Wei-Norman equations for classical groups

Szymon Charzyński

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University,
ul. Wóycickiego 1/3, 01-938 Warszawa, Poland
szycha@cft.edu.pl

Marek Kuś

Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Aleja Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland marek.kus@cft.edu.pl

December 16, 2013

Abstract

We show that the non-linear autonomus Wei-Norman equations, expressing the solution of a linear system of non-autonomous equations on a Lie algebra, can be reduced to the hierarchy of matrix Riccati equations in the case of all classical simple Lie algebras. The result generalizes our previous one concerning the complex Lie algebra of the special linear group. We show that it cannot be extended to all simple Lie algebras, in particular to the exceptional G_2 algebra.

1 Introduction

For a non-autonomous system of N linear differential equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}(t) = M(t)\mathbf{x}(t),\tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is an N dimensional vector and the $N \times N$ coefficient matrix is time-dependent it is, in general, difficult to find a solution in a finite form (not invoking a series expansion). A possible non-commutativity of the coefficient matrices M(t) and M(t') calculated in different times t, t'prevents, namely, an explicit calculation of the ordered time product of exponentials of the time dependent matrix variable.

Wei and Norman [1, 2] proposed a method for solving such a system of equations by transforming it to an autonomous, albeit nonlinear system using Lie-algebras techniques. Roughly speaking, the method consists of writing M(t) as a linear combination with time dependent coefficients of generators X_i of a Lie algebra, $M(t) = \sum_i a_i(t)X_i$, and looking for the solution in terms of a product of exponentials of the generators (i.e. elements of the corresponding Lie group), $\mathbf{x}(t) = \prod_i \exp(u_i(t)X_i)\mathbf{x}(0)$. The resulting system of nonlinear equations derived from the original, non-autonomous, linear one (1) connects the unknown functions $u_i(t)$ with the coefficients $a_k(t)$.

In [3] we have shown that using the Wei-Norman technique [1, 2] in the unitary case, i.e. when the solution of the linear system is given by a unitary evolution operator, the nonlinear system by an appropriate choice of ordering can be reduced to a hierarchy of matrix Riccati equations. To this end we have considered a general linear non-autonomous dynamical system on the special linear group $SL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$. The algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ is one of the classical Lie algebras, of the type denoted by A_N . In the present paper we generalize the method developed in [3] to all classical Lie algebras, namely the algebras of type A_N , B_N , C_N and D_N . In particular we show that in all classical cases the resulting nonlinear system can be always reduced to a hierarchy of matrix Riccati equations if we chose an appropriate ordered basis of the underlying Lie-algebra. Thus, we expand the applicability of the Wei-Norman method from the unitary and special linear groups to orthogonal and symplectic groups.

To exhibit the Riccati structure of the Wei-Norman equations in the case of A_N algebras considered in [3] we proved several facts concerning their structural properties, among them two crucial ones. The first establishing a decomposition of the $\mathfrak{sl}(N+1,\mathbb{C})$ Lie algebra into a semidirect sum of Abelian subalgebras and the second concerning the nilpotency of the adjoint endomorphism. Here we show that these two observations remain valid for all classical simple Lie algebras. Interestingly they cannot be extended to all simple Lie algebras - we show this in the case of an exceptional Lie algebra G_2 .

2 The Wei-Norman method

We briefly recall our analysis of the Wei-Norman method presented in greater detail in [3]. Let G be an n-dimensional Lie group and \mathfrak{g} - its Lie algebra. We assume in the following that \mathfrak{g} is a simple complex classical Lie algebra. Let also $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto M(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a curve in \mathfrak{g} and K(t) - a curve in G given by the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(t) = M(t)K(t), \quad K(0) = I.$$
(2)

In \mathfrak{g} we choose some basis X_k , $k = 1, \ldots, n$ in which M(t) takes the form

$$M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k(t) X_k.$$
 (3)

We look for the solution K(t) in the form

$$K(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp\left(u_k(t)X_k\right),\tag{4}$$

involving n unknown functions u_k and this is the original idea of Wei and Norman [1], to use product of exponentials instead of most commonly used exponential of a linear combination of Lie algebra generators.

Substituting (3) and (4) into (2) we straightforwardly arrive at the following system of coupled differential equations for u_k in terms of a_l (for a detailed derivation see [3]):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k X_k = \sum_{l=1}^{n} u_l' \prod_{k < l} \exp(u_k \operatorname{ad}_{X_k}) \cdot X_l,$$
(5)

where $ad_X = [X, .]$ is the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} on itself.

The equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j X_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} A_{jl}^{(l)} u_l' \right) X_j, \tag{6}$$

where we denoted

$$A^{(l)} = \prod_{k < l} \exp(u_k \operatorname{ad}_{X_k}).$$
(7)

Comparing corresponding coefficients of both sides of (6) we obtain

$$a_j = \sum_{l=1}^n A_{jl}^{(l)} u_l',$$
$$\mathbf{a} = A\mathbf{u}',$$
(8)

or in a compact form,

is an
$$n \times n$$
 matrix with elements $A_{jl} = A_{jl}^l$, i.e., its *l*-th column is equal to the *l*-th f the matrix $A^{(l)}$, c.f. (7), and **a** and **u** are the vectors of the coefficients of M in (3) and

where Acolumn o the unknowns u_k . It was shown in [3] that A is invertible at least locally, so we obtain a system of (nonlinear) differential equations solved for the first derivatives

$$\mathbf{u}' = A^{-1}\mathbf{a}.\tag{9}$$

General properties of simple complex Lie algebras 3

In this section we give a brief summary of commonly known properties of Lie algebras crucial for our purposes (see for example [4]) and fix the notation.

Each complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed into the root spaces with respect to a chosen Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} (a maximal commutative subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}),

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},\tag{10}$$

where the one-dimensional *root spaces* are defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} := \{ X \in \mathfrak{g} : [H, X] = \alpha(H)X \ \forall H \in \mathfrak{h} \}.$$

The linear forms $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (the dual space to the algebra \mathfrak{h}) are called *roots* and the element X_{α} spanning the subspace \mathfrak{g}_{α} is called the *root vector*. The number $N = \dim \mathfrak{h}$ is called the rank of g. The set of roots we will denote by Φ . The elements of Φ span \mathfrak{h}^* , which is an N-dimensional Euclidean space with the scalar product induced by the Killing form on \mathfrak{h} . Among the roots we can find a set Δ of N linearly independent positive simple roots, $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\}$, such that for each $\alpha \in \Phi$,

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \alpha_i$$

where either all n_i are nonnegative (such roots α form a set of *positive roots* Φ_+) or all n_i are nonpositive (such roots α form the set Φ_{-} of negative roots). There is a one-to-one correspondence between positive and negative roots: for each positive root α the is a negative one $-\alpha$.

The root spaces have the following property

$$[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},\mathfrak{g}_{\beta}]\subset\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta},\tag{11}$$

(for $\beta = -\alpha$ we have $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$).

In terms of positive and negative roots the decomposition (10) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_{-} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{+}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}.$$
 (12)

4 Decomposition of a classical Lie algebra of rank N into a sum of 2N + 1 commuting subalgebras

As mentioned in the Introduction the possibility of writing the Wei-Norman equations in the form of a hierarchy of matrix Riccati equations hinges crucially on two observations concerning the structure of the underlying Lie algebra and its adjoint endomorphism. In the present section we state and prove the first of them for an arbitrary classical simple Lie algebra - we show how to decompose such an algebra into a sum of commutative subalgebras.

Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\}$ be the basis of the root system Φ consisting of positive simple roots. Every positive root $\beta \in \Phi_+$ has a unique decomposition as a linear combination of elements of Δ with only positive coefficients. Such basis always exists [4] and possible bases with these properties are classified by the corresponding Dynkin diagrams. Vertices of such a diagram correspond to simple roots ordered in a particular way, whereas its edges encode the magnitude of the angle between two consecutive roots (for details see [4]). We will now restrict our considerations to four classes of Dynkin diagrams, namely the four so called *classical* infinite sequences of diagrams of the types A, B, C and D. The numbering of elements of Δ is shown in the figure 1. Note that for B_N and C_N algebras α_1 is always a long root (the Euclidean length of roots for a given type of algebra can take at most two values, the roots with the larger length are called long).

