

Full pseudoscalar mesonic chiral Lagrangian at p^6 order under the unitary group

Shao-Zhou Jiang,^{1,*} Feng-Jun Ge,^{2,†} and Qing Wang^{3,4,5,‡}

¹*Department of Physics and GXU-NAOC Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, P.R.China*

²*Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, Beijing, 100094, P.R.China*

³*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R.China*

⁴*Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P.R.China*

⁵*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100084, P.R.China*

We construct the full p^6 order chiral Lagrangians for the unitary group and special unitary groups, including n_f -, three- and two-flavor cases, all bilinear currents (scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector and tensor currents) and θ parameter. The number of independent operators are 1391, 1326 and 969 for each of the flavor unitary groups. From these results, we find one extra linear relation among the traditional p^4 order low-energy constants under the U(3) group, and some more linear relations with tensor sources for the p^6 order low-energy constants in the special unitary groups. We develop a scheme to obtain the relations for the dependent operators in terms of independent operators.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Fe, 11.30.Rd, 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

In low-energy QCD, chiral perturbation theory(ChPT) is a powerful tool to treat hadron physics. With the help of ChPT, we can describe the low-energy pseudoscalar mesons (π, K, η, η') up to a certain degree of precision. In the last three decades, ChPT has matured and can specify next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) processes. The first step in the ChPT is to obtain the chiral Lagrangian, where most of the difficulties and discussions arise. Conventionally, one expands the chiral Lagrangian in terms of powers of momentum (p). For the special unitary (SU) group, ChPT for the pseudoscalar meson has been improved from the leading (p^2) order [1], to the next-to-leading (p^4) order (NLO) [2, 3], and the NNLO (p^6 order) [4–10]. At present, almost all NNLO chiral Lagrangian have been obtained, including two- and three-flavor quarks, the normal and anomalous parts, and all bilinear light-quark currents (scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axial-vector and tensor currents), except for the θ parameter related terms. For the unitary (U) groups, the NLO results also were obtained[11]. Furthermore, one can expand the chiral Lagrangian in terms of p and $1/N_c$ simultaneously. The results to order $O(\delta)$ have been obtained [12–14].

At present, under the SU group, the NNLO chiral Lagrangian seems sufficient. The chiral Lagrangian at any higher order is much more complicated and without much physical interest. Even though it can be obtained, the work would be very tedious and long, because of the complexity, and the advantages of such a chiral Lagrangian would vanish. Furthermore, comparisons between theory and experiments have not reached the precision where higher-order computations are needed. Even in the NNLO, some mistakes may appear through the tedium of the calculations, raising doubts about the credibility of the evaluations. When the NNLO chiral Lagrangian was first obtained [4, 9], some linear relations among low-energy constants (LECs) had been missed [5–8, 10]. This poses the question: Do other hidden relations exist? We need a definite answer. If the existing LECs are not independent, they are not unique, and ChPT cannot work well. In the NNLO, when ones wants all LECs [10, 15, 16] or discusses some processes [17–22], one may need these linear relations among the independent and dependent operators. Some relations appearing in the literature are quite long (see [6], Appendix B in [5] and so on), How are these complex calculations to be done, and computations made more reliable?

Besides more higher-order terms, or remaining with the NNLO under the SU groups, one may extend the calculation to the NNLO under the U group. For the conventional SU chiral Lagrangian at the NNLO, there are singlet vector sources, singlet axial-vector sources or θ parameter, but sometimes we need to consider them. Even though in NLO, these circumstances may be consider, but not very accurate comparing to NNLO. The extra $U(1)$ symmetry is related to the η' particle. The properties of η' [23], especially $\eta - \eta'$ mixing [24–28], need this $U(1)$ symmetry. Parameter

*jsz@gxu.edu.cn

†ge_fengjun@iapcm.ac.cn

‡Corresponding author. wangq@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

θ comes from strong CP violation [29, 30], whereas certain phenomenons are related to singlet vector or axial-vector sources, for instance, electroweak interactions [31–33]. In these situation, θ , singlet vector and axial-vector sources cannot be ignored. In the $1/N_c$ expansion, η' could also be included [12, 14, 34]. Sometimes, tensor sources are needed; for example, the magnetic susceptibility of the quark condensate[35–37]. The purpose of our study is to obtain the full U group chiral Lagrangian up to NNLO, including all bilinear quark currents and θ parameters. With this chiral Lagrangian and techniques developed, all the above mentioned problems can be solved more accurately and simultaneously.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we review the two kinds of basis to construct the chiral Lagrangian and provide definitions of symbols. In Sec. III, we collect all possible linear relations for the chiral Lagrangian. Sec. IV is an introduction to our method of generating the chiral Lagrangian. In Sec. V, we list our results for the U and SU groups up to order p^6 . Sec. VI concludes with a summary.

II. CHIRAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND CHIRAL BASIS

In QCD, the original Lagrangian is denoted $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^0$. We usually introduce some external sources and the topological charge operator to obtain the corresponding Green's functions. The complete bilinear coupling external sources contain scalar (s), pseudoscalar (p), vector (v^μ), axial-vector (a^μ), and tensor ($\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}$) currents. The topological charge operator is $G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}$, where $G^{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength matrix, and $\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}G_{\lambda\rho}$. The QCD Lagrangian is expanded as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}^0 + \bar{q}(\psi(x) + \phi(x)\gamma_5 - s(x) + ip(x)\gamma_5 + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu}(x))q - \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\theta(x)\text{tr}_c(G_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{\mu\nu}), \quad (1)$$

where q denotes the light quark fields. For low-energy QCD, we mainly focus on the nonet of the pseudoscalar mesons (π, K, η, η'). As in [2, 3], we collect the nonet in the $U(x)$ matrix as

$$U(x) = e^{i\phi(x)/F_0} \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(x) \stackrel{n_f=3}{=} \sum_{a=0}^8 \lambda_a \phi_a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta' & \sqrt{2}\pi^+ & \sqrt{2}K^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\pi^- & -\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\eta + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta' & \sqrt{2}K^0 \\ \sqrt{2}K^- & \sqrt{2}\bar{K}^0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\eta + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Where F_0 is the pseudoscalar meson decay constant in the chiral limit, and $\lambda_i (i = 1 \dots 8)$ are the Gell-Mann matrices, and $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{2/n_f}\mathbf{1}_{n_f \times n_f}$. We usually choose $n_f = 2$ or 3. If the light quark are assumed massless, \mathcal{L} is invariant under the $U_L(n_f) \times U_R(n_f)$ group. For an effective field theory, we often focus on the meson fields U . Under the $U_L(n_f) \times U_R(n_f)$ transformations, U transforms as

$$U \rightarrow g_R U g_L^\dagger, \quad g_L \in U_L, g_R \in U_R. \quad (4)$$

Simultaneously, the external sources also need transforming. For convenience, we usually collect the sources as

$$\begin{aligned} r^\mu &= v^\mu + a^\mu, \\ l^\mu &= v^\mu - a^\mu, \\ \chi &= 2B_0(s + ip), \\ \hat{\theta} &= i\theta, \\ X &= \langle \ln U \rangle + \hat{\theta}, \\ t^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{t}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\bar{t}_{\lambda\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The notation $\langle \dots \rangle$ is the trace over flavor indices. B_0 is a constant related to the quark condensate. Under $U_L(n_f) \times U_R(n_f)$ rotations (chiral rotations), these sources transform as

$$\begin{aligned} l^\mu &\rightarrow g_L l_\mu g_L^\dagger + i g_L \partial^\mu g_L^\dagger \\ r^\mu &\rightarrow g_R r_\mu g_R^\dagger + i g_R \partial^\mu g_R^\dagger \\ \chi &\rightarrow g_R \chi g_L^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow g_R t^{\mu\nu} g_L^\dagger \\
X &\rightarrow X
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}$$

