

# SAMELSON PRODUCTS IN $p$ -REGULAR $SO(2n)$ AND ITS HOMOTOPY NORMALITY

DAISUKE KISHIMOTO AND MITSUNOBU TSUTAYA

ABSTRACT. A Lie group is called  $p$ -regular if it has the  $p$ -local homotopy type of a product of spheres. (Non)triviality of the Samelson products of the inclusions of the factor spheres into  $p$ -regular  $SO(2n)_{(p)}$  is determined, which completes the list of (non)triviality of such Samelson products in  $p$ -regular simple Lie groups. As an application, we determine the homotopy normality of the inclusion  $SO(2n - 1) \rightarrow SO(2n)$  in the sense of James at any prime  $p$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group. By the classical result of Hopf, it is well known that there is a rational homotopy equivalence

$$G \simeq_{(0)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$$

where  $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$ . The sequence  $n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_\ell$  is called the type of  $G$ . Here is the list of the types of simple Lie groups.

$SU(n)$	$2, 3, \dots, n$	$G_2$	$2, 6$
$SO(2n + 1)$	$2, 4, \dots, 2n$	$F_4$	$2, 6, 8, 12$
$Sp(n)$	$2, 4, \dots, 2n$	$E_6$	$2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12$
$SO(2n)$	$2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2, n$	$E_7$	$2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$
		$E_8$	$2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$

Serre generalizes the above rational homotopy equivalence to a  $p$ -local homotopy equivalence such that when  $G$  is semisimple and  $G_{(p)}$  is simply connected, there is a  $p$ -local homotopy equivalence

$$(1.1) \quad G \simeq_{(p)} S^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2n_\ell-1}$$

if and only if  $p \geq n_\ell$ , in which case  $G$  is called  $p$ -regular. In this paper we are interested in the standard multiplicative structure of the  $p$ -localization  $G_{(p)}$  when  $G$  is  $p$ -regular, and then we assume that  $G$  is a simple Lie group in the above table and is  $p$ -regular throughout this section.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55Q15.

*Key words and phrases.* Samelson product,  $p$ -regular Lie group, special orthogonal group.

D.K. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No. 25400087).

Recall that for a homotopy associative H-space  $X$  with inverse and maps  $\alpha: A \rightarrow X, \beta: B \rightarrow X$ , the correspondence

$$A \wedge B \rightarrow X, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \alpha(x)\beta(y)\alpha(x)^{-1}\beta(y)^{-1}$$

is called the Samelson product of  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $X$  and is denoted by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ . One easily sees that in investigating the multiplicative structure of  $G_{(p)}$ , the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  play the fundamental role as in [KK], where  $\epsilon_i$  is the inclusion  $S^{2n_i-1} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_1-1} \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{2n_\ell-1} \simeq G_{(p)}$  into the  $i$ -th factor. So it is our task to determine (non)triviality of these Samelson products. In this direction, Bott [B] studied the order of a certain class of Samelson products in  $SU(n)$  and  $Sp(n)$ , for example.

We here make a remark on the choice of  $\epsilon_i$  which depends on the  $p$ -local homotopy equivalence (1.1). Recall from [T, Theorem 13.4] that

$$(1.2) \quad \pi_*(S_{(p)}^{2m-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 2m-1 < * < 2m+2p-4.$$

Then we see that  $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module for all  $i$ , and so  $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for all  $i$  and  $G \neq SO(2n)$  since the entries of the type are distinct for  $G \neq SO(2n)$  as in the above table. Hence for  $G \neq SO(2n)$  we may choose any generator of  $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  as  $\epsilon_i$ . For  $G = SO(2n)$  we will make an explicit choice of  $\epsilon_i$  below.

We first consider the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $G_{(p)}$  when  $G$  is the classical group except for  $SO(2n)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be the  $p$ -regular classical group except for  $SO(2n)$ , and let  $\epsilon_i$  be a generator of  $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for the type  $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$  of  $G$ . Then*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n_i + n_j > p.$$

*Proof.* If  $G = SU(n), Sp(n)$ , nontriviality of the Samelson products follows from the result of Bott [B] and triviality follows from the fact that  $\pi_{2*}(G_{(p)}) = 0$  for  $* < p$  which is deduced from (1.2). Since there is a homotopy equivalence as loop spaces  $Sp(n)_{(p)} \simeq SO(2n+1)_{(p)}$  due to Friedlander [F], the case of  $SO(2n+1)_{(p)}$  is the same as  $Sp(n)_{(p)}$ .  $\square$

We next consider the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $G_{(p)}$  when  $G$  is the exceptional Lie group. Some of these Samelson products are calculated in [HK2, KK], and (non)triviality of all these Samelson products is determined in [HKO] as follows.

