

# ESSENTIAL DIMENSION, STABLE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION, AND STABLE COHOMOLOGY OF FINITE HEISENBERG GROUPS

FEDOR BOGOMOLOV<sup>1</sup> AND CHRISTIAN BÖHNING<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. We compare the notions of essential dimension and stable cohomological dimension of a finite group  $G$ , prove that the latter is bounded by the length of any normal series with cyclic quotients for  $G$ , and show that, however, this bound is not sharp by showing that the stable cohomological dimension of the finite Heisenberg groups  $H_p$ ,  $p$  any prime, is equal to two.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $F$  a finite  $G$ -module. The ground field will be the field of complex numbers unless otherwise specified for all objects below defined over a field. Then we define the *stable cohomology*  $H_s^*(G, F)$ , following [Bogo93], compare also the survey article [Bogo05], as a quotient of the usual group cohomology  $H^*(G, F)$  by the ideal  $I_{\text{unstable}}$  of unstable classes which is the kernel of the map

$$H^*(G, F) \rightarrow \lim_U H^*(U/G, \tilde{F})$$

where  $U$  runs over (smaller and smaller)  $G$ -invariant nonempty Zariski open subset of a generically free (complex) linear  $G$ -representation  $V$ , contained in the open subset  $V^L$  of  $V$  on which the  $G$ -action has trivial stabilizers;  $\tilde{F}$  denotes the local system of abelian groups induced by  $F$  on (by slight abuse of notation) any of the  $U/G$ ; the kernel  $I_{\text{unstable}}$  does not depend on which  $V$  we choose.

Alternatively, if  $\text{BGal}_V$  denotes the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{C}(V)^G$ , we can say that  $H_s^*(G, F)$  is equal to  $H^*(G, F)$  modulo the kernel of the map

$$H^*(\text{BG}, \tilde{F}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{BGal}_V, \tilde{F})$$

derived from the natural map  $f_V : \text{BGal}_V \rightarrow \text{BG}$ . Both spaces  $\text{BGal}_V$  and  $\text{BG}$  carry natural topologies, and in general terms one could say

---

<sup>1</sup> Supported by NSF grant DMS-1001662 and by AG Laboratory GU- HSE grant RF government ag. 11 11.G34.31.0023.

<sup>2</sup> Supported by the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) through Heisenberg-Stipendium BO 3699/1-1.

that what the present article is about is to get a feeling for the homotopy type, call it  $\Omega_G$ , of the image of  $f_V$  (again  $\Omega_G$  is independent of  $V$ ). Eventually, it would be highly desirable to have an explicit topological construction for  $\Omega_G$ , starting from group-theoretic or combinatorial data associated to  $G$  and its representation theory. This is related to the problem to construct for any given finite group  $G$  an explicit discrete group  $\Gamma_G$  with a homomorphism  $\Gamma_G \rightarrow G$  such that  $I_{\text{unstable}}$  coincides with the kernel of the map  $H^*(G, F) \rightarrow H^*(\Gamma_G, F)$ . Such a  $\Gamma_G$  exists, it suffices to take the fundamental group of a sufficiently small Zariski open  $U/G$  in  $V^L/G$  in the notation above, where  $U/G$  is an Eilenberg-McLane space  $K(\Gamma_G, 1)$ , but there is no constructive method to obtain  $U$  or, phrased differently, to tell how many divisors we have to remove to obtain stabilization.

Hence here we study some first dimension-theoretic properties of  $\Omega_G$  in concrete examples. More precisely, the structure and roadmap of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we give a definition for the basic notion of stable cohomological dimension, capturing the dimension theory of  $\Omega_G$  from a cohomological point of view. We also discuss the related notion of essential dimension for  $G$  which captures the dimension theoretic properties of the class of free  $G$ -varieties  $V^L$  can map equivariantly to. We compare the two notions. Actually, in the case of a finite  $p$ -group, Merkurjev showed that the essential dimension is equal to the dimension of the smallest faithful representation of that group [KM], but the stable cohomological dimension is usually much smaller, in fact in Section 3 we prove that the stable cohomological dimension of a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  is bounded by the length of any normal series for  $G$  with cyclic quotients. In the subsequent sections we will show that the stable cohomological dimension is frequently even smaller than this bound.

In Section 4 we study stable cohomology of the finite Heisenberg group  $H_3$  via a geometric construction taking its starting point from the classical Hesse pencil. In particular, we determine the stable cohomology of  $H_3$  with constant coefficients of  $H_3$  completely in this geometric way. Finally, in Section 5 we show that the stable cohomological dimension of the finite Heisenberg groups  $H_p$ ,  $p$  any prime, is two, showing that the bound obtained in Section 3 is not sharp. We conclude with a conjecture that the top degree of nonvanishing stable cohomology with arbitrary coefficients is the same as that using only constant coefficients.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND FIRST PROPERTIES

The notion of essential dimension was defined in [Reich]. The latter encapsulates only one but an important aspect of the behavior of the so called stable cohomology of groups which was introduced and studied in [Bogo88], [Bogo93], [BPT] among others.

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group. The word variety below will not imply that the object is irreducible. If this is intended, we will say irreducible variety.