For $\hat{\theta}$, one usually introduce its covariant derivative

$$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} = \partial^\mu \hat{\theta} + 2i\langle a^\mu \rangle, \tag{7}$$

which is invariant under the chiral rotations. It is more convenient to introduce the field strengths $F_L^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_R^{\mu\nu}$ associated with l^μ, r^μ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_R^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu r^\nu - \partial^\nu r^\mu - i[r^\mu, r^\nu], \\
F_L^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu l^\nu - \partial^\nu l^\mu - i[l^\mu, l^\nu],
\end{aligned}
\tag{8}$$

Under $U_L(n_f) \times U_R(n_f)$ rotations, they transform as

$$F_L^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_L F_L^{\mu\nu} g_L^\dagger, \quad F_R^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_R F_R^{\mu\nu} g_R^\dagger \tag{9}$$

We can now construct the chiral Lagrangian, with $U, U^\dagger, F_L^{\mu\nu}, F_R^{\mu\nu}, \chi, \chi^\dagger, t^{\mu\nu}, t^{\dagger\mu\nu}, \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$ and X , as well as their covariant derivatives; we call this set the L(eft)R(ight)-basis. These covariant derivations combine with l^μ and r^μ , retaining the same transformations as the fields on which they act. Different transformations have different covariant derivations. They can be obtained from (6). Following [4], we express them as

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\rightarrow g_R A g_L^\dagger : D^\mu A = \partial^\mu A - i r^\mu A + i A l^\mu, \\
B &\rightarrow g_L B g_R^\dagger : D^\mu B = \partial^\mu B - i l^\mu B + i B r^\mu, \\
C &\rightarrow g_R C g_R^\dagger : D^\mu C = \partial^\mu C - i r^\mu C + i C r^\mu, \\
D &\rightarrow g_L D g_L^\dagger : D^\mu D = \partial^\mu D - i l^\mu D + i D l^\mu, \\
E &\rightarrow E : D^\mu E = \partial^\mu E
\end{aligned}
\tag{10}$$

In principle, the conditions constraining the chiral Lagrangian relate only to its symmetries, which include the Lorentz symmetry, local chiral symmetry, parity symmetry and charge conjugation symmetry. We list these properties on for the LR-basis in Table I. Because the chiral Lagrangian need to be real, we also list the hermiticity of the LR-basis. The operators in Table I with covariant derivations have the same properties.

TABLE I: Chiral rotations (R), P, C and hermiticity of the LR-basis (O).

O	R	P	C	h.c.
U	$g_R U g_L^\dagger$	U^\dagger	U^T	U^\dagger
χ	$g_R \chi g_L^\dagger$	χ^\dagger	χ^T	χ^\dagger
$F_L^{\mu\nu}$	$g_L F_L^{\mu\nu} g_L^\dagger$	$F_R^{\mu\nu}$	$-(F_R^{\mu\nu})^T$	$F_L^{\mu\nu}$
$F_R^{\mu\nu}$	$g_R F_R^{\mu\nu} g_R^\dagger$	$F_L^{\mu\nu}$	$-(F_L^{\mu\nu})^T$	$F_R^{\mu\nu}$
$t^{\mu\nu}$	$g_R t^{\mu\nu} g_L^\dagger$	$t^{\dagger\mu\nu}$	$-(t^{\mu\nu})^T$	$t^{\dagger\mu\nu}$
X	X	$-X$	X	$-X$
$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$-\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$-\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$

At present, we can construct the chiral Lagrangian with the LR-basis. However, different bases have different chiral rotation properties, particularly, in (10), the covariant derivatives relations are complex. Such differences can yield among the covariant derivatives quit complex properties for some identities, for instance the strength tensor relations and the Bianchi identity, which will be introduced later in (17) and (22) respectively, in regard to another basis. In using the LR-basis some linear relations may get lost [4]. Hence, we usually use another basis, which we refer to as building blocks. We will until the very end use these building blocks to construct the chiral Lagrangian, but will return to the LR-basis for the contact terms.

Following [5, 8, 9], we define the Goldstone boson field u , $U = u^2$, and introduce a compensator field h . The field u transforms as

$$u \rightarrow u = g_R u h^\dagger = h u g_L^\dagger. \tag{11}$$

Hence, under chiral rotations, all the building blocks O are invariant or transform as

$$O \rightarrow h O h^\dagger. \tag{12}$$

These building blocks and their relations to the LR-basis are

$$\begin{aligned}
u^\mu &= i\{u^\dagger(\partial^\mu - ir^\mu)u - u(\partial^\mu - il^\mu)u^\dagger\}, \\
\chi_\pm &= u^\dagger\chi u^\dagger \pm u\chi^\dagger u, \\
h^{\mu\nu} &= \nabla^\mu u^\nu + \nabla^\nu u^\mu, \\
f_\pm^{\mu\nu} &= uF_L^{\mu\nu}u^\dagger \pm u^\dagger F_R^{\mu\nu}u, \\
t_\pm^{\mu\nu} &= u^\dagger t^{\mu\nu}u^\dagger \pm ut^{\mu\nu\dagger}u, \\
\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} &= \partial^\mu \hat{\theta} + 2i\langle a^\mu \rangle, \\
X &= \langle \ln U \rangle + \hat{\theta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We can see that almost all the operators on the left-hand side of (13) transform as (12); the exceptions $\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$ and X are invariant.

Furthermore, we also need to define the covariant derivative for all operators. For the operators transforming as (12), the covariant derivatives are

$$\nabla^\mu O = \partial^\mu O + [\Gamma^\mu, O], \tag{14}$$

where the chiral connection is

$$\Gamma^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\{u^\dagger(\partial^\mu - ir^\mu)u + u(\partial^\mu - il^\mu)u^\dagger\}. \tag{15}$$

Because $\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$ and X are invariant under the chiral rotations, their covariant derivatives are the ordinary partial derivative. For convenience, we will use below ∇ instead of ∂ , because the commutator is zero in (14). With this covariant derivative, $f_-^{\mu\nu}$ can be simplified as

$$f_-^{\mu\nu} = -\nabla^\mu u^\nu + \nabla^\nu u^\mu. \tag{16}$$

The field strength tensor $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}$ associated with this covariant derivative is

$$[\nabla^\mu, \nabla^\nu]O = [\Gamma^{\mu\nu}, O], \tag{17}$$

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu \Gamma^\nu - \nabla^\nu \Gamma^\mu - [\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu] = \frac{1}{4}[u^\mu, u^\nu] - \frac{i}{2}f_+^{\mu\nu}. \tag{18}$$

The chiral Lagrangian can be constructed by the building blocks in (13) and their covariant derivatives¹. As in [5], we choose for χ_\pm -a more convenience form for its covariant derivatives;

$$\chi_\pm^\mu = u^\dagger D^\mu \chi u^\dagger \pm u D^\mu \chi^\dagger u = \nabla^\mu \chi_\pm - \frac{i}{2}\{\chi_\mp, u^\mu\}, \tag{19}$$

which has a more simpler relationship in the LR-basis. With regard to the LR-basis, we list the properties of the building blocks in TableII.

TABLE II: P, C and hermiticity of the building blocks.