**Theorem 1.2** ([HKO]). *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -regular compact connected exceptional simple Lie group, and let  $\epsilon_i$  be a generator of  $\pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for the type  $\{n_1, \dots, n_\ell\}$  of  $G$ . Then*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n_i + n_j = n_k + p - 1 \text{ for some } k.$$

Thus the only remaining case is  $SO(2n)$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that a sufficient condition for nontriviality of the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $G_{(p)}$  (Lemma 2.1) used in [KO, HK1, HK2, HKO] is actually a necessary and sufficient condition, and is to apply it to determination of (non)triviality of all the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $SO(2n)_{(p)}$ . The difficulty

of this case is caused by the middle dimensional sphere  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  in  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  which vanishes by the inclusion  $\mathrm{SO}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n+1)$ . we choose the maps  $\epsilon_i$ . Let  $\epsilon_i$  be the composite

$$S^{4i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{incl}} \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , where the first arrow is a generator of  $\pi_{4i-1}(\mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Let  $\theta: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  be the map corresponding to the adjoint of the fiber inclusion of the canonical homotopy fiber sequence

$$S^{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(2n) \rightarrow \mathrm{BSO}(2n+1).$$

There are only two results on Samelson products in  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  involving  $\theta$ : Mahowald [Ma] showed that the Samelson product  $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle \in \pi_{4n-2}(\mathrm{SO}(2n))$  has order  $(2n-1)!/8$  or  $(2n-1)!/4$  according as  $n$  is even or odd. Hamanaka and Kono [HK1] showed that the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle \in \pi_{4n-2}(\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)})$  is non-trivial when  $p \leq 2n-1$ . Our main result determines (non)triviality of all Samelson products of  $\epsilon_i$  and  $\theta$  in  $p$ -regular  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\epsilon_i, \theta$  be the above maps into  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  for  $p$ -regular  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$ . All nontrivial Samelson products of  $\epsilon_i, \theta$  in  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  are*

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \quad \text{for } 2i + 2j > p \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \epsilon_{n-1}, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_{n-1} \rangle, \quad \langle \theta, \theta \rangle \quad \text{for } p = 2n - 1.$$

Recall that an H-map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between homotopy associative H-spaces with inverse  $X, Y$  is homotopy normal in the sense of James [J] if the Samelson product  $\langle f, 1_Y \rangle$  compressed to  $X$  through  $f$  up to homotopy. This is a generalization of the inclusion of a normal subgroup. James proved that  $\mathrm{O}(n)$  is not homotopy normal in  $\mathrm{O}(n+1)$  when  $n \geq 2$  using the mod 2 cohomology. His proof implies that the 2-localization  $\mathrm{SO}(n)_{(2)}$  is not homotopy normal in  $\mathrm{SO}(n+1)_{(2)}$  when  $n \geq 2$ . As an application of Theorem 1.3 we will prove:

**Theorem 1.4.** *The inclusion  $\iota_{(p)}: \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  is homotopy normal if and only if  $p > 2n-1$ .*

For  $p > 2n-1$ , we can prove the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *For  $p > 2n-1$ , the map  $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta: \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)} \times S_{(p)}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  is an H-equivalence, where  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  is a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H-space.*

## 2. DETECTING SAMELSON PRODUCTS BY THE STEENROD OPERATIONS

Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -torsion free connected finite loop space of type  $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_\ell$  throughout this section where the type of a finite loop space is similarly defined. We set notation for  $G$ . Since  $G$  is  $p$ -torsion free, we have

$$H^*(BG_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[x_1, \dots, x_\ell], \quad |x_i| = 2n_i.$$

We fix this presentation of the mod  $p$  cohomology of  $BG_{(p)}$ . Note that

$$H^*(G_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \Lambda(e_1, \dots, e_\ell)$$

for the suspension  $e_i$  of  $x_i$ . For each  $i$ , we take  $\epsilon_i \in \pi_{2n_i-1}(G_{(p)})$  which is not divisible by non-units in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad (\Sigma\epsilon_i)^* \circ \iota_1^*(x_j) = \begin{cases} h_i \Sigma u_{2n_i-1} & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

for some  $h_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , where  $\iota_1: \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$  is the canonical map and  $u_k$  is a generator of  $H^k(S^k; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . The following lemma is first used in [KO] and is the main tool in the proof of Theorem 1.2 given in [HKO]. Here we reproduce the proof for completeness of the present paper.