Figure 1: Dynkin diagrams and the numbering of the positive simple roots.

We define the following ordered sets of positive roots (subsets of Φ_+):

$$\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}} := \left\{ \beta : \beta = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \alpha_i, \ n_i = 0 \text{ for } i < k, n_k > 0 \right\},$$
(13)

$$\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{b}} := \left\{ \beta : \beta = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \alpha_i, \ n_i = 0 \text{ for } i < k, \ \beta \in \Phi_+ \right\}.$$

$$(14)$$

For a positive root $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \alpha_i$, there exists a well defined notion of the height of the root, ht(β) = $\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i$. The sets $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{b}}$ in (13) and (14) are by definition ordered in the reverse order with respect to the height ht(β) (from the highest root to the lowest). Observe that

$$\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{b}} = \bigcup_{l=k}^N \Phi_l^{\mathfrak{a}}, \qquad \Phi_+ = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}, \qquad \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap \Phi_l^{\mathfrak{a}} = \emptyset \text{ for } k \neq l.$$
(15)

Next we define subalgebras generated by corresponding sets of root vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a}_{k} &:= \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_{k}^{\mathfrak{a}} \right\}, \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{k} &:= \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{-\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_{k}^{\mathfrak{a}} \right\}, \\
\mathbf{b}_{k} &:= \bigoplus_{l=k}^{N} \mathbf{a}_{l} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_{k}^{\mathfrak{b}} \right\}, \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{k} &:= \bigoplus_{l=k}^{N} \widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{l} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{-\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_{k}^{\mathfrak{b}} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$
(16)

The equalities on the right in (17) follow from (15). The definitions (16) and (17) and the properties (11) and (15) imply that \mathfrak{a}_k , $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$, \mathfrak{b}_k and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ are subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} having the following properties:

$$\mathfrak{a}_k \subset \mathfrak{b}_k \subset \mathfrak{n}_+ \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k \subset \mathfrak{b}_k \subset \mathfrak{n}_-,$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_k \cap \mathfrak{a}_l = \emptyset \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_l = \emptyset \text{ for } k \neq l,$$

$$(18)$$

and we have the following decomposition of a classical Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into a sum of subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathfrak{a}_i \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{N} \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_j.$$
(19)

The relation of the definitions (16) and (17) to the diagrams in Figure 1 is that \mathfrak{b}_k is the subalgebra of \mathfrak{n}_+ generated by the positive simple roots with first k-1 roots from the left side of the diagram omitted. Observe that for algebras A_N , B_N and D_N , using the numbering of the positive simple roots indicated in Figure 1 and omitting first k-1 nodes from the left, one obtains Dynkin diagram corresponding to an algebra of the type A_{N-k+1} , B_{N-k+1} and D_{N-k+1} , respectively (for sufficiently large N). This is not the case for C_N , where omitting the first node results in the A_{N-1} Dynkin diagram. This fact distinguishes the C_N case from the other, but it turns out that the numbering indicated in Figure 1 together with the definitions (16) and (17) is the unique setting for which the following lemma can be proven. It may be easily shown by considering explicit counterexamples that for different numberings of positive simple roots the following will not hold (for example $\delta = 2$ may occur in (22) and \mathfrak{b}_k may not be commutative).

Lemma 4.1. The subalgebra \mathfrak{a}_k is a commutative ideal in \mathfrak{b}_k and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ is a commutative ideal in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$, for $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

Proof. Recall that root system Φ is a partially ordered set with respect to the relation \prec defined as $\alpha \prec \beta$ iff $\beta - \alpha$ is a positive root or $\beta = \alpha$. Each root system has a unique maximal element with respect to this relation. These maximal elements have the following forms in terms of the positive simple roots [4]:

$$A_N: \quad \beta_{max} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_N,$$

$$B_N: \quad \beta_{max} = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \ldots + 2\alpha_N,$$

$$C_N: \quad \beta_{max} = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \ldots + 2\alpha_N,$$

$$D_N: \quad \beta_{max} = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \ldots + 2\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N.$$
(20)

Numbering of simple roots is again as indicated in Figure 1. Since the elements (20) are unique and maximal, for any classical algebra and any positive root $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \alpha_i$ we have $n_1 = 0$ or $n_1 = 1$ and, according to definition (16), \mathfrak{a}_1 is generated by X_β for which $n_1 = 1$. It follows from (11) that all these generators commute, since the sum of such roots would have $n_1 = 2$ and it would not be a root. So \mathfrak{a}_1 is a commutative subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover if $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{a}_1$ and $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{b}_1$, then $[X_\beta, X_\gamma] \subset \text{span}(X_{\beta+\gamma})$ and $\beta + \gamma$ is a root with the first coordinate $n_1 = 1$ or $[X_\beta, X_\gamma] = 0$, so $[X_\beta, X_\gamma] \in \mathfrak{a}_1$ and \mathfrak{a}_1 is a commuting ideal in \mathfrak{b}_1 .

Consider now \mathfrak{a}_k and \mathfrak{b}_k for k > 1. In this case we deal with algebras generated by root vectors X_β corresponding to the root system resulting from omission of first k - 1 roots (see Figure 1). Thus, the algebras \mathfrak{a}_k and \mathfrak{b}_k are isomorphic to subalgebras \mathfrak{a}_1 and \mathfrak{b}_1 of smaller simple algebras of one of the type A_{N-k+1} , B_{N-k+1} or D_{N-k+1} (omission of α_1 in C_N yields A_{N-1} root system). It follows, that \mathfrak{a}_k is a commuting ideal in \mathfrak{b}_k .

The proof for subalgebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ and \mathfrak{b}_k is analogous.

Observe, that the proof of the Lemma 4.1 can be summarized in the following way. If one expresses root β in the basis Δ numbered according to Figure 1, $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i \alpha_i$, then β can be identified with the vector of its coefficients: $\beta = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_N)$. In the Lemma 4.1 we have shown that in this notation the functional $\beta = (0, \ldots, 0, 2, n_{k+1}, \ldots, n_N)$ is never a root for the

classical algebras and the considered simple roots numbering. This fact together with (11) yields the thesis of the lemma. Thus, it follows from (13) and the Lemma 4.1 that $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ consists of roots of the following form in the basis Δ ,

$$\beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, 1, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_N)$$
(21)

whereas $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{b}}$ consists of roots with coordinates of the form:

$$\beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, \delta, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_N), \tag{22}$$

where $\delta = 0$ or $\delta = 1$ and n_i stands for any nonnegative integer admissible in the given root system.

Since the sets $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ defined in (13) are mutually disjoint (see (15)) this induces an order in the set

$$\Phi_{+} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} \Phi_{k}^{\mathfrak{a}},\tag{23}$$

where we first order elements by the ascending index k corresponding to $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ to which the given element belongs, and then by the order of $\Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$. In what follows we will use the following

Definition 4.2. The ordered basis Ξ of classical Lie algebra is a basis consisting of three sequences of generators: first the sequence of root vectors $\{X_{\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_+\}$ ordered in the same order as the set (23), next the sequence of N generators of Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , and finally the sequence of root vectors $\{X_{-\beta} : \beta \in \Phi_+\}$ ordered in the order reverse to the order of the set (23).

The main result of this section can be summarized by the following:

Corollary 4.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple complex classical Lie algebra of rank N. The equation (19) defines the decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into a sum of 2N + 1 commutative subalgebras.

The basis Ξ is consistent with this splitting of \mathfrak{g} .

5 Properties of the adjoint endomorphism

We now set about formulating and proving the second important ingredient of our approach concerning the degree of nilpotency and invariance properties of the adjoint endomorphisms of classical simple Lie algebras.

