$C_1^{LR} = n_\Delta^q \left(D_{LR}^V + D_{RL}^V \right) \tag{C.83}$$

$$C_2^{LR} = n_\Delta^q \left(D_{LR}^S + D_{RL}^S + \delta_2^{LR} \right) \tag{C.84}$$

$$C_1^{SLL} = n_\Delta^q \left(D_{LL}^S + \delta_1^{SLL} \right) \tag{C.85}$$

$$C_1^{SRR} = n_\Delta^q \left(D_{RR}^S + \delta_1^{SRR} \right) \tag{C.86}$$

$$C_2^{SLL} = n_{\Delta}^q D_{LL}^T \tag{C.87}$$

$$C_2^{SRR} = n_\Delta^q D_{RR}^T \tag{C.88}$$

where the factor $(n_{\Delta}^q)^{-1} = \frac{G_F^2 m_W^2}{16\pi^2} |V_{tq}^{\text{eff}}|^2$ normalizes our Wilson coefficients to the ones in [108, 130]. The corrections δ_2^{LR} , δ_1^{SLL} and δ_1^{SRR} are induced by double penguin diagrams mediated by scalar and pseudoscalar states [108, 130]. These 2-loop contributions may have a sizable impact in some models, and their inclusion is necessary in order to achieve a precise result for ΔM_{B_q} . They can be written as

$$\delta_2^{LR} = -\frac{H_L^{S,P} \left(H_R^{S,P}\right)^*}{m_{S,P}^2}$$
(C.89)

$$\delta_1^{SLL} = -\frac{\left(H_L^{S,P}\right)^2}{2\,m_{S,P}^2} \tag{C.90}$$

$$\delta_1^{SRR} = -\frac{\left(H_L^{S,P}\right)^2}{2\,m_{S,P}^2} \tag{C.91}$$

where $H_L^{S,P}$ and $H_R^{S,P}$ are defined in Eq.(A.17). The double penguin corrections in Eqs.(C.89)-(C.91) are obtained by summing up over all scalar and pseudoscalar states in the model.

Listing 42 DeltaMBq.m

```
NameProcess = "DeltaMBq"
 1
    NameObservables = {{DeltaMBs, 1900, "Delta(M_Bs)"},
 \mathbf{2}
                              [ratioDeltaMBs, 1901, "Delta(M_Bs)/Delta(M_Bs)_SM"},
[DeltaMBq, 1902, "Delta(M_Bd)"],
{ratioDeltaMBq, 1903, "Delta(M_Bd)/Delta(M_Bd)_SM"};
3
 4
 5
 6
    ExternalStates = {Fd};
\overline{7}
    NeededOperators = {O4dSLL, O4dSRR, O4dSRL, O4dSLR, O4dVRR, O4dVLL,
 8
9
                             O4dVLLSM, O4dVRL, O4dVLR, O4dTLL, O4dTLR, O4dTRL, O4dTRR};
10
11
    IncludeSMprediction["DeltaMBq"] = False;
12
13
    Body = "DeltaMBq.f90";
```

Listing 43 DeltaMBq.f90

```
Complex(dp) :: MBq, etaB, FBq2, BBq, Ftt, Veff2, r, &
1
          2
 3
    Real(dp) :: hbar, xt, GF
 4
 \mathbf{5}
    Real(dp) :: mS
    Complex(dp) :: HL, HR, AL, AR
Integer :: i1, iS
 6
7
 8
 9
10
      Delta M {Bd, Bs}
      Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
11
12
      Based on A. J. Buras et al, NPB 619 (2001) 434 [hep-ph/0107048]
    and NPB 659 (2003) 3 \left[\,hep-ph/0210145\right]
13
14
15
    hbar = 6.58211889e - 25_dp
16
    xt = mf_u2_160(3)/mw2
17
     r = 0.985 dp 
 P1bLR = -0.71 dp 
18
19
    P2bLR = 0.90 \overline{d}p
20
    \begin{array}{l} P1bSLL = -0.37\_dp\\ P2bSLL = -0.72\_dp\\ \end{array}
21
22
23
    ! QCD factor , see A. J. Buras et al , NPB 47 (1990) 491 ! and J. Urban et al , NPB 523 (1998) 40
24
25
    etaB = 0.55 dp
26
27
   GF = (Alpha_{160}*4. _dp*Pi/sinW2_{160}) /mw**2*sqrt2 / 8. _dp
28
```

58

29 Do i1 = 1,230 31 If (i1.eq.1) Then ! Delta M_Bd 32 MBq = mass B0d33 FBq2 = f BOd CONST * 234BBq = 1.26 dp ! see arXiv:0910.2928 35 $Veff2 = Conjg(CKM_{160}(3,3)) * CKM_{160}(3,1)) * * 2$ 36 Else ! Delta M Bs 37 38 MBq = mass B0sFBq2 = f B0s CONST * * 239 BBq = 1.33 dp ! see arXiv:0910.292840 $Veff2 = Conjg(Conjg(CKM \ 160(3,3))*CKM \ 160(3,2))**2$ 41End if 42 43 44! normalization factor $norm = GF**2*mw2/(16._dp*Pi**2)*Veff2$ 4546 47! Wilson coefficients CVLLnew = (O4dVLL(3,i1,3,i1)-O4dVLLSM(3,i1,3,i1))/norm ! we remove the SM contribution 48 $C1VRR \,=\, O4dVRR(\,3\,,i\,1\,\,,3\,,i\,1\,\,)\,/\,norm$ 49C1LR = (O4dVLR(3, i1, 3, i1) + O4dVRL(3, i1, 3, i1)) / norm50C2LR = (O4dSLR(3, i1, 3, i1) + O4dSRL(3, i1, 3, i1)) / norm51 $\begin{array}{l} C1SLL = O4dSLL(3,i1,3,i1) / norm \\ C1SRR = O4dSRR(3,i1,3,i1) / norm \end{array}$ 5253C2SLL = O4dTLL(3, i1, 3, i1) / norm5455C2SRR = O4dTRR(3, i1, 3, i1)/norm565758! Double Higgs penguins 5960 6162← "<>SPhenoMassSq[HiggsBoson]] C2LR = C2LR - HL*Conjg(HR) / (mS*norm)63 $\begin{array}{l} C1SLL = C1SLL - 0.5 \ dp * HL * 2/(mS*norm) \\ C1SRR = C1SRR - 0.5 \ dp * HR * 2/(mS*norm) \\ @ If [getGen [HiggsBoson] > 1, "End Do", ""] \end{array}$ 646566 67 68 $@ If[getGen[PseudoScalar] > 1, "Do iS = \hookrightarrow$ 69 ↔ "<>ToString [getGenSPhenoStart [PseudoScalar]]<>", ↔
↔ "<>ToString [getGen [PseudoScalar]], ""] 7071 72 $mS = \hookrightarrow$ ↔ "<>SPhenoMassSq[PseudoScalar]] C2LR = C2LR - AL*Conjg(AR) / (mS*norm)73C1SLL = C1SLL - 0.5 dp *AL * *2/(mS*norm)74C1SRR = C1SRR - 0.5 dp*AR**2/(mS*norm)75@ If [getGen [PseudoScalar] > 1,"End Do",""] 7677 78 $Ftt = S0xt(xt) + CVLLnew/(4._dp*r) + \&$ 79& C1VRR/(4. dp*r) + P1bLR*C1LR + P2bLR*C2LR + &80 & P1bSLL*($\overline{C1SLL}$ + C1SRR) + P2bSLL*(C2SLL + C2SRR) 81 82 If (i1.eq.1) Then ! Delta M Bd 83 ratioDeltaMBq = $Abs(Ftt/\overline{S}0xt(xt))$ 84 $DeltaMBq = G_F * *2 * mw2/(6._dp * Pi * *2) *$ 85 & & MBq*etaB*BBq*FBq2*Veff2*Abs(Ftt)*1.e-12_dp/hbar 86 Else ! Delta M Bs 87 ratioDeltaMBs = Abs(Ftt/S0xt(xt))88 $DeltaMBs = G_F**2*mw2/(6._dp*Pi**2)*$ 89 & & MBq*etaB $\overline{*}BBq*FBq2*Veff2*Abs(Ftt)*1.e-12$ dp/hbar 90 End if 9192 End Do 93

```
94
 95
           Contains
 96
                Real(dp) Function S0xt(x) ! See for example hep-ph/9806471
 97
 98
                      Implicit None
                      Real(dp), Intent(in) :: x
 99
                      \begin{array}{l} \text{Soxt} (\text{dp}), \text{Intervention} \\ \text{Soxt} = 1. \ \text{dp} - 2.75 \ \text{dp} * \text{x} + 0.25 \ \text{dp} * \text{x} * 2 \ \& \\ \& - 1.5 \ \text{dp} * \text{x} * 2 \ * \ \text{Log}(\text{x}) \ / \ (1-\text{x}) \\ \text{Soxt} = \text{x} * \text{Soxt} \ / \ (1-\text{x}) * * 2 \end{array} 
102
103
                End
                          Function S0xt
```