- Definition 2.1.**
- (1) A *primitive*  $G$ -variety is a variety  $X$  with an action of  $G$  that permutes the components of  $X$  transitively. The  $G$ -action is called *generically free* if there is an open subset  $U \subset X$ , intersecting each irreducible component nontrivially, on which the  $G$ -action is free.
  - (2) For a generically free  $G$ -variety one defines a  $G$ -compression as a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$ , where  $Y$  is another generically free  $G$ -variety.
  - (4) The *essential dimension*  $\text{ed}(X)$  of a primitive generically free  $G$ -variety  $X$  is the smallest possible value of  $\dim(Y/G)$  where  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  is a  $G$ -compression. The *essential dimension of  $G$* , denoted  $\text{ed}(G)$ , is the essential dimension of a generically free linear  $G$ -representation.

The essential dimension of  $G$  is also equal to the smallest integer  $d$  such that any  $G$ -torsor on a function field over  $\mathbb{C}$  can be induced from a generically free algebraic  $G$ -scheme of dimension not exceeding  $d$ , see [GMS].

Let us introduce the second set of notions around which this paper is centered, going back to [Bogo93].

- Definition 2.2.**
- (1) Let  $X$  be an irreducible variety. The stable cohomological dimension of  $X$ , denoted  $\text{scd}(X)$ , is the maximum integer  $n$  such that there exists a locally constant sheaf of finite abelian groups  $F$  on  $X$  with  $H_s^n(X, F) \neq 0$ .
  - (2) Let  $G$  be an algebraic group. Then the stable cohomological dimension  $\text{scd}(G)$  is defined as the maximum integer  $n$  such that there exists a finite  $G$ -module  $M$  with  $H_s^n(G, M) \neq 0$ .

*Remark 2.3.* The numbers  $\text{scd}(X)$  and  $\text{scd}(G)$  are clearly finite: in the first case, it is bounded by  $\dim X$ , in the second by  $\dim V/G$  where  $V$  is a generically free  $G$ -representation.

First we state some immediate consequences of the definitions.

**Proposition 2.4.** (1) *If  $G$  is a finite group and  $H \subset G$  a subgroup, then  $\text{scd}(G) \geq \text{scd}(H)$ .*

(2) *For a finite group  $G$  one has*

$$\text{scd}(G) = \max_p \{\text{scd}(\text{Syl}_p(G))\}.$$

(3) *One always has the inequality  $\text{scd}(G) \leq \text{ed}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* One sees (1) from the equality

$$H^d(H, N) = H^d(G, \text{Ind}_H^G(N))$$

for a finite module  $N$  over  $H$ , and the fact that stable classes in the cohomology of the left hand side give stable classes for the cohomology of  $G$  on the right hand side (restrict to  $H$  to see this).

For (2) notice that we have a decomposition of cohomology groups  $H_s^*(G, M) = \bigoplus_p H_s^*(G, M_{(p)})$  where  $M_{(p)}$  is the  $p$ -primary component of the finite  $G$ -module  $M$ , and an injection

$$H_s^*(G, M_{(p)}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_p H_s^*(\text{Syl}_p(G), M_{(p)}).$$

This shows that  $\text{scd}(G) \leq \max_p \{\text{scd}(\text{Syl}_p(G))\}$ . On the other hand, by (1),  $\text{scd}(G) \geq \max_p \{\text{scd}(\text{Syl}_p(G))\}$  holds as well.

For (3), let  $V$  be a generically free  $G$ -representation. Let  $V \dashrightarrow Y$  be a  $G$ -compression inducing a dominant map  $V/G \dashrightarrow Y/G$  with  $\dim Y/G \leq \text{ed}(G)$ . The (topological) map from  $V^0/G$  to the classifying space  $BG$  factors:

$$V^0/G \rightarrow Y^0/G \rightarrow BG$$

where  $V^0$  and  $Y^0$  are open subsets where the action of  $G$  is free. Hence the stable cohomology of  $G$  vanishes in degrees larger than  $\dim Y/G$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* It was shown in [KM] that the essential dimension of a finite  $p$ -groups is equal to the minimal dimension of a faithful linear representation of the group. The essential dimension of a finite abelian group equals its rank (see for example [BR], Thm. 6.1), hence is equal to the stable cohomological dimension. The known values for  $\text{ed}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ , the essential dimension of the symmetric group, can be found in [M12], Thm. 3.22. In particular,  $\text{ed}(\mathfrak{S}_7) = 4$  whereas  $\text{scd}(\mathfrak{S}_7) = 3$ ; the latter can be seen because the stable cohomological dimension of  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \wr \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2 = \text{Syl}_2(\mathfrak{S}_7)$  is 3 (cf. [B-B12] where the stable cohomology of wreath products is determined). It shows that one can have strict inequality  $\text{scd}(G) < \text{ed}(G)$ .

**Example 2.6.** For an iterated wreath product  $\mathbb{Z}/p \wr \mathbb{Z}/p \wr \cdots \wr \mathbb{Z}/p$  ( $n$  factors) of cyclic groups of prime order  $p$ , the essential dimension and stable cohomological dimension coincide and are equal to  $p^{n-1}$ . This is immediate from [KM] (one has a faithful representation of dimension  $p^{n-1}$ ) and [B-B12].

