CONVERGENCE RATES OF THE SPECTRAL DISTRIBUTIONS OF LARGE RANDOM QUATERNION SELF-DUAL HERMITIAN MATRICES

YANQING YIN, ZHIDONG BAI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, convergence rates of the spectral distributions of quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices are investigated. We show that under conditions of finite 6th moments, the expected spectral distribution of a large quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrix converges to the semicircular law in a rate of $O(n^{-1/2})$ and the spectral distribution itself converges to the semicircular law in rates $O_p(n^{-2/5})$ and $O_{a.s.}(n^{-2/5+\eta})$. Those results include *GSE* as a special case.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Research on the Limiting Spectral Analysis (LSA) of Large Dimensional Random Matrices (LDRM) has attracted considerable interest among mathematicians, probabilitists and statisticians since modern computers are widely applied to very disciplines in 70's of last centure. In nuclear physics, the energy levels are described by the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian, which can be formulated as an Hermitian matrix of very large order. In accordance with the consequences of time-reversal invariance, three kinds of ensembles were proposed:

- orthogonal ensemble: the set of $n \times n$ random real symmetric matrices,
- unitary ensemble: the set of $n \times n$ random complex Hermitian matrices,
- symplectic ensemble: the set of $n \times n$ random quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices.

For every single matrix in those ensembles, the entries on and above the diagonal are usually assumed to be independent. A matrix in unitary ensemble (including orthogonal ensemble) is also called a Wigner matrix.

Here, for readers' convenience, we shall give a short introduction to the quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices. Quaternions were invented in 1843 by

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15B52, 60F15, 62E20; Secondary 60F17.

Key words and phrases. Convergence rates, GSE, Random matrix, Quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices.

Y. Q. Yin was partially supported by a grant CNSF 11301063; Z. D. Bai was partially supported by CNSF 11171057 and PCSIRT .

the Irish mathematician William Rowen Hamilton as an extension of complex numbers into three dimensions [15, 9], and it's well known that the quaternion field \mathbb{Q} can be represented as a two-dimensional complex vector space [7]. Thus this representation associates any $n \times n$ quaternion matrix with a $2n \times 2n$ complex matrix. Let $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and define three 2×2 matrices:

$$\mathbf{i}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{i}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{i}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that in what follows, we use \mathbf{I}_n to denote $n \times n$ unit matrix. We can verify that:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{i}_1^2 &= \mathbf{i}_2^2 = \mathbf{i}_3^2 = -\mathbf{I}_2, \quad \mathbf{i}_1 = \mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{i}_3 = -\mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_2, \\ \mathbf{i}_2 &= \mathbf{i}_3 \mathbf{i}_1 = -\mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_3, \quad \mathbf{i}_3 = \mathbf{i}_1 \mathbf{i}_2 = -\mathbf{i}_2 \mathbf{i}_1. \end{split}$$

For any real numbers a, b, c, d, let

$$q = a\mathbf{I}_2 + b\mathbf{i}_1 + c\mathbf{i}_2 + d\mathbf{i}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a+bi & c+di \\ -c+di & a-bi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

then q is a quaternion. The quaternion conjugate of q is defined by

$$\bar{q} = a\mathbf{I}_2 - b\mathbf{i}_1 - c\mathbf{i}_2 - d\mathbf{i}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} a - bi & -c - di \\ c - di & a + bi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & -\beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

and the quaternion norm of q is defined by

$$||q|| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} = \sqrt{|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2}.$$

An $n \times n$ quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrix ${}^{q}\mathbf{A}_{n} = (x_{jk})_{n \times n}$ is a matrix whose entries x_{jk} $(j, k = 1, \dots, n)$ are quaternions and satisfy $x_{jk} = \bar{x}_{kj}$. If each x_{jk} is viewed as a 2 × 2 matrix, then $\mathbf{A}_{n} = (x_{jk})$ is in fact a $2n \times 2n$ Hermitian matrix. And, the entries of \mathbf{A}_{n} can be represented as

$$x_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{jk} + b_{jk}i & c_{jk} + d_{jk}i \\ -c_{jk} + d_{jk}i & a_{jk} - b_{jk}i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{jk} & \beta_{jk} \\ -\overline{\beta}_{jk} & \overline{\alpha}_{jk} \end{pmatrix}, 1 \le j < k \le n,$$

and $x_{jj} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$, where $a_{jk}, b_{jk}, c_{jk}, d_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le j, k \le n$. It is well

known (see [25]) that the multiplicities of all the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}_n are even.

Suppose that an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{H}_n is Hermitian whose eigenvalues are denoted by $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. Then the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of \mathbf{H}_n is defined as $F^{\mathbf{H}_n}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(\lambda_i \leq x)$, where $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function. In [21, 22], Wigner proved that the expected ESD of a real Wigner matrix tends to the so-called semicircular law with density function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\sqrt{4\sigma^2 - x^2}, \ x \in [-2\sigma, 2\sigma],$$

where σ^2 is the scale parameter. Then, Juhász [16] and Füredi and Komlós [12] firstly studied the weak limit of the extreme eigenvalues for a Wigner matrix. In 1988, Bai and Yin [6] got the necessary and sufficient conditions for the strong convergence of the extreme eigenvalues. And in [19], Tracy and Widom derived the limiting distribution of the largest eigenvalue of Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE), Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE), and Gaussian Symplectic Ensemble (GSE). Much more new results can be found in [17, 18, 20, 8, 11] and references therein. Another important problem is the convergence rate of the ESD of LDRM. The main method to establish such rates is the use of Stieltjes transform. In 1993, Bai [1] established an inequality and got the convergence rate of the expected spectral distribution of a Wigner matrix. For later results, we refer to Bai [2, 3, 4] and F. Götze [14, 13].

In [24], the semicircular law for quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices was proved. In [23], the asymptotic properties of the extreme eigenvalues for random quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices was investigated. In this paper, we shall use this two papers' result and Bai inequality to establish a convergence rate of the expected spectral distribution of the quaternion selfdual Hermitian matrices. Our main theorems can be described as following:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\mathbf{S}_n := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Y}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (y_{jk})_{n \times n}$, where $y_{kj}^* = y_{jk} = a_{jk} \mathbf{I}_2 + b_{jk} \mathbf{i}_1 + c_{jk} \mathbf{i}_2 + d_{jk} \mathbf{i}_3$, is a quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrix whose entries above and on the diagonal are independent and satisfy:

- (i) $\operatorname{E} y_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, for all $1 \le j \le k \le n$. (ii) $\mathbb{E} \|y_{jj}\|^2 = \sigma^2 \leq M < \infty$, $\mathbb{E} \|y_{jk}\|^2 = 1$, for all $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. (iii) $\sup_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbb{E} \|y_{jk}\|^6$, $\mathbb{E} \|y_{jj}\|^3 \leq M < \infty$.

