# A method to deconvolve stellar rotational velocities

Michel Curé<sup>1</sup>, Diego F. Rial<sup>2</sup>, Alejandra Christen<sup>3</sup>, and Julia Cassetti<sup>4</sup>

Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile e-mail: michel.cure@uv.cl

2 Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina e-mail: drial@mate.uba.ar

Instituto de Estadística, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile, e-mail: alejandra.christen@ucv.cl

4 Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, Buenos Aires, Argentina, e-mail: jcassett@ungs.edu.ar

#### ABSTRACT

Aims. Rotational speed is an important physical parameter of stars and knowing the distribution of stellar rotational velocities is essential for the understanding stellar evolution. However, it cannot be measured directly but the convolution of the rotational speed

Methods. We developed a method to deconvolve this inverse problem and obtain the cumulative distribution function (CDF) for stellar rotational velocities extending the work of Chandrasekhar & Münch (1950)

Results. This method is applied a) to theoretical synthetic data recovering the original velocity distribution with very small error; b) to a sample of about 12.000 field main-sequence stars, corroborating that the velocity distribution function is non-Maxwellian, but is better described by distributions based on the concept of maximum entropy, such as Tsallis or Kaniadakis distribution functions Conclusions. This is a very robust and novel method that deconvolve the rotational velocity cumulative distribution function from a sample of  $v \sin i$  data in just one single step without needing any convergence criteria.

Key words. methods: analytical - methods: data analysis - methods: numerical - methods: statistical - stars: fundamental-

1

<sup>5</sup> Instituto de Estadística, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valpa
 <sup>4</sup> Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, Buenos Aires, Arg
 Received December 27, 2013; Accepted March 13, 2014
 ABST
 Aims. Rotational speed is an important physical parameter of sta essential for the understanding stellar evolution. However, it cannot and the sine of the inclination angle, v sin *i*.
 Methods. We developed a method to deconvolve this inverse proble rotational velocities extending the work of Chandrasekhar & Münc Results. This method is applied a) to theoretical synthetic data rec to a sample of about 12.000 field main–sequence stars, corroboratin better described by distributions based on the concept of maximum *Conclusions*. This is a very robust and novel method that deconvo sample of v sin *i* data in just one single step without needing any consume structure and evolution, how they interparameters – stars: rotation
 **1. Introduction** It is well known that all the stars rotate and the understanding about how stars rotate is essential to describe and modeling their formation, internal structure and evolution, how they interact with their companions, disks or planets. Unfortunately from observations, it is not possible to measure the value of their rotational velocities, but the projected value of v sin *i* is the measured one, where *i* is the inclination angle. The standard assumption to disentangle or deconvolve the rotational velocity distribution this formal solution is proportional to a derivative of Abel's equation.

tiation in this formal solution can lead to misleading results due to an intrinsic numerical problem associated to the derivative of an Abel's integral, unless the sample is of high precision. This is the main reason why this method is not usually applied. An alternative and general method was introduced by Lucy (1974). Lucy's method is a Bayesian iterative method for deconvolve a distribution function assuming a prescribed formula for the kernel of eq. (1), e.g., for the case of rotational velocities this kernel describes the projections of an uniform distribution of inclination angles *i*. The Lucy's method has the disadvantage that posses no convergence criteria and the requested number of iterations is only justified a posteriori in view of the results. Nevertheless, the Lucy's method is widely used in the astronomical community to disentangle distribution functions from different observations samples.

In this article we enhanced the pioneer work of Chandrasekhar & Münch (1950), we integrate the probability distribution function (PDF) for the rotational velocities and obtain the cumulative distribution function (CDF) for the velocities. This CDF is attained in just one step, without the need of a convergence criteria usually necessary in iterative methods, giving robustness to our novel method.

This article is structured as follows: In Section 2 we present the mathematical description of the method. In section 3 we apply it to a theoretical example of  $v \sin i$ , we show that  $v \sin i$  is given by a  $\chi$  distribution when the velocity distribution comes from a Maxwellian distribution (Deutsch 1970). Our method recovers the Maxwellian distribution with a very high degree of confidence. We also discuss in this section the relation between the length of the sample and the error in the CDF. Section 4 is advocated to a real sample of circa 12000 main-sequence field stars. We obtain for the first time the true CDF for the velocities of this sample. Furthermore, we recover the results of Carvahlo et al. (2009) demonstrating that the rotational velocity distribution function for this sample is non-Maxwellian, but is better described by a Tsallis or Kaniadakis distribution functions. In the last section we discuss our conclusions and future work.

