

Generalized s -convex function on fractal sets[☆]

Huixia Mo^{a,*}, Xin Sui^a

^a*School of Science, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, 100876, China*

Abstract

In the paper, we introduce two kinds of generalized s -convex functions on fractal sets. And similar to the class situation, we also study the properties of these two kinds of generalized s -convex functions and discuss the relationship between them. Based on these properties, we get some applications on fractal sapce.

Keywords: s -convex function, fractal sets, local fractional calculus

2010 MSC: 52A41, 26B25, 90C25, 26A33, 28A80

1. Introduction

The convexity of functions is an important concept in the class mathematical analysis course. And the convex function plays an significant role in many fields, such as in biological system[1], economy[2], optimization and so on. The following definitions are well known in the mathematical literature: a function $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex on $[a, b]$ if inequality

$$f(tu + (1 - t)v) \leq tf(u) + (1 - t)f(v)$$

holds for all $u, v \in [a, b]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

In the paper [3], Hudzik and Maligranda generalized the definition of convex function and considered, among others, two kinds of functions which are s -convex.

Let $0 < s \leq 1$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, then the two kinds of s -convex functions are defined in the following way.

Definition 1.1. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is said to be s -convex in the first sense if*

$$f(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \alpha^s f(u) + \beta^s f(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha^s + \beta^s = 1$. We denote this by $f \in K_s^1$.

[☆]This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11161042)

*Corresponding author. Email: huixmo@bupt.edu.cn

Definition 1.2. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is said to be s -convex in the second sense if

$$f(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \alpha^s f(u) + \beta^s f(v),$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. We denote this by $f \in K_s^2$.

It is obvious that the s -convexity means just the convexity when $s = 1$, no matter in the first sense or in the second sense. In the paper [3], some properties of s -convex functions in both senses are considered and various examples and counterexamples are given. There are many research results related to the s -convex functions, see [4, 5, 6] and so on.

In recent years, the local fractional calculus has received significantly remarkable attention. In the sense of Mandelbrot, a fractal set is the one whose Hausdorff dimension strictly exceeds the topological dimension [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

The calculus on fractal set can lead to better comprehension for the various real world models from science and engineering [8]. Researchers have constructed many kinds of fractional calculus on fractal sets by using different approaches. Local fractional calculus is a kind of fractional calculus for the functions on fractal sets. The theory of local fractional calculus plays an important role in many applications such as the elasticity and fracture mechanics, the fluid mechanics, tensor analysis [12, 13, 14] and so on. On the fractal space, Yang studies the monotonicity of function by the local fractional derivative [15]. And, in [16] the authors introduce the generalized convex function and study the analysis properties of the generalized convex function on fractal sets. The generalized convex function on fractal sets $\mathbb{R}^\alpha (0 < \alpha < 1)$ can be stated as: $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ is said to be generalized convex on $[a, b]$, if inequality

$$f(tu + (1-t)v) \leq t^\alpha f(u) + (1-t)^\alpha f(v)$$

holds for all $u, v \in [a, b]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Inspired by these, in the paper we will introduce the generalized s -convex function in the first or second sense on fractal sets and study the properties of both kinds of s -convex functions.

The set up of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we state the operations with real line number on fractal sets and give the definitions of the local fractional calculus. In Section 3, we introduce the definitions of two kinds of generalized s -convex functions and study the properties of these functions. In Section 4, we get some applications for these two kinds of generalized s -convex functions on fractal space.

2. Preliminaries

Let us review the operations with real line number on fractal space and use the Gao-Yang- Kang's idea to describe the definitions of the local fractional derivative and local fractional integral [15, 17,

18, 19].

If a^α, b^α and c^α belong to the set \mathbb{R}^α of real line numbers, then

- (1) $a^\alpha + b^\alpha$ and $a^\alpha b^\alpha$ belong to the set \mathbb{R}^α ;
- (2) $a^\alpha + b^\alpha = b^\alpha + a^\alpha = (a + b)^\alpha = (b + a)^\alpha$;
- (3) $a^\alpha + (b^\alpha + c^\alpha) = (a^\alpha + b^\alpha) + c^\alpha$;
- (4) $a^\alpha b^\alpha = b^\alpha a^\alpha = (ab)^\alpha = (ba)^\alpha$;
- (5) $a^\alpha (b^\alpha c^\alpha) = (a^\alpha b^\alpha) c^\alpha$;
- (6) $a^\alpha (b^\alpha + c^\alpha) = a^\alpha b^\alpha + a^\alpha c^\alpha$;
- (7) $a^\alpha + 0^\alpha = 0^\alpha + a^\alpha = a^\alpha$, and $a^\alpha \cdot 1^\alpha = 1^\alpha \cdot a^\alpha = a^\alpha$.