Let F and F_n^s denote the semicircular law and the ESD of \mathbf{S}_n , respectively. Then we have as $n \to \infty$, for any $\eta > 0$

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} \|\mathbf{E}F_n^s - F\|_d = O(n^{-1/2}), \\ \|F_n^s - F\|_d = O_p(n^{-2/5}), \\ \|F_n^s - F\|_d = O_{a.s.}(n^{-2/5+\eta}) \end{cases}$$

where $||F||_d := \sup_x |F(x)|$.

Remark 1.2. We note that the entries of S_n may depend on n, but the index n is suppressed for brevity.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 basically depends on the proof of the following theorem and an estimate of the difference between ESD's of \mathbf{S}_n and \mathbf{W}_n defined in Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.3. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, let $\sigma_{jk}^2 = \operatorname{var}(y_{jk}I(||y_{jk}|| \le n^{1/4}))$ and $\mathbf{W}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (x_{jk})_{n \times n}$, where

(1.2)
$$x_{jk} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{jk}^{-1} (y_{jk} I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4}) - \mathbb{E}y_{jk} I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4})) & j \ne k, \\ \sigma \sigma_{jj}^{-1} (y_{jj} I(\|y_{jj}\| \le n^{1/4}) - \mathbb{E}y_{jj} I(\|y_{jj}\| \le n^{1/4})) & j = k. \end{cases}$$

Let F and F_n^w denote the semicircular law and the ESD of \mathbf{W}_n , respectively. Then we have as $n \to \infty$, for any $\eta > 0$

(1.3)
$$\begin{cases} \|\mathbf{E}F_n^w - F\|_d = O(n^{-1/2}), \\ \|F_n^w - F\|_d = O_p(n^{-2/5}), \\ \|F_n^w - F\|_d = O_{a.s.}(n^{-2/5+\eta}). \end{cases}$$

2. Main Tools and some Lemmas

Before proving our theorems, we present some lemmas which are needed in the proofs of the main theorems.

To begin with, we introduce some notation. For any function of bounded variation G on the real line, its Stieltjes transform is defined by

$$s_G(z) = \int \frac{1}{y-z} dG(y), \ z \in \mathbb{C}^+ \equiv \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0 \}.$$

Let $\mathbf{Q}_k = (x'_{1k}, \ldots, x'_{(k-1)k}, x'_{(k+1)k}, \ldots, x'_{nk})' = (\varpi'_k, \varrho'_k)'_{(2n-2)\times 2}$ denote the k-th quaternion column of \mathbf{W}_n with k-th quaternion elements removed. Let $\mathbf{W}_n(k)$ be the matrix obtained from \mathbf{W}_n with the k-th quaternions column and the k-th quaternions row removed. Let s(z) be the Stieltjes transform of semicircular law and $s_n(z)$ be the Stieltjes transform of F_n^w . Moreover, write

$$\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{W}_n - z\mathbf{I}_{2n})^{-1}, \mathbf{D}_k = (\mathbf{W}_n(k) - z\mathbf{I}_{2n-2})^{-1}, \mathbf{P}_k = (\mathbf{W}_n(k) - z\mathbf{I}_{2n-2})^{-2},$$

$$\Delta = \|\mathbf{E}F_n^w - F\|_d, \quad t_n(z) = (z + \mathbf{E}s_n(z))^{-1},$$

$$\epsilon_k = n^{-1/2}x_{kk} - n^{-1}\mathbf{Q}_k^*\mathbf{D}_k\mathbf{Q}_k + \mathbf{E}s_n(z) \cdot \mathbf{I}_2, \xi_k(z) = ((z + \mathbf{E}s_n(z)) \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 - \epsilon_k)^{-1}.$$

Last but not the least, we remind the reader that throughout this paper, a local constant C may take different value at different appearances.

2.1. Some auxiliary lemmas: Part I.

Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 2.2 in [1]). Let F be a distribution function and G be a function of bounded variation satisfying $\int |F(x) - G(x)| dx < \infty$. Denote their Stieltjes transforms by f(z) and g(z), respectively, where $z = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Then we have

(2.1)
$$\|F - G\|_d := \sup_x |F(x) - G(x)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi (1 - \kappa)(2\gamma - 1)} \left[\int_{-A}^A |f(z) - g(z)| \mathrm{d}u \right]$$

$$+ 2\pi v^{-1} \int_{|x|>B} |F(x) - G(x)| dx + v^{-1} \sup_{x} \int_{|y|\le 2va} |G(x+y) - G(x)| dy \bigg]$$

where a and γ are constants related to each other by $\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|u| < a} \frac{1}{u^2 + 1} du > \frac{1}{2}$, and A and B are positive constants such that A > B and $\kappa = \frac{4B}{\pi(A-B)(2\gamma-1)} < 1$.

Definition 2.2. A matrix is called Type-I matrix if it has the following structure:

1	t_1	0	a_{12}	b_{12}	• • •	a_{1n}	b_{1n}	
	0	t_1	c_{12}	d_{12}	• • •	c_{1n}	d_{1n}	
	d_{12}	$-b_{12}$	t_2	0	•••	a_{2n}	b_{2n}	
	$-c_{12}$	a_{12}	0	t_2	•••	c_{2n}	d_{2n}	
	:		:	:	۰.	:	÷	
	d_{1n}	$-b_{1n}$	d_{2n}	$-b_{2n}$	• • •	t_n	0	
ſ	$-c_{1n}$	a_{1n}	$-c_{2n}$	a_{2n}		0	t_n)

Here all the entries are complex numbers.

Definition 2.3. A matrix is called Type-II matrix if it has the following structure:

 $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 & a_{12} + c_{12}i & b_{12} + d_{12}i & \cdots & a_{1n} + c_{1n}i & b_{1n} + d_{1n}i \\ 0 & t_1 & -\bar{b}_{12} - \bar{d}_{12}i & \bar{a}_{12} + \bar{c}_{12}i & \cdots & -\bar{b}_{1n} - \bar{d}_{1n}i & \bar{a}_{1n} + \bar{c}_{1n}i \\ \bar{a}_{12} + \bar{c}_{12}i & -b_{12} - d_{12}i & t_2 & 0 & \cdots & a_{2n} + c_{2n}i & b_{2n} + d_{2n}i \\ \bar{b}_{12} + \bar{d}_{12}i & a_{12} + c_{12}i & 0 & t_2 & \cdots & -\bar{b}_{2n} - \bar{d}_{2n}i & \bar{a}_{2n} + \bar{c}_{2n}i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bar{a}_{1n} + \bar{c}_{1n}i & -b_{1n} - d_{1n}i & \bar{a}_{2n} + \bar{c}_{2n}i & -b_{2n} - d_{2n}i & \cdots & t_n & 0 \\ \bar{b}_{1n} + \bar{d}_{1n}i & a_{1n} + c_{1n}i & \bar{b}_{2n} + \bar{d}_{2n}i & a_{2n} + c_{2n}i & \cdots & 0 & t_n \end{pmatrix}$

Here $i = \sqrt{-1}$ denotes the usual imaginary unit and all the other variables are complex numbers.