3. BOUNDS ON STABLE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION VIA NORMAL SERIES

In this section, we bound  $\text{scd}(G)$  for a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  in terms of the length of a normal series for  $G$  with cyclic quotients.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group and let*

$$\{1\} = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

*be a normal series for  $G$ , thus  $G_i$  is normal in the next group  $G_{i+1}$ . Suppose that the quotients  $G_{i+1}/G_i$  are cyclic. Then  $\text{scd}(G) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ , the case  $n = 1$  being clear. Thus we can suppose  $H \triangleleft G$  is a normal subgroup with  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^k$  cyclic, and we will show that  $\text{scd}(G) \leq \text{scd}(H) + 1$ .

Take a faithful representation  $V$  of  $G$ , and let  $V^L$  be an open subvariety of  $V$  which is a  $K(\pi, 1)$ -space and generically free for the  $G$ -action. Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^k$  be a surjection with kernel  $H$ , and let  $\mathbb{C}_\chi$  be a one dimensional representation where  $G$  acts via the character  $\chi$ . The representation  $V \oplus \mathbb{C}_\chi$  contains an open subvariety  $U := V^L \times (\mathbb{C}_\chi - \{0\})$  which is a  $K(\pi, 1)$  and on which  $G$  acts freely. We obtain a natural homomorphism  $\pi_1(U/G) \rightarrow G$  which gives a partial stabilization: the kernel of the map  $H^*(G, F) \rightarrow H_s^*(G, F)$  contains the kernel of the map  $H^*(G, F) \rightarrow H^*(\pi_1(U/G), F)$  for an arbitrary finite coefficient module  $F$ . Let  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathbb{Z}/p^k. \end{array}$$

Then there is a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(U/G) & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & G \end{array}$$

hence the kernel of  $H^*(G, F) \rightarrow H^*(G_{\mathbb{Z}}, F)$  is also killed under stabilization. Consider the spectral sequence arising from the projection

$G_{\mathbb{Z}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  with kernel  $H$ . Since  $H^i(\mathbb{Z}, L) = 0$  for  $i > 1$  and any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $L$ , the spectral sequence splits into short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}, H^{i-1}(H, F)) \longrightarrow H^i(G_{\mathbb{Z}}, F) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{Z}, H^i(H, F)) \longrightarrow 0$$

and stabilization gives a map between exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Z}, H^{i-1}(H, F)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(G_{\mathbb{Z}}, F) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{Z}, H^i(H, F)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Z}, H^{i-1}(H_s, F)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(G_{\mathbb{Z}}, F) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathbb{Z}, H^i(H_s, F)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $H_s$  is a group stabilizing the cohomology of  $H$ . Hence, the image of  $H^i(G_{\mathbb{Z}}, F)$  is zero in the stable cohomology of the fibration  $U \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}_X - \{0\})$  for  $i \geq \text{scd}(H) + 2$ . Thus  $\text{scd}(G) \leq \text{scd}(H) + 1$  as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. THE HEISENBERG GROUP $H_3$ AND THE HESSE PENCIL

Let  $H_3$  be the Heisenberg group over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_3$  sitting in an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3 \rightarrow H_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3 \rightarrow 0$$

with the standard generators  $x$  and  $y$  in the two copies of the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$  satisfying  $x^3 = y^3 = 1$ ,  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = z$  where  $z$  is a generator of the central  $\mathbb{Z}/3$ . If  $E$  is a smooth elliptic curve and  $\mathcal{L}$  a degree 3 line bundle on  $E$ , then  $H_3$  acts on  $V^* = H^0(E, \mathcal{L})$  via the standard Schrödinger representation, embedding  $E$  into  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a curve of the Hesse pencil

$$\lambda(z_1^3 + z_2^3 + z_3^3) + \mu z_1 z_2 z_3 = 0,$$

where the coordinates  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in H^0(E, \mathcal{L})$  are chosen such that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/3$  acts as  $z_i \mapsto \omega^i z_i$  with  $\omega$  a primitive cube root of unity, and  $y$  acts as  $z_i \mapsto z_{i-1}$  with lower indices interpreted mod. 3. For much of the geometry associated to it a good reference is [ArtDolg].

The nine base points of the pencil, call the set of those  $\Sigma$ , are the inflection points of any curve in the pencil and located at

$$(1 : -\varrho : 0), \quad (0 : 1 : -\varrho), \quad (-\varrho : 0 : 1), \quad \varrho^3 = 1.$$

The Hesse pencil gives a rational map  $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $(z_1 : z_2 : z_3) \mapsto (z_1 z_2 z_3, z_1^3 + z_2^3 + z_3^3)$ , undefined at the nine base points, whence after blowing those up we get a regular map

$$\varphi : S(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

where  $S(3)$  is a rational surface having the structure of minimal elliptic surface via  $\varphi$  whose fibers are the members of the Hesse pencil.  $S(3)$  is a so-called *elliptic modular surface of level 3*. If  $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$

are the points corresponding to singular fibers of  $S(3)$ ,  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^0$ ,  $S(3)^0$  the corresponding open complements,  $\varphi^0 : S(3)^0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^0$  the induced map on the complement of the singular fibers, then  $\varphi^0$  is topologically a smooth oriented fibration with fiber  $S^1 \times S^1$  with a section over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . It is classified by the homomorphism  $\pi_1((\mathbb{P}^1)^0) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  (and the topology of the base). Its image is the monodromy group of the fibration. In this case it is the modular group

$$\Gamma(3) = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{3} \right\}.$$