**Lemma 2.1** ([KO, Proof of Theorem 1.1]). *Suppose that  $h_i$  and  $h_j$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  is decomposable and includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  ( $c \neq 0$ ), the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is nontrivial.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = 0$  under the assumption that  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  ( $c \neq 0$ ). Let  $\bar{\epsilon}_m: S^{2n_m} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$  be the adjoint of  $\epsilon_m$ . Then by (2.1), we have  $\bar{\epsilon}_m^*(x_m) = h_m u_{2m}$ . By adjointness of Samelson products and Whitehead products, the Whitehead product  $[\bar{\epsilon}_i, \bar{\epsilon}_j]$  in  $BG_{(p)}$  is trivial, and then there is a map  $\mu: S^{2n_i} \times S^{2n_j} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$  satisfying  $\mu|_{S^{2n_i} \vee S^{2n_j}} = \bar{\epsilon}_i \vee \bar{\epsilon}_j$ . So we get  $\mu^*(x_i) = h_i(u_{2n_i} \otimes 1)$  and  $\mu^*(x_j) = h_j(1 \otimes u_{2n_j})$ , and hence

$$ch_i h_j u_{2n_i} \otimes u_{2n_j} = \mu^*(cx_i x_j) = \mu^*(\mathcal{P}^1 x_k) = \mathcal{P}^1 \mu^*(x_k) = 0$$

where the second and the last equality follows from the decomposability of  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  and triviality of  $\mathcal{P}^1$  on  $H^*(S^{2n_i} \times S^{2n_j}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , respectively. This is a contradiction to  $ch_i h_j \neq 0$ .  $\square$

In this lemma, the assumption on the decomposability of  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  cannot be removed. Here is a counterexample.

**Example 2.2.** We consider  $SU(4)$  at the prime 3. Recall that  $H^*(BSU(4); \mathbb{Z}/3) = \mathbb{Z}/3[c_2, c_3, c_4]$ , where  $c_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  universal Chern class. By inspection, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^1 c_2 = c_2^2 + c_4.$$

For a degree reason, the inclusion  $\epsilon_1: S^3 = SU(2) \rightarrow SU(4)$  satisfies  $(\Sigma\epsilon_1)^* \circ \iota_1^*(c_2) = \Sigma u_3$  as in (2.1), but the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1 \rangle$  is trivial since  $SU(2)$  commutes up to homotopy with itself in  $SU(4)$ .

We elaborate Lemma 2.1 to prove that its converse is true when  $G_{(p)}$  is a product of spheres. The following lemma is useful to detect the nontriviality of a Samelson product when  $G_{(p)}$  is decomposed into a product of a sphere and some space. The proof is independent of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For integers  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq \ell$ , suppose that there is a map  $\pi_k: G_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_k-1}$  such that  $\pi_k^*(u_{2n_k-1}) = e_k$ ,  $h_i$  and  $h_j$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , and  $n_i + n_j = n_k + p - 1$ . Then  $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle \neq 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  with  $c \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We prove both implications simultaneously. We may suppose that  $h_i = h_j = h_k = 1$ . Let  $P^2G_{(p)}$  be the projective plane of  $G_{(p)}$ , i.e. there is a cofiber sequence

$$(2.2) \quad \Sigma G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{H} \Sigma G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\rho_1} P^2G_{(p)}$$

where  $H$  is the Hopf construction. Put  $\bar{x}_i = \iota_2^*(x_i)$  for the natural map  $\iota_2: P^2G_{(p)} \rightarrow BG_{(p)}$ . Since  $\iota_1 = \iota_2 \circ \rho_1$  for the inclusion  $\rho_1: \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow P^2G_{(p)}$  and  $\iota_1^*(x_i) = \Sigma e_i$ , we have  $\rho_1^*(\bar{x}_i) = \Sigma e_i$ . By [L, Section 3], we also have  $\delta_1^*(\Sigma^2 e_i \otimes e_j) = \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j$  for the connecting map  $\delta_1: P^2G_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma^2 G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)}$  of the cofiber sequence (2.2). Consider the map

