

ON SOME INEQUALITIES OF CHEBYSHEV TYPE

ANDRIY L. SHIDLICH, STANISLAV O. CHAICHENKO

Abstract. We obtain some new inequalities of Chebyshev Type.

1. Introduction.

Let $f, g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions, both increasing or both decreasing. Further, let $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be an integrable function. Then (see, for example, [1, Chap. IX])

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x)dx \geq \int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx \left(\int_a^b p(x)dx \right)^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

If one of the functions f or g is nonincreasing and the other nondecreasing the reversed inequality is true, i.e.,

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x)dx \leq \int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx \left(\int_a^b p(x)dx \right)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) are known as Chebyshev's inequalities. These inequalities were obtained by P.L. Chebyshev [2, 3] and they attracted great interest of the researchers. So, a lot of analogues and generalizations of inequalities (1) and (2) is known. In particular, these results can be found in Chapter IX of the book [1] by D.S. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink which trace completely the historical and chronological developments of Chebyshev's and related inequalities (see also [4, 5]). Also we would like to recommend the article of H.P. Heinig and L. Maligranda [6], where one can find a lot of important results on Chebyshev's inequalities for strongly increasing functions, positive convex and concave functions as well as on Chebyshev's inequalities in Banach function spaces and symmetric spaces.

In [7], these investigations were developed in the following direction: the author found necessary and sufficient conditions on the function $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any monotone function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ the relations

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x)dx \geq \left(\int_a^b p^r(x)f^r(x)dx \right)^{1/r} \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx \left(\int_a^b p^r(x)dx \right)^{-1/r} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x)dx \leq \left(\int_a^b p^r(x)f^r(x)dx \right)^{1/r} \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx \left(\int_a^b p^r(x)dx \right)^{-1/r} \quad (4)$$

hold with r being an arbitrary positive number.

In this paper we continue the study of the inequalities of the type (1)–(4), namely, we obtain the following assertions:

THEOREM 1. Assume that $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are integrable functions such that the product $p \cdot g$ is also integrable on $[a, b]$ function. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a nonincreasing function. Then for any convex function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \leq \sup_{s \in (a,b)} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx}{\int_a^s p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx \right\}, \quad (5)$$

and for any concave function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \geq \inf_{s \in (a,b)} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx}{\int_a^s p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, if the function $f(x) \equiv c$, $c \geq 0$, then relations (5) and (6) are equalities.

Putting $M(t) = t^{1/r}$, $r > 0$, from Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. Let $r \in (0, 1]$, and let $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be integrable functions such that for all $s \in (a, b]$,

$$\frac{\int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^s p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}} \leq \frac{\int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^b p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}}. \quad (7)$$

Then for any nonincreasing function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$,

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)f(x)dx \leq \left(\int_a^b p(x)f^r(x)dx\right)^{1/r} \frac{\int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^b p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}}. \quad (8)$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $r \geq 1$, and let $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be integrable functions such that for all $s \in (a, b]$,

$$\frac{\int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^s p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}} \geq \frac{\int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^b p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}}. \quad (9)$$

Then for any nonincreasing function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$,

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)f(x)dx \geq \left(\int_a^b p(x)f^r(x)dx\right)^{1/r} \frac{\int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx}{\left(\int_a^b p(x)dx\right)^{1/r}}. \quad (10)$$

If in corollaries 1 and 2, we put $r = 1$, then we see that relations (8) and (10) are the Chebyshev's classical inequalities (1) and (2). Furthermore, it should be noted that conditions on the functions p and g of the form (7) and (9) for validity of inequalities (1) and (2) were considered in the papers [7] and [8].