Lemma 5.1. Let $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a root vector corresponding to a positive root $\alpha \in \Phi_+$. In the basis Ξ defined by Definition 4.2, the matrix of $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}$ is strictly upper triangular and the matrix of $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{-\alpha}}$ is strictly lower triangular.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ and $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}_+$. We consider $\operatorname{ad}_{X_\alpha}(X)$ for X being an element of the basis Ξ in three cases:

1. $X \in \mathfrak{n}_+$, so $X = X_\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_+$. Moreover $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ and $X_\beta \in \mathfrak{a}_l$ for some k and l. The roots have the following coordinates in terms of the basis Δ :

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, 1, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_N), \quad \beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-1}, 1, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_N).$$
(24)

If k = l then $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) = 0$.

For k < l, if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{a}_k$, so the matrix element corresponding to $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta})$ lies above the diagonal.

For k > l, if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_l^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{a}_l$. Since both α and β are positive roots, the heights fulfill $\operatorname{ht}(\alpha + \beta) > \operatorname{ht}(\beta)$ hence $X_{\alpha+\beta}$ precedes X_{β} in the basis Ξ and the corresponding matrix element lies above the diagonal.

- 2. $X \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $[\mathfrak{n}_+, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{n}_+$, the only nonzero matrix elements of ad_{X_α} in the sector corresponding to \mathfrak{h} lie above the diagonal.
- 3. $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{-}$, so $X = X_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in \Phi_{-}$. $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_{k}$ and $X_{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{l}$ for some k and l. In this case the roots have the following coordinates in the basis Δ :

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, 1, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_N), \quad \beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-1}, -1, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_N).$$
(25)

For k = l the first nonzero coordinates in (25) cancel, so if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $X_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{a}_{l+1}$ or $X_{\alpha+\beta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{l+1}$. The generators of both \mathfrak{a}_{l+1} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{l+1}$ appear earlier in the basis Ξ than the generators of $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_l$, consequently the matrix element in question lies above the diagonal.

For k < l, if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{a}_k$, so the matrix element corresponding to $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta})$ lies above the diagonal.

For k > l, if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_{-}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{l}$. Since α is a positive root and β is a negative one, the heights fulfill $\operatorname{ht}(-(\alpha + \beta)) < \operatorname{ht}(-\beta)$. Thus $X_{\alpha+\beta}$ precedes X_{β} in the basis Ξ and the corresponding matrix element lies above the diagonal.

The statement is also true for $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{n}_{-}$, since it can be obtained by substituting all the roots by their negatives $\beta \to -\beta$ and reversing the order of the basis Ξ .

Lemma 5.2. Let α be a root and $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding root vector where \mathfrak{g} is one of the classical Lie algebras A_n , B_n , C_n or D_n , then $(\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}})^3 = 0$.

Proof. First observe, that for any $H \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\left(\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}\right)^{2}(H) = \left[X_{\alpha}, \left[X_{\alpha}, H\right]\right] = -\alpha(H)\left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}\right] = 0,$$

thus it suffices to proof that $(\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}})^3(X_{\beta}) = 0, \forall \beta \in \Delta$, since the elements X_{β} generate $\mathfrak{n}_+ \cup \mathfrak{n}_$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$. Recall that [4],

$$\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) = [X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}] = \begin{cases} H_{\alpha}, & \alpha + \beta = 0, \\ N_{\alpha, \beta} X_{\alpha + \beta}, & \alpha + \beta \in \Delta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(26)

where $H_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$ corresponds to the root α and $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ is some constant. We have

$$\left(\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}\right)^{2}\left(X_{\beta}\right) = \left[X_{\alpha}, \left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}\right]\right] = \begin{cases} -\alpha(H_{\alpha})X_{\alpha}, & \alpha + \beta = 0, \\ N_{\alpha,\beta}'X_{2\alpha+\beta}, & 2\alpha + \beta \in \Delta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(27)

where $N'_{\alpha,\beta}$ is some other constant. Finally, since $[X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}] = 0$ we obtain:

$$\left(\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}\right)^{3}\left(X_{\beta}\right) = \left[X_{\alpha}, \left[X_{\alpha}, \left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}\right]\right]\right] = \begin{cases} N_{\alpha,\beta}'' X_{3\alpha+\beta}, & 3\alpha+\beta \in \Delta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(28)

We will show that $3\alpha + \beta$ is never a root for a classical algebra \mathfrak{g} . A pair of roots α, β generates a 2-dimensional root system. According to [4] there are exactly four 2-dimensional root systems: $A_1 \times A_1$, A_2 , B_3 and G_2 . The equations (26-28) imply that $(\operatorname{ad}_{X_\alpha})^3(X_\beta) \neq 0$, provided there exist a, so called, root string of length four consisting of roots β , $\alpha + \beta$, $2\alpha + \beta$ and $3\alpha + \beta$. But the only 2-dimensional root system containing a root string of length four is the G_2 root system and the angle between roots α and β is equal 150° in this case. On the other hand according to classification of simple Lee algebras [4], the only simple algebra with a pair of roots connected by such an angle is the G_2 algebra itself. So no other simple Lie algebra can have root system containing root string or length four. Observe that if $\alpha \in \Phi^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\beta \in \Phi^{\mathfrak{a}}$ then $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\beta}}$ are commuting nilpotent operators of nilpotency order 3. In general, if we have two commuting matrices of a given nilpotency order r then the sum of the matrices is also nilpotent of order r. It follows from the Jordan theorem [4] - the matrices can be expressed in the Jordan form in the same basis and are block-diagonal with the same blocks of maximal size r - 1. So the Lemmas 4.1 and 5.2 yield:

Corollary 5.3. If $X \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ or $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ then $(\mathrm{ad}_X)^3 = 0$.

Next we proof the crucial invariance property of the decomposition (19).

Lemma 5.4. Let $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}$ or $X_{\alpha} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where $\alpha \in \Phi^{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the corresponding root. The subalgebras \mathfrak{a}_l , $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_l$ for l < k and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ are invariant subspaces of $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}$.

Proof. Let $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{a}_k$. We consider three cases:

- 1. $X_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{a}_l, \ l < k$. It follows from (16) and (18) that $\mathfrak{a}_k \subset \mathfrak{b}_k \subset \mathfrak{b}_l$. In this case $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{b}_l$ and $X_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{b}_l$. Thus, $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) = [X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}] \in \mathfrak{b}_l$. On the other hand $X_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{a}_l$ and by Lemma 4.1, \mathfrak{a}_l is an ideal in \mathfrak{b}_l , so $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) \in \mathfrak{a}_l$.
- 2. $Y \in \mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$. We have also $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ and the property $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(Y) \in \mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ is subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and this a direct consequence of the definition of \mathfrak{b}_k and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k$ (see (17)).
- 3. $X_{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_l, \ l < k$. Since $\alpha \in \Phi_k^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $-\beta \in \Phi_l^{\mathfrak{b}}$, in this case (see definitions (13-14)) the coordinates of roots α and β in the basis Δ have the following form (see (21-22)):

$$\alpha = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{k-1}, 1, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_N), \quad \beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-1}, -1, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_N),$$
(29)

Since l < k, the sum $\alpha + \beta$ has the form:

$$\alpha + \beta = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{l-1}, -1, n_{l+1}, \dots, n_N),$$
(30)

so if $\alpha + \beta$ is not a root then $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) = 0$ and if $\alpha + \beta$ is a root then since it has the form (30), we have $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_{l}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}_{X_{\alpha}}(X_{\beta}) = X_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{a}_{l}$.

The analogous reasoning holds for $X_{\alpha} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$.

6 Exponential mapping

The lemmas proved in the preceding sections allow now to exhibit the structure of the exponential of the adjoint endomorphism. Its quadrating dependence on the exponent results in the Wei-Norman equations in the Riccati form, whereas its triangular and block-diagonal structure orders the resulting Riccati equations in a specific hierarchy.