O	P	C	h.c.
u^μ	$-u_\mu$	$(u^\mu)^T$	u^μ
$h^{\mu\nu}$	$-h_{\mu\nu}$	$(h^{\mu\nu})^T$	$h^{\mu\nu}$
χ_\pm	$\pm\chi_\pm$	$(\chi_\pm)^T$	$\pm\chi_\pm$
$f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$	$\pm f_{\pm\mu\nu}$	$\mp (f_\pm^{\mu\nu})^T$	$f_\pm^{\mu\nu}$
$t_\pm^{\mu\nu}$	$\pm t_{\pm\mu\nu}$	$-(t_\pm^{\mu\nu})^T$	$\pm t_\pm^{\mu\nu}$
$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$-\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$	$-\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$
X	$-X$	X	$-X$

¹ The ∇^μ in $\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$ is not this covariant derivative. However we believe no confusion arises, because they are always combinative. Higher-order covariant derivatives in $\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}$ are equal to the ordinary derivatives or (14). Therefore, we persist with this form for the sake of convenience.

III. FROM BUILDING BLOCKS TO CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN

Given the properties of the building blocks in Table II, we can construct the chiral Lagrangian by permuting and combining these building blocks. We shall focus mainly on the full $U(n_f) \times U(n_f)$ group, including all external sources and θ parameter ($v^\mu, a^\mu, s, p, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu}, \theta$). Nevertheless, for orders above $O(p^4)$, the numbers of terms are very large. The higher the order, the much larger the number of terms becomes. Hence listing all terms is tedious. However, these terms are not linearly independent, we only need to find all linear conditions and list only those independent terms. All linear conditions come from the following relations.

- (i) Partial integration: Except for a total derivative term, we can remove one covariant derivative with respect to another operator in the following manner

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^\mu AB \dots \rangle \langle CD \dots \rangle \dots \\ &= -\langle A \nabla^\mu B \dots \rangle \langle CD \dots \rangle \dots - \dots - \langle AB \dots \rangle \langle \nabla^\mu CD \dots \rangle \dots - \langle AB \dots \rangle \langle C \nabla^\mu D \dots \rangle \dots - \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where “ \dots ” represent one or more operators.

- (ii) Equations of motion: From the chiral Lagrangian and Euler-Lagrange equation, the lowest-order equations of motion(EOM) in the LR-basis for the chiral Lagrangian are given in Eq.(22) of Ref. [11]. In the building-blocks basis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu u^\mu &= \frac{i}{2} \frac{W'_0}{W_1} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{W'_1}{W_1} \langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle + i \frac{W'_1}{W_1} (\langle u_\mu \rangle + i \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}) u^\mu + \frac{i}{2} \frac{W_2}{W_1} \chi_- - \frac{1}{2} \frac{W_3}{W_1} \chi_+ \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2} \frac{W'_2}{W_1} \langle \chi_+ \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{W'_3}{W_1} \langle \chi_- \rangle + \left(\frac{i}{2} \frac{W'_5}{W_1} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{W'_6}{W_1} \right) (\nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}) (\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}) + \frac{i}{2} \frac{W_5}{W_1} \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The W coefficients will be defined in (53). Some minus signs in (21) are required to match the coefficients in [11]. The conclusion of [5, 38] was that up to $O(p^6)$, the lowest-order EOM are satisfied. If we want to evaluate a higher-order chiral Lagrangian, we need to develop the EOM to a higher order, which only adds terms on the r.h.s. of (21). If we are only wanting the independent terms, we can also eliminate the factor $\nabla_\mu u^\mu$ without any difficulties.

- (iii) Bianchi identity: From Eqs.(18), we can get

$$\nabla^\mu \Gamma^{\nu\lambda} + \nabla^\nu \Gamma^{\lambda\mu} + \nabla^\lambda \Gamma^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (22)$$

which gives a relation between the covariant derivatives of $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(f_+^{\mu\nu})$.

- (iv) Schouten identity: When constructing the odd parity terms, we need to use the odd-intrinsic-parity factor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$, as for example in the Schouten identity,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} A^\sigma - \epsilon^{\sigma\nu\lambda\rho} A^\mu - \epsilon^{\mu\sigma\lambda\rho} A^\nu - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho} A^\lambda - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} A^\rho = 0. \quad (23)$$

- (v) Tensor relations: For tensor sources, using (5) and (13), one can obtain two relations between the odd-intrinsic-parity tensor $t_{-\mu\nu}$ and the even-intrinsic-parity tensor $t_{+\mu\nu}$ [9];

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} t_{\pm}^{\lambda\rho} = 2i t_{\mp\mu\nu}. \quad (24)$$

With these relations we can obtain the following transformations

$$O_1 t_+ O_2 t_- O_3 \leftrightarrow O_1 t_- O_2 t_+ O_3, \text{ etc}, \quad (25)$$

and develop relations between the two $t_{\pm}^{\mu\nu}$'s.

- (vi) Contact terms: In the chiral Lagrangian, we usually focus on the mesons fields, and separate the pure external sources terms and θ terms, called contact terms, leaving only meson dependent terms.

- (vii) Cayley-Hamilton relations: Usually, one develops the chiral Lagrangian for mesons composed of the lightest two or three quarks. In these situations, the operators are 2×2 or 3×3 matrices. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that every $n \times n$ matrix A satisfies its own characteristic equation, $p(\lambda)$,

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0, \quad p(A) = 0, \quad (26)$$

and hence gives a relation between the trace of an operator and its determinant. For 2×2 and 3×3 matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \times 2 : \det(A) &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle A \rangle^2 - \langle A^2 \rangle), \\ 3 \times 3 : \det(A) &= \frac{1}{6}(\langle A \rangle^3 - 3\langle A \rangle \langle A^2 \rangle + 2\langle A^3 \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using (27), with some tricks (see [4, 9]), for arbitrary 2×2 matrices A and B , we can get

$$AB + BA - A\langle B \rangle - B\langle A \rangle - \langle AB \rangle + \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle = 0. \quad (28)$$

For arbitrary 3×3 matrices A, B and C , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & ABC + ACB + BAC + BCA + CAB + CBA - AB\langle C \rangle - AC\langle B \rangle - BA\langle C \rangle - BC\langle A \rangle - CA\langle B \rangle \\ & - CB\langle A \rangle - A\langle BC \rangle - B\langle AC \rangle - C\langle AB \rangle - \langle ABC \rangle - \langle ACB \rangle + A\langle B \rangle \langle C \rangle + B\langle A \rangle \langle C \rangle + C\langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle \\ & + \langle A \rangle \langle BC \rangle + \langle B \rangle \langle AC \rangle + \langle C \rangle \langle AB \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle \langle C \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Hence, when developing the two- or three-flavor chiral Lagrangian, the Cayley-Hamilton relations can not be ignored.

The above conditions lead to all linear relations for the chiral Lagrangian. No other linear relations exist. Hence, if we can use all conditions systematically, the chiral Lagrangian can be obtained. The next section introduce our method in detail.

IV. CONSTRUCTION OF THE CHIRAL LAGRANGIAN

In this section, we work through the above situations one by one to construct the chiral Lagrangian.

A. Power Counting

In this paper, we only adopt the conventional power counting rule given in [2, 3], expand the chiral Lagrangian in powers of momentum. We show the power counting scheme in Table III. Each covariant derivative counts as $O(p^1)$. For tensor fields, we use the power counting rule in [9], and consider tensor fields as combined (axial-)vector fields. Hence the chiral Lagrangian has only even orders in the expansion.