C.2.8: ΔM_K and ε_K

 ΔM_K and ε_K , the observables associated to $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ mixing, can be written as [9,134]

$$\Delta M_K = 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \bar{K}^0 | H_{\text{eff}}^{\Delta S=2} | K^0 \rangle \tag{C.92}$$

$$\varepsilon_K = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}\Delta M_K} \operatorname{Im} \langle \bar{K}^0 | H_{\text{eff}}^{\Delta S=2} | K^0 \rangle .$$
(C.93)

The matrix element in Eqs. (C.92) and (C.93) is given by

$$\langle \bar{K}^{0} | H_{\text{eff}}^{\Delta S=2} | K^{0} \rangle = f_{V} \left(D_{LL}^{V} + D_{RR}^{V} \right) + f_{S} \left(D_{LL}^{S} + D_{RR}^{S} \right) + f_{T} \left(D_{LL}^{T} + D_{RR}^{T} \right) + f_{LR}^{1} \left(D_{LR}^{S} + D_{RL}^{S} \right) + f_{LR}^{2} \left(D_{LR}^{V} + D_{RL}^{V} \right) .$$
(C.94)

The f coefficients are

$$f_V = \frac{1}{3} m_K f_K^2 B_1^{VLL}(\mu) \tag{C.95}$$

$$f_S = -\frac{5}{24} \left(\frac{m_K}{m_s(\mu) + m_d(\mu)} \right)^2 m_K f_K^2 B_1^{SLL}(\mu)$$
(C.96)

$$f_T = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_K}{m_s(\mu) + m_d(\mu)} \right)^2 m_K f_K^2 B_2^{SLL}(\mu)$$
(C.97)

$$f_{LR}^{1} = -\frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{m_K}{m_s(\mu) + m_d(\mu)} \right)^2 m_K f_K^2 B_1^{LR}(\mu)$$
(C.98)

$$f_{LR}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{m_K}{m_s(\mu) + m_d(\mu)} \right)^2 m_K f_K^2 B_2^{LR}(\mu)$$
(C.99)

where $\mu = 2$ GeV is the energy scale at which the matrix element is computed and f_K the Kaon decay constant. The values of the quark masses at $\mu = 2$ GeV are given by $m_d(\mu) = 7$ MeV and $m_s(\mu) = 125$ MeV (see table 1 in [98]), whereas the B_i^X coefficients have the following values at $\mu = 2$ GeV [135]: $B_1^{YLL}(\mu) = 0.61$, $B_1^{SLL}(\mu) = 0.76$, $B_2^{SLL}(\mu) = 0.51$, $B_1^{LR}(\mu) = 0.96$ and $B_2^{LR}(\mu) = 1.3$. As in [9], we treat the SM contribution separately. We define $D_{LL}^V = D_{LL}^{V,SM} + D_{LL}^{V,BSM}$. For $D_{LL}^{V,BSM}$ one just subtracts the SM contributions to D_{LL}^V , whereas for $D_{LL}^{V,SM}$ one can use the results in [136–138], where the relation of $D_{LL}^{V,SM}$ and $D_{LL}^{V,SM}$.

the relevant QCD corrections are included,

$$D_{LL}^{V,SM} = \frac{G_F^2 m_W^2}{4\pi^2} \left[\lambda_c^{*2} \eta_1 S_0(x_c) + \lambda_t^{*2} \eta_2 S_0(x_t) + 2\lambda_c^{*} \lambda_t^{*} \eta_3 S_0(x_c, x_t) \right].$$
(C.100)

Here $x_i = m_i^2/m_w^2$, $\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}$ and $S_0(x)$ and $S_0(x, y)$ are the Inami-Lim functions [133]. $S_0(x)$ was already defined in Eq. (C.80), whereas $S_0(x_c, x_t)$ is given by [134]

$$S_0(x_c, x_t) = x_c \left[\log \frac{x_t}{x_c} - \frac{3x_t}{4(1 - x_t)} - \frac{3x_t^2 \log x_t}{4(1 - x_t)^2} \right].$$
 (C.101)

In the last expression we have kept only terms linear in $x_c \ll 1$. Finally, the η_i coefficients comprise short distance QCD corrections. Their numerical values are $\eta_{1,2,3} = (1.44, 0.57, 0.47)$ [138] ¹³.

¹³Note that we have chosen a value for η_1 which results from our numerical values for $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ and $m_c(m_c)$, see table 5 in [138].