Lemma 2.4 (Theorem 1.1 in [24]). For all $n \ge 1$, if a complex matrix Ω_n is invertible and of Type-II, then Ω_n^{-1} is a Type-I matrix.

Corollary 2.5. By Lemma 2.4, if the conditions of Theorem 1.3 hold, we have

- (1) **D** and **D**_k are all Type-I matrices ,
- (2) $\xi_k(z)$ and ϵ_k are all scalar matrices, where

$$\epsilon_k = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Es}_n(z) - n^{-1} \overline{\omega}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k \overline{\omega}_k & 0\\ 0 & \operatorname{Es}_n(z) - n^{-1} \varrho_k^* \mathbf{D}_k \varrho_k \end{pmatrix} + n^{-1/2} x_{kk},$$

Lemma 2.6 (Corollary A.41 in [5]). Let A and B be two $n \times n$ normal matrices with their ESDs F^A and F^B . Then,

$$L^{3}(F^{A}, F^{B}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}[(A - B)(A - B)^{*}].$$

where $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Levy distance between two distributions (See Remark A.39. in [5]).

Remark 2.7. In view of Lemma B.18 of [5] and noticing that the semicircular law satisfies the Lipschitz condition, the metric $L(F_n^w, F)$ dominates the Kolmogorov distance $||F_n^w - F||_d$.

Lemma 2.8 (Theorem A.43 in [5]). Let **A** and **B** be two $p \times n$ Hermitian matrices. Then,

$$\left\|F^{\mathbf{A}} - F^{\mathbf{B}}\right\|_{d} \le \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}).$$

Lemma 2.9 (Theorem A.13 in [5]). Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{n}$ be a complex matrix and f be an increasing and convex function. Then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} f(|a_{jj}|) \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(s_j(\mathbf{A})).$$

where $s_j(\mathbf{A})$ denote the singular values of \mathbf{A} . When \mathbf{A} is Hermitian, $s_j(\mathbf{A})$ can be replaced by eigenvalues and f need not to be increasing.

Lemma 2.10 (Lemma 2.12 in [5]). Let $\{\tau_k\}$ be a complex martingale difference sequence with respect to the increasing σ -fields \mathscr{F}_k . Then, for p > 1, $\mathrm{E}[\sum \tau_k]^p \leq K_p \mathrm{E}(\sum |\tau_k|^2)^{p/2}$.

Lemma 2.11 (Lemma 2.13 in [5]). Let $\{\tau_k\}$ be a complex martingale difference sequence with respect to the increasing σ -fields \mathscr{F}_k , and let \mathbf{E}_k denote conditional expectation with respect to \mathcal{F}_k . Then, for $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\sum \tau_{k}\right|^{p} \leq K_{p}\left(\mathbf{E}\left(\sum \mathbf{E}_{k-1}|\tau_{k}|^{2}\right)^{p/2} + \mathbf{E}\sum|\tau_{k}|^{p}\right)$$

Lemma 2.12 (Theorem 2.3 in [10]). Let \mathscr{F}_k be a sequence of increasing σ -fields and $\{\tau_k\}$ be a sequence of integrable random variables. Then, for any $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\operatorname{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\operatorname{E}\left(\tau_{k}|\mathscr{F}_{k}\right)|^{q}\right)^{p/q} \leq \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{p/q} \operatorname{E}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\tau_{k}|^{q}\right)^{p/q}.$$

Lemma 2.13 (See appendix A.1.4 in [5]). Suppose that the matrix Σ is nonsingular and has the partition as given by $\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, then, if Σ_{11} is nonsingular, the inverse of Σ has the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} + \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22,1}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & -\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22,1}^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_{22,1}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} & \Sigma_{22,1}^{-1} \end{array}\right)$$

where $\Sigma_{22.1}^{-1} = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Lemma 2.14 ((A.1.12) in [5]). Let z = u + iv, v > 0, and let **A** be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix. **A**_k be the k-th major sub-matrix of **A** of order (n - 1), to be the matrix resulting from the k-th row and column from **A**. Then

$$\left| \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A} - zI_n)^{-1} - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}_k - zI_{n-1})^{-1} \right| \le v^{-1}.$$

Lemma 2.15 (Lemma 2.11 in [5]). Let z = u + iv, v > 0, s(z) be the Stieltjes transform of the semicircular law. Then, we have $s(z) = -\frac{1}{2}(z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4})$.

Lemma 2.16 (Lemma B.22 in [5]). Let G be a function of bounded variation. Let g(z) denote its Stieltjes transform. When z = u + iv, with v > 0, we have

$$\sup_{u} |g(z)| \le \pi v^{-1} ||G||_d$$

Lemma 2.17. Let s(z) be the Stieltjes transform of the semicircular law, which is given by Lemma 2.15. We have |s(z)| < 1.

Proof. Since $s(z)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\sqrt{z^2-4}\right)\right) = 1$, we shall complete the proof by noticing that both the real and imaginary parts of z and $\sqrt{z^2-4}$ have the same signs.

2.2. Some auxiliary lemmas: Part II.

Lemma 2.18. Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{2n}$ be a $2n \times 2n$ non-random matrix and $\mathbf{X} = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)'$ be a random quaternion vector of independent entries, where for $1 \leq j \leq n, x_j = \begin{pmatrix} e_j + f_j \cdot i & c_j + d_j \cdot i \\ -c_j + d_j \cdot i & e_j - f_j \cdot i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & \beta_j \\ -\overline{\beta}_j & \overline{\alpha}_j \end{pmatrix}$. Assume that $\operatorname{Ex}_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\operatorname{E} \|x_j\|^2 = 1$, and $\operatorname{E} \|x_j\|^l \leq \phi_l$. Then, for any $p \geq 1$, we have

$$E \left| \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} \right|^p \le C_p \left(\left(\phi_4 \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* \right) \right)^{p/2} + \phi_{2p} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* \right)^{p/2} \right),$$

where C_p is a constant depending on p only.