Definition 2.1. [15] Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ be a function such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < c|x - y|^\alpha \quad (x, y \in [a, b]),$$

for positive constants c and $\alpha(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$. This function is called Hölder continuous function. In this case, we think that $f(x)$ is in the space $C_\alpha[a, b]$.

Definition 2.2. [15] The local fractional derivative of $f(x)$ of order α at $x = x_0$ is defined by

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x_0) = \frac{d^\alpha f(x)}{dx^\alpha} \Big|_{x=x_0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\Delta^\alpha (f(x) - f(x_0))}{(x - x_0)^\alpha},$$

where $\Delta^\alpha (f(x) - f(x_0)) \cong \Gamma(1 + \alpha)(f(x) - f(x_0))$. If there exists $f^{((k+1)\alpha)}(x) = \overbrace{D_x^\alpha \dots D_x^\alpha}^{k+1 \text{ times}} f(x)$ for any $x \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then we denoted $f \in D_{(k+1)\alpha}(I)$, where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Definition 2.3. [15] Let $f \in C_\alpha[a, b]$. Then the local fractional integral of the function $f(x)$ is defined by,

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_a I_b^{(\alpha)} f(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \int_a^b f(t) (dt)^\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^N f(t_j) (\Delta t_j)^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta t_j = t_{j+1} - t_j$, $\Delta t = \max\{\Delta t_1, \Delta t_2, \Delta t_j, \dots\}$ and $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$, $j = 0, \dots, N - 1$, $t_0 = a$, $t_N = b$, is a partition of the interval $[a, b]$.

Definition 2.4. Function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ is called to be a generalized convex in each variable, if

$$F(\lambda_1^{\alpha s} u + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v, \lambda_1^{\alpha s} r + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} t) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} F(u, r) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} F(v, t).$$

for all $(u, v), (r, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$.

3. Generalized s-convexity functions

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty]$. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ is said to be generalized s-convex ($0 < s < 1$) in the first sense, if

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$. We denote this by $f \in GK_s^1$.

Definition 3.2. A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ is said to be generalized s-convex ($0 < s < 1$) in the second sense, if

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v), \quad (3.2)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. We denote this by $f \in GK_s^2$.

Note that, when $s = 1$, the generalized s-convex function in both sense is generalized convex function, see [16].

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 < s < 1$.

(a) If $f \in GK_s^1$, then f is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$f(0^+) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(u) \leq f(0).$$

(b) If $f \in GK_s^2$, then f is non-negative on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof . (a) Since $f \in GK_s^1$, we have, for $u > 0$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$f[(\lambda^{1/s} + (1 - \lambda)^{1/s})u] \leq \lambda^\alpha f(u) + (1 - \lambda)^\alpha f(u) = f(u).$$

The function

$$h(\lambda) = \lambda^{1/s} + (1 - \lambda)^{1/s},$$

is continuous on $[0, 1]$, decreasing on $[0, 1/2]$, increasing on $[1/2, 1]$ and $h([0, 1]) = [h(1/2), h(1)] = [2^{1-1/s}, 1]$. This yields that

$$f(tu) \leq f(u), \quad (3.3)$$

for $u > 0$ and $t \in [2^{1-1/s}, 1]$. If now $t \in [2^{2(1-1/s)}, 1]$, then $t^{1/2} \in [2^{1-1/s}, 1]$. Therefore, by the fact that (3.3) holds for all $u > 0$, we get

$$f(tu) = f(t^{1/2}(t^{1/2}u)) \leq f(t^{1/2}u) \leq f(u),$$

for all $u > 0$. So we can obtain that

$$f(tu) \leq f(u), \forall u > 0, t \in (0, 1].$$

So, taking $0 < u < v$, we get

$$f(u) = f((u/v)v) \leq f(v),$$

which means that f is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

As for the second part, for $u > 0$, we have

$$f(\lambda_1 u) = f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 0) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(0).$$

And taking $u \rightarrow 0^+$, we get

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(u) = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(\lambda_1 u) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(0).$$

So,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} f(u) \leq f(0).$$

(b) For $f \in GK_s^1$, we can get that for $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$f(u) = f(u/2 + u/2) \leq f(u)/2^{\alpha s} + f(u)/2^{\alpha s} = 2^{\alpha(1-s)} f(u).$$

So, $(2^{1-s} - 1)^\alpha f(u) \geq 0^\alpha$. This means that $f(u) \geq 0^\alpha$, since $0 < s < 1$.