Listing	44	KKmix.m
---------	----	---------

1	NameProcess = "KKmix";
2	NameObservables = {{DeltaMK, 9100, "Delta(M K)"},
3	$\{ ratioDeltaMK, 9102, "Delta(M_K) / Delta(M_K) SM" \}, $
4	$\{epsK, 9103, "epsilon_K"\},$
5	{ratioepsK, 9104, "epsilon_K/epsilon_K^SM"}};
6	
7	NeededOperators = {O4dSLL, O4dSRR, O4dSRL, O4dSLR, O4dVRR, O4dVLL, O4dVRL,
8	O4dVLR, O4dTLL, O4dTLR, O4dTRL, O4dTRR,
9	O4dSLLSM, O4dSRRSM, O4dSRLSM, O4dSLRSM, O4dVRRSM, O4dVLLSM, \hookrightarrow
	\leftrightarrow O4dVRLSM, O4dVLRSM,
10	O4dTLLSM, O4dTLRSM, O4dTRLSM, O4dTRRSM};
11	
12	Body = "KKmix.f90";

Listing 45 KKmix.f90

```
1
                Real(dp) \ :: \ b\_VLL, \ b\_SLL1, \ b\_SLL2, \ b\_LR1, \ b\_LR2
   2
               Real(dp) :: ms_mu, md_mu
                Complex(dp) :: CVLL, CVRR, CSLL, CSRR, CTLL, CTRR, CLR1, CLR2
   3
               4
   \mathbf{5}
   6
               Real(dp) :: norm, hbar, xt, xc, GF
   7
                Integer :: i1
               \operatorname{Real}(dp), \operatorname{Parameter} :: \operatorname{eta}_{tt} = 0.57 dp, \operatorname{eta}_{ct} = 0.47 dp, &
   8
   9
                                                                                                                               \& eta_cc = 1.44 dp
10
                ! Parameters from S. Herrlich and U. Nierste NPB 476 (1996) 27
11
12
13
               ! Delta M_K and epsilon_K
                        Observables implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
14
                       Based on A. Crivellin et al, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1004 [arXiv:1203.5023]
15
16
17
                ! using globally defined hadronic parameters
18
             M_K = mass_K0
19
20
               f_K = f_k_{CONST}
21
               xt = mf_u(3) **2 / mW**2
22
23
               xc = mf u(2) **2 / mW**2
24
               GF = (Alpha_{160}*4._dp*Pi/sinW2_{160})/mw**2*sqrt2/8._dp
25
26
27
                ! Coefficients at mu = 2 GeV
               ! See A. J. Buras et al, NPB 605 (2001) 600 [hep-ph/0102316]
28
               b\_VLL~=~0.61\_dp
29
               b_SLL1 = 0.76_dp
30
               bSLL2 = 0.51 dp
31
               bLR1 = 0.96 dp
32
               b\_LR2~=~1.3\_dp
33
34
35
                        Quark mass values at mu = 2 GeV
               ! See M. Ciuchini et al, JHEP 9810 (1998) 008 [hep-ph/9808328] - Table 1
36
37
               md\_mu~=~0.007\_dp
               ms_mu = 0.125_dp
38
39
               40
41
               \mathrm{fT} \ = \ -1. \ \underline{\mathrm{dp}} / 2 \, . \ \underline{\mathrm{dp}} \ast M \\ \underline{\overline{\mathrm{K}}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\overline{\mathrm{K}}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\overline{\mathrm{K}}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \ast \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \times \mathrm{f} \\ \underline{\mathrm{K}} \\
42
                \begin{array}{l} fLR1 = -1. \ dp/6. \ dp*M \ K*f \ K**2*(M \ K/(ms \ mu+md \ mu)) **2*b \ LR1 \\ fLR2 = 1. \ dp/4. \ dp*M \ K*f \ K**2*(M \ K/(ms \ mu+md \ mu)) **2*b \ LR2 \\ \end{array} 
43
44
45
46
                ! SM contribution
               ! Based on the results by S. Herrlich and U. Nierste
47
               ! NPB 419 (1994) 292, PRD 52 (1995) 6505 and NPB 476 (1996) 27
48
               49
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       &
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       &
50
```

& * 2. dp * eta ct * S0 2(xc, xt) $\label{eq:cvllSM} cVLLSM = \ Conjg(cVLLSM) \quad ! \ we \ compute \ (d \setminus bar\{s\})(d \setminus bar\{s\}) \ and \ not \ (\setminus bar\{d\}s)((\cap bar\{d\}s)((\cap bar\{d\}s))((\cap bar\{d\}s)((\cap bar\{d\}s))((\cap bar\{d$ cVLLSM = oo4pi2*(GF*mW)**2*cVLLSM ! normalization ! BSM contributions (+SM in CVLL) CVLL = O4dVLL(2,1,2,1)-O4dVLLSM(2,1,2,1)+cVLLSM CVRR = O4dVRR(2, 1, 2, 1)CSLL = O4dSLL(2, 1, 2, 1) $\mathrm{CSRR} \,=\, \mathrm{O4dSRR}\,(\,2\,\,,1\,\,,2\,\,,1\,)$ CTLL = O4dTLL(2, 1, 2, 1)CTRR = O4dTRR(2, 1, 2, 1)CLR1 = O4dSLR(2, 1, 2, 1) + O4dSRL(2, 1, 2, 1)CLR2 = O4dVLR(2, 1, 2, 1) + O4dVRL(2, 1, 2, 1)! BSM H2eff = fV * (CVLL+CVRR) + fS * (CSLL+CSRR) + fT * (CTLL+CTRR) & $\& + \ \mathrm{fLR1*CLR1} + \ \mathrm{fLR2*CLR2}$ DeltaMK = Abs(2. dp*Real(H2eff, dp))epsK = 1. dp/(sqrt2*DeltaMK)*Abs(Aimag(H2eff))! SM H2eff = fV*cVLLSMDeltaMK SM = Abs(2. dp*Real(H2eff, dp)) $epsK_SM = 1. dp/(sqrt2*DeltaMK_SM)*Abs(Aimag(H2eff))$ $ratioDeltaMK \ = \ DeltaMK/DeltaMK_SM$ $ratioepsK = epsK/epsK_SM$ Contains ! Inami - Lim functions Real(dp) Function SOxt(x)Implicit None $Real\,(\,dp\,)\,\,,\ Intent\,(\,in\,)\ ::\ x$ $S0xt \ = \ 1._dp \ - \ 2.75_dp \ * \ x \ + \ 0.25_dp \ * \ x**2 \ - \ \&$ $Soxt = 1. _up - 2.15 _up + x + 0.25 _r \\ & 1.5 _dp + x**2 + Log(x) / (1-x) \\ Soxt = x*Soxt / (1-x)**2$ End Function Soxt 94Real(dp) Function S0 2(xc, xt) 95Implicit None

