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#### Abstract

This note extends a recent result of Mendelson on the supremum of a quadratic process to squared norms of functions taking values in a Banach space. Our method of proof is a reduction by a symmetrization argument and observation about the subadditivity of the generic chaining functional. We provide an application to the supremum of a linear process in the sample covariance matrix indexed by finite rank, positive definite matrices.


## 1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a class of $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ and $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ be independent, identically distributed random variables. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}$ be the Orlicz $\psi_{\alpha}$ norm for $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\gamma_{\alpha}$ be Talagrand's generic chaining complexity of $F$ under the $\psi_{\alpha}$ metric. Mendelson has proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Mendelson (2010)). If $F$ is a symmetric class of mean-zero functions on $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ then there exists absolute constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ such that for any $t \geq c_{1}$, with probability at least $1-2 \exp \left(-c_{2} t^{2 / 5}\right)$

$$
\sup _{f \in F}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq c_{3} t\left\{\frac{d_{\psi_{1}}(F) \gamma_{2}\left(F, \psi_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}\left(F, \psi_{2}\right)}{n}\right\},
$$

where $d_{\psi_{1}}(F)=\sup _{f \in F}\left\|f\left(X_{1}\right)\right\|_{\psi_{1}}$.
The goal of this note is to extend the preceding theorem to a class $G$ of functions that take values in a Banach space $(B,\|\cdot\|)$. We wish to bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{g \in G}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]with a similar exponential tail probability bound. A special case is $g\left(X_{1}\right)=A^{T} X_{1}$, where $X_{1}$ is a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$, a class of $p \times k$ matrices. In this note we will assume that $G$ is countable to avoid unnecessary measurability issues.

When $(B,\|\cdot\|)=(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|)$, this expectation reduces to the one addressed by Theorem 1.1. Our goal is to bound the expectation in terms of complexity parameters of the class $G$. We will use a symmetrization argument to put (1) into a form suitable for Theorem 1.1. The next section contains an abstract technical result concerning subadditivity of the generic chaining $\gamma_{\alpha}$ functional (Talagrand 2005) that enables our reduction.

## 2 The Generic Chaining

Definition 2.1. Given a metric space $(T, d)$ and $A$ a subset of $T$, define the diameter of $A$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(A):=\sup _{t, u \in A} d(t, u) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2. Given a metric space $(T, d)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ a partition of $T$, for $t \in T$, define $\mathcal{A}(t)$ to be the unique element of $\mathcal{A}$ that contains $t$.

Definition 2.3 (Talagrand (2005)). Given a metric space ( $T, d$ ), an admissible sequence is an increasing sequence $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ of partitions of $T$ such that $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|=1$ and, for $s \geq 1,\left|\mathcal{A}_{s}\right| \leq 2^{2^{s}}$. For $\alpha \geq 1$, define the $\gamma_{\alpha}$ functional by

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}(T, d):=\inf \sup _{t \in T} \sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}(t)\right)
$$

where the infimum is taken over all admissible sequences.
Lemma 2.1. If $\left(T_{1}, d\right)$ and $\left(T_{2}, d\right)$ are metric spaces, then

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}, d\right) \leq 3\left[\Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}, d\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}, d\right)\right]
$$

Moreover, if $T_{1} \cap T_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}, d\right) \leq 9\left[\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}, d\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}, d\right)\right]
$$