In the case, where the function $M(f(x))$ is nonincreasing and the function g is nondecreasing (or nonincreasing), we can apply the Chebyshev's classical inequalities to the integral $\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx$ on the left-hand side of relations (5) (or (6)). Respectively, we obtain

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \leq \frac{\int_a^b p(x)M(f(x))dx}{\int_a^b p(x)dx} \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx \quad (11)$$

and

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \geq \frac{\int_a^b p(x)M(f(x))dx}{\int_a^b p(x)dx} \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, if exact upper (or lower) bound on the right-hand side of (5) (or (6)) is realized for $s = b$, then from relations (5) and (6) we get

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \leq M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx}{\int_a^b p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx \geq M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx}{\int_a^b p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^b p(x)g(x)dx. \quad (14)$$

Here, it should be note that by virtue of Jensen's inequality (see, for example, [1, Chap. I]), estimations (13) and (14) of the integral $\int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx$ are more precisely, than estimations (11) and (12).

REMARK. In the case, where the function f does not decrease, inequalities (5) and (6) have the similar form, but in these inequalities, all the integrals of the kind $\int_a^s(\cdot)$ should be replaced by the integrals of the kind $\int_s^b(\cdot)$.

2. Discrete analogue of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. Assume that $a = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^m$, $b = \{b_k\}_{k=1}^m$ and $p = \{p_k\}_{k=1}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are nonnegative number sequences such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots a_m$ and $p_k > 0$. Then for any convex function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k b_k M(a_k) \leq \max_{s \in [1, m]} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k}\right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\}, \quad (15)$$

and for any concave function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k b_k M(a_k) \geq \min_{s \in [1, m]} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k}\right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, if the sequence $a_k \equiv c$, $c \geq 0$, then relations (15) and (16) are equalities.

Proof. Consider the case, where the function M is convex (in the case, where the function M is concave, the proof is similar). Let us prove by the induction on m the proposition that for any convex function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, inequality (15) holds.

The case $m = 1$ is obvious.

Also consider the case $m = 2$.

Put

$$c = p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2, \quad x_0 = p_1 a_1, \quad \alpha_k = p_k b_k, \quad \beta_k = p_k^{-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad (17)$$

and consider on the interval $[0, c]$ the function

$$h(x) = \alpha_1 M(\beta_1 x) + \alpha_2 M(\beta_2 (c - x)). \quad (18)$$

Due to convexity of the function $M(t)$, the function $h(x)$ is also convex on $[0, c]$. Hence, this function attains its maximum value on any interval $[x_1, x_2] \subseteq [0, c]$ at one of its endpoints. Thus

$$h(x) \leq \max\{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} \quad \forall x \in [x_1, x_2]. \quad (19)$$

Setting $x_1 := \beta_2 c (\beta_1 + \beta_2)^{-1}$ and $x_2 := c$, we see that the number x_0 (by virtue of monotonicity of the sequence a) belongs to the interval $[x_1, x_2]$.

Therefore, in view of relations (17)–(19) and of the equality $M(0) = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^2 p_k b_k M(a_k) = h(x_0) \leq \max\{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} = \\ & = \max \left\{ M \left(\frac{p_1 a_2 + p_2 a_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right) (p_1 b_2 + p_2 b_2), M \left(\frac{p_1 a_2 + p_2 a_2}{p_1} \right) p_1 b_1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $m = 2$, inequality (15) holds.

Now, assume that for $m = n - 1 \geq 1$, the proposition is true.

Let us show that for $m = n$, it is also true. Let us use notations (17) and consider on the interval $[0, c]$ the function $h(x)$ of the form as in (18). Setting $x_1 := \beta_2 c (\beta_1 + \beta_2)^{-1}$ and $x_2 := c - a_3 / \beta_2$, we see that the number x_0 (by virtue of monotonicity of the sequence a) belongs to the interval $[x_1, x_2]$. Thus in view of relations (17)–(19),

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_k b_k M(a_k) = h(x_0) + \sum_{k=3}^n p_k b_k M(a_k) \leq \max\{h(x_1), h(x_2)\} + \sum_{k=3}^n p_k b_k M(a_k). \quad (20)$$