Lemma 5.4 implies that ad_X for $X \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ or $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ is a block diagonal operator with respect to the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{k-1} \oplus \underbrace{\left(\mathfrak{b}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{b}}_k\right)}_{\text{one block}} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{k-1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1.$$
(31)

From Corollary 5.3 we know that it is also nilpotent, $ad_X^3 = 0$. Thus Corollary 5.3 and Lemmas 5.4 and 5.1 yield:

Corollary 6.1. For $X \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ or $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ the matrix of $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_X)$ is a quadratic polynomial in ad_X and it is block diagonal with respect to the decomposition (31). Moreover in the basis Ξ (Definition 4.2) the matrix of $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_X)$ is upper triangular for $X \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ and lower triangular for $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$. The basis Ξ defined by Definition 4.2 is adjusted to the decomposition (31) in the sense that successive blocks in the decomposition (31) are generated by successive subsequences of the basis Ξ . It is thus convenient to rewrite the equation (5) as a sum of terms corresponding to the decomposition (31). We denote elements of Ξ by X_i and define:

$$U_k := \prod_{X_i \in \mathfrak{a}_k} \exp(u_i \operatorname{ad}_{X_i}), \qquad \widetilde{U}_k := \prod_{X_i \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k} \exp(u_i \operatorname{ad}_{X_i}).$$
(32)

Since \mathfrak{a}_k and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ are commutative subalgebras, the order of factors in (32) does not matter. For $l < \dim \mathfrak{a}_k$ we also define:

$$V_{kl} := \prod_{i \in I_{kl}} \exp(u_i \operatorname{ad}_{X_i}), \qquad \widetilde{V}_{kl} := \prod_{i \in \widetilde{I}_{kl}} \exp(u_i \operatorname{ad}_{X_i}), \tag{33}$$

where for a given k the index i runs over the first l-1 generators of \mathfrak{a}_k and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$ respectively. So I_{kl} is the string of the first (with respect to the ordering of Ξ) l indices i, such that $X_i \in \mathfrak{a}_k$, and $X_i \in \Xi$ and analogously, I_{kl} is the sequence of the first l indices i, such that $X_i \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$, and $X_i \in \Xi$. We set

$$U_0 = \widetilde{U}_N = V_{k0} = \widetilde{V}_{k0} = \mathbb{1}.$$

Observe that commutativity of the subalgebras \mathfrak{a}_k implies that:

$$U_{k} = \prod_{X_{i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{k}} \exp(u_{i} \operatorname{ad}_{X_{i}}) = \exp\left(\sum_{X_{i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{k}} u_{i} \operatorname{ad}_{X_{i}}\right) = \exp\left(\operatorname{ad}_{\left(\sum_{X_{i} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{k}} u_{i} X_{i}\right)}\right),$$

hence U_k equals $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_X)$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{a}_k$ (analogously \widetilde{U}_k equals $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_X)$ for some $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_k$). Thus by Corollary 6.1 we have:

Corollary 6.2. The matrix elements of the operators U_k , V_{kl} , \tilde{U}_k and \tilde{V}_{kl} are polynomial functions of the parameters u_i of degree at most 2. The matrices U_k , V_{kl} , \tilde{U}_k and \tilde{V}_{kl} are block diagonal with respect to the decomposition (31) and the matrices U_k and V_{kl} are upper triangular, whereas the matrices \tilde{U}_k and \tilde{V}_{kl} are lower triangular.

We also define exponentials corresponding to Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} :

$$H := \prod_{X_i \in \mathfrak{h}} \exp(u_i \mathrm{ad}_{X_i}), \qquad H_l := \prod_{i \in I_l^{\mathfrak{h}}} \exp(u_i \mathrm{ad}_{X_i}), \tag{34}$$

where $I_l^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the index range numbering the first (with respect to the ordering of Ξ) l generators of \mathfrak{h} . H and H_l are diagonal matrices and we set $H_0 = \mathbb{1}$.

7 Wei-Norman method and example results

Since the operators defined in (32), (33) and (34) have exactly the same properties as corresponding operators in Section 5 of [3], the method of separation of equation (5) into subsystems corresponding to the elements of the decomposition (19) described in Section 6 of [3] works without any modification. We will not repeat the proof given in [3] here, but we will show a few example results for low values of N. It should be stressed that a crucial ingredient for realizing the described in [3] algorithm in practice is the order of the generators in the basis Ξ described in Definition 4.2. Once this basis is used for computations and the inverse in (9) is successfully computed, the separation of the system of equations comes up automatically.

In what follows we present example results. The results for A_N , N = 1, 2, 3 where presented in [3]. Here we present the examples not equivalent to those presented already in [3] and not equivalent to each other, namely: A_4 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 , C_3 , C_4 and D_4 . (There are the so called accidental isomorphisms in low dimensions: $A_1 \simeq B_1 \simeq C_1$, $D_2 \simeq A_1 \times A_1$, $B_2 \simeq C_2$ and $A_3 \simeq D_3$.) In what follows we use matrix representation of classical algebras as traceless matrices preserving given bilinear form. There are many equivalent conventions in defining these preserved bilinear forms. We use the same convention as in [4]. In all cases we provide explicit parametrization of the considered matrix algebra. The results for B_2 case are presented in great detail. For all examples we give the basis Ξ fulfilling the Definition 4.2, which is crucial for the calculations. Once the basis is known, the equations for u_i can be easily computed, so we do not present them for N = 4and for N = 3 we give only the Riccati equations corresponding to \mathbf{n}_+ .

7.1 B_2 algebra (Lie algebra of $O(5, \mathbb{C})$ group and $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$)

The ordered basis of B_2 algebra fulfilling the Definition 4.2 is encoded in the matrix:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} a_i X_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_9 & -a_4 & a_2 & a_7 \\ -a_2 & a_5 & a_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ -a_7 & a_{10} & a_5 - 2 a_6 & -a_3 & 0 \\ a_9 & 0 & -a_8 & -a_5 & -a_{10} \\ a_4 & a_8 & 0 & -a_1 & 2 a_6 - a_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (35)

According to (19) the algebra of type B_2 splits in the following way:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2 \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1. \tag{36}$$

The order of the basis defined in (35) is consistent with the splitting (36) in the sense that $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \operatorname{span} \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$, $\mathfrak{a}_2 = \operatorname{span} \{X_4\}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span} \{X_5, X_6\}$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2 = \operatorname{span} \{X_7\}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1 = \operatorname{span} \{X_8, X_9, X_{10}\}$. The decomposition (36) yields the separation of system (9) into five subsystems. First we get the 3-dimensional matrix Riccati equation corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_1 :

$$u_{1}' = -a_{10}u_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{8}u_{2}^{2} - a_{9}u_{1}u_{2} - a_{4}u_{2} + 2a_{6}u_{1} + a_{1},$$

$$u_{2}' = -\frac{1}{2}a_{9}u_{2}^{2} - a_{10}u_{1}u_{2} - a_{8}u_{2}u_{3} + a_{9}u_{1}u_{3} + a_{4}u_{3} + a_{5}u_{2} - a_{7}u_{1} + a_{2},$$

$$u_{3}' = \frac{1}{2}a_{10}u_{2}^{2} - a_{8}u_{3}^{2} - a_{9}u_{2}u_{3} + a_{7}u_{2} + (2a_{5} - 2a_{6})u_{3} + a_{3}.$$

for u_1 , u_2 and u_3 . Once we solve it, we can solve the Riccati equation for u_4 :

$$u_{4}' = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_{9}u_{3} - a_{10}u_{2} - a_{7} \right) u_{4}^{2} + \left(a_{8}u_{3} - a_{10}u_{1} - a_{5} + 2a_{6} \right) u_{4} - a_{8}u_{2} + a_{9}u_{1} + a_{4}.$$