Proof. At first, cut **A** into n^2 blocks and rewrite $\mathbf{A} = (\tilde{a}_{jk})_{j,k=1}^n$, where $\tilde{a}_{jk} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{2j-1,2k-1} & a_{2j-1,2k} \\ a_{2j,2k-1} & a_{2j,2k} \end{pmatrix}$. Use the expression (2.2) $\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(||x_j||^2 - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{jj} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{kj} x_j x_k^* + \tilde{a}_{jk} x_k x_j^* \right)$.

For p = 1, noticing that $E |\alpha_j|^2 \le 1$ and $E |\beta_j|^2 \le 1$, by Lemma 2.9 and Lyapunov inequality, we obtain

$$E |tr X^* A X - tr A|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \left| \|x_{j}\|^{2} - 1 \right| |\operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{jj}| + \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{kj} x_{j} x_{k}^{*} + \tilde{a}_{jk} x_{k} x_{j}^{*} \right) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{jj}| + \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{kj} x_{j} x_{k}^{*} \right) \right|^{2} + \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{jk} x_{k} x_{j}^{*} \right) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (|a_{2j-1,2j-1}| + |a_{2j,2j}|) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (|a_{2j-1,2k-1}|^{2} + |a_{2j-1,2k}|^{2} + |a_{2j,2k-1}|^{2} + |a_{2j,2k}|^{2}) \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*} \right)^{1/2} + (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*})^{1/2} \right] \leq C_{1} \left[\phi_{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*} \right)^{1/2} + (\phi_{4} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*})^{1/2} \right].$$

$$\text{Norm converse 1 is in } \zeta = 0. \text{ For Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.0 and here.}$$

Now, assume 1 . By Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.9, we have

(2.3)
$$E \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\|x_j\|^2 - 1 \right) \operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{jj} \right|^p \leq C E \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left| \|x_j\|^2 - 1 \right|^2 |\operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{jj}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} E \left| \|x_j\|^2 - 1 \right|^p |\operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{jj}|^p \leq C_p \phi_{2p} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* \right)^{p/2}.$$

Furthermore, using the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$E \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{kj} x_j x_k^* + \tilde{a}_{jk} x_k x_j^* \right) \right|^p$$

$$(2.4) \qquad \leq C \left(E \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{a}_{kj} x_j x_k^* + \tilde{a}_{jk} x_k x_j^* \right) \right|^2 \right)^{p/2} \leq C_p \left(\phi_4 \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* \right)^{p/2}.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we complete the proof of the lemma for the case 1 . Now, we will proceed with the proof of the lemma by induction on <math>p. Assume that the lemma is true for $1 \leq p \leq 2^t$, then consider the case $2^t with <math>t \geq 1$. Denote E_j be the conditional expectation given $\{x_1, \dots, x_j\}$. By Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\|x_j\|^2 - 1\right) \operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{jj}\right|^p$$

$$\leq C \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} \left| \|x_{j}\|^{2} - 1 \right|^{2} |\mathrm{tr}\tilde{a}_{jj}|^{2} \right)^{p/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} \left| \|x_{j}\|^{2} - 1 \right|^{p} |\mathrm{tr}\tilde{a}_{jj}|^{p} \right)^{p/2} \\ (2.5) \leq C \left((\phi_{4} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*})^{p/2} + \phi_{2p} \mathrm{tr} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{*})^{p/2} \right),$$

and

$$E\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{kj}x_{j}x_{k}^{*}\right|^{p}$$

$$(2.6) \leq C\left(E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\operatorname{E}_{j-1}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{kj}x_{j}x_{k}^{*}\right|^{2}\right)^{p/2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n}E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{kj}x_{j}x_{k}^{*}\right|^{p}\right).$$

Write $x_k^* \tilde{a}_{kj} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{kj} & f_{kj} \\ g_{kj} & h_{kj} \end{pmatrix}$, where $e_{kj} = a_{2k-1,2j-1} \bar{\alpha}_k - a_{2k,2j-1} \beta_k, \quad f_{kj} = a_{2k-1,2j} \bar{\alpha}_k - a_{2k,2j} \beta_k,$ $g_{kj} = a_{2k-1,2j-1} \bar{\beta}_k + a_{2k,2j-1} \alpha_k, \quad h_{kj} = a_{2k-1,2j} \bar{\beta}_k + a_{2k,2j} \alpha_k.$

Then, we have

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j-1} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr}\tilde{a}_{kj} x_j x_k^*\right|^2\right)^{p/2} = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j-1} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} x_j x_k^* \tilde{a}_{kj}\right|^2\right)^{p/2}$$
$$=E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j-1} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e_{kj} \alpha_j - f_{kj} \bar{\beta}_j + g_{jk} \beta_j + h_{jk} \bar{\alpha}_j\right|^2\right)^{p/2}$$
$$\leq CE\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} f_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} g_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e_{kj}\right|^2\right)\right)^{p/2}$$
$$\leq CE\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left|E_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|E_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{kj}\right|^2\right)$$
$$+ \left|E_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|E_{j-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^2\right)\right)^{p/2}$$
$$(2.7) \leq CE\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j-1}\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{kj}\right|^2 + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^2\right)\right)^{p/2}.$$

Using Lemma 2.12 with q = 1 and the induction hypothesis with **A** replaced by \mathbf{AA}^* , together with the fact that $\operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A})^2 \leq (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A})^2$, we shall get

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} E_{j-1}\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^{2}\right)\right)^{p/2}$$

$$\leq CE\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{kj}\right|^{2} + \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{kj}\right|^{2}\right)\right)^{p/2}$$

$$= CE\left(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\mathbf{X}\right)^{p/2}$$

$$\leq C\left(\left(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{p/2} + E\left|\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{X}^{*}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\mathbf{X} - \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right|^{p/2}\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(\left(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{p/2} + \left(\phi_{4}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)^{p/4} + \phi_{p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{p/2}\right)$$

$$(2.8) \quad \leq C\left(\left(\phi_{4}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)\right)^{p/2} + \phi_{2p}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{*}\right)^{p/2}\right).$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{a}_{kj} x_j x_k^* \right|^p = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{tr} x_j x_k^* \tilde{a}_{kj} \right|^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_p \mathbf{E} \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e_{kj} \right|^p + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} f_{kj} \right|^p + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} g_{kj} \right|^p + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} e_{kj} \right|^p \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_p \left(\mathbf{E} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} |e_{kj}|^2 \right)^{p/2} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} |f_{kj}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} |g_{kj}|^2 \right)^{p/2} + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} |h_{kj}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right) \\ &+ \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |e_{kj}|^p + \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |f_{kj}|^p + \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |g_{kj}|^p + \mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} |h_{kj}|^p \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_p \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{2k-1,2j-1}|^2 + |a_{2k-1,2j}|^2 + |a_{2k,2j-1}|^2 + |a_{2k,2j}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &+ \phi_p \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{2k-1,2j-1}|^p + |a_{2k-1,2j}|^p + |a_{2k,2j-1}|^p + |a_{2k,2j}|^p \right) \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\phi_p \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{2j-1,2j-1} + (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{2j,2j} \right)^{p/2} + \phi_{2p} \mathrm{tr} \left(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \right)^{p/2} \right) \end{split}$$

(2.9) $\leq C\phi_{2p} \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \right)^{p/2}$.