TABLE III: Power counting of each base.

order	LR-basis	building blocks
$O(p^0)$	$U, \hat{\theta}, X$	$u, \hat{\theta}, X$
$O(p^1)$	a^μ, v^μ	u^μ
$O(p^2)$	$\chi, t^{\mu\nu}$	$\chi_\pm, f_\pm^{\mu\nu}, t_\pm^{\mu\nu}$

In Table II and III, one can see that, X is of order $O(p^0)$, hence in the chiral Lagrangian it can exist in functional form. X also has odd parity, so a Lagrangian without X can have both even or odd parity terms. The general form of the chiral Lagrangian to any given order is

$$\mathcal{L}^{2n} = \sum_m f_m(X) O_m, \quad (30)$$

where the $f_m(X)$ are any functions of X , and O_m are operators up to order $O(p^{2n})$. To construct the chiral Lagrangian of given order is to find all O_m up to that order.

B. Reduced Building Blocks

The building blocks in Table II are not very suitable for constructing the chiral Lagrangian directly, because some building blocks, such as $f_+^{\mu\nu}$, couple to different sources. Although the calculation is a little complex, we can break it

up into smaller steps. First, before the calculations, we reduce the building blocks to more basic ones, each containing a given number of external sources. We call these the reduced building blocks, which we use when searching linear relations. At the end of the calculation, we revert to the original building blocks.

From (18), we can see $f_+^{\mu\nu}$ is related to $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$, with some redundant u^μ . If we have only the linear independent terms, the choice of $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$ is much more convenient, because it is directly relevant in the Bianchi identity(22). Ignoring the redundant u^μ makes no sense when we are only wanting the independent terms. Their effects can only be compensated in contributions of u_μ . For the same reason, for (19), we choose $\nabla^\mu\chi_\pm$ instead of χ_\pm^μ . We call those building blocks in Table II along with the substitutions

$$f_+^{\mu\nu} \longleftrightarrow i\Gamma^{\mu\nu}, \quad \chi_\pm^\mu \longleftrightarrow \nabla^\mu\chi_\pm \quad (31)$$

the reduced building blocks. The P, C and hermiticity properties between the original building blocks and the reduced building blocks are the same.

If we obtain the high-order chiral Lagrangian, then appearing with the reduced building blocks; will be their covariant derivatives. To order $O(p^{2n})$, with the partial integration relations, we need $\nabla\nabla\cdots\nabla O$ to order $O(p^n)$ at most, where O is a reduced building block.

Furthermore, for convenience, when we are only determining the independent operators(17) can be simplified to

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]O \rightarrow 0, \quad (32)$$

because the excess terms on the r.h.s. of (17) can be constructed by $\Gamma^{\mu\nu}$ and O with two less ∇ .

C. Permutation, Combination and Primary Screening

Permuting all reduced building blocks and their covariant derivatives, and adding appropriate traces, we can get a complete but not linearly independent set of operators. Because of (24), $t_-^{\mu\nu}$ can appear once at most. Nevertheless the number of permutations is very large. A preliminary screening can lead to a simpler calculation.

Most operators can be reduced using the Einstein summation convention $A^\mu A_\mu = A^\nu A_\nu$, and trace relations $\langle AB \rangle = \langle BA \rangle$. Even though the two operators are a little complex, we cannot assess immediately whether they are equal or not. A simple way is to change all operators to a unique form, we call it the *standard form*. We can assess whether two terms are equal directly by comparing their standard forms.²

First, we separate all operators and their indices, and assign a number to each operator and each index. Table IV presents an example. For convenience, we consider the brackets (“ \langle ” and “ \rangle ”) and covariant derivative (∇) as single operators.

TABLE IV: Example of operator and index numbering. No significance is given to the specific numbers. These can be big or small, or even personal preferences.

operator	\langle	\rangle	∇	u	h	χ_+	χ_-	f_-	Γ_+	t_+	t_-	$\hat{\theta}$
number	82	83	1004	1011	1058	1021	1141	1071	1007	2604	2605	1201
index	μ	ν	λ	ρ	σ							
number	1	2	3	4	5							

Second, we write down each operator numbers in order, and then enumerate the indices. We obtain a row vector such as

$$\langle u^\mu u^\nu h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \rightarrow (82, 1011, 1011, 1058, 83, 1, 2, 1, 2). \quad (33)$$

We call it the *numerical representation* of an operator. With trace relations, Einstein summation convention and (anti-)symmetry index relations, we transform the numerical representation to its smallest possible form³. The smallest representation is the *numerical representation*, and the corresponding operator is the original operator in standard form.

With the above procedure, all trivial relations are used, including the Einstein summation convention, trace relations and (anti-)symmetry indices relations. Next, we only need to work out one by one the conditions in Sec. III, with the standard form operators. For convenience, all the operators in the following calculations are in standard forms.

² This is like a website verifying passwords in non-case-sensitive form. Changing all characters to upper or lower-case and then assessing them seems much easier.

³ We introduce a small number on the l.h.s. of the numerical representation to denote that it is the smallest form possible.

D. Substitutions and Classifications

Because $h^{\mu\nu}$ and $f_{\pm}^{\mu\nu}$ contain covariant derivatives, determine the linear relations is hard with the reduced building blocks. Using (13), (16) and (18), we can clearly reveal the covariant derivatives.

In Sec.III, except for the EOM, each linear relation maintains its number of types of external sources. The relations cannot change scalar sources into vector sources or tensors to pseudoscalars for example⁴. As the EOM is related to $\nabla^{\mu}u_{\mu}$, we only need to ignore terms including this factor. To simplify the problem, we classify all operator by the numbers of types of external sources. One classification contains the same numbers of each external sources. If there exists a linear relation, it only affects the operators in the same classification. Moreover, if we ignore the Cayley-Hamilton relations, or in the n_f -flavor case, the other relations can not change the trace number⁵.

Now we have classified the operator as a big set C_{ij} . Index i indicates the sequence number of the types of the external sources, and j indicates the number of traces. For any given pair (i, j) , all permutations of the reduced-building- block standard forms $D_{ij,k}$ are known, k indicating the permutation numbers of the C_{ij} ; all permutations of the revealable covariant derivatives standard forms $E_{ij,l}$ can be calculated, l indicating the permutation number. Their linear relations are

$$D_{ij,k} = \sum_l A_{ij,kl} E_{ij,l}. \quad (34)$$

where $A_{ij,kl}$ are elements of their relative matrices. Our purpose is to find all the independent operators of $D_{ij,k}$, and $E_{ij,l}$ are the bridges in finding all linear relations.

E. Linear Relations

Generally, for the n_f -flavor case, the linear relations depend only on the small sets $D_{ij,k}$ or $E_{ij,l}$, our search scope is narrowed. All linear conditions can be added one by one

- (i) EOM: With the EOM (21), we can remove all terms including h^{μ}_{μ} in $D_{ij,k}$ or $\nabla^{\mu}u_{\mu}$ in $E_{ij,l}$.
- (ii) Partial integration, Bianchi identity, Schouten identity and tensor relations: The schemes for these conditions are the same. We can directly remove one term in (20),(22), (23) and (25). Although the relations are too many, remembering which relations have been used or not is not easy, and one does not know which $D_{ij,k}$ or $E_{ij,l}$ should be removed. A crude method is to apply these relations to all operators in $E_{ij,l}$. For partial integration, we only need to deal with the far left covariant derivative in each factor, because $E_{ij,l}$ is a complete set. There indeed exist some operators with another covariant derivative order. For the Bianchi identity, to reveal the covariant derivatives, (22) needs to be changed to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \nabla^{\mu}\nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda} - \nabla^{\mu}\nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\nu} - \nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda} - \Gamma^{\nu}\nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda} + \nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\nu} + \Gamma^{\lambda}\nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\nu} \\ & + \nabla^{\lambda}\nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\nu} - \nabla^{\lambda}\nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu} - \nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma^{\nu} - \Gamma^{\mu}\nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\nu} + \nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\nu}\nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\mu} \\ & + \nabla^{\nu}\nabla^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\mu} - \nabla^{\nu}\nabla^{\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda} - \nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}\Gamma^{\mu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}\nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu} + \nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\mu}\nabla^{\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We also only need to focus on the covariant derivative factor near a Γ . The other covariant derivatives, even if the first in $\nabla\nabla\Gamma$, need not be considered. For Schouten identity, we must exchange all indices different from that of ϵ . For the tensor relations, tensors involve one t_{-} and several t_{+} terms. Because the number of t_{-} is at most one, exchanging it for each t_{+} can give a linear relations. In summary, we can write down all linear relations.