After the solution of this equation is found the rest of the solutions for u_i can be found by simple consecutive integrations. The equations corresponding to h are:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_5' = -a_8 u_3 - a_9 u_2 - a_{10} u_1 + a_5, \\ &u_6' = \frac{1}{2} a_9 u_3 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} a_{10} u_2 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} a_7 u_4 - \frac{1}{2} a_9 u_2 - a_{10} u_1 + a_6. \end{aligned}$$

The equation corresponding to the sector $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2$ reads

$$u_7' = -(a_9u_3 - a_{10}u_2 - a_7) e^{-u_5 + 2u_6}.$$

And finally, the equations for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1$:

1

$$u_8' = \left(-\frac{1}{2}a_{10}u_4^2 + a_9u_4 + a_8\right)e^{2u_5 - 2u_6},$$

$$u_9' = \frac{1}{2}\left(-a_{10}u_4^2 + 2a_9u_4 + 2a_8\right)u_7e^{2u_5 - 2u_6} + \left(-a_{10}u_4 + a_9\right)e^{u_5},$$

$$u_{10}' = -\frac{1}{4}\left(-a_{10}u_4^2 + 2a_9u_4 + 2a_8\right)u_7^2e^{2u_5 - 2u_6} + a_{10}e^{2u_6} - \left(-a_{10}u_4 + a_9\right)u_7e^{u_5}$$

7.2 B_3 algebra (Lie algebra of $O(7, \mathbb{C})$ group and $Sp(6, \mathbb{C})$)

In this case the algebra decomposes into $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_3 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1$ and the ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2 yields the following parametrization:

	0	$-a_{19}$	$-a_{7}$	$-a_{9}$	a_3	a_{15}	a_{13}	
	$-a_3$	a_{10}	a_1	a_2	0	a_5	a_4	
21	$-a_{15}$	a_{21}	$a_{10} - a_{11}$	a_{14}	$-a_5$	0	a_{16}	
$\sum a_i X_i =$	$-a_{13}$	a_{20}	a_8	$a_{11} - 2 a_{12}$	$-a_4$	$-a_{16}$	0	,
$\overline{i=1}$	a_{19}	0	$-a_{17}$	$-a_{18}$	$-a_{10}$	$-a_{21}$	$-a_{20}$	
	a_7	a_{17}	0	$-a_6$	$-a_1$	$-a_{10} + a_{11}$	$-a_{8}$	
	a_9	a_{18}	a_6	0	$-a_2$	$-a_{14}$	$-a_{11}+2a_{12}$	

where $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \operatorname{span} \{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}, \mathfrak{a}_2 = \operatorname{span} \{X_6, X_7, X_8\}, \mathfrak{a}_3 = \operatorname{span} \{X_9\}, \mathfrak{b} = \operatorname{span} \{X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}\}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_3 = \operatorname{span} \{X_{13}\}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_2 = \operatorname{span} \{X_{14}, X_{15}, X_{16}\}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_1 = \operatorname{span} \{X_{17}, X_{18}, X_{19}, X_{20}, X_{21}\}.$

The matrix Riccati equation corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_1 reads:

$$\begin{split} u_1' = &a_{17}u_2u_4 + \frac{1}{2}a_{17}u_3^2 - a_{18}u_1u_4 - a_{19}u_1u_3 - a_{20}u_1u_2 - a_{21}u_1^2 + \\ &- a_6u_4 - a_7u_3 - a_8u_2 + a_{11}u_1 + a_1, \\ u_2' = &- a_{17}u_2u_5 + a_{18}u_1u_5 + \frac{1}{2}a_{18}u_3^2 - a_{19}u_2u_3 - a_{20}u_2^2 - a_{21}u_1u_2 + \\ &+ a_6u_5 - a_9u_3 - a_{14}u_1 + (a_{10} - a_{11} + 2a_{12})u_2 + a_2, \\ u_3' = &- a_{17}u_3u_5 - a_{18}u_3u_4 + a_{19}u_1u_5 + a_{19}u_2u_4 - \frac{1}{2}a_{19}u_3^2 - a_{20}u_2u_3 - a_{21}u_1u_3 + \\ &+ a_7u_5 + a_9u_4 + a_{10}u_3 - a_{13}u_2 - a_{15}u_1 + a_3, \\ u_4' = &\frac{1}{2}u_3^2a_{20} - a_{19}u_3u_4 - a_{18}u_4^2 + a_{20}u_5u_1 - a_{21}u_1u_4 - a_{17}u_5u_4 + \\ &+ u_{3a_{13}} - a_{16}u_1 + a_8u_5 - (2a_{12} - a_{10} - a_{11})u_4 + a_4, \\ u_5' = &- a_{17}u_5^2 - a_{18}u_4u_5 - a_{19}u_3u_5 - a_{20}u_2u_5 + a_{21}u_2u_4 + \frac{1}{2}a_{21}u_3^2 + \\ &+ a_{14}u_4 + a_{15}u_3 + a_{16}u_2 + (2a_{10} - a_{11})u_5 + a_5. \end{split}$$

The system of equation corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_2 is

$$\begin{split} u_6' &= \left(a_{20}u_5 - a_{21}u_4 - a_{16}\right)u_6^2 + \left(a_{19}u_5 - a_{21}u_3 - a_{15}\right)u_6u_7 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(-a_{18}u_5 + a_{21}u_2 + a_{14}\right)u_7^2 + \left(a_{18}u_3 - a_{19}u_2 - a_9\right)u_7 + \\ &+ \left(a_{17}u_5 + a_{18}u_4 - a_{20}u_2 - a_{21}u_1 - a_{10} + 2\,a_{12}\right)u_6 - a_{17}u_2 + a_{18}u_1 + a_6, \\ u_7' &= \left(a_{20}u_5 - a_{21}u_4 - a_{16}\right)u_6u_7 + \left(u_3a_{21} - a_{19}u_5 + a_{15}\right)u_6u_8 + \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\left(u_3a_{21} - a_{19}u_5 + a_{15}\right)u_7^2 - \left(u_2a_{21} - a_{18}u_5 + a_{14}\right)u_7u_8 + \\ &- \left(u_3a_{20} - a_{19}u_4 + a_{13}\right)u_6 - \left(a_{21}u_1 - a_{17}u_5 + a_{10} - a_{11}\right)u_7 + \\ &- \left(u_3a_{18} - u_2a_{19} - a_9\right)u_8 - u_3a_{17} + a_{19}u_1 + a_7, \\ u_8' &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{20}u_5 - a_{21}u_4 - a_{16}\right)u_7^2 - \left(u_3a_{21} - a_{19}u_5 + a_{15}\right)u_7u_8 + \\ &- \left(u_2a_{21} - a_{18}u_5 + a_{14}\right)u_8^2 + \left(u_3a_{20} - a_{19}u_4 + a_{13}\right)u_7 + \\ &+ \left(u_2a_{20} - a_{18}u_4 - a_{21}u_1 + a_{17}u_5 - 2\,a_{12} - a_{10} + 2\,a_{11}\right)u_8 + a_{20}u_1 - a_{17}u_4 + a_8. \end{split}$$

And the last equation for \mathfrak{a}_3 reads

$$\begin{aligned} u_{9}' &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(-u_{3}a_{21}u_{8} + a_{19}u_{5}u_{8} - a_{20}u_{5}u_{7} + a_{21}u_{7}u_{4} + \\ &+ a_{20}u_{3} - a_{19}u_{4} - a_{15}u_{8} + a_{16}u_{7} + a_{13} \right) u_{9}^{2} + \\ &- \left(a_{18}u_{5}u_{8} - a_{21}u_{2}u_{8} - a_{20}u_{6}u_{5} + a_{21}u_{6}u_{4} + \\ &+ u_{2}a_{20} - a_{18}u_{4} - a_{14}u_{8} + a_{16}u_{6} - 2 a_{12} + a_{11} \right) u_{9} + \\ &+ a_{21}u_{3}u_{6} - a_{21}u_{2}u_{7} + a_{18}u_{5}u_{7} - a_{19}u_{6}u_{5} - a_{18}u_{3} + a_{19}u_{2} - a_{14}u_{7} + a_{15}u_{6} + a_{9}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining 12 equations which we do not present here can be solved by consecutive integrations.