Then combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9), the proof of this lemma is complete. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 2.19. If the conditions of Theorem 1.3 hold, for all $l = 1, 2 \cdots$, by assuming

$$v > C_J = \max\{\sqrt{2C_{J_1}}n^{-1/2}, \cdots, \sqrt[2l]{2C_{J_l}}n^{-1/2}\}, |t_n(z)| \le 1,$$

where C_{J_1}, \dots, C_{J_l} are constants that will appear in our proof, we have

$$E |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^{2l} \le 2C_J n^{-2l} v^{-4l} (v + \Delta)^l.$$

Proof. Denote by E_k the conditional expectation given $\{x_{pq}, p, q > k\}$ and let

$$\theta_k = \mathrm{tr}\mathbf{D} - \mathrm{tr}\mathbf{D}_k,$$

$$\gamma_k = \mathbf{E}_{k-1} n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{E}_k n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D} = n^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \theta_k - n^{-1} \mathbf{E}_k \theta_k,$$

then we have

$$s_n(z) - \mathbf{E}s_n(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k.$$

By Lemma 2.14, we have

(2.10) $|\theta_k| \le 2v^{-1}, \quad |\gamma_k| \le 4n^{-1}v^{-1}.$

Furthermore, using Lemma 2.13, we obtain

(2.11)
$$\theta_k = -\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{I}_2 + n^{-1}\mathbf{Q}_k^*\mathbf{P}_k\mathbf{Q}_k\right) \cdot \xi_k(z)\right).$$

Note that $\xi_k(z) = t_n(z) \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 + t_n(z)\xi_k(z)\epsilon_k$, where ϵ_k is a scalar matrix by Corollary 2.5. Combining with the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \mathbf{E}_{k} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \mathbf{E}_{k} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{k-1} \left(\mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{P}_{k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we may rewrite

 $\gamma_k = -n^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{k-1} t_n(z) \left(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}_k^* \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{Q}_k - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{P}_k \right) + (2n)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{E}_{k-1} - \mathbf{E}_k \right) t_n(z) \theta_k \operatorname{tr} \epsilon_k.$ Since $|t_n(z)| \leq 1$,

$$s_n(z) = \int \frac{1}{\lambda - z} dF_n^w(\lambda) = (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{W}_n - z\mathbf{I}_{2n})^{-1} = (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{D},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| \gamma_{k} \right|^{2} &\leq C \left(n^{-4} \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{P}_{k} \right|^{2} + n^{-2} v^{-2} \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| \operatorname{tr} \epsilon_{k} \right|^{2} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(n^{-4} \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{P}_{k} \right|^{2} + n^{-2} v^{-2} \left(n^{-1} \mathbf{E} \| x_{kk} \|^{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12) \qquad +\mathbf{E}_{k} \left| n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D} - n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{2} + \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} - n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{D}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} \right|^{2} + \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2} \right).$$

For any complex matrix \mathbf{A} , we use the notation $|\mathbf{A}|^2 := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*$. Write

$$\Psi_{k} = n^{-4} \mathbf{E}_{k} |\mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{P}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{P}_{k}|^{2} + n^{-2} v^{-2} \Big(n^{-1} \mathbf{E} ||x_{kk}||^{2} + \mathbf{E}_{k} |n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} - n^{-1} \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{D}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k}|^{2} \Big).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.16 and Lemma 2.17, we have

(2.13)

$$\operatorname{Etr} |\mathbf{D}_{k}|^{2} = \operatorname{E} \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} \frac{1}{|\lambda_{j}^{(k)} - z|^{2}} = \operatorname{E} v^{-1} \Im \{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} \}$$

$$= \operatorname{E} v^{-1} \Im \{\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D} \} + 2n \operatorname{E} v^{-1} \Im \{(2n)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D} \}$$

$$\leq 2v^{-2} + 2nv^{-1} \operatorname{E} \Im s_{n}(z)$$

$$\leq 2v^{-2} + 2nv^{-1} (|\operatorname{E} s_{n}(z) - s(z)| + |s(z)|)$$

$$\leq 2v^{-2} + 2\pi nv^{-2} (v + \Delta),$$

(2.14)
$$\operatorname{Etr} |\mathbf{D}_k|^4 \le v^{-2} \operatorname{Etr} |\mathbf{D}_k|^2 \le 2v^{-4} + 2\pi n v^{-4} (v + \Delta),$$

(2.15)
$$\Psi_k \le C \left(n^{-3} v^{-3} \Im s_n(z) + n^{-3} v^{-2} + n^{-4} v^{-4} \right).$$

By Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.18, together with inequalities (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), for large n we have

(2.16)
$$E |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^2 \le C \sum_{k=1}^n E (E_k |\gamma_k|^2)$$
$$\le C_{J_1} (n^{-2} v^{-4} (v + \Delta) + n^{-1} v^{-2} E |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^2)$$

Furthermore, assuming $v > \sqrt{2C_{J_1}}n^{-1/2}$, by inequality (2.16), we have

$$E |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^2 \le 2C_{J1}n^{-2}v^{-4}(v + \Delta).$$

.

The lemma then follows if l = 1.

Then, by Lemma 2.11, Lemma 2.18, together with inequalities (2.12), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), for large n and every l > 1 we have

$$\mathbb{E} |s_n(z) - \mathbb{E} s_n(z)|^{2l}$$

$$\leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}_k |\gamma_k|^2 \right) \right)^l + \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E} |\gamma_k|^{2l} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Psi_{k} \right)^{l} + n^{-2l} v^{-2l} \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}_{k} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2} \right)^{l} + n^{-2l+1} v^{-2l} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Psi_{k} \right)^{l} + n^{-l} v^{-2l} \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2l} + n^{-2l+1} v^{-2l} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(n^{-2l} v^{-3l} \mathbf{E} \left(\Im s_{n}(z) \right)^{l} + n^{-l} v^{-2l} \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2l} \right)$$

$$\leq C \left(n^{-2l} v^{-3l} \left(\mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{l} + \left(\mathbf{E} \Im s_{n}(z) \right)^{l} \right)$$

$$+ n^{-l} v^{-2l} \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2l} \right)$$

$$\leq C_{J_{l}} \left(n^{-2l} v^{-3l} \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2l} + n^{-2l} v^{-4l} \left(v + \Delta \right)^{l}$$

$$(2.17) + n^{-l} v^{-2l} \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2l} \right).$$

Assuming $v > \sqrt[l]{2C_{J_l}} n^{-1/2}$, by induction and inequality (2.17), we have

$$\mathbb{E} |s_n(z) - \mathbb{E} s_n(z)|^{2l} \le 2C_{Jl} n^{-2l} v^{-4l} (v + \Delta)^l.$$

Now, the proof of this lemma is complete.