C.2.9: $P \rightarrow \ell \nu$

End Function S0 $\overline{2}$

Real(dp), Intent(in) :: xc, xt

 $S0_2 = Log(xt/xc) - 0.75_dp * xt /(1-xt) \&$

5152

53

5455

56

5758

5960

61

62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69 70

71

727374

757677

787980

81

82 83

84

8586 87

88

89

90

91 92

93

96

97

98

99 100 101

> Although $P \to \ell \nu$, where P = qq' is a pseudoscalar meson, does not violate quark flavor, we have included it in the list of observables for practical reasons, as it can be computed with the same ingredients as the QFV observables. The decay width for the process $P \to \ell_{\alpha} \nu$ is given by [139]

$$\Gamma(P \to \ell_{\alpha}\nu) = \frac{|G_F f_P(m_P^2 - m_{\ell_{\alpha}}^2)|^2}{8\pi m_P^3}$$

$$\times \sum_{\nu} \left| V_{qq'} m_{\ell_{\alpha}} + \frac{m_{\ell_{\alpha}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(G_{LL}^V - G_{RL}^V \right) + \frac{m_P^2}{2\sqrt{2}(m_q + m_{q'})} \left(G_{RR}^S - G_{LR}^S \right) \right|^2.$$
(C.102)

Here f_P is the meson decay constant, m_q and $m_{q'}$ are the masses of the quarks in the meson and the Wilson coefficients G_{XY}^I are defined in Eq.(A.16). The sum in Eq.(C.102) is over the three neutrinos (whose masses are neglected).

Each $P \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \nu$ decay width is plagued by hadronic uncertainties. However, by taking the ratios

$$R_P = \frac{\Gamma\left(P \to e\nu\right)}{\Gamma\left(P \to \mu\nu\right)} \tag{C.103}$$

the hadronic uncertainties cancel out to a good approximation, allowing for a precise theoretical determination. In case of R_K , the SM prediction includes small electromagnetic corrections that account for internal bremsstrahlung and structure-dependent effects [140]. This leads to an impressive theoretical uncertainty of $\delta R_K/R_K \sim 0.1\%$, making R_P the perfect observable to search for lepton flavor universality violation [141].

Listing 46 Plnu.m

1	NameProcess = "Plnu";
2	NameObservables = {{BrDmunu, 300, "BR(D->mu nu)"},
3	$\{\text{ratioDmunu}, 301, "BR(D->mu nu)/BR(D->mu nu) SM"\},\$
4	$\{BrDsmunu, 400, "BR(Ds->mu nu)"\},$
5	{ratioDsmunu, 401, "BR(Ds->mu nu)/BR(Ds->mu nu)_SM"},
6	$\{BrDstaunu, 402, "BR(Ds->tau nu)"\},\$
$\overline{7}$	${\operatorname{ratioDstaunu}, 403, "BR(Ds->tau nu)/BR(Ds->tau nu)_SM"},$
8	$\{BrBmunu, 500, "BR(B \rightarrow mu nu)"\},\$
9	${\operatorname{ratioBmunu}, 501, "BR(B->mu nu)/BR(B->mu nu)_SM"},$
10	$\{BrBtaunu, 502, "BR(B->tau nu)"\},\$
11	$\{ ratioBtaunu, 503, "BR(B->tau nu)/BR(B->tau nu)_SM" \},$
12	$\{BrKmunu, 600, "BR(K \rightarrow mu nu)"\},\$
13	${\operatorname{ratioKmunu}, 601, "BR(K->mu nu)/BR(K->mu nu)_SM"},$
14	$\{ RK, 602, "R_K = BR(K -> e nu) / (K -> mu nu) " \},$
15	$\{ RKSM, 603, "R_K^SM = BR(K -> e nu)_SM/(K -> mu nu)_SM" \} \};$
16	
17	NeededOperators = $\{ OdulvSLL, OdulvSRR, OdulvSRL, OdulvSLR, $
18	OdulvVRR, OdulvVLL, OdulvVRL, OdulvVLR,
19	OdulvSLLSM, OdulvSRRSM, OdulvSRLSM, OdulvSLRSM,
20	OdulvVRRSM, OdulvVLLSM, OdulvVRLSM, OdulvVLRSM
21	};
22	
23	Body = "Plnu.f90";

Listing 47 Plnu.f90

```
Integer :: gt1, gt2, i1, i2, iP
Complex(dp) :: br, br_SM
Real(dp) :: m_M, f_M, tau_M, mlep, mq1, mq2, hbar, ratio, &
& BrKenuSM, BRKenu, QED
1
\mathbf{2}
3
4
\mathbf{5}
 6
\overline{7}
      P \rightarrow l nu
    1
       Observable implemented by W. Porod, F. Staub and A. Vicente
8
    9
    Based on J. Barranco et al, arXiv:1303.3896
10
11
    hbar = 6.58211889e - 25 dp
12
13
      Electromagnetic correction to R_K
14
    ! See V. Cirigliano, I. Rosell, PRL 99 (2007) 231801 [arXiv:0707.3439]
15
16
    QED = -3.6e - 2 dp
17
    ! meson parameters
18
19
20
    Do iP=1,4
21
    If (iP.eq.1) Then ! Ds-meson
     gt1\ =\ 2
22
23
     gt2 = 2
     m M = mass Dsp
24
    f_M = f_DSp_CONST
25
```

```
tau_M = tau_DSp/hbar
26
    Elseif (iP.eq.2) Then ! B-meson
27
28
    gt1 = 3
     \mathrm{gt} 2 \ = \ 1
29
30
     m_M = mass_Bp
     f_M = f_Bp_CONST
tau_M = tau_Bp/hbar
31
32
    Elseif (iP.eq.3) Then ! Kaon
33
34
    gt1 = 2
35
     gt2 = 1
    m_M = mass_Kp
36
     f_M = f_K p_CONST
37
     tau M = tau Kp/hbar
38
    Elseif (iP.eq.4) Then ! D-meson
39
40
     gt1 = 1
41
     gt2\ =\ 2
    m_{-}M = mass_{-}Dp
42
     f_M = f_Dp_CONST
tau_M = tau_Dp/hbar
43
44
    End if
45
46
     mq1 = mf_u_160(gt2)
47
48
    mq2 = mf_d_{160}(gt1)
49
    Do i1=1,3
50
51
    br = 0.\_dp
    br_SM = 0.dp
mlep = mf_l(i1)
52
53
54
55
    Do i2 = 1,3
     br = br + ((OdulvVLL(gt1,gt2,i1,i2)-OdulvVLR(gt1,gt2,i1,i2))*mlep/
56
                                                                                          &
        \begin{array}{c} \& & (2.\_dp*sqrt2) \\ \& + m\_M**2*(OdulvSRL(gt1,gt2,i1,i2)) - OdulvSLL(gt1,gt2,i1,i2)) / \end{array} 
                                                                                          &
57
58
                                                                                          &
                           & (2.\_dp*sqrt2*(mq1+mq2)))
59
     br_SM = br_SM + (OdulvVLLSM(gt1,gt2,i1,i2) - OdulvVLRSM(gt1,gt2,i1,i2)) & 
60
61
                           & *mlep/(2._dp*sqrt2)
62
    End Do
63
    ratio = Abs(br/br SM) **2
64
65
    br = oo8pi*tau M*(f M)**2*M M*Abs(br)**2*(1. dp - mlep**2/M M**2)**2 ! G F already \hookrightarrow
         \leftrightarrow \text{ in coefficients included}
66
67
    If (iP.eq.1) Then !! Ds-meson
68
     If (i1.eq.2) Then ! Ds->mu nu
69
      BrDsmunu =
                     \mathbf{br}
70
      ratioDsmunu = ratio
71
       Elseif (i1.eq.3) Then ! \ \mathrm{Ds}{\rightarrow}\mathrm{tau} nu
72
73
      BrDstaunu = br
      ratioDstaunu = ratio
74
75
     End if
    Elseif (iP.eq.2) Then !! B-meson
76
     If (i1.eq.2) Then ! B->mu nu
77
      BrBmunu = br
78
79
      ratioBmunu = ratio
                            ! B->tau nu
       Else
80
      BrBtaunu = br
81
82
      ratioBtaunu = ratio
     End if
83
    Else If (iP.eq.3) Then !! Kaon
If (i1.eq.1) Then ! K->e nu
84
85
      BrKenu = br
86
      BrKenuSM = BrKenu*ratio
87
       Elseif (i1.eq.2) Then ! K->mu nu
88
89
      {\rm Br}{\rm Kmunu}\ =\ {\rm br}
      ratioKmunu = ratio
90
      RK = BrKenu/BrKmunu*(1+QED)
91
      RKSM = BrKenuSM/BrKmunu*ratio*(1+QED)
92
93
     End if
```

```
Else If (iP.eq.4) Then !! D-meson
If (i1.eq.2) Then ! D->mu nu
94
95
96
        BrDmunu =
                          \mathbf{br}
        ratioDmunu = ratio
97
98
       End if
      End if
99
100
      End Do
     End Do
101
```