$$\sum_l R_{ij,rl} E_{ij,l} = 0, \quad (36)$$

where r is the number of relations, and $R_{ij,rl}$ are the relation matrices with the row index “ ij ” and column

⁴ A tensor relation changes t_{+} and t_{-} by couples, so the total numbers of types of external sources remain the same. We also consider (32).

⁵ The EOM has been used to exclude the $\nabla^{\mu}u_{\mu}$ factor

index “ rl ”. The reduced row echelon form of $R_{ij,rl}$,

$$R_{ij,rl} \rightarrow S_{ij,rl} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & O & O & O & O & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & 1 & O & O & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & & & & O & O & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (37)$$

where the O are some appropriate dimension-zero-matrices and “ \cdots ” can be non-zero matrices. The rank of $R_{ij,rl}$ or $S_{ij,rl}$ is equal to the number of linear relations. And each non-zero row-vector in $S_{ij,rl}$ gives a linear relation. We consider numbers of the operators that have number “1” as independent. Hence (34) can be reduced to

$$D_{ij,k} = \sum_l A'_{ij,kl} E_{ij,l}, \quad (38)$$

where using (36) $A'_{ij,kl}$ are the matrices $A_{ij,kl}$, with all linear dependent operators removed in the columns of (37) with “1”.

- (iii) Cayley-Hamilton relations: Because the Cayley-Hamilton relations can change the trace, we collect all D_{ij} and E_{ij} , as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij} &\rightarrow D_i = [D_{i1}, D_{i2}, D_{i3}, \cdots, D_{in}] \\ E_{ij} &\rightarrow E_i = [E_{i1}, E_{i2}, E_{i3}, \cdots, E_{in}], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where n is the number corresponding to the maximum trace. Then, (38) is reduced to

$$D_{i,k} = \sum_l A'_{i,kl} E_{i,l}; \quad (40)$$

the Cayley-Hamilton relations only give new linear relations when one trace contain more than n_f operators. A, B, C in (28) and (29) can be one factor or more. Applying all possible combinations, we can get all Cayley-Hamilton relations. Removing all linear dependent terms, as in (38), we obtain

$$D_{n_f,i,k} = \sum_l A''_{n_f,i,kl} E_{n_f,i,l}, \quad (41)$$

where $D_{n_f,i,k}$ is the k th operator in the n_f -flavor case in the classification i ($n_f = 2$ or 3), $E_{n_f,i,l}$ is the l th revealable covariant derivative operator in the n_f -flavor case in the classification i , and $A''_{n_f,i,kl}$ is the matrix of coefficients relating the two.

- (iv) Contact terms: Contact terms can be found in a specialized treatment. Because all the building blocks in Table II mix u (or U) fields and external sources, Constructing the contact terms with such building blocks is not so useful. Hence we construct contact terms with the LR-basis, without U fields. Their relations are

$$\begin{aligned} F_L^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} u^\dagger (f_+^{\mu\nu} + f_-^{\mu\nu}) u, \\ F_R^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} u (f_+^{\mu\nu} - f_-^{\mu\nu}) u^\dagger, \\ \chi &= \frac{1}{2} u (\chi_+ + \chi_-) u, \\ \chi^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} u^\dagger (\chi_+ - \chi_-) u^\dagger, \\ t^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} u (t_+^{\mu\nu} + t_-^{\mu\nu}) u, \\ t^{\dagger\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} u^\dagger (t_+^{\mu\nu} - t_-^{\mu\nu}) u^\dagger, \\ \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} &= \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

To construct the contact terms, one only needs the basis and their covariant derivatives in (42). By performing the same steps above, we can obtain all permutations of the non- U operators. There exist though two exceptions.

The first is that different LR-bases have different chiral rotations. Hence, not all permutations, but only the chiral invariant operators remain. The second is that for SU group, $u(U)$ is unitary, so $\det u(\det U) = 1$. With (27), the combination of the determinant of the LR-basis or its covariant derivatives can be chiral invariant as well. At order p^2 , there exists no such operator. For order p^4 , there exist one term only when $n_f = 2$,

$$\det \chi + \det \chi^\dagger = -\frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_-^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle. \quad (43)$$

For order p^6 , there exist one term when $n_f = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \chi + \det \chi^\dagger &= \frac{1}{24}\langle \chi_+ \rangle^3 + \frac{1}{8}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{8}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{8}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_-^2 \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+ \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle + \frac{1}{12}\langle \chi_+^3 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+ \chi_-^2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

When $n_f = 2$, there exist three terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \det D^\mu \chi + \det D^\mu \chi^\dagger &= -\frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+^\mu \chi_{+\mu} \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_-^\mu \chi_{-\mu} \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_{+\mu} \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_-^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_{-\mu} \rangle \\ (\det \chi + \det \chi^\dagger) \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_-^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \right) \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \\ (\det \chi + \det \chi^\dagger) \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} &= \left(-\frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_-^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \right) \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The first term is similar to $\langle D_\mu \chi D^\mu \tilde{\chi} \rangle + \text{h.c.}$ given in [8].

Following the steps above, we obtain a similar relation as in (38) or (40).

$$D_{n_f, k} = \sum_l A'_{n_f, kl} E_{n_f, l}, \quad n_f = 3 \text{ or } 2. \quad (46)$$

$D_{n_f, k}$ and $E_{n_f, k}$ are all D_{ij} and E_{ij} operators in (39) with respective contact terms.

(v) Eliminating rule: For a given n_f , collecting all the linear relations above, we can obtain

$$\sum_l R_{rl} D_l = 0 \quad (47)$$

The linear independent rows of R_{rl} correspond to independent terms. The question arises: Which terms are considered linear dependent and need to be removed? We follow the rule: The contact terms and terms with the least number of covariant derivatives and traces are retained as far as possible. Furthermore, we retain the smallest-possible numerical representation of the operator (see Sec. IV C).

- (vi) Real: The chiral Lagrangian is real. Finally, with the last column in Table I and II, some terms need factoring by “ i ” to make them real.
- (vii) U and SU groups: The above discussions are about U group. Most of the time, one does not care about the η' particle, and hence the discussion is limited in SU group. Of course, one can integrate η' to match the SU group results [39], but to do so gives nothing of interest. It is convenient when identifying the terms involving SU group in the U group. However under the SU group, all the operators O_m in (30) are even parity. Usually, we focus on traceless sources ($\langle v^\mu \rangle = \langle a^\mu \rangle = 0$ or $\langle u^\mu \rangle = \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$) in the SU group. although sometimes we need study to the singlet (axial-)vector external sources, so $\langle u^\mu \rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \neq 0$ need to be considered. Fortunately, these situations cannot change the calculations above; we only need to set traceless terms to zero.