Proof. Let $\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ be an admissible sequence for $T_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}(t)\right) \leq 2 \gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}, d\right) \text { for all } t \in T_{1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ an admissible sequence for $T_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}(t)\right) \leq 2 \gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}, d\right) \text { for all } t \in T_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define partitions $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ of $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0}=\left\{T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{C}_{1}=\mathcal{C}_{0}$, and, for $s \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ be the partition consisting of the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
A \cap\left(T_{1} \backslash T_{2}\right) \text { for } A \in \mathcal{A}_{s-2} \\
B \cap\left(T_{2} \backslash T_{1}\right) \text { for } B \in \mathcal{B}_{s-2}, \text { and } \\
A \cap B \text { for } A \in \mathcal{A}_{s-2} \text { and } B \in \mathcal{B}_{s-2}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $\left(\mathcal{C}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence of partitions of $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$, and that $\left|C_{0}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right|=1$ and, for $s \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{C}_{s}\right| & \leq\left|\mathcal{A}_{s-2}\right|+\left|\mathcal{B}_{s-2}\right|+\left|\mathcal{A}_{s-2}\right| \times\left|\mathcal{B}_{s-2}\right| \\
& \leq 2^{2^{s-2}}+2^{2^{s-2}}+2^{2^{s-1}} \leq 2^{2^{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(\mathcal{C}_{s}\right)_{s \geq 0}$ is an admissible sequence for $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. Let $t \in T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. Since, for $s \geq 2$, every element of $\mathcal{C}_{s}$ is a subset of an element of $\mathcal{A}_{s-2}$ and/or $\mathcal{B}_{s-2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{C}_{s}(t)\right) & \leq 3 \Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+\sum_{s \geq 2} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{C}_{s}(t)\right) \\
& \leq 3 \Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+\sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}(t)\right)+\sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{B}_{s}(t)\right) \\
& \leq 3\left[\Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}, d\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}, d\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3) and (4). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}, d\right) & \leq \sup _{t \in T_{1} \cup T_{2}} \sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{s / \alpha} \Delta\left(\mathcal{C}_{s}(t)\right) \\
& \leq 3\left[\Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{1}, d\right)+\gamma_{\alpha}\left(T_{2}, d\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For the "moreover" part, if $u \in T_{1} \cap T_{2}$, then by the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right) & =\sup _{t_{1}, t_{2} \in T_{1} \cup T_{2}} d\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{t_{1}, t_{2} \in T_{1} \cup T_{2}} d\left(t_{1}, u\right)+d\left(t_{2}, u\right) \\
& \leq 2\left[\Delta\left(T_{1}\right)+\Delta\left(T_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq 2\left[\gamma_{2}\left(T_{1}, d\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(T_{2}, d\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Symmetrization

The following theorem is our main result. Its proof is a symmetrization argument followed by an application of Theorem 1.1 to and Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent, identically distributed random variables, and $G$ is a symmetric class of functions taking values in a Banach space $(B,\|\cdot\|)$, then there exists absolute constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ such that for all $t \geq c_{1}$, with probability at least $1-2 \exp \left(-c_{2} t^{2 / 5}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{g \in G}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}\right| \leq c_{3} t\left\{\frac{\sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{1}} \gamma_{2}(G, d)}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}(G, d)}{n}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the metric on $G$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\| \| g_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)-g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right\}$ be independent, identically distributed Rademacher random variables that are indepedent of $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$. Define the function class

$$
F=\{f: f(x, \epsilon)=\epsilon\|g(x)\| \text { for some } g \in G\}
$$

and let

$$
F_{0}=F \cup-F
$$

$F_{0}$ is a symmetric class of mean-zero functions by construction. So Theorem 1.1 implies that, for $t \geq c_{1}$, with probability at least $1-2 \exp \left(-c_{2} t^{2 / 5}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{g \in G}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}\right| \\
= & \sup _{f \in F_{0}}\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{2}\left(X_{i}, \epsilon_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E} f^{2}\left(X_{i}, \epsilon_{i}\right)\right| \\
\leq & c_{3} t\left\{\frac{\sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{1}} \gamma_{2}\left(F_{0}, \psi_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{\gamma_{2}^{2}\left(F_{0}, \psi_{2}\right)}{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the proof deals with showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}\left(F_{0}, \psi_{2}\right) \leq C \gamma_{2}(G, d) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Apply Lemma 2.1 to

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{2}\left(F_{0}, \psi_{2}\right) & \leq 3\left[\Delta(F \cup-F)+\gamma_{2}\left(F, \psi_{2}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(-F, \psi_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =3\left[\Delta(F \cup-F)+2 \gamma_{2}\left(F, \psi_{2}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $f_{1}, f_{2} \in F$ with corresponding $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$, we have by the triangle inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(X_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)\right\|_{\psi_{2}} & =\| \| g_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\|-\| g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}} \\
& \leq\| \| g_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)-g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}} \\
& =: d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 1.3.6 of Talagrand (2005),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}\left(F, \psi_{2}\right) \leq C \gamma_{2}(G, d) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an absolute constant $C>0$. Using the triangle inequality and the symmetry of $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(F \cup-F) & =\max \left\{\sup _{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G}\| \| g_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\|-\| g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}}, \sup _{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G}\| \| g_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\|+\| g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}}\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{\sup _{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G} d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), 2 \sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\sup _{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G} d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), \sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)-\left(-g\left(X_{1}\right)\right)\| \|_{\psi_{2}}\right\} \\
& =\sup _{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G} d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \\
& \leq \gamma_{2}(G, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the preceding inequality and (9) into (8) proves (7).

## 4 Linear Transformations

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$

$$
G=\left\{g: g(x)=A^{T} x \text { and } A \in \mathcal{A}\right\} .
$$

Observe that

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|g\left(X_{i}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle X_{i} X_{i}^{T}, A A^{T}\right\rangle .
$$

To apply Theorem 3.1 to this empirical process, we need to bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)\left\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}}=\sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\| \| A^{T} X_{1}\left\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\| \| A^{T} X_{1}\left\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)-g_{2}\left(X_{1}\right)\left\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{2}}=\| \|\left\|\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)^{T} X_{1}\right\|_{2} \|_{\psi_{2}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma allows us to relate the $\psi_{2}$-norm on $G$ to the Frobenius norm on $\mathcal{A}$.
Lemma 4.1. Let $Z$ be a $p$-variate random vector and $A$ be a $p \times k$ matrix. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left\|A^{T} Z\right\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{2}} \leq\|A\|_{F}\|Z\|_{\psi_{2}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|Z\|_{\psi_{2}}:=\sup _{\|u\|_{2} \leq 1}\|\langle Z, u\rangle\|_{\psi_{2}}$.
Proof. Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ denote the columns of $A$. Then

$$
\left\|\left\|A^{T} Z\right\|_{2}^{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|\left|\left\langle a_{i}, Z\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|\left\langle a_{i}, Z\right\rangle\right\|_{\psi_{2}}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|a_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|Z\|_{\psi_{2}}^{2} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|\left\|A^{T} Z\right\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{2}}^{2}=\| \| A^{T} Z\left\|_{2}^{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq\|A\|_{F}^{2}\|Z\|_{\psi_{2}}^{2} .
$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 to eqs. (10) and (11) yields

$$
\sup _{g \in G}\| \| g\left(X_{1}\right)\left\|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\left\|X_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{2}}\|A\|_{F}
$$

and

$$
d\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \leq\left\|X_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{2}}\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{F}
$$

Since $\|A\|_{F}=\|\operatorname{vec}(A)\|_{2}$, the majorizing measure theorem (Talagrand 2005, Theorem 2.1.1) implies that

$$
\gamma_{2}(G, d) \leq c\left\|X_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{2}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\langle A, \mathcal{Z}\rangle,
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a $p \times k$ matrix with i.i.d. standard Gaussian entries and $c>0$ is an absolute constant. Thus, we have the following corollary of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.1. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ be i.i.d. mean 0 random vectors, $\Sigma=\mathbb{E} X_{1} X_{1}^{T}$, and $\sigma=$ $\sup _{\|u\|_{2}=1}\left\|\left\langle X_{1}, u\right\rangle\right\|_{\psi_{2}}$, and

$$
S_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} X_{i}^{T}
$$

If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$ is symmetric, then there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ such that for all $t \geq c_{1}$, with probability at least $1-2 \exp \left(-c_{2} t^{2 / 5}\right)$,

$$
\sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\left|\left\langle S_{n}-\Sigma, A A^{T}\right\rangle\right| \leq c_{3} t\left\{\frac{\sigma^{2} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\|A\|_{F}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\langle\mathcal{Z}, A\rangle\right)+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\langle\mathcal{Z}, A\rangle\right)^{2}\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}$ is a $p \times k$ matrix with i.i.d. standard Gaussian entries. Furthermore, we have under the same condition, that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\left|\left\langle S_{n}-\Sigma, A A^{T}\right\rangle\right| \leq c\left\{\frac{\sigma^{2} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\|A\|_{F}}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\langle\mathcal{Z}, A\rangle\right)+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\left(\mathbb{E} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{A}}\langle\mathcal{Z}, A\rangle\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

with a universal constant $c$.

## References

Mendelson, S. (2010). "Empirical Processes with a Bounded $\psi_{1}$ Diameter". In: Geometric and Functional Analysis 20.4, pp. 988-1027.
Talagrand, M. (2005). The Generic Chaining. Springer-Verlag.


[^0]:    *This note was first written in 2012 and a version has been available on the first author's website. It is now posted on arXiv to provide a universal identifier for citations elsewhere. It is not intended for publication in its current form, but a revision incorporating later work will be posted separately.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ This research was supported by NSF grants DMS-0903120 and BCS-0941518.