D: Models

The following models are included in the public version of SARAH and can now be used together with the FlavorKit to get predictions for the different observables.

- D.1: Supersymmetric Models
 - Minimal supersymmetric standard model (see Ref. [142] and references therein)
 - With general flavor and CP structure (MSSM)
 - Without flavor violation (MSSM/NoFV)
 - With explicit CP violation in the Higgs sector (MSSM/CPV)
 - In SCKM basis (MSSM/CKM)
 - Singlet extensions:
 - Next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model (NMSSM, NMSSM/NoFV, NMSSM/CPV, NMSSM/CKM) (see Refs. [143,144] and references therein)
 - near-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model (near-MSSM) [145]
 - General singlet extended, supersymmetric standard model (SMSSM) [145, 146]
 - DiracNMSSM (DiracNMSSM) [147,148]
 - Triplet extensions
 - Triplet extended MSSM (TMSSM) [149]
 - Triplet extended NMSSM (TNMSSM) [150]
 - Models with R-parity violation [151–158]
 - bilinear RpV (MSSM-RpV/Bi)
 - Lepton number violation (MSSM-RpV/LnV)
 - Only trilinear lepton number violation (MSSM-RpV/TriLnV)
 - Baryon number violation (MSSM-RpV/BnV)
 - $-\mu\nu$ SSM (munuSSM) [159, 160]
 - Additional U(1)'s
 - U(1)-extended MSSM (UMSSM) [145]
 - secluded MSSM (secluded-MSSM) [161]
 - minimal B L model (B-L-SSM) [162–165]
 - minimal singlet-extended B L model (N-B-L-SSM)
 - SUSY-scale seesaw extensions
 - inverse seesaw (inverse-Seesaw) [166,167]
 - linear seesaw (LinSeesaw) [166,168]
 - singlet extended inverse seesaw (inverse-Seesaw-NMSSM) [169]
 - inverse seesaw with B L gauge group (B-L-SSM-IS) [170]
 - minimal $U(1)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$ model with inverse seesaw (BLRinvSeesaw) [74,171]
 - Models with Dirac Gauginos
 - MSSM/NMSSM with Dirac Gauginos (DiracGauginos) [172-174]
 - minimal *R*-Symmetric SSM (MRSSM) [175]
 - Minimal Dirac Gaugino supersymmetric standard model (MDGSSM) [86]
 - High-scale extensions
 - Seesaw 1 3 (SU(5) version), (Seesaw1,Seesaw2,Seesaw3) [63,65,68,176,177]
 - Left/right model (Ω LR) (Omega) [178, 179]
 - Quiver model (QEW12, QEWmld2L3) [180]

D.2: Non-Supersymmetric Models

- Standard Model (SM) (SM), Standard model in CKM basis (SM/CKM) (see for instance Ref. [181] and references therein)
- inert Higgs doublet model (Inert) [182]
- B-L extended SM (B-L-SM) [183–185]
- B-L extended SM with inverse seesaw (B-L-SM-IS) [186]
- SM extended by a scalar color octet (SM-8C) [187]
- Two Higgs doublet model (THDM) (see for instance Ref. [188] and references therein)
- Singlet extended SM (SSM) [189]
- Singlet Scalar DM (SSDM) [190]