F. Discussions

- (i) Linear relations of the dependent operators: At this point, the chiral Lagrangian can be obtained, but when we solve other problems, we may meet some dependent operators. Most of their expansions in terms of independent operators are simple, but several are complex; see Appendix B in [5] and [6] for examples. We will introduce a scheme to generate these relations. When we derive the chiral Lagrangian, all the operator are O_n , and all

revealeable covariant derivative standard forms are P_m . We can get their linear relations from (38), and full relations from (17),(18) and (19);

$$O_n = \sum_m B_{nm} P_m \quad (48)$$

where B_{nm} is the matrix of coefficients, which have been used in all linear relations. If O' is another operator, then

$$O' = \sum_m T_m P_m. \quad (49)$$

Using all linear relations, this can reduced to

$$O' = \sum_m T'_m P_m. \quad (50)$$

Supposing the relation between O' and the set of O_n is

$$O' = \sum_n C_n O_n. \quad (51)$$

The coefficients C_n are the solution of the linear equation,

$$\sum_n B_{nm} C_n = T'_m \quad (52)$$

Hence all linear relations between linear dependent terms and linear independent terms can be obtained such as in [6] and Appendix B in [5].

- (ii) The steps above can be done directly, and systematically, without any artifices, and hence can be performed on a computer. Furthermore, the accuracy is reliable, and we can obtain the results relatively quickly. This method is not very sensitive with regard to the form of the linear relations; we can add or remove some linear relation in (36), without affecting other steps. Therefore it can be used for other problems where Lagrangian need to be constructed, for instance, the electroweak chiral Lagrangian [40, 41]. If we solve some special problems, changing the counting rules can be more effective [42].

V. RESULTS

We can now obtain the chiral Lagrangian to order p^6 . We list the results in the following.

A. p^0 and p^2 order

The results for order p^0 and p^2 are the same as in [11],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{0+2} = & -W_0(X) + W_1(X)\langle u^2 \rangle + W_2(X)\langle \chi_+ \rangle + iW_3(X)\langle \chi_- \rangle - W_4(X)\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \\ & -iW_5(X)\langle u^\mu \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} + W_6(X)\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Minus signs of some of the coefficients are to confirm that in [11].

B. p^4 order

For the U groups, results have been given in [11]. For the SU groups, results can be found in [2, 3], or [43, 44] in terms of the building blocks, or [9] with tensor sources. We have repeated the calculations to check our method. We find one more linear relations than [11] for the U group.

$$i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle (F_L^{\mu\nu} + U^\dagger F_R^{\mu\nu} U) C^\lambda C^\rho \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle F_L^{\mu\nu} U^\dagger F_R^{\lambda\rho} U \rangle, \text{ or } O_{29} = O_{28}. \quad (54)$$

The meanings of the notations in (54) can be found in [11]. It can be proved by substituting (8) and $C^\mu = U^\dagger D^\mu U$ into (54) directly. By transforming (54) to the building-block basis, the calculation is much simpler. We list our results in Table VI in Appendix A. Besides (54), there are some difference between Table VI and [11]: Aside from the U(N) and U(3) group, we also include the U(2) groups results and the tensor sources as well. For the SU groups, we considered the following three types of external sources,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SU}(3)_{\text{I}} & : \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \neq 0, \langle u^\mu \rangle = 0 \\ \text{SU}(3)_{\text{II}} & : \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0, \langle u^\mu \rangle \neq 0 \\ \text{SU}(3)_{\text{III}} & : \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0, \langle u^\mu \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The results with $\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle u^\mu \rangle \neq 0$ can be found within the U group results, so are not listed here. The SU(3)_{III} case is the conventional SU group case.

C. p^6 order

At order p^6 , as much a check of our method as of existing results, we also compare our results with that of others. We recover the results given in [5, 6, 8] without tensor sources. With tensor sources, apart from the more relations in [10] than [9], we find one other linear relation in the n_f -flavor case,

$$Y_{102} = \frac{1}{2}Y_{78} - Y_{87} - 2Y_{101} - Y_{117}, \quad (56)$$

and another nine linear relations in the two-flavor case,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{48} & = -Y_{47} \\ Y_{49} & = -Y_{47} \\ Y_{50} & = 2Y_{47} \\ Y_{71} & = -Y_{70} \\ Y_{72} & = -Y_{70} \\ Y_{73} & = -2Y_{70} \\ Y_{92} & = 0 \\ Y_{106} & = \frac{1}{2}Y_9 + \frac{1}{2}Y_{10} - 2Y_{11} - Y_{13} + Y_{51} - 4Y_{52} + Y_{82} + 2Y_{90} - 2Y_{105} + 8Y_{118} \\ Y_{108} & = -\frac{1}{8}Y_9 - \frac{1}{8}Y_{10} + \frac{1}{2}Y_{11} + \frac{1}{4}Y_{13} + \frac{1}{8}Y_{32} - \frac{1}{16}Y_{35} - \frac{1}{4}Y_{51} \\ & \quad + Y_{52} - \frac{1}{2}Y_{82} - \frac{1}{2}Y_{90} + Y_{105} - 2Y_{118} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

At order p^6 , the number of independent terms is too large, so we separate the chiral Lagrangian into four types, depending on whether they include $t^{\mu\nu}$ or $\hat{\theta}$. We list these four types in the first column of Table V, and summarize the number of terms in each type. Explicit terms can be found in Section B. Table VII and VIII give the even- and odd-parity results when $\theta = \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ respectively; similarly for Table IX and X when $\theta \neq 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} = 0$, Table XI and XII when $\theta = 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ respectively, and Table XIII and XIV when $\theta \neq 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. These are some differences in sequence numbers from [5, 8, 9], but this creates no ambiguity.

TABLE V: Numbers of terms in the chiral Lagrangian at order p^6 .

Number classification	$P = +/-$ P	U(N)			SU(N) _I			SU(N) _{II}			SU(N) _{III}		
		n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2
$\theta = \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} = 0$	287/206	493	451	281	155	133	74	263	235	142	138	116	60
$\theta \neq 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} = 0$	261/206	467	455	371	124	118	83	251	243	194	116	110	75
$\theta = 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$	159/149	308	297	209	110	104	67	137	131	88	97	91	56
$\theta \neq 0, \bar{t}^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$	64/59	123	123	108	51	51	43	52	52	44	42	42	34
total	771/620	1391	1326	969	440	406	267	703	661	468	393	359	225

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, we constructed the full p^6 -order U group chiral Lagrangian, including n_f -, three- and two-flavor cases, all bilinear currents, and the θ parameter, and three kinds of SU groups results. The number of terms in each case can be found in Table V, and the detailed form can be found in Appendix B. We also list the full p^4 -order results in Appendix A. During the calculations, we found one extra linear relation in the p^4 -order under the U(3) group, and one more linear relation in the p^6 -order under the SU groups with tensor sources in n_f flavors, and further nine more relations in the two-flavor case. We develop a scheme to derive the relations among the linear dependent and independent terms which enable us to systematically set and examine various possible relations among the LECs.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grants No. 11205034 and No.11075085; National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under Grants No. 2010CB833000; the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of High Education of China No. 20110002110010 and France China Particle Physics Laboratory (FCPPL) financial support; the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi Grants No. 2013GXNSFBA019012; and Special Foundation for Distinguished Expert Program of Guangxi; the Tsinghua University Initiative Scientific Research Program; and the Scientific Research Foundation of GuangXi University Grant No. XBZ100686.

Appendix A: The p^4 order results

TABLE VI: The p^4 order results in U groups and SU groups. The numbers are the sequence numbers in each case. The details can be found in Section V B

operators	P	U(3)			SU(3) _I			SU(3) _{II}			SU(3) _{III}		
		n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu \rangle$	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$	+	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu \rangle$	+	3	3	3				3	3	3			
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\nu \rangle$	+	4	4	4	3	3		4	4	4	3	3	
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$	+	5	5	5	4			5	5	5	4		
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\nu \rangle$	+	6	6					6	6				
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$	+	7	7					7	7				
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\nu \rangle$	+	8						8					
$\langle f_-^{\mu\nu} f_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	9	8	6	5	4	3	9	8	6	5	4	3
$i \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu \rangle$	+	10	9	7	6	5	4	10	9	7	6	5	4
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \chi_+ \rangle$	+	11	10	8	7	6	5	11	10	8	7	6	5
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \chi_+ \rangle$	+	12	11	9				12	11	9			
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$	+	13	12	10	8	7		13	12	10	8	7	
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$	+	14	13					14	13				
$\langle \chi_+^2 \rangle$	+	15	14	11	9	8	6	15	14	11	9	8	6
$\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle$	+	16	15	12	10	9	7	16	15	12	10	9	7
$\langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	+	17	16	13	11	10		17	16		11	10	
$\langle F_L^{\mu\nu} F_{L\mu\nu} \rangle + \langle F_R^{\mu\nu} F_{R\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	18	17	14	12	11	8	18	17	13	12	11	8
$\langle F_L^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle F_{L\mu\nu} \rangle + \langle F_R^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle F_{R\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	19	18	15	13	12	9	19	18	14			
$\langle F_L^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle F_{R\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	20	19	16									
$\langle \chi \chi^\dagger \rangle$	+	21	20	17	14	13	10	20	19	15	13	12	9
$\det \chi + \det \chi^\dagger$	+						11			16			10
$i \langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	22	21	18	15	14		21	20	17	14	13	
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	23	22	19				22	21	18			
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	+	24	23	20				23	22	19			
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	25	24					24	23				
$i \langle u^\mu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	+	26	25	21	16	15	12	25	24	20	15	14	11
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	+	27	26	22				26	25	21			
$\langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	+	28	27	23	17	16	13	27	26	22	16	15	12

operators	P	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	29	28	24	18	17	14	28	27	23	17	16	13
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	30	29	25	19	18	15	29	28	24	18	17	14
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	31	30	26				30	29	25			
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	32	31	27				31	30	26			
$\langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	+	33	32	28	20	19	16	32	31	27	19	18	15
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	34	33	29				33	32	28			
$\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	35	34	30	21	20	17	34	33	29	20	19	16
$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	+	36	35	31	22	21	18	35	34	30	21	20	17
$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle u_\nu f_{-\lambda\rho} \rangle$	+	37	36	32				36	35	31			
$i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle u_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\rho \hat{\theta}$	+	38	37	33	23	22	19	37	36	32	22	21	18
$i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\rho \hat{\theta}$	+	39	38	34				38	37	33			
$i \langle u^\mu u^\nu t_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	40	39	35	24	23	20	39	38	34	23	22	19
$\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} t_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	41	40	36	25	24	21	40	39	35	24	23	20
$\langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle t_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	42	41	37	26	25	22						
$\langle t_+^{\mu\nu} t_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	43	42	38	27	26	23	41	40	36	25	24	21
$\langle t_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle t_{+\mu\nu} \rangle$	+	44	43	39	28	27	24	42	41	37	26	25	22
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu f_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	45	44	40									
$i \langle u^\mu u_\mu \chi_- \rangle$	-	46	45	41									
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \chi_- \rangle$	-	47	46	42									
$i \langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	-	48	47	43									
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	-	49	48										
$i \langle \chi_+ \chi_- \rangle$	-	50	49	44									
$i \langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle$	-	51	50	45									
$i \langle u^\mu f_{-\mu}{}^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	52	51	46									
$i \langle u^\mu h_{\mu}{}^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	53	52	47									
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle f_{-\mu}{}^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	54	53	48									
$i \langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h_{\mu}{}^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	55	54	49									
$i \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	-	56	55	50									
$\langle u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	-	57	56	51									
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	-	58	57	52									
$\langle h^{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	59	58	53									
$i \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	-	60	59	54									
$i \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	-	61	60	55									
$i \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u_{\lambda\rho} \rangle$	-	62	61	56									
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu t_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	63	62	57									
$i \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} t_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	64	63	58									
$i \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle t_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	65	64	59									
$i \langle t_+^{\mu\nu} t_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	66	65	60									
$i \langle t_+^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle t_{-\mu\nu} \rangle$	-	67	66	61									

Appendix B: The p^6 order results

TABLE VII: The p^6 order results in U groups and SU groups, with $t = 0$, $\hat{\theta} = 0$ and the even parity. The numbers are the sequence numbers in each case. The details can be found in Section V C.

operators	U(3)				SU(3) _I				SU(3) _I Γ				SU(3) _I Γ_1											
	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2									
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	146	123	72	73	55	30	135	112	64	68	50	28
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	147	124	73	74	56	31	136	113	65	69	51	29
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	148	125	74	75	57	32	137	114	66	70	52	30
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	149	126	75	76	58		138	115	67	71	53	
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	150	127	76				139	116	68			
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\lambda u_\nu \rangle$	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	151	128	77	77	59		140	117	69	72	54	
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu u^\lambda \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	152	129	78				141	118	70			
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	153	130	79				142	119	71			
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	154	131	80				143	120	72			
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	155	132	81	78	60		144	121	73	73	55	
$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	11	11	11		11	11	11		11		11		156	133					145	122				
$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\nu u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle$	12	12	12		12	12	12		12		12		157	134					146	123				
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	13	13	10		13	13	10		13		10		158	135					147	124				
$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu u^\lambda u_\nu u_\lambda \rangle$	14	14			14	14			14				159	136	82	79	61	33	148	125	74	74	56	31
$i \langle f_+^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu \chi_+ \rangle + h.c.$																								