There is a commutative diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* \simeq \mathrm{B}\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/3) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (V \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Sigma))/H_3 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathrm{B}H_3 \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \Sigma)/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2 \simeq (S(3) \setminus (9 \text{ sections}))/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3) \end{array}$$

and, correspondingly, we have two spectral sequences of fibrations

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, H^q(\mathbb{Z}/3)) \implies H^{p+q}(H_3), \\ E_2^{pq} &= H^p((S(3) \setminus (9 \text{ sections}))/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{C}^*)) \implies H^{p+q}((V \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Sigma))/H_3) \end{aligned}$$

(all cohomology taken with some constant coefficients  $F$  here, and we write  $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{C}^*)$  to indicate that this is a local system on the base), connected by a morphism of spectral sequences induced by the diagram. We are interested in the image of the cohomology  $H^*(H_3)$  in the direct limit of the cohomologies of complements of divisors in  $V/H_3$  (i.e., we are interested in  $H_s^*(H_3)$ ).

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any constant coefficients  $F$ , the image of the cohomology  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, F)$  in the stable cohomology*

$$H_s^2(S(3)/(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3), F)$$

*is zero.*

*Proof.* By e.g. [ArtDolg], Prop. 5.1, the quotient surface  $S(3)/(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3)$  has four singular points  $s_1, \dots, s_4$  of type  $A_2$ , given by the orbits of the vertices of the four singular members of the Hesse pencil, which are four triangles. Analytically locally, they are of type  $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ . Outside

of the vertices of the triangles, the action is free. Thus the map

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, F) \rightarrow H_s^2(S(3)/(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3), F)$$

factors over  $S(3)/((\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3) - \{s_1, \dots, s_4\})$  and also, denoting by  $\tilde{S}$  the minimal resolution of  $S(3)/(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3)$ ,  $D_1^\pm, \dots, D_4^\pm$  the divisors lying over  $s_1, \dots, s_4$ , the map factors over  $H^2(\tilde{S} - \{D_1^\pm, \dots, D_4^\pm\}, F)$ . Now we claim that the image in

$$H^2(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(\tilde{S})), F)$$

of every element coming from  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, F)$  has zero residue with respect to any of the divisorial valuations associated to the  $D_i^\pm$ : in fact, the image of the decomposition groups associated to these valuations in  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$  are of type  $\mathbb{Z}/3$ , and the residue maps factor over the stable cohomology of these groups  $\mathbb{Z}/3$ . Hence any element coming from  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, F)$  extends from the open part  $\tilde{S} - \{D_1^\pm, \dots, D_4^\pm\}$  through the generic point of any of the divisors  $D_i^\pm$  and hence to all of  $\tilde{S}$ . Since  $\tilde{S}$  is a rational surface, its second cohomology consists only of classes of algebraic line bundles. All of these are killed under the stabilization morphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *The stable cohomology of the group  $H_3$  in degree 3*

$$H_s^3(H_3, \mathbb{Z}/3)$$

is zero. The ring  $H_s^*(H_3, \mathbb{Z}/3)$  can be described by saying that it is generated by elements  $y, y'$  of degree 1,  $Y, Y'$  of degree 2, and all products between any two of these are zero.

*Proof.* We restrict the left hand fibration in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* \simeq \text{BZ} & \longrightarrow & \text{B}(\mathbb{Z}/3) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (V \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Sigma))/H_3 & \longrightarrow & \text{B}H_3 \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \Sigma)/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2 \simeq (S(3) \setminus (9 \text{ sections}))/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2 & \longrightarrow & \text{B}(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3) \end{array}$$

to an open subset  $U \subset (\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \Sigma)/(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2$  chosen so small that

- (a) The cohomology of  $\tilde{S}$  is stabilized on it.
- (b) It is a  $K(\Gamma, 1)$ .

Then we look at the induced map of spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, H^q(\mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Z}/3)) \implies H^{p+q}(H_3, \mathbb{Z}/3), \\ E_2^{pq} &= H^p(\Gamma, H^q(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3)) \implies H^{p+q}(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathbb{Z}/3) \end{aligned}$$

to find that the image of  $H^3(H_3, \mathbb{Z}/3)$  in  $H^3(\pi^{-1}(U), \mathbb{Z}/3)$  is zero; note that any element coming from  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3, \mathbb{Z}/3)$  extends to  $\tilde{S}$ , i.e. the map to the cohomology of  $U$  factors through the cohomology of  $\tilde{S}$ . The remaining assertions follow from Theorem 7 of [Leary] and the fact that the Steenrod operations are trivial in stable cohomology.  $\square$

*Remark 4.3.* This result agrees with what is stated in [TezYag], Prop. 10.2, though the argument here is different and maybe more elementary.