Remark 3.1. The above results do not hold, in general, in the case of generalized convex functions, i.e. when $s = 1$, because a convex function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, need not be either non-decreasing or non-negative.

Remark 3.2. If $0 < s < 1$, then the function $f \in GK_s^1$ is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ but not necessarily on $[0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < s < 1$. If $f, g \in GK_s^1$ and if $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ is a generalized convex and non-decreasing function in each variable, then the function $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\alpha$ defined by

$$h(u) = F(f(u), g(u))$$

is in GK_s^1 . In particular, if $f, g \in GK_s^1$ then $f + g, \max(f, g) \in GK_s^1$.

Proof . If $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= F(f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v), g(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)) \\ &\leq F(\lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v), \lambda_1^{\alpha s} g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} g(v)) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} F(f(u), g(u)) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} F(f(v), g(v)) \\ &= \lambda_1^s h(u) + \lambda_2^s h(v). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F(u, v) = u^\alpha + v^\alpha$, $F(u, v) = \max(u^\alpha, v^\alpha)$ are non-decreasing generalized convex functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , so they yield particular cases of our theorem.

Let's pay attention to the situation when the condition $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$ ($\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$) in the definition of $K_s^1(K_s^2)$ can be equivalently replaced by the condition $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s \leq 1$ ($\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq 1$).

Theorem 3.3. (a) Let $f \in GK_s^1$. Then inequality (3.1) holds for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s < 1$ if and only if $f(0) \leq 0^\alpha$.

(b) Let $f \in GK_s^2$. Then inequality (3.2) holds for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$ if and only if $f(0) = 0^\alpha$.

Proof . (a) Necessity is obvious by taking $u = v = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Let us show the sufficiency.

Assume that $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$. Let $0 < \lambda_3 = \lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s < 1$. Put $a = \lambda_1 \lambda_3^{-1/s}$ and $b = \lambda_2 \lambda_3^{-1/s}$. Then $a^s + b^s = \lambda_1^s / \lambda_3 + \lambda_2^s / \lambda_3 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= f(a \lambda_3^{1/s} u + b \lambda_3^{1/s} v) \\ &\leq a^{\alpha s} f(\lambda_3^{1/s} u) + b^{\alpha s} f(\lambda_3^{1/s} v) \\ &= a^{\alpha s} f[\lambda_3^{1/s} u + (1 - \lambda_3)^{1/s} 0] + b^{\alpha s} f[\lambda_3^{1/s} v + (1 - \lambda_3)^{1/s} 0] \\ &\leq a^{\alpha s} [\lambda_3^\alpha f(u) + (1 - \lambda_3)^\alpha f(0)] + b^{\alpha s} [\lambda_3^\alpha f(v) + (1 - \lambda_3)^\alpha f(0)] \\ &= a^{\alpha s} \lambda_3^\alpha f(u) + b^{\alpha s} \lambda_3^\alpha f(v) + (1 - \lambda_3)^\alpha f(0) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Necessity.

Taking $u = v = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, we obtain $f(0) \leq 0^\alpha$. And using Theorem 3.1(b), we get $f(0) \geq 0^\alpha$. Therefore $f(0) = 0^\alpha$. Sufficiency.

Let $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $0 < \lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 < 1$. Put $a = \lambda_1 / \lambda_3, b = \lambda_2 / \lambda_3$, then $a + b = 1$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= f(a \lambda_3 u + b \lambda_3 v) \\ &\leq a^{\alpha s} f(\lambda_3 u) + b^{\alpha s} f(\lambda_3 v) \\ &= a^{\alpha s} f[\lambda_3 u + (1 - \lambda_3) 0] + b^{\alpha s} f[\lambda_3 v + (1 - \lambda_3) 0] \\ &\leq a^{\alpha s} [\lambda_3^{\alpha s} f(u) + (1 - \lambda_3)^{\alpha s} f(0)] + b^{\alpha s} [\lambda_3^{\alpha s} f(v) + (1 - \lambda_3)^{\alpha s} f(0)] \\ &= a^{\alpha s} \lambda_3^{\alpha s} f(u) + b^{\alpha s} \lambda_3^{\alpha s} f(v) + (1 - \lambda_3)^{\alpha s} f(0) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. (a) Let $0 < s \leq 1$. If $f \in GK_s^2$ and $f(0) = 0^\alpha$, then $f \in GK_s^1$.