References

- 1. ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Phys.Lett. B716 (2012), 1-29, [1207.7214].
- 2. CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., Phys.Lett. B716 (2012), 30-61, [1207.7235].
- 3. ATLAS Collaboration, CDF Collaboration, CMS Collaboration, D0 Collaboration, (2014), 1403.4427.
- 4. D. Buttazzo, G. Degrassi, P. P. Giardino, G. F. Giudice, F. Sala, et al., JHEP 1312 (2013), 089, [1307.3536].
- 5. F. Mahmoudi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008), 745-754, [0710.2067].
- 6. F. Mahmoudi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009), 1579-1613, [0808.3144].
- 7. F. Mahmoudi, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009), 1718-1719.
- 8. J. Rosiek, P. Chankowski, A. Dedes, S. Jager, and P. Tanedo, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010), 2180–2205, [1003.4260].
- 9. A. Crivellin, J. Rosiek, P. Chankowski, A. Dedes, S. Jaeger, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013), 1004–1032, [1203.5023].
- 10. U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie, Comput. Phys. Commun. 177 (2007), 399-407, [hep-ph/0612134].
- 11. G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 149 (2002), 103-120, [hepph/0112278l.
- 12. G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174 (2006), 577-604, [hepph/0405253].
- 13. G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 176 (2007), 367-382, [hepph/0607059].
- 14. G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009), 747–767, [0803.2360].
- 15. G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov, and A. Semenov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014), 960–985, [1305.0237].
- 16. G. Degrassi, P. Gambino, and P. Slavich, Comput. Phys. Commun. 179 (2008), 759-771, [0712.3265].
- 17. B. Murakami, Comput.Phys.Commun. 185 (2014), 622-637, [1302.4469]
- 18. D. Chowdhury, R. Garani, and S. K. Vempati, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013), 899–918, [1109.3551].
- 19. F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, H. Baer, and X. Tata, (2003), hep-ph/0312045.
- H. Baer, C. Balazs, A. Belyaev, R. Dermisek, A. Mafi, et al., Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A502 (2003), 560–563.
 H. Baer, F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, and X. Tata, (1999), hep-ph/0001086.
- 22. F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopescu, H. Baer, and X. Tata, (1998), hep-ph/9810440.
- 23. F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, H. Baer, and X. Tata, (1998), hep-ph/9804321.
- 24. H. Baer, F. E. Paige, S. D. Protopopescu, and X. Tata, (1993), hep-ph/9305342.
- 25. W. Porod, Comput.Phys.Commun. 153 (2003), 275–315, [hep-ph/0301101].
- 26. W. Porod and F. Staub, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012), 2458-2469, [1104.1573].
- 27. F. Staub, (2008), 0806.0538.
- 28. F. Staub, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010), 1077-1086, [0909.2863].
- 29. F. Staub, Comput. Phys. Commun. 182 (2011), 808-833, [1002.0840].
- 30. F. Staub, Computer Physics Communications 184 (2013), pp. 1792–1809, [1207.0906].
- 31. F. Staub, Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014), 1773-1790, [1309.7223].
- 32. H. Dreiner, K. Nickel, F. Staub, and A. Vicente, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012), 015003, [1204.5925].
- 33. H. Dreiner, K. Nickel, W. Porod, and F. Staub, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013), 2604–2617, [1212.5074].
- 34. H. Dreiner, K. Nickel, and F. Staub, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013), 115001, [1309.1735].
- 35. T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, Comput. Phys. Commun. 118 (1999), 153-165, [hep-ph/9807565].
- 36. T. Hahn, Comput.Phys.Commun. 140 (2001), 418-431, [hep-ph/0012260].
- 37. T. Hahn, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 89 (2000), 231-236, [hep-ph/0005029].
- 38. T. Hahn, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 135 (2004), 333-337, [hep-ph/0406288].
- 39. T. Hahn, eConf C050318 (2005), 0604, [hep-ph/0506201]
- 40. B. C. Nejad, T. Hahn, J. N. Lang, and E. Mirabella, (2013), 1310.0274.
- 41. E. Ma and A. Pramudita, Phys.Rev. D24 (1981), 1410.
- 42. J. Hisano, T. Moroi, K. Tobe, and M. Yamaguchi, Phys.Rev. D53 (1996), 2442–2459, [hep-ph/9510309].
- 43. A. Bednyakov and S. Tanyildizi, (2013), 1311.5546.
- 44. T. Ohl, Comput.Phys.Commun. 90 (1995), 340-354, [hep-ph/9505351].
- 45. F. Staub, T. Ohl, W. Porod, and C. Speckner, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183 (2012), 2165–2206, [1109.5147].
- 46. A. Crivellin, L. Hofer, and J. Rosiek, JHEP 1107 (2011), 017, [1103.4272].
- 47. A. J. Buras, M. Jamin, and P. H. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B347 (1990), 491-536.