## 5. STABLE COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF ARBITRARY HEISENBERG GROUPS

Let  $H_p$  be the Heisenberg group  $H_p$ ,  $p$  some prime number, which is a central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow H_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow 0$$

defined by thinking of elements of  $H_p$  as given by matrices

$$M(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/p$  and group composition given by matrix multiplication. Let us write temporarily  $A = \mathbb{Z}/p$ ,  $\hat{A} = \text{Hom}^{\text{gp}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$ , and the isomorphism  $A \simeq \hat{A}$  is given by the assignment

$$A \ni k \mapsto \left( \mathbb{Z}/p \ni j \mapsto \chi_k(j) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}kj} \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* \right) \in \hat{A}.$$

Let  $V$  be the  $p$ -dimensional complex vector space of complex valued functions on the set  $A$ . For a pair  $(a, \chi) \in A \times \hat{A}$  one can define the Weyl operator  $W_{(a, \chi)}$ , a linear self-map from  $V$  to  $V$ , by

$$(W_{(a, \chi)}f)(u) = \chi(u)f(a + u), \quad f \in V, u \in A$$

and the standard  $p$ -dimensional Schrödinger representation  $\varrho$  of  $H_p$  on  $V$  by

$$\varrho(M(a, b, c)) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}c} W_{(a, \chi_b)}.$$

Consider the action of  $H_p$  on  $V \times T$  where  $T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $H_p$  acts on  $T$  via the quotient  $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ , multiplying each coordinate by

a  $p$ -th root of unity. There is an induced action of the quotient  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}(V) \times T$  and a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -fibration

$$(1) \quad f : (V - \{0\} \times T)/H_p \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(V) \times T)/\Gamma.$$

Let  $H \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  be a general hyperplane and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be its  $\Gamma$ -orbit. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a generic hyperplane arrangement and  $\Omega := \mathbb{P}(V) - \mathcal{H}$  has fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1}$ . Moreover,

$$(\Omega \times T)/\Gamma \subset (\mathbb{P}(V) \times T)/\Gamma$$

has a fundamental group  $\Pi$  which is an extension (as is seen by looking at the projection  $(\Omega \times T)/\Gamma \rightarrow T/\Gamma$ )

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1} \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module (hence a  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -module via the surjection  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$  which is the product of the canonical projections in both factors), equal to

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]/\mathbb{Z} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \right)$$

since the fundamental group of the fiber is generated by loops around the hyperplanes in  $\mathcal{H}$  and the sum of these is trivial.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The extension (2) is not a semi-direct product.*

*Proof.* Notice first, to get the right picture of the topological situation, that the class of the projective bundle

$$\omega : (\mathbb{P}(V) \times T)/\Gamma \rightarrow T/\Gamma$$

in the topological Brauer group of  $T/\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$  is trivial: indeed, the topological Brauer group of any good topological space  $X$ , say a finite CW-complex, in our case  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ , which is homotopy equivalent to  $S^1 \times S^1$ , is nothing but  $H_{\text{tors}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , so this vanishes identically. Since we can find an  $S^1 \times S^1$  in the complement of any divisor in  $T/\Gamma$ , the preceding projective bundle has a section  $\Sigma$ . However, we claim that there cannot be any such section  $\Sigma$  contained in the complement of the hyperplane arrangement  $(\Omega \times T)/\Gamma$ : indeed, the Picard group of  $\mathbb{P}(V) - \mathcal{H}$  is torsion, hence the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -fibration over  $(\Omega \times T)/\Gamma$  corresponds to a torsion line bundle and would restrict trivially to  $\Sigma$ . Hence the fundamental group  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  of this restricted  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -fibration cannot surject onto the nonabelian group  $H_p$ .

Note that if we do not remove  $\mathcal{H}$ , then we can find a  $\Sigma$  with a nontrivial  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -fibration over it and fundamental group a nontrivial extension of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ , surjecting onto  $H_p$ .  $\square$

We need some auxiliary results about stable cohomology of  $\Gamma$  with arbitrary coefficients to proceed.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$ -module annihilated by a power of  $p$ . Let  $c := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$  and let  $M_c \subset M$  be the submodule consisting of elements annihilated by  $c$ . Then the natural map*

$$H_s^2(\Gamma, M_c) \rightarrow H_s^2(\Gamma, M)$$

*is surjective.*

We first remark

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $F$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  module,  $t := \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/p} g \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p]$ , and  $F_t \subset F$  the submodule annihilated by  $t$ . Then the natural map*

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F_t) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F)$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* Recall that for any group  $G$  and  $G$ -module  $M$ ,  $H^1(G, M)$  can be interpreted as derivations  $f : G \rightarrow M$ , i.e. maps  $f$  from  $G$  to  $M$  satisfying

$$f(gh) = f(g) + gf(h) \quad \forall g, h \in G,$$

modulo principal derivations, which are those mapping  $g \in G$  to  $(1 - g)m$  for some fixed  $m \in M$ . Now a derivation  $f : \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow F$  is determined by the image of a generator  $x$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ ,  $f(x) = m$  say, but since  $x^p = \text{id}$ , not every  $m \in F$  can occur: since

$$0 = f(x^p) = (1 + x + \cdots + x^{p-1})m = t \cdot m$$

only those  $m$  which lie in  $F_t$  can be potential images of  $x$ , but this is the only restriction. Hence

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F) = F_t/[\mathbb{Z}/p, F].$$

The natural map  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F_t) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F)$  is nothing other than the map

$$F_t/[\mathbb{Z}/p, F_t] \rightarrow F_t/[\mathbb{Z}/p, F]$$

which is visibly surjective. □

Now we turn to the

*Proof.* (of Proposition 5.2) The stabilization morphism  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F) \rightarrow H_s^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F)$  factors over the natural map

$$H^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, F) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}, H^1(\mathbb{Z}, F))$$

where for the last isomorphism we use the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence applied to the trivial extension  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ . Denote by  $C_1, C_2$  the two coordinate copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  in  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$ .