$$\Phi = \Sigma \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle - [\Sigma \epsilon_i, \Sigma \epsilon_j]: \Sigma S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow \Sigma G_{(p)}$$

where  $[-, -]$  denotes the Whitehead product. The map  $\Phi$  is connected with the Hopf construction  $H$  through the map constructed by Morisugi [Mo, Theorem 5.1] such that there is a map  $\xi: S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)}$  satisfying

$$\Phi = H \circ \Sigma \xi \quad \text{and} \quad \xi^*(e_i \otimes e_j) = u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}.$$

Then we get a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & C_\Phi & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \lambda_1 & & \downarrow \Sigma^2 \xi \\ \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & P^2G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & \Sigma^2 G_{(p)} \wedge G_{(p)} \end{array}$$

whose rows are homotopy cofibrations, implying that

$$(2.3) \quad \rho_2^* \circ \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k) = \Sigma e_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j) = \delta_2^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}).$$

We have

$$\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = c\alpha_1 \quad (c \in \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is a generator of  $\pi_{2n_k+2p-4}(S^{2n_k-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$  [T, Proposition 13.6]. Note that  $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is nontrivial if and only if  $c \neq 0$ . Then for the map

$$\widehat{\Phi} = c\Sigma\alpha_1 - [\Sigma\pi_k \circ \epsilon_i, \Sigma\pi_k \circ \epsilon_j]: \Sigma S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \rightarrow \Sigma S_{(p)}^{2n_k-1}$$

there is a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma G_{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & C_\Phi & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \\ \downarrow \Sigma\pi_k & & \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \parallel \\ \Sigma S^{2n_k-1} & \xrightarrow{\rho_3} & C_{\widehat{\Phi}} & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1} \end{array}$$

whose rows are homotopy cofibrations. Since  $\alpha_1$  is detected by the Steenrod operation  $\mathcal{P}^1$ , the mod  $p$  cohomology of  $C_{\widehat{\Phi}}$  is given by

$$\widetilde{H}^*(C_{\widehat{\Phi}}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \langle a_{2n_k}, a_{2n_i+2n_j} \rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}^1 a_{2n_k} = c a_{2n_i+2n_j}$$

such that  $\delta_3^*(\Sigma^2 u_{2n_i-1} \otimes u_{2n_j-1}) = a_{2n_i+2n_j}$  and  $\rho_3^*(a_{2n_k}) = \Sigma u_{2n_k-1}$ . Then by (2.3), we get  $\rho_2^* \circ \lambda_2^*(a_{2n_k}) = \rho_2^* \circ \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k)$ . By the homotopy cofiber sequence  $\Sigma G_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\rho_2} C_{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \Sigma^2 S^{2n_i-1} \wedge S^{2n_j-1}$  one can see that the inclusion  $\rho_2: \Sigma G_{(p)} \rightarrow C_{\Phi}$  is injective in the mod  $p$  cohomology of dimension  $2n_k$ , and then we obtain  $\lambda_2^*(a_{2n_k}) = \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_k)$ . By (2.3), we also have  $\lambda_2^*(a_{2n_i+2n_j}) = \lambda_1^*(\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j)$ . Hence since  $\mathcal{P}^1 a_{2n_k} = ca_{2n_i+2n_j}$ , we get that  $\mathcal{P}^1 \bar{x}_k$  includes the term  $c\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j$ . Thus since  $\iota_2^*(x_m) = \bar{x}_m$  for  $m = 1, \dots, \ell$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  must include the term  $cx_i x_j$ . Therefore we have established the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose  $p \geq n_{\ell} - n_1 + 2$ . Then the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $G_{(p)}$  is nontrivial if and only if for some  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  with  $c \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the result of Kumpel [K], we can choose each  $\epsilon_i$  such as  $h_i = 1$ . Then the composite

$$S^{2n_1-1} \times \dots \times S^{2n_{\ell}-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1 \times \dots \times \epsilon_{\ell}} G_{(p)} \times \dots \times G_{(p)} \rightarrow G_{(p)}$$