# 2. The Method

An important class of inverse problems in astronomy has the following form:

$$f_Y(y) = \int p(y \mid x) f_X(x) dx,$$
(1)

which is known as Fredholm integral problem of the first kind (Lucy 1994), where  $f_Y$  is a function accessible to observation and  $f_X$  is the function of interest. The kernel *p* of this integral is related to the remoteness of the measurement process.

Different approaches are considered in the literature (see Lucy 1994 and references therein), almost all of them based on maximum likelihood, where the optimization is carried out using Bayesian-based iterative methods (see Richardson 1972).

In many problems, the function of interest is the cumulative distribution  $F_X$  of the random variable X and different approaches could be applied. For a special form of the kernel p(related with the applications) we can invert the integral problem (1).

### 2.1. The Basic Problem

The problem which we wish to consider may be formulated in the following form: a positive continuous random variable X occurs with a probability given by a unknown density function  $f_X$ . The probability density function  $f_Y$  of a observed variable Y is related with  $f_X$  by equation (1), where p is the kernel or conditional probability density function, i.e.:

$$p(y \mid x) \, dy = P(Y \in [y, y + dy) \mid X = x). \tag{2}$$

The mathematical problem consists in obtaining  $f_X$  from the theoretical function p and the observed distribution  $f_Y$ . We study the particular case where Y is a projection of X: p(y | x) = 0 if y > xor y < 0 and depends only on the ratio s = y/x. In this case we can write p(y | x) dy = q(y/x) dy/x and then

$$F_{Y}(y) = 1 - \int_{y}^{\infty} Q(y/x) f_{X}(x) dx,$$
(3)

where  $F_Y$  the cumulative distribution function.

Chandrasekhar & Münch (1950) considered the integral equation governing the distribution of the true an the apparent rotational velocities of stars,  $y = x \sin i$ , where x = v and i is the inclination angle, assuming an uniform distribution over the sphere (a detailed derivation of Q(y/x) is given in Appendix A). In this case, the integral equation reads as follows:

$$f_Y(y) = \int_y^\infty \frac{y}{x\sqrt{x^2 - y^2}} f_X(x) dx.$$
 (4)

They obtain the solution of this problem, based on the formal solution of Abel's integral equation, namely:

$$f_X(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi} x^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{y^2 \sqrt{y^2 - x^2}} f_Y(y) dy.$$
(5)

which is not of much practical use, since it requires differentiation of a functional of the observed density function  $f_Y$  and it can lead to wrong results (see Chandrasekhar & Münch 1950).

From the definition of CDF of a random variable:

$$F_X(x) = \int_0^x f_X(\xi) d\xi = 1 - \int_x^\infty f_X(\xi) d\xi$$

Article number, page 2 of 7

and using Equation (5), we obtain:

$$F_X(x) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_x^{\infty} \xi^2 g'(\xi) d\xi,$$
  
where  $g(\xi) = \xi \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2 \sqrt{y^2 - \xi^2}} f_Y(y) dy.$  After applying integra-  
tion by parts, we get:

$$F_X(x) = 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \xi^2 g(\xi) \Big|_{\xi=x}^{\xi=\infty} - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_x^\infty \xi g(\xi) \, d\xi.$$
(6)

Using the following inequality

$$\xi^2 g(\xi) = \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^3}{y^2 \sqrt{y^2 - \xi^2}} f_Y(y) \, dy$$
  
$$\leq \sup_{y \ge \xi} f_Y(y) \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^3}{y^2 \sqrt{y^2 - \xi^2}} \, dy$$
  
$$= \xi \sup_{y \ge \xi} f_Y(y) \leq \sup_{y \ge \xi} y f_Y(y),$$

it holds that  $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \xi^2 g(\xi) = 0$ , provided  $\lim_{y \to \infty} y f_Y(y) = 0$ . The latter assumption is true for all the known distribution functions. Therefore, re–arranging Eq. (6), we get:

$$F_X(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_x^\infty \frac{x^3}{y^2 \sqrt{y^2 - x^2}} f_Y(y) dy - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_x^\infty \xi \, g(\xi) \, d\xi.$$
(7)