**Claim:** The natural map

$$H^1(C_1, H^1(C_2, F_c)) \rightarrow H^1(C_1, H^1(C_2, F))$$

is surjective.

Let us denote by  $t_1$  resp.  $t_2$  the elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[C_i]$ ,  $i = 1$  resp.  $= 2$ , which are the sums of all the elements in the group. Then by Lemma 5.3 we have that  $H^1(C_1, H^1(C_2, F))$  is equal to

$$H^1(C_1, F_{t_2}/[C_2, F]) = \{x \in F_{t_2}/[C_2, F] | t_1 \cdot x = 0\} / [C_1, F_{t_2}/[C_2, F]].$$

In other words, elements in this group are given by elements in  $F$  which are annihilated by  $t_2$  and mapped by multiplication by  $t_1$  into  $[C_2, F]$ , but considered modulo elements in a certain commutator submodule. But every element in  $F_{t_2}$  is automatically in  $F_c$  since

$$c = t_1 \cdot t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma],$$

hence the Claim follows.

Now  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F))$  is in fact a subspace of  $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F)$  (the assertion of course with  $F$  replaced by  $F_c$ ) which surjects onto  $H_s^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F)$ : the fact that it is a subspace follows by looking at the Hochschild-Lyndon-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(C_1, H^q(C_2, F)) \implies H^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F)$$

and remarking that the differential

$$d_2 : H^1(C_1, H^1(C_2, F)) \rightarrow H^3(C_1, H^0(C_2, F))$$

is zero because the cohomology of the base  $H^3(C_1, H^0(C_2, F))$  injects into the cohomology of the total space, since the group extension under consideration is trivial, hence has a section. The terms  $H^2(C_1, H^0(C_2, F))$  and  $H^0(C_1, H^2(C_2, F))$  of the  $E_2$ -page are already mapped to zero under the morphism of spectral sequences to

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathbb{Z}, H^q(\mathbb{Z}, F)) \implies H^2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, F)$$

and, as remarked above, the stabilization morphism factors over  $H^2(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, F)$ . Hence  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, H^1(\mathbb{Z}/p, F))$  surjects onto  $H_s^2(\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p, F)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $M$  be a module for  $\Gamma$  (or  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ , which amounts to the same) which is finite, annihilated by some power of  $p$ , and annihilated by  $c = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ . We call such an  $M$  a *basic module* for  $\Gamma$ .

Hence, if  $M$  is a basic  $\Gamma$ -module, then any map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow M$$

onto a one generator submodule factors over  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]/(c)$ . The next Lemma won't be needed for the derivation of the main result, but has some independent interest.

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $M$  is a rank one  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^N$  as an abelian group for some  $N$  and not free as a  $\mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$ -module, then*

$$\left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \right) \cdot m$$

*is trivial in  $M$ , hence  $M$  is basic.*

*Proof.* We calculate in  $\mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$ . Write  $x := 1 - g_1, y := 1 - g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$ , where  $g_1, g_2$  are generators of the two coordinate copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  in  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Then every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$  can be written as

$$z = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1} a_{ij} x^i y^j, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

Since  $M$  is not free as a  $\mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$ -module, there will be a nonzero such  $z$  in the kernel of the surjection  $\mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma] \twoheadrightarrow M$  defined by the generator  $m$ . The kernel being a left-ideal (we consider  $M$  as a left  $\mathbb{Z}/p[\Gamma]$ -module), we see that also  $x^{p-1}y^{p-1}$  is in the kernel (for this, let  $x^{i_0}y^{j_0}$  be the monomial occurring in  $z$  with nonzero coefficient which has lexicographically smallest  $(i, j)$ , and multiply  $z$  by  $x^{p-1-i_0}y^{p-1-j_0}$ , and note that  $x^p = y^p = 0$ ). Now

$$x^{p-1}y^{p-1} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i \binom{p-1}{i} g_1 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1)^j \binom{p-1}{j} g_2 \right)$$

and  $(-1)^i \binom{p-1}{i} = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ , so  $x^{p-1}y^{p-1} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma$ . □

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $M$  is a basic  $\Gamma$ -module, then the image of  $H^2(\Gamma, M)$  is trivial in  $H^2((\Omega \times T)/\Gamma, \tilde{M})$  where  $\tilde{M}$  is the local system on  $(\Omega \times T)/\Gamma$  induced by  $M$ .*

*Proof.* We have to show that given a nontrivial extension in  $H^2(\Gamma, M)$ :

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0$$

(where by definition  $\Gamma$  acts on the abelian normal subgroup  $M$  of  $H$  via conjugation and this is the given action of  $\Gamma$  on  $M$ ), this extension

becomes trivial when we pull it back to  $\Pi$  via the surjection  $\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & H_{\Pi} & \longrightarrow & \Pi \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

That the upper extension splits (i.e., is a semi-direct product) is equivalent to the existence of a dashed arrow as shown. In fact, given such an arrow, we get a section of the projection  $H_{\Pi} \rightarrow \Pi$  since  $H_{\Pi}$  is a fiber product of  $H$  and  $\Pi$  over  $\Gamma$ ; and given a section, we get the required dashed arrow by composing with  $H_{\Pi} \rightarrow H$ .

We claim that we can form some commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1} & \longrightarrow & \Pi & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with the right hand vertical arrow  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$  the product of the two canonical projections  $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ . In other words, we have to justify the existence of the dashed arrows.