7.3 C_3 algebra (Lie algebra of $Sp(6, \mathbb{C})$ group)

In this case the ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2 yields the following parametrization:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{21} a_i X_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{10} - a_{11} & a_{14} & a_{15} & a_6 & a_5 & a_3 \\ a_8 & a_{11} - a_{12} & a_{13} & a_5 & a_4 & a_2 \\ a_7 & a_9 & a_{12} & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 \\ a_{16} & a_{17} & a_{19} & -a_{10} + a_{11} & -a_8 & -a_7 \\ a_{17} & a_{18} & a_{20} & -a_{14} & a_{12} - a_{11} & -a_9 \\ a_{19} & a_{20} & a_{21} & -a_{15} & -a_{13} & -a_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is consistent with the following decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{1} =$$

$$= \operatorname{span} \{X_{1}, \dots, X_{6}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{7}, X_{8}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{9}\} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}\} \oplus$$

$$\oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{13}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{14}, X_{15}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{16}, \dots, X_{21}\}.$$

The equations corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_1 , \mathfrak{a}_2 and \mathfrak{a}_3 are:

$$\begin{split} u_1' &= -a_{16}u_3^2 - 2a_{17}u_2u_3 - a_{18}u_2^2 - 2a_{19}u_1u_3 - 2a_{20}u_1u_2 - a_{21}u_1^2 + \\ &+ 2a_{7}u_3 + 2a_{9}u_2 + 2a_{12}u_1 + a_1, \\ u_2' &= -a_{16}u_3u_5 - a_{17}u_2u_5 - a_{17}u_3u_4 - a_{18}u_2u_4 - a_{19}u_1u_5 - a_{19}u_2u_3 + \\ &- a_{20}u_1u_4 - a_{20}u_2^2 - a_{21}u_1u_2 + a_{7}u_5 + a_8u_3 + a_{9}u_4 + a_{11}u_2 + a_{13}u_1 + a_2, \\ u_3' &= -a_{16}u_3u_6 - a_{17}u_2u_6 - a_{17}u_3u_5 - a_{18}u_2u_5 - a_{19}u_1u_6 - a_{19}u_3^2 + \\ &- a_{20}u_1u_5 - a_{20}u_2u_3 - a_{21}u_1u_3 + \\ &+ a_{7}u_6 + a_{9}u_5 + a_{14}u_2 + a_{15}u_1 + (a_{10} - a_{11} + a_{12})u_3 + a_3, \\ u_4' &= -a_{16}u_5^2 - 2a_{17}u_4u_5 - a_{18}u_4^2 - 2a_{19}u_2u_5 - 2a_{20}u_2u_4 - a_{21}u_2^2 + \\ &+ 2a_8u_5 + 2a_{13}u_2 + (2a_{11} - 2a_{12})u_4 + a_4, \\ u_5' &= -a_{16}u_5u_6 - a_{17}u_4u_6 - a_{17}u_5^2 - a_{18}u_4u_5 - a_{19}u_2u_6 - a_{19}u_3u_5 - a_{20}u_2u_5 + \\ &- a_{20}u_3u_4 - a_{21}u_2u_3 + a_8u_6 + a_{13}u_3 + a_{14}u_4 + a_{15}u_2 + (a_{10} - a_{12})u_5 + a_5, \\ u_6' &= -a_{16}u_6^2 - 2a_{17}u_5u_6 - a_{18}u_5^2 - 2a_{19}u_3u_6 - 2a_{20}u_3u_5 - a_{21}u_3^2 + \\ &+ 2a_{14}u_5 + 2a_{15}u_3 + (2a_{10} - 2a_{11})u_6 + a_6, \\ u_7' &= + (a_{19}u_6 + a_{20}u_5 + a_{21}u_3 - a_{15})u_7^2 + (a_{17}u_6 + a_{18}u_5 + a_{20}u_3 - a_{14})u_7u_8 + \\ &+ (a_{16}u_6 + a_{17}u_5 - a_{20}u_2 - a_{21}u_1 - a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12})u_7 + \\ &+ (-a_{17}u_3 - a_{18}u_2 - a_{20}u_1 + a_9)u_8 - a_{16}u_3 - a_{17}u_2 - a_{19}u_1 + a_7, \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_8' &= + \left(a_{19}u_6 + a_{20}u_5 + a_{21}u_3 - a_{15}\right)u_7u_8 + \left(a_{17}u_6 + a_{18}u_5 + a_{20}u_3 - a_{14}\right)u_8^2 + \\ &+ \left(a_{16}u_6 - a_{18}u_4 + a_{19}u_3 - a_{20}u_2 - a_{10} + 2\,a_{11} - a_{12}\right)u_8 + \\ &+ \left(-a_{19}u_5 - a_{20}u_4 - a_{21}u_2 + a_{13}\right)u_7 - a_{16}u_5 - a_{17}u_4 - a_{19}u_2 + a_8, \\ u_9' &= \left(-a_{19}u_6u_8 - a_{20}u_5u_8 - a_{21}u_3u_8 + a_{15}u_8 + a_{19}u_5 + a_{20}u_4 + a_{21}u_2 - a_{13}\right)u_9^2 + \\ &+ \left(-a_{17}u_6u_8 - a_{18}u_5u_8 + a_{19}u_6u_7 - a_{20}u_3u_8 + a_{20}u_5u_7 + a_{21}u_3u_7 + \\ &+ a_{14}u_8 - a_{15}u_7 + a_{17}u_5 + a_{18}u_4 - a_{19}u_3 - a_{21}u_1 - a_{11} + 2\,a_{12}\right)u_9 + \\ &+ a_{17}u_6u_7 + a_{18}u_5u_7 + a_{20}u_3u_7 - a_{14}u_7 - a_{17}u_3 - a_{18}u_2 - a_{20}u_1 + a_9. \end{split}$$

The remaining 12 equations which we do not present here can be again solved by consecutive integrations.

7.4 A_4 algebra (Lie algebra of $SL(5, \mathbb{C})$ group)

In this case the decomposition (19) of \mathfrak{g} has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{4} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{1} = \\ = & \operatorname{span} \{X_{1}, \dots, X_{4}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{7}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{8}, X_{9}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{10}\} \oplus \\ \oplus & \operatorname{span} \{X_{11}, \dots, X_{14}\} \oplus \\ \oplus & \operatorname{span} \{X_{15}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{16}, X_{17}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{18}, X_{19}, X_{20}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{21}, \dots, X_{24}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the generators X_i form an ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2. The explicit parametrization of the algebra by these generators reads:

	a_{11}	a_4	a_3	a_2	a_1]
24	a_{21}	$-a_{11} + a_{12}$	a_7	a_6	a_5	
$\sum a_i X_i =$	a_{22}	a_{18}	$-a_{12} + a_{13}$	a_9	a_8	.
$\overline{i=1}$	a_{23}	a_{19}	a_{16}	$-a_{13} + a_{14}$	a_{10}	
	a_{24}	a_{20}	a_{17}	a_{15}	$-a_{14}$	

7.5 B_4 algebra (Lie algebra of $O(9, \mathbb{C})$ group)

In this case the decomposition (19) of $\mathfrak g$ has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{4} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{1} = \\ = \operatorname{span} \{X_{1}, \dots, X_{7}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{8}, \dots, X_{12}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{13}, X_{14}, X_{15}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{16}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{17}, \dots, X_{21}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{22}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{23}, X_{24}, X_{25}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{26}, \dots, X_{30}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{31}, \dots, X_{36}\} \end{aligned}$$

where the generators X_i form an ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2. The explicit parametrization of the algebra by these generators $\sum_{i=1}^{36} a_i X_i$ reads:

0	$-a_{33}$	$-a_{10}$	$-a_{14}$	$-a_{16}$	a_4	a_{27}	a_{23}	a_{21}	
$-a_4$	a_{17}	a_1	a_2	a_3	0	a_7	a_6	a_5	
$-a_{27}$	a_{36}	$a_{17} - a_{18}$	a_{25}	a_{26}	$-a_{7}$	0	a_{29}	a_{28}	
$-a_{23}$	a_{35}	a_{12}	$a_{18} - a_{19}$	a_{22}	$-a_6$	$-a_{29}$	0	a_{24}	
$-a_{21}$	a_{34}	a_{11}	a_{15}	$a_{19} - 2 a_{20}$	$-a_5$	$-a_{28}$	$-a_{24}$	0	.
a_{33}	0	$-a_{30}$	$-a_{31}$	$-a_{32}$	$-a_{17}$	$-a_{36}$	$-a_{35}$	$-a_{34}$	
a_{10}	a_{30}	0	$-a_{8}$	$-a_9$	$-a_1$	$-a_{17} + a_{18}$	$-a_{12}$	$-a_{11}$	
a_{14}	a_{31}	a_8	0	$-a_{13}$	$-a_2$	$-a_{25}$	$-a_{18} + a_{19}$	$-a_{15}$	
a_{16}	a_{32}	a_9	a_{13}	0	$-a_{3}$	$-a_{26}$	$-a_{22}$	$-a_{19} + 2 a_{20}$	

7.6 C_4 (Lie algebra of $Sp(8,\mathbb{C})$ group)

In this case the decomposition (19) of \mathfrak{g} has the following form

 $\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{4} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{1} = \\ = \operatorname{span} \{X_{1}, \dots, X_{10}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{13}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{14}, X_{15}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{16}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{17}, \dots, X_{21}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{22}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{23}, X_{24}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{25}, X_{26}, X_{27}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{28}, \dots, X_{36}\}, \end{aligned}$

where the generators X_i form an ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2. The explicit parametrization of the algebra by these generators $\sum_{i=1}^{36} a_i X_i$ reads:

Γ	$a_{17} - a_{18}$	a_{24}	a_{25}	a_{26}	a_{10}	a_9	a_7	a_6	
	a_{13}	$-a_{19} + a_{18}$	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_9	a_8	a_5	a_3	
	a_{12}	a_{15}	$a_{19} - a_{20}$	a_{21}	a_7	a_5	a_4	a_2	
	a_{11}	a_{14}	a_{16}	a_{20}	a_6	a_3	a_2	a_1	
	a_{27}	a_{28}	a_{30}	a_{31}	$-a_{17} + a_{18}$	$-a_{13}$	$-a_{12}$	$-a_{11}$	
	a_{28}	a_{29}	a_{32}	a_{34}	$-a_{24}$	$a_{19} - a_{18}$	$-a_{15}$	$-a_{14}$	
	a_{30}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{35}	$-a_{25}$	$-a_{22}$	$-a_{19} + a_{20}$	$-a_{16}$	
L	a_{31}	a_{34}	a_{35}	a_{36}	$-a_{26}$	$-a_{23}$	$-a_{21}$	$-a_{20}$	

7.7 D_4 algebra (Lie algebra of $O(8, \mathbb{C})$ group)

In this case the decomposition (19) of \mathfrak{g} has the following form

 $\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{4} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{4} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{3} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{1} = \\ = \operatorname{span} \{X_{1}, \dots, X_{6}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{7}, \dots, X_{10}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{11}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{12}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{13}, \dots, X_{16}\} \oplus \\ \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{17}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{18}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{19}, \dots, X_{22}\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \{X_{23}, \dots, X_{28}\}, \end{aligned}$

where the generators X_i form an ordered basis fulfilling the Definition 4.2. The explicit parametrization of the algebra by these generators $\sum_{i=1}^{28} a_i X_i$ reads:

$a_{13} - a_{16}$	a_{17}	a_{21}	a_{22}	0	a_6	a_5	a_4
a_{12}	$a_{13} - a_{14} + a_{16}$	a_{19}	a_{20}	$-a_6$	0	a_3	a_2
a_8	a_{10}	$a_{14} - a_{15}$	a_{18}	$-a_5$	$-a_3$	0	a_1
a_7	a_9	a_{11}	a_{15}	$-a_4$	$-a_2$	$-a_1$	0
0	$-a_{23}$	$-a_{24}$	$-a_{25}$	$-a_{13} + a_{16}$	$-a_{12}$	$-a_8$	$-a_7$
a_{23}	0	$-a_{26}$	$-a_{27}$	$-a_{17}$	$-a_{13} + a_{14} - a_{16}$	$-a_{10}$	$-a_9$
a_{24}	a_{26}	0	$-a_{28}$	$-a_{21}$	$-a_{19}$	$-a_{14} + a_{15}$	$-a_{11}$
a_{25}	a_{27}	a_{28}	0	$-a_{22}$	$-a_{20}$	$-a_{18}$	$-a_{15}$

8 Implementation of the algorithm

We have presented fully applicable algorithm for reducing the highly non linear system of equations (5) for the parameters u_k to a hierarchy of Riccati matrix equations and integrals. The results presented in Section 7 were obtained by computer program implementing this algorithm written under *Maple*. The existence of working computer program proves the usability of the algorithm. The computation complexity of this algorithm grows fast with the rank of the algorithm N. The crucial point is computation or the inverse (9), which has to be realized by Cramer's rule of a computational complexity scaling as d! with the dimension of the inverted matrix d. Since the problem splits into subsystems corresponding to decomposition (19) the computation of the inverse (9) is substantially simplified, since it can be calculated by inverting the matrices corresponding

to each \mathfrak{a}_k separately. Thus, the crucial ingredient for the computation complexity turns out to be the inversion of the matrix corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_1 which has the largest dimension. The dimension of \mathfrak{a}_1 depends on the algebra type in the following way:

$$\frac{A_N}{\dim \mathfrak{a}_1} \quad \frac{B_N}{N} \quad \frac{C_N}{\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)} \quad \frac{D_N}{2N-2}$$
(37)

It is worth stressing that the behavior of dim \mathfrak{a}_1 for C_N differs from the others since in grows quadratically with N while for A_N , B_N and D_N there is a linear growth of dim \mathfrak{a}_1 with N, so the computational complexity grows much faster with N for algebras C_N than for the other ones. On a standard PC the algorithm is executed in a reasonable time for dim $\mathfrak{a}_1 \leq 10$ and the last algebras in each series fulfilling this condition are A_{10} , B_5 , C_4 and D_6 .

9 The algebra G_2

In the previous sections we restricted our considerations to the classical simple Lie algebras. The choice was not dictated merely by the fact that exceptional Lie algebras seem to play a less prominent role in various applications. We show below some obstacles one encounters when trying to apply the presented method to the G_2 algebra. We discus how the fact that the G_2 algebra does not fulfill some of the lemmas proved for classical groups affects the usability of Wei-Norman method in this case and to what extend some of the results presented in this paper apply to G_2 .

The lowest dimensional matrix representation of G_2 is 7-dimensional [4]. We use the following explicit matrix representation of G_2 :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{14} a_i X_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_{10}\sqrt{2} & a_6\sqrt{2} & a_3\sqrt{2} & -a_5\sqrt{2} & a_9\sqrt{2} & -a_{12}\sqrt{2} \\ a_5\sqrt{2} & a_7 & a_4 & a_1 & 0 & -a_3 & a_6 \\ -a_9\sqrt{2} & -a_{11} & -a_7 + a_8 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & a_{10} \\ a_{12}\sqrt{2} & a_{14} & a_{13} & -a_8 & -a_6 & -a_{10} & 0 \\ a_{10}\sqrt{2} & 0 & a_{12} & a_9 & -a_7 & a_{11} & -a_{14} \\ -a_6\sqrt{2} & -a_{12} & 0 & a_5 & -a_4 & a_7 - a_8 & -a_{13} \\ -a_3\sqrt{2} & -a_9 & -a_5 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & a_8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

where X_i are the root vectors, $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \operatorname{span} \{X_1, \ldots, X_6\}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{span} \{X_7, X_8\}$, $\mathfrak{n}_- = \operatorname{span} \{X_9, \ldots, X_{14}\}$ and the root vectors corresponding to positive simple roots are X_2 (long) and X_6 (short).

First obstacle was already mentioned in the proof of Lemma 5.2. The G_2 root system contains root strings or length 4, so the nilpotency order of adjoint operators ad_X may be equal to 4 for some root vectors X and $exp(uad_X)$ for such vectors is a polynomial of order 3 in u_i . For example in the parametrization (38):

	[1	0	0	0	-3u	$-3 u^2$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-u^3$	0]
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 u	$-3 u^2$	0	0	0	u^3
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-u	0	0	0	$-u^2$	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	2 u	0	0	0	0	0	0	u^2	0
	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	u	0
orre(updag) -	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	u	0	0
$\exp(uad_{X_3}) =$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2 u	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-2u	0	0	0	u^2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-u
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

As a consequence, the equations obtained are no longer Riccati equations.

The second obstacle is that for G_2 group the decomposition (19) having the properties described by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 does not exist. The rank of G_2 is 2, so one would expect the decomposition of \mathbf{n}_+ into two commuting subalgebras generated by some disjoint subsets of root vectors, but it turns out that this is not the case. Since there are finitely many potential decompositions of this type it is easy to check all of them. It turns out that the only nontrivial decomposition of \mathbf{n}_+ into two subalgebras is

$$\mathfrak{n}_{+} = \mathfrak{c}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{c}_{2} := \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3} \right\} \oplus \operatorname{span} \left\{ X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6} \right\},$$
(39)

where X_i are defined in (38). It easy to check that \mathfrak{c}_1 is commutative, but \mathfrak{c}_2 is not. Moreover \mathfrak{c}_1 is not an ideal in \mathfrak{n}_+ . Thus, both algebras \mathfrak{c}_1 and \mathfrak{c}_2 do not fulfill the Lemma 4.1. As a consequence the invariance properties described by Lemma 5.4 are lacking and the system (8) will not separate into blocks smaller than those corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. This is the only decomposition that survives.

The equations corresponding to sector n_+ are:

$$\begin{split} u_1' =& 2a_{14}u_2u_5^3 + 3a_{14}u_3^2u_5^2 + 6a_{10}u_3u_5^2 + 2a_{11}u_5^3 + 3a_{12}u_2u_5^2 + a_{13}u_3^3 + \\&- 3a_6u_3^2 + 3a_9u_5^2 - 3a_{12}u_1u_3 - a_{13}u_1u_2 - a_{14}u_1^2 + a_4u_2 + 3a_5u_3 + \\&+ (a_7 + a_8)u_1 + a_1, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_2' =& -a_{14}u_3^3 - 3a_{10}u_3^2 - 3a_{12}u_2u_3 - a_{13}u_2^2 - a_{14}u_1u_2 - 3a_9u_3 - a_{11}u_1 + \\&+ (-a_7 + 2a_8)u_2 + a_2, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_3' =& a_{14}u_2u_5^2 + 2a_{14}u_3^2u_5 + 4a_{10}u_3u_5 + a_{11}u_5^2 + 2a_{12}u_2u_5 - a_{12}u_3^2 - a_{13}u_2u_3 + \\&- a_{14}u_1u_3 + a_6u_2 + a_8u_3 + 2a_9u_5 - a_{10}u_1 + a_3, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} u_4' =& a_{14}u_2u_4^2 + 3a_{14}u_3u_4u_5 - a_{14}u_5^3 + 3a_{10}u_4u_5 + a_{11}u_4^2 - 3a_{12}u_5^2 + a_{13}u_2u_4 + \\&+ 3a_{13}u_3u_5 - a_{14}u_1u_4 - 3a_6u_5 - a_{13}u_1 + (2a_7 - a_8)u_4 + a_4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_5' =& a_{14}u_2u_4u_5 + a_{14}u_3^2u_4 + a_{14}u_3u_5^2 + 2a_{10}u_3u_4 + a_{10}u_5^2 + a_{11}u_4u_5 + a_{12}u_2u_4 + \\&- a_{12}u_3u_5 + a_{13}u_3^2 - a_{14}u_1u_5 - 2a_6u_3 + a_7u_5 + a_9u_4 - a_{12}u_1 + a_5, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} u_6' =& a_{14}u_2u_5u_6^2 + a_{14}u_3^2u_6^2 + 2a_{10}u_3u_6^2 + a_{11}u_5u_6^2 + a_{12}u_2u_6^2 + a_{14}u_2u_4u_6 + \\&+ a_{14}u_3u_5u_6 + a_{9}u_6^2 + a_{10}u_5u_6 + a_{11}u_4u_6 + a_{12}u_3u_6 + a_{13}u_2u_6 - a_{14}u_3u_4 + \\&+ a_{14}u_5^2 - a_{10}u_4 + 2a_{12}u_5 - a_{13}u_3 + (a_7 - a_8)u_6 + a_6, \end{split}$$

The polynomials on the right hand side of above system are of order 4 and not only 3. The maximal power of single variable is equal to 3, but the lack of decomposition into commuting subalgebras causes the appearance of higher order terms, because the product of two noncommuting operators of nilpotency order 4 does not have to be of the same nilpotency order.

The remaining eight functions u_i corresponding to sectors \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{n}_- can be computed by consecutive integration, provided the solutions of the above six equations are found. This property of the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ holds also in this case.

The question of applicability of our method to other exceptional Lie groups is also interesting and definitely worth answering. The root systems of other exceptional Lie groups do not contain root strings of order 4, but the problem of existence of the decompositions into sum of commuting subalgebras with relevant invariance properties deserves deeper study and will be provided elsewhere.

10 Discussion and remarks

The general method for solving the matrix Riccati equation is not known, so the method presented in this paper does not provide the general solution of the system (2-3). Nevertheless there are many methods to study matrix Riccati equations (see for example [6] and references therein), so our method yields a major reduction of complexity of the original problem (2-3). Scalar and matrix Riccati equations and system of the form (2-3) are examples of the so called *Lie systems*. The theory of such systems is still being developed, see for example [5] and the most recent review paper [6] with exhaustive list of references therein. It is known that every system of Riccati equations is related to a Lie group action and solution of this system is equivalent to solution of the system of the form (2-3), but not every system of the form (2-3) is equivalent to Riccati equation system. In [3] we have shown that for SU(N + 1) and $SL(N + 1, \mathbb{C})$ the system (2-3) is equivalent to hierarchy of Riccati equations and linear equations. Here we have shown that the same statements holds for all classical Lie groups, but can not be generalized to all Lie groups, presenting G_2 as a counterexample.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge fruitful discussions with Javier de Lucas. The presented results are obtained in frames of the the Polish National Science Center project MAESTRO DEC-2011/02/A/ST1/00208 support of which is gratefully acknowledged by both authors.

References

References

- J. Wei and E. Norman. Lie algebraic solution of linear differential equations. J. Math. Phys., 4(4):575–581, 1963.
- [2] J. Wei and E. Norman. On global representations of the solutions of linear differential equations as a product of exponentials. Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 15(2):327–334, 1964.
- [3] S. Charzyński and M. Kuś. Wei-Norman equations for a unitary evolution. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 46, 265208, 2013.
- [4] J. E. Humphreys. Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. Springer-Verlag 1980.
- [5] P. Winternitz, Lie groups and solutions of nonlinear differential equations (in Nonlinear phenomena, Oaxtepec, 1982), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 189, p.263-331, Spinger 1983.
- [6] J. F. Cariñena, and J. de Lucas, Lie systems: theory, generalisations, and applications. Dissertationes Math., 479:1-162, 2012.