(b) Let $0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$. If $f \in GK_{s_2}^2$ and $f(0) = 0^\alpha$, then $f \in GK_{s_1}^2$.

(c) Let $0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$. If $f \in GK_{s_2}^1$ and $f(0) \leq 0^\alpha$, then $f \in GK_{s_1}^1$.

Proof . (a) Assume that $f \in GK_s^2$ and $f(0) = 0^\alpha$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$, we have $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$. From Theorem 3.3(b), we can get

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v),$$

and $f \in GK_s^1$, for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(b) Assume that $f \in GK_{s_2}^2$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$. Then we have

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s_2} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_2} f(v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s_1} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_1} f(v).$$

So $f \in GK_{s_1}^2$.

(c) Assume that $f \in GK_{s_2}^1$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^{s_1} + \lambda_2^{s_1} = 1$. According to Theorem 3.3(a), we have

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s_2} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_2} f(v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s_1} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_1} f(v).$$

So, $f \in GK_{s_1}^1$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $0 < s < 1$ and $p : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\alpha$ be a non-decreasing function. Then the function f defined for $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f(u) = u^{\alpha s / (1-s)} p(u)$$

belongs to GK_s^1 .

Proof . Let $v \geq u \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$. We consider two cases.

Case I: Let $\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v \leq u$, then

$$f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq f(u) = (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s}) f(u) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v).$$

Case II: Let $\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v > u$, then $\lambda_2 v > (1 - \lambda_1)u$. So, $\lambda_2 > 0$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^s$. Thus,

$$\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{s+1} \leq \lambda_1^s - \lambda_1^{s+1},$$

i.e.,

$$\lambda_1 / (1 - \lambda_1) \leq \lambda_1^s / (1 - \lambda_1^s) = (1 - \lambda_2^s) / \lambda_2^s,$$

and

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 / (1 - \lambda_1) \leq \lambda_2^{1-s} - \lambda_2.$$

We also can get that

$$\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v \leq (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)v \leq (\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s)v = v,$$

and

$$\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v \leq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 v / (1 - \lambda_1) + \lambda_2 v \leq (\lambda_2^{1-s} - \lambda_2)v + \lambda_2 v = \lambda_2^{1-s} v.$$

Then,

$$(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)^{s/(1-s)} \leq \lambda_2^s v^{s/(1-s)}.$$

We get that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= (\lambda_1^\alpha u^\alpha + \lambda_2^\alpha v^\alpha)^{s/(1-s)} p(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \leq \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s / (1-s)} p(v) \\ &= \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. For $0 < s < 1$, functions in GK_s^1 need not be Hölder continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $f \in GK_{s_1}^1$ and $g \in GK_{s_2}^1$, where $0 < s_1, s_2 \leq 1$.

(a) If f is a non-decreasing function and g is non-negative function such that $f(0) \leq 0^\alpha = g(0)$, then the composition $f \circ g$ of f with g belongs to GK_s^1 , where $s = s_1 s_2$.

(b) Assume that $0 < s_1, s_2 < 1$. If f and g are non-negative functions such that either $f(0) = 0^\alpha$ and $g(0^+) = g(0)$ or $g(0) = 0^\alpha$ and $f(0^+) = f(0)$, then the product fg of f and g belongs to GK_s^1 , where $s = \min(s_1, s_2)$.

Proof . (a) Let $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$, where $s = s_1 s_2$. Since $\lambda_1^{s_i} + \lambda_2^{s_i} \leq \lambda_1^{s_1 s_2} + \lambda_2^{s_1 s_2} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, then according to Theorem 3.3(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= f(g(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)) \\ &\leq f(\lambda_1^{\alpha s_2} g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_2} g(v)) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s_1 s_2} f(g(u)) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_1 s_2} f(g(v)) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f \circ g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f \circ g(v), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $f \circ g \in GK_s^1$.

(b) According to Theorem 3.1(a), f, g are non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

So,

$$(f(u) - f(v))(g(v) - g(u)) \leq 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(u)g(v) + f(v)g(u) \leq f(u)g(u) + f(v)g(v),$$

for all $v > u > 0$.