- 48. G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl.Phys. **B412** (1994), 106–142, [hep-ph/9308272].
- 49. M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, and V. Gimenez, Phys.Lett. B388 (1996), 167-172, [hep-ph/9608204].
- 50. A. J. Buras, P. Gambino, and U. A. Haisch, Nucl. Phys. B570 (2000), 117-154, [hep-ph/9911250].
- 51. M. Misiak and M. Steinhauser, Nucl.Phys. B764 (2007), 62–82, [hep-ph/0609241].
- 52. A. J. Buras, A. Czarnecki, M. Misiak, and J. Urban, Nucl.Phys. B631 (2002), 219–238, [hep-ph/0203135].
- 53. R. Boughezal, M. Czakon, and T. Schutzmeier, JHEP 0709 (2007), 072, [0707.3090].
- 54. A. J. Buras and J. Girrbach, JHEP 1203 (2012), 052, [1201.1302]
- 55. F. Mahmoudi, S. Heinemeyer, A. Arbey, A. Bharucha, T. Goto, et al., Comput. Phys.Commun. 183 (2012), 285-298, [1008.0762].
- 56. A. Ilakovac and A. Pilaftsis, Nucl. Phys. B437 (1995), 491, [hep-ph/9403398].
- 57. A. Ilakovac, A. Pilaftsis, and L. Popov, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013), no. 5, 053014, [1212.5939].
- 58. E. Arganda and M. J. Herrero, Phys.Rev. D73 (2006), 055003, [hep-ph/0510405].
- 59. F. Deppisch, H. Pas, A. Redelbach, R. Ruckl, and Y. Shimizu, Eur.Phys.J. C28 (2003), 365–374, [hep-ph/0206122].
- 60. S. Petcov, T. Shindou, and Y. Takanishi, Nucl.Phys. B738 (2006), 219-242, [hep-ph/0508243].
- 61. S. Antusch, E. Arganda, M. Herrero, and A. Teixeira, JHEP 0611 (2006), 090, [hep-ph/0607263].
- 62. P. Paradisi, JHEP 0608 (2006), 047, [hep-ph/0601100].
- 63. M. Hirsch, J. Valle, W. Porod, J. Romao, and A. Villanova del Moral, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008), 013006, [0804.4072].
- 64. M. Hirsch, S. Kaneko, and W. Porod, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008), 093004, [0806.3361].
- 65. F. Borzumati and T. Yamashita, Prog. Theor. Phys. 124 (2010), 761-868, [0903.2793].
- 66. E. Gross, D. Grossman, Y. Nir, and O. Vitells, Phys.Rev. D81 (2010), 055013, [1001.2883].
 67. C. Biggio and L. Calibbi, JHEP 1010 (2010), 037, [1007.3750].
- 68. J. Esteves, J. Romao, M. Hirsch, F. Staub, and W. Porod, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011), 013003, [1010.6000].
- A. Abada, A. Figueiredo, J. Romao, and A. Teixeira, JHEP **1010** (2010), 104, [1007.4833].
 A. Abada, A. Figueiredo, J. Romao, and A. Teixeira, JHEP **1108** (2011), 099, [1104.3962].
- C. G. Cely, A. Ibarra, E. Molinaro, and S. Petcov, Phys.Lett. B718 (2013), 957–964, [1208.3654].
 M. Hirsch, W. Porod, C. Weiss, and F. Staub, Phys.Rev. D87 (2013), 013010, [1211.0289].
- 73. S. Davidson and P. Verdier, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012), 111701, [1211.1248].
- 74. M. Hirsch, W. Porod, L. Reichert, and F. Staub, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012), 093018, [1206.3516].
- 75. D. Dinh, A. Ibarra, E. Molinaro, and S. Petcov, JHEP **1208** (2012), 125, [1205.4671]
- 76. M. Cannoni, J. Ellis, M. E. Gomez, and S. Lola, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013), 075005, [1301.6002].
- 77. M. E. Krauss, W. Porod, F. Staub, A. Abada, A. Vicente, et al., (2013), 1312.5318.
- 78. M. Arana-Catania, E. Arganda, and M. Herrero, JHEP 1309 (2013), 160, [1304.3371].
- 79. W. Altmannshofer, M. Bauer, and M. Carena, JHEP 1401 (2014), 060, [1308.1987].
- 80. A. Crivellin, S. Najjari, and J. Rosiek, JHEP 1404 (2014), 167, [1312.0634].
- 81. A. Celis, V. Cirigliano, and E. Passemar, Phys.Rev. D89 (2014), 013008, [1309.3564].
- 82. T. Moroi, M. Nagai, and T. T. Yanagida, Phys.Lett. B728 (2014), 342-346, [1305.7357].
- 83. D. Dinh and S. Petcov, JHEP 1309 (2013), 086, [1308.4311].
- 84. A. Falkowski, D. M. Straub, and A. Vicente, (2013), 1312.5329.
- 85. T. Toma and A. Vicente, JHEP 1401 (2014), 160, [1312.2840].
- 86. K. Benakli, M. Goodsell, F. Staub, and W. Porod, (2014), 1403.5122.
- 87. A. Teixeira, A. Abada, A. Figueiredo, and J. Romao, (2014), 1402.1426.
- 88. A. Celis, V. Cirigliano, and E. Passemar, (2014), 1403.5781.
- 89. A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter, and M. Procura, (2014), 1404.7134.
- 90. Y. Kuno and Y. Okada, Rev.Mod.Phys. 73 (2001), 151-202, [hep-ph/9909265]. 91. E. Arganda, M. Herrero, and A. Teixeira, JHEP 0710 (2007), 104, [0707.2955]
- 92. H. Chiang, E. Oset, T. Kosmas, A. Faessler, and J. Vergados, Nucl. Phys. A559 (1993), 526-542.
- 93. T. Kosmas, S. Kovalenko, and I. Schmidt, Phys.Lett. B511 (2001), 203, [hep-ph/0102101].
- 94. E. Arganda, M. Herrero, and J. Portoles, JHEP 0806 (2008), 079, [0803.2039]. 95. P. Paradisi, JHEP 0602 (2006), 050, [hep-ph/0508054].
- 96. E. Arganda, A. M. Curiel, M. J. Herrero, and D. Temes, Phys.Rev. D71 (2005), 035011, [hep-ph/0407302].
- 97. X.-J. Bi, Y.-B. Dai, and X.-Y. Qi, Phys.Rev. D63 (2001), 096008, [hep-ph/0010270].
- 98. M. Ciuchini, V. Lubicz, L. Conti, A. Vladikas, A. Donini, et al., JHEP 9810 (1998), 008, [hep-ph/9808328].
- 99. G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl. Phys. B400 (1993), 225-239.
- 100. M. Misiak and J. Urban, Phys.Lett. B451 (1999), 161–169, [hep-ph/9901278].
- 101. A. Dedes, H. K. Dreiner, and U. Nierste, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87 (2001), 251804, [hep-ph/0108037].
- 102. W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013), 2646, [1308.1501].
- 103. A. Dedes, J. Rosiek, and P. Tanedo, Phys.Rev. D79 (2009), 055006, [0812.4320].
- 104. E. Lunghi and J. Matias, JHEP 0704 (2007), 058, [hep-ph/0612166].
- 105. C. Bobeth, A. J. Buras, F. Kruger, and J. Urban, Nucl.Phys. B630 (2002), 87–131, [hep-ph/0112305].
- 106. T. Huber, E. Lunghi, M. Misiak, and D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. B740 (2006), 105–137, [hep-ph/0512066].
- 107. H. E. Logan and U. Nierste, Nucl. Phys. B586 (2000), 39-55, [hep-ph/0004139].
- 108. A. J. Buras, P. H. Chankowski, J. Rosiek, and L. Slawianowska, Nucl. Phys. B659 (2003), 3, [hep-ph/0210145].
- 109. W.-S. Hou, Phys.Rev. D48 (1993), 2342-2344.
- 110. T. Ibrahim and P. Nath, Phys.Rev. D61 (2000), 095008, [hep-ph/9907555].
- 111. R. Barbieri, D. Buttazzo, F. Sala, D. M. Straub, and A. Tesi, JHEP 1305 (2013), 069, [1211.5085].
- 112. W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, JHEP 1208 (2012), 121, [1206.0273].
- 113. D. Becirevic, N. Kosnik, F. Mescia, and E. Schneider, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012), 034034, [1205.5811].
- 114. D. Becirevic, N. Kosnik, and A. Tayduganov, Phys.Lett. B716 (2012), 208-213, [1206.4977].
- 115. A. J. Buras and J. Girrbach, (2013), 1306.3775.
- 116. I. Dorsner, S. Fajfer, N. Kosnik, and I. Nisandzic, JHEP 1311 (2013), 084, [1306.6493].