Further, in the case, where $h(x_1) \geq h(x_2)$, we set

$$p'_k = \begin{cases} p_1 + p_2, & k = 1, \\ p_{k+1}, & k = \overline{2, m-1}; \end{cases} \quad b'_k = \begin{cases} (p_1 b_1 + p_2 b_2) / (p_1 + p_2), & k = 1, \\ b_{k+1}, & k = \overline{2, m-1}; \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} (p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2) / (p_1 + p_2), & k = 1, \\ a_{k+1}, & k = \overline{2, m-1}. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Then by virtue of (20), we conclude that the following relation is true:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k b_k M(a_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} p'_k b'_k M(a'_k). \quad (23)$$

In the case, where $h(x_1) < h(x_2)$, relation (23) holds for the sequences a' , b' and p' of the form:

$$p'_k = \begin{cases} p_1, & k = 1, \\ p_2 + p_3, & k = 2, \\ p_{k+1}, & k = \overline{3, m-1}; \end{cases} \quad b'_k = \begin{cases} b_1, & k = 1, \\ (p_2 b_2 + p_3 b_3) (p_2 + p_3)^{-1}, & k = 2, \\ b_{k+1}, & k = \overline{3, m-1}; \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} (p_1 a_1 + p_2 a_2 - p_2 a_3) / p_1, & k = 1, \\ a_{k+1}. & k = \overline{2, m-1}, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

The sum on the right-hand side of (23) contains $n - 1$ items. Furthermore, in both cases, for the sequences a' , b' and p' , the induction assumption is satisfied. Thus, taking into account (21)–(25), we obtain the necessary estimate (15):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k b_k M(a_k) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p'_k b'_k M(a'_k) \leq \sup_{s \in [1, n-1]} \left\{ M \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p'_k a'_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p'_k} \right) \sum_{k=1}^s p'_k b'_k \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in [1, n]} \left\{ M \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k} \right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Consider the case, where the function M is convex (in the case, where the function M is concave, the proof is similar). First, let us verify that inequality (5) holds for any function f such that for a certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(x) = a_k, \quad x \in [l_{k-1}, l_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_m \geq 0$ and $a = l_0 < l_1 < \dots < l_m = b$.

For any $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we put

$$p_k = \int_{l_{k-1}}^{l_k} p(x) dx, \quad b_k = \int_{l_{k-1}}^{l_k} p(x) g(x) dx \left(\int_{l_{k-1}}^{l_k} p(x) dx \right)^{-1}.$$

Then by virtue of Lemma 1, we get (5):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b p(x) g(x) M(f(x)) dx &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{l_{k-1}}^{l_k} p(x) g(x) M(f(x)) dx = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k b_k M(a_k) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in [1, m] \cap \mathbb{N}} \left\{ M \left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^m p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k} \right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\} = \sup_{s \in [1, m] \cap \mathbb{N}} \left\{ M \left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_a^{l_s} p(x) dx} \right) \int_a^{l_s} p(x) g(x) dx \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{s \in (a, b]} \left\{ M \left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x) f(x) dx}{\int_a^s p(x) dx} \right) \int_a^s p(x) g(x) dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove the validity of inequality (5) in general case. First, note that if the functions M and f satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, then there exists the number $n_0 = n_0(M, f) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n > n_0$ and for all $x \in [a; b]$, the inequality $|M(f(x))| < n$ holds.

For any $n > n_0$, consider the system of points $l_0^{(n)} < l_1^{(n)} < \dots < l_m^{(n)} = b$, defined in the following way: we put $l_0^{(n)} := a$ and for any $k \in [1; m] \cap \mathbb{N}$ the value $l_k^{(n)}$ is a greatest positive number such that $l_k^{(n)} > l_{k-1}^{(n)}$ and for all $x \in [l_{k-1}^{(n)}; l_k^{(n)})$ the following relation is true:

$$|M(f(l_{k-1}^{(n)})) - M(f(x))| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

By virtue of the conditions on the function M and f , this system of points always exist and $m \leq 2n^2$.