If $v > u = 0$, then the inequality is still true because f, g are non-negative and either $f(0) = 0^\alpha$ and $g(0^+) = g(0)$ or $g(0) = 0^\alpha$ and $f(0^+) = f(0)$.

Now let $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$, where $s = \min(s_1, s_2)$. Then $\lambda_1^{s_i} + \lambda_2^{s_i} \leq \lambda_1^s + \lambda_2^s = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. And by Theorem 3.3(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)g(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) \\ &\leq (\lambda_1^{\alpha s_1} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_1} f(v))(\lambda_1^{\alpha s_2} g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s_2} g(v)) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha(s_1+s_2)} f(u)g(u) + \lambda_1^{\alpha s_1} \lambda_2^{\alpha s_2} f(u)g(v) + \lambda_1^{\alpha s_2} \lambda_2^{\alpha s_1} f(v)g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha(s_1+s_2)} f(v)g(v) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{2\alpha s} f(u)g(u) + \lambda_1^{\alpha s} \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (f(u)g(v) + f(v)g(u)) + \lambda_2^{2\alpha s} f(v)g(v) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{2\alpha s} f(u)g(u) + \lambda_1^{\alpha s} \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (f(u)g(u) + f(v)g(v)) + \lambda_2^{2\alpha s} f(v)g(v) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u)g(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v)g(v), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $fg \in GK_s^1$.

Remark 3.4. From the above proof, we can get that, if f is a non-decreasing function in GK_s^2 and g is a non-negative generalized convex function on $[0, \infty)$, then the composition $f \circ g$ of f with g belongs to GK_s^2 .

Remark 3.5. *generalized convex functions on $[0, \infty)$ need not be monotonic. However, if f and g are non-negative, generalized convex and either both are non-decreasing or both are non-increasing on $[0, \infty)$ then the product fg is also a generalized convex function.*

A function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^\alpha$ is said to be a φ -function if $f(0) = 0^\alpha$ and $f \in C_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is non-decreasing.

Corollary 3.1. *If Φ is a generalized convex φ -function and g is a φ -function from GK_s^1 , then the composition $\Phi \circ g$ belongs to GK_s^1 . In particular, the φ -function $h(u) = \Phi(u^s)$ belongs to GK_s^1 .*

Corollary 3.2. *If Φ is a generalized convex φ -function and f is a φ -function from GK_s^2 , then the composition $f \circ \Phi$ belongs to GK_s^2 . In particular, the φ -function $h(u) = \Phi(u^s)$ belongs to GK_s^2 .*

Theorem 3.7. *If $0 < s < 1$ and $f \in GK_s^1$ is a φ -function, then there exists a generalized convex φ -function Φ such that*

$$f(2^{-1/s}u) \leq \Phi(u^s) \leq f(u),$$

for all $u \geq 0$.

Proof . *By the generalized s -convexity of the function f and by $f(0) = 0^\alpha$, we obtain $f(\lambda_1 u) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u)$ for all $u \geq 0$ and all $\lambda_1 \in [0, 1]$.*

Assume now that $v > u > 0$. Then

$$f(u^{1/s}) \leq f((u/v)^{1/s}v^{1/s}) \leq (u^\alpha/v^\alpha)f(v^{1/s}),$$

i.e.,

$$f(u^{1/s})u^\alpha \leq f(v^{1/s})/v^\alpha. \tag{3.4}$$

Inequality (3.4) means that the function $f(u^{1/s})/u$ is a non-decreasing function on $(0, \infty)$.

Define

$$\Phi(u) = \begin{cases} 0^\alpha, & u = 0, \\ \Gamma(1 + \alpha)_0 I_u^{(\alpha)}(f(t^{1/s})/t^\alpha), & u > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then Φ is a generalized convex φ -function and

$$\Phi(u^s) = \Gamma(1 + \alpha)_0 I_{u^s}^{(\alpha)}(f(t^{1/s})/t^\alpha) \leq (f((u^s)^{1/s})/u^{\alpha s})u^{\alpha s} = f(u),$$

$$\Phi(u^s) \geq \Gamma(1 + \alpha)_{(u^s/2)} I_{u^s}^{(\alpha)}(f(t^{1/s})/t^\alpha) \geq (f((u^s/2)^{1/s})2^\alpha u^{-\alpha s})u^{\alpha s}/2^\alpha = f(2^{-1/s}u).$$

Therefore,

$$f(2^{-1/s}u) \leq \Phi(u^s) \leq f(u),$$

for all $u \geq 0$, which means that the function Ψ defined by $\Psi(u) = \Phi(u^s)$ is equivalent to f , and the proof is complete.

4. Applications

Example 4.1. Let $0 < s < 1$, and $a^\alpha, b^\alpha, c^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^\alpha$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$, define

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} a^\alpha, & u = 0, \\ b^\alpha u^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha, & u > 0. \end{cases}$$

We have the following conclusions.

- (i) If $b^\alpha \geq 0^\alpha$ and $c^\alpha \leq a^\alpha$, then $f \in GK_s^1$.
- (ii) If $b^\alpha \geq 0^\alpha$ and $c^\alpha < a^\alpha$, then f is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ but not on $[0, \infty)$.
- (iii) If $b^\alpha \geq 0^\alpha$ and $0^\alpha \leq c^\alpha \leq a^\alpha$, then $f \in GK_s^2$.
- (iv) If $b^\alpha > 0^\alpha$ and $c^\alpha < 0^\alpha$, then $f \notin GK_s^2$.

Proof . (i) there are two non-trivial cases:

Case I $u, v > 0$, $\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v > 0$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= b^\alpha (\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha \leq b^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} u^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s}) + c^\alpha \\ &= b^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} u^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s}) + c^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s}) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} (b^\alpha u^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (b^\alpha v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

case II $v > u = 0$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 0 + \lambda_2 v) &= f(\lambda_2 v) = b^\alpha \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha = b^\alpha \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s}) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} c^\alpha + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (b^\alpha v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} c^\alpha + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v) \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} a^\alpha + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v) \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(0) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

So, $f \in GK_s^1$.

(ii) Because of Theorem 3.1, property (ii) is obvious.

(iii) Similar to the estimate of (i), there are also two cases.

When $u, v > 0$, $\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v) &= b^\alpha (\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v)^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha \leq b^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} u^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s}) + c^\alpha \\ &= \lambda_1^{\alpha s} (\lambda_1^s b^\alpha u^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (\lambda_2^s b^\alpha v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) \\ &\leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(u) + \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v). \end{aligned}$$

When $v > u = 0$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda_1 0 + \lambda_2 v) &= f(\lambda_2 v) = b^\alpha \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha = b^\alpha \lambda_2^{\alpha s} v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha (\lambda_1^{\alpha s} + \lambda_2^{\alpha s}) \\ &= \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (\lambda_2^s b^\alpha v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) + c^\alpha \lambda_1^{\alpha s} \\ &\leq \lambda_2^{\alpha s} (b^\alpha v^{\alpha s} + c^\alpha) + a^\alpha \lambda_1^{\alpha s} \\ &= \lambda_2^{\alpha s} f(v) + \lambda_1^{\alpha s} f(0). \end{aligned}$$

So, $f \in GK_s^2$.

(iv) Assume that $f \in GK_s^2$, then f is non-negative on $(0, \infty)$. On the other hand, there exists $u_1 > 0, c_1 < 0$ such that $f(u_1) = b^\alpha u_1^{\alpha s} + c_1^\alpha < 0^\alpha$, which contradict the assumption.

Example 4.2. Let $0 < s < 1$ and $k > 1$. Define, for $u \in R_+$,

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} u^{\alpha s/(1-s)}, & 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ k^\alpha u^{\alpha s/(1-s)}, & u > 1. \end{cases}$$

The function f is non-negative, not Hölder continuous at $u = 1$ and belongs to GK_s^1 but not to GK_s^2 .

Proof . From Theorem 3.5, we have that $f \in GK_s^1$. In the following, Let us show that f isn't in GK_s^2 .

Take an arbitrary $a > 1$ and put $u = 1$. Consider all $v > 1$ such that $\lambda_1 u + \lambda_2 v = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 v = a$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.

If $f \in GK_s^2$, it must be

$$k^\alpha a^{\alpha s/(1-s)} \leq \lambda_1^{\alpha s} + k^\alpha (1 - \lambda_1)^{\alpha s} [(a - \lambda_1)/(1 - \lambda_1)]^{\alpha s/(1-s)}, \quad (3.5)$$

for all $a > 1$ and all $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 1$.