induces a  $p$ -local homotopy equivalence where the second map is the multiplication, and we identify  $G_{(p)}$  with  $S_{(p)}^{2n_1-1} \times \dots \times S_{(p)}^{2n_{\ell}-1}$  by this  $p$ -local homotopy equivalence. Under this assumption,  $h_i$  is a unit of  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for any  $i$ . By this decomposition, we can find a projection  $\pi_k: G_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n_i-1}$  such that  $\pi_k^* u_{2n_i-1} = e_i$  for each  $i$ . By Lemma 2.3, if  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  with  $c \neq 0$ , then the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  in  $G_{(p)}$  is nontrivial. As in [KK], if  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is nontrivial, then for some  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$  we have  $n_k + p - 1 = n_i + n_j$  and  $\pi_k \circ \langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is nontrivial. Again by Lemma 2.3, this implies that  $\mathcal{P}^1 x_k$  includes the term  $cx_i x_j$  with  $c \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $p_i, e_n \in H^*(BSO(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  be the mod  $p$  reduction of the  $i$ -th universal Pontrjagin class for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and the Euler class respectively. Then

$$H^*(BSO(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, e_n]$$

and the maps  $\epsilon_i$  and  $\theta$  correspond to  $p_i$  and  $e_n$  respectively in the sense of (2.1). In particular, we take  $\epsilon_i$  so that  $h_i = 1$  for  $i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $\theta$  so that  $(\Sigma\theta)^* \circ \iota_1^*(e_n) = \Sigma u_{2n-1}$  and  $(\Sigma\theta)^* \circ \iota_1^*(p_i) = 0$  for any  $i$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The following statements hold.*

- (1) *The element  $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$  does not include the quadratic term  $ce_n p_j$  ( $c \neq 0$ ) for any  $i$  and  $j$ .*
- (2) *If  $p = 2n - 1$ , the element  $\mathcal{P}^1 p_1$  is decomposable and includes the term  $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e_n^2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p_i \in H^*(BSO(2n)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$  is contained in the image from  $H^*(BSO(2n+1)_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , if a quadratic term of  $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$  includes  $e_n$ , it must be a multiple of  $e_n^2$  and  $i = n - \frac{p-1}{2} \geq 1$ . Thus the first statement holds. Recall that for a maximal torus  $T$  of  $SO(2n)$  and the natural map  $\iota: BT_{(p)} \rightarrow BSO(2n)_{(p)}$ , we have

$$H^*(BT_{(p)}; \mathbb{Z}/p) = \mathbb{Z}/p[t_1, \dots, t_n], \quad |t_i| = 2$$

such that  $\iota^*(p_i)$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $t_1^2, \dots, t_n^2$  and  $\iota^*(e_n) = t_1 \cdots t_n$ . In particular,  $\iota$  is injective in the mod  $p$  cohomology. Suppose  $p = 2n - 1$ . We have

$$\iota^*(\mathcal{P}^1 p_1) = \mathcal{P}^1(t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2) = 2((t_1^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \cdots + (t_n^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}).$$

Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}^1 p_1 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} e_n^2 \pmod{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})^2}$$

by the Newton formula. Therefore the second statement holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The element  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_n$  is decomposable and the following congruence hold:*

$$\mathcal{P}^1 e_n \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{p-1}{2} e_n p_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})^2}.$$

*Proof.* We set  $\iota: BT_{(p)} \rightarrow B\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\iota^*(\mathcal{P}^1 e_n) = \mathcal{P}^1 \iota^*(e_n) = \mathcal{P}^1(t_1 \cdots t_n) = t_1 \cdots t_n ((t_1^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \cdots + (t_n^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}).$$

Then the proof is completed by the Newton formula.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Assume  $p > 2n - 2$ . Since the inclusion  $\mathrm{SO}(2n - 1)_{(p)} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  has a left homotopy inverse, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle$  is nontrivial if and only if  $2i + 2j > p$ . To detect the Samelson products  $\langle \epsilon_i, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_i \rangle$  and  $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle$  by Theorem 2.4, we need the information about the quadratic terms of  $\mathcal{P}^1 p_i$  and  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_n$  including  $e_n$ . Now these informations have already been obtained in Lemma 3.1 and 3.2. Therefore the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.  $\square$

Lemma 3.2 implies nontriviality of the Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle$  not only when  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  is  $p$ -regular but also when  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$  is not  $p$ -regular as follows.