Lemma 2.20. If the conditions of Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 2.19 hold and $C_{\epsilon} = \max\{2, C_{\epsilon_2}, C_{\epsilon_3}, C_{\epsilon_4}\},$

we have the following estimations:

(1) $|\operatorname{Etr} \epsilon_k| \leq C_{\epsilon} n^{-1} v^{-1},$ (2) $\operatorname{E} |\operatorname{tr} (\epsilon_k^2)| \leq C_{\epsilon} n^{-1} v^{-2} (v + \Delta),$ (3) $\operatorname{E} |\operatorname{tr} (\epsilon_k^3)| \leq C_{\epsilon} n^{-1} v^{-2} ((v + \Delta) + (v + \Delta)^2),$ (4) $\operatorname{E} |\operatorname{tr} (\epsilon_k^4)| \leq C_{\epsilon} n^{-1} v^{-2} (n^{-1/2} (v + \Delta) + (v + \Delta)^2).$

Proof. Firstly, by Lemma 2.14, we have

$$|\operatorname{Etr}\epsilon_k| = n^{-1} |\operatorname{E} (\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D}_k)| \le 2n^{-1} v^{-1}.$$

This completes the proof of (1).

Then, by Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.19, together with inequality (2.13) we have

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} \epsilon_k^2 \right| = 2^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} \epsilon_k \right|^2$$

$$\leq C \left(n^{-1} \mathbf{E} \| x_{kk} \|^2 + n^{-2} \mathbf{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}_k^* \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{Q}_k - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D}_k \right|^2$$

13

+E
$$|s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^2 + n^{-2}v^{-2}$$

 $\leq C_{\epsilon_2}n^{-1}v^{-2}(v+\Delta).$

This completes the proof of (2).

Furthermore, since $||x_{kk}||$ are truncated at $n^{1/4}$, by Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.19, together with inequalities (2.13) and (2.14), we shall have the following estimates:

(2.18)
$$n^{-2} \mathbf{E} \|x_{kk}\|^4 \le n^{-2} n^{1/2} \sigma^2 = n^{-3/2} \sigma^2,$$

$$n^{-4} \mathbf{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{D}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{4}$$

$$\leq Cn^{-4} \mathbf{E} \left(\phi_{8} \operatorname{tr} \left| \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{4} + \phi_{4}^{2} \left(\operatorname{tr} \left| \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{2} \right)^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{-4} \left(\phi_{6} n^{1/2} \operatorname{Etr} \left| \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{4} + \phi_{4}^{2} \mathbf{E} \left(v^{-4} + n^{2} v^{-2} \left(\Im s_{n}(z) \right)^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq Cn^{-4} \left(n^{1/2} \left(v^{-4} + n v^{-4} \left(v + \Delta \right) \right) + v^{-4} + n^{2} v^{-2} \left(\mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{2} + \left| \left| \operatorname{E} s_{n}(z) - s(z) \right| + \left| s(z) \right| \right|^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$(2.19) \qquad \leq Cn^{-1} v^{-2} \left(n^{-1/2} \left(v + \Delta \right) + \left(v + \Delta \right)^{2} \right).$$

Then combining Lemma 2.19, inequalities (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} \left| \mathrm{tr} \epsilon_{k}^{4} \right| &= 8^{-1} \mathbf{E} \left| \mathrm{tr} \epsilon_{k} \right|^{4} \\ &\leq C \left(n^{-2} \mathbf{E} \| x_{kk} \|^{4} + n^{-4} \mathbf{E} \left| \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{Q}_{k}^{*} \mathbf{D}_{k} \mathbf{Q}_{k} - \mathrm{tr} \mathbf{D}_{k} \right|^{4} \\ &+ \mathbf{E} \left| s_{n}(z) - \mathbf{E} s_{n}(z) \right|^{4} + n^{-4} v^{-4} \right) \\ &\leq C_{\epsilon_{4}} n^{-1} v^{-2} \left(n^{-1/2} \left(v + \Delta \right) + \left(v + \Delta \right)^{2} \right), \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of (4).

At last, by the elementary inequality, we obtain

Lemma 2.21 (Lemma 8.8 in [5]). If $|\delta_n(z)| \leq v < 3^{-1}$ for all z = u + iv, then $\Delta \leq C_{\delta}v$.

Remark 2.22. Lemma 2.21 was proved in order to get a convergence rate of Wigner matrix. According to the result of [23], combining with Lemma 2.1, we can easily obtain that this lemma is also right in the case of quaternion self-dual Hermitian matrices.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now, we are in position to present the proof of our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Yin, Bai and Hu in [24] derived

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{Es}_{n}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(z - \delta_{n}(z) - \sqrt{\left(z + \delta_{n}(z)\right)^{2} - 4} \right),$$

where

(3.2)
$$\delta_n(z) = (2n)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{Etr}\left(\left(z + \operatorname{Es}_n(z)\right)^{-1} \cdot \epsilon_k \cdot \left(\left(z + \operatorname{Es}_n(z)\right) \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 - \epsilon_k\right)^{-1}\right).$$

By equation (3.2) and equation $\xi_k(z) = t_n(z) \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 + t_n(z)\xi_k \cdot \epsilon_k$, we have

$$|\delta_n(z)| = \left| (2n)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n t_n(z) \operatorname{Etr} (\epsilon_k \xi_k) \right|$$

$$\leq (2n)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(|t_n(z)|^2 |\operatorname{Etr} \epsilon_k| + |t_n(z)|^3 \operatorname{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} (\epsilon_k)^2 \right| + |t_n(z)|^4 \operatorname{E} \left| \operatorname{tr} (\epsilon_k)^4 \right| \right).$$
(3.3)

Here we use the fact that

$$\Im\{-z - \frac{1}{n}\varpi_k^*\mathbf{D}_k\varpi_k\} = -v\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\varpi_k^*\mathbf{D}_k\mathbf{D}_k^*\varpi_k\right) < -v.$$

Due to the semicircular law, $|\delta_n(z)| < v$ when $v = 3^{-1}$ and n large enough. We claim that for all large n and any $C_v n^{-1/2} \le v \le 3^{-1}$, where $C_v = \max\{C_J, \sqrt{(C_{\epsilon}+1)(2C_{\delta}^2+7C_{\delta}+6)}\}, |\delta_n(z)| < v$. If that is not the case, since the function $|\delta_n(z)|$ is continuous in the region

If that is not the case, since the function $|\delta_n(z)|$ is continuous in the region $v \ge n^{-1/2}$, there must exist a $z_0 = u_0 + v_0 i$ satisfying $|\delta_n(z_0)| = v_0$. Thus we have $\Im\{z_0 + \delta_n(z_0)\} \ge 0$. It is well known, if a complex number Z satisfies $\Im Z \ne 0$ and $\Im Z = -\Im\{\frac{1}{Z}\}$, then |Z| = 1. Since $t_n(z) = -\frac{1}{t_n(z)} + z + \delta_n(z), \Im\{z + Es_n(z)\} \ge \Im z > 0$, this implies that $|t_n(z_0)| = 1$ if $\Im\{z_0 + \delta_n(z_0)\} = 0$. Otherwise, if $\Im\{z_0 + \delta_n(z_0)\} > 0$, by Lemma 2.15 and equation (3.1) we have $t_n(z_0) = -Es_n(z_0) + \delta_n(z_0) = -s(z_0 + \delta_n(z_0))$, which implies that $|t_n(z_0)| < 1$ due to Lemma 2.17. To sum up the above arguments, we will have $|t_n(z_0)| \le 1$ if $|\delta_n(z_0)| = v_0$.

Then combining inequality (3.3), Lemma 2.21 and Lemma 2.20, we have

$$|\delta_n(z_0)| < (C_{\epsilon} + 1) \left(2C_{\delta}^2 + 7C_{\delta} + 6 \right) < v_0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Applying Lemma 2.21, we obtain that $||EF_n - F||_d = O(n^{-1/2})$. Finally, using Lemma 2.19 we have

(1) for
$$v = n^{-2/5}$$
,

$$\int_{-16}^{16} \mathbf{E} |s_n(z) - \mathbf{E}s_n(z)| \, du \le \int_{-16}^{16} \left(\mathbf{E} |s_n(z) - \mathbf{E}s_n(z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \, du$$
(3.4)
$$\le C n^{-1} v^{-3/2} \le v.$$

(2) for
$$v = n^{-2/5+\eta}$$
, choose $l > (5\eta)^{-1}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$P\left(\int_{-16}^{16} |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)| \, du \ge \epsilon v\right)$$
(3.5) $\le (32/\epsilon)^{2l} E |s_n(z) - Es_n(z)|^{2l} = Cn^{-5l\eta},$

which is summable.

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we complete the proof of this theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\widetilde{y}_{jk})_{n \times n}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_n = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\widehat{y}_{jk})_{n \times n}$, where $\widetilde{y}_{jk} = y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4})$, $\widehat{y}_{jk} = y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4}) - \mathbb{E}y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4}).$

Let F_n^s , \tilde{F}_n^s , \hat{F}_n^s and F_n^w denote the ESD of \mathbf{S}_n , $\mathbf{\tilde{S}}_n$, $\mathbf{\hat{S}}_n$ and \mathbf{W}_n , respectively. Firstly, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\|F_n^s - \widetilde{F}_n^s\|_d \le (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{rank}\left(\left(\mathbf{S}_n - \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_n\right)\right)$$
$$\le n^{-1} \sum_{j \ne k} \operatorname{I}\left(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}\right) + n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{I}\left(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}\right)$$

Since

$$E\left(n^{-1}\sum_{j\neq k} I\left(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}\right) + n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} I\left(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}\right)\right)$$

$$\le n^{-1}\sum_{j\neq k} P\left(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}\right) + n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\left(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}\right)$$

$$\le n^{-5/2}\sum_{j\neq k} E\|y_{jk}\|^{6} + n^{-3/2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\|y_{jj}\|^{2} \le 2Mn^{-1/2},$$

16

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(n^{-1}\sum_{j\neq k} \mathrm{I}\left(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}\right) + n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{I}\left(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq n^{-2}\sum_{j\neq k} \mathrm{P}\left(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}\right) + n^{-2}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}\right)$$
$$\leq 2Mn^{-3/2}.$$

By Bernstein's inequality, for any $\zeta > 0$ we obtain

$$P\left(n^{1/2-\zeta} \|F_n^s - \widetilde{F}_n^s\|_d \ge \varepsilon\right) \le 2e^{-4^{-1}\varepsilon n^{1/2+\zeta}},$$

which immediately implies that

(3.6)
$$n^{1/2-\zeta} \|F_n^s - \widetilde{F}_n^s\|_d \to 0, \quad a.s..$$

Then, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$L^{3}\left(\widetilde{F}_{n}^{s}, \widehat{F}_{n}^{s}\right) \leq (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n} - \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{n}\right) \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{n} - \widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{n}\right)^{*}$$

$$= n^{-2} \sum_{j \neq k} \|\mathrm{E}y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \leq n^{1/4})\|^{2}$$

$$+ n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\mathrm{E}y_{jj}I(\|y_{jj}\| \leq n^{1/4})\|^{2}$$

$$\leq n^{-9/2} \sum_{j \neq k} \mathrm{E}^{2} \|y_{jk}\|^{6} + n^{-5/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}^{2} \|y_{jj}\|^{2} \leq 2M^{2} n^{-3/2}.$$
(3.7)

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.6 we have

$$L^{3}\left(\widehat{F}_{n}^{s}, F_{n}^{w}\right) \leq (2n)^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{n} - \mathbf{W}_{n}\right) \left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}_{n} - \mathbf{W}_{n}\right)^{*}$$

$$= n^{-2} \sum_{j \neq k} \left(1 - \sigma_{jk}^{-1}\right)^{2} \left|y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \leq n^{1/4}) - \operatorname{E} y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\| \leq n^{1/4})\right|^{2}$$

$$+ n^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \sigma\sigma_{jj}^{-1}\right)^{2} \left|y_{jj}I(\|y_{jj}\| \leq n^{1/4}) - \operatorname{E} y_{jj}I(\|y_{jj}\| \leq n^{1/4})\right|^{2}.$$

Also, we have

$$(1 - \sigma_{jk}^2)^2 \le (\mathbb{E} \|y_{jk}\|^2 I(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}) + \mathbb{E}^2 \|y_{jk}\| I(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}))^2 \le 4\mathbb{E}^2 \|y_{jk}\|^2 I(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}) \le 4M^2 n^{-2},$$

and

$$\left(\sigma^{2} - \sigma_{jj}^{2}\right)^{2} \leq \left(\mathbb{E}\|y_{jj}\|^{2}I(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4}) + \mathbb{E}^{2}\|y_{jj}\|I(\|y_{jj}\| > n^{1/4})\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq 4 \mathbf{E}^2 \|y_{jj}\|^2 I(\|y_{jk}\| > n^{1/4}) \leq 4 M^2 n^{-1/2},$$

which immediately imply,

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{E}\left(n^{-2}\sum_{j\neq k}\left(1-\sigma_{jk}^{-1}\right)^{2}\left|y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\|\leq n^{1/4})-\operatorname{E}y_{jk}I(\|y_{jk}\|\leq n^{1/4})\right|^{2}\right.\\ &+ n^{-2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1-\sigma\sigma_{jj}^{-1}\right)^{2}\left|y_{jj}I(\|y_{jj}\|\leq n^{1/4})-\operatorname{E}y_{jj}I(\|y_{jj}\|\leq n^{1/4})\right|^{2}\right)\\ &\leq n^{-2}\sum_{j\neq k}\left(1-\sigma_{jk}^{2}\right)^{2}+\sigma^{-2}n^{-2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma^{2}-\sigma_{jj}^{2}\right)^{2}\\ &\leq 4\left(M^{2}+\left(\frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right)n^{-3/2}, \end{split}$$

and for any $\zeta > 0$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(n^{-1/2-\zeta} \sum_{j \neq k} \left(1 - \sigma_{jk}^{-1}\right)^{2} \left| y_{jk} I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4}) - \operatorname{E} y_{jk} I(\|y_{jk}\| \le n^{1/4}) \right|^{2} + n^{-1/2-\zeta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \sigma \sigma_{jj}^{-1}\right)^{2} \left| y_{jj} I(\|y_{jj}\| \le n^{1/4}) - \operatorname{E} y_{jj} I(\|y_{jj}\| \le n^{1/4}) \right|^{2} \right)$$
$$\leq 16 M^{2} n^{-1-2\zeta} \left(\sum_{j \neq k} \left(1 - \sigma_{jk}^{2}\right)^{4} + \sigma^{-4} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sigma^{2} - \sigma_{jj}^{2}\right)^{4} \right)$$
$$\leq 16 \left(1 + 16 \left(\frac{M}{\sigma}\right)^{4}\right) n^{-1-2\zeta}.$$

Thus we obtain

(3.8)
$$n^{1/2-\zeta}L^3\left(\widehat{F}_n^s, F_n^w\right) \to 0, \quad a.s..$$

Finally, by inequalities (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and Theorem 1.3, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

References

- [1] Z. D. Bai. Convergence rate of expected spectral distributions of large random matrices. part i. wigner matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 21(2):pp. 625–648, 1993.
- [2] Z. D. Bai, B. Q. Miao, and J. Tsay. A note on the convergence rate of the spectral distributions of large random matrices. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 34(1):95 – 101, 1997.
- [3] Z. D. Bai, B. Q. Miao, and J. Tsay. Remarks on the convergence rate of the spectral distributions of wigner matrices. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 12(2):301–311, 1999.

- [4] Z. D. Bai, B. Q. Miao, and J. Tsay. Convergence rates of the spectral distributions of large wigner matrices. *Int. Math. J.*, 1(1):pp. 65–90, 2002.
- [5] Z. D. Bai and J.W. Silverstein. Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices. Springer, 2010.
- [6] Z. D. Bai and Y. Q. Yin. Limit of the smallest eigenvalue of a large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *The Annals of Probability*, 21(3):pp. 1275–1294, 1993.
- [7] C Chevalley. Lie groups. Princeton UP, 1946.
- [8] David S. Dean and Satya N. Majumdar. Extreme value statistics of eigenvalues of gaussian random matrices. *Phys. Rev. E*, 77:041108, Apr 2008.
- [9] C. A. Deavours. The quaternion calculus. The American Mathematical Monthly, 80(9):pp. 995–1008, 1973.
- [10] S.J. Dilworth. Some probabilistic inequalities with applications to functional analysis. In Banach Spaces, Contemporary Mathematics 144, AMS, Providence. Citeseer, 1993.
- [11] I. Dumitriu and P. Koev. Distributions of the extreme eigenvalues of beta-jacobi random matrices. SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 30(1):1–6, 2008.
- [12] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices. Combinatorica, 1(3):233–241, 1981.
- [13] F. Gtze and A. Tikhomirov. Rate of convergence to the semi-circular law. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 127(2):228–276, 2003.
- [14] F. Gtze and A. Tikhomirov. Rate of convergence to the semicircular law for the gaussian unitary ensemble. Theory of Probability & Its Applications, 47(2):323–330, 2003.
- [15] William Rowan Hamilton and William Edwin Hamilton. Elements of quaternions. London: Longmans, Green, & Company, 1866.
- [16] Ferenc Juhász. On the spectrum of a random graph. 25:313–316, 1978.
- [17] Sean O'Rourke and Van Vu. Universality of local eigenvalue statistics in random matrices with external source. arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.1057, 2013.
- [18] Terence Tao and Van Vu. The wigner-dyson-mehta bulk universality conjecture for wigner matrices. *Electronic J. Probab*, 16:2104–2121, 2011.
- [19] Craig A Tracy and Harold Widom. Level-spacing distributions and the airy kernel. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 159(1):151–174, 1994.
- [20] Dong Wang. The largest eigenvalue of real symmetric, hermitian and hermitian selfdual random matrix models with rank one external source, part i. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 146(4):719–761, 2012.
- [21] Eugene P. Wigner. Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions. Annals of Mathematics, 62(3):pp. 548–564, 1955.
- [22] Eugene P. Wigner. On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices. Annals of Mathematics, 67(2):pp. 325–327, 1958.
- [23] Bai Z. D. Yin, Y.Q. and J. Hu. On the limit of extreme eigenvalues of large dimensional random quaternion matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.1433*, 2013.
- [24] Bai Z. D. Yin, Y.Q. and J. Hu. On the semicircular law of large dimensional random quaternion matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.6937, 2013.
- [25] Fuzhen Zhang. Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. Linear algebra and its applications, 251:21–57, 1997.

KLASMOE AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, P.R.C., 130024.

E-mail address: yinyq799@nenu.edu.cn

KLASMOE AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, P.R.C., 130024.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{baizd@nenu.edu.cn}$