Further, consider the functions $f_n = f_n(x)$ such that

$$f_n(x) \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow l_k^{(n)-}} f(t), \quad \text{for all } x \in [l_{k-1}^{(n)}; l_k^{(n)}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (26)$$

We see that the inequality $|M(f(x)) - M(f_n(x))| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ holds for all $n > n_0$ and $x \in [a, b]$. Due to integrability on $[a, b]$ of the product $p(x)g(x)$, the values

$$\int_a^b p(x)g(x)[M(f(x)) - M(f_n(x))] dx$$

converge to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, for any $n > n_0$, the function $f_n(x)$ is nonincreasing and it takes finitely many values on $[a, b]$. Hence, this function satisfies the conditions of the proposition proved above.

Thus, in view of (26) and continuity of the function M , we conclude that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all sufficiently great n ($n > n_1(\varepsilon)$),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f(x))dx = \int_a^b p(x)g(x)M(f_n(x))dx + \int_a^b p(x)g(x)(M(f(x)) - M(f_n(x)))dx \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{s \in (a; b]} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f_n(x)dx}{\int_a^s p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx \right\} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \sup_{s \in (a; b]} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx}{\int_a^s p(x)dx}\right) \int_a^s p(x)g(x)dx \right\} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, relation (5) is true.

Analyzing the proof of Theorem 1, we see that the similar statement is also true in the case, where $b = \infty$.

THEOREM 1'. *Assume that $g: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $p: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ (where $b \in (a, \infty]$) are integrable functions such that the product $p \cdot g$ is also integrable on $[a, b]$ function. Let also $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a nonincreasing function. Then for any convex (or concave) function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, inequality (5) (or inequality (6)) is true.*

Analogically, one can obtain the statement, similar to Lemma 1, in the case, where $n = \infty$.

LEMMA 1'. *Let $a = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $b = \{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $p = \{p_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be nonnegative number sequences such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots$, $p_k > 0$ and the series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k b_k$ is convergent. Then for any convex function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:*

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k b_k M(a_k) \leq \sup_{s \in [1, \infty)} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k}\right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\}, \quad (15')$$

and for any concave function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k b_k M(a_k) \geq \inf_{s \in [1, \infty)} \left\{ M\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^\infty p_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^s p_k}\right) \sum_{k=1}^s p_k b_k \right\}. \quad (16')$$

- [1] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, A. M. Fink, "Classical and new inequalities in analysis." Kluwer (1993), 740 p.
- [2] P. L. Chebyshev, "O priblizhenykh vyrazhenijah odnih integralov cherez drugie," Soobschenija i Protokoly Zasedanij Matematicheskogo Obschestva pri Imperatorskom Khar'kovskom Universitete, No. 2 (1882), 93–98; Polnoe Sobranie Sochinenii P.L. Chebysheva. Moskva–Leningrad, 1978. **3**, 128–131.
- [3] P. L. Chebyshev, "Ob odnom rjade, dostavljajuschem predel'nye velichiny integralov pri razlozhenii podintegral'noi funkcii na mnozhiteli," Prilozhenie k 57 tomy Zapisok Imp. Akad. Nauk, No 4 (1883); Polnoe Sobranie Sochinenii P.L. Chebysheva. Moskva–Leningrad, 1978. **3**, 157–169.
- [4] D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, "History, variations and generalisations of the Čebyšev inequality and the question of some priorities," Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. **461–497** (1974), 1–30.
- [5] D. S. Mitrinović and J. E. Pečarić, "History, variations and generalisations of the Čebyšev inequality and the question of some priorities II," Rad JAZU (Zagreb), **450**, fasc. 9 (1990), 139–156.
- [6] H. P. Heinig and L. Maligranda, "Chebyshev inequality in function spaces Real Anal. Exchange, **17**, 1 (1991/92), 211–247.
- [7] A.L. Shidlich, "On necessary and sufficient for validity of some Chebyshev-Type inequalities," Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, **5**, 1 (2011), 71–85.
- [8] J.F. Steffensen, "En Ulighted mellem Middelve edier," Mat. Tidsskrift, **1920 B**, 49–53.