operators	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	operators	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2	n	3	2
$i\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	34	30	21				34	30	21				$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	166	159					160	153				
$i\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	35	31	22				35	31	22				$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	167	160					161	154				
$i\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle \nabla^\nu h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	36	32	23				36	32	23				$\langle \chi_+^\mu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta}$	168	161	123	74	69	40	162	155	116	70	65	36
$i\langle u^\mu h^{\nu\lambda} \rangle \langle f_{-\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	37	33	24				37	33	24				$\langle \chi_+^\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	169	162	124	75	70	41	163	156	117	71	66	37
$i\langle u^\mu h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	38	34	25				38	34	25				$\langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta}$	170	163	125	76	71	42	164	157	118	72	67	38
$i\langle u^\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	39	35	26				39	35	26				$\langle \chi_+^2 \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	171	164	126	77	72	43	165	158	119	73	68	39
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \nabla^\lambda h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	40	36	27				40	36	27				$\langle \chi_+ \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	172	165	127	78	73	44	166	159	120	74	69	40
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	41	37	28				41	37	28				$i\langle h^{\mu\nu} \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	173	166	128	79	74	45	167	160	121	75	70	41
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \nabla^\lambda h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	42	38	29				42	38	29				$i\langle u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	174	167	129	80	75	46	168	161	122	76	71	42
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \nabla_\nu h_{\mu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	43	39	30				43	39	30				$i\langle u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	175	168	130	81	76	47	169	162	123	77	72	43
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \nabla_\mu h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	44	40	31				44	40	31				$i\langle h^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	176	169	131				170	163	124			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \nabla^\nu h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	45	41	32				45	41	32				$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	177	170	132				171	164	125			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h^{\nu\lambda} h_{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	46	42	33				46	42	33				$i\langle h^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	178	171	133				172	165	126			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle f_{-\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	47	43					47	43					$\langle \chi_- \rangle \langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	179	172	134	82	77		173	166		78	73	
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	48	44					48	44					$i\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	180	173	135	83	78		174	167	127	79	74	
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	49	45					49	45					$i\langle u^\mu u_\nu u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	181	174	136	84	79		175	168	128	80	75	
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h^{\nu\lambda} \rangle \langle f_{-\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	50	46					50	46					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	182	175	137				176	169	129			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle h^{\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	51	47					51	47					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	183	176	138				177	170	130			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle h^{\nu\lambda} \rangle \langle h_{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	52	48					52	48					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	184	177	139				178	171	131			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \langle \nabla_\mu f_{-\nu\lambda} \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	53	49					53	49					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	185	178					179	172				
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	54	50	34	14	12	1	54	50	34	14	12		$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \langle u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	186	179					180	173				
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	55	51	35	15	13		55	51	35	15	13		$i\langle h^{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	187	180	140				181	174	132			
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda u_\lambda \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	56	52	36	16	14		56	52	36	16	14		$i\langle h^{\mu\nu} \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	188	181	141				182	175	133			
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u_\mu u_\nu u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	57	53	37	17	15		57	53	37	17	15		$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	189	182	142				183	176	134			
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u_\nu \rangle \langle u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	58	54					58	54					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	190	183	143				184	177	135			
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda \rangle \langle u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	59	55					59	55					$i\langle u^\mu \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	191	184	144	85	80	48	185	178	136	81	76	44
$\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} u_\mu u^\lambda \rangle \langle u_\lambda \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	60	56					60	56					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	192	185	145				186	179	137			
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	61	57	38	18	16	2	61	57	38	18	16		$\langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	193	186	146	86	81	49	187	180	138	82	77	45
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	62	58	39	19	17	3	62	58	39	19	17		$\langle \chi_- \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	194	187	147	87	82	50	188	181	139	83	78	46
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	63	59	40	20	18		63	59	40	20	18		$\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	195	188	148	88	83	51	189	182	140	84	79	47
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	64	60	41				64	60	41				$\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	196	189	149	89	84	52	190	183	141	85	80	48
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	65	61	42	21	19	4	65	61	42	21	19	4	$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu \rangle \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	197	190	150				191	184	142			
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	66	62	43				66	62	43				$\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	198	191	151				192	185	143			
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} u^\lambda u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	67	63	44	22	20		67	63	44	22	20		$\langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	199	192	152	90	85	53	193	186	144	86	81	49
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	68	64					68	64					$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	200	193	153				194	187	145			
$i\langle f_{+\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} \rangle \langle f_{+\mu\nu} \rangle \langle u_\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	69	65					69	65					$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	201	194	154	91	86	54	195	188	146	87	82	50
$i\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	70	66	45	23	21	5	70	66	45	23	21	5	$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	202	195	155	92	87	55	196	189	147	88	83	51
$i\langle u^\mu u^\nu u_\mu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	71	67	46	24	22	6	71	67	46	24	22	6	$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	203	196	156	93	88	56	197	190	148	89	84	52
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u_\mu u^\nu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	72	68	47				72	68	47				$\langle F_{L\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} F_{L\mu\nu}^\lambda \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	204	197	157	94	89	57	198	191	149	90	85	53
$i\langle u^\mu u_\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	73	69	48	25	23	6	73	69	48	25	23	6	$\langle F_{L\mu\nu}^{\mu\nu} F_{L\mu\nu}^\lambda \rangle \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	205	198	158	95	90	58	199	192	150	91	86	54
$i\langle u^\mu u_\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	74	70	49	26	24		74	70	49	26	24		$\langle \chi \chi^\dagger \rangle \nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\mu \hat{\theta}$	206	199	159	96	91	59	200	193	151	92	87	55
$i\langle u^\mu u^\nu \rangle \langle u_\mu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	75	71	50	27			75	71	50	27			$i\langle D^\mu F_{L\mu\nu}^\nu \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta} + h.c.$	207	200	160				201	194	152			
$i\langle u^\mu \rangle \langle u^\nu u_\mu \chi_+ \rangle \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta}$	76	72	51				76	72	51				$\nabla^\mu \hat{\theta} \nabla_\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\nu \hat{\theta} \nabla^\lambda \hat{\theta} \nabla_\lambda \hat{\theta}$	208	201	161	97	92							

- [15] S.-Z. Jiang, Y. Zhang, C. Li, and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 014001 (2010).
- [16] S.-Z. Jiang and Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 094037 (2010).
- [17] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, G. Ecker, J. Gasser, and M. E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. **B374**, 210 (1996).
- [18] J. Bijnens, P. Dhonte, and P. Talavera, J. High Energy Phys. **01**, 050 (2004).
- [19] G. Amorós, J. Bijnens, and P. Talavera, Nucl. Phys. **B568**, 319 (2000).
- [20] J. Bijnens, P. Dhonte, and P. Talavera, J. High Energy Phys. **05**, 036 (2004).
- [21] J. Bijnens and P. Dhonte, J. High Energy Phys. **10**, 061 (2003).
- [22] J. Bijnens and K. Ghorbani, J. High Energy Phys. **11**, 030 (2007).
- [23] B. Borasoy and S. Wetzel, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 074019 (2001).
- [24] P. Herrera-Siklody, J. I. Latorre, P. Pascual, and J. Taron, Phys. Lett. **B419**, 326 (1998).
- [25] R. Escribano and J.-M. Frère, Phys. Lett. **B459**, 288 (1999).
- [26] N. Beisert and B. Borasoy, Eur. Phys. J. **A11**, 329 (2001).
- [27] Y.-H. Chen, Z.-H. Guo, and H.-Q. Zheng, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 054018 (2012).
- [28] J.-M. Gérard and E. Kou, Phys. Lett. **B616**, 85 (2005).
- [29] F.-K. G. and U.-G. Meißner, J. High Energy Phys. **12**, 097 (2012).
- [30] B. Borasoy, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 114017 (2000).
- [31] H. Neufeld and H. Rupertsberger, Z. Phys. **C71**, 131 (1996).
- [32] U.-G. Meißner, G. Müller, and S. Steininger, Phys. Lett. **B406**, 154 (1997), erratum-ibid. B407 (1997) 454.
- [33] C. Terschläusen, S. Leupold, and M. F. M. Lutz, Eur. Phys. J. **A48**, 190 (2012).
- [34] A. V. Manohar, arXiv:hep-ph/9802419.
- [35] B. L. Ioffe and A.V.Smilga, Nucl. Phys. **B232**, 109 (1984).
- [36] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, and N. Kivel, Nucl. Phys. **B649**, 263 (2003).
- [37] V. Mateu and J. Portoles, Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 325 (2007).
- [38] S. Scherer and H. W. Fearing, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 6445 (1995).
- [39] P. Herrera-Siklody, Phys. Lett. **B442**, 359 (1998).
- [40] T. Appelquist and G.-H. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 3235 (1993).
- [41] T. Appelquist and G.-H. Wu, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 240 (1995).
- [42] H.-H. Zhang, Eur. Phys. J. C **67**, 51 (2010).
- [43] J. Bijnens, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **58**, 521 (2007).
- [44] J. Bijnens, G. Colangelo, and G. Ecker, Annals Phys. **280**, 100 (2000).