Define the function

$$f_{\lambda_1}(a) = \lambda_1^{\alpha s} + k^\alpha (1 - \lambda_1)^{\alpha s} [(a - \lambda_1)/(1 - \lambda_1)]^{\alpha s/(1-s)} - k^\alpha a^{\alpha s/(1-s)}.$$

Then the function is Hölder continuous on the (λ_1, ∞) and

$$g(\lambda_1) = f_{\lambda_1}(1) = \lambda_1^{\alpha s} + k^\alpha (1 - \lambda_1)^{\alpha s} - k^\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that g is Hölder continuous on $[0, 1]$ and $g(1) = 1^\alpha - k^\alpha < 0^\alpha$. So there is a number $\lambda_{1_0}, 0 < \lambda_{1_0} < 1$, such that $g(\lambda_{1_0}) = f_{\lambda_{1_0}}(1) < 0^\alpha$. The Hölder continuity of $f_{\lambda_{1_0}}$ yields that $f_{\lambda_{1_0}}(a) < 0^\alpha$ for a certain $a > 1$, i.e. inequality (3.5) does not hold, which means that $f \notin GK_s^2$.

5. Conclusion

In the paper, we introduce the definitions of two kinds of generalized s -convex function on fractal sets and study the properties of these generalized s -convex functions. When $\alpha = 1$, these results are the classical situation.

References

References

- [1] J. R. Jonathan, P. A. Matthew, Jensens inequality predicts effects of environmental variation, Trends Ecol. Evol. 14.9 (1999) 361-366.

- [2] M. Grinalatt, J. T. Linnainmaa, Jensen's inequality, parameter uncertainty, and multi-period investment, *Rev. Ass. Pric. Stud.* 1.1 (2011) 1-34.
- [3] Hudzik H, Maligranda L, Some remarks on s -convex functions[J], *Aequationes Math.* 48.1 (1994) 100-111.
- [4] E. Set, New inequalities of Ostrowski type for mappings whose derivatives are s -convex in the second sense via fractional integrals, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 63.7(2012) 1147C1154.
- [5] M. Avci, H. Kavurmaci, M. E. Ozdemir, New inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type via s -convex functions in the second sense with applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217 (2011) 5171C5176.
- [6] Shuang, Ye, Hong-Pin Yin, Feng Qi, Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for geometric-arithmetically s -convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 33.2 (2013) 197-208.
- [7] K. M. Kolwankar, A. D. Gangal, *Local Fractional Calculus: a Calculus for Fractal Space-time*, In: *Fractals*, Springer, London, 1999.
- [8] A. K. Golmankhaneh, D. Baleanu, On a new measure on fractals, *J. Inequal Appl.* 522.1 (2013) 1-9.
- [9] B. B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*, Macmillan, 1983.
- [10] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, Wiley, New York, 2007.
- [11] G. A. Edgar, *Integral Probability and Fractal Measures*, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [12] A. Carpinteri, B. Chiaia, P. Cornetti, Static-kinematic duality and the principle of virtual work in the mechanics of fractal media, *Comput. Method Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 191 (2001) 3-19.
- [13] F. Gao, W. P. Zhong, X. M. Shen, Applications of Yang-Fourier transform to Local fractional equations with local fractional derivative and local fractional integral, *Adv. Mater. Res.* 461 (2012) 306-310.
- [14] A. Babakhani, V. Daftardar-Gejji, On calculus of local fractional derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 270 (2002) 66-79.
- [15] X. J. Yang, *Advanced Local Fractional Calculus and Its Applications*, World Science Publisher, New York, 2012.
- [16] H. Mo, X. Sui, D. Yu, Generalized convex functions and some inequalities on fractal sets. arXiv:1404.3964
- [17] X. J. Yang, D. Baleanu, J. A. T. Machado, Mathematical aspects of heisenberg uncertainty principle within local fractional fourier analysis, *Bound. Value. Probl.* 2013.1 (2013) 131-146.
- [18] Y. Zhao, D. F. Cheng, X. J. Yang, Approximation solutions for local fractional Schrödinger Equation in the on-dimensional cantor system, *Adv. Math. Phys.*, 2013 (2013) 5.
- [19] A. M. Yang, Z. S. Chen, H. M. Srivastava, X. J. Yang, Application of the local fractional series expansion method and the variational iteration method to the Helmholtz equation involving local fractional derivative operators, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013 (2013) 6.