To do this, suppose that  $M$  is annihilated by  $p^k$ ; then we know that every submodule of  $M$  generated by one element is the image of a map

$$\mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c) \rightarrow M$$

since  $M$  is basic. The reduction map  $\mathbb{Z}[G]/(c) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[G]/(c)$  induces a morphism of extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]/(c) & \longrightarrow & \Pi & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c) & \longrightarrow & \Pi' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and it suffices to define maps  $\varphi', \pi'$ , making

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c) & \longrightarrow & \Pi' & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutative.

We want to define the map  $\varphi'$  in the following way: let  $a = (1, 0), b = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\alpha = (\bar{1}, \bar{0}), \beta = (\bar{0}, \bar{1}) \in \mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p = \Gamma$ . Then we claim that we get a *well-defined homomorphism*  $\varphi'$  by the rule

$$\tilde{a} \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{b} \mapsto \tilde{\beta}$$

where  $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$  are arbitrary lifts of  $a, b$  to  $\Pi'$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$  equally arbitrary lifts of  $\alpha, \beta$  to  $H$ . In fact, we will show that then  $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$  is a generator of the  $\Gamma$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c)$  whence the map  $\pi'$  is the one mapping this generator to  $[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}]$  which generates a rank 1 submodule of  $M$ . Then  $\pi'$  and with it also  $\varphi'$  will be well-defined since  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c)$  can be mapped in a well-defined way into any basic module annihilated by  $p^k$  by assigning the image of a generator arbitrarily.

We now use that the extension (2) is not a semi-direct product by Lemma 5.1 to show that  $\gamma := [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$  is a generator of the  $\Gamma$ -module  $F := \mathbb{Z}/(p^k)[\Gamma]/(c)$ : in fact, by hypothesis, the class  $\bar{\gamma}$  in  $\bar{F} := F/pF$  maps to a generator of  $\bar{F}/[\Gamma, \bar{F}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$ . Hence, since the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\bar{F}$  is nilpotent and this has a filtration by  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspaces with  $\bar{F}/[\Gamma, \bar{F}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$  the maximal quotient on which the  $\Gamma$ -action is trivial, we get that  $\bar{\gamma}$  generates  $\bar{F}$ . But then  $\gamma$  must generate  $F$  because any proper submodule of  $F$  is mapped into a proper submodule of  $\bar{F}$  under the reduction map.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7.** *If  $M$  is any finite  $\Gamma$ -module, the image of  $H^*(\Gamma, M)$  (or what amounts to the same thing,  $H_s^*(\Gamma, M)$ ) in  $H_s^2((\Omega \times T)/\Gamma, \tilde{M})$  is zero.*

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *For any finite  $H_p$ -module  $N$ ,  $H_s^i(H_p, N) = 0$  for  $i \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* We have three fibrations: first the fibration  $F$  given by  $BH_p \rightarrow B\Gamma$  with typical fiber  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ , secondly the fibration, call it  $F'$ ,

$$(3) \quad f : f^{-1}((\Omega \times T)/\Gamma) \rightarrow (\Omega \times T)/\Gamma,$$

and lastly, the fibration  $f|_{f^{-1}(U)}$ , call it  $F''$  where  $U \subset (\Omega \times T)/\Gamma$  is a Zariski open subset such that the maps from  $H^i(\Gamma, F)$  to  $H^i(U, F)$  factors over  $H_s^i(\Gamma, F)$  for any  $\Gamma$ -module  $F$  resp. induced local system  $F$  on  $U$ . We get maps of fibrations  $F'' \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F$ , and corresponding maps of the associated Serre spectral sequences of the fibrations.

We want to show that the image of  $H^i(BH_p, \tilde{N})$  in  $H^i(f^{-1}(U), \tilde{N})$  is zero for all  $i \geq 3$ . Now this follows from an inspection of the corresponding maps of spectral sequences taking into account the following facts:

- the image of  $H^2(B\Gamma, \mathcal{H}^j(B(\mathbb{Z}/p), \tilde{N}))$  in  $H^2(U, \mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{C}^*, \tilde{N}))$  is zero by Corollary 5.7.

- the image of  $H^i(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{H}^j(\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/p), \tilde{N}))$  in  $H^i(U, \mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{C}^*, \tilde{N}))$  for  $i \geq 3$  is zero by the choice of  $U$ ;
- the image of  $H^i(\mathrm{B}\Gamma, \mathcal{H}^j(\mathrm{B}(\mathbb{Z}/p), \tilde{N}))$  in  $H^i(U, \mathcal{H}^j(\mathbb{C}^*, \tilde{N}))$  for  $j \geq 2$  is zero since then  $H^j(\mathbb{C}^*, \tilde{N}) = 0$ .

□

**Theorem 5.9.** *The stable cohomological dimension of the Heisenberg group  $H_p$  is equal to 2. In particular, the bound given in Theorem 3.1 (equal to 3 in the present case) is not sharp.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.8, the stable cohomological dimension of  $H_p$  is  $\leq 2$  and it suffices to show that

$$H_s^2(H_p, \mathbb{Z}/p) \neq 0$$

(where  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  are trivial/constant coefficients). We use the computation of the usual group cohomology  $H^2(H_p, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  in [Leary]: in particular, it is shown there (Theorem 6 resp. Theorem 7, cf. also p. 70, l. 5 ff.) that there exists an element  $Y \in H^2(H_p, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  restricting to a product of elements of degree 1 on a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p$  in  $H_p$ . Such a  $Y$  must be stable. □

We want to conclude with the following

**Conjecture 5.10.** Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. Let  $d$  be the stable cohomological dimension of  $G$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -module  $A$  with trivial action such that  $H_s^d(G, A) \neq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [A-M] Adem, A., Milgram, R. J., *Cohomology of finite groups*, 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **309**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [ArtDolg] Artebani, M. & Dolgachev, I., *The Hesse pencil of plane cubic curves*, L’Enseign. Math. **55** (2009), 235–273
- [Ben] Benson, D.J., *Representations and Cohomology II. Cohomology of groups and modules*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **31**, Cambridge University Press (1991)
- [Bogo88] Bogomolov, F., *The Brauer group of quotient spaces by linear group actions*, Math. USSR Izvestiya Vol. **30**, No. 3, (1988)
- [Bogo93] Bogomolov, F., *Stable Cohomology of Groups and Algebraic Varieties*, Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math. Vol. **76** (1993), No. 1, 1-21
- [Bogo95] Bogomolov, F., *On the structure of the Galois groups of the fields of rational functions*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Volume **58.2** (1995) (S. Barbara conference 1992 “K-theory and quadratic forms”) p. 83-88.

- [Bogo05] Bogomolov, F., *Stable cohomology of finite and profinite groups*, in: Algebraic Groups (Yuri Tschinkel (Ed.)), Mathematisches Institut Georg-August-Universität Göttingen Summer School 27.06.-13.07.2005, Universitätsverlag Göttingen 2007
- [B-B11] Bogomolov, F., Böhning, Chr., *Stable cohomology of alternating groups*, Central European Journal of Mathematics, Volume **12**, Issue 2, (2014), 212–228
- [B-B12] Bogomolov, F., Böhning, Chr., *Isoclinism and stable cohomology of wreath products*, in “Birational Geometry, Rational Curves, and Arithmetic”, Simons Symposia, Springer (2013), 57–77
- [BMP] Bogomolov, F., Maciel, J., Petrov, T., *Unramified Brauer groups of finite simple groups of Lie type  $A_l$* , Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 4, p. 935-949
- [BPT] Bogomolov, F., Petrov, T., Tschinkel, Yu., *Unramified cohomology of finite groups of Lie type*, in Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems, Progr. Math., **282**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010, p. 5573
- [B-P] Bogomolov, F., Petrov, T., *Unramified cohomology of alternating groups*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **9** (5), (2011), 936–948
- [BR] Buhler, J., Reichstein, Z., *On the Essential Dimension of a Finite Group*, Compositio Math. **106** (1997), 159–179.
- [CTO] Colliot-Thélène, Ojanguren, M., *Variétés unirationnelles non rationnelles: au delà de l'exemple d'Artin et Mumford*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 141-158
- [C-R] Curtis, C.W., Reiner, I., *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, American mathematical Society (1962), AMS Chelsea reprint (2006)
- [GMS] Garibaldi, S., Merkurjev, A., Serre, J.-P., *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, University Lecture Series **28**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Evens] Evens, L., *The Cohomology of Groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press (1991)
- [KM] Karpenko, N.& Merkurjev, A., *Essential dimension of finite  $p$ -groups*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 491–508.
- [Leary] Leary, I.J., *The mod- $p$  cohomology rings of some  $p$ -groups*, Math. Proc. of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **112** (1992), 63–75
- [M12] Merkurjev, A., *Essential dimension*, recent survey (2012) available at <http://www.math.ucla.edu/~merkurev/papers/survey-update.pdf>
- [Pey08] Peyre, E., *Unramified cohomology of degree 3 and Noether's problem*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 191-225
- [Quill71a] Quillen, D., *The Adams Conjecture*, Topology Vol. **10** (1971), 67-80
- [Quill71b] Quillen, D., *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring I*, Annals of Math. **94** (1971), 549-572
- [Quill71c] Quillen, D., *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring II*, Annals of Math. **94** (1971), 573-602
- [Quill78] Quillen, D., *Homotopy Properties of the Poset of Nontrivial  $p$ -Subgroups of a Group*, Advances in Math. **28** (1978), 101-128

- [Reich] Reichstein, Z., *On the notion of essential dimension for algebraic groups*, Transformation Groups, **5**, no. 3 (2000), 265–304
- [Serre] Serre, J-P., *Galois Cohomology*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag (2002)
- [Steen] Steenrod, N.E., *Cohomology Operations*, Lectures by N.E. Steenrod, written and revised by D.B.A. Epstein, Annals of Mathematics Studies **50**, Princeton University Press 1962
- [TezYag] Tezuka, M. & Yagita, N., *The image of the map from group cohomology to Galois cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 4475–4503

F. BOGOMOLOV, COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 251 MERCER ST., NEW YORK, NY 10012, U.S.A., *and*

LABORATORY OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, GU-HSE, 7 VAVILOVA STR., MOSCOW, RUSSIA, 117312

*E-mail address:* bogomolo@courant.nyu.edu

CHRISTIAN BÖHNING, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK DER UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, BUNDESSTRASSE 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* christian.boehning@math.uni-hamburg.de