**Corollary 3.3.** *The Samelson product  $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle = \langle \theta, \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \rangle$  in  $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)})$  is nontrivial for any odd prime  $p$ . More precisely, the image of  $\langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle$  under the homomorphism induced by the projection  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  generates  $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ .*

*Proof.* Note that, for the projection  $\pi: \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)} \rightarrow S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ , we have  $(\Sigma\pi)^* \Sigma u_{2n-1} = \iota_1^*(e_n)$ . Then the corollary follows from Lemma 2.3 and 3.2.  $\square$

We next prove Theorem 1.4. Let  $X$  be a homotopy associative H-space with inverse. For maps  $\alpha: A \rightarrow X$  and  $\beta: B \rightarrow X$ , let  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  denote the composite

$$A \times B \xrightarrow{\alpha \times \beta} X \times X \rightarrow X$$

where the last arrow is the commutator map. Then for the projection  $q: A \times B \rightarrow A \wedge B$ , we have  $q^*(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$  and the induced map  $q^*: [A \wedge B, X] \rightarrow [A \times B, X]$  is injective. In particular,  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$  is trivial if and only if  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** (cf. [KK, Proposition 1]). *For maps  $\varphi_i: A_i \rightarrow X$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\beta: B \rightarrow X$ , if  $\{\varphi_2, \beta\}$  is trivial, then*

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \beta\} = \{\varphi_1, \beta\} \circ \rho_2$$

where  $\rho_i: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow A_i$  denotes the projection for  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* In the group of the homotopy set  $[A_1 \times A_2 \times B, X]$ , we have

$$\{\varphi_1 \cdot \varphi_2, \beta\} = [(\varphi_1 \circ \pi_1) \cdot (\varphi_2 \circ \pi_2), \beta \circ \pi]$$

where  $\pi_i: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\pi: A_1 \times A_2 \times B \rightarrow B$  denote the projections and  $[-, -]$  means the commutator. In a group  $G$ , we have

$$[xy, z] = x[y, z]x^{-1}[x, z]$$

for  $x, y, z \in G$ . Then the proof is completed by  $[\varphi_i \circ \pi_i, \beta \circ \pi] = \{\varphi_i, \beta\} \circ \rho_i$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $\iota: \mathrm{SO}(2n-1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)$  denote the inclusion and  $\pi: \mathrm{SO}(2n) \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$  the projection. For  $p = 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ , as remarked in Section 1, the 2-localization  $\iota_{(2)}: \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(2)} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(2)}$  is not homotopy normal by the argument by James [J, Proof of Theorem (3.1)].

If  $2 < p \leq 2n-1$ , then the Samelson product

$$\pi_{(p)} \circ \langle \iota_{(p)}, 1_{\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}} \rangle \circ (\epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \wedge \theta) = \pi_{(p)} \circ \langle \epsilon_{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \theta \rangle$$

is nontrivial in  $\pi_{2n+2p-4}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1})$  by Corollary 3.3. This implies that  $\iota_{(p)}$  is not homotopy normal.

Suppose  $p > 2n-1$ . Note that the identity map of  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$  is identified with the map  $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta: \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)} \times S_{(p)}^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 3.4 that  $\iota_{(p)}$  is homotopy normal if the Samelson product  $\langle \iota_{(p)}, \theta \rangle$  is trivial. Note also that  $\iota_{(p)}$  is identified with the map  $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1}: S_{(p)}^3 \times \cdots \times S_{(p)}^{4n-5} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(2n-1)_{(p)}$ . Then it is sufficient to show that  $\{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{n-1}, \theta\}$  is trivial. By Lemma 3.4, this is equivalent to that  $\langle \epsilon_i, \theta \rangle$  are trivial for all  $i$ . Thus  $\iota_{(p)}$  is homotopy normal by Theorem 1.3.  $\square$

We finally prove Theorem 1.5. Let  $X, Y$  be homotopy associative H-spaces with inverse. Recall that the H-deviation  $d(f)$  of a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is defined by

$$d(f): X \wedge X \rightarrow Y, \quad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto f(x_1 x_2) f(x_2)^{-1} f(x_1)^{-1}.$$