Interchanging order of integration, the last integral can be written as

$$\int_{x}^{\infty} \xi g(\xi) d\xi = \int_{x}^{\infty} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{2}}{y^{2} \sqrt{y^{2} - \xi^{2}}} f_{Y}(y) dy d\xi$$
$$= \int_{x}^{\infty} \left( \int_{x}^{y} \frac{\xi^{2}}{y^{2} \sqrt{y^{2} - \xi^{2}}} d\xi \right) f_{Y}(y) dy$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x \sqrt{y^{2} - x^{2}}}{y^{2}} + \arccos(x/y) \right) f_{Y}(y) dy.$$

and replacing in (7), finally we obtain:

$$F_X(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_x^\infty \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{y^2 - x^2}} + \arccos(x/y) \right) f_Y(y) \, dy.$$
(8)

This equation provides a numerically stable method for solving (1) in this particular case. Our purpose is to develop a novel algorithm for solving the general problem (1), like an alternative to the iterative method proposed by Lucy (1974) and recover the original Chandrasekhar & Münch method, without introducing numerical instabilities due to derivative (see equation 5).

#### 3. A Theoretical Test

In this section we evaluate the proposed method in section 2. In order to do that, we are going to assume that the distribution of velocities is given by a Maxwellian distribution (as it is considered by Deutsch 1970) with dispersion  $\sigma$ , i.e.,

$$f_X(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^3} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(9)

where x > 0. The behavior of this distribution is shown in Figure **Table 1.** MISE 1 in solid line. =

Therefore, eq. (4) gives:

$$f_Y(y) = \int_y^\infty \frac{y}{x\sqrt{x^2 - y^2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^3} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx$$
(10)

This integral has an explicit analytic solution which is:

$$f_{y}(y) = \frac{y}{\sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}$$
(11)

for y > 0. Considering that the  $\chi$ -distribution is defined by:

$$f_{\chi}(\nu;z) = \frac{2^{1-\frac{\nu}{2}}e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}z^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)}$$
(12)

where v is a real positive parameter, z is any positive real number and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function. Then, equation (11) correspond to:

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f_{\chi}(2; \frac{y}{\sigma}).$$
(13)

In order to apply this method, we first create a sample of  $v \sin i$  values using equation (13), i.e., generating *n* random values  $\{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n\}$ , then we obtain  $\hat{f}_Y(y)$  using a Kernel Density Estimator method (KDE) for this sample and insert it in equation (8). The KDE is a non-parametric method to estimate the probability distribution of a random variable (in our case  $y = x \sin i$ ) from a random sample.

The KDE estimator is defined as follows:

$$\hat{f}_{Y}(y) = \frac{1}{n h} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{y - Y_{j}}{h}\right),$$
(14)

where *K* is the kernel function and *h* is the bandwidth. We use in this work a *Gaussian* kernel  $K_G(y) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2}$ , because this kernel smooths the distribution. The bandwidth is defined as:

$$h = 0.9 \min\left(\tilde{\sigma}, \frac{IQ}{1.34}\right) n^{-1/5}$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is the standard deviation of the random variable under study, *Y*, and *IQ* is the correspondent interquartile range (Silverman 1986). Figure 1 shows an histogram of a synthetic sample of n = 1000, and the corresponding KDE function is shown in dashed line.

The steps of our algorithm to get the estimated CDF are the following: i) Have a sample of  $v \sin i$ , ii) Obtain a KDE from this sample using a suitable kernel function, and iii) Calculate the estimated CDF using equation (8) with KDE (from previous step) as the  $f_Y(y)$  function.

We have to point out here, that exists an *arbitrary choice* in the number of values of x that we get from equation (8). A reasonable restriction is to take a  $\Delta x$  not lower than the sample's measurement error. For the sample we use in section (4) the error is  $\Delta x = 1 \text{ km/s}$ , value that we use in this theoretical example.

Figure (2) shows the estimated CDF in the interval 0 to 35 km/s, with a step of 1 km/s, for a synthetic sample of  $v \sin i$  of size n = 1000 and parameter  $\sigma = 8$ . These data were obtained directly using our method described previously, without needing any convergence criteria. From this empirical CDF we can calculate the moments of the distribution (e.g., mean, variance), but the approach for the moments from Chandrasekhar & Münch (1950) is more straightforward. Nevertheless, with this

Sample	Mean

Sample	Mean	Median
1000	$1.60 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.28 \times 10^{-4}$
10000	$2.09\times10^{-5}$	$1.71 \times 10^{-5}$

new method we can calculate percentiles, intervals and in general any probability associated to the velocity distribution.

Figure (2) also shows the theoretical CDF (solid line) of this Maxwellian distribution for the same parameter, i.e.,

$$F_M(x) = \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}\sigma}\right) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{x}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}},\tag{15}$$

where erf corresponds to the error function.

In order to get an estimation of our method's error, we use the standard discrete Integrated Square Error (ISE) defined as:

ISE = 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( CDF(x_j) - F_M(x_j) \right)^2$$
 (16)

and afterwards we obtain the standard discrete Mean Integrated Square Error (MISE) by computing the mean of ISE for several samples of a given fixed size n. Therefore, we run a Monte Carlo simulation calculating ISE for a set of 500 samples of n = 1000 data and another set of 500 samples with n = 10000 data. Figure (5) shows the histogram for both sets of samples, and Table (1) shows the Mean and Median values of our simulations, where the Mean value of each set represents the estimated MISE of our model. Clearly the more data has the sample, the lower is the error in the estimation of the CDF.

The MISE depends mostly on the KDE of each sample used for computation of the CDF, when the KDE from the sample is improved, so does the estimation of CDF.

We can conclude that our method recovers the Maxwellian distribution with very high precision.

#### 4. Application to Main–Sequence Field Stars

In this section we apply this method to a large sample of measured  $v \sin i$  data. We use the sample from the Geneva–Copenhagen survey of the Solar neighborhood (Nordström et al. 2004 and Holmberg et al. 2007), which contain information about 16500 F and G main–sequence field stars. In this catalog 12931 stars have values of  $v \sin i$ . Furthermore, considering that the values of  $v \sin i$  are given rounded to the nearest km/s up to 30km/s, upwards that value the sample gives  $v \sin i$  to the nearest 5 or  $10 \ km/s$  and that about 91% of the sample have rotational speeds below 30km/s, we select (following Carvalho et al. 2009) only stars with  $v \sin i \le 30km/s$ , giving a sample of 11818 stars.

Applying our method we obtained the estimated CDF from this sample, as shown in figure 4 in black dots. In order to estimate the error of the estimated CDF we create 1000 bootstrap samples of the original one and calculate the corresponding 1000 bootstrap–CDFs. In Figure 4 we also plotted in light–gray all these bootstrap–CDFs. Concerning the error's estimator we add in each point of Figure 4 an error bar which is the 95% confidence interval (see the zoomed plot in Figure 4). Since the estimated bootstrap–CDFs from the original sample are functions, it is difficult to get the distribution of probability of the estimator. Therefore in order to calculate the probability of the original estimated CDF, we used the approximated confidence interval with normal standard percentile  $Z_{\alpha/2}$ , namely:

$$\widehat{CDF} \pm Z_{\alpha/2}\widehat{se}$$

Article number, page 3 of 7

A&A proofs: manuscript no. ms\_v2



**Fig. 1.** Histogram of a synthetic sample of  $y = v \sin i$  with n = 1000 from the PDF (eq. 13), with  $\sigma = 8$ . In solid black line is plotted the corresponding theoretical (Maxwellian) PDF. Dashed–line shows the corresponding KDE of this sample calculated with an Gaussian kernel.



**Fig. 2.** The CDF values calculated from eq. (8) with a step of 1 *km/s* are plotted in black dots. The theoretical Maxwellian distribution is shown in black solid line.

where  $\widehat{se}$  is the standard deviation of Bootstrap samples, and  $Z_{\alpha/2}$  is the value in which the standard normal distribution accumulate 97.5% of the area under its PDF and  $\alpha = 0.05(= 1 - 0.95)$  is the complement of the confidence. This procedure is the simplest one for calculating confidence intervals from Bootstrap method proposed in Efron (1993).

One of the advantages of getting the CDF is to give information about the rotational velocity distribution. Observing the black dots from figure 4, we see that approximately 50% from sample have a magnitude less than 7 km/s and 10% of the fastest rotational speeds are approximately between 24 km/s and 30 km/s.

Deutsch (1970) proved, using methods of classical statistical mechanics, that the distribution of rotational speeds is given by

a Maxwellian Distribution (eq. 9), under the assumption that the angles are distributed uniformly over the sphere. Therefore, in order to describe the rotational velocity distribution function, we fit a Maxwellian CDF to our estimated CDF. The fitting method minimizes the Least Squares, i.e.,  $\phi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Y_j - F_M(X_j))^2$ , where  $F_M$  is the CDF for the Maxwellian Distribution (eq. 15). For the minimization process we use the Nelder–Mead simplex algorithm (Nelder & Mead 1965).

Figure 5 shows the estimated CDF and also shows the Maxwellian's CDF (black solid line), the minimization gives a dispersion of  $\sigma = 5.64$  that corresponds for the minimum value of  $\phi = 0.0087$ . Clearly from this figure, a Maxwellian distribution cannot describe the proper distribution of the rotational



Fig. 3. Histogram of the ISE for a set of 500 samples with 1000 data each, shown in light–gray and a set of 500 samples of 10000 data each shown in dark–gray (see text for details).



Fig. 4. The estimated CDF from the sample of 11818 stars are shown in black dots, together with an approximated confidence interval (error bar). In light–gray all the bootstrap–CDFs are also plotted. The Zoomed plot shows the error bar calculated using the methodology from Efron (1993).

speeds of this sample. Indeed, the estimated CDF has tails with increased positive probability than those of Maxwellian's CDF, i.e., the distribution of rotational velocity has more dispersion than the one from a Maxwellian distribution.

This sample has been used by Carvalho et al. (2009), who performed a statistical study of it, showing that the empirical distribution function of this sample cannot be fitted using a *Maxwellian* distribution (Deutsch 1970). They obtained a much better fit when the assumption of *Gibbs entropy* in standard statistical mechanics is released and distribution functions from non–extensive statistical mechanics are applied to this sample. Specifically they used the *Tsallis* distribution (Tsallis 1998) and the *Kaniadakis* power–law distribution (Kaniadakis 2002, 2005), both based on the concept of *maximum entropy* (Gell-Mann & Tsallis 2004).

In figure 5 these distributions are also plotted. We can see that for left tail, the estimated CDF (black dots) and both Tsallis and Kaniadakis distributions have velocity values below 8 km/s representing the 60% of the data, while for the Maxwellian distribution this percentage is reached about 10 km/s. Concerning

Table 2. Nonlinear Model Results

Distribution	LS	$\sigma$	Second parameter
Maxwellian	0.3142	5.639	
Tsallis	0.0126	3.461	q = 1.413
Kaniadakis	0.0217	4.638	$\hat{k} = 0.445$

the right tail, the Maxwellian distribution for the rotational velocity less than 17 km/s has probability about 95% while for the other two distributions this probability is reached for rotational speeds with values above 25 km/s.

Having a known integral expression (given by eq. 8), our method allows to fit any known distribution. In this case, we arise to the same conclusion that Carvalho et al. (2009), but using a different algorithm, i.e., Tsallis or Kaniadakis distributions are in a close agreement to the empirical CDF, although both distributions show a slightly discrepancy in the tails.



Fig. 5. The empirical CDF from the sample in black dots without error bar. The fitted CDF function from a Maxwellian distribution with  $\sigma = 5.64$  is shown in black solid line, fitted Tsallis distribution with  $\sigma_q = 3.46$  and q = 1.41 is shown in dashed line and fitted Kaniadakis distribution with  $\sigma_k = 4.64$  and k = 0.45 is shown in dotted line.

### 5. Discussion and Conclusions

In this work we have obtained the cumulative distribution function of "de-projected" velocities. It is well known that from the estimated CDF we can obtain probabilities in general, e.g., the distributional moments, the probability of an interval, median, percentiles and any other statistical feature of the sample.

This novel presented method is an extension of the pioneer work introduced by Chandrasekar and Münch (1950) allowing to obtain the CDF without numerical instability caused by the use of derivative, that was the main disadvantage of the PDF from Chandrasekar and Münch (1950). Furthermore, this estimated CDF is obtained in just one step without needing any convergence criteria in comparison with the widely used iterative method of Lucy (1974).

Deutsch (1970) shows that if the direction of the rotational velocity is uniformly distributed and each Cartesian component is distributed independently of the other ones, then the magnitude of the velocity follows a Maxwellian distribution law. However, the independence assumption is not clear and we proved in the previous section that the Maxwellian distribution does not fit accurately the empirical CDF.

On the other hand, Carvalho et al. (2009) found a better agreement for two other probability distributions, namely: Tsallis and Kaniadakis. These distributions are based on the concept of maximum entropy. In our case, using the new method, we confirm their analysis but this time from the rotational velocity estimated CDF. This results open the question about the validity of the assumption of Maxwellian distribution.

*Future work:* We will extend the applicability of our model for samples that show bi–modal velocity distributions, e.g., the data from VLT FLames Tarantula Survey (Ramírez-Agudelo et al, 2013).

There exits samples where the number of stars with very low rotational velocities are a few or none at all (see e.g., Yudin 2011, Ramírez-Agudelo et al, 2013). If the distribution of inclination angles is uniform, then independently of the rotational

velocity distribution, there must be a non-negligible portion of the sample with lower values of the projected rotational velocity due to low values of *i*. There are some studies of open clusters where this assumption seems no longer reasonable, see Silva et al. (2013) and Rees y Zijlstra (2013). We want to develop a "completeness" test for the uniform distribution of angles of a sample of  $v \sin i$ .

Furthermore, we want to use a general function in order to describe an arbitrary orientation of rotational axes and study the distribution of rotational velocities in a more general description. Finally a very ambitious project will be to obtain *simultaneously* both distributions from a data sample.

Acknowledgements. This work has been partially supported by project CONICT-Redes 12-0007. MC thanks the support of FONDECYT project 1130173 and Centro de Astrofísica de Valparaíso. JC thanks the financial support from project: "Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Análisis Numérico" - Instituto de Ciencias, Instituto de Desarrollo Humano e Instituto de Industria - Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento. DR acknowledge the support of project PIP11420090100165, CON-ICET. AC thanks the support from Instituto de Estadística, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso.

## Appendix A: Distribution of projected angles

Let **x** be a random vector in 3-D and **y** be the projection of **x** to the plane normal to the line of sight. If  $X = ||\mathbf{x}||$ ,  $Y = ||\mathbf{y}||$ , *i* is the angle between **x** and the line of sight, and  $S = |\sin(i)| = Y/X \in [0, 1]$ , the condition  $S \leq s$  is equivalent to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}/X \in A$ , where *A* is the *polar region* of the unit sphere corresponding to the inclination angle  $i \in [0, i_0] \cup [\pi - i_0, \pi]$ , azimuthal angle  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $i_0 = \arcsin(s)$  (see figure A). Asume *X*,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  are independent and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  is uniformly distributed over the unit sphere, i. e.  $P(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in A)$  is proportional to the area of *A*. Therefore

$$P(S \le s) = \frac{\text{Area}(A)}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{i_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(i) \, d\phi \, di$$
$$= \int_0^{i_0} \sin(i) \, di = 1 - \cos(\arcsin(s)) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2}.$$

distribution is:

$$\begin{split} F_q(x) &= \left(2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{q-1}\sigma_q^3\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q-1}\right)\left((q-1)x^2+\sigma_q^2\right)\right)^{-1}\sigma_q^{\frac{2}{q-1}}x^{\frac{q+3}{1-q}}\Gamma\\ &\left[\sigma_q^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}x^{-\frac{2}{q-1}}\left(\frac{(q-1)x^2}{\sigma_q^2}+1\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\left(\sigma_q^2x^{\frac{2}{q-1}}+(q-1)x^{\frac{2q}{q-1}}\right)\left(-q-\frac{2}{\sigma_q^2}\right)\right]\\ &\left(2\sigma_q^2x^{\frac{4}{q-1}}\left((q-1)x^2+\sigma_q^2\right)_2F_1\left(1,\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{1-q};\frac{1}{2};-\frac{(q-1)x^2}{\sigma_q^2}\right)\right)\right)\\ &+(q-3)(3q-5)x^{\frac{4q}{q-1}}-\sigma_q^2x^{\frac{4}{q-1}}\left(4(2q-3)x^2+3\sigma_q^2\right)\right)\\ &+e^{\frac{i\pi}{q-1}}\left((9q-17)\sigma_q^4x^{\frac{2q}{q-1}}+x^{\frac{2}{q-1}}\left(-(q-3)^2(q-1)x^6+(q(7q-1)x^2+1)x^2\right)\right)\right) \end{split}$$

here  $_2F_1$  is the Gauss Hypergeometric function and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function.

Kaniadakis (2002, 2005) based on the same concept of maximum entropy, developed a power-law statistics, where the distribution function is given by:

$$f_k(x) = \frac{8\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}k^4\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{4} + \frac{1}{2k}\right)}{\sigma_k^3\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2k} - \frac{3}{4}\right)} x^2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{k^2x^4}{\sigma_k^4} + 1} - \frac{kx^2}{\sigma_k^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$
(B.3)

here  $\sigma_k$  is the dispersion. When the *k*-parameter tend to 1 again the standard Maxwellian distribution is attained. The CDF of Kaniadakis distribution is:

$$F_{k}(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{2^{\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{k}} \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{4} + \frac{1}{2k}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{kx^{2}}{\sigma_{k}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{k}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)_{3} \tilde{F}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{2k} - \frac{3}{4}, \frac{k+1}{2k}, \frac{1}{2k}; \frac{k+1}{4k}\right)$$
(B.4)

where  $_{3}\tilde{F}_{2}$  is the regularized generalized hypergeometric function.

#### References

Carvalho, J. C., do Nascimento Jr., J. D., Silva, R. & de Medeiros, J. R. 2009, ApJ, 696, L48

Chandrasekhar, S. & Münch, G. 1950, ApJ, 111, 142

- Deutsch, A. J. 1970, in Proc. IAU Colloq. 4, Stellar Rotation, ed. A. Slettebak (Dordrecht: Reidel), 207
- Gell-Mann, M., & Tsallis, C. (ed.) 2004, in Nonextensive Entropy- Interdisciplinary Applications (New York: Oxford Univ. Press)
- Efrom, B. & Tibshirani, R. J. 1993, An Introduction to the Bootstrap (London: Chapman and Hall)
- Holmberg, J., Nordström, B. & Andersen, J. 2007, A&A, 475, 519
- Kaniadakis, G. 2002, Phys. Rev. E, 66, 056125
- Kaniadakis, G. 2005, Phys. Rev. E, 72, 036108 Lucy, L. B. 1974, AJ, 79, 745
- Lucy, L. B., 1994, Reviews in Modern Astronomy, 7, 31
- Nelder, J. and Mead, R., 1965, Computer Journal, 7,308
- Nordström, B., et al. 2004, A&A, 418, 989
- Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., Simón-Díaz, S., Sana, H., de Koter, A., Sabín-Sanjulían, C., de Mink, S. E., Dufton, P. L., Gräfener, G., Evans, C. J., Herrero, A., Langer, N., Lennon, D. J., Maíz Apellániz, J., Markova, N., Najarro, F., Puls, J., Taylor, W. D., Vink, J. S., 2013 A&A 560, 29
- Rees, W. H. & Zijlstra, 2013 MNRAS, 435, 975
- Richardson, W. H. 1972, J.Opt.Soc.America, 62, 55
- Silva, J. R. P. Nepomuceno, M. M. F., Soares, B. B. & de Freitas, D. B. 2013, ApJ, 777, 20
- Silverman, B. W. 1986, Density estimation for statistics and data analysis, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability No. 26 (London: Chapman and Hall)
- Tsallis, C. 1988 Journal of Statistical Physics, 52, 479
- Yudin, R.V., 2001 A&A, 368, 912

Article number, page 7 of 7



Fig. A.1. Distribution of sin i

Since the PDF verifies  $f_S(s) = dP(S \le s)/ds$ , we have  $f_S(s) =$  $s/\sqrt{1-s^2}$ , and replacing in (1) we get

$$f_Y(y) = \int_y^\infty \frac{y}{x\sqrt{x^2 - y^2}} f_X(x) \, dx.$$
(A.1)

which corresponds to eq. [9] from Chandrasekhar & Münch (1950).

# Appendix B: Tsallis & Kaniadakis Distributions

A standard assumption of statistical mechanics, based on the Gibbs entropy is that quantities, such as the energy are extensive variables, i.e., the total energy of the system is proportional to the system size; similarly the entropy is also supposed to be extensive. Tsallis statistical mechanics is based on the concept of maximum entropy (see details in Gell-Mann & Tsallis 2004). From a Mathematical point of view, Tsallis PDF is defined as:

$$f_q(x) = \left(\frac{4(q-1)^{3/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_q^3\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{q-1}-\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right) x^2 \left(1-\frac{(1-q)x^2}{\sigma_q^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$$
(B.1)

where  $\sigma_q$  is the dispersion. When the *q*-parameter tend to 1 the standard Maxwellian distribution is attained. The CDF of this