- 117. S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, and J. Virto, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013), 074002, [1307.5683].
- 118. A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, JHEP 1402 (2014), 112, [1311.6729].
- 119. R. Barbieri, D. Buttazzo, F. Sala, and D. M. Straub, (2014), 1402.6677.
- 120. A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, (2014), 1404.3824.
- 121. M. König, M. Neubert, and D. M. Straub, (2014), 1403.2756.
- 122. A. Greljo, J. F. Kamenik, and J. Kopp, (2014), 1404.1278.
- 123. C. Bobeth, T. Ewerth, F. Kruger, and J. Urban, Phys.Rev. D66 (2002), 074021, [hep-ph/0204225].
- 124. G. Isidori and A. Retico, JHEP 0209 (2002), 063, [hep-ph/0208159].
- 125. A. L. Kagan and M. Neubert, Eur.Phys.J. C7 (1999), 5-27, [hep-ph/9805303].
- 126. M. Misiak, H. Asatrian, K. Bieri, M. Czakon, A. Czarnecki, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 98 (2007), 022002, [hepph/0609232].
- 127. E. Lunghi, private communication.
- 128. Particle Data Group, J. Beringer et al., Phys.Rev. D86 (2012), 010001.
- 129. G. Buchalla and A. J. Buras, Nucl.Phys. B548 (1999), 309-327, [hep-ph/9901288].
- 130. A. J. Buras, P. H. Chankowski, J. Rosiek, and L. Slawianowska, Nucl. Phys. B619 (2001), 434-466, [hepph/0107048].
- 131. J. Urban, F. Krauss, U. Jentschura, and G. Soff, Nucl. Phys. B523 (1998), 40-58, [hep-ph/9710245].
- 132. J. Laiho, E. Lunghi, and R. S. Van de Water, Phys.Rev. D81 (2010), 034503, [0910.2928].
- 133. T. Inami and C. Lim, Prog. Theor. Phys. 65 (1981), 297.
- 134. A. J. Buras, (1998), 281-539, hep-ph/9806471.
- 135. A. J. Buras, S. Jager, and J. Urban, Nucl.Phys. B605 (2001), 600-624, [hep-ph/0102316].
- 136. S. Herrlich and U. Nierste, Nucl. Phys. B419 (1994), 292-322, [hep-ph/9310311].
- 137. S. Herrlich and U. Nierste, Phys.Rev. D52 (1995), 6505-6518, [hep-ph/9507262].
- 138. S. Herrlich and U. Nierste, Nucl.Phys. B476 (1996), 27-88, [hep-ph/9604330].
- 139. J. Barranco, D. Delepine, V. Gonzalez Macias, and L. Lopez-Lozano, Phys.Lett. B731 (2014), 36-42, [1303.3896].
- 140. V. Cirigliano and I. Rosell, Phys.Rev.Lett. 99 (2007), 231801, [0707.3439].
- 141. A. Abada, D. Das, A. Teixeira, A. Vicente, and C. Weiland, JHEP 1302 (2013), 048, [1211.3052].
- 142. S. P. Martin, (1997), hep-ph/9709356.
- 143. M. Maniatis, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A25 (2010), 3505-3602, [0906.0777].
- 144. U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie, and A. M. Teixeira, Phys.Rept. 496 (2010), 1-77, [0910.1785].
- 145. V. Barger, P. Langacker, H.-S. Lee, and G. Shaughnessy, Phys.Rev. D73 (2006), 115010, [hep-ph/0603247].
- 146. G. G. Ross, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, and F. Staub, JHEP 1208 (2012), 074, [1205.1509].
- 147. X. Lu, H. Murayama, J. T. Ruderman, and K. Tobioka, (2013), 1308.0792.
- 148. A. Kaminska, G. G. Ross, K. Schmidt-Hoberg, and F. Staub, (2014), 1401.1816.
- 149. S. Di Chiara and K. Hsieh, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008), 055016, [0805.2623].
- 150. K. Agashe, A. Azatov, A. Katz, and D. Kim, Phys.Rev. D84 (2011), 115024, [1109.2842].
- 151. L. J. Hall and M. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. B231 (1984), 419.
- 152. H. K. Dreiner, (1997), hep-ph/9707435.
- 153. B. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. Dreiner, Phys.Rev. D69 (2004), 115002, [hep-ph/0309196].
- 154. G. Bhattacharyya, (1997), hep-ph/9709395.
- 155. V. D. Barger, G. Giudice, and T. Han, Phys.Rev. D40 (1989), 2987.
- 156. B. Allanach, A. Dedes, and H. K. Dreiner, Phys.Rev. D60 (1999), 075014, [hep-ph/9906209].
- 157. M. Hirsch, M. Diaz, W. Porod, J. Romao, and J. Valle, Phys.Rev. D62 (2000), 113008, [hep-ph/0004115].
- 158. R. Barbier, C. Berat, M. Besancon, M. Chemtob, A. Deandrea, et al., Phys.Rept. 420 (2005), 1-202, [hepph/0406039].
- 159. D. Lopez-Fogliani and C. Munoz, Phys.Rev.Lett. 97 (2006), 041801, [hep-ph/0508297].
- 160. A. Bartl, M. Hirsch, A. Vicente, S. Liebler, and W. Porod, JHEP 0905 (2009), 120, [0903.3596].
- 161. C.-W. Chiang and E. Senaha, JHEP **1006** (2010), 030, [0912.5069].
- 162. S. Khalil and A. Masiero, Phys.Lett. B665 (2008), 374-377, [0710.3525].
- 163. P. Fileviez Perez and S. Spinner, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011), 035004, [1005.4930].
- 164. B. O'Leary, W. Porod, and F. Staub, JHEP 1205 (2012), 042, [1112.4600].
- L. Basso, B. O'Leary, W. Porod, and F. Staub, JHEP **1209** (2012), 054, [1207.0507].
 M. Malinsky, J. Romao, and J. Valle, Phys.Rev.Lett. **95** (2005), 161801, [hep-ph/0506296].
- 167. P. Bhupal Dev, S. Mondal, B. Mukhopadhyaya, and S. Roy, JHEP 1209 (2012), 110, [1207.6542].
- 168. V. De Romeri and M. Hirsch, JHEP 1212 (2012), 106, [1209.3891].
- 169. I. Gogoladze, B. He, and Q. Shafi, Phys.Lett. B718 (2013), 1008-1013, [1209.5984].
- 170. L. Basso, A. Belyaev, D. Chowdhury, M. Hirsch, S. Khalil, et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013), 698-719, [1206.4563].
- 171. M. Hirsch, M. Malinsky, W. Porod, L. Reichert, and F. Staub, JHEP 1202 (2012), 084, [1110.3037].
- 172. G. Belanger, K. Benakli, M. Goodsell, C. Moura, and A. Pukhov, JCAP 0908 (2009), 027, [0905.1043].
- 173. K. Benakli and M. Goodsell, Nucl. Phys. B840 (2010), 1-28, [1003.4957].
- 174. K. Benakli, M. D. Goodsell, and F. Staub, JHEP 1306 (2013), 073, [1211.0552].
- 175. G. D. Kribs, E. Poppitz, and N. Weiner, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008), 055010, [0712.2039].
- 176. A. Rossi, Phys.Rev. D66 (2002), 075003, [hep-ph/0207006].
- 177. J. Esteves, J. Romao, A. Villanova del Moral, M. Hirsch, J. Valle, et al., JHEP 0905 (2009), 003, [0903.1408].
- J. Esteves, J. Romao, M. Hirsch, A. Vicente, W. Porod, et al., JHEP **1012** (2010), 077, [1011.0348].
 J. Esteves, J. Romao, M. Hirsch, W. Porod, F. Staub, et al., JHEP **1201** (2012), 095, [1109.6478].
- 180. A. Bharucha, A. Goudelis, and M. McGarrie, (2013), 1310.4500.
- 181. W. Hollik, (2010), 1012.3883.
- 182. L. Lopez Honorez, E. Nezri, J. F. Oliver, and M. H. Tytgat, JCAP 0702 (2007), 028, [hep-ph/0612275].

- 183. W. Emam and S. Khalil, Eur.Phys.J. C52 (2007), 625–633, [0704.1395].
 184. L. Basso, A. Belyaev, S. Moretti, and C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, Phys.Rev. D80 (2009), 055030, [0812.4313].
 185. L. Basso, A. Belyaev, S. Moretti, and G. M. Pruna, JHEP 0910 (2009), 006, [0903.4777].

- 186. S. Khalil, Phys.Rev. D82 (2010), 077702, [1004.0013].
 187. H. H. Patel, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and M. B. Wise, Phys.Rev. D88 (2013), no. 1, 015003, [1303.1140].
- 188. G. Branco, P. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. Rebelo, M. Sher, et al., Phys.Rept. 516 (2012), 1–102, [1106.0034].
 189. D. O'Connell, M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, and M. B. Wise, Phys.Rev. D75 (2007), 037701, [hep-ph/0611014].
 190. A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, and C. Yaguna, JCAP 0912 (2009), 008, [0909.2799].