By definition,  $f$  is an H-map if and only if the H-deviation  $d(f)$  is trivial.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, Y$  be homotopy associative H-spaces with inverse, and  $\lambda_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$  be H-maps for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the map  $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y$  is an H-map if and only if the Samelson product  $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* For  $x_i, x'_i \in X_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)(x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2) &\simeq \lambda_1(x_1 x'_1) \lambda_2(x_2 x'_2) \lambda_2(x'_2)^{-1} \lambda_1(x'_1)^{-1} \lambda_2(x_2)^{-1} \lambda_1(x_1)^{-1} \\ &\simeq \lambda_1(x_1) (\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle (x'_1, x_2)) \lambda_1(x_1)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$



since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are H-maps. Then since  $\lambda_1$  is an H-map,  $d(\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2)$  is trivial if and only if so is  $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Obviously, the map  $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta$  is a homotopy equivalence, so it remains to show that it is an H-map. By definition, we have  $d(\theta) \in \pi_{4n-2}(\mathrm{SO}(2n)_{(p)})$ , and then by [T, Proposition 13.6] and  $p > 2n - 1$ ,  $d(\theta)$  is trivial, implying that  $\theta$  is an H-map. The inclusion  $\iota_{(p)}$  is clearly an H-map, and in the proof of Theorem 1.4 the Samelson product  $\langle \iota_{(p)}, \theta \rangle$  is shown to be trivial for  $p > 2n - 1$ . Thus by Lemma 3.5,  $\iota_{(p)} \cdot \theta$  is an H-map. Note that we have not fixed an H-structure of  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ . There is a one to one correspondence between H-structures on  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  and  $\pi_{4n-2}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1})$ . By [T, Proposition 13.6] and  $p > 2n - 1$ ,  $\pi_{4n-2}(S_{(p)}^{2n-1}) = 0$ , so there is only one H-structure on  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$ . By [A],  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  has a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H-structure. Then  $S_{(p)}^{2n-1}$  must be a homotopy associative and homotopy commutative H-space.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [A] J.F. Adams, *The sphere, considered as an H-space mod p*, Quart. J. Math. (1961) **12**, 52-60.
- [B] R. Bott, *A note on the Samelson products in the classical groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **34** (1960), 249-256.
- [F] E.M. Friedlander, *Exceptional isogenies and the classifying spaces of simple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **101** (1975), 510-520.
- [HK1] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, *A note on the Samelson products in  $\pi_*(\mathrm{SO}(2n))$  and the group  $[\mathrm{SO}(2n), \mathrm{SO}(2n)]$* , Topology Appl. **154** (2007), no. 3, 567-572.
- [HK2] H. Hamanaka and A. Kono, *A note on Samelson products and mod p cohomology of classifying spaces of the exceptional Lie groups*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 2, 393-400.
- [HKO] S. Hasui, D. Kishimoto, and A. Ohsita, *Samelson products in p-regular exceptional Lie groups*, Topology Appl. **178** (2014), no. 1, 17-29.
- [J] I.M. James, *On homotopy theory of classical groups*, Ann. Acad. Brasil. Cienc. **39** (1967), 39-44.
- [K] P. G. Kumpel, *Mod p-equivalences of mod p H-spaces*, Quart. J. Math., **23** (1972), 173-178.
- [KK] S. Kaji and D. Kishimoto, *Homotopy nilpotency in p-regular loop spaces*, Math. Z., **264** (2010), no.1, 209-224.
- [KO] A. Kono, H. Ōshima, *Commutativity of the group of self homotopy classes of Lie groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004) 37-52.
- [L] J. Lin, *H-spaces with Finiteness Conditions*, Handbook of Algebraic Topology, North-Holland Elsevier (1995), pp. 1095-1141 Chapter 22.
- [Ma] M. Mahowald, *A Samelson product in  $\mathrm{SO}(2n)$* , Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana **10** (1965) 80-83.
- [Mo] K. Morisugi, *Hopf construction, Samelson products and suspension maps*, Contemporary Math. **239** (1999), 225-238.
- [T] H. Toda, *Composition Methods in Homotopy Groups of Spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton N.J., 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* kishi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* tsutaya@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp