Anatole KHELIF Institut de Mathématique de Jussieu khelif@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Alain TARICA 8 rue Chausse Coq Genève 1204 alaintarica@bluewin.ch

SOMMAIRE

0.	Introduction	4
1.	\mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -Stochastic Manifold, $r \in \mathbb{N}_*$	7
	1. 1 Definition and charts exchange maps	$\overline{7}$
	1. 2 Existence of a tangent linear maps	8
2.	Preliminaries	13
	2. 1 Some extensions of continuous linear maps	14
	2. 2 $\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^{\infty - 0} = \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$	21
	2. 3 Existence of a sequence of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields converging	
	\mathbb{D}^{∞} -strongly to wards a derivation	23
	2. 4 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Hölderian process and divergence of a derivation	25
	2. 5 A generalisation of the Dimi-Lipschitz theorem and interpolation	
	between \mathbb{D}^P spaces	20
	between \mathbb{D}_{r} -spaces	25
3.	\mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds	29 29
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition	29 29
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold.	 29 29 30
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds	 29 29 30 30
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field	 29 29 30 30 33
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field 3. 5 Derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold	 29 29 30 30 33 36
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field 3. 5 Derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 6 Metric and fundamental bilinear form on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic	 29 29 30 30 33 36
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field 3. 5 Derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 6 Metric and fundamental bilinear form on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold	 29 29 30 30 33 36 37
3.	$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-stochastic manifolds}$ 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field 3. 5 Derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 6 Metric and fundamental bilinear form on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 3. 7 Metric, Levi-Civita connection, curvature	29 29 30 30 33 36 37 46
3.	 D[∞]-stochastic manifolds 3. 1 Definition 3. 2 Canonical σ-algebra associated to a D[∞]-stochastic manifold 3. 3 D[∞]-morphismes between D[∞]-stochastic manifolds 3. 4 Existence of a D[∞]-derivation which is not a vector field 3. 5 Derivation field on a D[∞]-stochastic manifold 3. 6 Metric and fundamental bilinear form on a D[∞]-stochastic manifold 3. 7 Metric, Levi-Civita connection, curvature 	 29 29 30 30 33 36 37 46 48

4. 2 Example of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded processes which is not a multiplicator 51	
 4. 3 Identity of D[∞]-derivations which null-divergence adapted multiplicators	56 plicators"
5. $\mathbb{D}^{\circ\circ}$ -morphisms, charts maps,	
and inversionity $5 - 1$. Theorem charming updep which conditions a \mathbb{D}^{∞} mean high	69
5. 1 Theorems showing under which conditions a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism	69
5. 2 Some other conditions to obtain a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism	. 78
6. $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold 6. 1 Introduction.	102 102
6. 2 Construction of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$. 104
7. Derivations on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n)$ 7. 1 If any D_n -type of derivation has an unique \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation	108
extension, the Driver condition is fullfilled	. 109
D_v -type of derivation has an unique \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation extension 7. 3 Calculus of the D_v -derivation of a k-covariant tensor, on	. 115
(V_n,g)	119
7. 4 Definition of the new-gradient on \mathbb{D}^{∞}	121
8. Standard Quadratic form on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ 8. 1 Definition of the New Cameron-Martin space and of the	123
standard bilinear form	123
8. 2 Non degenerescence of the standard quadratic form	125
9. Some Tools on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$	142
9. 1 Some"renormalisation" theorem	142
9. 2 Another "renormalisation" theorem	151
9. 3 Some open questions	. 156
Bibliography	157

Abstract

«Stochastic Manifolds»

Malliavin Calculus can be seen as a differential calculus on Wiener spaces. We present the notion of stochastic manifold for which the Malliavin Calculus plays the same role as the classical differential calculus for the \mathbb{C}^{∞} differential manifolds. The set of the paths in a Riemmanian compact manifold is then seen as a particular case of the above structure.

Abreviations Index

- a.s. : almost surely
- A.M. : antisymmetrical matrix
- C.M. : Cameron Martin space
- l.h.s, r.h.s : left-hand side, right-hand side
- N.C.M. : new Cameron Martin space
- N.S.C. : necessary and sufficient condition
- OTHN : orthonormal
- O.U. : Ornstein-Uhlenbeck
- S.D.E. : stochastic differential equation
- S.M. : semimartingale
- S.T.P. : stochastic parallel transport

Conventions Index

- \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation : a derivation on \mathbb{D}^{∞} that is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous.
- Einstein summation, unless the contrary is specified.
- $\operatorname{grad}(f\operatorname{grad} g) = \operatorname{grad} f \otimes \operatorname{grad} g + f\operatorname{grad} \operatorname{grad} g.$

Notations Index

- $B_{p,q}^{\lambda}(H)$: Besov space built on an Hilbert H with indexes λ, p, q . $B_{p,q}^{\lambda} = B_{p,q}^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R})$. $C_{E}A$ or CA: complementary of the set A.

- $\mathcal{C}_n(\Omega)$: chaos of order n in $L^2(\Omega)$.
- δ_i^i : Kronecker symbol.
- (,): duality bracket between a space and its dual, or between a distribution and some test function.
- $\mathcal{F}_{|A}$: \mathcal{F} being a σ -algebra, $\mathcal{F}_{|A}$ is the σ -algebra : $\{A \cap F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.
- $f_{|A}$: f being a map, $f_{|A}$ is the restriction of f to $A \subset \text{Dom } f$.
- grad : the Malliavin derivative unless otherwise specified.

- $\overline{\operatorname{grad}} f$: the classic gradient of a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -function f on an n-dimensional manifold.
- $\Gamma(V_n)$: \mathcal{C}^{∞} -vector fields on the *n*-dimensional manifold V_n .
- $\int_a^b f \cdot dB$: Ito integral.
- $\int_{a}^{b} f \circ dB$: Stratonovich integral.
- $h \in H$: *h* is a vector, element of the Cameron Martin space *H* defined by $t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) \, ds$.
- $h(\omega)$: $\dot{h(\omega)}$ is the vector field defined by $t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}(s,\omega) \, \mathrm{d}s$.
- $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$: vector space of the bounded linear maps between the Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 .
- $L^{p+0}(\Omega)$: $\bigcup_{q>p} L^q(\Omega)$.
- $L^{p-0}(\Omega)$: $\bigcap_{q < p} L^q(\Omega)$.
- $\mathbb{N}_* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$
- $(\psi_i)_{i \in I} \xrightarrow{L^p, \mathbb{D}^\infty} \psi$: the net $(\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ converges toward ψ in $L^p(\Omega), \mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega)$. - \langle , \rangle_H : scalar product on the Hilbert H.
- $\llbracket \tau_1, \tau_2 \rrbracket$: stochastic interval delimited by the stopping times τ_1, τ_2 .
- ${}^{t}V, {}^{t}h$: transposes of the vectors V, h.
- \mathcal{W} : Wiener space.
- W(h): Gaussian variable, centered on 0, with law :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \|h\|_H}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\|h\|_H}} \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

o. Introduction

"To do a geometry you do not need a space, you only need an algeba of functions on this would-be space."

A. Grothendieck

The Malliavin Calculus can be seen as a differential calculus on Wiener spaces. It is then possible to establish a new dimensionless differential geometry, for which the Malliavin calculus plays the same role as the one played by the classical differential calculus in the theory of *n*-dimensional manifolds.

Moreover, is it also possible to obtain a Variational Calculus on a random structure, which is built by constraints subjected to infinitesimal variation? This sort of problem is recurrent in Physics and Econometry.

Such a Variational Calculus imposes a reasonnable space of "measurable and regular" functions, with a compatibility between associated differentiation and integration processes, from which a generalized divergence operator.

As such a problem requires an infinite dimensional space, it becomes needed to have an infinite dimensional differential calculus with a related good notion of a divergence.

The Malliavin Calculus provides such a tool. More precisely: in \mathbb{R}^n , there is compatibility between differentiation and integration because the Lebesgue measure is translation invariant. Unfortunately, in the case of an infinite dimensional topological vector space E, such a non-trivial translation measure does not exist. But there can be quasi-invariant measures μ , that is : there is a dense subspace H of E, such that the image measure of μ by a translation with the vector $h \in H$, admits a density relatively to μ .

A natural is E=Wiener space \mathcal{W} , with μ =Gaussian measure, and H being the Cameron-Martin space which then is an Hilbert space.

More precisely, given a basis manifold V and a fiber space F on V, it is possible to endow the space of the random sections of F, witch a reasonable measure so that there is a Variational calculus.

Two particular cases which are extreme case have already been studied : random Brownian fields (maps from V in a Gaussian space), and the set of continuous paths in a Compact Riemannian manifold.

In the first case, there has been the Wiemann (Wiener + Riemann) manifold [9, 10, 11, 14].

But it brought several very strong limitations :

- (1) a Wiemann manifold is a triple (W, τ, g) Banach C^j -manifold, modelled on an abstract Wiener space (H, B) with $j \ge 1$. And: $\forall x \in W, \tau_x$ is a norm on $T_x W$ and g(x) is a densely defined inner product on $T_x W$
- (2) The chart change maps must be of the form $I_B + K$, K having to fulfill several conditions [10, 14].

Moreover the set of continuous paths on a compact Riemannian manifold V_n , starting from m_0 (denoted in this paper $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$) cannot be naturally described as a Wiemann structure, while we will prove that $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold [4].

A slightly different definition of a Wiemann manifold, \mathcal{W} , is given by

G. Peters [2], which does not impose that \mathcal{W} be a Riemannian manifold, but instead, that \mathcal{W} be a measure space, its σ -algebra being generated by a locally-finite countable family of subsets of \mathcal{W} , $(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$, each \mathcal{U}_{α} being a $H - C^k$ set and the family $(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ must admit a subordinate \mathbb{D}^{∞} -unity partition.

Moreover the chart change maps must admit similar conditions as in the previous definition above.

The other extremal case has been studied by P. Malliavin and A. B. Cruzeiro, [4], and it also brought major constraints:

(1) $C_0([0,1],\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $C_0([0,1],\mathbb{R}^3)$ are not diffeomorphic, although, as Wiener spaces, they are isomorphic.

(2) $\mathbb{P}(m_0, V_n)$ is seen by the authors as the domain of a single chart, with the Itō map. But the Itō map does not admit a natural linear tangent map. So the authors had to enlarge the tangent space with particular processes, called tangent spaces, which are semi-martingales. So the time filtration becomes invariant. This invariance has important consequences, among them the impossibility to include the Brownian fields in this framework. And if a manifold structure could be endowed on $P_{m_0}(V_n, g)$, this structure would strictly depend on the time filtration.

We offer here a new mathematical structure named: the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, which overcomes the Wiemann structure, and its limitations, for which $P_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ is a particular case, $C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^m)$, $n \neq m$ being \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphic.

Moreover, with such a structure:

- (1) the notion of time (filtration) does not play any role anymore
- (2) in the case of $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$, dim V_n is not anymore relevant.
- (3) given a metric on V_n , the various connections compatible with the metric, induce canonical associated Itō maps, and \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphisms on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$.

To build the general theory of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds, a source will be the Grothendieck identification of an *n*-dimensional manifold with a sheaf of C^{∞} -functions; here, C^{∞} will be replaced by $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and a diffeomorphism will be a map between two Gaussian spaces that will keep the \mathbb{D}^{∞} property through right-composition and this diffeomorphism will have a canonical "cotangent" linear map.

A generalisation of the notion of metric will be established, which will live on the "cotangent spaces" (and not on the "tangent spaces"). And $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ will be a particular case of this \mathbb{D}^{∞} -structure.

Moreover, for the general \mathbb{D}^{∞} -structure, it is possible to define the notions of curvature and torsion, but they can become infinite. But nevertheless, a variational calculus of the curvature, function of the metric, can be realized.

Among the notable differences between a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic structure on a set, and a C^{∞} -*n*-dimensional manifold, we have:

- (1) in a C^{∞} -*n*-dimensional manifold, vector fields and derivations coincide; such is not the case for a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold.
- (2) on a Riemannian *n*-dimensional manifold, C^{∞} functions can be defined either through C^{∞} -charts reading, or by iteration of the Laplacian; both definitions coincide.

On a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold we can define a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -function either through charts reading or, if there is a metric and probabilistic measure, by iteration

of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator: these two definitions, in general, do not coincide but for $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ there is an inclusion.

More diffeomorphisms give more changes of variables thus more opportunities to compute integrals. In all the following, we suppose that all Cameron-Martin spaces have countable Hilbertian bases, but this is just for simplification for the reader and is not a loss of generality.

1. \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -Stochastic Manifold, $r \in \mathbb{N}_*$

Here we will study the \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -stochastic manifold structure. In this particular case, any map, change of charts, admits a tangent linear map between the respective Cameron-Martin spaces; such a tangent linear map does not exist anymore in the case of the \mathbb{D}^{∞} structure.

Moreover this D_r^{∞} type of structure is not satisfying because it does not include as a particular case the set of the continuous paths in a compact manifold V_n , starting from m_0 (denoted $\mathbb{P}(m_0, V_n)$).

Reminder: 1) all σ -fields are complete,

2) a Gaussian probability space [13] is given by the following elements:

i) $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space,

ii) a closed subspace H of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that all the random variables belonging to H have a centered Gaussian law,

iii) the σ -field generated by these variables is \mathcal{F} .

3) $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a Frechet space, and its distance is denoted by d:

$$d(\varphi,\psi) = d(\varphi-\psi,0) = \sum_{\substack{k \ge 1\\ j \in \{0,\dots,r\}}} \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot 1 \wedge \|\varphi-\psi\|_{\mathbb{D}^k_j(\Omega)}$$

1. 1 Definition and charts exchange maps

DEFINITION 1..1. Let S be a set; a stochastic chart on S is given by a subset of S denoted U, named: domain of the chart, a Gaussian space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ and a bijection b from U onto Ω .

This chart is denoted $(\mathcal{U}, b, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, H)$ or in short: (\mathcal{U}, b, Ω) .

DEFINITION 1..2. Two stochastic maps $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i), i = 1, 2, will$ be said to be \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -compatibles if and only if: i) $b_1 \circ b_2^{-1} = b_{21}$ and $b_2 \circ b_1^{-1} = b_{12}$ are measurable maps between $(b_2(\mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_1), \mathcal{F}_2|_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)})$ and $(b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)})$ ii) b_{12} and b_{21} exchange the \mathbb{P}_i -null sets of $\mathcal{F}_i|_{b_i(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}, i = 1, 2$ $\begin{array}{l} iii) \ \forall A \subset b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), A \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1(A) > 0, \exists A_1 \subset A, A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}, \\ \mathbb{P}_1(A_1) > 0 \ such \ that \ \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2), \ \varphi \circ b_{12}|_{A_1} \ admits \ an \ extension \ map, \\ denoted \ \overline{\varphi \circ b_{12}}|_{A_1} \ and \ \overline{\varphi \circ b_{12}}|_{A_1} \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1) \ and \ conversely, \ the \ same \ extension \\ property \ is \ valid \ for \ B \subset b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), B \in \mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{P}_2(B) > 0, \varphi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1). \ b_{12} \ and \\ b_{21} \ are \ called \ charts \ changes, \ or \ charts \ maps. \end{array}$

DEFINITION 1..3. A \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -stochastic manifold is a set S and a family \mathcal{A} of stochastic charts, which are \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -compatibles, and such that the union of the domains of the charts covers S. It is denoted: $(S, (\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I})$. $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ being the family of charts.

Such a family is called an \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -atlas of \mathcal{S} .

DEFINITION 1..4. Let $(\mathcal{S}, (\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I})$ a \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -stochastic manifold, and $(A, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space with $A \subset \mathcal{S}$. The atlas $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ will be said to cover A if and only if: $\forall B \subset A, \exists i \in I$ and $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $B_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_i \cap B$ and $\mathbb{P}(B_1) > 0$.

LEMMA 1..1. With the notations of definition 1.4, there exist a countable family of charts from the atlas $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$, $(\mathcal{U}_j, b_j, \Omega_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ such that:

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*} \mathcal{U}_j.$$

The notions of a compatible chart to an atlas, or of equivalent atlases, will be given in the case of the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold.

1. 2 Existence of a tangent linear maps

Morphisms associated to chart changes

Let S be a set, and $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)$, i = 1, 2 two charts on S, \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -compatibles. We denote again by \mathbb{P}_1 and \mathbb{P}_2 the probability measures restricted to $\mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1\cap\mathcal{U}_2)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2|_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1\cap\mathcal{U}_2)}$. Let $B \in \mathcal{F}_2|_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1\cap\mathcal{U}_2)}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(B_2 > 0)$, and denote $\hat{B} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)/\beta|_B = 0\}$ and $\stackrel{B}{\sim}$ the equivalence relation: $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$: $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)|_B = 0$, denoted: $\varphi_1 \stackrel{B}{\sim} \varphi_2$. $[\varphi]_B$ will be the class of φ , according to $\stackrel{B}{\sim}$; then $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)/_{\stackrel{B}{\sim}}$ is a Frechet space , the distance d_B being built with the semi-norms:

$$\|[\varphi]_B\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} = \inf_{\beta \in \hat{B}} \|\varphi + \beta\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega_2)}$$

This definition of $\|[\varphi]_B\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r}$ is legitimate.

In a same way, denoting $A = b_{12}^{-1}(B)$, $\mathbb{P}_1(A) > 0$, one can define $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1)/_{\sim}^A$, which is also a Frechet space.

Let F_B the map: $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)/{}_{\overset{B}{\sim}} \to \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1)/{}_{\overset{A}{\sim}}$, define by: $[\varphi]_B \to [\varphi \circ b_{12}|_A]_A$. This definition of F_B is legitimate with regard to the equivalence $\overset{B}{\sim}$.

Conversely, we can define

$$F_A: \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1)/_{A} \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2)/_{B}$$

by: $F_A([\varphi]_A) \to [\widetilde{\varphi_A \circ b_{21}|_B}]_B.$

Lemma 1..2.

i) F_B and F_A are continuous ii)

$$(b_{12})_*\mathbb{P}_1 \ll \mathbb{P}_2$$
 on $(b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), \mathcal{F}_2|_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)})$

and

$$(b_{21})_* \mathbb{P}_2 \ll \mathbb{P}_1$$
 on $(b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)})$

iii) If λ and μ are the densities: $(b_{12})_*\mathbb{P}_1/\mathbb{P}_2$ and $(b_{21})_*\mathbb{P}_2/\mathbb{P}_1$, one as: $\lambda \times (\mu \circ b_{21}) > 0, \mathbb{P}_2$ -a.s and $\mu \times (\lambda \circ b_{12}) > 0, \mathbb{P}_1$ -a.s.

Proof: i) Let $\varphi_n \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$ such that $d_B([\varphi_n]_B, 0) \to 0$ and $d_A([\varphi_n \circ b_{21}|_A]_A, [\psi]_A) \to 0$. This implies:

$$\inf_{\beta \in \hat{B}} \|\varphi_n + \beta\|_{L^1(\Omega_2)} \to 0$$

and:

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \hat{A}} \| \widetilde{\varphi_n} \circ \widetilde{b_{21}} |_A + \alpha - \psi \|_{L^1(\Omega_1)} \to 0.$$

Then, by sequences extractions, we get $\psi|_A = 0, \mathbb{P}_1$ -a.s. ii) If $Z \in \mathcal{F}_2|_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$ such that: $\mathbb{P}_2(Z) = 0 \Rightarrow b_{12}^{-1}(Z) \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_1(b_{12}^{-1}(Z)) = 0$; Definition 1.2, ii, implies $(b_{12})_*\mathbb{P}_1 \ll \mathbb{P}_2$. iii) On $b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$ and $b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$:

$$b_{12} \circ b_{21} = \mathrm{Id}_{b_2(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$$
 and $b_{21} \circ b_{12} = \mathrm{Id}_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$

Tangent linear map to a chart change

The notations are the same as in the beginning of this chapter.

THEOREM 1..1. Given two \mathbb{D}_r^{∞} -compatible charts, $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)$, i = 1, 2, there exists \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s on $b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$, a bounded linear map, denoted $T_{12}(\omega)$, with \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s: $T_{12}(\omega) \in \mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$, which verifies: $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$, $\exists A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}, A_1 \subset A, \text{ and } \mathbb{P}_1(A_1) > 0 \text{ such that } \forall u \in H_1, \forall v \in H_2, \mathbb{P}_1\text{-}a.s \text{ on } A_1:$

$$< T_{12}(\omega)u, v >_{H_2} \circ b_{12} = < u, \text{grad } [\widetilde{W(v) \circ b_{12}}|_{A_1}] >_{H_1}$$
(1)

Formula (1) dose not have any ambiguity: if there is $B \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$ with $\mathbb{P}_1(A_1 \cap B) > 0$ such that (1) is verified with B instead of A_1 , then: $(W(v) \circ b_{12}|_{A_1})|_B = W(v) \circ b_{12}|_{A_1 \cap B}$ which implies:

$$\operatorname{grad} [\overline{W(v)} \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_{A_1}]|_{A_1 \cap B} = \operatorname{grad} [\overline{W(v)} \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_B]|_{A_1 \cap B}$$

For the demonstration of Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1..3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ a Gaussian space, $u \in H$

$$I_a = [a, +\infty[\subset \mathbb{R} \text{ and } A = \{\omega \in \Omega/W(u)(\omega) \in I_a\}$$

Then these exist sequences of functions $\varphi_n, \psi_n \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that:

$$\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \|\psi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \varphi_n \cdot \psi_n = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n} \varphi_n = \mathbb{1}_A, \lim_{n} \psi_n = \mathbb{1}_{CA}$$

Proof: obvious.

LEMMA 1..4. The set of $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that there exist sequences of functions $\varphi_n \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\psi_n \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \|\psi_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \varphi_n \times \psi_n = 0$ and $\lim_n \varphi_n = \mathbb{1}_A, \lim_n \psi_n = \mathbb{1}_{CA}$, is a σ -field, equals to \mathcal{F} .

Proof: We use the monotone class theorem; let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the set of $A \in \mathcal{F}$ verifying the above properties. Then: - ϕ and $\Omega \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ - $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is stable by complementation - $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is stable for finite intersections: $A_1, A_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. If $\varphi_n^{(1)} \to \mathbb{1}_{A_1}, \varphi_n^{(2)} \to \mathbb{1}_{A_2}, \psi_n^{(1)} \to \mathbb{1}_{CA_1}, \psi_n^{(2)} \to \mathbb{1}_{CA_2}$ with the above properties: $\theta_n = \varphi_n^{(1)} \psi_n^{(2)} + \varphi_n^{(2)} \psi_n^{(1)} + \psi_n^{(1)} \psi_n^{(2)} \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$ (\mathbb{D}^∞ algebra) $\theta_n \to \mathbb{1}_{C(A_1 \cap A_2)}, \quad \varphi_n^{(1)} \varphi_n^{(2)} \to \mathbb{1}_{A_1 \cap A_2}$ and $\|\theta_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ (check on the supports).

What is left to show is the stability of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ for increasing sequences of items in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \ldots \subset A_k \subset \ldots$ an increasing sequence of items in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$; $A = \bigcup_k A_k$. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist sequences of functions $\varphi_n^{(k)}$ and $\psi_n^{(k)}$ with the related properties because $A_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Then with the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\varphi_n^{(k)} \xrightarrow{L^p} \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \text{ and } \psi_n^{(k)} \xrightarrow{L^p} \mathbb{1}_{CA_k} \quad (p \ge 1)$$

Then by extracting diagonal sequences, we get a sequence $\tilde{\varphi}_m \xrightarrow{L^p} \mathbb{1}_A$ and a sequence $\tilde{\psi}_m \xrightarrow{L^p} \mathbb{1}_{CA}$. Then we extract from $(\tilde{\varphi}_m)_m$ and $(\tilde{\psi}_m)_m$ two sequences which converges \mathbb{P} -a.s towards $\mathbb{1}_A$ and $\mathbb{1}_{CA}$.

LEMMA 1..5. Let Z_1, \ldots, Z_k a finite partition of Ω , $Z_l \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there exists, $\forall l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, a sequence of functions $\varphi_n^{(l)}$ such that:

$$\varphi_n^{(l)} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \|\varphi_n^{(l)}\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1, \forall l \neq l' : \varphi_n^{(l)} \cdot \varphi_n^{(l')} = 1, \lim_n \varphi_n^{(l)} = \mathbb{1}_{Z_l}$$

Proof: $\forall l$: there exist $\varphi_n^{(l)}$ and $\psi_n^{(l)}$ as in Lemma 1.2. $u_n^{(l)} = \varphi_n^{(l)} \cdot \prod_{j \neq l}^k \psi_n^{(j)}$ satisfies the Lemma 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$, and $\mathbb{P}_1(A) > 0$. There exists $A_1 \subset A, A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_1(A_1) > 0$ such that: $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2), \varphi \circ b_{12}|_{A_1}$ admits an extension; $\varphi \circ b_{12}|_{A_1} \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$. Let $B_1 = b_{12}(A_1)$ and F_{B_1} the continuous morphism:

$$\mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{r}(\Omega_{2})/_{B_{1}} \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{r}(\Omega_{1})/_{A_{1}}$$

Then: $\forall p > 1 \quad \exists q > 1 \text{ and: } \exists C(p,q) > 0 \text{ such that: } \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$:

$$\|[\varphi \circ b_{12}]_{A_1}\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega_1)/_{A_1}} \leqslant C(p,q)\|[\varphi]_{B_1}\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_r(\Omega_2)/_{B_1}}$$
(2)

Remind: $\hat{A}_1 = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1) / \alpha |_{A_1} = 0 \}.$ (2) implies:

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \hat{A}_1} \| \varphi \circ \widetilde{b_{12}}|_{A_1} + \alpha \|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega_1)} \leqslant C(p,q) \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_r(\Omega_2)}$$
(2')

Now $i = \sqrt{-1}$ as usual. Let $\varphi^{(l)} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2), l = 1, \dots, k$ and denote

$$\varphi = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \varphi^{(l)} \times \frac{e^{imW(e_l)}}{m^r},$$

 $(e_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ being a base of H_2 ; then (2') becomes:

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \hat{A}_{1}} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\widetilde{\varphi^{(l)} \circ b_{12}|_{A_{1}}} \cdot e^{imW(e_{l})\circ b_{12}|_{A_{1}}}}{m^{r}} + \frac{\alpha}{m^{r}} \right\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega_{1})} \\
\leqslant C(p,q) \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{k} \varphi^{(l)} \frac{e^{imW(e_{l})}}{m^{r}} \right\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{q}(\Omega_{2})}$$
(3)

After having computed all derivations in (3), and letting $m \to \infty$, we get:

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{k} \widetilde{\varphi^{(l)} \circ b_{12}|_{A_1}} (\overset{r}{\otimes} \operatorname{grad} \left[\widetilde{W(e_l) \circ b_{12}|_{A_1}}\right])\right\|_{L^p(\Omega_1,\overset{r}{\otimes}H_1)}$$

$$\leq C(p,q) \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{k} \varphi^{(l)}(\omega) (\stackrel{r}{\otimes} e_l) \right\|_{L^q(\Omega_2, \stackrel{r}{\otimes} H_2)}$$
(4)

Let Z_1, \ldots, Z_k be a partition of $B_1 = b_{12}(A_1)$; then $b_{12}^{-1}(Z_1), \ldots, b_{12}^{-1}(Z_k)$ is a partition of $A_1 = b_{12}^{-1}(B_1)$. We choose for $\varphi_n^{(l)}$ is sequence of functions converging punctually towards $\mathbb{1}_{Z_l}$ as in Lemma 1.3: then: (4) becomes

$$\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{Z_{l}} \circ b_{12}(\cdot) \overset{r}{\otimes} \operatorname{grad}\left[\widetilde{W(e_{l}) \circ b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}\right]\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega_{1}, \overset{r}{\otimes} H_{1})}$$

$$\leq C(p, q)\left\|\sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{Z_{l}}(\omega)(\overset{r}{\otimes} e_{l})\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega_{2}, \overset{r}{\otimes} H_{2})} \leq C(p, q)$$
(5)

As $Z_l, l = 1, \dots, k$ is a partition of $B_1 = b_{12}(A_1)$ (5) becomes:

$$\int \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{Z_{l}} \circ b_{12} \cdot \|\text{grad } [\widetilde{W(e_{l}) \circ b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}]\|_{H_{1}}^{pr} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \leqslant C(p,q)^{p}$$
(6)

As partition of $B_1 = b_{12}(A_1)$, we choose:

$$Z_{l} = b_{12} \{ \omega \in \Omega_{1} / \forall j < l : \| \text{grad} [W(e_{l}) \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}] \|_{H_{1}} > \| \text{grad} [W(e_{j}) \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}] \|_{H_{1}}$$

and $\forall j < l : \| \text{grad} [W(e_{l}) \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}] \|_{H_{1}} \ge \| \text{grad} [W(e_{j}) \circ \overline{b_{12}}|_{A_{1}}] \|_{H_{1}} \}$

Then (6) becomes:

$$\left\| \sup_{l \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \| \operatorname{grad} \left[\widetilde{W(e_l) \circ b_{12}} |_{A_1} \right] \|_{H_1}^r \right\|_{L^p(A_1)} \leqslant C(p, q) \tag{7}$$

As (7) is valid for each subset of the Hilbertian basis of H, $(e_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, we deduce that the map:

 $H_1 \ni e_l \to \|\operatorname{grad} [\widetilde{W(e_l) \circ b_{12}}|_{A_1}]\|_{H_1}$

is L^p -bounded, \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s on A_1 , uniformly relatively to $l \in \mathbb{N}_*$. So the linear map T_{A_1} defined by: $\forall u \in H_1, v \in H_2$:

$$< T_{A_1}(\omega)u, v >_{H_2} \circ b_{12} = < u, \text{grad } [\widetilde{W(v) \circ b_{12}}|_{A_1}] >_{H_1},$$

is a bounded linear map from H_1 in H_2 , \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s on A_1 . We can, by exhaustion, find a countable sequence of subsets of $b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$, denoted $A_i, i \in \mathbb{N}_*$ with $\forall i : A_i \in \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)}, \mathbb{P}_1(A_i) > 0$, such that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*} A_i = b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$.

On such each A_i , there exists \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s a linear bounded operator denoted T_{A_i} such that: $\forall u \in H_1, \forall v \in H_2$:

$$< T_{A_i}(u), v >_{H_2} = < u, \text{grad } [W(v) \circ b_{12}|_{A_i}] >_{H_1} \circ b_{21}$$

This last equation shows that on $A_i \cap A_j, T_{A_i} = T_{A_j}, \mathbb{P}_1$ -a.s; so there exists a linear bounded operator from H_1 in H_2 , such that: \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s on $b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)$: $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$ and $\forall u \in H_1$, denoted T_{12} :

$$< T_{12}(\omega) \cdot u, \operatorname{grad} \varphi >_{H_2} = < u, \operatorname{grad} [\varphi \circ b_{12}]_{A_1}] >_{H_1} \circ b_{21}$$

It is easy to show the transitivity of these T_{ij} : if we have three compatible charts $(\mathcal{U}_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i), i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $\mathbb{P}_i[b_i(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_3)] > 0$, then $T_{ij} \circ T_{jk} = T_{ik}$, a.s. on $b_i(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}_3)$.

Last, this bounded linear map T_{12} , is measurable from $(b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2), \mathcal{F}_1|_{b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2)})$ in $\mathcal{L}(H_1, H_2)$ and is $L^{\infty - 0}(b_1(\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2))$: the constant C(p, q) in (7) might be dependent of A_1 .

2. Preliminaries

 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ being a Gaussian space: Here we state some definitions of mathematical tools that will be needed, and some of their properties, and prove:

- i) some theorems about the existence of unique continuous linear extensions of continuous linear operators from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$
- ii) $\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty-0}(\Omega) = \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$
- iii) Any continuous derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a strong limit of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields, and some properties of these continuous derivations.

Whenever no particular setting is specified, it is assumed that we deal with a Gaussian space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$.

The theorems proved here will be needed for the development, but the reader can also go directly to Section 3 and use the results of this section, which will be referred to when they appear.

On $\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega)$, the two following norms are equivalent:

$$f \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}}^{(1)} = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{r} \int \|\operatorname{grad}^{j} f\|_{\overset{j}{\otimes} H}^{p} \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}}^{(2)} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \left(\int \|\operatorname{grad}^{j} f\|_{\overset{j}{\otimes} H}^{p} \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ (Malliavin)

We have: $||f||_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega)}^{(1)} \le ||f||_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega)}^{(2)} \le r^{1-\frac{1}{p}} ||f||_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega)}^{(1)}$

NOTATION. If $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)$ are two Gaussian spaces (i = 1, 2), we denote by $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$:

$$K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j(\omega_1) \beta_j(\omega_2) | J \text{ finite }, \alpha_j(\omega_1) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1), \beta_j(\omega_2) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2) \right\}$$

Then $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -dense subset of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$.

2. 1 Some extensions of continuous linear maps

DEFINITION 2..1. i) A subset $D \subset \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ will be said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded iff: $\forall (p,r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a \text{ constant } C(p,r) \text{ such that:}$

$$\sup_{f \in D} \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p, r)$$

ii) a process $\varphi(t, \omega) : [0, 1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ will be said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded iff: $\forall (p, r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}, \exists a \text{ constant } C(p, r) \text{ such that:}$

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega)} \le C(p,r)$$

THEOREM 2..1. i) Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1)$ be two probability spaces, and T a continuous linear operator from $L^q(\Omega, H_1)$ in $L^p(\Omega, H_2)$ with $q \ge p$, H_1 and H_2 being two abstract Hilbert spaces.

Denote \tilde{T} the linear operator defined on:

$$K_{q,p}(\Omega \times \Omega_1) = \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j(\omega) \beta_j(\omega_1) | J \text{ finite, } \alpha_j \in L^q(\Omega, H_1), \beta_j \in L^q(\Omega_1, \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

by $\tilde{T}\left(\sum_{j\in J} \alpha_j(.)\beta_j(.)\right) = \sum_{j\in J} \beta_j(\omega_2)(T\alpha_j)(\omega_1).$

Then there is a unique linear continuous extension of \tilde{T} , denoted \tilde{T} , from $L^q(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_1)$ to $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_2)$.

ii) If T_k is a sequence of continuous linear operators from $L^q(\Omega, H_1)$ to $L^p(\Omega, H_2)$, k-uniformly continuous, then the sequence \tilde{T}^k is k-uniformly continuous from $L^q(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_1)$ to $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_2)$.

Proof. i) Let $\omega \in \Omega$, $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \tilde{T}\left(\sum_{j\in J} \alpha_j(.)\beta_j(.)\right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega\times\Omega_1,H_2)}^p &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left\| T\left(\sum_{j\in J} \alpha_j(.)\beta_j(\omega_1)\right) \right\|_{H_2}^p \\ &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) \left\| T\left(\sum_{j\in J} \alpha_j(.)\beta_j(\omega_1)\right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega,H_2)}^p \end{split}$$

$$\leq \|T\|^{p} \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega_{1}) \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{j}(.)\beta_{j}(\omega_{1}) \right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega,H_{1})}^{p}$$

$$= \|T\|^{p} \left\| \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{j}(.)\beta_{j}(\omega_{1}) \right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega,H_{1})}^{p} \right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega_{1},\mathbb{R})}$$

$$\leq \|T\|^{p} \left\| \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{j}(.)\beta_{j}(\omega_{1}) \right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega,H_{1})}^{p} \right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega_{1},\mathbb{R})} \text{ (by Hölder inequality)}$$

$$= \|T\|^{p} \left\| \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_{j}(.)\beta_{j}(.) \right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega \times \Omega_{1},H_{1})}^{p}$$
whove.

ii) immediate from above.

COROLLARY 2..1. If T is a continuous linear operator of $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_1)$ in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_2)$, then \tilde{T} is a continuous linear operator from $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_1)$ to $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_2)$.

THEOREM 2..2. Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)_{i=1,2}$ be two Gaussian spaces and H_3 and H_4 two abstract Hilbert spaces.

- i) If T is a linear continuous operator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_3)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_4)$, there exists a unique linear extension \tilde{T} of T, from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H_3)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H_4)$.
- ii) If T_k is a k-uniformly convergent sequence from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_3)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_4)$, then the sequence \tilde{T}_k is k-uniformly convergent from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H_3)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H_4)$.
- *Proof.* i) to simplify, we suppose $H_3 = H_4 = \mathbb{R}$. Let L_1 and L_2 be the O.U. operators on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$ and $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$, and L the O.U. operator on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. \tilde{L}_1 is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$: if $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$ and φ in $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, we have $\tilde{L}_1 + \tilde{L}_2 = L$, so

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{L}_1\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} &= \|(1-L)^{r/2}\tilde{L}_1\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} \\ &= \|\tilde{L}_1(1-L)^{r/2}\varphi\|_{L^p(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} \\ &\leq C\|(1-L)^{r/2}\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} \\ &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_r(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} \end{split}$$

C being a constant, q > 1.

Therefore, \tilde{L}_2 is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ -continuous.

We will prove by induction that \tilde{T} is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ -continuous. Corollary 2..1 shows that \tilde{T} is continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.

We suppose that \tilde{T} is continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}_r(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. On $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, we have $\tilde{L}_2 \circ \tilde{T} = \tilde{T} \circ \tilde{L}_2$.

So using the induction hypothesis: $\exists (q,s), q > 1, s \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$ and a constant C_1 such that $\forall \varphi \in K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$:

$$\|(\tilde{L}_2 \circ \tilde{T})\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} = \|(\tilde{T} \circ \tilde{L}_2)\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C_1 \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s}$$

Again with the induction hypothesis on r, there exists $(q', s'), q > 1, s' \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$ and a constant C_2 such that:

$$\|((1-\tilde{L}_1)\circ\tilde{T})\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C_2 \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^{q'_r}}$$

Then: $\|((1-L)\circ \tilde{T})\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \leq (C_1 \vee C_2) \|\varphi\|_{s \vee s'}^{q \vee q'}$

So $(1-L) \circ \tilde{T}$ is continuous from $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in $\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. So \tilde{T} is continuous from $K^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $\mathbb{D}_{r+2}^p(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ and then from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.

THEOREM 2..3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space, \tilde{H} an abstract Hilbert space, $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ an Hilbertian basis of \tilde{H} , and T a linear continuous operator from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $L^q(\Omega)$.

Denote \tilde{T} the linear operator defined by the serie: if $X(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) e_i, X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \tilde{H}), f_i(\omega) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ then $\tilde{T}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(\omega) e_i.$

This definition of \tilde{T} is meaningful, and \tilde{T} is a continuous linear operator from $L^p(\Omega, \tilde{H})$ to $L^q(\Omega, \tilde{H})$.

Proof. Let $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1, H_1)$ be a Gaussian space, independent of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$, and $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ an Hilbertian basis of H_1 .

Denote $Y_j = W(e_j)$; then the random variable $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)$ is correctly defined because its $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1)$ norm is equivalent to the $L^p(\Omega, \tilde{H})$ norm of X:

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1)}^p = \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) |\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)|^p$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)$ is a Gaussian variable with law $N(0, \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(\omega)|^2})$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Similarly, we have: $\|\tilde{T}(X)\|_{L^q(\Omega,\tilde{H})} \sim \|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(\omega)Y_i(\omega_1)\|_{L^q(\Omega \times \Omega_1)}$.

To prove that \tilde{T} is continuous, it is enough to show the inequality; C being a constant:

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(.)Y_i(.)\|_{L^q(\Omega \times \Omega_1)} \le C \|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(.)Y_i(.)\|_{L^q(\Omega \times \Omega_1)}$$

As $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1) \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1)$ we have $\mathbb{P}(d\omega_1)$ -a.s.: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1) \in L^p(\Omega).$

There are two cases to study:

a) $1 < q \leq p < +\infty$; T being continuous from $L^p(\Omega)$ in $L^q(\Omega)$, there exists a constant C_0 such that $\mathbb{P}(d\omega_1)$ -a.s.:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1) \right|^q \le C_0 \left[\int \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1) \right|^p \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right]^{q/p}$$

which implies the following inequalities:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \otimes \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) |\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)|^q \leq C_0 \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) \left[\int |\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)|^p \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right]^{q/p} \\ \leq C_0 \left[\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \otimes \mathbb{P}(d\omega_1) |\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(\omega) Y_i(\omega_1)|^p \right]^{q/p}$$
(Hölder)

b) 1 Let <math>r be such that $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}$ and $g \in L^r(\Omega)$. We define $T_g f = g.Tf$. Then $T_g : L^p(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$, and $||T_g||_{L^p} \leq ||T||.||g||_{L^r}$. We apply case a) above to T_g , with $C_1 = ||T||$:

$$\|\tilde{T}_{g}(X)\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\tilde{H})} \leq C_{1}\|g\|_{L^{r}}\|X\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$$

which implies:

$$||g.\tilde{T}(X)||_{L^{p}(\Omega,\tilde{H})} \le C_{1}||g||_{L^{r}}||X||_{L^{p}(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$$

so:

$$\left\|g.\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Tf_i)(.)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C_1 \|g\|_{L^r} \|X\|_{L^p(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$$
(1)

And (1) is valid for all $g \in L^r(\Omega)$.

Let p' and q' be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$; $\forall h \in L^{q'}, h^{q'/r} \in L^{r}(\Omega)$, and $\|h^{q'/r}\|_{L^{r}} = \|h\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/r}$. With (1) we have:

$$\left\| h^{q'/r} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Tf_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \le C_1 \|h\|_{L^{q'}}^{q'/r} . \|X\|_{L^p(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$$

which implies:

$$\left\| h^{(q'/r+q'/p')} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Tf_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^1} \le C_1 \|h\|_{L^{q'}}^{(q'/r+q'/p')} \|X\|_{L^p(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$$

But $\frac{q'}{r} + \frac{q'}{p'} = q'(1 - \frac{1}{q}) = 1$. So:
 $\|h\|\tilde{T}X\|_H\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le C_1 \|h\|_{L^{q'}} \|X\|_{L^p(\Omega,\tilde{H})}$

which implies that \tilde{T} is continuous from $L^p(\Omega, \tilde{H})$ to $L^q(\Omega, \tilde{H})$.

COROLLARY 2..2. Let T be a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}_s^q(\Omega)$, and \tilde{H} be an abstract Hilbert space. Then \tilde{T} is a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega, \tilde{H})$ to $\mathbb{D}_s^q(\Omega, \tilde{H})$.

Proof. We denote by T' the continuous linear operator, with which the following diagram is commutative:

Then we denote by $(Id - L)_{\tilde{H}}$ the O.U. operator on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \tilde{H})$. Then we use Theorem 2.3 to define $\tilde{T} : L^p(\Omega, \tilde{H}) \to L^q(\Omega, \tilde{H})$ and

 $\tilde{T} = (Id - L)_{\tilde{H}}^{-s/2} \circ \tilde{T}' \circ (Id - L)_{\tilde{H}}^{r/2}.$

Now another extension theorem: H_1, H_2, H' being three abstract Hilbert spaces and $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ an Hilbertian basis of H'. Let T be a continuous linear operator from $L^p(\Omega, H_1)$ in $L^q(\Omega, H_2)$. On the subset of $L^p(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')$, with J finite, $J \subset \mathbb{N}_{\star}$ defined by $\{\sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j / X_j \in L^p(\Omega, H_1)\}$, we define an operator \tilde{T} by: $\tilde{T}(\sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j) = \sum_{j \in J} TX_j \otimes e_j$; and we have:

THEOREM 2..4. If $p \ge q$, there exists an unique extension of \tilde{T} , which is continuous linear, from $L^p(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')$ in $L^q(\Omega, H_2 \otimes H')$.

Proof. We will first prove that :

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')} \simeq \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in J} W(e_j) X_j \right) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_1)}$$

 $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P}_1, H')$ being a Gaussian space, independent of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H_1)$, but with its chaos $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega_1)$ being generated by the $(W(e_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$.

Denote $U = \sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j$. Then with $\omega \in \Omega, \omega_1 \in \Omega_1$:

$$\|U\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,H_{1}\otimes H')} = \left[\int \left(\sum_{j\in J} \|X_{j}\|_{H_{1}}^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\| \left\| \sum_{j\in J} W(e_{j})(\omega_{1})X_{j}(\omega) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{1},H_{1})} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$$

Let ω be fixed and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{P}_2, H_1)$ be another Gaussian space, independent of the first two others, and whose chaos $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega_2)$ includes the

 $W[X_j(\omega)](\omega_2), j \in J.$ Then:

$$\|U\|_{L^p(\Omega,H_1\otimes H')} = \left\| \left\| \sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)(\omega_1)W[X_j(\omega)](\omega_2) \right\|_{L^p(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2)} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

 ω being fixed, $\sum_{j \in J} W(e_j)(\omega_1) W[X_j(\omega)](\omega_2)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. All L^p norms being equivalent on this chaos $\mathcal{C}_2(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, we get:

$$\begin{split} \|U\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,H_{1}\otimes H')} &\simeq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j\in J} W(e_{j})(\omega_{1})W[X_{j}(\omega)](\omega_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{1}\times\Omega_{2})} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\{ \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\otimes\mathbb{P}(d\omega_{1})\otimes\mathbb{P}(d\omega_{2})|\sum_{j\in J}W(e_{j})(\omega_{1})W[X_{j}(\omega)](\omega_{2})|^{p} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{split}$$

Now we fix ω and ω_1 :

 $\sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)(\omega_1) W[X_j(\omega)](\omega_2)$ is a Gaussian $\in \mathcal{C}_1(\Omega_2)$; as all L^p norms are equivalent on $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega_2)$,

we have:

$$\|U\|_{L^{p}(\Omega,H_{1}\otimes H')} \simeq \left\{ \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \otimes \mathbb{P}(d\omega_{1})| \sum_{i,j\in J} W(e_{i})(\omega_{1})W(e_{j})(\omega_{1})\langle X_{i},X_{j}\rangle_{H_{1}}(\omega)|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{j\in J} W(e_{j})(\omega_{1})X_{j}(\omega) \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega\times\Omega_{1},H_{1})}$$
(2)

A similar computation proves that:

$$\left\|\tilde{T}\left(\sum_{j\in J} X_j \otimes e_j\right)\right\|_{L^q(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')} \simeq \left\|\sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)(\omega_1)(TX_j)(\omega)\right\|_{L^q(\Omega \otimes \Omega_1, H_1)}$$
(3)

Now we consider the operator S defined on the subset of $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega_1, H_1)$: $\{\sum_{j \in J} W(e_j) X_j | J \text{ finite } \subset \mathbb{N}_{\star}\}, \text{ by:}$

$$S\left(\sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)X_j\right) = \sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)(\omega_1).(TX_j)(\omega)$$

The same proof as in Theorem 2..1.i shows that there exists a constant C > 0 such that:

$$\left\| S\left(\sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)X_j\right) \right\|_{L^q(\Omega\otimes\Omega_1, H_2)} \le C \left\| \sum_{j\in J} W(e_j)X_j \right\|_{L^p(\Omega\otimes\Omega_1, H_1)}$$
(4)
So (2), (3), (4) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{T} \left(\sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j \right) \right\|_{L^q(\Omega, H_2 \otimes H')} &= \left\| \sum_{j \in J} T X_j \otimes e_j \right\|_{L^q(\Omega, H_2 \otimes H')} \\ &\simeq \left\| \sum_{j \in J} W(e_j) T X_j \right\|_{L^q(\Omega \otimes \Omega_1, H_2)} &= \left\| S \left(\sum_{j \in J} W(e_j) X_j \right) \right\|_{L^q(\Omega \otimes \Omega_1, H_2)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \sum_{j \in J} W(e_j) X_j \right\|_{L^p(\Omega \otimes \Omega_1, H_2)} &\simeq C \left\| \sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes e_j \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{T}\left(\sum_{j\in J} X_j \otimes Y_j\right)$ can be defined, using the decomposition of Y_j on the basis $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ of H'.

Finally, we have an extension of \tilde{T} , continuous linear operator from $L^p(\Omega, H \otimes H')$ to $L^q(\Omega, H \otimes H')$.

This extension, denoted again \tilde{T} , does not depend on the Hilbertian basis of H': if B and B' are two Hilbertian bases of H', we have with obvious notations:

$$\tilde{T}_{(B)}(X_j \otimes Y_j) = \sum_{j \in J} TX_j \otimes Y_j = \tilde{T}_{(B')}\left(\sum_{j \in J} X_j \otimes Y_j\right)$$

COROLLARY 2..3. In the same setting as in Theorem 2..4, if T is a continuous linear operator from $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_1)$ in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_2)$, then \tilde{T} is continuous linear from $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')$ in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H_2 \otimes H')$

COROLLARY 2..4. In the same setting as in Theorem 2..4, if T is a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H_1)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H_2)$, then \tilde{T} is continuous linear from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H_1 \otimes H')$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H_2 \otimes H')$.

Proof. same proof as in Corollary 2..2.

2. 2 $\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^{\infty-0} = \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$

Theorem 2..5.

$$\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^{\infty - 0} = \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$$

Proof. $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^{\infty-0}$. For the reverse inclusion, we will need the Phragmen-Lindelof method [16].

 $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} z \ge 0$ and $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^{\infty - 0}$, we define $(Id - L)^{-z}f$ by:

 f_n being the component of f in the chaos C_n : $(Id-L)^{-z}f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+n)^z}f_n$. This definition is meaningful and coincides with the classic definition when Re z > 0:

$$(Id - L)^{-z}f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} P_t f dt$$

If $r > 0, 1 > \epsilon > 0$ fixed, we denote by $g : g = (1 - L)^r f$, then: $\forall t \ge 0 : (1 - L)^{-(r+\epsilon+it)}g \in L^{\infty-0}$, because $\forall \psi \in L^{q_0}, q_0 > 1$, and $\|\psi\|_{L^{q_0}} \le 1$, we have:

$$\left| \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\psi.(Id-L)^{-(r+\epsilon+it)}g \right| = \left| \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\psi.(Id-L)^{-(\epsilon+it)}f \right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} \left| \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\psi \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s}s^{\epsilon+it-1}(P_{s}f)ds \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-s}s^{\epsilon-1} \left| \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\psi(P_{s}f) \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} ||f||_{L^{p_{0}}} ||\psi||_{L^{q_{0}}} \text{ with } \frac{1}{p_{0}} + \frac{1}{q_{0}} = 1$$
$$\leq \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} ||f||_{L^{q_{0}}}$$
(5)

Then (5) implies:

$$\|(Id - L)^{-(r+\epsilon+it)}g\|_{L^{p_0}} \le \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}}$$
(6)

And from [3, p. 213], $|\Gamma(\epsilon + it)|$ is asymptotically equivalent to $t^{m+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t}$ when $t \uparrow \infty$, *m* being the largest integer $< \epsilon$; if $\epsilon < 1$, m = 0.

Let $1 < q_0 < 2$, $1 < q_0 < q' < 2$ and $\varphi \in L^{\infty - 0}$. We denote, with $i = \sqrt{-1}$:

$$\theta(z) = (iz)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\pi\frac{z}{2}} \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) (Id - L)^{-z} g \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \varphi \cdot |\varphi|^{\frac{q'}{2} + \frac{z}{r+\epsilon}q'(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{2})}$$

with: $z \in \Delta = \left\{ z / 0 \le \operatorname{Re} z \le r + \epsilon, \operatorname{Im} z > 0 \right\}$

Then $|\theta(z)|$ is bounded on Δ and is continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$, because:

$$|\theta(z)| \le \sqrt{|z|} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(\operatorname{Im} z)} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{|\Gamma(\epsilon + it)|} \|f\|_{L_2} \|\varphi^{\frac{q'}{q_0}}\|_{L_2}$$

Computing $|\theta(0+it)|$ and $|\theta(r+\epsilon+it)|$, we get, C being a constant:

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta(it)| &\leq t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \| (Id-L)^{r-it} f \|_{L^2} \| \varphi^{\frac{q'}{2}} \|_{L^2} &\leq C \| f \|_{\mathbb{D}^2_{2r}} \| \varphi \|_{L^q}^{\frac{q'}{2}} \\ |\theta(r+\epsilon+it)| &\leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} \| (Id-L)^{-(r+\epsilon+it)} g \|_{L^{p_0}} \| \varphi^{\frac{q'}{q_0}} \|_{L^{q_0}} \end{aligned}$$

Using (6), we get:

$$|\theta(r+\epsilon+it)| \le C \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t}}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} \Gamma(\epsilon) \|f\|_{L^{p_0}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{q'}}^{\frac{q'}{q_0}}$$

We choose $\varphi \in L^{\infty-0}$ such that $\|\varphi\|_{L^{q'}} \leq 1$. Now, when $t \uparrow \infty$, $\frac{\Gamma(\epsilon)}{|\Gamma(\epsilon+it)|} \sim e^{\frac{\pi}{2}t}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(0 < \epsilon < 1)$, so we have:

$$\max(|\theta(it)|, |\theta(r+\epsilon+it)|) \le C \max(||f||_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{2r}}, ||f||_{L^{p_{0}}})$$
(7)

Then the Phragmen-Lindelof method tells us that $|\theta(z)|$ is bounded on $\overline{\Delta}$ by the r.h.s. of (7), for all $\varphi \in L^{\infty-0}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{L^{q'}} \leq 1$.

Then: $\forall 1 < q' < 2, \exists a \in]0, r[$ such that: $\frac{r-a}{r+\epsilon} \cdot q' \cdot \left(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{q'}{2} = 1$: $a = r - (r+\epsilon) \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and we have $a \in]0, r[$ if $\epsilon < r\left(\frac{1}{q_0} - \frac{1}{q'}\right) + \frac{q'}{2} = 1$: Then $\theta(r-a) = \sqrt{i(r-a)}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(r-a)} \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\varphi(Id-L)^{-(r-a)}g$, so $|\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\varphi(Id-L)^{-(r-a)}g| \leq C$ which implies: $(Id-L)^{-(r-a)}g \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Finally: $\forall a \in]0, r[$ and $\forall p' > 2$: $(Id-L)^a f \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ then $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. \Box

PROPOSITION 2..1. The O.U. operator commutes with the conditional expectation.

Proof. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space and $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, 1]$ be a filtration; $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_t$, grad being the Malliavin derivative and div its adjoint: $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \varphi \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{E} \left[f | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathbb{P}(d\omega) &= -\int \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi, \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{E} \left[f | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= -\int \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi, \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{grad} f | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(.) \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= -\int \langle \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{grad} \varphi | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(.), \operatorname{grad} f \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \\ &= -\int \langle \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi | \mathcal{F}_t \right], \operatorname{grad} f \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$= + \int f. \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
$$= + \int \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
$$= + \int \varphi \mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

2. 3 Existence of a sequence of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields converging \mathbb{D}^{∞} -strongly to wards a derivation

THEOREM 2..6. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space and $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ an Hilbertian basis of H; we denote by \mathcal{F}_n the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(W(e_i)/i \leq n)$. Let δ be a continuous derivation from \mathbb{D}^{∞} to $L^{\infty-0}$. Then the sequence of vector fields $X_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} [\delta(W(e_i)|\mathcal{F}_N] e_i \text{ strongly converges towards } \delta \text{ in } L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $f_{N,k}[W(e_1), \ldots, W(e_N), W(e_{N+1}), \ldots, W(e_{N+k})]$ be a cylindrical function; ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_k being parameters. We denote $f_{N,k,\xi} = f_{N,k}[W(e_1), \ldots, W(e_N), \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k]$. Then:

$$\|f_{N,k,\xi}\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s} = \sum_{j=0}^s \left(\int \|\operatorname{grad}^j f_{N,k,\xi}\|^q_{\substack{j \\ \otimes H}} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

so:

$$\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_k e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i^2} \|f_{N,k,\xi}\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s}^q \le \|f_{N,k}\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s}^q \tag{8}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$; there exists a sequence of cylindrical functions denoted $\varphi_{N,k}[W(e_1), \ldots, W(e_N), W(e_{N+1}), \ldots, W(e_{N+k})]$ which \mathbb{D}^{∞} -converges towards φ .

Then direct computation shows that $\forall q > 1, \exists (p, s), p > 1, s \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$ such that there exists a constant C(p, q, s) with $\|X_N \cdot \varphi_{N,k,\xi}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(p, q, s) \|\varphi_{N,k,\xi}\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_s(\Omega)}$

We choose p > q. Then, using (8), we have:

$$\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^k} \int \|X_N . \varphi_{N,k,\xi}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^p e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i^2} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_k \le C^p(p,q,s) \|\varphi_{N,k}\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_s(\Omega)}^p$$

The l.h.s. of the above inequality is bigger than:

$$\left[\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^k} \int \|X_N \cdot \varphi_{N,k,\xi}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i^2} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_k\right]^{\frac{p}{q}} = \|X_N \cdot \varphi_{N,k}\|_{L^q}^p$$

So we have $||X_N . \varphi_{N,k}||_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C(p,q,s) ||\varphi_{N,k}||_{\mathbb{D}_s^p}$

which implies:

$$\|X_N \cdot \varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le C(p, q, s) \|\varphi\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_s}$$
(9)

Then the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta\varphi - X_{N,k}.\varphi\|_{L^{q}} &\leq \|\delta\varphi - \delta\varphi_{N,k}\|_{L^{q}} + \|\delta\varphi_{N,k} - \mathbb{E}\left[\delta\varphi_{N,k}|\mathcal{F}_{N+k}\right]\|_{L^{q}} + \\ &+ \|\mathbb{E}\left[\delta\varphi_{N,k}|\mathcal{F}_{N+k}\right] - X_{N+k}.\varphi_{N,k}\|_{L^{q}} + \|X_{N+k}.(\varphi_{N,k} - \varphi)\|_{L^{q}} \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbb{E}[\delta \varphi_{N,k} | \mathcal{F}_{N+k}] = X_{N+k} \cdot \varphi_{N,k}$; and (9) shows that $X_N \to \delta$ and the convergence is $L^{\infty-0}$ -strong from \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $L^{\infty-0}$.

COROLLARY 2..5. If δ is a continuous derivation from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, for each $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, A being a measurable subset of Ω , then $\mathbb{1}_A$ grad f = 0implies $\mathbb{1}_A \delta f = 0$.

Proof. Let X_N be the sequence of vector fields as in Theorem 2..6. Then from the hypothesis, we have: $\mathbb{1}_A(X_N \cdot f) = 0$; and at the limit when $N \uparrow \infty$, we have $\mathbb{1}_A \delta f = 0$.

THEOREM 2..7. If δ is a continuous derivation of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence of vector fields \tilde{X}_N , which converges \mathbb{D}^{∞} -strongly towards δ .

Proof. Let N be fixed and $X_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\delta(W(e_i))|\mathcal{F}_N] e_i$. If $f[W(e_1), \ldots, W(e_N)]$ is a cylindrical function, direct calculus shows that:

$$X_N f [W(e_1), \dots, W(e_N)] = \mathbb{E} [\delta f | \mathcal{F}_N]$$

So X_N is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_N, \mathbb{P})$ in itself. We extend X_N to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_N, \mathbb{P}) \times \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}, \mathbb{P}) \simeq \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ denoted \tilde{X}_N

as in Theorem 2, 2, i); \tilde{X}_N is again \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous and is a vector field. With Theorem 2, 2, ii), the sequence (\tilde{X}_N) is N-uniformly bounded when the \tilde{X}_N are considered as operators from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

The convergence of X_N towards δ , strong convergence as operators, is obtained by: $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}, \forall (p, r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta f - \tilde{X}_N f\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} &\leq \|\delta f - \mathbb{E}\left[\delta f|\mathcal{F}_N\right]\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} + \|\mathbb{E}\left[\delta f|\mathcal{F}_N\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\delta f_N|\mathcal{F}_N\right]\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} + \|\mathbb{E}\left[\delta f_N|\mathcal{F}_N\right] - X_N f_N\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \\ &+ \|X_N f_N - \tilde{X}_N f_N\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} + \|\tilde{X}_N (f_N - f)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \end{aligned}$$

 f_N being a sequence of cylindrical functions, with $f_N(\omega) = f_N [W(e_1), \dots, W(e_N)]$, converging \mathbb{D}^{∞} towards f.

DEFINITION 2..2. A process $X : [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} iff: $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(1-L)^{r/2}X \in L^{\infty-0}\left([0,1] \times \Omega, dt \otimes \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\right)$$

LEMMA 2..1. i) The space of the completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} -processes \mathscr{S} is a Frechet space.

ii) If F is a continuous linear map from \mathscr{S} in \mathscr{S} , there is a unique continuous linear extension of F, denoted \tilde{F} , from the space of completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} -processes with values in an Hilbert \tilde{H} , in itself.

PROPOSITION 2..2. Some properties of the convolution and the fractionnal derivation:

f being a function: $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with f(0) = 0, we extend f in \tilde{f} by $\tilde{f}\Big|_{\mathbb{R}_{-}} = \tilde{f}\Big|_{[2,+\infty[} = 0 \text{ and by an afine function on } [1,2] \text{ such that}$

 $\tilde{f}(1) = f(1)$ and $\tilde{f}(2) = 0$. Then, we denote by β_s the function: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by: $\beta_s(x) = 0, x \leq 0$ and $\beta_s(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-s)} \frac{1}{x^s}$ for x > 0. Then:

- i) If f is α -Hölderian, $\forall s \text{ with } 0 < s < \alpha < 1, \ \tilde{f} \star \beta_s \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$
- ii) f being α -Hölderian, we have: $(\tilde{f} \star \beta_s)' \star \beta_{1-s} = f$.
- iii) If f is a \mathbb{D}_r^p -bounded process, $\tilde{f} \star \beta_s$ is (1-s)- \mathbb{D}_r^p -Hölderian (see Definition 2..3).
- iv) The convolution of β_s with an adapted process is again an adapted process.

2. 4 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Hölderian process and divergence of a derivation

DEFINITION 2..3. A real-valued process $\Phi(t, \omega)$ will be said to be

 \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Hölderian iff: $\forall t \in [0,1], \forall t' \in [0,1] : \forall (p,r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}, \exists \text{ constant } C(p,r)$ such that:

$$\sup_{t,t'} \|\Phi(t',\omega) - \Phi(t,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p,r) |t'-t|^{\alpha}$$

There is an analogous definition for a matrix-valued process.

THEOREM 2..8. Let $X : [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be an $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} \cdot \alpha$ -Hölderian process. Then $\exists s, 0 < s < 1$ such that if $Y = \frac{d}{dt} [X \star \beta_{1-s}], X = Y \star \beta_s$, and Y being a completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process.

DEFINITION 2..4. A \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process defined on $[0,1] \times \Omega$ with values in the $n \times n$ matrices, will be said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded iff:

 $\forall (p,r) \ p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}, \ \exists C(p,r) > 0 \ such \ that:$

 $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} \|A(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} \leq C(p,r)$, where $\|A(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p}$ denotes the \mathbb{D}_r^p -norm of any $n \times n$ matrix norm, which are all equivalent.

LEMMA 2..2. Let A be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded matrix process. Then the process: $\Phi(t,\omega) = \int_0^t AdB$, B being a n-valued Brownian motion, is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Hölderian.

Proof. A being a $n \times n$ matrix, $\int_t^{t+h} A dB$ being a Skorokhod integral, we have: $\int_t^{t+h} A dB = \sqrt{h} \operatorname{div}(A \cdot X_h)$ where:

 X_h is the vector $X_h = s \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{[t,t+h]}(u) e_i du$, $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ being the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n , because AX_h is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ -bounded.

The operator div, being continuous:

$$\exists C(p,r) : \|\Phi(t',\omega) - \Phi(t,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p,r)\sqrt{h}$$

DEFINITION 2..5. Let δ be a continuous derivation of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

- i) an element T in $\mathbb{D}^{-\infty}$ will be called divergence of δ , denoted div δ , iff: $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : -\int \delta \varphi \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = +(\operatorname{div} \delta, \varphi)$ ($(\operatorname{div} \delta, \varphi)$ is the duality bracket).
- ii) a continuous derivation δ of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is said to be an adapted derivation iff: \forall adapted process $\Phi(t,\omega)$, $(\delta\Phi)(t,\omega)$ is an adapted process.

REMARK 2..1. If U is a vector field, div U as in Definition 2..5 coincides with the classical definition of divergence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

- REMARK 2..2. i) If div $\delta = 0$, then δ is L^2 -antisymmetrical.
- ii) $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ being a Gaussian space, if A is a $n \times n$ -A.M. matrix such that $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, A grad $\phi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, then div A grad is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation, and div(div A grad) = 0. Such an A is called a multiplicator and they will be studied in Section 4.

THEOREM 2..9. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space. If $V(s, \omega) : t \to \int_0^t \dot{h}(s, \omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, then $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[\dot{h}(s, \omega) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

Proof. The O.U. operator commutes with the conditional expectation (Proposition 2.1) so:

$$t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega) | \mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in \mathbb{D}^2_\infty(\Omega, H)$$

Thanks to the Theorem 2.5, we only have to prove:

$$t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega) | \mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega,H)$$

We denote by $L^{1+0}_{ad}(\Omega, H)$ the set of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields $Z(t, \omega) = \int_0^t \dot{Z}(s, \omega) ds$ such that $\dot{Z}(s, \omega)$ is an adapted process. We have:

We have:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle V(.,\omega), Z(.,\omega) \rangle_{H}\right] < +\infty. \text{ so:}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \langle \dot{h}(s,\omega), \dot{Z}(s,\omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} ds\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \langle \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right], \dot{Z}(s,\omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} ds\right] < +\infty$$

which implies that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] \in \left(L_{ad}^{1+0}(\Omega,H)\right)^* \tag{10}$$

Let $g \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q} < 1$; then there exists p' with 1 < p' < 2 such that $u \to \int_0^u \mathbb{E}[g|\mathcal{F}_s] . \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^{p'}(\Omega, H)$, because:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left[\int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E}\left[g|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 \right]^{\frac{p'}{2}} \leq \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left\{ \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \mathbb{E}\left[g|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 \int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 \right\}^{\frac{p'}{2}}$$

From the Dech inequality

From the Doob inequality:

$$\sup_{s \in [0,1]} |\mathbb{E}\left[g|\mathcal{F}_s\right]|^2 \in L^{\frac{q}{2}}(\Omega)$$

and:
$$\left[\int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^2(\Omega)$$
, we have:

$$\left[\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 ds\right]^{\frac{p'}{2}} \in L^{\frac{2}{p'}}(\Omega)$$

And from: $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} < 1, \exists p'$ with $\frac{1}{q/p'} + \frac{1}{2/p'} = 1, 1 < p' < 2$ and so for this p' we have:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left[\int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E} \left[g | \mathcal{F}_s \right]^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\dot{h}(s,\omega) | \mathcal{F}_s \right]^2 \right]^{\frac{p'}{2}} < +\infty$$

which implies:

$$t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[g|\mathcal{F}_s\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^{1+0}_{ad}(\Omega,H)$$
(11)

To prove that the vector field $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds$ belongs to $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H)$, we use an induction:

we have already $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^2(\Omega, H)$. Let $g \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'} < 1$; Let $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^p(\Omega, H)$; From (9) and (11), we get:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E}\left[g\mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 |\mathcal{F}_s\right] < +\infty$$

which implies:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)g(\omega) \int_0^1 ds \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 < +\infty$$

then: $\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]^2 ds \in L^{p-0}(\Omega)$ and:
 $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\dot{h}(s,\omega)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] ds \in L^{2p-0}(\Omega, H).$

27

THEOREM 2..10. Let V_n be a sequence of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields such that the associated derivations δ_n are adapted and converge pointwise in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ towards a derivation δ verifying div $\delta = 0$; then $\delta \equiv 0$.

Proof.

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \int \delta_n \varphi \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \int \langle V_n, \operatorname{grad} \varphi \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = -\int \varphi \operatorname{div} V_n \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

which implies that $\int \varphi \operatorname{div} V_n \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$ converges towards

$$\int \varphi \operatorname{div} \delta.\mathbb{P}(d\omega) = 0.$$

So $\forall V$ vector field $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$: $\int \langle \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} V_n), V \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$ converges towards 0.

With Theorem 2..9, $t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [\operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} V_n | \mathcal{F}_s] ds$ is also a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, and an adapted process:

we have:

$$\int \langle \mathbb{E} \left[\text{grad div } V_n | \mathcal{F}_t \right], V \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \int \langle \text{grad div } V_n, \mathbb{E} \left[V | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \to 0$$

But using the Clark-Ocone formula:

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div} V_n | \mathcal{F}_t\right] dB = \operatorname{div} V_n$$

And V_n being an adapted process, using a result on the Skorokhod integral: div $V_n = \int_0^1 V_n dB$, Itō Integral.

Then: $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div} V_n|\mathcal{F}_t] = V_n$ and so V_n is a sequence of $\mathbb{D}^{-\infty}$ which converges towards 0. Then let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$: $\varphi \operatorname{grad} \psi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ and:

$$(V_n, \varphi \operatorname{grad} \psi) = \int \varphi(\omega) \langle V_n, \operatorname{grad} \psi \rangle_H \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
$$= \int \varphi(\omega)(\delta_n \psi)(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \to \int \varphi(\omega)(\delta \psi)(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
So $\int \varphi(\omega)(\delta \psi)(\omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = 0$ which implies $\delta = 0$.

REMARK 2..3. A consequence of Theorem 2..10 is that a \mathbb{D}^{∞} adapted derivation is not in general a limit of a sequence of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -adapted vector fields.

DEFINITION 2..6. Let θ be a continuous map from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then a linear map δ from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is said to be a θ -derivation iff:

$$\forall f, g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \delta(fg) = \theta(f)\delta(g) + \theta(g)\delta(f)$$

Now we state a version of the Dini-Lipschitz theorem for an Hölderian functon f, piecewise continuous, on a closed interval, with values in a Frechet space F.

2. 5 A generalisation of the Dimi-Lipschitz theorem and interpolation between \mathbb{D}_r^P spaces

THEOREM 2..11. (Dini-Lipschitz) Let f be a function as above. Then its Fourier series is uniformly convergent piecewise, that is on each closed interval on which it is continuous, and converges towards the half-value of the jump on each discontinuity point.

Moreover, the convergence of its Fourier series is uniformly bounded, relatively to the partial sums of the Fourier series.

Last, an interpolation theorem which will often be used:

THEOREM 2..12. Let T be a linear continuous operator from $\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}_{s}^{q}(\Omega)$, and $\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{p'}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}_{s'}^{q'}(\Omega)$. $\forall \alpha \in [0,1]$, T is continuous from $\mathbb{D}_{\alpha r+(1-\alpha)r'}^{(\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha)}{p'})^{-1}}$ to $\mathbb{D}_{\alpha s+(1-\alpha)s'}^{(\frac{\alpha}{p}+\frac{(1-\alpha)}{q'})^{-1}}$.

3. \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds

In this section we study the general notion of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, and the following themes:

- i) we will examine the notion of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -equivalent atlases, which is more complex than in the case of *n*-dimensional differential manifolds
- ii) we will exhibit a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -chart change which does not admit a linear tangent map in $\mathcal{L}(H)$
- iii) we will study the space of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, denoted $Der(\Omega)$ and its dual $Der(\Omega)^{\star}$ (denoted also $(Der\Omega^{\star})$)
- iv) we will study the notion of a derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold.
- v) we study then the notion of metric (this time on $Der(\Omega)^*$), and the fundamental metric; then an important subspace of $Der(\Omega)$, denoted $\mathcal{D}_0(\Omega)$, the Levi-Civita connection, the curvature and the torsion.

When no particular setting is specified, we assume that the context is a Gaussian space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$.

3. 1 Definition

Let \mathscr{S} be a set. The definitions of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -charts, of two \mathbb{D}^{∞} -compatible charts and of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas, on \mathscr{S} are direct generalisations of the \mathbb{D}_{r}^{∞} case. We first define the notion of canonical tribe on a set \mathscr{S} , endowed with a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas:

3. 2 Canonical σ -algebra associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold

PROPOSITION 3..1. Let \mathscr{S} a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold with the atlas: $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$. Then if

$$\mathscr{C}_1 = \{ A \subset \mathscr{S} \mid \forall i \in I, b_i (A \cap U_i) \in \mathcal{F}_i \}$$

and

$$\mathscr{C}_{2} = \left\{ A \subset \mathscr{S} \mid \forall A_{i} \in \mathcal{F}_{i}, b_{i}(A \cap b_{i}^{-1}(A_{i})) \in \mathcal{F}_{i} \right\}$$

we have $\mathscr{C}_1 = \mathscr{C}_2$ and \mathscr{C}_1 is a σ -algebra.

Proof.
i)
$$\mathscr{C}_2 \subset \mathscr{C}_1$$
: let $A_i = \Omega$
ii) $\mathscr{C}_1 \subset \mathscr{C}_2$: let $A \in \mathscr{C}_1$,
 $b_i \left[A \cap b_i^{-1}(A_i) \right] = b_i \left[A \cap \left(U_i \cap b_i^{-1}(A_i) \right) \right]$
 $= b_i \left[(A \cap U_i) \cap b_i^{-1}(A_i) \right]$
 $= b_i (A \cap U_i) \cap A_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$

iii) \mathscr{C}_1 is a σ -algebra: obvious.

We denote by $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{A})$ this σ -algebra, or in short $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S})$. We also denote by: $\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{A})$ or by $\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S})$:

$$\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S},\mathscr{A}) = \{ N \subset \mathscr{S} / \forall i \in I, \mathbb{P}_i \left[b_i (N \cap U_i) \right] = 0 \}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$ is meaningful thanks to the Lemma 1.2, iii: we know that if there is $i \in I$ such that $\mathbb{P}_i[b_i(A \cap U_i)] > 0$, then $\forall j \in I$: $\mathbb{P}_j[b_j(A \cap U_j)] > 0$.

3. 3 \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphismes between \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds

DEFINITION 3..1. \mathscr{S}_1 and \mathscr{S}_2 being two \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds, the map $\varphi : \mathscr{S}_1 \to \mathscr{S}_2$ will be said to be measurable iff it is measurable relatively to the σ -algebra $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}_1)$ and $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}_2)$ and if $\varphi^{-1}[\mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S}_2)] \subset \mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S}_1)$.

DEFINITION 3..2. Let $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)_{i \in I}$, a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas on the set \mathscr{S}_1 , and $(V_{\ell}, \tilde{b}_{\ell}, \tilde{\Omega}_{\ell})_{\ell \in L} = \mathscr{B}$ a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas on the set \mathscr{S}_2 ; let φ be a measurable map from \mathscr{S}_1 in \mathscr{S}_2 .

The subset $A \subset U_i$ will be said to be $(\varphi, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B})$ -balanced iff $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $\mathbb{P}_i(A) > 0$ and $\exists \ell_0 \in L$ such that: $\varphi(A) \subset V_{\ell_0}$ and $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_{\ell_0})$, then $f \circ \widetilde{b}_{\ell_0} \circ \varphi \circ b_i^{-1} \Big|_{b_i(A)}$ admits an extension, denoted $\widetilde{f}_{i,\ell_0,A}$, or \widetilde{f}_A and $\widetilde{f}_{i,\ell_0,A} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$. A will also be said to be $(\varphi, U_i, V_{\ell_0})$ -balanced.

REMARK 3..1. Using the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -structure of the atlas \mathscr{A} , it is immediate to see that if A is $(\varphi, U_i, V_{\ell_0})$ -balanced and if $A \subset U_j$, $j \neq i$, then A is

 $(\varphi, U_j, V_{\ell_0})$ -balanced. So this definition of the balanced set does not depend on the chart domain in \mathscr{A} , where A lies.

REMARK 3..2. If \mathscr{S} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, with the atlas $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$, and denoting $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{S}}$ the identity on \mathscr{S} , we have that: for every $i, j \in I$ with $U_i \cap U_i \notin \mathscr{N}(\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{A})$: $U_i \cap U_j$ is a $(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{S}}, U_i, U_j)$ -balanced set of \mathscr{S} .

Now to simplify the notations, we identify the domain U_i of a chart with its image on the Gaussian space $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)$ through the bijection b_i . So now U_i is endowed with the σ -algebra $b_i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_i)$ which is also the restriction to U_i of $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{S}, \mathscr{A})$, and with a probability measure $(b_i^{-1})_*\mathbb{P}_i$. So the property of a balanced subset of U_i , A, can be restated: $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(V_{\ell_0})$, $f \circ \varphi|_A$ admits an extension denoted \tilde{f}_A , with $\tilde{f}_A \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(U_i)$. If $A \subset U_i$, we denote by $L^0(A)$ the \mathbb{R} -valued functions on A, measurable relatively to the σ -algebra $b_i^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_i)$

DEFINITION 3..3. Let \mathscr{S}_1 and \mathscr{S}_2 two \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds, \mathscr{S}_1 being endowed with a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)_{i \in I}$ and \mathscr{S}_2 being endowed with the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas $\mathscr{B} = (V_{\ell}, \widetilde{D}_{\ell})_{\ell \in L}$. Let φ be a map from \mathscr{S}_1 to \mathscr{S}_2 , φ will be said to be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism from \mathscr{S}_1 to \mathscr{S}_2 , iff:

- i) φ is measureable with respect to the canonical σ -algebras on \mathscr{S}_1 and \mathscr{S}_2 ;
- ii) $\forall i \in I$, there is a countable set of indices, denoted L_i , $L_i \subset L$, such that $U_i \in \bigcup_{\ell \in L_i} \varphi^{-1}(V_\ell)$;
- *iii)* $\forall i \in I, \forall \ell \in L \text{ with } \mathbb{P}_i \left[\varphi^{-1}(V_\ell) \cap U_i \right] > 0 \text{ and } \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_i, \text{ with } A \subset \varphi^{-1}(V_\ell) \cap U_i$ and $\mathbb{P}_i(A) > 0: \exists A' \subset A, A' \in \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i(A') > 0 \text{ such that } A' \text{ is } (\varphi, U_i, V_\ell) \text{-balanced};$
- iv) $\forall A \subset U_i, A \in \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i(A) > 0 \text{ and } \forall g \in L^0(A) \text{ if } \forall A_\ell, (\varphi, U_i, V_\ell) \text{-balanced},$ there exists $f_\ell \in \mathbb{D}^\infty(V_\ell)$ such that $f_\ell \circ \varphi|_{A \cap A_\ell} = g|_{A \cap A_\ell}$, then g admits an extension \widetilde{g} such that $\widetilde{g} \in \mathbb{D}^\infty(U_i)$.

REMARK 3..3. From iii) we see that $\forall i \in I, \exists \ell(i) \in L \text{ such that } \mathbb{P}_i[\varphi^{-1}(V_{\ell(i)}) \cap U_i] > 0$ otherwise $\mathbb{P}_i[\bigcup_{\ell \in L_i} \varphi^{-1}(V_\ell) \cap U_i] = \mathbb{P}_i(U_i) = 0$. And we also have that $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_i, A \subset \varphi^{-1}(V_{\ell(i)}) \cap U_i$ and $\mathbb{P}_i(A) > 0$, there exists a countable subset of Ldenoted $L_{\ell(i)}$ and a family of $(\varphi, U_i, V_{j_i})_{j_i \in L_{\ell(i)}}$ -balanced sets ε_{j_i} , such that they form a partition of A. And there exists a countable subset of L, L_0 , such that:

$$U_i = \bigcup_{i \in L_0} \left(\bigcup_{j_i \in L_{\ell(i)}} \varepsilon_{j_i} \right)$$

with $\mathbb{P}_i(\varepsilon_{j_i} \cap \varepsilon_{j_k}) = 0$, $\forall j_i \in L_{\ell(i)}$ and $\forall j_k \in L_{\ell(k)}$.

PROPOSITION 3..2. The composition of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphisms is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{S}_1, \mathscr{S}_2, \mathscr{S}_3$ be three \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifolds with respectively the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlases

$$\mathscr{A} = (U_i)_{i \in I}, \quad \mathscr{B} = (V_j)_{j \in J}, \quad \mathscr{C}(W_k)_{k \in K}$$

and $\varphi_1 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -morphism from \mathscr{S}_1 to \mathscr{S}_2 , and $\varphi_2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -morphism from \mathscr{S}_2 to \mathscr{S}_3 .

- i) and ii) are trivially verified by $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ ($\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ is measurable);
 - iii) Let $i_0 \in I$ and $k \in K$. We have to prove that for $A \subset \varphi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}(W_k) \cap U_{i_0}$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_{i_0}, \mathbb{P}_{i_0}(A_{i_0}) > 0 \ \exists A' \subset A, A' \in \mathcal{F}_{i_0}, \mathbb{P}_{i_0}(A') > 0$ such that A' is $(\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1, U_{i_0}, W_k)$ balanced. Without loss of generality, we can suppose $\exists j_0 \in J$ such that $\varphi_1(A) \subset V_{j_0}$. Then, φ_2 being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, there exists $B \subset \varphi_1(A), B$ being $(\varphi_2, V_{j_0}, W_k)$ -balanced (and $\mathbb{P}_{j_0}(B) > 0$). So for $g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(W_k)$ there exists an extension of $g \circ \varphi_2|_B$, denoted \tilde{g} , such that $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(V_{j_0})$. But φ_1 being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, there exists a subset $A' \text{ of } \varphi_1^{-1}(B)$ (remind $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}(\varphi_1^{-1}(B)) > 0$), which is $(\varphi_1, U_{i_0}, V_{j_0})$ -balanced. So $\tilde{g} \circ \varphi_1|_{A'} = g \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1|_{A'}$, and there exists an extension of $\tilde{g} \circ \varphi_1|_{A'}$ $(A' \text{ is a } (\varphi_1, U_{i_0}, V_{j_0})$ -balanced subset), denoted \hat{g} , which is $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(U_{i_0})$; and \hat{g} is an extension of $g \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1|_{A'}$
 - iv) We have to show that if $i_0 \in I$ and $A \subset U_{i_0}$, $A \in \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$, $\mathbb{P}_{i_0}(A) > 0$ and $g \in L^0(A)$; We suppose that $\forall A_{i_0k}$, $(\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1, U_{i_0}, W_k)$ -balanced set there exists $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(W_k)$ such that

$$f \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1|_{A \cap A_{i_0 k}} = g|_{A \cap A_{i_0 k}}$$

Then we must show that g admits an extension \tilde{g} such that $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(U_{i_0})$ and $\tilde{g}|_A = g$. As in iii) we can suppose without loss of generality that there exists $j_0 \in J$ such that $\varphi_1(A) \subset V_{j_0}$. We know, from Remark 3.3, that there exists a countable partition of V_{j_0} made with $(\varphi_2, V_{j_0}, W_k)$ -balanced sets, denoted here $(\varepsilon_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{j_0}\left[V_{j_0}\setminus\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_*}\varepsilon_\alpha\right]=0$$

We define then $h_{\alpha}|_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} = f \circ \varphi_2|_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}}$ and 0 on $\mathfrak{l}\varepsilon_{\alpha}$. Then $h_{\alpha} \in L^0(V_{j_0})$; and $h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\varepsilon_{\alpha}} h_{\alpha} \in J^0(V_{j_0})$. $\forall B_{j_0k}, (\varphi_2, V_{j_0}, W_k)$ -balanced set, there exists a countable extracted partition of the $(\varepsilon_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, denoted $(\varepsilon_{\beta})_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, such that $B_{j_0k} = \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_*} \varepsilon_{\beta}, \mathbb{P}_{j_0}$ -a.s. Then:

$$\begin{split} h|_{V_{j_0} \cap B_{j_0 k}} &= h|_{B_{j_0 k}} \\ &= h|_{\bigcup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_*} \varepsilon_\beta} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_*} \mathbf{1}_\beta h_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_*} f \circ \varphi_2|_{\varepsilon_\beta} \\ &= f \circ \varphi_2|_{B_{j_0 k}} \end{split}$$

 φ_2 being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, there exists an extension \tilde{h} of h which is $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(V_{i_0})$. Then:

$$g|_{A \cap A_{i_0 k}} = f \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1|_{A \cap A_{i_0 k}}$$
$$= f \circ \varphi_2|_{\varphi_1(A \cap A_{i_0 k})}$$
$$= \tilde{h}\Big|_{\varphi_1(A \cap A_{i_0 k})}$$

This last equality being \mathbb{P}_{j_0} -a.s. As $A \cap A_{i_0k} \subset \varphi^{-1} [\varphi(A \cap A_{i_0k})]$, we have $g|_{A \cap A_{i_0k}} = \tilde{h} \circ \varphi_1 \Big|_{A \cap A_{i_0k}}$, \mathbb{P}_{i_0} -a.s. and $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(V_{j_0})$.

 φ_1 being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, with iv) we have that there exists an extension $\tilde{g} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(U_{i_0})$ such that $\tilde{g}|_A = g|_A$. So $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism.

DEFINITION 3..4.

- i) Two D[∞]-atlases on the set *S* are said to be D[∞] equivalent iff the identity Id_S is a D[∞]-isomorphism;
- ii) Let \mathscr{S} be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold with the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$; the chart $(U, b, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$, with $U \subset \mathscr{S}$ is said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} -compatible with \mathscr{A} , if the atlases \mathscr{A} and $\mathscr{A} \cup \{(U, b, \Omega)\}$ are equivalent.

REMARK 3..4. We will here give the Definition 3.3.iv. but without identifying the domain U of a chart (U, b, Ω) with $\Omega: \forall A \in \Omega, A \in \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i(A) > 0$ and $\forall g \in L^0(A): If \forall A_\ell, (\varphi, U_i, V_\ell)$ -balanced set, there exists $f_\ell \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega}_\ell)$ such that $f \circ \widetilde{b}_\ell \circ \varphi \circ b_i^{-1}\Big|_{A \cap b_i(A_\ell)} = g|_{A \cap b_i(A_\ell)}$ then g admits an extension $\widetilde{g} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$.

REMARK 3..5. If the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas \mathscr{A} verifies the condition iv) of the Definition 3.3, then \mathbb{D}^{∞} -compatibility between two charts of A is equivalent to: $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$, \exists an extension $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_j)$ of $\varphi \circ b_{ij}|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_j)}$, and moreover this extension is unique.

Now we will show that there exists a derivation from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ which is not a vector field so to prove after this, that there are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -charts, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -compatible, which do have linear tangent maps.

3. 4 Existence of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation which is not a vector field

Let \mathscr{S} be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, with an atlas having only one chart $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$. Let $\{(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}, (\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}\}$ be a Hilbert basis of H and let A be the bounded operator on H defined by:

$$A(e_i) = \varepsilon_i$$
 and $A(\varepsilon_j) = -e_j$

We denote by δ the operator on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ defined by:

 $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \delta \varphi = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad} \varphi$

Then direct computation shows that δ is a derivation. If there existed a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field X such that

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad X \cdot \varphi = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad} \varphi = \delta \varphi$$

then we write:

$$X = \sum_{i \ge 1} X^i e_i + \sum_{j \ge 1} Y^j \varepsilon_j$$

and we would have

$$X_i = X \cdot W(e_i) = \delta(W(e_i)) = W(\varepsilon_i)$$

and $Y_j = X \cdot W(\varepsilon_j) = \delta(W(\varepsilon_j)) = -W(e_j)$

But

$$\sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{\Omega} X_i^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) + \int_{\Omega} Y_j^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \right) = \sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{\Omega} W(\varepsilon_j)^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) + \int_{\Omega} W(e_i)^2 \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \right)$$
$$= +\infty$$

Now we can prove that there exists compatible \mathbb{D}^{∞} -charts for which, the change maps do not admit a linear tangent map. Let \mathscr{S}_1 be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold with an atlas reduced to one chart $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ and $\{(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}, (\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*} h\}$ a Hilbert basis of H. Denote $X_i = W(e_i), Y_j = W(\varepsilon_j)$ and Z = h. We define an inversible map ψ by:

$$\overline{X}_{i} = X_{i} \cos Z + Y_{i} \sin Z \qquad \qquad X_{i} = \overline{X}_{i} \cos \overline{Z} - \overline{Y}_{i} \sin \overline{Z}$$
$$\overline{Y}_{i} = -X_{i} \sin Z + Y_{i} \cos Z \qquad \qquad Y_{i} = \overline{X}_{i} \sin \overline{Z} + \overline{Y}_{i} \cos \overline{Z}$$
$$\overline{Z} = Z \qquad \qquad Z = \overline{Z}$$

The system $(\overline{X}_i, \overline{Y}_j, \overline{Z})$ is a Gaussian system and has the same laws as the system (X_i, Y_j, Z) . We define an isometric morphism again denoted ψ , from a dense domain of $L^{\infty - 0}$ to $L^{\infty - 0}$ by:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_*, \text{if } f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}), \quad (\psi f)(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}) = f(\psi(X), \psi(Y), \psi(Z))$$

 $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ being the set of fast decreasing functions.

This morphism preverses laws, so it can be extended to a bijective and isometric map from $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ into $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. L and \overline{L} being the O.U. operator respectively in the charts $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ and $(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathbb{P}}, \overline{H})$, we have:

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \overline{L}(\psi f) = Lf + \psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial^2(\psi f)}{\partial \overline{Z}^2} - \psi^{-1} \circ \left(\overline{Z}\frac{\partial(\psi f)}{\partial \overline{Z}}\right)$$
$$= Lf + \left(\psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} \circ \psi\right) \circ \left(\psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} \circ \psi f\right) - \psi^{-1} \left(\overline{Z}\frac{\partial(\psi f)}{\partial \overline{Z}}\right)$$
(1)

35

And

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} (\psi f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y_i} - Y_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial X^i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}$$
$$= \operatorname{div} A_n \operatorname{grad} f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}$$

where A_n is the determinist operator defined by $A_n(e_i) = \varepsilon_i$, $A_n(\varepsilon_i) = -e_i$, $1, \ldots, n$. If we denote by div A_n grad by δ_n we have

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} (\psi f) = \delta_n f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}$$
$$= \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad} f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Z}$$

where A is the bounded operator on H such that $A(e_i) = \varepsilon_i$, $A(\varepsilon_j) = -e_j$, and A(h) = h. Now we show by induction that ψ sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} in \mathbb{D}^{∞} . We know already that ψ sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $L^{\infty-0}$. Suppose that $\psi : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}$, let $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. From (1), we see that, as div A grad $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ and $\left(\psi^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\psi\right)(f)$ is also $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$: $\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \overline{L} \circ \psi\right) f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ so $(\overline{L} \circ \psi)(f) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}$ which implies that $\psi \in \mathbb{D}_{r+2}^{\infty}$. And we have seen previously that div A grad is a derivation which cannot be a vector field. But if the linear tangent map of ψ existed we would have

$$T_{psi}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}} = \psi^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{Z}}\psi$$

Now we study the sets $Der(\Omega)$ and $Der(\Omega)^*$.

DEFINITION 3..5. Given a Gaussian space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$, we denote $\text{Der}(\Omega)$ the set of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

 $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)$ is then a non-metrisable topological space when endowed with the single point convergence.

DEFINITION 3..6. A subset A of $Der(\Omega)$ is said to be bounded or Der-bounded iff $\forall (p,q), \exists (q,s), (p,q > 1; r, s \in \mathbb{N}_*), \forall \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -bounded subset $D \subset \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \exists C(p,q,s,r,s,D)$ a constant such that

$$\forall f \in D, \quad \sup_{\delta \in A} \|\delta f\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p, q, r, s, D) \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s}$$

DEFINITION 3..7. We denote by $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)^*$ the set of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear maps on $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)$ which are verifying this continuity property: let A be a bounded set in $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)$, and $(\delta_i)_{i\in I}$ a net in A, converging towards $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}$. Then for $u \in \operatorname{Der}(\Omega)^*$, the net $(u(\delta_i))_{i\in I}$ converges \mathbb{D}^{∞} towards $u(\delta)$.

DEFINITION 3..8. A subset $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\text{Der}(\Omega)^*$ is said to be bounded iff for each bounded subset $A \subset \text{Der}(\Omega)$, $\sup_{i \in I} |\alpha_i(A)| < +\infty$.

LEMMA 3..1. Let $u \in \text{Der}(\Omega)^*$. Then \forall bounded subset A of $\text{Der}(\Omega)$, the set $\{u(\delta) \mid \delta \in A\}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Suppose $\exists A$ subset bounded in $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)$, $\exists (p, r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_*$ and $\exists \delta_n \in A$ such that $\|u(\delta_n)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} > n$. Let α_n a sequence of numbers > 0, which converges towards 0. Then $\{\alpha_n \delta_n / n \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ is bounded in $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha_n \delta_n \to 0$ in Der so $u(\alpha_n \delta_n) \to 0$ which is contradictory. \Box

REMARK 3..6. If $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded family of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $(\text{grad } f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a bounded family in $\text{Der}(\Omega)$.

3. 5 Derivation field on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold

Given a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, endowed with the atlas $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i)_{i \in I}$, a family of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations $\delta_i \in \text{Der}(\Omega_i)$, $i \in I$, is said to be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation field on \mathscr{S} iff $\forall (i, j) \in I^2$ and $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_j)$:

$$\delta_j f|_{b_j(U_i \cap U_j)} = \left. \delta_i \left(\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}} \right) \right|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_j)} \circ b_{ji}$$

 b_{ij} and b_{ji} being the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -chart changes between the charts (U_i, b_i, Ω_i) and (U_j, b_j, Ω_j) , $\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}$ being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -extension of $f \circ b_{ij}|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_i)}$.

This definition is legitimate: If $\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(2)}$ are two extensions on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$, of $f \circ b_{ij}|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_i)}$, we have:

$$\left(\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(1)} - \widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(2)}\right)\Big|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_j)} = 0$$

So with Corollary 2.5, we have:

$$\delta_i\left(\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(1)}\right)\Big|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_j)} = \delta_i\left(\widetilde{f \circ b_{ij}}^{(2)}\right)\Big|_{b_i(U_i \cap U_j)}$$

Now, using the definition of an admissible \mathbb{D}^{∞} -chart to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas, we prove that the definition of a derivation field is consistent, that is: we can build on this \mathbb{D}^{∞} -admissible chart a derivation such that the new derivation field (the initial one + this new derivation) has the same action as the first derivation field.

From this we can deduce that if we have a derivation field associated to a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas, on another equivalent \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas can be built a derivation field which has the same action as the initial one.

Let $(U, b, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -admissible chart to the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas $\mathscr{A} = (U_i, b_i, \Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i)_{i \in I}$, then the identity is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -isomorphism between \mathscr{A} . and the atlas $\mathscr{A} \cup \{(U, b, \Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\}.$

 Ω can be covered by a countable collection of sets $b(U \cap U_j)$, $j \in J$. Denote by φ_j the map change of charts between Ω_j and Ω , $\varphi_j = b \circ b_j^{-1}$.

 $\forall j \in J$, we define $\delta f|_{b(U \cap U_i)}, \forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by:

$$\delta f|_{b(U\cap U_j)} = \left. \delta_j(\widetilde{f \circ \varphi_j}) \circ \widetilde{\varphi_j}^{-1} \right|_{b(U\cap U_j)}$$
The symbol ~ is for the $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ extension of $\delta_j(f \circ \varphi_j) \circ \varphi_j^{-1}$ which exists because the chart (U, b, Ω) is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -admissible to the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas \mathscr{A} .

Then

$$\delta f|_{b(U\cap U_j)} = \left. \delta_j(\widetilde{f \circ \varphi_j}) \circ \widetilde{\varphi_j}^{-1} \right|_{b(U\cap U_j)} \circ \operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{S}}$$

Then we define:

$$\delta f = \sum_{j \in J} \mathbf{1}_{b(U \cap U_j)} \cdot \left. \delta f \right|_{b(U \cap U_j)}$$

The definition is legitimate because if $\omega \in b(U \cap U_{j_1}) \cap b(U \cap U_{j_2})$ the map change of charts shows:

$$\delta f|_{b(U \cap U_{i_1})}(\omega) = \delta f|_{b(U \cap U_{i_2})}(\omega)$$

3. 6 Metric and fundamental bilinear form on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold

DEFINITION 3..9. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space. A \mathbb{D}^{∞} -valued bilinear form on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bilinear form on $\text{Der }\Omega$ denoted q, which is continuous relatively to each of its arguments. q is said to be positive definite if $\alpha \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ is such that if $q(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ then $\alpha = 0$.

REMARK 3..7. The continuity of q means that if a net $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$, included in a bounded part of $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ converges towards $\alpha \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, then $\forall \beta \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, $q(\alpha_i, \beta)$ converges in \mathbb{D}^{∞} towards $q(\alpha, \beta)$.

NOTATION. Let q be a bilinear form on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, i) $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we denote $\lambda_f \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ defined by: $\forall \delta \in \text{Der}, \lambda_f(\delta) = \delta(f) \quad (\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega))$

ii) If $u \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, we denote $\delta_u \in \text{Der }\Omega$ defined by:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \delta_u f = q(\lambda_f, u)$$

DEFINITION 3..10. The fundamental bilinear form on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, also named the fundamental metric, denoted q_0 , is defined by: if $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a Hilbert basis of H, and $\alpha, \beta \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$,

$$q_0(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(e_i)\beta(e_i)$$

We have to show that this series is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergent and that this definition does not depend on the choice of basis $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$.

REMARK 3..8. q_0 being the fundamental metric, we have:

$$\forall u \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*, \delta_u(f) = u(\operatorname{grad} f)$$

THEOREM 3..1. The fundamental form q_0 is well defined on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, and if $\alpha, \beta \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, we have $q_0(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha(\delta_\beta) = \beta(\delta_\alpha)$; and q_0 is non degenerate.

Proof.

i) We know that (Theorem 2.7) given $\alpha \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, the sequence

$$X_N = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{E} \left[\alpha(e_k) \, | \, \mathcal{F}_n \right] e_k$$

converges towards δ_{α} ($(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a Hilbert basis of H, as usual). Then $\alpha(X_N) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{\infty}} \alpha(\delta_{\alpha})$ implies

$$\operatorname{E}\left[\alpha(X_N) \mid \mathcal{F}_N\right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{\infty}} \alpha(\delta_{\alpha})$$

with $\delta_{\alpha}[W(e_k)] = \alpha(e_k)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \operatorname{E}\left[\alpha(e_{j}) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{N}\right]^{2} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{\infty}} \alpha(\delta_{\alpha}) \tag{2}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha(e_j)^2 < +\infty \tag{3}$$

So the definition of q_0 is legitimate.

ii) From $X_N \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{\infty}} \delta_{\alpha}$, we deduce: $\forall p > 1$, $\beta(X_N) \xrightarrow{L^p} \beta(\delta_{\alpha})$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_0 > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_*, \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}_*, \|\beta(\delta_{\alpha}) - \beta(X_{N_0+k+\ell})\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon$

 So

$$\left\| \beta(\delta_{\alpha}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N_0+k} \beta(e_j) \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha(e_j) \, | \, \mathcal{F}_{N_0+k+\ell} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta\left(e_{N_0+k+i} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha(e_{N_0+k+i}) \, | \, \mathcal{F}_{N_0+k+\ell} \right] \right\|_{L^p} \le \varepsilon$$

Then

$$\left\| \beta(\delta_{\alpha}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N_{0}+k} \beta(e_{j}) \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha(e_{j}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{N_{0}+k+\ell} \right] \right\|_{L^{p}}$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta\left(e_{N_{0}+k+i} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha(e_{N_{0}+k+i}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{N_{0}+k+\ell} \right] \right\|_{L^{p}}$$

The r.h.s. is lower or equal to

$$\varepsilon + \left[\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta(e_{N_0+k+i})^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{E} \left[\alpha(e_{N_0+k+i}) \left| \mathcal{F}_{N_0+k+\ell} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \left[\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta(e_{N_0+k+i})^2 \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{2p}} \times \left[\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{E} \left[\alpha(e_{N_0+k+i}) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{N_0+k+\ell} \right]^2 \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{2p}}$$

From (2) we know that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E[\alpha(e_j)|\mathcal{F}_N]^2$ is convergent so we can find ℓ_0 such that for all $\ell \geq \ell_0$,

$$\left[\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{E}\left[\alpha(e_{N_0+k+i}) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{N_0+k+\ell}\right]^2\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{2p}} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Now if we write $Y_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\beta(e_i)|\mathcal{F}_N]e_i$ repeating the same calculus than in *i*), we get that the series $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \beta(e_j)^2$ is convergent; so we can find ℓ_1 such that for all $\ell > \ell_1$,

$$\left[\int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \beta(e_{N_0+k+i})^2\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\lim_{\ell \uparrow \infty} \left\| \beta(\delta_{\alpha}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N_0 + k} \beta(e_j) \mathbb{E} \left[\alpha(e_j) \, | \, \mathcal{F}_{N_0 + k + \ell} \right] \right\| \le 2\varepsilon$$

So $q_0(\alpha, \beta) = \beta(\delta_\alpha)$ which proves that $q_0(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, that q_0 is continuous for each of its arguments, and $q_0(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ implies $\alpha = 0$.

COROLLARY 3..1. The map $(\text{Der }\Omega)^* \ni \alpha \to \delta_\alpha \in \text{Der }\Omega$ is injective

Proof. If $\delta_{\alpha} = \delta_{\beta}$, $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $q_0(\alpha - \beta, \lambda_f) = \lambda_f(\delta_{\alpha} - \delta_{\beta}) = 0$. So with $f = W(e_k)$, $q_0(\alpha - \beta, \lambda_{W(e_k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\alpha(e_j) - \beta(e_j)] \delta_{jk} = 0$

Remark 3..9. $\forall f, g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega),$

$$q_0(\lambda_f, \lambda_g) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(e_j) \lambda_g(e_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle e_j, \operatorname{grad} f \rangle_H \langle e_j, \operatorname{grad} g \rangle_H$$
$$= \langle \operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} g \rangle_H$$

DEFINITION 3..11. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space and $q \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -bilinear form on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -valued, continuous for each of its arguments. We define a map T_q from $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ to $\text{Der }\Omega$ by

$$\forall u \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*, \forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad (T_q u) \cdot f = q(u, \lambda_f)$$

and we denote $\mathscr{D}_q = \operatorname{range} T_q = T_q((\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*).$

Lemma 3..2.

i) T is continuous from
$$(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$$
 to $\text{Der }\Omega$;
ii) $T_q(\lambda_f) = \delta_f$, $(\delta_f(g) = \langle \text{grad } f, \text{grad } g \rangle_H)$

Proof.

i) If $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is a net in a bounded part of $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ converging towards $u \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, we have $\forall g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$\left\| \left[T_q(u_i) - T_q(u) \right] \cdot g \right\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} = \left\| q(u_i - u, \lambda_f) \right\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p}$$

ii) Straightforward calculus.

Now there is a result, difficult to prove:

THEOREM 3..2. If $u \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, then there is a bounded net $(u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, $u_i \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$, such that

- i) $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ is bounded in $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$
- *ii)* $\forall i \in I, u_i = \sum_{j_i \in A_i} f_{j_i} \lambda_{g_{j_i}}, A_i \text{ being a finite subset of } \mathbb{N}_*, f_{j_i}, g_{j_i} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *iii)* The net $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ converges towards u in $(\text{Der } \Omega)^*$.

To prove this result we need a lemma:

LEMMA 3..3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ be a Gaussian space, $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ a Hilbert basis of H; denote $\mathcal{F}_N = \sigma[W(e_1), \ldots, W(e_N)]$ the σ -algebra generated by $\sigma(W(e_i))$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and by \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} the σ -algebra $\sigma[W(e_{N+1}), \ldots]$.

Let $\delta \in \text{Der }\Omega$; with

$$X_M = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathrm{E}[\delta(W(e_j))|\mathcal{F}_M]e_j$$

and

$$V_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{E}[u(e_i)|\mathcal{F}_N]\lambda_{W(e_i)} \quad (V_N \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*)$$

we have $\sup_{N,M} \|V_N(X_M)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} < +\infty$ and $\sup_N \|V_N(\delta)\|_{L^2} < +\infty$. We remind that we denote by $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ a Hilbert basis of H.

Proof.

a) We denote by θ_N the L^2 -isometric map:

$$\theta_N[W(e_i)] = W(e_i), \quad i \le N$$
$$\theta_N[W(e_{N+i})] = W(e_{N+i+1})$$

then we extend θ_N on the set of polynomials in Gaussian variables, by considering this extension of θ_N as a morphism, and then extend this θ_N again to $L^2(\Omega)$, thanks that θ_N leaves laws invariants; and θ_N commutes with the O.U. operator.

We will show in this section that

$$\forall f \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^k \theta_N^j f \right] = \mathbf{E}[f|\mathcal{F}_N] \tag{4}$$

the limit being $L^2(\Omega)$.

It is enough to prove that this is true for a dense subset of L^2 , and we choose the subset composed by finite linear combinations of products of Hermite polynomials P in Gaussian variables, P_1 and P_2 , P_1 and P_2 being polynomials on Gaussian variables respectively in \mathcal{F}_N and \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} .

If $P = P_1 \times P_2$, P_2 being a constant, the result is trivial and is independent of N.

If P_2 is not a constant, let $\alpha = \max |r_1 - r_2|$, r_1 and r_2 being the indices of the Gaussian variables appearing in P_1 and P_2 , then

$$\mathbf{E}[P_1 \times P_2 | \mathcal{F}_N] = 0$$

Let
$$m_0 \in \mathbb{N}, m_0 > \alpha + 1$$
. Then $\forall (b, d) \in \mathbb{N}^2_*, b \neq d$:
 $\left\langle \theta_N^{m_0 b}(P_1 \times P_2), \theta_N^{m_0 d}(P_1 \times P_2) \right\rangle_H = 0$ (5)
We have, with $k > m_0, k = m_0 \alpha + r, 0 \le r \le m_0 - 1$.

Then:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \theta_{N}^{j}(P_{1} \times P_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_{0}-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha-1} \theta_{N}^{\beta} \theta_{N}^{m_{0}\gamma}(P_{1} \times P_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}} + \left\| \theta_{N}^{m_{0}\alpha} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \theta_{N}^{\ell}(P_{1} \times P_{2}) \right) \right\|_{L^{2}} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \left\{ m_{0} \left\| \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha-1} \theta_{N}^{m_{0}\gamma} \theta_{N}^{m_{0}\gamma}(P_{1} \times P_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}} + r \left| P_{1} \times P_{2} \right\|_{L^{2}} \right\} \\ &\text{So:} \\ & \left\| \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \theta_{N}^{j}(P_{1} \times P_{2}) \right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} [m_{0}\sqrt{\alpha}+r] \left\| P_{1} \times P_{2} \right\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\text{which converges towards } 0 \end{split}$$

which converges towards 0.

b) Let $\tilde{\theta}_N$: Der $\Omega \to \text{Der }\Omega$ by:

 $\forall \delta \in \operatorname{Der} \Omega, \forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \quad \widetilde{\theta}_N(\delta) \cdot f = \theta_N^{-1}[\delta(\theta_N f)]$

Then direct calculus shows that:

$$\left(\widetilde{\theta}_N\right)^n = \widetilde{\left(\theta_N^n\right)}$$

Now let $\widehat{\theta}_N : (\text{Der } \Omega)^* \to (\text{Der } \Omega)^*$ defined by:

$$\forall u \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*, \forall \delta \in \operatorname{Der} \Omega : \quad (\widehat{\theta}_N u) \cdot \delta = \theta_N[u(\widetilde{\theta}_N \delta)]$$

One can check that $\widehat{\theta}_N$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear and that

$$\widehat{\theta}_N : (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^* \to (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*$$

c) If $A \subset \text{Der }\Omega$ is a bounded subset of $\text{Der }\Omega$, then the set $\{(\widehat{\theta}_N u^n) \cdot \delta / n \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in A\}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded subset of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For $\forall (p, r)$

$$\begin{split} \sup_{n} \sup_{\delta \in A} \left\| \left(\widehat{\theta}_{N} u^{n} \right) \cdot \delta \right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p}_{r}} &= \sup_{n} \sup_{\delta \in A} \left\| \theta^{n}_{N} \left(u(\widetilde{\theta}^{n} \delta) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p}_{r}} \\ &= \sup_{n} \sup_{\delta \in A} \left\| u \cdot \left(\left(\widetilde{\theta}^{n}_{N}(\delta) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p}_{r}} \end{split}$$

and it is easy to check that $\{\widetilde{\theta}_N^n(\delta)\,/\,n\in\mathbb{N},\delta\in A\}$ is a bounded subset in $\operatorname{Der}\Omega$

d) Now we will show that $i \leq N$ and $W(e_i) \in \mathcal{F}_N$ implies

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\widehat{\theta}^{j} u \right) (e_{i}) \right] = \mathbf{E}[u(e_{i})|\mathcal{F}_{N}]$$
(6)

the limit being $L^2(\Omega)$, and that for e_{N+i} , $W(e_{N+i}) \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$ $(i \in \mathbb{N}_*)$ then the limit of the above sum is 0.

d.1) First we prove that

$$\forall \ell \le N : \theta_N^j(e_\ell) = e_\ell \quad (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_*)$$

We denote by e_a a basis vector with $a \leq N$ and by e_b a basis vector with b > N. $P[W(e_a), W(e_b)]$ being a polynomial built on Gaussian variables belonging to \mathcal{F}_N (the $W(e_a)$) and belonging to \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} (the $W(e_b)$), we have:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta_N}^j(e_\ell) \cdot \left(P[W(e_a), W(e_b)] \right) &= \theta_N^{-j} \left\{ e_\ell \cdot \theta_N^j \left(P[W(e_a), W(e_b)] \right) \right\} \\ &= \theta_N^{-j} \left\{ e_\ell \cdot P[W(e_a), W(e_{b+j}] \right\} \\ &= e_\ell \cdot P[W(e_a), W(e_b)] \end{split}$$

which proves $\tilde{\theta}_N^j(e_\ell) = e_\ell$. Then (6) becomes:

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\widehat{\theta}^{j} u)(e_{i}) \right] = \lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \theta_{N}^{j}(u(e_{i})) \right]$$

And with (4) (from a)) we get:

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\widehat{\theta}^{j} u)(e_{i}) \right] = \mathbf{E}[u(e_{i})|\mathcal{F}_{N}]$$

d.2) For this case, where we consider e_{N+i} , (then $W(e_{N+i}) \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$), we first use a bijection between $\{N+1, N+2, ...\}$ and \mathbb{Z} ; then θ is rewritten

$$\theta(f) = f \quad \text{if } f \in \mathcal{F}_N$$

$$\theta(W(e_b)) = W(e_{b+1}) \quad \text{where now } b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} = \sigma \left[W(e_b) \, / \, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right]$$

Then θ is again extended as a morphism from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $L^2(\Omega)$, which is unitary on $L^2(\Omega)$, commutes with the O.U. operator, and leaves invariant laws and the chaos \mathscr{C}_n ; and X_N can be rewritten:

$$X_M = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{E}[\delta(W(e_i))|\mathcal{F}_M]e_i + \sum_{b \in B} \mathrm{E}[\delta(W(e_b))|\mathcal{F}_M]e_b$$

where B is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} .

As in d.1) we show first that

$$\widetilde{\theta}_N^j(e_\ell) = e_{\ell-j} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $e_{\ell} \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$, and with the new definition of \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} we have $e_{\ell-j} \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$. Direct calculus proves:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\theta}_N^j(e_\ell) \cdot W(e_a) &= 0 \\ &= e_{\ell-j} \cdot W(e_a) \\ &= \langle e_{\ell-j}, e_a \rangle_H \end{split}$$

with $W(e_a) \in \mathcal{F}_N$. With $W(e_b) \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$ we have

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{j}(e_{\ell})(W(e_{b})) = \theta_{N}^{-j}[e_{\ell} \cdot W(e_{b+j})] = \theta_{N}^{-j}(\delta_{b+j}^{\ell}) = \delta_{b+j}^{\ell}$$

$$\delta_{b+j}^{\ell} \text{ being the Kronecker symbol.}$$

So $\widetilde{\theta}_{N}^{j}(e_{\ell}) = e_{\ell-j}$.
Then (6) becomes, with $W(e_{\ell}) \in \mathcal{F}_{N}^{\perp}$:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\widehat{\theta}_{N}^{j} u)(e_{\ell}) \right] &= \lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \theta_{N}^{j}(u(e_{\ell-j})) \right] \\ \text{so :} \\ \left\| \lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\theta_{N}^{j} u)(e_{\ell}) \right] \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq \lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k} u(e_{\ell-j}) \right\|_{L^{2}} \right] \end{split}$$

But we know with Theorem 3.1 that

$$q_0(u,u) < \infty$$

$$q_0(u, u) = \sum_{i \le N} u(e_i)^2 + \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} u(e_\ell)^2$$

Using this result, we deduce that (6) in the case of e_{ℓ} , with $W(e_{\ell}) \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$, converges L^2 towards 0.

We recapitulate:

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{N}^{j} u \right) (e_{i}) \right] = \operatorname{E}[u(e_{i}) | \mathcal{F}_{N}] \quad \text{for } i \leq N$$
(7)

and

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\widehat{\theta}_{N}^{j} u \right) (e_{\ell}) \right] = 0$$
(8)

for e_{ℓ} such that $W(e_{\ell}) \in \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp}$, the convergence being L^2 and being independent of N.

e) We compute $V_N(X_M)$:

$$V_N(X_M) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[u(e_i)|\mathcal{F}_N]\lambda_{W(e_i)}(X_M)$$
(9)

From (7) and (9), we get:

$$V_N(X_M) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lim_k \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^k (\widehat{\theta}_N^j u)(e_i) \right] \cdot \lambda_{W(e_i)}(X_M)$$

As each $\hat{\theta}_N^j u \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*$ and is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear, we have

$$V_N(X_M) = \lim_k \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\widehat{\theta}_N^j u \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{W(e_i)}(X_M) \cdot e_i \right) \right]$$
(9)

From (8), we know that

$$\lim_{k} \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\widehat{\theta}_{N}^{j} u)(e_{b}) \right] = 0$$

 X_M can be decomposed, with respect to \mathcal{F}_N and \mathcal{F}_N^{\perp} :

$$X_M = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{E}[\delta(W(e_i))|\mathcal{F}_M]e_i + \sum_{b \in B} \mathrm{E}[\delta(W(e_k))|\mathcal{F}_M]e_b$$

with $\operatorname{Card} B$ finite. Using (8), we can rewrite (9) as:

$$V_N(X_M) = \lim_k \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\widehat{\theta}_N^j u \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{W(e_i)}(X_M) e_i + \sum_{b \in B} \lambda_{W(e_b)}(X_M) e_b \right) \right]$$

But

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{W(e_i)}(X_M) e_i + \sum_{b \in B} \lambda_{W(e_b)} = X_M$$

So :

$$V_N(X_M) = \lim_k \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\widehat{\theta}_N^j u \right) (X_M) \right]$$

Then we prove that $\left\| (\widehat{\theta}_N^j u) X_M \right\|_{\mathbb{D}_n^p}$ is uniformly, in j and M, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\widehat{\theta}_N^j u)(X_M)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} &= \|\theta_N^j (1-L)^{\frac{r}{2}} (u \cdot (\widetilde{\theta}_N^j (X_M)))\|_{L^p} \\ &= \|(1-L)^{\frac{r}{2}} \{u(\widetilde{\theta}_N^j (X_M))\}\|_{L^p} \\ &= \|u \cdot (\widetilde{\theta}_N^j (X_M))\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} \end{aligned}$$

But $\{\widetilde{\theta}_N^j(X_M) / j \in \mathbb{N}_*; N, M \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ is a bounded subset of Der: let $f \in D, D$ being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded set of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$\|\widetilde{\theta}_N^j(X_M)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} = \|\theta_N^{-j}(X_M(\theta_N^j f))\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} = \|X_M(\theta_N^j f)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p}$$

From $\{\theta_N^j f / j \in \mathbb{N}_*; N \in \mathbb{N}_*; f \in D\}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded set and that $X_M \to \delta$ in Der Ω , we get that the set $\{\tilde{\theta}_N^j(X_M) / j \in \mathbb{N}_*; N \in \mathbb{N}_*; M \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ is bounded in Der, uniformly in j, N, M. And so is the set

$$\{(\hat{\theta}_N^j u)(X_M) \mid j \in \mathbb{N}_*; N \in \mathbb{N}_*; M \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$$

uniformly in j, N, M. Which proves that the set $\{V_N(X_M) / N \in \mathbb{N}_*; M \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ is uniformly in $N, M, \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -bounded.

Now we go back to the proof of Theorem 3.2: we have by direct computation:

$$\mathbf{E}[V_N(\delta)|\mathcal{F}_N] = \mathbf{E}[u(X_N)|\mathcal{F}_N]$$

and

$$\lim_{N\uparrow\infty} \mathbb{E}[u(X_N)|\mathcal{F}_N] = u(\delta)$$

this limit being a L^2 -limit. But from e) we also have $\sup_N ||V_N(\delta)||_{L^2} < +\infty$.

These two properties of the sequence $V_N(\delta)$ imply $V_N(\delta) \rightharpoonup u(\delta), (V_N(\delta))_N$ converges weakly towards $u(\delta)$.

As the $(V_N(\delta))$ converges weakly towards $u(\delta)$, there is a net of barycenters, built on the $V_N(\delta)$, which will strongly converge towards $u(\delta)$. Each item of this net has the form:

$$B(A_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i_j \in A_j} \mathbb{E}[u(e_{i_j}) | \mathcal{F}_{A_j}] \lambda_{W(e_{i_j})} \right)$$

where $\alpha_j \geq 0$ and A_j being a finite subset of \mathbb{N}_* . Then $||B(A_j)||_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} < +\infty$, independently of the (A_j)

Then the net $\{B(A_j)(\delta) \mid A_j \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ converges towards $u(\delta)$ and we also have $\sup_{A_j} \|B(A_j)(\delta)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} < +\infty$.

From these two properties, using the interpolation (Theorem 2.12), we deduce that the net $B(A_i)(\delta)$ converges D^{∞} towards $u(\delta)$.

COROLLARY 3..2. Given a bilinear positive form q on Der^{*}, the map $(\text{Der }\Omega)^* \ni u \to T_u \in \text{Der }\Omega$ is injective

Proof. Let $u \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ such that $T_u = 0$. There exists a net $u_{F_i} = \sum_{f \in F_i} a_f \lambda_{b_f}$, a_f and $b_f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $i \in I$, which converges towards u in $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$. Then $q\left(u, \sum_{f \in F_i} a_f \lambda_{b_f}\right) = \sum_{f \in F_i} T_u(b_f)$ converges towards 0; so q(u, u) = 0.

3. 7 Metric, Levi-Civita connection, curvature

We now study the notions of Levi-Civita connection, the curvature, and the torsion.

Let q be a bilinear form, positive an non degenerate on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$; q induces a map T_q :

$$\forall \alpha \in (\mathrm{Der}\,\Omega)^*, (T_q\alpha) \cdot f = q(\alpha, \lambda_f) = \delta_\alpha(f)$$

We denote by $\mathscr{D}_q = \{T_q \alpha \mid \alpha \in (\operatorname{Der} \Omega)^*\} \subset \operatorname{Der} \Omega$

DEFINITION 3..12 (of the bilinear form q_0 on \mathscr{D}_q). On \mathscr{D}_q we define $\widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}) = q(\alpha, \beta)$. This definition is legitimate because T_q is injective.

DEFINITION 3..13 (of the Levi-Civita connection associated to \hat{q}). Let $\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\gamma} \in \mathscr{D}_q$, we define $\nabla_{\delta_{\alpha}} \delta_{\beta} \in \text{Der } \Omega$ by

$$2\gamma(\nabla_{\delta_{\alpha}}\delta_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\beta},\delta_{\gamma}) + \delta_{\beta} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\gamma}) - \delta_{\gamma} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}) - T^{-1}(\delta_{\alpha})([\delta_{\beta},\delta_{\gamma}]) + T^{-1}(\delta_{\beta})([\delta_{\gamma},\delta_{\alpha}]) + T^{-1}(\delta_{\gamma})([\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}])$$

Each term of the r.h.s. of the above equation is meaningful because $T^{-1}: \mathscr{D}_q \to (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ and $[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}] \in \text{Der }\Omega$. We now denote $\nabla_{\delta_{\alpha}}\delta_{\beta}$ by $\nabla_{\alpha}\beta$. Then we define $(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta) \cdot f = \lambda_f(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta)$, where $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_f \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$.

Now we write improperly $\hat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta, \delta_{\gamma}) = \gamma(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta)$. With this notation, it is easy to show that:

- $\nabla_{\alpha}\beta$ verifies the Leibniz formula,
- $\delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\gamma}) = \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta, \delta_{\gamma}) + \widehat{q}(\delta_{\beta}, \nabla_{\alpha}\gamma)$ (compatibility with the metric)
- $\widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta,\delta_{\gamma}) \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha,\delta_{\gamma}) = (T^{-1}\delta_{\gamma})([\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}])$ (the torsion is zero)

which implies $\forall \gamma \in (\text{Der }\Omega)^*$,

$$\gamma(\nabla_{\alpha}\beta - \nabla_{\beta}\alpha - [\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}]) = 0$$

LEMMA 3..4. $\delta_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}_q \Rightarrow \nabla_{\alpha} \alpha \in \mathscr{D}_q.$

Proof. Using the definition of the Levi-Civita connection, we have

$$\widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha,\delta_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}) + \alpha([\delta_{\beta},\delta_{\alpha}]) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\beta} \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\alpha})$$

For all $\delta \in \text{Der }\Omega$, the map which associates δ with

$$\delta_{\alpha} \cdot \alpha(\delta) - \frac{1}{2} \delta \cdot \widehat{q}(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\alpha}) + \alpha([\delta, \delta_{\alpha}])$$

is an element of $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$; we denote it by ρ , then we have:

$$\widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha,\delta_{\beta}) = q(\rho,T_q^{-1}(\delta_{\beta})) = \widehat{q}(\delta_{\rho},\delta_{\beta})$$

Since \hat{q} is non degenerate, $\nabla_{\alpha} \alpha = \delta_{\rho} \in \mathscr{D}_q$.

COROLLARY 3..3.
$$\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta} \in \mathscr{D}_q \Rightarrow \nabla_{\alpha}\beta + \nabla_{\beta}\alpha \in \mathscr{D}_q.$$

Proof. $\nabla_{\alpha+\beta}(\alpha+\beta) - \nabla_{\alpha}\alpha - \nabla_{\beta}\beta \in \mathscr{D}_q.$

DEFINITION 3..14 (formal definition of the curvature). Let \hat{q} be the positive non degenerate bilinear form on \mathscr{D}_q , for $\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta} \in \mathscr{D}_q$, we define only formally first:

$$R(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}) = \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha), \delta_{\beta}) - \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha), \delta_{\beta}) - \widehat{q}(\nabla_{[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}]}\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta})$$

Using the compatibility with the Levi-Civita connection and the torsion being null, we get, still formally:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha, \delta_{\beta}) &= \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha), \delta_{\beta}) + \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha, \nabla_{\alpha}\beta) \\ \widehat{q}(\nabla_{[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}]}, \delta_{\alpha}) &= \widehat{q}([[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\alpha}], \delta_{\beta}) + \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\beta}) \end{split}$$

So, still formally,

$$R(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha, \delta_{\beta}) - \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\beta}\alpha, \nabla_{\alpha}\beta) - \delta_{\beta} \cdot \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha, \delta_{\beta}) + \widehat{q}(\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha, \nabla_{\beta}\beta) - \widehat{q}(\nabla_{[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}]}\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta})$$
(10)

The zero torsion implies:

$$\widehat{q}(\nabla_{[\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}]}\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}) = \widehat{q}([[\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}],\delta_{\alpha}],\delta_{\beta}) + \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q}([\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}],\delta_{\beta}) - \widehat{q}([\delta_{\alpha},\delta_{\beta}],\nabla_{\alpha}\beta)$$
(11)

Using (11) in (10), and denoting
$$\{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\alpha}\beta + \nabla_{\beta}\alpha) \in \mathscr{D}_{q}$$
:
 $R(\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}, \delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q} (\nabla_{\beta}\alpha, \delta_{\beta}) - \widehat{q} (\{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\}, \{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\})$
 $+ \frac{3}{4} \widehat{q} ([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], [\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}]) - \delta_{\beta} \cdot \widehat{q} (\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha, \delta_{\beta})$
 $+ \widehat{q} (\nabla_{\alpha}\alpha, \nabla_{\beta}\beta) - \widehat{q} ([[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\alpha}], \delta_{\beta})$
 $- \delta_{\alpha} \cdot \widehat{q} ([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta\beta], \delta_{\beta}) + \widehat{q} ([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\})$ (12)

As $\widehat{q}([[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\alpha}], \delta_{\beta}) = \beta \cdot ([[\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\alpha}]),$ $\widehat{q}([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \delta_{\beta}) = \beta \cdot ([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}])$

and

$$\widehat{q}([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], \{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\}) = T_q^{-1}(\{\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}\}) \cdot ([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}])$$

The only element in (12) which does not have any meaning is $\hat{q}([\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}], [\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}])$.

Then if we have on $(\text{Der }\Omega)^*$ two non degenerate positive bilinear forms q_1 and q_2 , and if the difference of $\widehat{q_1 - q_2}$ is defined on all $\text{Der }\Omega$, the difference of the associated curvatures, $R_1 - R_2$, is meaningful.

4. Multiplicators, derivations

Here we will characterize \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations which are also adapted and with zero-divergence. They bijectively correspond to some particularly important operators, named multiplicators, which we will first study. The general setting unless otherwise specified is a Wiener space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$ where H, the C-M. space is the set of functions $[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$ verifying the usual conditions of the C-M. space.

4. 1 Definition of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded processes and of multiplicators

DEFINITION 4..1. A \mathbb{D}^{∞} process $A(t, \omega)$, defined on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ with values in the $n \times n$ antisymmetrical matrices (denoted in short: $n \times n$ -A.M.), will be said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded iff:

 $\forall (p,r), p > 1, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}, \exists C(p,r) > 0 \text{ such that:}$

 $\sup_{t\in[0,1]} |||A(t,\omega)|||_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} \leq C(p,r)$, where $|A(t,\omega)|$ denotes any $n \times n$ matrix norm, which are all equivalent.

NOTATION. A \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field $X(\omega)$ is a map from Ω in H; this vector field generates a process on $[0,1] \times \Omega$, with values in \mathbb{R}^n , and then is denoted: $X(t,\omega)$.

DEFINITION 4..2. An adapted vector field X, is a vector field $X(t,\omega)$ which, when read as a process $X(t,\omega)$ is an adapted process.

DEFINITION 4..3. $A \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ -process $A(t, \omega) : [0, 1] \times \Omega \to n \times n - A.M.$ will be said to be a multiplicator iff its image of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field $V(\omega)$ is again a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field. That means: if $t \to \int_0^t \dot{V}(s, \omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, then $t \to \int_0^t A(s, \omega) \dot{V}(s, \omega) ds$ is again a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

LEMMA 4..1. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ -A.M. matricial process on $[0,1] \times \Omega$:

i) A is a multiplicator $\Leftrightarrow \forall i, j : a_{ij}$ is a multiplicator.

ii) A is a multiplicator implies: A is a linear continuous operator.

iii) A multiplicator implies A is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Proof. i) trivial. ii) direct application of the closed graph theorem. iii) let $(e_i)_{i \in \{1,...,n\}}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and $X_k(t,\epsilon,\omega): u \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^u \mathbb{1}_{[t,t+\epsilon]}(s) ds. e_k$ a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

$$\left((1-L)^{r/2}AX_k\right)(t,\epsilon,\omega): u \to \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_0^u \left((1-L)^{r/2}a_{kj}\right)(s,\omega)\mathbb{1}_{[t,t+\epsilon]}(s)ds.e_j$$

which implies:

$$\begin{split} \left\| (1-L)^{r/2} A. X_k(t,\epsilon,\omega) \right\|_H^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^1 du |(1-L)^{r/2} a_{kj}(u,\omega)|^2 \mathbb{1}_{[t,t+\epsilon[}(u) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} |(1-L)^{r/2} a_{kj}(u,\omega)|^2 du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\epsilon} du |(1-L)^{r/2} a_{kj}|^2, \forall k,j \end{split}$$

By the continuity of A, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall (p,r) \ \exists (q,s), \exists \text{ constant } C: \\ \|A.X_k(t,\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)} \leq C \|X_k(t,\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{D}^q_s(\Omega,H)} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two inequalities, we have:

$$\left\| \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon} du |(1-L)^{r/2} a_{kj}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \le C$$

Examples of multiplicators

CRITERION 4..1. Let $A(t, \omega) : [0, 1] \times \Omega \to n \times n - A.M$. be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -matricial process such that: $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{2}_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n}): f \to Af$ is continuous from \mathbb{D}^{2}_{∞} to \mathbb{D}^{2}_{∞} , t-uniformly. Then A is a multiplicator. The proof will be given later (Lemma 4..7).

CRITERION 4..2. Let $A(t, \omega) : [0, 1] \times \Omega \to n \times n$ -A.M. be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -matricial process such that with $A = (a_{ij}), \forall i, j, r$ such that: $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} (1-L)^{r/2} a_{ij}$ is bounded by a function $\in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$; then $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|D^r a_{ij}\|_{\otimes H}^r$ is also bounded by a function $\in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ and A is a multiplicator. *Proof.* Let $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$; we denote $D_i f = \langle \operatorname{grad} f, e_i \rangle_H$, $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ being an Hilbertian basis of H.

With the Mehler formula:

$$\left[D_i\left((1-L)^{-1}f\right)\right](x) = D_i\left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t}dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(xe^{-t} + y\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}})d\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}(y)\right]$$

 $d\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}(y)$ being the Wiener measure; so:

$$\left[D_i\left((1-L)^{-1}f\right)\right](x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-2t}dt}{(1-e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^i f(xe^{-t} + y\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}})d\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}(y)$$

The y^i are i.i.d. Gaussian variables. So Bessel-Perseval implies:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \operatorname{grad}[(1-L)^{-1}f] \right\|_{H}^{2}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| D_{i}(1-L)^{-1}f \right|^{2}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}(y) y^{i} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t}}{\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}} f(xe^{-t} + y\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}) dt \right\} \right]^{2} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} d\gamma^{\mathbb{N}}(y) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t} dt}{(1-e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} f(xe^{-t} + y\sqrt{1-e^{-2t}}) dt \right]^{2} \end{split}$$

which implies:

$$\|\operatorname{grad}(1-L)^{-1}f\|_{H}(\omega) \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t}dt}{(1-e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(P_{t}f\right)(\omega) \tag{1}$$

where P_t is the generator of the O.U. semi-group. Now, we have by hypothesis: $(1-L)a_{ij} \leq \alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ij} \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, so: $\| \operatorname{grad} a_{ij} \|_H(\omega) = \| \operatorname{grad}(1-L)^{-1}(1-L)a_{ij} \|_H(\omega)$; Using (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\operatorname{grad} a_{ij}\|_{H}(\omega) &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t} dt}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} P_{t}\left[(1 - L)a_{ij}\right] \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t} dt}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(P_{t} \alpha_{ij}\right) dt \\ &\operatorname{so:} \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left(\int_{0}^{1} ds \|\operatorname{grad} a_{ij}\|_{H}^{2}\right)^{p/2} \leq \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{0}^{1} ds \|\operatorname{grad} a_{ij}\|_{H}^{p} \\ &\leq \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2t} dt}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{1}{2}}} dt . P_{t} \alpha_{ij}\right|^{p} \leq \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2pt} dt}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{\frac{p}{2}}} |P_{t} \alpha_{ij}|^{p} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2pt} dt}{(1 - e^{-2t})^{p}} \|\alpha_{ij}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4..1. If the $\overset{r}{\otimes} H$ -norms of the iterated Malliavin derivation of $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are bounded by elements of $L^{\infty-0}$, this does not imply that the iterated O.U. of f are bounded by $L^{\infty-0}$ functions.

Example: $f = \cos \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}}$, B_t being Brownian.

REMARK 4..2. If A is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} process from $[0,1] \times \Omega$, valued in the $n \times n$ -A.M. but with the items being vectors of H, $A = (h_{ij})$,

if $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} ||(1-L)^{r/2}h_{ij}||_H$ is bounded by a function in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ then grad $A = (\operatorname{grad} h_{ij})$ is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$

4. 2 Example of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded processes which is not a multiplicator

PROPOSITION 4..1. The set of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicators is strictly included in the set of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded processes.

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in] -\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[$$
$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x(1-x)}} \text{ if } x \in]0, 1[$$

and $\varphi(x) = \int_x^{\infty} f(t)dt / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t)dt$; then $\varphi(x) = 1$ if x < 0, $\varphi(x) = 0$ if x > 1, and φ is strictly decreasing on [0, 1].

Let $\varphi_n = \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log n}).$

An Hilbertian basis of $L^2([0,1])$ is: $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$:

$$e_k: t \to \int_0^t 2^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{]1-\frac{1}{2^k}, 1-\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}]}(s) ds$$

Then we define the vector field V as:

$$V = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi_k(X_k) \right) \left(1 - \varphi_n(X_n) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + X_n^2}} e_n$$

 X_1, \ldots, X_n, \ldots being independent, centered, identically distributed Gaussian variables.

The candidate multiplicator process is:

$$C = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\sqrt{1+X_k^2}} \mathbb{1}_{]1-\frac{1}{2^k}, 1-\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}]}(t)$$

i) C is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded: let $t = t_0$ and k_0 be such that $t \in [1 - \frac{1}{2^{k_0}}, 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k_0+1}}]$; we have:

$$\|C(t_0,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p}^p = \left\|(1-L)^{r/2}C(t_0,\omega)\right\|_{L^p}^p = \int \left|(1-L)^{r/2}e^{\sqrt{1+X_{k_0}^2}}\right|^p \mathbb{P}(d\omega) < +\infty,$$

uniformly relatively to t.

ii) Now we show that $V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. It is enough to show that $V \in L^{\infty-0} \cap \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ (Theorem 2, 5). We have $\|V\|_H \leq 1$. We will show that $V \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ by bounding $\|L^r V\|_{L^2(\Omega,H)}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$, with a convergent serie: Let $\psi_k(X_k) = \varphi_k(X_k)$ if $k \leq n-1, \psi_n(X_n) = (1 - \varphi_n(X_n)) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+X_n^2}}$ if k = n. Then:

$$\int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left| L^r \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \psi_k(X_k) \right) \right|^2 = \int \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \psi_k(X_k) \right] \cdot \left[L^{2r} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_j(X_j) \right\} \right] \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = 2r} \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \psi_k(X_k) \right) \frac{(2r)!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n L^{\alpha_i}(\psi_i(X_i)) \right) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = 2r} \frac{(2r)!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \prod_{k=1}^n \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \psi_k(X_k) L^{\alpha_k}(\psi_k(X_k))$$

For each factor $I_k = \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega)\psi_k(X_k)L^{\alpha_k}.\psi_k$ we have four possibilities: a) $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_k \neq 0$: Then:

$$I_k^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log k}}^{\sqrt{2\log k}+1} \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k}) L^{\alpha_k} [\varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k})] e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

then:

$$|I_k^{(1)}| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{k} \int_{\sqrt{2\log k}}^{\sqrt{2\log k}+1} |L^{\alpha_k} \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k})| dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 |L^{\alpha_k} \varphi(u)| du \le \frac{1}{k\sqrt{2\pi}} M_1$$

with $M_1 = \sup_{1 \le j \le r} \int_0^1 |L^j \varphi(u)| du$. b) $1 \le k \le n-1$ and $\alpha_k = 0$:

$$\begin{split} |I_k^{(2)}| &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2\log k}+1} \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k})^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{2\log k}+1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \le 1 \\ \text{c) } k &= n, \alpha_n = 0 \\ I_n^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k})\right)^2 e^{-\sqrt{1+x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{+\infty} e^{-\sqrt{1+x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &\le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{1+2\log n}}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \end{split}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{1+2\log n}}} \cdot \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\log n}}$$
d) $k = n, \alpha_n \neq 0$:

$$I_n^{(2)} = \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log n})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} L^{\alpha_n} \left(\left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log k})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}}\right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$
and $L^{\alpha_n} = P_{\alpha_n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$ where P_{α_n} is a polynomial such that:
 $1 \leq deg(P_{\alpha_n}) \leq 4r$.
So:

$$I_n^{(2)} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} P_{\alpha_n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log n})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

and: $I_n^{(2)} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2\log n}}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{\infty} P_{\alpha_n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log n})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} \right) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$
But $P_{\alpha_n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left\{ \left(1 - \varphi(x - \sqrt{2\log n})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} \right\}$ can be rewritten as:

$$P_{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left\{\left(1-\varphi(x-\sqrt{2\log n})\right)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}}\right\} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_n+1}\frac{P_i(x,\varphi^{(j)})}{(1+x^2)^{i/2}}\right]$$

where P_i is a polynomial in x, and $\varphi^{(j)}$ being the j^{th} derivative of φ $(j = 1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$.

Using the same type of development for $P_{2r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)$, $|\varphi^{(j)}| \leq 1$, and substituting each coefficient with its module, we get: $\forall \alpha_n : 1 \leq \alpha_n \leq 2r$:

$$\left|P_{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)\left\{\left(1-\varphi(x-\sqrt{2\log n})\right)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}}\right\}\right| \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+x^2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \frac{|P_i(x,1)|}{(1+x^2)^{1/2}}\right] = R(x)$$
So there exists $N_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: $\forall x \ge \sqrt{2\log N_n}$ we have $R(x) \le 1$:

So there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: $\forall x \ge \sqrt{2 \log N_0}$, we have $R(x) \le 1$; Which implies:

$$I_n^{(2)} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2\log n}}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+2\log n}}} \cdot \frac{1}{n\sqrt{2\log n}}$$

Now, the entry of rank n of the serie defining $\|V\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$ can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = 2r} \frac{(2r)!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k I_n + \sum_{\alpha_n = 1}^{2r} \left(\sum_{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} = 2r - \alpha_n} \frac{2r!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} I_k I_n \right)$$
(1')

Let J be a finite subset of $\{2, \ldots, n-1\}$, of size λ with: $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2r$. We denote by $P(J) = \prod_{k \in J} I_k \leq C \prod_{k \in J} \frac{1}{k}$, C being a constant.

Then we know that there is a set \widetilde{J} of $\alpha_k, k \in J$, such that for each $\alpha_k \in \widetilde{J}$: $\alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\alpha_k \leq 2r$ and $\sum_{k \in J} \alpha_k = 2r$.

From the above decomposition of 2r we can construct another decomposition of 2r such that $\forall j \in J$: $\alpha_j = 1$, and

 $\alpha_1 = 2r - \lambda (= 2r - \sum_{j \in J} j).$

Then: $P(J)I_{\alpha_1} \leq C \prod_{k \in J} \frac{1}{k}$.

Now this item $\prod_{k \in J} \frac{1}{k}$ appear in the development of:

$$\left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{\alpha_1}\underbrace{\left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)\dots\left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)}_{\lambda \text{ times}} = \left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{2r}$$

The number of sums that can be used with λ integers to obtain 2r (each of the integers beging less or equal to 2r) is bounded by C(r), a constant which depends only on r, and not on n.

So each $\sum_{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_{\lambda}=2r} \frac{(2r)!}{\alpha_1!\dots\alpha_{\lambda}!} \prod_{k \in J} I_k$ can be bounded by $(2r)!C(r) \left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{2r}$. So (1') can be bounded by: C(r), being an "absorbing" constant:

$$\frac{C(r)\left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{2r}}{e^{\sqrt{1+2\log n}}n\sqrt{2\log n}} + \sum_{\alpha_n=1}^{2r}\frac{C(r)\left(1+\dots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{2r-\alpha_n}}{e^{\sqrt{1+2\log n}}n\sqrt{2\log n}} \le \frac{C(r)\left(\log n\right)^{2r}}{e^{\sqrt{1+2\log n}}n\sqrt{2\log n}}$$

This last inequality shows that the serie defining $||V||_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$ is bounded by a convergent serie, so $V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

iii) Now we prove that $C.V \notin L^2(\Omega, H)$, which will prove that although C is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, it is not a multiplicator. We have:

$$C.V(t,\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \varphi_k(X_k) \right) \left(1 - \varphi_n(X_n) \right) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + X_n^2}} e_n(t)$$

So:

$$\int \|C.V\|_{H}^{2} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (\varphi_{k}(X_{k}))^{2} (1 - \varphi_{n}(X_{n}))^{2} e^{\sqrt{1 + X_{n}^{2}}} \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

But:

$$\int \varphi_k(X_k)^2 \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \ge 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log k}}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \ge 1 - \frac{1}{(\sqrt{4n\log k})k}$$
We fix $\epsilon \ 0 \le \epsilon \le 1$:

We fix $\epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1$:

$$\int (1 - \varphi_n(X_n))^2 e^{\sqrt{1 + X_n^2}} \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n} + \epsilon}^{\sqrt{2\log n} + 1 + \epsilon} (1 - \varphi_n(x_n))^2 e^{\sqrt{1 + x_n^2}} e^{-\frac{x_n^2}{2}} dx_n \le (1 - \varphi(\epsilon))^2 e^{\sqrt{1 + 2\log n}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1 + \epsilon + \sqrt{2\log n})^2}$$

Now we will show that the serie with the general term:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k\sqrt{4\pi \log k}} \right) \cdot \frac{(1 - \varphi(\epsilon))^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n} + \epsilon}^{\sqrt{2\log n} + 1 + \epsilon} e^{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \qquad (2)$$

is divergent.

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 4..2. Let a_k be a sequence of numbers such that: $0 < a_k < \frac{1}{2}, \sum_k a_k = +\infty, \sum_k a_k^2 < +\infty$ Then there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, with $\forall n$: $\prod_{k=1} n(1-a_k) \ge C_0 e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n a_k}$ We have: $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\pi}}\right)' = \frac{1}{x\sqrt{4\pi \log x}}$, so: $\frac{1}{k\sqrt{4\pi \log k}} \le \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\pi}} - \sqrt{2}$

We have: $\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\pi}}\right)' = \frac{1}{x\sqrt{4\pi \log x}}$, so: $\frac{1}{k\sqrt{4\pi \log k}} \le \sqrt{\frac{\log k}{\pi}} - \sqrt{\frac{\log(k-1)}{\pi}}$. With Lemma 4..2 we get: $\prod_{k=2}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k\sqrt{4\pi \log k}}\right) \ge C_0 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\log(n-1)}{\pi}}}$ Then the general term in (2) is bigger than

$$e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\log(n-1)}{\pi}}} \cdot \frac{(1-\varphi(\epsilon))^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon}^{\sqrt{2\log n}+1+\epsilon} e^{\sqrt{1+x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon}^{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon} e^{\sqrt{1+x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx &= \int_{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon}^{\sqrt{2\log n}+1+\epsilon} e^{\sqrt{1+x^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{x dx}{x} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)} \cdot \int_{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}^{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1)^2} e^{\sqrt{1+u}} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} du \\ &\geq \frac{e^{\sqrt{1+(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}}}{2(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1)} \int_{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}^{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1)^2} e^{-\frac{u}{2}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1} \cdot e^{\sqrt{1+(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}} \cdot \left[e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1)^2}{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1} \cdot e^{\sqrt{1+(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}} \cdot \left[e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}{2}} - e^{-\frac{(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon+1)^2}{2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n \neq \infty \text{ and } e^{\sqrt{1+(\sqrt{2\log n}+\epsilon)^2}} \sim e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} \end{split}$$

 $e^{\sqrt{2\log n+\epsilon}}$ when $n \uparrow \infty$.

So the general term above is equivalent to, ${\cal C}_1$ being a constant:

$$C_1 \cdot (1 - \varphi(\epsilon))^2 e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\log(n-1)}{\pi}}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{2\log n} + \epsilon}}{\sqrt{2\log n} + 1 + \epsilon} e^{-\log n} e^{-\epsilon\sqrt{2\log n}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}}$$

which is equivalent to:

$$C_1 \cdot \frac{(1-\varphi(\epsilon))^2 e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}+\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2\log n}+1+\epsilon} \frac{1}{n} e^{\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}-\epsilon\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}\right)\sqrt{\log n}}$$
(3)

So choosing ϵ such that: $0 < \epsilon < 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$, (3) is the general of a divergent serie which is less than: $\int \|C.V\|_H^2 \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$.

4. 3 Identity of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivations which null-divergence adapted multiplicators

Now we will study the relation between adapted multiplicators and adapted derivations with null divergence.

Let $A = (a_j^i)$ be an adapted multiplicator process taking its values in $n \times n$ -A.M. We define a map D_A from the Gaussian variables in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by:

let B be an \mathbb{R}^n -valued Brownian on $W(h_1, \ldots, h_n)$:

 $D_A[W(h_1,...,h_n)] = -\int_0^1 (\dot{h_1},...,\dot{h_n}) A dB$

 D_A can be extended on the set of polynomials in Gaussian variables with the Leibniz rule.

A being an antisymmetrical matrix, div A grad is a derivation; P being a polynomial of Gaussian variables, and A being adapted, div A grad P can be written with an Itō integral which coincides with $D_A P$. So D_A can be extended in a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation from \mathbb{D}^{∞} to \mathbb{D}^{∞} .

THEOREM 4..1. Conversely, each \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation δ of \mathbb{D}^{∞} in \mathbb{D}^{∞} , which is adapted and with a null divergence, has the form D_A , A being an adapted multiplicator process with values in the $n \times n$ -A.M.

The proof of Theorem 4..1 needs several lemmas. We first define the coefficients a_j^i of the candidate A: let (B_t^1, \ldots, B_t^n) be an n-uple of independent Brownian processes. Then by the Clark-Ocone formula we have:

 $\delta B_1^i = \int_0^1 t\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{grad}(\delta B_1^i)_j(s) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] dB_s^j$, $\operatorname{grad}(\delta B_1^i)_j$ being the j^{th} component of the vector $\operatorname{grad}(\delta B_1^i)$ which is a process, for which the value in s is taken. We define $A = {}^t(a_j^i)(t,\omega) = {}^t\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{grad}(\delta B_1^i)_j(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$ and will show that A is an adapted multiplicator with values in $n \times n$ -A.M., and that $D_A = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad} = \delta$.

It is obvious from the definition of A that A is adapted. We first prove that A is antisymmetric.

LEMMA 4..3. δ being an \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation, adapted and with null divergence, then B_t being a Brownian process:

i) $\delta B_t, t \in [0, 1]$ is a martingale. ii) $\forall W(h_1,\ldots,h_n): \delta\left(\mathbb{E}\left[W(h_1,\ldots,h_n)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right)$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -martingale. *iii*) $\delta (\mathbb{E} [W(h_1, \ldots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_t]) \mathbb{E} [W(h_1, \ldots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -martingale. iv) ${}^{t}a_{j}^{i} = \mathbb{E}\left[\operatorname{grad}(\delta B_{1}^{i})_{j}(s)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ is antisymmetrical.

Proof. i) straightforward: $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_s : \int \varphi \left[\delta B_s - \mathbb{E} \left[\delta B_t | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right] = \int \varphi \delta \left(B_s - B_t \right) =$

 δ being adapted. ii) $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_s$:

0,

$$\int \varphi \mathbb{E} \left[\delta W(h_1, \dots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = -\int \delta \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\varphi | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right) W(h_1, \dots, h_n)$$
$$= -\int \delta \varphi . \mathbb{E} \left[W(h_1, \dots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$
$$= +\int \varphi . \delta \mathbb{E} \left[W(h_1, \dots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_s \right]$$

implies $\mathbb{E}\left[\delta W(h_1,\ldots,h_n)|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = \delta \mathbb{E}\left[W(h_1,\ldots,h_n)|\mathcal{F}_s\right]$ iii) Denote $M_s = \mathbb{E}[W(h_1, \dots, h_n) | \mathcal{F}_s]$. Let: $0 \le u \le s$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_u : \int \frac{1}{2} \delta(M_s)^2 \varphi &= -\int \frac{1}{2} \delta \varphi. \mathbb{E} \left[M_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_u \right] (\delta \text{ adapted}) \\ &= -\int \frac{1}{2} \delta \varphi. \mathbb{E} \left[2 \int_0^s M dM + \int_0^s [dM, dM] \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right] \\ &= +\int \frac{1}{2} \varphi \delta \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[2 \int_0^s M dM + \int_0^s [dM, dM] \middle| \mathcal{F}_u \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

But $dM = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dot{h_i} dB_i$, so $\int_0^s [dM, dM] = \int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dot{h_i}^2 d\rho$ Then:

$$\int \frac{1}{2} \varphi . \delta(M_s)^2 = \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi . \delta\left(\mathbb{E}\left[2\int_0^s M dM\right]\right) \qquad \left(\delta\left[\int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{h_i^2} d\rho\right] = 0\right)$$

So:

$$\int \frac{1}{2} \varphi \delta(M_s)^2 = \int \varphi . \delta\left(\left[\frac{1}{2}M_u^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u [dM, dM]\right]\right) \text{ (Itō formula)}$$
$$= \int \varphi . M_u \delta M_u$$

iv)

 $\delta\left(B_t^i B_t^j\right) = B_t^j \delta B_t^i + B_t^i \delta B_t^j$

$$= \int_0^t \delta B_s^i dB_s^j + \int_0^t B_s^j d(\delta B_s^i) + \int_0^t \delta B_s^j dBs^i + \int_0^t B_s^i d(\delta B_s^j) \\ + \int_0^t \left[d(\delta B_s^i), dB_s^j \right] + \int_0^t \left[d(\delta B_s^j), dB_s^i \right]$$

iii) implies:

$$\int_0^t \left[d(\delta B_s^i), dB_s^j \right] + \int_0^t \left[d(\delta B_s^j), dB_s^i \right] = 0 \tag{4}$$

Now: $\delta B_s^i = \mathbb{E}\left[\delta B_1^i | \mathcal{F}_s\right] = \int_0^s {}^t a_j^i(\rho) dB_{\rho}^j$, ${}^t a_i^j$ being the transposed matrix of a_i^j .

Then: $d(\delta B_1^i) = {}^t a_k^i(s,\omega) dB_s^k$. (4) becomes:

$$\int_0^t \left[a_j^i(s,\omega) + a_i^j(s,\omega) \right] ds = 0, \forall t \in [0,1]$$

LEMMA 4..4. $A = (a_j^i)$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t^k}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \frac{\delta\left[B_{t+\epsilon}^i - B_t^i\right]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_t^{t+\epsilon} t a_j^i dB^d \cdot \int_t^{t+\epsilon} dB^k \bigg| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_t^{t+\epsilon} M^{(1)} \cdot t a_j^i dB^j + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_t^{t+\epsilon} M^{(2)} dB^k + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_t^{t+\epsilon} t a_k^i ds \bigg| \mathcal{F}_t\right].$$

with Itō formula, $M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ being the obvious martingales.

Let $\epsilon \to 0$: the r.h.s. of the above equation converges $L^1(\Omega)$ towards ${}^ta_k^i$, and is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, so a_k^i is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Now A being antisymmetric, div A grad is a derivation on the domain of the polynomials in Gaussian variables, which coincides with δ on this domain.

The only property left to verify is that A is a multiplicator and then: $D_A = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad}$ will be a derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, which will coincide with δ on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

For this, the following lemmas are needed:

LEMMA 4..5. i) If $P \in \mathcal{C}_n, Q \in \mathcal{C}_m, \exists k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that: $\|PQ\|_{L^2} \leq k(n)(m+1)^n \|P\|_2 \|Q\|_2.$ ii) The multiplication by an element of \mathcal{C}_n sends \mathbb{D}^2_∞ to \mathbb{D}^2_∞ .

Proof. i) let $A_{n,m} = \sup \{ \|PQ\|_{L^2} | P \in \mathcal{C}_n, \|P\|_2 \le 1, Q \in \mathcal{C}_m, \|Q\|_2 \le 1 \}$ and define $P(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[P|\mathcal{F}_t \right] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] dB_s$ and $Q(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[Q|\mathcal{F}_t \right] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] dB_s$

From the Itō formula, we get:

$$P(1)Q(1) - P(0)Q(0) = PQ$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] dB_s \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] dB_t$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(s) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] dB_s \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] dB_t$$

$$+ \int_0^1 dt \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$
(5)

The square of the L^2 -norm of the above first integral is bounded by:

$$\int_0^1 dt \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[Q(t)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]^2\right] \le \int_0^1 dt A_{n-1,m}^2 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]^2\right]$$
and:

$$\int_0^1 dt \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] dB_t \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}\left[P^2 \right]$$

So the L^2 -norm of the first above integral is bounded by $A_{n-1,m}$; similarly, the L^2 -norm of the second integral is bounded by $A_{n,m-1}$. For the third and last integral in (5), we have:

$$\left\| \int_{0}^{1} dt \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] . \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \int_{0}^{1} dt \, \|\mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] . \mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ \leq \int_{0}^{1} dt A_{n-1,m-1} \, \|\mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \|_{L^{2}} . \, \|\mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \|_{L^{2}} \\ \leq A_{n-1,m-1} \left[\int_{0}^{1} dt \|\mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} P)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \|_{L^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} dt \|\mathbb{E} \left[(\operatorname{grad} Q)(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t} \right] \|_{L^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

So at the end:

$$\|PQ\|_{L^2} \le (A_{n-1,m} + A_{n,m-1} + A_{n-1,m-1}) \|P\|_{L^2} \cdot \|Q\|_{L^2}$$

So we have: $A_{n-1,m} + A_{n,m-1} + A_{n-1,m-1} \ge A_{n,m}$. Let's write: $A_{n,m} = k(n)(m+1)^n$ then $k(n) = k(n-1)(2^{n-1}+1)$ fits. ii) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}(\Omega)$; $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n(\Omega)$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_m$; the sequence of L^2 -norms $\|\alpha_n\|_{L^2}$ is fast decreasing; each $\beta \alpha_n$

Let $\beta \in C_m$; the sequence of L^2 -norms $\|\alpha_n\|_{L^2}$ is fast decreasing; each $\beta \alpha_n$ is then a polynomial with terms belonging to the chaos of orders

 $|n - m|, \ldots, n + m$; and the multiplication of a fast decreasing L^2 -norm sequence, by a fixed polynomial is again a sequence of fast decreasing L^2 -norms.

- $n \times n$ -A.M., and δ_A defined on the finite linear combinations of polynomials in Gaussian variables, by div A grad.
- Then: $\delta(\mathcal{C}_m) \subset \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and if P is a polynomial in Gaussian variables, $\delta(P) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.
- ii) Moreover if δ_A is defined on \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} and sends continuously \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} in \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} , then δ_A sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuously $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

REMARK 4..3. \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} is not an algebra, so δ_A cannot be called a derivation on \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} .

Proof. i) Let $Q \in \mathcal{C}_m(\Omega)$. Then grad $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{m-1}(\Omega, H)$ which can be written (m > 1):

$$(\operatorname{grad} Q)_j(t,\omega) = \int_0^t Z_j(s,\omega) ds, Z_j \in \mathcal{C}_{m-1}(\Omega), j = 1, \dots n$$

Then $A(\operatorname{grad} Q)$ can be written:

$$(A. \operatorname{grad} Q)_{k}(t, \omega) = \int_{0}^{t} A_{k}^{j} Z_{j}(s, \omega) ds, k = 1, \dots, n$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{t} A_{k}^{j} \frac{Z_{j}(s, \omega)}{\sqrt{1 + \|Z(s, .)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})}}} \sqrt{1 + \|Z(s, .)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n})}} ds$$
(6)

In this last integral $\frac{Z_j}{\sqrt{1+\|Z(s,.)\|_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{m-1}(\Omega)$ on which $\|L^p$ norms are equivalent.

all L^p norms are equivalent. So $A_k^j \frac{Z_j(s,\omega)}{\sqrt{1+\|Z(s,.)\|_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}}}$ is \mathbb{D}^∞ -bounded; and the measure $\sqrt{1+\|Z(s,.)\|_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)}}.ds$ is $L^2([0,1])$ -bounded, so the r.h.s. integral in (6) is \mathbb{D}^∞ -bounded, which

implies $\delta_A Q \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

ii) $\delta_A^2(e^{iP})$, P being a polynomial in Gaussian variables is meaningful because $P \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ implies $\delta_A(e^{iP}) \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ so $\delta_A(\delta_A.e^{iP}) \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$. Then $\operatorname{grad}(\delta_A e^{iP})$ formally equals to $ie^{iP} \operatorname{grad}(\delta_A P) - e^{iP} \delta_A P$. grad P which is meaningful as a definition because \mathbb{D}^{∞} is an algebra.

Then div $[A \operatorname{grad} e^{iP}] = ie^{iP}\delta_A P = \delta_A(e^{iP}).$ And $\delta_A^2(e^{iP}) = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad}(\delta_A(e^{iP}))$ which formally equals to:

$$ie^{iP}\operatorname{div}[A\operatorname{grad}(\delta_A P)] - ie^{iP}\delta_A P.\langle\operatorname{grad} P, A(\operatorname{grad} P)\rangle_H - e^{iP}(\delta_A P)^2 = ie^{iP}\delta_A^2 P - e^{iP}(\delta_A P)^2$$

since A is antisymmetric.

Each of the two terms in the above sum is meaningful because

$$\mathbb{D}^{\infty} \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} = \mathbb{D}^{2-0}_{\infty}; \text{ so: } \delta^2_A(e^{iP}) = ie^{iP}\delta^2_A P - e^{iP}(\delta_A P)^2 \text{ and}$$
$$(\delta_A P)^2 = i\delta^2_A P - e^{-iP}\delta^2_A(e^{iP}) \tag{7}$$

So with a sequence of polynomials in Gaussian variable \mathbb{D}^{∞} -converging towards $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with (7) we see that $\delta_A f \in L^4(\Omega)$.

Then we have: $\delta_A : \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ and also: $\delta_A : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap L^4(\Omega)$. By interpolation, we get: $\delta_A : \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2+} \to \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2+}$. Now let $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $g \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2+}$: then $fg \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2+}$ and

 $\delta_A(fg) = f \delta_a g + g \delta_A f$ which implies: $g \delta_A f \in \mathbb{D}^{2+}_{\infty}(\Omega)$. So the operator multiplication by $\delta_A f$ is such that: $\mathbb{D}^{2+}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^{2+}_{\infty}$; so $\delta_A f \in \mathbb{D}^{2+}_{\infty}$ (with g = 1).

Then all powers of $\delta_A f$ are in \mathbb{D}^{2+}_{∞} , which implies $\delta_A f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

The \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuity of $\delta : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ is obtained by the closed graph theorem and by the continuity of $\delta_A : \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$.

DEFINITION 4..4. $A \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}$ multiplicative operator A, process from

 $[0,1] \times \Omega$ to the $n \times n$ -A.M. is an operator which acts \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} -continuously by simple multiplication on functions: $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ that is:

 $\forall r > 1, \exists r' > 1, \exists C(r, r') > 0 : \forall f = (f_1, \dots, f_n):$

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|A.f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r(\Omega)} \le C(r, r') \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_{r'}(\Omega)}$$

LEMMA 4..7. Let $A(t,\omega)$ be a \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} -multiplicative operator, $n \times n$ -A.M. valued. Then $A(t,\omega)$ is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega,H)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega,H)$.

Proof. let θ be the morphism defined on $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega)$ by:

$$\theta\left[W\left(t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,a]}(s)ds\right)\right] = \sqrt{2}W\left[\left(t \to \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,a/2]}(s)ds\right)\right]$$

 θ can be extended as a morphism from \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} in \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} because it leaves invariant the L^2 scalar product, each chaos \mathcal{C}_n , and it commutes with the O.U. operator.

Then θ is bijective and isometric from $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ into $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}})$, so it induces a bijection from $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P})$ into $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{P})$, and from $\mathbb{D}_r^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{P})$ into $\mathbb{D}_r^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{P}).$

We define: $\check{A}(s,\omega) = 0$ if $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$

 $\check{A}(s,\omega) = \theta A(2s-1,\omega) \text{ if } \frac{1}{2} < s \le 1.$

 \check{A} is an adapted process $(\theta: \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Direct computation shows that \check{A} is a $\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ multiplicative operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha \in (\mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}})(\Omega) \colon \forall r \geq 1 \ \exists r' \geq 1 \ \exists C(r,r') \\ \|\check{A}(s,.)\alpha(.)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(r,r')\|\alpha(.)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{2}(\Omega)} \\ \text{Then } \check{A} \text{ is a } \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2}(\Omega,H) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ multiplicator.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall X \in \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2}(\Omega, H) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}} : \\ \|\check{A}.X\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{2}(\Omega, H)} &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{0}^{1} ds \|(1-L)^{r/2} \{\check{A}(s, \omega)X(s, \omega)\}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} \\ &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} ds \|(1-L)^{r/2} \{\theta[A(2s-1, \omega)]X(s, \omega)\}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} \\ &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} ds \|(1-L)^{r/2} \{\theta[A(2s-1, \omega).\theta^{-1}X(s, \omega)]\}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} du \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \|(1-L)^{r/2} \{\theta[A(u, \omega).\theta^{-1}X(\frac{u+1}{2}, \omega)]\}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}^{2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} du \left\|A(u, .).\theta^{-1}X(\frac{u+1}{2}, .)\right\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{2}}^{2} \ (\theta \text{ commutes with O.U.}) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} du C(r, r') \left\|\theta^{-1}X(\frac{u+1}{2}, .)\right\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{2}}^{2} \ (C(r, r') \text{ is a constant}) \\ &\leq 2C(r) \|X\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{2}(\Omega, H)}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\delta_{\check{A}} = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad}$ is a \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} -continuous operator on $\mathbb{D}^2_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. With Theorem 2, 2, we get an extension $\widetilde{\delta}_{\check{A}}$ on

 $\mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2}\left[(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{P}) \times (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\perp}, \mathbb{P})\right] = \mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{2}(\Omega).$

This extension is \mathbb{D}^2_{∞} -continuous. With Lemma 4..6 ii) it is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$; using this continuity and direct computation, $\tilde{\delta}_{\check{A}}$ is a derivation, which is adapted because \check{A} is adapted, so $\delta_{\check{A}}$ is an adapted derivation.

Now let $(V_i(s,\omega))_{i=1,...,n}$ be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -adapted vector field. Then $\int_0^1 {}^tV_i dB^i$ is an Itō integral, and:

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\delta}_{\breve{A}} \left[\int_0^1 {}^t V_i dB^i \right] &= \int_0^t (\widetilde{\delta}_{\breve{A}} {}^t V_i) dB^i + \int_0^1 {}^t V_i (\widetilde{\delta}_{\breve{A}} dB^i) \\ &= \int_0^1 (\widetilde{\delta}_{\breve{A}} {}^t V_i) dB^i + \int_0^1 ({}^t V_i) {}^t \breve{A} dB^i \end{split}$$

Let $F = \widetilde{\delta}_{\check{A}}(\int_0^1 {}^tV_i dB^i)$; with Clark-Ocone we have:

$$F = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} F)_i(t) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] dB^i = \int_0^1 (\widetilde{\delta}_{\breve{A}}{}^t V_i) dB^i + \int_0^1 ({}^t V_i){}^t \breve{A} dB^i$$

In this last equation, all integrals are Ito integrals so:

$${}^{t}V_{i}^{t}\check{A} = \mathbb{E}\left[(\operatorname{grad} F)_{i}(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right] - \widetilde{\delta}_{\check{A}}({}^{t}V_{i})$$

With Theorem 2, 8, $\mathbb{E}[(\operatorname{grad} F)_i(t)|\mathcal{F}_t]$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field; which proves that ${}^tV_i^t\check{A}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

The map: $X \xrightarrow{\mu} \hat{X}(s,\omega) = X(\frac{s+1}{2},\omega)$ is the left inverse of: $X \xrightarrow{\lambda} \check{X}$ and $\mu \circ \lambda(X(s,\omega)) = X(s,\omega)$.

Let $V(s, \omega)$ be a possibly non-adapted \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field; then ${}^{t}\check{V}(s, \omega)$ is an adapted \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, and so is ${}^{t}A.{}^{t}\check{V}$; so using the left inverse map μ above, A.V is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

So A is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ multiplicator.

COROLLARY 4..1. If $A(s, \omega)$ is a process with values in $n \times n$ -A.M., such that $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}_{\star} : \forall s \in [0, 1] : A(s, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}_m$ and $||A(s, .)||_{L^2}$ is uniformly bounded (in s), then A is a multiplicator.

Proof. Use Lemma 4..5, ii), and Lemma 4..7

Now we go back to the end of the proof of Theorem 4..1:

We already know that A being the $n \times n$ matrix with ${}^{t}a_{j}^{i}(t,\omega) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\delta B_{1}^{i})_{j}(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$ is asymetrical, adapted. We now show that A is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ multiplicator process.

The family $t \to \frac{B^i(t+\epsilon)-B^i_t}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ is in $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega)$ and is (t,ϵ) -uniformly L^2 -bounded, and so is a family of multiplicators (Corollary 3, 1), uniformly in (t,ϵ) .

And if $h(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^∞ -vector field then $\forall i = 1, \dots, n: t \to \int_0^t \frac{B_{t+\epsilon}^i - B_t^i}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^∞ -vector field and: $\delta \left[\int_0^t \left(B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i \right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds \right] = \int_0^t \delta \left(B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i \right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds + \int_0^t \left(B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i \right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$

$$\delta \left[\int_0^t \left(\frac{B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds \right] = \int_0^t \delta \left(\frac{B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds + \int_0^t \left(\frac{B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right) \delta \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$$

In the above equation, the first and last items are vector fields (in the first integral, δ is the extension of Corollary 2, 4); so $t \to \int_0^t \delta\left(\frac{B_{t+\epsilon}^i - B_t^i}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right) \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^∞ -vector field, which proves that: $s \to \delta \frac{[B_{s+\epsilon}^i - B_s^i]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ are multiplicators, ϵ -uniformly ($0 < \epsilon < 1$).

Then, with the It \bar{o} formula, and Lemma 4..3 i):

$$F^{i,j}(\epsilon) = \frac{B^{i}(s+\epsilon) - B^{i}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \delta \left[\frac{B^{j}(s+\epsilon) - B^{j}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} t a_{i}^{j} du + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} \left(\int_{s}^{u} t a_{k}^{j} dB^{k} \right) dB_{u}^{i} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} \left(\int_{s}^{u} dB^{i} \right) t a_{k}^{j} dB_{u}^{k}$$
so:

$$\left\| F^{i,j}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} {}^{t}a_{i}^{j}du \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} du \left(\int_{s}^{u} d\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[{}^{t}a_{k}^{i}(\alpha, .)^{2} \right] \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[\int_{s}^{s+\epsilon} du \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\int_{s}^{u} dB^{j} \right)^{2} . \left({}^{t}a_{k}^{i} \right)^{2} \right] \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(8)$$

as ${}^{t}a_{k}^{i}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded (Lemma 4..4), we see that the r.h.s. of (8) is L^{2} -bounded. But $F^{i,j}(\epsilon) \in \mathcal{F}_s^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{F}_{s+\epsilon}$; the filtration being right-continuous: $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_s^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{F}_{s+\epsilon} = \{0\}.$

So $(F^{i,j}(\epsilon))$ admits an adherence value, which is 0, to which $F^{i,j}(\epsilon)$ converges

 L^2 -weakly.

And as from (8) we see that $F^{i,j}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_s^{s+\epsilon} t a_i^j du$ admits a L^2 -weak limit, we see that:

$$\frac{B^i(s+\epsilon) - B^i(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \delta \frac{\left[B^j(s+\epsilon) - B^j(s)\right]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \stackrel{\underline{L}^2}{\rightharpoonup} {}^t a_i^j$$

All that is left to prove is that the L^2 -weak limit of multiplicators $\frac{B^i(s+\epsilon)-B^i(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \delta \frac{\left[B^j(s+\epsilon)-B^j(s)\right]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ is again a multiplicator.
Then a net of barycenters $b_{ij}(\epsilon)$ constructed using the items of the sequence

 $\frac{B^{i}(s+\epsilon)-B^{i}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \delta \frac{\left[B^{j}(s+\epsilon)-B^{j}(s)\right]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \text{ is } L^{2} \text{-strongly convergent towards } {}^{t}a_{i}^{j}.$ But the $\frac{B^{i}(s+\epsilon)-B^{i}(s)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \cdot \delta \frac{\left[B^{j}(s+\epsilon)-B^{j}(s)\right]}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$ are ϵ -uniformly multiplicators; so are the $b_{ij}(\epsilon)$, as they are barycenters built on multiplicators.

Then, for $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, $b_{ij}(\epsilon) X$ converges in L^2 towards ${}^{t}a_{i}^{j}X$ and the $b_{ii}(\epsilon) X$ are uniformly \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded; so by interpolation, the convergence of the $b_{ij}(\epsilon).X$ is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, which proves that ${}^{t}a_{j}^{i}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

THEOREM 4..2. Let U_n be a sequence of adapted \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields such that the associated derivations converge pointwise towards a derivation δ , with zero divergence. Then $\delta \equiv 0$.

Proof. We remind that for $\delta \in Der$, div δ is an operator such that: $\forall \varphi \in$ $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : (\operatorname{div} \delta).\varphi = \int \delta\varphi.$

Denote by δ_n the derivation associated with U_n : $\forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : \delta_n \varphi = U_n \cdot \varphi = \langle U_n, \operatorname{grad} \varphi \rangle_H$ Then div $\delta_n \to \operatorname{div} \delta$ in the "distribution" meaning, that is: $\int \langle U_n, \operatorname{grad} \varphi \rangle_H \to \int \delta \varphi, \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega)$ By hypothesis: $\int \langle U_n, \operatorname{grad} \varphi \rangle_H d\mathbb{P} \to 0$, Then: $\int (\operatorname{div} U_n) \cdot \varphi \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \to 0$, so $\operatorname{div} U_n \to 0$ as distributions $\in (\mathbb{D}^{\infty})^*$. Then grad(div U_n) $\rightarrow 0$ as distributions $\in (\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H))^*$.

Then $\mathbb{E}\left[\overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}}\operatorname{div} U_n|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$ is also a sequence of adapted vector fields, which as distributions of $(\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H))^*$ converges towards 0 as the operator projection on \mathcal{F}_t is continuous.

But: $\int \mathbb{E} \left| \overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} \operatorname{div} U_n | \mathcal{F}_t \right| dB = \operatorname{div} U_n$ (Clark-Ocone) and as U_n is adapted: $\int U_n dB = \operatorname{div} U_n \text{ (Skorokhod integral)}$ So $\mathbb{E} \left[\operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} U_n | \mathcal{F}_t \right] = U_n \text{ (Fundamental isometry).}$

Then $U_n \to 0$ as distributions $\in (\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H))^*$.

With: $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have: $\varphi \operatorname{grad} \psi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ which implies:

$$\int \langle U_n, \varphi \operatorname{grad} \psi \rangle_H \ \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = \int \varphi . U_n(\psi) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \to \int \varphi(\delta\psi) \mathbb{P}(d\omega) = 0$$

COROLLARY 4..2. An adapted derivation is not generally a limit of a sequence of adapted vector fields.

For the Corollary 4..3 that follows, the notion of stochastic parallel transport of a vector X in a Riemannian manifold is needed. It will be defined later in Section 5, and denoted $X_{//}(t,\omega)$.

COROLLARY 4..3. Given a compact Riemannian manifold (V_n, g) and $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ being the set of continuous paths: $[0,1] \rightarrow V_n$, starting from

 $m_0 \in V_n$, there cannot be a global chart from $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n,g)$ into the Wiener space such that:

- *i)* it leaves the measure invariant.
- ii) it has a continuous linear tangent map on the associated spaced of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations.
- iii) this tangent map sends a dense subset \mathfrak{X} of the adapted vector fields:

$$\mathfrak{X} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(t,\omega) e_{i//}(t,\omega) \middle| a_i(t,\omega) \ adapted \right\}$$

in a dense subset of the adapted vector fields on the Wiener space; $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ being an orthonormal basis of $T_{m_0}V_n$.

REMARK 4..4. This result proves that even another chart map than the $It\bar{o}$ map, satisfying reasonable conditions, does not have a linear tangent map.

Proof. Suppose there exists such a global chart ψ and that T_{ψ} is the associated linear tangent map. From Theorem 4.1, we know that there is a derivation δ adapted, with a null divergence, and three vector fields u, v, w, such that: $\delta = [u, v] - w$. Then from iii) there exist three sequences of X, $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}, (v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}, (w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$, such that: $u_n \stackrel{\text{Der}}{\to} u, v_n \stackrel{\text{Der}}{\to} v, w_n \stackrel{\text{Der}}{\to} w$; as $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ is bounded in Der, by Banach-Steinhaus we have:

 $[u_n, v_n] \xrightarrow{\text{Der}} [u, v]; \text{ so } [u_n, v_n] - w_n \xrightarrow{\text{Der}} \delta$

and $T_{\psi}([u_n, v_n] - w_n) = [T_{\psi}u_n, T_{\psi}v_n] - T_{\psi}w_n \stackrel{\text{Der}}{\to} T\delta.$

But by i): div $(T_{\psi}\delta) = 0$, and $[T_{\psi}u_n, T_{\psi}v_n] - T_{\psi}w_n$ is an adapted vector field.

Then Theorem 4..2 proves that $T_{\psi}\delta = 0$, which implies $\delta = 0$.

REMARK 4..5. It is the property of adaptation that is at the root of this impossibility to find a regular enough global chart, which would admit a linear tangent map.

REMARK 4..6. Instead of \mathfrak{X} being the set of the adapted vector fields, we could have chosen any dense subset of adapted vector fields, because later we will see that the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -module generated by such a subset is dense in the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations.

THEOREM 4..3. Let $X : [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be an α - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian process. Then X is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

Proof. From Theorem 2, 10, we know that $X = Y \star \beta_s$ with

 $Y = \frac{d}{dt}(X \star \beta_{1-s})$ and Y is completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} .

Then fixing $\gamma > 1$, and q such that 0 < qs < 1, there exists p > 1 with $1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.

Y being completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} , $\|Y(\omega, .)\|_{L^{p}([0,1],dt)} \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. So:

$$\forall t : |X(t,\omega)| = |Y(.,\omega) \star \beta_s(.)(t)| \le \|Y(\omega,.)\|_{L^p([0,1],dt)} \|\beta_s\|_{L^q([0,1],dt)}$$

And $||Y(\omega, .)||_{L^p([0,1],dt)} \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$

So with the Criterion 2, 2, we have that X is a multiplicator.

COROLLARY 4..4. If $X : t \to \int_0^t \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, then the process $X(t,\omega)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

Proof.

$$(1-L)^{r/2}(X(t+\epsilon,\omega)-X(t,\omega)) = \int_t^{t+\epsilon} (1-L)^{r/2}\dot{h}(s,\omega)ds$$

Then:

$$\|X(t+\epsilon,.) - X(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\omega)} \le \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \left[\int_{0}^{1} |(1-L)^{r/2} \dot{h}(s,\omega)|^{2} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$$

so:

$$\|X(t+\epsilon,.) - X(t,.)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\omega)} \le \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|X\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)}$$

THEOREM 4..4. If $X_n(t,\omega)$ is a sequence of processes, converging \mathbb{D}^{∞} -towards $X(t,\omega)$, t-uniformly, then the vector fields $Y_n: t \to \int_0^t X_n(s,\omega) ds$ converges $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ towards the vector field $Y: t \to \int_0^t X(s,\omega) ds$.

Proof. Suppose $X(t, \omega) = 0$, $\mathbb{P} - a.s.$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_n\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)}^p &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left(\int_0^1 ds |(1-L)^{r/2} X_n(s,\omega)|^2 \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \int_0^1 ds |(1-L)^{r/2} X_n(s,\omega)|^p \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \|X_n\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega)}^p \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 4..5. If X is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, the associated process is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Proof.
$$X(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \dot{h}(s,\omega) ds$$
. Then: $X(t,\omega) = \langle h(s,\omega), u \to \int_0^u \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) ds \rangle_H$

THEOREM 4..6. If $A_n(t, \omega)$ is a n-uniform sequence of multiplicators, and if $A_n(t, \omega)$ converges $\mathbb{P}(d\omega) \otimes ds[0, 1]$ -a.s. towards A, then A_n converges, in the multiplicator sense, towards A.

REMARK 4..7. Convergence in the multiplicator sense means that $\forall (p,r)$ A_n converges strongly as operators towards A:

$$\forall X \in H, \|A_n X - AX\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega, H)} \to 0$$

Proof. Using the theorem on conditions of equivalence of L^p -convergence and a.s.-convergence, when the L^p norms of the sequence are uniformly bounded and the measure is finite.

4.4 Any adapted multiplicator is a limit of a sequence of "step-multiplicators"

THEOREM 4..7. Any adapted multiplicator A is a limit in the multiplicator sense of a sequence of adapted "step multiplicators", that is that have the form:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}(t) A(t_i, \omega),$$

where $A(t_i, \omega) \in \mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ and $A(t_i, \omega)$ being a multiplicator. $(t_0 = 0, t_{k-1} = 1)$.

Proof. a) We first prove than any adapted multiplicator is a limit of a sequence A_n of continuous multiplicators, in a "multiplicator sense", that is A_n converges towards A, strongly as operators;

for each $\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega, H)$: $\forall X \in H : A_n X \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega, H)} AX$.

b) Let $u(t,\omega)$ be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field and for $\lambda \in]0,1[$, we denote by v_{λ} the vector field:

 $v_{\lambda}(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{[0,\lambda]}(s)\dot{u}(\frac{s}{\lambda},\omega)\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}ds$

Then straightforward computation shows that: $\|v_{\lambda}\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p}_{r}(\Omega,H)} = \|u\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p}_{r}(\Omega,H)}$. Now we denote by $A_{\lambda}(t, \omega) = A(\lambda t, \omega)$.

Straight computation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{\lambda}(t,\omega)u(t,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)} &= \|A(t,\omega)v_{\lambda}(t,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)} \\ &\leq C(p,r,p',r')\|v_{\lambda}(r,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{p'}(\Omega,H)} \\ &\leq C(p,r,p',r')\|u(t,\omega)\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{p'}(\Omega,H)} \end{aligned}$$

C(p, r, p', r') being a constant.

So the family A_{λ} is a λ -uniform family of multiplicators. Then the $\widetilde{A}_n(t,\omega) = n \int_{1-\frac{1}{2}}^{1} A_\lambda(t,\omega) d\lambda$ are *n*-uniformly multiplicators.

$$\|\widetilde{A}_n X\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)} \le n \int_{1-\frac{1}{n}}^1 \|A_\lambda(t,\omega)X\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} d\lambda \le C(p,r,p',r')\|X\|_{\mathbb{D}^{p'}_{r'}(\Omega,H)}$$

As $\widetilde{A}_n \to A$, $L^2([0,1] \times \Omega)$ -a.s., we have with Theorem 4..6, that $t^{\frac{1}{n}} \widetilde{A}_n \to A$ in the multiplicator sense, and each A_n is continuous and adapted.

b) Now we prove that any continuous, adapted multiplicator is a limit, in the multiplicator sense, of adapted step-multiplicators.

If $u(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \dot{u}(s,\omega) ds$, let φ be an increasing bijection of [0,1] on itself, such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,1])$, and $\varphi'(t) > C_0$, C_0 constant > 0. And we denote by: $u_{\varphi}(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \dot{u}(\varphi^{-1}(s),\omega)\sqrt{(\varphi^{-1})'(s)}ds, \varphi^{-1}$ being the

inverse function of φ .

Straight computation shows that: $||u_{\varphi}||_{\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega,H)} = ||u||_{\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega,H)}$ Then we define $(A_{\varphi}u)(t,\omega) = \int_0^t A[\varphi(s),\omega] \dot{u}(\beta,\omega) ds.$

Straight computation shows: $||A_{\varphi}u||_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)} = ||Au_{\varphi}||_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)}$

So the family A_{φ} is φ -uniformly a family of adapted multiplicators.

c) If ψ is a step function on [0, 1], there exists a sequence φ_k of functions like in b), which converges towards ψ .

Then $A_n(\varphi_k(.), \omega)$ converges towards $A_n(\varphi(.), \omega)$ in the multiplicator sense thanks to the continuity of A_n , and this convergence is uniform relatively to the step functions ψ .

d) Now there exists a sequence of step functions converging towards t on [0,1] from below, denoted $\psi_l, l \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$. Then $A_n(\psi_l(.), \omega)$ will converge in the multiplicator sense towards $A_n(t, \omega)$, which converges in the multiplicator sense towards $A(t, \omega)$.

And
$$A\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} a_{i} \mathbb{1}_{[t_{i},t_{i+1}[}(t),\omega\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{[t_{i},t_{i+1}[}A(a_{i},\omega).$$

5. \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphisms, charts maps, and inversibility

5. 1 Theorems showing under which conditions a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism

Unless otherwise specified, the setting is a Gaussian space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, H)$. \mathcal{U} is an adapted process with values in $n \times n$ unitary matrices, and a multiplicator; the map $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega)$:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(W(h)) = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$$

where B is a *n*-dimensional Brownian, and $h \in H$, can be extended in an injective morphism on $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, because it preserves laws. In this chapter we will study some conditions under which θ can be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, or a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -isomorphism.

Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i), i = 1, 2$, two Gaussian spaces and denote by \mathcal{M} :

 $\mathcal{M} = \{m \mid m \text{ map of } \Omega_1 \text{ in unitary operators on } H_2 \text{ such that } m$ is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ -multiplicator and there exists $m^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}$ such that : $m^{-1}(\omega_1) = (m(\omega_1))^{-1}\}.$

REMARK 5..1. m, $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ -multiplicator, means that:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2), m(\omega_1)\alpha(\omega_1) \text{ is a } \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2) \text{ vector field}$$

REMARK 5..2. The existence's condition of m^{-1} is useless if m has the form $\mathcal{U}(t,\omega)$ where \mathcal{U} is a unitary operator on a finite dimensional space ($\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{U}^*$).

NOTATION. We denote by $W_i(h_i)$, grad_i , div_i , Gaussian variables, Malliavin derivatives, and divergences built respectively on $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{P}_i, H_i)$, i = 1, 2; otherwise W, grad_i , and div_i relate to $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{P}_2, H_1 \oplus H_2)$.

Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$, and denote $\theta_m \colon \mathcal{C}_1(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \to L^{\infty - 0}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ an \mathbb{R} -linear map defined by:

$$\begin{split} \theta_m[W_1(h_1)] &= W_1(h_1), \quad h_1 \in H_1, \\ \theta_m[W_2(h_2)] &= (\omega_1 \to W_2(m(\omega_1)h_2)), \quad h_2 \in H_2 \end{split}$$

Then we extend θ_m on Gaussian polynomials as an algebraic morphism and denote it again by θ_m .

THEOREM 5..1.

- i) if $m \in \mathcal{M}$, Range $\theta_m \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.
- ii) θ_m can be extended in a bicontinuous bijection of $L^{\infty-0}$ on itself.

Proof. i). Let $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ be an Hilbertian basis of H_2 and $h_2 \in H_2$:

$$\operatorname{div}[m(\omega_1)h_2] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{div}[f^j(\omega_1)\varepsilon_j]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^j(\omega_1)W_2(\varepsilon_j) + \langle \operatorname{grad}_1 f^j(\omega_1), \varepsilon_j \rangle_{H_1 \oplus H_2}$$

$$= W_2[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^j(\omega_1)\varepsilon_j]$$

$$= \theta_m[W_2(h_2)] \implies \theta_m[W_2(h_2)] \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2).$$

ii). a_1 and a_2 being numerical constants, direct calculation shows:

 $\theta_m[a_1W_1(h_1) + a_2W_2(h_2)]$ is a Gaussian variable which has the same law than $W[a_1h_1 + a_2h_2]$. So θ_m can be extended by continuity to $L^{\infty - 0}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ and is a map of $L^{\infty - 0}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in itself, again denoted θ_m . Then:

$$\theta_{m^{-1}} \circ \theta_m[W_1(h_1)] = W(h_1)$$

and

$$\theta_{m^{-1}} \circ \theta_m[W_2(h_2)] = \theta_{m^{-1}}[\operatorname{div}(m(\omega_1)h_2)]$$
$$= \theta_{m^{-1}}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^j(\omega_1)W_2(\varepsilon_j)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{j}(\omega_{1})\theta_{m^{-1}}[W_{2}(\varepsilon_{j})]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{j}(\omega_{1}) \operatorname{div}[m^{-1}(\omega_{1})\varepsilon_{j}]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{div}[f^{j}(\omega_{1})m^{-1}(\omega_{1})\varepsilon_{j}]$$
$$= \operatorname{div}\left[m^{-1}(\omega_{1})\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{j}(\omega_{1})\varepsilon_{j}\right)\right]$$
$$= \operatorname{div}h_{2} = W_{2}(h_{2}).$$

We extend m to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_1 \oplus H_2)$, again denoted by m, by:

$$\forall V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_1), m(\omega_1)V = V.$$

Then, we extend m to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H_1 \oplus H_2)$ in intself denoted again by m, with Theorem 2.2.i. This last extension is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ -linear because it is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$ -linear (i = 1, 2), so is linear for finite sum of products like $\alpha(\omega_1)\beta(\omega_2), \alpha(\omega_1) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1), \beta(\omega_2) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2)$ and, by \mathbb{D}^{∞} -density of these linear combinations in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ -linear.

Denote $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$. $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hilbertian basis of H, we define m_R , a linear operation from a subset of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H \otimes H)$ by $m_R(\sum_{j=1}^k e_j \otimes Y_j) = e_j \otimes m(Y_j)$, the Y_j being \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. Then it is easy to check that: if $X_j, j = 1, \ldots, k$ are constant vectors of H,

$$m_R\left(\sum_{j=1}^k X_j \otimes Y_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j \otimes m(Y_j);$$

so the definition of m_R does not depend on the choosen Hilbertian basis. With Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.4, we extend m_R in an \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous operator on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H_1 \oplus H_2)$. We can also define an operator, div_R , on $\sum_{j=1}^k X_j \otimes Y_j$, the X_j being constant vectors of H, by

$$\operatorname{div}_R\left(\sum_{j=1}^k X_j \otimes Y_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k (\operatorname{div} Y_j) X_j.$$

Again, thanks to the extension Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.4, we can extend div_R in an \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous operator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, denoted again div_R . Then:

LEMMA 5..1. If $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hibertian basis of H, then

$$\operatorname{div}_R(\operatorname{grad} X) + X = \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} X).$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ such that only N components of X are not 0, and write $X = \sum_{j=1}^{N} X^{j} e_{j}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} X) - X &= \operatorname{grad}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{j} \rangle_{H} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} X^{j} W(e_{j})\right) - X \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{j} \rangle_{H}), e_{i} \rangle_{H} e_{i}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\operatorname{grad} X^{j}) W(e_{j}) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{k \uparrow \infty \\ k > N}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{j} \rangle_{H}), e_{i} \rangle_{H} e_{i}\right] + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\operatorname{grad} X^{j}) W(e_{j}) \\ &= \operatorname{div}_{R}(\operatorname{grad} X), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\operatorname{grad} X = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{grad} X^{j} \otimes e_{j} = \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} [e_{i} \otimes (\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{i} \rangle_{H} e_{j})],$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}_{R}(\operatorname{grad} X) = \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[e_{i} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{i} \rangle_{H} e_{j} \right) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e_{i} \left[\langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{i} \rangle_{H} W(e_{j}) + \langle e_{j}, \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{i} \rangle_{H}) \rangle_{H} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{grad} X^{j} W(e_{j}) + \lim_{k \uparrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle e_{j}, \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^{j}, e_{i} \rangle_{H}) \rangle_{H} e_{i},$$

and as $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, we have

$$\langle e_j, \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^j, e_i \rangle_H) \rangle_H = \langle e_i, \operatorname{grad}(\langle \operatorname{grad} X^j, e_j \rangle_H) \rangle_H.$$

Let $V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$. We define an \mathbb{R} -linear operator δ on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$ by:

$$\delta V = \operatorname{div}_R[(m^{-1})_R(\operatorname{grad}(mV) - m_R \operatorname{grad} V)] + mV.$$
(1)

 δ is well defined and goes from $\mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega_1\times\Omega_2,H)$ in itself.
LEMMA 5..2. If $V_1(\omega_1) \in H_1, V_2(\omega_2) \in H_2$, then

$$\operatorname{div}_R[m_R(V_1 \otimes V_2)] = \hat{\theta}_m(\operatorname{div}_R(V_1 \otimes V_2)),$$

 θ_m being the extension of θ_m , as in Corollary 2.3.

Proof. Straightforward computation.

We now return to the operator δ defined in (1).

THEOREM 5..2. *i)* δ sends $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, continuously. *ii)* $\delta[\operatorname{grad}(fg)] = f\delta(\operatorname{grad} g) + g\delta(\operatorname{grad} f)$, for all $f, g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$.

Proof. i). All operators in δ are continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H \otimes H)$, or $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H \otimes H)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$.

ii). Direct calculus on the following cases: $f_1(\omega_1)g_1(\omega_1), f_1(\omega_1)g_2(\omega_2), f_2(\omega_2)g_2(\omega_2), f_i, g_i, i = 1, 2$ being functions of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_i)$.

THEOREM 5..3. θ_m can be extended in a bicontinuous bijection of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in itself.

Proof. The only points left to prove, after Theorem 5..1, are Range $\theta_m \subset \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ and the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuity of θ_m . If we denote $\tilde{\theta}_m$ the extension of θ_m to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$ in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H)$ as in Corollary 2.3, we have:

$$\theta[\delta(\operatorname{grad}(fg))] = \theta_m(f)\delta(\operatorname{grad} g) + \theta_m(g)\delta(\operatorname{grad} f).$$

As we also have:

grad
$$\theta_m(fg) = \theta_m(f)$$
 grad $\theta_m(g) + \theta_m(g)$ grad $\theta_m(f)$

 $\theta_m \circ \delta(\text{grad})$ and $\text{grad} \theta_m$ are two θ -derivations (cf. Definition 2.3) which coincide on $W(h_1)$, h_1 constant vector of H_1 and $W(h_2)$, h_2 constant vector of H_2 : with lemmas 5..1 and 5..2, we have

$$\tilde{\theta}_m[\delta(\operatorname{grad} W_2(h_2))] = \tilde{\theta}_m[\operatorname{div}_R((m_R)^{-1}\operatorname{grad} mh_2)] + \tilde{\theta}_m(mh_2)$$

$$= \operatorname{div}_R(m_R m_R^{-1}\operatorname{grad} mh_2) + mh_2$$

$$= \operatorname{div}_R(\operatorname{grad} mh_2) + mh_2$$

$$= \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} mh_2) - mh_2 + mh_2$$

$$= \operatorname{grad}\operatorname{div}(mh_2)$$

$$= \operatorname{grad} \theta_m[W_2(h_2)].$$

Then $\theta_m \circ \delta(\text{grad})$ and $\text{grad} \theta_m$ coincide on all polynomials on Gaussian variables, and as they both are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous θ -derivations, then on \mathbb{D}^{∞} -functions.

Now we proceed by induction: suppose θ_m sends continuously $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$; then $\tilde{\theta}_m$ sends continuously $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$ in $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, H)$.

And for all $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, we will have

$$\theta_m[\delta \operatorname{grad} f] = \operatorname{grad} \theta_m f,$$

which implies $\theta_m f \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$. The \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuity of θ_m is obtained with the closed graph theorem.

THEOREM 5..4 (Reciprocal of Theorem 5..3). In the same setting than previously, let m be an operator from Ω_1 into the unitary operators on H_2 ; we can define a map θ_m :

$$\theta_m[W_1(H_1)] = W_1(h_1), h_1 \in H_1, \theta_m[W_2(h_2)] = \operatorname{div}(mh_2), h_2 \in H_2$$

And suppose that θ_m can be extended in a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ in itself. Then m, and m^{-1} , are $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ multiplicators.

Proof. Straightforward computation shows that if if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_2, H_2)$, X constant, then $mX = \operatorname{grad}_2 \theta_m[\operatorname{div} X]$ because m depends only of variables in Ω_1 , and so relatively to the Ω_2 -variables, is constant.

If $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$, we also have

$$\theta_m(f\operatorname{div} X) = f\theta_m(\operatorname{div} X),$$

and again

$$\operatorname{grad}_2 \theta_m[f \operatorname{div} X] = fmX = m(f(\omega_1)X).$$

Now $m(\omega_1)$ is $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ -continuous became *m* is unitary ; so $m(\cdot)$ is closed in the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -topology which is finer than the $L^{\infty-0}$ -topology. So the finite linear sums like:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} f^{i}(\omega_{1})\varepsilon_{i},$$

 $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ an Hilbertian basis of H_2 and $f(\omega_1) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1)$, being a dense set in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$, *m* is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ in itself.

Same demonstration for m^{-1} .

REMARK 5..3. A particular case of 5..2 is the following: let $t_0 \in [0, 1[, W_1$ the Wiener space built on $[0, t_0]$ and W_2 the Wiener space built on $[t_0, 1]$, and $\mathcal{U}_1(t, \omega_1)$ an unitary operator of \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n , which is a multiplicator and such that $\forall t \in [t_0, 1], \mathcal{U}_1(t, \omega_1) \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}(t,\omega_1) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t_0[}(t)\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \mathcal{U}_1(t,\omega_1)\mathbb{1}_{[t_0,1]}(t),$$

and let

$$m(\omega_1)h_1(t) = \int_0^{t \wedge t_0} \dot{h}_1(s) \,\mathrm{d}s, h_1 \in H_1 \quad (H_1 \text{ the Cameron-Martin space of } \mathcal{W}_1)$$

75

and

 $m(\omega_1)h_2(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \mathcal{U}^{-1}(s,\omega_1)\dot{h}_2(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, h_2 \in H_2 \quad (H_2 \text{ the Cameron-Martin space of }\mathcal{W}_2).$

It is easy to see that such an operator m is a multiplicator of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1, H_2)$ and that $m(\omega_1)$ is an unitary operator on H_2 . So as in Theorem 5..3, if we denote

$$\theta_m[W(h_1)] = W(h_1),$$

and $\theta_m[W(h_2)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}_2 \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B,$

 θ_m can be extende in a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W}_1 \times \mathcal{W}_2)$ in itself.

Conversely, if \mathcal{U}_1 is such as in this remark 5..3 and if the θ_m associated to \mathcal{U}_1 is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism, then m is a multiplicator.

Proof. Use Theorem 5..4.

EXAMPLE (Process \mathcal{U} , adapted, multiplicator, with values in $n \times n$ -unitary matrices such that the associated $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} (\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(W(h)) = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB)$ is not a \mathbb{D}^∞ -diffeomorphism). Let (X_t, Y_t) a standard brownian on \mathbb{R}^2 and let

$$\mathcal{U}(t,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} & \sin\frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} \\ -\sin\frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} & \cos\frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{U}(t,\omega)$ is an unitary operator on $H_1 \oplus H_2$.

To show that $\mathcal{U}(t,\omega)$ is a multiuplicator, we use the criterium 4.1: $\forall r > 1, \exists s > 1, \exists C(r,s), \forall f \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$, the map $f \mapsto \mathcal{U}(t,w)f(\mathbb{D}^2_r \to \mathbb{D}^2_s)$ is bounded with a norm less or equal than C(r,s); we note that X_t/\sqrt{t} is in \mathcal{C}^1 (for a fixed t) so $\exists h_t \in H_1, X_t/\sqrt{t} = W(h_t)$. Then

$$||h_t||_{H_1} = 1 \left(= \left\| \frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \right);$$

then

$$\left\| \operatorname{grad} \left(\cos \frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right\|_{H_1} = \left\| \left(\sin \frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}} \right) h_t \right\|_{H_1} \le 1.$$

In the same way:

$$\left\|\operatorname{grad}^k\left(\cos\frac{X_t}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right\|_{\otimes^k H_1} \le 1.$$

Then straightforward computation shows that the map $f \mapsto \mathcal{U}(t,\omega)f$ verifies the above mentionned criterium 4.1. (To simplify the calculus, use lemma 4.1.i).

Now let A the process

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a(t,\omega) \\ a(t,\omega) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a(\omega, t)$ is an adapted multiplicator. Then div A grad exists as a derivation, and

$$D_a X_t = -\int_0^t a(s,\omega) \cdot dY_s,$$
$$D_a Y_t = \int_0^t a(s,\omega) \cdot dX_s.$$

Then

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix}X_t\\Y_t\end{pmatrix} = \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix}\cos\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} & -\sin\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}}\\\sin\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} & \cos\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}}\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}dX_s\\dY_s\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\int_0^t\cos\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dX_s - \int_0^t\sin\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dY_s\\\int_0^t\sin\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dX_s + \int_0^t\cos\frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dY_s\end{pmatrix}$$

From that we deduce

$$D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(X_t)) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\int_0^s a(u,\omega) \cdot dY_u \right) \cdot dX_s - \int_0^t \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} a(s,\omega) \cdot dY_s + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\int_0^s a(u,\omega) \cdot dY_u \right) \cdot dY_s - \int_0^t \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} a(s,\omega) \cdot dX_s,$$

$$D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(Y_t)) = -\int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\int_0^s a(u,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_u \right) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} a(s,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\int_0^s a(u,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_u \right) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s + \int_0^t \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} a(s,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s.$$

Now let suppose that $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits an inverse which is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism ; we will show that

LEMMA 5..3. $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}))$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$, which has the form: div \tilde{A} grad.

Proof. i).
$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}_t) \subset \mathcal{F}_t$$
: if $W(h) \in \mathcal{F}_t$, then $\dot{h}(s) = 0$ for $s > t$ and
 $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B = \int_0^t {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B \in \mathcal{F}_t.$

ii). If $f \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp}$, then $\exists b(s,\omega) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ such that $f = \int_t^1 b(s,\omega) \cdot dB_s$ implies $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f) = \int_t^1 \theta b(s,\omega) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB_s \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp}$. So $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f) \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp}$ implies $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^* f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ if $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ $(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} = \theta_{\mathcal{U}}^* \text{ sends } \mathcal{F}_t \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_t, \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ is an } L^2 \text{-isometry})$. So $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} D_a \theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is adapted. iii). $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\int D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f)\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = 0,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\int \theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f) \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = \int \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(1) D_a(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f) \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = 0.$$

iv). $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}D_a\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an operator, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous adapted, and with a null divergence: so, with Theorem 4.1, $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}D_a\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ can be written as $D_{\tilde{a}} = \operatorname{div} \tilde{A} \operatorname{grad}$,

with

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}(t,\omega) \\ -\tilde{a}(t,\omega) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we take an \tilde{a} determinist, and try to find the corresponding a such that $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}D_a\theta_{\mathcal{U}} = D_{\tilde{a}}$. As we have suppose the existence of $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}$, we have

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1} D_a \theta_{\mathcal{U}} = D_{\tilde{a}} \implies D_a \theta_{\mathcal{U}} = \theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{\tilde{a}} \implies D_a \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = \theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{\tilde{a}} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix}.$$
$$D_{\tilde{a}} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \cdot dY_s \\ +\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \cdot dX_s \end{pmatrix},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{\tilde{a}} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \left(-\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s \right) \\ \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \left(+\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot (\mathrm{d}Y_s) \\ +\int_0^t \tilde{a}(s) \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \cdot (\mathrm{d}X_s) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\int_0^t \tilde{a}(\sin(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s + \cos(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s) \\ +\int_0^t \tilde{a}(\cos(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s - \sin(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\int_0^t \tilde{a} \sin(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \tilde{a} \cos(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s \\ +\int_0^t \tilde{a} \cos(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \tilde{a} \sin(X_s/\sqrt{s}) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

From $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{\tilde{a}}(X_t, Y_t) = D_a \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(X_t, Y_t)$, we get, with

$$F(s,\omega) = -a(s,\omega) + \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s a(u,\omega) \cdot dY_u,$$

$$\int_0^t \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} F(s,\omega) \cdot dX_s + \int_0^t \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} F(s,\omega) \cdot dY_s$$
$$= -\int_0^t \tilde{a} \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dX_s - \int_0^t \tilde{a} \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot dY_s$$

and

$$-\int_0^t \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} F(s,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s + \int_0^t \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} F(s,\omega) \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s$$
$$= +\int_0^t \tilde{a} \cos \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \mathrm{d}X_s - \int_0^t \tilde{a} \sin \frac{X_s}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \mathrm{d}Y_s.$$

From these two last equations:

$$\tilde{a}(t) = -a(t,\omega) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t a(s,\omega) \cdot dY_s.$$

Let $a(\omega, t) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_n(\omega, t), a_n \in \mathcal{C}_n(\Omega)$ then

$$-\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_n(t,\omega) + \sum_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^t a_n(s,\omega) \cdot dY_s = \tilde{a}(t).$$

Then $a_0(t,\omega) = -\tilde{a}(t)$, and if $b_n = ||a_n||^2_{L^2(\Omega)}$, we get

$$b_n^2(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t b_{n-1}^2 \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

If for instance $\tilde{a}(t) = 1$, then $a(t, \omega) \notin \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

5. 2 Some other conditions to obtain a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism

Now we show that if $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} || \operatorname{grad}^{j} \mathcal{U} ||_{\otimes^{j} H} < +\infty, \theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism.

THEOREM 5..5. If $\mathcal{U}(t,\omega)$ is an adapted process from $[0,1] \times \Omega$ with values in the $n \times n$ -unitary matrices (on \mathbb{R}^n) and such that each $|| \operatorname{grad}^j \mathcal{U} ||_{\otimes^j H}, j \in \mathbb{N}_*$ is also uniformly (for $t \in [0,1]$) bounded, then $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ being the $L^{\infty-0}$ -morphism associated to \mathcal{U} , is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism.

Proof. For $h \in H$, we have $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(W(h)) = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$. First, we show that if $f \in \mathcal{C}_k$, then there exists a polynomial $P_r(k)$ such that: $\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}^2 = P_r(k) \|f\|_{L^2}^2$. L denoting as usual the O.U. operator, as \mathbb{D}^p_r -norm, we use

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^r \left\|\operatorname{grad}^j f\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega,\otimes^j H)}^p\right)^{1/p}$$

From $L \operatorname{grad} f - \operatorname{grad} Lf = \operatorname{grad} f$, we have $L(\operatorname{grad}^r f) - \operatorname{grad}^r(Lf) = r \operatorname{grad}^r f$ and

$$\langle \operatorname{grad}^{r} f, \operatorname{grad}^{r} f \rangle_{\otimes^{r} H} = - \langle L(\operatorname{grad}^{r-1} f), \operatorname{grad}^{r-1} f \rangle_{\otimes^{r-1} H}$$
$$= (k-r+1) \langle \operatorname{grad}^{r} f, \operatorname{grad}^{r} f \rangle_{\otimes^{r} H},$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$|\text{grad}^r f||_{\mathrm{L}^2}^2 = \frac{k!}{(n-k)!} ||f||_{\mathrm{L}^2}^2.$$

But $\left\| (I-L)^{r/2} f \right\|_{L^2} = (1+k)^r \| f \|_{L^2}^2$, so with $\| f \|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}^2 = \sum_{j=0}^r \| D^j f \|_{L^2}^2$, we get: $\| f \|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}^2 \simeq k^r$ when $k \to +\infty$, and

$$||f||_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}^2 = P_r(k) ||f||_{\mathrm{L}^2}^2, \quad P_r(k) \text{ polynomial}$$
(2)

Now with $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and the hypothesis on $\mathcal{U}(t, \omega)$, Leibnitz formula implies, by induction, with $f^i \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$:

$$\left\| (\mathcal{U}^{-1})f \right\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} \le \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r(\otimes^r H)} + K(r) \, \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_{r-1}(\otimes^{r-1} H)} \,, \tag{3}$$

K(r) being a constant, r-depending.

Now if $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_k, i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have (Clark-Ocone): $f^i = \int_0^1 g_j^i \cdot dB^j$, with $g_j^i \in \mathcal{C}_{k-1}$. And

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}\begin{pmatrix}f_1\\\vdots\\f_n\end{pmatrix} = \int_0^1 \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g_j^i) (\mathcal{U}^{-1})_\ell^j \cdot \mathrm{d}B^\ell, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
(4)

If $\vec{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$, vector of *n* functions in \mathbb{D}^{∞} , we write

$$\left\|D^r \vec{g}\right\|_{\otimes^r H} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left\|D^r g_\ell\right\|_{\otimes^r H}.$$

Then, if $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we can write:

$$f = E(f) + \int_0^1 g_\ell \cdot \mathrm{d}B^\ell,$$

 B^1, \ldots, B^n being n independants Brownians, and $g_\ell \in \mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega)$. If E(f) = 0, we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}(\Omega)} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \, \|\vec{g}\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}}^{2}\right)^{1/2} + K_{2}(r) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \, \|\vec{g}\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r-1}}^{2}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{5}$$

 $K_2(r)$ being an *r*-depending constant.

But $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f) = \int_0^1 \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g_\ell) (\mathcal{U}^{-1})_j^\ell \cdot \mathrm{d}B^j, j = 1, \dots, n$. With (5), we have:

$$\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g_{\ell})(\mathcal{U}^{-1})}_{j}^{\ell}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}}\right)^{1/2} + K_{2}(r) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g_{\ell})(\mathcal{U}^{-1})}_{j}^{\ell}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r-1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(6)

Suppose $f \in C_k$, then $g \in C_{k-1}$ and denoting $C(m, r) = \|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} / \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$, with (3), we have:

$$\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} \le \left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g)}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}\right)^{1/2} + K_3(r) \left(\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overline{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g)}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_{r-1}}\right)^{1/2}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}} \leq C(k-1,r) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overrightarrow{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g)}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}}\right)^{1/2} + K_{3}(r)C(k-1,r-1) \left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \left\|\overrightarrow{\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(g)}\right\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r-1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(7)

,

Using (2) in (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}} &\leq C(k-1,r)\sqrt{\frac{P_{r}(k-1)}{P_{r}(k)}} \,\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r}} \\ &+ K_{3}(r)C(k-1,r-1)\sqrt{\frac{P_{r-1}(k-1)}{P_{r-1}(k)}} \,\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{2}_{r-1}} \end{aligned}$$

which implies, as soon as k is big enough $(k \ge k_0)$:

$$\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} \le \left[C(k-1,r) + K_4(r)C(n-1,r-1)\right] \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}.$$
(8)

As $\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} / \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} = C(k,r)$, we deduce for $k \ge k_0$:

$$C(k,r) \le C(k-1,r) + K_4(r)C(k-1,r-1).$$

So, by induction, we see that for $k \ge k_0$, C(k, r) has a polynomial growth ; then (8) implies that $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f \in \mathbb{D}_r^2$. Then with $f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty^2$ now, we write $f = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k$; then

$$\|\theta_U f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} \le \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|\theta_U f_k\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$$

Each $\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f_k\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$ has polynomial growth when $k \ge k_0$; but the sequence $(\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f_k\|)_{\mathbb{D}^2_r}$ is fast decreasing; so $\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f\|_{\mathbb{D}^2_r} < +\infty$, and $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f \in \mathbb{D}^2_r$. Now by interpolation $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{D}^\infty \to \mathbb{L}^{\infty-0}$ and $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{D}^\infty \to \mathbb{D}^2_r$; so $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathbb{D}^\infty \to \mathbb{D}^\infty$.

DEFINITION 5..1. Let (H) be the set

 $\{\mathcal{U} \mid \mathcal{U} \text{ process with values in } n \times n \text{ unitary matrices}, \}$

adapted, $[0,1] \times \Omega$ -mesurable, in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$.

Then we denote by $\|\mathcal{U}(s,\omega)\|_{\text{op}}$ the operator norm of $\mathcal{U}(s,\omega)$ on \mathbb{R}^n , and if $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2 \in (\widehat{H})$, we denote by

$$d(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2) = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left\| \left\| \mathcal{U}_1(t, \cdot) - \mathcal{U}_2(t, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathrm{op}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}$$

Then d is a distance on (\widehat{H}) , for wich (\widehat{H}) is complete.

DEFINITION 5..2. We denote by $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ the $L^{\infty-0}$ -morphism generated by $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(W(h)) = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$. Then a $n \times n$ -matrix \mathcal{V} will be said to be k-Lipschitzian if and only if

$$\forall \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2 \in \widehat{H}, \ \left\| \left\| \theta_{\mathcal{U}_1}(\mathcal{V}) - \theta_{\mathcal{U}_2}(\mathcal{V}) \right\|_{\operatorname{op}(\mathbb{R}^m)} \right\|_{\operatorname{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq kd(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2).$$

THEOREM 5..6. Let $\mathcal{U} \in (\mathcal{H})$ such that there exists k, 0 < k < 1 so that for all $s \in [0,1]$, $\mathcal{U}(s, \cdot)$ is k-Lipschitzian. Then $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a bijection on $L^{\infty - 0}(\Omega)$.

Proof. For every $\mathcal{U} \in (\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$, $\mathcal{V} \mapsto \theta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{U}^{-1})$ is k-Lipschitzian because $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is 1-Lipschitzian. Then, the Picard theorem asserts that: there exists $\mathcal{V}_0 \in (\widehat{\mathbb{H}})$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) = \mathcal{V}_0$. And

$$\forall h \in H, \ \theta_{\mathcal{V}_0}\left(\int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B\right) = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\theta_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\mathcal{U}^{-1})\mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\mathcal{V}_0\mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$

So $\theta_{\mathcal{V}_0} \circ \theta_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathrm{Id}$ which proves that $\theta_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ is surjective ; and as we know already that $\theta_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ is injective on $\mathrm{L}^{\infty - 0}$, $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a bijection on $\mathrm{L}^{\infty - 0}(\Omega)$.

REMARK 5..4. The set of k-Lipschitzian processes is not limited to the determinist functions: any W(h), with $||h||_{L^2} = k < 1$, is a k-Lipschitzian process (straightforward computation).

Now we define the notion of \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian processes, which will allow us to study cases when the morphism θ , defined on $\mathcal{C}_1(\Omega)$ by $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$, can be extended in a continuous morphism on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

DEFINITION 5..3 (Same as definition 2.3). A process $X: [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian if and only if $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0,1], \forall (p,r) \in [1, +\infty[\times \mathbb{N}_*, \exists C(p,r) \text{ constant such that,}]$

$$||X(t_2,\omega) - X(t_1,\omega)||_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p,r)|t_2 - t_1|^{\alpha}.$$

THEOREM 5..7. Let \mathcal{U} be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -adapted process, with values in $n \times n$ unitary matrices, \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, with $\alpha > 1/2$. Then the operator θ defined on \mathcal{C}_1 by:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B, \quad (h \in H, B \text{ an } n\text{-}Brownian),$$

can be extended in a continuous morphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in itself.

Proof. We know already that θ can be extended in a morphism of $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, because θ preserves laws. We will need the three following lemmas.

LEMMA 5..4. Let E be a $n \times n$ antisymmetrical constant matrix, and $t \in [0,1]$. For $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we define $D_{E,t}f$ by:

$$D_{E,t}f = \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{1}_{[0,t[}(\cdot)E) \operatorname{grad} f)$$

If Δ is a finite subdivision of [0,1], $\Delta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1\}$, denote $S_{\Delta}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |D_{E,t_{i+1}}(f) - D_{E,t_i}(f)|^2$. Then $\forall (p,r) \in [1, \infty[\times \mathbb{N}_*, \exists C(p,r,f) \text{ constant, such that}]$

$$\sup_{\Delta} \|S_{\Delta}(f)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r} \le C(p, r, f).$$

Proof. Let $\Delta = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = 1\}$ a fixed finite subdivision and $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote

$$\tilde{S}_{\Delta}(\{\varepsilon_i\})(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i [D_{E,t_{i+1}}(f) - D_{E,t_i}(f)]$$

Then

$$\tilde{S}_{\Delta}(\{\varepsilon_i\})(f) = \operatorname{div} B_E(\{\varepsilon_i\}) \operatorname{grad} f$$

with $B_E(\{\varepsilon\}) = \sum_{i=0}^n \varepsilon_i \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}(\cdot)E$. The operators $B_E(\{\varepsilon_i\})$ are operators on \mathbb{R}^n , and as such, are uniformly bounded, relatively to the set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$, when the subdivision is fixed, and relatively to the subdivisions Δ , and are Δ , $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ -uniformly determinists.

So the $\tilde{S}_{\Delta}(\{\varepsilon_i\})$ are linear operators on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -uniformly bounded relatively to the sets $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ and the subdivisions Δ . But

$$\frac{1}{2^{\sharp(\{\varepsilon_i\})}} \sum_{\{\varepsilon_i\}} \left| \tilde{S}_{\Delta}(\{\varepsilon_i\})(f) \right|^2 = S_{\Delta}(f),$$

the sum being taken on all sets $\{\varepsilon_i\}$, once the subdivision Δ is fixed. $S_{\Delta}(f)$ belonging to the convex enveloppe of elements whose \mathbb{D}_r^p -norms do not depend either of the finite subdivision Δ or of the set $\{\varepsilon_i\}$, there exists a constant C(p, r, f) such that $\sup_{\Delta} \|S_{\Delta}(f)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p} \leq C(p, r, f)$.

REMARK 5..5. Later we will suppose $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{r}(\Omega)$ (Theorem 5..11). Then the same demonstration as in Lemma 5..4, applied to $\forall \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq r$,

$$S_{\Delta}[\operatorname{grad}^{\alpha}\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E,t}f)] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left\| \operatorname{grad}^{\alpha}\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E,t_{i+1}}f) - \operatorname{grad}^{\alpha}\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E,t_{i}}f) \right\|_{\otimes^{\alpha}H},$$

proves that there exists, for every p, a constant $C(\alpha, p, f)$ such that

$$\sup_{\Delta} \|S_{\Delta}(\operatorname{grad}^{\alpha} \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E,t}f))\|_{\operatorname{L}^{p}(\Omega,\otimes^{\alpha}H)} \leq C(\alpha,p,f).$$

Now we denote: for a subdivision Δ of \mathbb{R} , $r \in \mathbb{N}_*$ and f a process $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$V_{\Delta,\mathbb{R}}(\operatorname{grad}^{r} f) = \sum_{i} \|\operatorname{grad}^{r} f(x_{i+1},\cdot) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} f(x_{i},\cdot)\|_{\otimes^{r} H}^{2}$$

and by V_{Δ} , when the subdivision is on [0, 1].

LEMMA 5..5. Let $f: [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ a process such that

i) $\forall t \in [0,1], f(t,\cdot) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f(0,\omega) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely.

ii)
$$\forall r \in \mathbb{N}_*, \forall p > 1, \exists C(p, r, f), \sup_{\Delta} \|V_{\Delta} \operatorname{grad}^r f\|_{L^p \Omega} < C(p, r, f).$$

iii) $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}_*, \int_0^1 \|\operatorname{grad}^r f\|_{\otimes^r H}^2 \mathrm{d}t \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$

Then, the extension of f, denoted \tilde{f} , which equals 0 on $] - \infty, 0] \cup [2, \infty[$, and is an affine process g on [1, 2] with $g(1, \omega) = f(1, \omega)$ and $g(t, \omega) = 0$ \mathbb{P} -almost surely on $[2, \infty[$, we have:

$$\begin{split} I) & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+h,\cdot) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x,\cdot)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\|_{\otimes^{r} H}^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\ & is \ \mathrm{L}^{\infty - 0} \text{-bounded, } h\text{-uniformly.} \\ II) \ \left\| \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f} \right\|_{B^{\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}} \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty - 0}(\Omega), \forall r \in \mathbb{N}_{*} \text{ and } 0 < \varepsilon < 1. \end{split}$$

Proof.
$$I$$
).

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\|_{\otimes^{r} H}^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)} \\ &= \left\| \int_{0}^{h} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+(n+1)h) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+nh)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\|_{\otimes^{r} H}^{2} \mathrm{d}x \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \left\| \int_{0}^{h} C(p,r) \, \mathrm{d}x \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)} = C(p,r,f). \end{split}$$

II). We have to show that:

$$\left\|\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}h \frac{\left\|\operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^r H)}^2}{h^{1+2\varepsilon/2}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)} < C(p,r,f).$$

The left-hand side of the above inequality is bounded by:

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{h^{\varepsilon}} \left\| \frac{\operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x)}{\sqrt{h}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^{r}H)}^{2} \\ + \left\| \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}h \frac{\left\| \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^{r}H)}^{2}}{h^{1+\varepsilon}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)} \end{split}$$

The first integral above is bounded because dh/h^{ε} is a bounded measure on [0, 1], and with I). The second integral is bounded by:

$$\left\|\int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}h \, 4 \frac{\left\|\operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f}(x,\omega)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^{r}H)}^{2}}{h^{1+\varepsilon}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)}$$

and with iii) we get the result.

LEMMA 5..6. Let $f: [0,1] \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ a \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian process such that: *i*) $\alpha > 1/2$, *ii*) $f(0, \cdot) = 0$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely.

.

Then, \tilde{f} being as in Lemma 5..3, we have:

$$\left\| \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f} \right\|_{B^{1-\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. We must prove

$$\left\|\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}h \frac{\left\|\operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^r H)}^2}{h^{1+2(1-\varepsilon/2)}}\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)} < C(p,r,f).$$

This is bounded by

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}h}{h^{1-\varepsilon}} \, \frac{\left\| \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^r H)}^2}{h^2} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)} \\ &+ \left\| \int_1^\infty \mathrm{d}h \frac{\left\| \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x+h) - \operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathrm{d}x,\otimes^r H)}^2}{h^{1+2(1-\varepsilon/2)}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

 $\operatorname{grad}^r \tilde{f}$ is also $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ - α -Holderian, so: the first integral is bounded if $2\alpha - 2 + 1 > 0$ so if $\alpha > 1/2$; the second integral is bounded by

$$\left\| \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}h \, 4 \frac{\left\| \operatorname{grad}^{r} \tilde{f} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\mathrm{d}x, \otimes^{r}H)}^{2}}{h^{1+2(1-\varepsilon/2)}} \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}(\Omega)}.$$

Now we return to Theorem 5..7. We have $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$. So: grad $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} (\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{U}) (\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B) + (t \mapsto (\int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathrm{d}s)),$ (9)

 $(t \mapsto (\int_0^1 t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} ds))$ being a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ -vector field. We want to generalize (9) to a function $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The generalization of $t \mapsto (\int_0^1 t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} ds)$ is straightforward: using Theorem 2.3, we generalize it by

$$t \mapsto \int_0^1 {}^t \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(\operatorname{grad} f) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

For the generalization of the first integral in (9), we denote by E_k^{ℓ} the elementary antisymmetric matrix with all items equal to zero, except the item $e_k^{\ell} = +1$ and $e_{\ell}^k = -1$. We write:

$$(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1})\mathcal{U} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n} f_k^{\ell} E_\ell^k, \quad \text{with } f_k^{\ell} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H).$$

We extend f_k^ℓ by 0 on $]-\infty,0]$, and with the affine function, $-f_k^\ell(1,\omega)t +$ $2f_k^\ell(1,\omega)$ on $t \in [1,2]$, and 0 after 2. This extension of f_k^ℓ is again denoted f_k^ℓ and by using it, we have an extension of $(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})^k_\ell$, denoted again $(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})^k_\ell$. We denote again $\theta[D_{E_{t,t}^{\ell}}f]$, the result of the same extension procedure applied to $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}[D_{E_{L}^{\ell},t}f].$

And the generalisation of the first integral in (9) is given by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k^{\ell} \,\mathrm{d}(\theta_{\mathcal{U}}[D_{E_{\ell,\ell}^k} f]),\tag{10}$$

this integral being a Bochner-Russo-Valois integral. More precisely, we will prove that, if $\alpha > 1/2$,

$$\left\|f_k^\ell\right\|_{B^{1-\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}(H)} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H),$$

and that

$$\left\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{k}^{\ell},t}f)\right\|_{B_{2,2}^{\varepsilon/2}} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega),$$

 $B_{p,q}^{\lambda}$ being the Besov space with indexes λ, p, q ; $\left\|f_{k}^{\ell}\right\|_{B_{2,2}^{1-\varepsilon/2}(H)} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}$ because f_k^ℓ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian (\mathcal{U} , grad \mathcal{U}^{-1} are \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderians), so Lemma 5..6 applies to f_k^{ℓ} .

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{And} \left\| \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \right\|_{B_{2,2}^{\varepsilon/2}} &\in \operatorname{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega) \text{ because Lemma 5..5 applies to } \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \\ & \left\| \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \right\|_{\operatorname{L}^{p}} = \left\| D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f \right\|_{\operatorname{L}^{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

And $B_{2,2}^{\varepsilon/2}$ and $B_{2,2}^{1-\varepsilon/2}$ are conjugate Besov spaces, so (10) is legitimate. Now grad $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ is in \mathbb{D}^{∞} when f is a polynomial of a finite number of Gaussian variables, $P[W(h_1), \ldots, W(h_r)]$. Then

grad
$$\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(P(W(h_1),\ldots,W(h_r)) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega,H).$$

If $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, then grad $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ can be defined as a distribution on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ by: if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$,

$$(\operatorname{grad} \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f), X) = -\int \theta_{\mathcal{U}} \operatorname{div} X \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega).$$

Then $f \mapsto \operatorname{grad} \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ is a weakly closed operator, and with Hahn-Banach, its graph is strongly closed, so is a closed operator.

Last, grad $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f)$ is a θ -derivation (Definition 2.3).

Now we look for a θ -derivation \check{D} such that:

- a) if f = W(h), $\check{D}[W(h)] = \operatorname{grad} \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(W(h))$,
- b) \check{D} is a $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ -derivation,
- c) \check{D} is continuous from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

Using the generalisations of the two integrals in (9), we define: for $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$\check{D}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k^{\ell} \,\mathrm{d}(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) + \left(t \mapsto \int_0^t {}^t \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(\operatorname{grad} f) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}s \right)$$

Then: a) Straightforward computation, using $f_k^{\ell} E_{\ell}^k = \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{U}$ proves a).

- b) \check{D} is a $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ -derivation thanks to the presence of $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $d(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell_{t}}^{k}} f)$.
- c) The Russo-Valois inequality: C_0 being a constant,

$$\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k^{\ell} \operatorname{d}(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f)\right\|_H \le C_0 \times \left\|f_k^{\ell}\right\|_{B^{1-\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}(H)} \times \left\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f)\right\|_{B^{\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}}.$$

As $\left\|f_k^\ell\right\|_{B^{1-\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}(H)} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ and $\left\|\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E^k_{\ell,t}}f)\right\|_{B^{\varepsilon/2}_{2,2}} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, we see that \check{D}

is continuous from \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H)$. Now we prove that: \check{D} sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. We have:

$$\check{D}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k^{\ell} d(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) + \left(t \mapsto \int_0^t {}^t \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(\operatorname{grad} f) \mathcal{U}^{-1} ds \right).$$
(11)

A grad acts only on ω , grad and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}$ (Russo-Valois) commute ; for the same reason: grad and d(Russo-Valois) commute.

We know already that $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathrm{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. We suppose that $\theta_{\mathcal{U}} \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and proceed by induction. We apply now grad to the two sides of (11): grad on the vector field

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t {}^t \theta_{\mathcal{U}}(\operatorname{grad} f) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}s$$

is legitimate and in $\mathbb{D}_{r-1}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$. And grad applied to the first integral of the right-hand side of (11) gives two Russo-Valois integrals,

$$\int_0^1 (\operatorname{grad} f_k^\ell) \operatorname{d}(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 f_k^\ell \otimes \operatorname{d}[\operatorname{grad} \theta_{\mathcal{U}} D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f)].$$

The first one is in $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ and the second one is legitimate thanks to the hypothesis $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}f) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega)$. So we see that grad $\check{D}f \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$, so $\check{D}f \in \mathbb{D}_1^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. We can repeat r times this operation, and we get that: grad $\check{D}f \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, \bigotimes^{r+1} H)$ and is continuous from \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega, \bigotimes^{r+1} H)$. Now \check{D} and grad θ are two θ -derivations which coincide on polynomials built with Gaussian variables, \check{D} is continuous from \mathbb{D}^{∞} in $\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ and grad θ is strongly closed as an operator of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$; then \check{D} and grad θ coincide, so grad $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}$ which implies $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. The continuity of $\theta(f)$ from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is obvious.

REMARK 5..6. If f_k^ℓ was an S.M., and not a \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian process with $\alpha > 1/2$, the Russo-Valois inequality is not valid anymore. We will see later that

such is the case for the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -manifold $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$, which is the set of continuous paths in a compact Riemannian manifold, (V_n, g) , starting from m_0 .

THEOREM 5..8. Let \mathcal{U} be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process, with values in $n \times n$ unitary matrices, adapted and α -Holderian, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and θ being the morphism from $L^{\infty-0}$ in $L^{\infty-0}$ generated by

$$\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$

Suppose that θ^{-1} exists and is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in itself, then θ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -isomorphism.

REMARK 5..7. From Theorem 5..7, we know that if $\alpha > 1/2$, Theorem 5..8 is automatically verified.

Proof.
$$\theta^{-1}$$
 sends \mathcal{F}_t in \mathcal{F}_t : θ sends \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp} in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp} because

$$\theta \left[\int_t^1 X \cdot \mathrm{d}B \right] = \int_t^1 \theta(X) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp},$$

and $\theta^{-1} = \theta^*$ (adjoint of θ). So $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \cap \mathcal{F}_t, \forall g \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty - 0} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp}$,

$$\langle \theta^{-1}(f), g \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)} = \langle \theta^{*}(f), g \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)} = \langle f, \theta(g) \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^{2}(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Now, grad $\mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, as a product of two \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -matrix processes and $\theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ is also \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian because θ^{-1} acts only on ω . Then from $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$, and as $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B) = \mathrm{d}B$, we have

$$\theta^{-1}\operatorname{grad}(\theta[W(h)]) = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U}] \cdot \mathrm{d}B + \left(t \mapsto \int_0^t {}^t \dot{h}\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s\right).$$

We denote by Z(f):

$$Z(f) = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad} f + t \mapsto \int_0^t (t \operatorname{grad} f) \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

where $A = \theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ is a vector matrix, $(A)_i^j \in H$, and $(A(\operatorname{grad} f))_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \operatorname{grad} f, e_j \rangle (A)_i^j \in H$. As $\theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, $\theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ multiplicator (Theorem 4.3), so $Z(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. Moreover, Z(f) and $\theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \theta(f)]$ coincide when f is a polynomial in Gaussian variables, because both are θ -derivations, and direct computation show that

$$Z[W(h)] = \theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \theta(W(h))].$$

So we extend $\theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \theta]$ as an operator on $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, with Z(f).

Now we prove that θ sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} in \mathbb{D}^{∞} by induction ; we know already that $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{L}^{\infty-0}$. Then assume that $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_{r}^{\infty}$, and let $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$: as $\theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \theta(f)] = Z(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$,

$$\theta \theta^{-1}[\operatorname{grad} \theta(f)] = \theta(Z(f)) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$$

which implies grad $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ so $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Let \mathcal{U} be an adapted process, \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, with values in the unitary $n \times n$ matrices on \mathbb{R}^n . The map θ defined on $W(h), h \in H$, by

$$\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B,$$

can be extended in a morphism from $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, because it preserve laws.

Let A be an adapted process, valued in the space of $n \times n$ -A.M., A being moreover a multiplicator. Following Malliavin [], we call such a process an elementary tangent process. We define the operator $T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ by:

$$T_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \mathcal{U}(D_A \mathcal{U}^{-1}) + A$$

where $D_A = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad}$. Now we will prove:

THEOREM 5..9. If $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits an inverse operator $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ from the space of elementary tangent processes in itself, and if $\theta: L^{\infty-0} \to L^{\infty-0}$ admits an inverse from $L^{\infty-0}$ in itself, then θ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism.

Before proving this theorem, we need several lemmas.

LEMMA 5..7. The operator $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ takes its values in the $n \times n$ -A.M., $T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ is an adapted process, and a multiplicator: $T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)$ is an elementary tangent process.

Proof. As D_A is an adapted derivation, $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is adapted. Then \mathcal{U}^{-1} being \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, $D_A \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is also \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian (D_A acts only on ω); and a process which is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian is a multiplicator (Theorem 4.3).

REMARK 5..8. As $\theta: L^{\infty-0} \to L^{\infty-0}$ preserves laws, $\theta: L_1^+(\Omega) \to L_1^+(\Omega)$, so $\theta^*: L^{\infty-0}(\Omega) \to L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. Then θ^* is a morphism $(\theta^* = \theta^{-1})$.

LEMMA 5..8. Suppose $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ exists as an operator from the space of adapted multiplicators, $n \times n$ -A.M., in itself. Then if Y is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}Y$ is also \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian.

Proof. Denote $A = (T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}Y$; then $T_{\mathcal{U}}A$ is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} - \alpha$ -Holderian by hypothesis ; so $\mathcal{U}D_A\mathcal{U}^{-1} + A$ is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} - \alpha$ -Holderian and so is $\mathcal{U}^{-1}D_A\mathcal{U}$, which implies $A = Y - \mathcal{U}D_A\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty} - \alpha$ -Holderian.

LEMMA 5..9. In the same setting than in Lemma 5.8, H' being an Hilbert space, the extension of $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ (Corollary 2.2) will send the space of the $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H')$ - α -Holderian elementary tangent process (with items in H') in \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α' -Holderian processes, $\alpha' < \alpha$.

88

REMARK. We cannot apply directly Corollary 2.2, because the space of \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian processes is not \mathbb{D}^{∞} -closed.

Proof of Lemma 5..9. a) Let X be a completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process with \mathbb{R} -valued matrix items (see Definition 2.2 for a completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process). Then $E[X|\mathcal{F}_t]$ is an adapted process ; $E[X|\mathcal{F}_t] * \beta_{1-s}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian process which implies $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}[E[X|\mathcal{F}_t] * \beta_{1-s}]$ is again \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian (Lemma 5.8);

 $\{(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}[E[X|\mathcal{F}_t]*\beta_{1-s}]*\beta_{s'}\}', s' > s, \text{ is a completely } \mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-process, denoted } \hat{X}.$ Then $X \mapsto \hat{X}$ is a transformation denoted $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ which sends a complete $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-elementary tangent process in a completely } \mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-elementary tangent process.}$ With Lemma 2.1.ii, we have an extension map denoted $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}$ which sends the space of completely $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-elementary tangent process with matrix items in } H'$, in itself.

b) Now let Y an \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian elementary tangent process with matrix items in H'. With Theorem 2.8, we know that $X = (Y * \beta_{s_0})', s_0 < \alpha$, is completely \mathbb{D}^{∞} . So we denote by:

$$\widetilde{(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}}(Y) = \widetilde{(\mathbb{H})}\left((Y * \beta_{s_0})'\right) * \beta_{1-s_0}.$$

Remind that according to Proposition 2.2.iv, the convolution by β_{s_0} or β_{1-s_0} leaves the adaptation property invariant. Then $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}Y$ is an \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Hölderian elementary process.

c) Each matrix item of Y can be written $Y_i^j = a_i^j h$ where h is a constant vector of H'. Then

$$\begin{split} \overline{(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}Y_{i}^{j}} &= \left(\bigoplus (a_{i}^{j} * \beta_{s_{0}})' \right) * \beta_{1-s_{0}}h \\ &= \{ [(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[(a_{i}^{j} * \beta_{s_{0}})' | \mathcal{F}_{t}] * \beta_{1-s_{0}}] * \beta_{s_{0}} \}' * \beta_{1-s_{0}}h \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[(a_{i}^{j} * \beta_{s_{0}})' | \mathcal{F}_{t}] * \beta_{1-s_{0}})h \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[(a_{i}^{j} * \beta_{s_{0}})' * \beta_{1-s_{0}} | \mathcal{F}_{t}])h \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[a_{i}^{j} | \mathcal{F}_{t}])h \\ &= ((T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}a_{i}^{j})h \\ &= (T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}Y_{i}^{j}. \end{split}$$

DEFINITION 5..4. Let $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ and Z, an $n \times n$ -A.M. process with its items belonging to H (Cameron-Martin space). We define $D_Z f$, for $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by

$$D_Z f = \operatorname{div}_R(Z \otimes \operatorname{grad} f),$$

then $D_Z f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

(Remind div_R already defined in Theorem 5.1.) Then $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hibertian basis of H, straightforward computation shows:

$$D_Z f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{div}(\langle Z, e_i \rangle_H) \operatorname{grad} f) e_i.$$

LEMMA 5..10. D_Z^* being the adjoint of D_Z , for all $V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, we have, with $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$D_Z^*(fV) = f D_Z^* V - \langle D_Z f, V \rangle_H.$$

$$\begin{aligned} Proof. \ \forall g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \\ (D_{Z}^{*}(fV), g) &= \langle fV, D_{Z}g \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega, H)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle fV, (D_{Z_{i}}g)e_{i} \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega, H)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ((fD_{Z_{i}}g), \langle V, e_{i} \rangle_{H})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[(D_{Z_{i}}(fg), \langle V, e_{i} \rangle_{H})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} - (gD_{Z_{i}}f, \langle V, e_{i} \rangle_{H})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[(V, D_{Z_{i}}(fg)e_{i})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} - (g, \langle V, D_{Z_{i}}fe_{i} \rangle_{H})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right] \\ &= (g, fD_{Z}^{*}V) - (g, \langle V, D_{Z}f \rangle_{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we go back to the proof of Theorem 5..9.

Proof of Theorem 5..9. From $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$, we get:

$$\operatorname{grad} \theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}(\operatorname{grad} U^{-1}) \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B + t \mapsto \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
(12)

We write $Y = \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{U}$.

Let Z such that $T_{\mathcal{U}}(Z) = \mathcal{U}Y\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ so $Z = T_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}Y\mathcal{U}^{-1})$. With Lemma 5.9, Z is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian $n \times n$ -A.M. matrix process with items in H; and we have:

$$Y\mathcal{U}^{-1} = D_Z\mathcal{U}^{-1} + \mathcal{U}^{-1}Z \tag{13}$$

and

$$D_Z(\mathrm{d}B) = \mathrm{d}(D_Z B) = Z \,\mathrm{d}B. \tag{14}$$

Using (13) and (14) in (12), we get, with $\theta(h) = h$:

$$\operatorname{grad} \theta[W(h)] = D_Z[\theta(W(h))] + t \mapsto \int_0^1 t(\theta(\operatorname{grad}(W(h))))\mathcal{U}^{-1} \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad (15)$$

but grad $\theta[W(h)] = D_Z[\theta(W(h))] + \mathcal{U}[\theta(\operatorname{grad} W(h))]$. We would like to write, for $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$\operatorname{grad} \theta[f] = D_Z[\theta(f)] + \mathcal{U}[\theta(\operatorname{grad} f)]$$
(16)

but

$$\theta[\operatorname{grad} f] = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} \theta(f) - \mathcal{U}^{-1} D_Z[\theta(f)].$$
(17)

Due to the right hand side of (17), we interpret (17) as an equation between distributions.

 $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ being Hilbertian basis of H, and $g\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we apply each member of (17) to ge_i :

$$-(fe_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) - \langle f \operatorname{div} e_i, \theta^*(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

= $(\mathcal{U}(ge_i), \operatorname{grad} \theta(f)) - \langle \mathcal{U}^{-1}D_Z \theta(f), ge_i \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, H)}$

which implies:

$$-(fe_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) - \langle f \operatorname{div} e_i, \theta^*(g) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

= $-\langle f, \theta^* \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{U}(ge_i)) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} - (f, \theta^* D_Z^* \mathcal{U}(ge_i))$

Using Lemma 5..10, we get:

$$-(fe_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) - \langle f \operatorname{div} e_i, \theta^*(g) \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} = -\langle f, \theta^* \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{U}(ge_i)) \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} - (f, \theta^*[gD_Z^*(\mathcal{U}e_i)]) + \langle f, \theta^*(\langle D_Z g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H) \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}$$
(18)

But:

$$\theta^*(\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{U}(ge_i))) = \theta^*(g)\theta^*(\operatorname{div}\mathcal{U}e_i) + \theta^*(\langle \operatorname{grad} g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H)$$

And as \mathcal{U} is adapted and unitary:

$$\theta^*[\operatorname{div} \mathcal{U}e_i] = \theta^* \left[\int_0^1 {}^t (\mathcal{U}e_i) \cdot \mathrm{d}B \right]$$
$$= \theta^* \left[\int_0^1 ({}^te_i) \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B \right]$$
$$= \theta^* \theta[W(e_i)]$$
$$= W(e_i).$$

Using this in (18), we get:

$$-(fe_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) = -\langle \theta^*(\langle \operatorname{grad} g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H), f \rangle_{\operatorname{L}^2(\Omega)} - (f, \theta^*(gD_Z^*(\mathcal{U}e_i))) + \langle f, \theta^*(\langle D_Z g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H) \rangle_{\operatorname{L}^2(\Omega)}.$$
(19)

From the formula $D_Z f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{div} Z_i \operatorname{grad} f) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (D_{Z_i} f) e_i$, in Definition 5..4, we deduce by duality that if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, then $D_Z^* X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $D_Z^*(\mathcal{U}e_i) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, so $\theta^*(g D_Z^* \mathcal{U}e_i)$ is legitimate, and is a function. Then (19) becomes:

$$-(e_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) = -\theta^*(\langle \operatorname{grad} g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H) - \theta^*(gD_Z^*\mathcal{U}e_i) + \theta^*(\langle D_Z g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H).$$

But θ^* acts on contants vectors fields as the indentity, so :

$$\theta^*(\langle \operatorname{grad} g, \mathcal{U}e_i \rangle_H) = \langle \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} g), e_i \rangle_H,$$

and

$$\theta^*(\langle D_Z g, \mathcal{U} e_i \rangle_H) = \langle \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} D_Z g), e_i \rangle_H.$$

So, we have :

$$-(e_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) = -\langle e_i, \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} g) \rangle_H - \theta^*(g D_Z^* \mathcal{U} e_i) + \langle e_i, \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} D_Z g) \rangle_H.$$

In this last equation, we choose g = 1. We get: $\theta^*(D_Z^*\mathcal{U}e_i) = 0$. We deduce

$$(e_i, \operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g)) = \langle e_i, \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} g) \rangle_H - \langle e_i, \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} D_Z g) \rangle_H.$$

In this last equation, $\theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} g)$ and $\theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1}D_Z g)$ are $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}$ -functions and $\operatorname{grad}(\theta^* g)$ a distribution; so as distributions, we have:

$$\operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g) = \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad} g) - \theta^*(\mathcal{U}^{-1} D_Z g).$$

Suppose that $\theta^* \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_r(\Omega)$; then $\operatorname{grad} \theta^*(g) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_r$ which implies $\theta^*(g) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{r+1}$. As θ is the adjoint of θ^* , $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Now we prove the converse of Theorem 5..9.

THEOREM 5..10. If θ , the $L^{\infty-0}$ -morphism generated by $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$, is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in itself, the linear pseudo-tangent map admits an inverse, in the space of the elementary tangent processes.

Proof.
$$\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$$
, so:
 $D_A \theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t\dot{h}D_A\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB + \int_0^1 t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1}A \cdot dB$
 $= \int_0^1 t\dot{h}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_\mathcal{U}A\mathcal{U})\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$
 $= \theta \left[\int_0^1 t\dot{h}\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_\mathcal{U}A\mathcal{U}) \cdot dB\right].$

So

$$\theta^{-1}D_A\theta(W(h)) = D_{\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}A\mathcal{U})}(W(h)).$$

So the map $A \mapsto \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}A\mathcal{U})$ is inversible. Then the map $A \mapsto \mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}A\mathcal{U}$ is inversible, so $A \mapsto T_{\mathcal{U}}A$ is inversible. \Box

Before the next theorem, we first remark that: if f is a polynomial in Gaussian variables, $f[W(h_1), \ldots, W(h_n)]$, then $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$; then if z = it + s, and s > 0, $(1 - L)^{-(it+s)}$ is legitimate as

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{s+it-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha} P_\alpha(\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f) \,\mathrm{d}\alpha \quad \text{(Mehler's formula)}.$$

And if r > s, we write: $(1 - L)^{r-s-it} = (1 - L)^{-(s+it)} \circ (1 - L)^r (\theta_{\mathcal{U}} f)$.

LEMMA 5..11. If ρ is an \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian process, there exists s, 0 < s < 1 and $\alpha + s > 1$, such that $\rho * \beta_{1-s} \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and $g = (\rho * \beta_{1-s})'$ will be in $L^{\infty-0}([0,1] \times \Omega)$

Proof. Proposition 2.2.i.

The next theorem of "local inversibility" is:

THEOREM 5..11. If $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is inversible from the space of adapted \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicators in itself, and if \mathcal{U} is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian with $\alpha > 1/4$, then the morphism generated by θ , $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$, is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism of \mathbb{D}^{∞} in itself.

As the proof of this theorem is more difficult and involves a fractionnal induction, we give the followed plan of the proof:

a) We first recall some notations in Lemma 5..4, and establish that: if ρ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian function with $\alpha > 1/2$ then there exist a quantity $S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \rho \operatorname{d}[\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}f)] = D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f),$$

the integral being a Russo-Valois integral.

b) We then suppose that: $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{s}(\Omega)$. We will prove, using the above formula in a), that if $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $D_{S(\rho E_{s}^{k}),t}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{s}(\Omega)$.

For this, first we prove it for $s \in \mathbb{N}_*$; and then if $s \notin \mathbb{N}_*$, s > 0, we will use the Phragmen-Lindelöf method with an interpolation in the domain delimited by E[s] and E[s+1] to get this result.

- c) There we will prove that ρ being \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian with $\alpha > 0$, then $D_{S(\rho E_{s}^{k})}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-2}^{\infty}$.
- d) Then another interpolation, using the Phragmen-Lindelöf method, interpolation on t this time, will proves Theorem 5..10.

Proof. a) E_{ℓ}^{k} is the $n \times n$ -elementary antisymmetrical matrix, $D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}} f = \operatorname{div} E_{\ell}^{k} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot) \operatorname{grad} f$, with $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and if $\rho(t, \omega)$ is an \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian process, H-valued, the integral $\int_{0}^{1} \rho \operatorname{d}[\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}} f)]$ is to be understood as a Russo-Valois integral, with $E_{\ell,t}^{k} = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot)E_{\ell}^{k}$. ρ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian, H valued ; so ρ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded. Using the decomposition: $\rho = g * \beta_{s}$ with $g \in \operatorname{L}^{p}(\Omega \times [0, 1])$ and $\beta_{s} \in \operatorname{L}^{1}$, uneasy computation shows that there exists $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha - 1/2$ such that

$$\|\rho\|_{B^{1/2+\varepsilon}_{2,+\infty}}(H) \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$$

And

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{N}_*, \|\operatorname{grad}^s \rho\|_{B^{1/2+\varepsilon}_{2,+\infty}(\bigotimes^{s+1} H)} \in \operatorname{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$$

because the operator grad applies only on ω , while the Besov affiliation of grad^s ρ is due only to the t variable.

Now as we consider $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$; suppose $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_{s}^{\infty}, s \in \mathbb{N}_{*}$. But the lemma 5..5, in which hypothesis are only i) and ii) brings as a result I), then $\operatorname{grad}^{s} \theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f)$ is an 1/2-Holderian process $(s \in \mathbb{N}_{*})$ and so

$$\operatorname{grad}^{s}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \in B_{2,1}^{1/2-\varepsilon}(\bigotimes^{s}H)$$

$$\left\| \operatorname{grad}^{s}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f) \right\|_{B_{2,1}^{1/2-\varepsilon}(\bigotimes^{s}H)} \in \operatorname{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$$

So $\int_0^1 \rho \,\mathrm{d}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f)$ exists as a Russo-Valois integral ; same for $\operatorname{grad}^s(\int_0^1 \rho \,\mathrm{d}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f))$, with $s \in \mathbb{N}_*$, if $\rho \in \mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega, H)$ and $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^\infty \to \mathbb{D}_s^\infty(\Omega)$.

Now $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ being inversible, if $C = \rho E_{\ell,t}^k = \rho E_{\ell}^k \mathbb{1}_{[0,t[}(\cdot), T_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}C\mathcal{U}^{-1}))$ is denoted S(C). We have:

$$D_{S(C)}[\theta(W(h))] = D_{S(C)} \left[\int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B \right]$$

=
$$\int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} D_{S(C)} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B + \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} S(C) \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$
 (20)

And from $T_{\mathcal{U}}(S(C)) = \mathcal{U}D_{S(C)}\mathcal{U}^{-1} + S(C) = \mathcal{U}C\mathcal{U}^{-1} = U\rho E_{\ell,t}^k\mathcal{U}^{-1}$, we get $D_{S(C)}\mathcal{U}^{-1} = C\mathcal{U}^{-1} - \mathcal{U}^{-1}S(C)$; so (20) becomes:

$$D_{S(C)}\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h}\rho E_{\ell,t}^k \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B = \int_0^t t \dot{h}\rho E_\ell^k \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$
(21)

Now:

$$\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}(W(h)) = \theta\left[\int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} E_{\ell,t}^k \cdot \mathrm{d}B\right] = \theta\left[\int_0^t {}^t \dot{h} E_{\ell}^k \cdot \mathrm{d}B\right] = \int_0^t {}^t \dot{h} E_{\ell}^k \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$

And

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \,\mathrm{d}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}(W(h))) = \int_0^t \rho^t \dot{h} E_\ell^k \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B.$$
(22)

From (21) and (22), we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \,\mathrm{d}\Big[\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}(W(h))\Big] = D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)} \theta[W(h)]. \tag{23}$$

Each member of the above equation is a θ -derivation, so (23) becomes valid when a polynomial in Gaussian variables is substituted to W(h). As the Russo-Valois integral is continuous if $f_n \mapsto f$ in $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, and $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f_n)$ converges towards $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f)$ (as distributions), we get that (23) is still valid for $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and that $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f)$ is a function.

and

b) We have supposed that $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{s}(\Omega)$, s being an integer. To the left-hand side of (23), we can apply s times the operator grad and each

$$\operatorname{grad}^{a}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\rho\,\mathrm{d}[\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f]\right) \quad \text{with } a \in \{1,\ldots,s\}$$

is a Russo-Valois integral, in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. So if $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{s}(\Omega)$,

$$D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f)\in \mathbb{D}_s^\infty(\Omega),$$

s being an integer. If θ sends $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}_{s}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, but with s non-integer, we will prove that $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^{k})}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ using the Phragmen-Lindelöf method on the strip of \mathbb{R}^{2} delimited by $0 \leq s - E[s] \leq 1$, denoted Δ .



Let f be a polynomial on Gaussian variables and consider the function of $z, 0 \leq \Re \mathfrak{e}(z) \leq 1, \varphi$ being in \mathbb{D}^{∞} , and r = E[s]; denote:

$$F(z) = \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{z^2} (1-L)^{\frac{r+z}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho(1-L)^{az+b} \,\mathrm{d}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) \right], \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}$$

We want that if $\mathfrak{Re}(z) = 0$, $(1-L)^{az+b}\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}f) \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}$ and if $\mathfrak{Re}(z) = 1$, $(1-L)^{az+b}\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}f) \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}$. These requirements imply: a = -1/2, b = (s-r)/2. F(z) is holomorphic on Δ and is continuous on $\overline{\Delta}$. φ being in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $(1-L)^{\frac{r+z}{2}}\varphi$ exists and is in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. So |F(z)| is bounded on Δ . Now $\forall p > 1, \forall q$ such that 1/p + 1/q = 1,

$$|F(i\lambda)| \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}^q(\Omega)} \left\| e^{-\lambda^2} (1-L)^{\frac{r+i\lambda}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \, \mathrm{d}((1-L)^{ai\lambda+b} \theta D_{E^k_{\ell,t}} f) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\Omega)}$$

With the Sobolev logarithmic inequality, as $\theta(D_{E_{\ell}^k}f) \in \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}$,

$$(1-L)^{\frac{-i\lambda}{2}+\frac{s-r}{2}}\theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^k}f)\in\mathbb{D}_r^{\infty},$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \,\mathrm{d}((1-L)^{\frac{-i\lambda}{2} + \frac{s-r}{2}} \theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}} f)) \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

and

$$(1-L)^{\frac{r+i\lambda}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \,\mathrm{d}((1-L)^{\frac{-i\lambda}{2} + \frac{s-r}{2}} \theta(D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}}f)) \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$$

So $|F(i\lambda)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\omega)} \times C_1(f)$, $C_1(f)$ constant, *f*-dependant. A similar computation shows that: $|F(1+i\lambda)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\omega)} \times C_2(f)$, $C_2(f)$ constant, *f*-dependant. So

thanks to the Phragmen-Lindelöf method, we have: $|F(s-r)| \leq ||\varphi||_{L^q(\Omega)} \times C_3(f), C_3(f) \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega).$ And

$$F(s-r) = (1-L)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \operatorname{d}(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^{k}} f) \in \operatorname{L}^{\infty-0}.$$

So $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho d(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) \in \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}(\Omega)$. As $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho d(\theta D_{E_{\ell,t}^k} f) = D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)} \theta(f)$, ρ being \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian with $\alpha > 1/2$, if $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}$, then $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)} \theta(f)$ sends \mathbb{D}^{∞} to \mathbb{D}_s^{∞} .

c) Now we suppose again $s \in \mathbb{N}_*$ and $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) = \operatorname{div} S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k) \operatorname{grad} \theta(f)$ which shows that $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-2}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. If $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}_{s+1}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ then $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-1}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. So by interpolation, we have: for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $D_{S(\rho E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-2}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

d) Now $\tilde{\rho}$ being an \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian function, with $1 > \alpha > 0$, we consider $\tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1-z}$, $0 \leq \Re \mathfrak{e}(z) \leq 1$; then $\tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1-z}$ is a γ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian function with $\gamma > \alpha + \Re \mathfrak{e}(z)$ and

$$|\tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1-z}(t+h) - \tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1-z}(t)| \le Ch^{\alpha + \mathfrak{Re}(z)}(1+|z|).$$

Now consider the function

$$\tilde{F}(z) = e^{z^2} \langle (1-L)^{az+b} D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-z}E^k_{\ell,t})} \theta(f), \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

with f a polynomial in Gaussian variables and $\varphi \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, z such that $0 \leq \mathfrak{Re}(z) \leq 1/2$. We will apply the Phragmen-Lindelöf method to $\tilde{F}(z)$, on the strip $0 \leq \mathfrak{Re}(z) \leq 1/2$. $\tilde{F}(z)$ is holomorphic on $0 < \mathfrak{Re}(z) < 1/2$, continuous on $0 \leq \mathfrak{Re}(z) \leq 1/2$ and bounded on this domain thanks to the Sobolev logarithmic inequality.

If $\mathfrak{Re}(z) = 0$,

$$\tilde{F}(i\lambda) = e^{-\lambda^2} \langle (1-L)^{ia\lambda+b} D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-i\lambda}E_{\ell,t}^k)} \theta(f), \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

then $\tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1-i\lambda}$ is Holderian with yield strictly greather than 0 and $D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-i\lambda}E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-2}^{\infty}$ (remind that we supposed $\theta \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}$). As we want $\tilde{F}(i\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}$, this implies: -2b + s - 2 = 0 so b = s/2 - 1. If $\mathfrak{Re}(z) = 1/2$,

$$\tilde{F}(\frac{1}{2}+i\lambda) = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{4}-\lambda^2+i\lambda} \langle (1-L)^{(\frac{1}{2}+i\lambda)a+b} D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{\frac{1}{2}-i\lambda}E_{\ell,t}^k)} \theta(f), \varphi \rangle_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)},$$

 $\tilde{\rho} * \beta_{1/2-i\lambda}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} - α -Holderian with yield strictly greather than 1/2, and

$$D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1/2-i\lambda}E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_s^{\infty}.$$

As we want: $\tilde{F}(1/2 + i\lambda) \in L^{\infty-0}$, we must have: -(a+2b) + s = 0 so a = 2. Then $|\tilde{F}(z)|$ is bounded on the whole band $0 \leq \Re \mathfrak{e}(z) \leq 1/2$.

Now we remind equation (16) in Theorem 5..9, which is still valid in our setting:

$$\operatorname{grad} \theta[f] = D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-z}E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) + \mathcal{U}(\theta(\operatorname{grad} f)).$$

Then for an induction to begin, we need $\operatorname{grad} \theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-1+\delta}^{\infty}, \delta > 0$. For this, it is enough that $D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-z}E_{\ell,t}^k)}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-1+\delta}^{\infty}, \delta > 0$. Now we know that: for all z such that $0 \leq \mathfrak{Re}(z) \leq 1/2, |\tilde{F}(z)| \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. So we look for a $z \in [0, 1/2]$ such that:

$$(1-L)^{2\mathfrak{Re}(z)+\frac{s}{2}-1}D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-z})}\theta(f)\in \mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}$$

with $D_{S(\tilde{\rho}*\beta_{1-z})}\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-1+\delta}^{\infty}$. This implies $-2(2\mathfrak{Re}(z)+s/2-1)+s-1+\delta=0$ wich implies $\mathfrak{Re}(z) > 1/4$. Then $\operatorname{grad} \theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{s-1+\delta}^{\infty}$ and the induction can begin, so $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$. Now we show that as \mathcal{U} is γ -Holderian with $\gamma > 1/4$, then the condition $\mathfrak{Re}(z) > 1/4$ is fulfilled.

Now if we choose $\rho = f_k^{\ell}$, with

$$\left(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\right)\mathcal{U} = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n} f_k^{\ell} E_\ell^k$$

 $(f_k^\ell \text{ being extended as } 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_- \cup [2, +\infty[, \text{ and affine on } [1, 2]).$ We have that each $f_k^\ell \text{ is } \alpha$ -Holderian, $\gamma > 1/4$. Then for all (ℓ, k) , $f_k^\ell * \beta_z$, with $\mathfrak{Re}(z) = 1/4$, is in \mathcal{C}^1 . So $\tilde{\varphi}_k^\ell = (f_k^\ell * \beta_z)'$ exists (Proposition 2.2.i) and $\tilde{\rho}_k^\ell * \beta_{1-z} = f_k^\ell$, which is γ -Holderian with $\gamma > 1/4$ (Proposition 2.2.iii).

Now we will prove another theorem of inversibility and \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, with another hypothesis on $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$.

DEFINITION 5..5. A family $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ of elementary tangent processes will be said to be a multiplicative family if and only if it verifies the following two conditions: $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hilbertian basis of H,

- a) if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$,
- b) $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes X_i \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i X_i \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

LEMMA 5..12. The family $A_i = \mathcal{U}(t, \omega)e_i \mathcal{U}^{-1}(t, w), i \in \mathbb{N}_*$ is a mutiplicative family.

Proof. Condition a) of Definition 5..5. As \mathcal{U} is a multiplicator, if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H), \mathcal{U}.X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. Then:

$$(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}).X = \operatorname{grad}(\mathcal{U}.X) - \mathcal{U}_R(\operatorname{grad} X)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i X = \mathcal{U}_R^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}.X).$$

Condition b) of Definition 5..5. *B* being an $n \times n$ -matrix, let $X \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H)$; we define $B \cdot X$ by:

$$\forall Y \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H), \ (B \cdot X, Y) = (X, {}^{t}BY).$$

We will give meaning to $\operatorname{grad}(B \cdot X)$ with $X \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H)$ by:

$$\operatorname{grad}(B \cdot X) = (\operatorname{grad} B) \cdot X + B_R(\operatorname{grad} X)$$

with $(\operatorname{grad} B) \cdot X$ defined on $Y \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ by

$$(\operatorname{grad} B \cdot X, Y) = \left(\operatorname{grad} B \cdot X, \sum_{k,\ell} Y^{k\ell} e_k \otimes e_\ell\right)$$
$$= \left(X, \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(e_k{}^t B\right) \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty Y^{k\ell} e_\ell\right)\right)$$

and $(B \operatorname{grad} X, Y) = (\operatorname{grad} X, {}^t BY).$

Following a similar proof as in condition a) in the same way we have: if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H)$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i X \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$. Then the map $\Phi \colon \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$,

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i X \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H),$$

admits as dual map:

$$\Phi^* \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$$
$$\Phi^*(Y^{k\ell}e_k \otimes e_\ell) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i(Y^{i\ell}e_\ell) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$$

Now let a family of $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes X_i \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H).$$

Then $X_i = Y_i^{\ell} e_{\ell}$ is such that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i^{\ell} e_i \otimes e_{\ell} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ and

$$\Phi^*(Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i(Y^{i\ell}e_\ell) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_iX_i \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H).$$

THEOREM 5..12. Let \mathcal{U} an adapted process, multiplicator with values in $n \times n$ -unitary matrices; and let $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$ the associated morphism from $L^{\infty-0}$ in itself. Let A be an elementary tangent process, that is each A is an $n \times n$ -A.M., and is a multiplicator; we define $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ by:

$$T_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \mathcal{U}D_A\mathcal{U}^{-1} + A.$$

T is a "pseudo linear tangent map" of θ .

We suppose that $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ admits an inverse $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$, which verifies the additional property: for each multiplicative family $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a multiplicative family. Then if $(\theta_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ exists from $L^{\infty - 0}(\Omega)$ in itself, $(\theta_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism.

Proof. We write $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}(A_i) = C_i$, with $A_i = \mathcal{U}(t, \omega)(e_i \mathcal{U}^{-1})(t, \omega)$. $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hilbertian basis of H, and $h \in H$, then straightforward computation shows that:

$$e_i.\theta[W(h)] - D_{C_i}\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1}e_i \,\mathrm{d}s = \langle \mathcal{U}\theta(\operatorname{grad} W(h)), e_i \rangle_H.$$
(24)

Both members of (24) are θ -derivations, so (24) is still valid for polynomials in Gaussian variables.

Let f such that $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$; then $f \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ and if f_n is a sequence of polynomials in Gaussian variables which converges $L^{\infty-0}$ towards f then (24) is still valid with f as above, but (24) has to be rewritten:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i}[\theta(f)]e_i = \operatorname{grad} \theta(f) - \mathcal{U}[\theta \operatorname{grad} f]$$
(25)

and is an equation using distributions. If $\alpha \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i}(\alpha) e_i$ is a distribution because

$$\begin{aligned} \forall g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H), \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i}(\alpha) e_i, g\right) &= \left(\alpha, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i}(\langle e_i, g \rangle_H)\right) \\ &= \left(\alpha, \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \operatorname{grad}\langle e_i, g \rangle_H\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and now using Definition 5..5.b, as $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i}(\theta(f)) e_i = \operatorname{div}_R\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes C_i \operatorname{grad} \theta(f)\right)$$

which is in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ thanks to Definition 5..5.a; grad $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ because $\theta(f) \in D^{\infty}$. Now we apply each item of (25) to $\mathcal{U}\theta(g)$ where g is a polynomial vector map: so we see that there exist a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ vector field W such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle W, \mathcal{U}\theta(g) \rangle_H = (\operatorname{grad} f, g) \tag{26}$$

Now we take a sequence, g_n , of polynomials vector maps, converging in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ towards $g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. From (26), we see that the $\mathcal{L}^{\infty-0}$ -limit (grad f, g) exists and this for f such that $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

The set $\{f / \theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}\}$ is $L^{\infty-0}$ -dense in $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ which implies grad $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, so $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{1}(\Omega)$. So (24) is then an equation in which, each item is a function.

Now we proceed by induction: we know, by hypothesis, that $\theta^{-1} \colon \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to L^{\infty-0}$. Suppose that for all f such that $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, then $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}_{r}(\Omega)$. Then

grad
$$f = \theta^{-1} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left[\operatorname{grad} \theta(f) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{C_i} \theta(f) e_i \right]$$

which implies grad $f \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, so $f \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and θ^{-1} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in itself. \Box

REMARK 5..9. If θ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism, $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ will transform a multiplicative family $(A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ in a multiplicative family $((T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$.

Proof.

(25) can be rewritten:

$$T_{\mathcal{U}}A_i = \mathcal{U}D_A\mathcal{U}^{-1} + A_i = -(D_{A_i}\mathcal{U})\mathcal{U}^{-1} + A_i.$$

For the condition a): we have to show that if $X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes (T_{\mathcal{U}} A_i) X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H).$$

It is enough to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes (D_{A_i} \mathcal{U}) \mathcal{U}^{-1} X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$. As

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes D_{A_i} f = \operatorname{div}_R \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i \operatorname{grad} f \right),$$

we see that: $f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{A_i} f e_i$ is a derivation, that sends $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. So $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes (D_{A_i} \mathcal{U}) \mathcal{U}^{-1} X$ is in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$.

Condition b): let Φ the map : $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ that sends $\Phi(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i X_i$. As $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a multiplicative family, Φ is legitimate. Then, if $Z \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$:

$$\Phi^*\colon \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega,H) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega,H\otimes H)$$

and

$$\Phi^*(Z) = -\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \otimes A_i Z.$$

So the same treatment as in condition a) above proves that the condition b) is fulfilled.

Now we know that $(T_{\mathcal{U}}A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ is a multiplicative family. We will compute $(T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}$ to prove that $((T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ is a multiplicative family. From $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$ and $\theta^{-1}[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{V}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$, we deduce:

$$\mathcal{V} = \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}).$$

Then

$$(\theta^{-1} \circ D_A \circ \theta)(W(h)) = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \theta^{-1} (D_A \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathrm{d}B + \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \theta^{-1} (\mathcal{U}^{-1} A) \mathcal{V} \cdot \mathrm{d}B$$

which implies:

$$(\theta^{-1} \circ D_A \circ \theta)(W(h)) = D_{\theta^{-1}[\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)\mathcal{U}]}(W(h)).$$

As we have supposed θ to be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism:

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \, \theta^{-1} \circ D_A \circ \theta = D_{\theta^{-1}[\mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)\mathcal{U}]}.$$

We denote $S_{\mathcal{U}}(A) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}T_{\mathcal{U}}(A)\mathcal{U}$, then:

$$\theta^{-1} \circ D_A \circ \theta = D_{\theta^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{U}}(A))}.$$

We also have: $\theta \circ D_{A'} \circ \theta^{-1} = D_{\theta[S_{\theta(\mathcal{U})}]}A'$. So the maps $A \mapsto \theta^{-1}(S_{\mathcal{U}}(A))$ and $A' \mapsto \theta(S_{\theta(\mathcal{U})}(A'))$ are inverses.

So we have:

$$\theta^{-1} \circ S_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \theta \circ S_{\theta(\mathcal{U})} = \mathrm{Id.}$$

So

$$S_{\mathcal{U}} \circ \theta \circ S_{\theta(\mathcal{U})} \circ \theta^{-1} = \mathrm{Id},$$

so $\theta \circ S_{\theta(\mathcal{U})} \circ \theta^{-1}$ is the inverse of $S_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ is inversible, and $((T_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a multiplicative family.

Two examples showing that the transformation θ : $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$, extended to $L^{\infty-0} \to L^{\infty-0}$, does not admit an inverse, even if \mathcal{U} admits one.

COUNTER EXAMPLE 5..1. Let $(B_t^{(1)}, B_t^{(2)})$ an \mathbb{R}^2 -Brownian, with $B_0^{(1)} = B_0^{(2)} = 0$, and denote $\Delta = \sqrt{(B_t^{(1)})^2 + (B_t^{(2)})^2}$. And let

$$\mathcal{U}(t,\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_t^{(1)}}{\Delta} & \frac{B_t^{(2)}}{\Delta} \\ -\frac{B_t^{(2)}}{\Delta} & \frac{B_t^{(1)}}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} \quad and \quad \mathcal{U}(0,\omega) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}.$$

Then $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB$ can be extended in an $L^{\infty - 0}$ -morphism, denoted again θ . Then, if R is a rotation with angle α , in \mathbb{R}^2 , with origin 0,

$$R[\theta(W(h))] = \int_0^1 R({}^t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\cdot \mathrm{d}B) = \int_0^1 {}^t\dot{h}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\cdot \mathrm{d}B = \theta[W(h)].$$

Then by extension: $R(\theta(f)) = \theta(f), \forall f \in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. So θ cannot be surjective because there exists elements of $L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$ which are not invariant for rotations.

COUNTER EXAMPLE 5..2. Let $W[\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot)] = \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_s \cdot \mathrm{d}B_s$, with: $\mathcal{U}_s = +1 \iff B_s \ge 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_s = -1 \iff B_s < 0.$ Then

$$X_{t} = W[\mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(\cdot)] = \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{B_{s} \ge 0\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{B_{s} < 0\}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d}B_{s} = \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{B_{s} > 0\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{B_{s} < 0\}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d}B_{s}$$

And let θ the map $\mathcal{C}_{0}([0,1],\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{C}_{0}([0,1],\mathbb{R})$ defined by: $\theta(x) = -x$. Then

$$\theta \circ X_t = \int_0^t \left(-\mathbb{1}_{\{B_s > 0\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{B_s < 0\}} \right) \cdot (-\mathrm{d}B_s) = X_t$$

So the σ -algebra generated by the $X_t, \sigma(X_t) = \{X_t / t \in [0, 1]\}$, is left invariant by θ . But θ is not surjective because $\sigma(X_t) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1$, where $\sigma(X_t)$ is the σ -algebra generated by $\{X_t / t \in [0, 1]\}$.

6. $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold

Let V_n be an *n*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with the metric g, and let ∇ be a connection on V_n , compatible with $g^{(1)}$; and Γ^i_{jk} the Christoffel symbols.

6. 1 Introduction

We recall the definition of a Brownian motion p_t , V_n -valued, starting from $p(0) = m_0 \in V_n$, and some of the properties of the stochastic parallel transport (SPT in short).

a) $\forall f \in C^{\infty}(V_n, g) : f \circ p_t - f \circ p_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Delta f \circ p_s \cdot ds = M_f(t), M_f(t)$ being a martingale.

b) The SPT is an intrinsic notion.

c) The scalar product is invariant by SPT: if X_1 and X_2 are two vectors in $T_{m_0}V_n$ and $X_i(t,\omega) \in T_{\omega(t)}V_n$ (i = 1, 2) are the SPT of X_1 and X_2 "along $\omega(t)$ ", then:

$$g_{\omega(t)}(X_1(t,\omega), X_2(t,\omega)) = g_{m_0}(X_1, X_2)$$

d) In a local chart of (V_n, g) , $X^k(\omega, t)$ being the k^{th} coordinate of the SPT vector X, we have:

$$X^{k}(t,\omega) = -\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{k}_{ij}\left(p(s)\right) X^{j} \circ dp^{j}_{s}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

We will also denote by $X_{//}(t, \omega)$ the SPT of the vector $X \in T_{m_0}V_n$ "along the curve $(\omega(t))$ ", at time t.

e) Let (\mathcal{U}, θ) be a chart centered on $m \in V_n$, \mathcal{U} being the domain of the chart and θ the coordinate map. u being an isomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n in $T_{m_0}V_n$, and $e_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \ldots, n$ the canonical basis unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n , we denote $u_{\alpha}(t, \omega)$ the SPT of ue_{α} , "along the curve $\omega(t)$ ", and by $Z^k_{\alpha}(t, \omega) = (\theta_* u_{\alpha}(t, \omega))^k$, the k^{th} component of the vector $u_{\alpha}(t, \omega)$, when read in the chart (\mathcal{U}, θ) . Then the matrix Z^k_{α} is invertible and if we write $d\tilde{B}^k_t = (Z^{-1})^k_{\mu} dM^{\mu}_f(t)$, \tilde{B}_t is an n-dimensional Brownian motion, and we have:

$$dp_t^k = \frac{1}{2}\Delta p^k ds + Z_\mu^k \cdot d\tilde{B}^\mu \tag{2}$$

⁽¹⁾ with possibly a non-vanishing torsion.

And direct calculus shows that:

$$dp_t^k = Z_\mu^k \circ d\tilde{B}^\mu \tag{3}$$

DEFINITION 6..1.

H being the canonical *C.M.* space, *u* an isomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n in $T_{m_0}V_n$, and $u_{\alpha}(t,\omega) = (ue_{\alpha})_{//}(t,\omega)$ as above, we denote:

$$\tilde{H} = \left\{ v(t,\omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t,\omega) \middle| f^{\mu} \in H \right\}$$

 \tilde{H} is called the new Cameron-Martin space, in short: N.C.M.. A scalar product on \tilde{H} is defined by:

$$\langle v_1(t,\omega), v_2(t,\omega) \rangle_{\tilde{H}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \int_0^1 \dot{f}_1(s) \dot{f}_2(s) ds$$

With $\langle , \rangle_{\tilde{H}}, \tilde{H}$ is an Hilbert space.

Each $v(t,\omega) \in \tilde{H}$ is a process, valued in the fiber-tangent TV_n .

We recall the theorem of moment inequalities for martingales [8, p.110].

THEOREM 6..1. If \mathcal{M} is the set of continuous locally square integrable martingales, there exist universal constants c_p and C_p $(1 such that <math>\forall M \in \mathcal{M}$, and $t \geq 0$:

$$c_p \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M_s|^{2p}\right] \le \mathbb{E}\left[\langle M, M \rangle_t^p\right] \le C_p \mathbb{E}\left[\max_{0 \le s \le t} |M_s|^{2p}\right]$$

COROLLARY 6..1. The solution of the equation of the SPT, (1), is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Proof. (V_n, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold, and $0 \le t \le 1$.

The equation (1) shows that X^k is $\in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega, H)$, but we do not know if X^k admits as gradient a function. We can deduce from (1), that X^k admits as gradient, a distribution; but we do not know if this distribution is a function.

To overcome this difficulty, we proceed as such:

If gradient X^k exists, as a function, it will verify the equation:

$$\operatorname{grad} X^{k} = -\int_{0}^{t} \left(\operatorname{grad} \Gamma_{ij}^{k}\right) X^{j} \circ dp^{i} - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} \left(\operatorname{grad} X^{j}\right) \circ dp^{i} - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} X^{j} \circ \operatorname{grad} dp^{i}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and $\operatorname{grad}(dp^i)$ can be computed with (2).

So we can look for a system of two unknown functions verifying equations (1) and (4): V_n being a C^{∞} compact manifold, the coefficients of this system of two equations are all C^{∞} -bounded; so the system ((1), (4)) admits a unique solution which is $L^{\infty-0}$ -bounded. Iterating this process shows that the solution of (1) is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

COROLLARY 6..2. If u_1, \ldots, u_k are k SPT vectors, and \mathcal{T} is a C^{∞} k-invariant tensor on (V_n, g) , then $\mathcal{T}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded.

Proof. all derivatives of \mathcal{T} are bounded on (V_n, g) and

 $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_{\mu}\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)}, \mu = 1, \ldots, k$ are all bounded. Then for the Malliavin derivative of order r:

 $\sup_{0 \le 0 \le 1} \|\operatorname{grad}^r (\mathcal{T}(u_1, \ldots, u_n))\|_{\infty H}^r$ is L^p -bounded.

6. 2 Construction of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$

Now we will construct on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas:

Let two connections $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$ compatible with the metric g; the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas \mathcal{A} on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ consists of two Wiener spaces \mathcal{W}_1 and \mathcal{W}_2 , and the corresponding Itō maps I_1 and I_2 . The chart change maps are then:

$$J_1 = I_2^{-1} \circ I_1 \ , \ J_2 = I_1^{-1} \circ I_2$$

Now we limit ourselves to the case for which the trace of the tensor $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla} - \stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$ is zero, so that the Laplacian is invariant.

Otherwise \mathcal{A} is still a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -atlas on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$, but the calculus is more complex because the Brownians associated to the two connections differ by a drift (a vector field on V_n); and the image of the probability on the first chart, by any of the *J*'s, differs from the probability on the second chart by a density.

Let θ be the morphism associated to the chart change map J; θ leaves invariant laws and filtrations, so it leaves invariant the quadratic variations and the martingale property. So there exists an $n \times n$ matrix \mathcal{V} such that $\theta(dB_2) = \mathcal{V}dB_1$, which implies if $h \in H_2$: $\theta[W(h)] = \int {}^t \dot{h}\mathcal{V}dB_1$, ${}^t\dot{h}$ being the *n*-linear vector obtained by transposition of the *n*-column vector \dot{h} .

As θ keeps invariant the quadratic variation, we have ${}^{t}\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V} = Id$; and as θ leaves invariant the filtrations, \mathcal{V} is also an adapted process.

LEMMA 6..1. \mathcal{V} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -process and a multiplicator.

Proof. We denote by $E(t,\omega)$ and $F(t,\omega)$ the frames on V_n , obtained by SPT when using the two connections $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$

From (1), we know that the SPT vectors which form the basis $E(t, \omega)$, $F(t, \omega)$ are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -semi-martingales with martingales parts, α -Hölderian ($\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$), and bounded variations parts of class C^1 .

Then from $\mathcal{V}E = F$, we get using Corollary 6..1 that \mathcal{V} is \mathbb{D}^{∞} . As:

$$d\mathcal{V} = dF.E^{-1} + F.dE^{-1} \tag{5}$$

 \mathcal{V} is a semi-martingale, with the martingale part being α -Hölderian and the bounded variation part being of class C^1 . The iterated gradients of \mathcal{V} will verify similar equations, and so will be also semi-martingales with martingales parts being α -Hölderian, and bounded variations parts being of class C^1 . So \mathcal{V} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

Now we write $\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mathcal{V}$.

LEMMA 6..2. With the previous notations, if $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, L^2([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n))$ in itself, and if $\theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U})$ is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, L^2([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n))$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes L^2([0,1], \mathbb{R}^n))$, then $\theta(\mathbb{D}^{\infty}) \subset \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Note: $\theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U})$ acts on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n))$ by left-tensor

matrix multiplication; if X_{ij} is the (i, j) vector entry of the

 $n \times n$ -matrix θ^{-1} [grad $\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U}$], and if $\alpha_k(t, \omega), k = 1, \ldots, n$ is an item of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n))$, we have:

$$\left[\left(\theta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\cdot\mathcal{U}\right)\right)(\alpha_{k})\right]_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{m}\alpha_{l}\otimes\vec{X}_{il}$$

Proof. first we remind the definition of the operator denoted div_R :

If X_1, \ldots, X_k are constant vectors of H, and if Y_1, \ldots, Y_k are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields, by definition:

$$\operatorname{div}_R\left(\sum_{i=1}^k X_i \otimes Y_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\operatorname{div} Y_i\right) \cdot X_i \text{ (see Chap. 5, before Lemma 5, 1)}$$

With Theorem 2, 4, and Corollary 2, 4, div_R can be extended in a continuous linear operator from $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

 θ^{-1} being a continuous \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism, for $h \in H$, we have:

$$\theta\left[W(h)\right] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \ \mathcal{U}^{-1}.dB$$

so:

$$\operatorname{grad}\left[\theta(W(h))\right] = \int_0^1 t\dot{h} \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} dB + \left(t \to \int_0^t \mathcal{U}^{-1}\dot{h} ds\right)$$
$$= \int_0^1 t\dot{h} (\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U}) \ \mathcal{U}^{-1} dB + \left(t \to \int_0^t \mathcal{U}^{-1}\dot{h} ds\right)$$

And:

$$\theta^{-1} \left[\operatorname{grad} \theta \left(W(h) \right) \right] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \theta^{-1} \left[\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U} \right] \theta^{-1} (\mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot dB) + \left(t \to \int_0^t \theta^{-1} (\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \dot{h} \, ds \right)$$
$$= \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \theta^{-1} \left[\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{U} \right] \cdot dB + \left(t \to \int_0^t \theta^{-1} (\mathcal{U}^{-1}) \dot{h} \, ds \right)$$
(6)

 $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}}$ being an Hilbertian basis of H, we define:

$$\theta^{-1}\left[\operatorname{grad}\theta(f)\right] = \operatorname{div}_{R}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e_{l} \otimes \langle e_{l}, \theta^{-1}(\operatorname{grad}\mathcal{U}^{-1}\cdot\mathcal{U})\rangle_{H}\operatorname{grad}f\right] + \left(t \to \int_{0}^{t} \theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}^{-1})\operatorname{grad}f \, ds\right)$$
(7)

From the r.h.s. of (7), one can verify that the definition of θ^{-1} [grad $\theta(f)$] is legitimate, and that it is a derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, by using $\mathcal{U}^{\star}\mathcal{U} = Id$; and that if f = W(h) ($h \in H$), then (7) is identical to (6).

Moreover, θ^{-1} [grad $\theta(f)$] is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation. Now we proceed by induction: we know that $\theta : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to L^{\infty-0}$. Suppose $\theta : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}_{r}^{\infty}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\star}$. The r.h.s. of (7) implies that θ^{-1} [grad $\theta(f)$] $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, so: $\theta \circ \theta^{-1}$ [grad $\theta(f)$] $\in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{\infty}$, which implies: grad $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{r}^{\infty}$, so $\theta(f) \in \mathbb{D}_{r+1}^{\infty}$.

LEMMA 6..3. If θ is an auto-diffeomorphism of \mathbb{D}^{∞} : i) the associated \mathcal{U} to θ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator. ii) $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ and $\theta(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ are multiplicators.

Proof. \mathcal{U} being associated to the diffeomorphism θ , is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded. From Corollary 4, 1, we see that $\frac{B_i(t+h)-B_i(t)}{\sqrt{h}}$ are *h*-uniformly multiplicators which implies that the same is true for the processes; $\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij} dB^j$, because:

let V be a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field; $\theta^{-1}(V)$ is also a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field; then $\left(t \to \frac{B_i(t+h) - B_i(t)}{\sqrt{h}} \cdot \theta^{-1}(V)\right)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field and so is: $\left(t \to \theta \left\{\frac{B_i(t+h) - B_i(t)}{\sqrt{h}} \cdot \theta^{-1}(V)\right\}\right)$ which equals: $\left(t \to \theta \left[\frac{B_i(t+h) - B_i(t)}{\sqrt{h}}\right] \cdot V\right)$ or equals: $\left(t \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} \cdot dB^j\right) \cdot V$. Then $\frac{1}{h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} \cdot dB^j\right) \cdot \left(B_k(t+h) - B_k(t)\right)$ are h-uniformly multiplicators, and with Itō's formula, denoting $M_i^{(1)} = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} \cdot dB^j$

and $M_k^{(2)} = B_k(t+h) - B_k(t)$, we get:

$$\frac{1}{h}\left(\int_{t}^{t+h}\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1}.dB^{j}\right)\cdot\left(B_{k}(t+h)-B_{k}(t)\right) = \int_{t}^{t+h}M_{1}.dM_{2} + \int_{t}^{t+h}M_{2}.dM1 + \frac{1}{h}\int_{t}^{t+h}\mathcal{U}_{ik}^{-1}dS^{j}dS^$$

Direct calculus shows that: $\int_t^{t+h} M_i^{(1)} dM_k^{(2)} + \int_t^{t+h} M_k^{(2)} dM_i^{(1)}$ is L^2 -bounded, *h*-uniformly.

So an extracted sequence of

$$\left\{\Phi_h^{ik} = \left(\frac{1}{h}\int_t^{t+h}\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1}.dB^j\right) \cdot \left(B_k(t+h) - B_k(t)\right) - \frac{1}{h}\int_t^{t+h}\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1}ds \ \middle/ \ h \downarrow 0\right\}$$

converges L^2 -weakly towards a limit.

But: $\frac{1}{h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} dB^j \right) \cdot \left(B_k(t+h) - B_k(t) \right) \in \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{F}_{t+h}$.

As the filtration is right-continuous, we have: $\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_t^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{F}_{t+h} = \{0\}$

Then an extracted sequence of $\frac{1}{h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} dB^j \right) \cdot (B_k(t+h) - B_k(t))$ converges L^2 -weakly towards 0.

Combining these two extractions, we get a new sequence denoted again Φ_h^{ik} such that Φ_h^{ik} converges L^2 -weakly towards \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{-1} and such that:

 $\frac{1}{h} \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} \mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1} dB^{j} \right) \cdot \left(B_{k}(t+h) - B_{k}(t) \right) \text{ converges } L^{2} \text{-weakly towards } 0.$ Then a barycentric net B_{h}^{ik} built with the Φ_{h}^{ik} , will converge L^{2} strongly towards \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{-1} .

With the same barycentric combination that was used to get B_h^{ik} from the sequence $(\Phi_h^{ik} / h \downarrow 0)$, but this time applied to the sequence

 $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{h}\int_{t}^{t+h}\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{-1}.dB^{j}\right)\cdot\left(B_{t+h}^{k}-B_{t}^{k}\right)/h\downarrow0\right\},\text{ we get a net of }h\text{-uniform multiplicators,}$ denoted M_{h}^{ik} .

Then: $\forall X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, we have:

 $\forall (p,r) \text{ and } \forall (i,k) \in \mathbb{N}_{\star} \times \mathbb{N}_{\star}: \sup_{h} \|M_{h}^{ik}.X\|_{\mathbb{D}_{r}^{p}(\Omega,H)} \text{ bounded and } M_{h}^{ik}X \text{ converges } L^{2}\text{-strongly towards } \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{-1}X.$

Then, by interpolation, we have that \mathcal{U}_{ik}^{-1} is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ multiplicator.

ii) \mathcal{U} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} multiplicator: so if V is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field, $\mathcal{U}.\theta(V)$ is also a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field; and then $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U}).\theta^{-1}\theta(V)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field which implies that: $\theta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

Similar proof for grad $\mathcal{U}^{-1}.\mathcal{U}$, with a vector field $V \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W}, H)$, then $(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}.\mathcal{U})\theta(V) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W}, H \otimes H).$

Now we have:

THEOREM 6..2. The set $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ can be endowed with a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold structure.

Proof. Let $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$ be two connections on (V_n, g) , both compatible with g, I_1 and I_2 the respectively associated Itō maps, $J = I_2^{-1} \circ I_1$ the chart change map, and θ the morphism associated with J.

We suppose that $\nabla^{(1)}$ and $\nabla^{(2)}$ both verify the Driver condition, so the associated Laplacians $\Delta^{(1)}$ and $\Delta^{(2)}$ are equal (see following Lemma 6, 4).

Then if B_1 and B_2 are the associated Brownians, we have:

$$d\tilde{B}_2 = \mathcal{U}^{-1}d\tilde{B}_1 = \theta(d\tilde{B}_1) \tag{8}$$

 \tilde{B}_1 and \tilde{B}_2 being as in (2), and \mathcal{U} being associated to θ , such that: $\theta[W(h)] = \int_0^1 {}^t \dot{h} \mathcal{U}^{-1} d\tilde{B}_1$.

From (8), we get: $\mathcal{U}(\omega_1)d\tilde{B}_2 = d\tilde{B}_1$, so: $\theta(\mathcal{U})d\tilde{B}_2 = d\tilde{B}_1$, which implies that $\theta(\mathcal{U})$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

From $\mathcal{U}dB_2 = dB_1$, we deduce:

$$\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1} d\tilde{B}_1 + \mathcal{U}^{-1} \operatorname{grad}(d\tilde{B}_1) = \operatorname{grad}(d\tilde{B}_2).$$

This last SDE shows that $\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator, and then $\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{U}^{-1}.\mathcal{U}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator.

Then from Lemma 4, 2, we get that θ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -diffeomorphism and that $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ with this chosen atlas is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold.

LEMMA 6..4. If two connections $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$ on the n-dimensional compact manifold V_n are compatible with the metric g, and if both connections verify the Driver condition, then the Laplacians $\stackrel{(1)}{\Delta}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\Delta}$ are identical.

Proof. Denote $M(u,v) = \stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}_u v - \stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}_u v$. Then $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}_u v - \stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}_u v = M(u,v) = \stackrel{(1)}{T}(u,v) - \stackrel{(2)}{T}(u,v), \stackrel{(1)}{T}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{T}$ being the torsions of the connections $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$.

Then from u.g(v,v) - u.g(v,v) = 0, we have:

$$g(M(u,v),v) = 0 \tag{9}$$

From v.g(u, v) - v.g(u, v) = 0, we have:

$$g(M(v,u),v) + g(u,M(v,v)) = 0$$
(10)

And because $\stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}$ verify the Driver condition, we have:

$$g(M(u,v),v) - g(M(v,u),v) = 0$$
(11)

From (9), (10), (11) we get: g(u, M(v, v)) = 0, so: M(v, v) = 0, $\forall v$. As $\Delta^{(1)} - \Delta^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{(1)}{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \stackrel{(2)}{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i$, we get: $\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta$.

7. Derivations on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n)$

Let (V_n, g) be a Riemannian *n*-dimensional compact manifold with connection ∇ , compatible with g, and $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ be as usual the set of all continuous paths: $[0,1] \to V_n$, starting from $m_0 \in V_n$.
We now want to prove that under the Driver condition, the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -module generated by a specific type of derivations (being built using C^{∞} vector fields on V_n), is "dense" in the set of all \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivations.

7. 1 If any D_v -type of derivation has an unique \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation extension, the Driver condition is fullfilled

We denote by I the Itō application of the Wiener space \mathcal{W} into $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$, and if $f \in C^{\infty}(V_n)$, by

$$F_{f,t}(\omega) = (f \circ I)(\omega)(t) \tag{1}$$

 \tilde{H} being the NCM as in Definition (5, 1), we define, with $v \in \tilde{H}$, an operator D_v by:

$$D_v(F_{f,t})(\omega) = (v.f)|_{I(\omega)(t)}$$
(2)

We will show that if the Driver condition is satisfied, D_v can be extended in a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and conversely.

The Driver condition being: if T is the torsion of the manifold,

 $\forall u, v \in \Gamma(V_n), \quad g(T(u, v), v) = 0.$

We first show that D_v is an adapted derivation, assuming it has a unique extension on \mathbb{D}^{∞} , denoted again D_v . For this we need:

LEMMA 7..1.

$$\sigma\left[F_{f,s} \,/\, s \le t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_n)\right] = \mathcal{F}_t$$

Proof. The inclusion

$$\sigma\left[F_{f,s} \,/\, s \le t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_n)\right] \subset \mathcal{F}_t$$

is trivial. To prove the reverse inclusion, it is enough to prove that

$$\ddot{B}_t \in \sigma \left[F_{f,s} \, / \, s \le t, f \in C^\infty(V_n) \right]$$

 \tilde{B}_t being defined as in Section 6, introduction a).

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t^k = \left(Z^{-1}\right)_\mu^k \mathrm{d}M_t^\mu$$

We have with Section 6 notations:

$$Z^k_{\mu} = (\theta_* u_{\mu}(\omega, t))^k$$
$$dZ^k_{\mu} = -\Gamma^k_{i\ell} Z^\ell_{\mu} \circ dp^i$$

so Z_{μ}^{k} is the solution of a SDE with coefficients in $\sigma [F_{f,s} / s \leq t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_{n})]$, so $Z_{\mu}^{k} \in \sigma [F_{f,s} / s \leq t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_{n})]$. Now from (6, 3) we have

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}_t^\ell = \left(Z^{-1}\right)_k^\ell \circ \mathrm{d}p_t^k$$

Then, as
$$(Z^{-1})_{\ell}^{k} \in \sigma [F_{f,s} / s \leq t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_{n})],$$

 $\tilde{B}_{t} \in \sigma [F_{f,s} / s \leq t, f \in C^{\infty}(V_{n})].$

THEOREM 7..1. Assuming there exists a unique extension of D_v , defined on its domain by (2), this extension is an adapted derivation.

Proof. The definition (2) of D_v and Lemma 7..1 show that: $D_v F_{f,t} \in \mathcal{F}_t$. So the extension of D_v to \mathbb{D}^{∞} being supposed \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous, D_v is an adapted derivation.

THEOREM 7..2. The NSC for D_v ($v \in \hat{H}$) to have a unique \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous, adapted extension on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W})$ with zero divergence is the Driver condition: if T is the torsion of ∇ ,

$$\forall u, v \in \Gamma(V_n), \quad g(T(u, v), u) = 0 \tag{3}$$

Before proving Theorem 7..2, we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 7..2.
$$Z^k_{\mu} = (Z(t,\omega))^k_{\mu}$$
 is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded process.
Proof. This is corollary 6, 1.

To prove that (3) is a necessary condition, we suppose now that D_v can be extended in a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous unique adapted derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W})$, again denoted D_v .

LEMMA 7..3. If $v \in \tilde{H}$, $D_v(Z^k_\mu)$ and $\Gamma^k_{ij}Z^i_\mu v^j$ are \mathbb{D}^∞ -bounded semi-martingales. Proof.

i)

$$dZ^k_{\mu} = -\Gamma^k_{ij}Z^j_{\mu} \circ dp^i$$
$$= -\Gamma^k_{ij}Z^j_{\mu} \cdot dp^i - \frac{1}{2} \left[d(\Gamma^k_{ij}Z^j_{\mu}), dp^i \right]$$

The bracket gives a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded process $\times dt$ denoted: $\widehat{Z}_{\mu}^{k} \cdot dt$ and $D_{v}\widehat{Z}_{\mu}^{k}$ has meaning because we have supposed that D_{v} is a derivation on $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W})$. Then:

$$D_{v}(\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j}.\mathrm{d}p^{i}) = \left(D_{v}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}\right)Z_{\mu}^{j}.\mathrm{d}p^{i} + \Gamma_{ij}^{k}(D_{v}Z_{\mu}^{j}).\mathrm{d}p^{i} + \Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j}D_{v}(\mathrm{d}p^{i})$$

But
$$D_{v}(\mathrm{d}p^{i}) = \mathrm{d}(D_{v}p^{i}) = dv^{i}$$
(4)

and v^i is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -S.M.

ii) $\Gamma_{ij}^k(p_t)$ is a S.M, and $\Gamma_{ij}^k Z_{\mu}^i v^j$ is the product of three S.M.

110

Proof of the necessary condition. Now, from

$$\mathrm{d}p^k = Z^k_\mu \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu \tag{6, 3}$$

we get:

$$D_v(\mathrm{d}p^k) = D_v(Z^k_\mu) \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu + Z^k_\mu \circ D_v(\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu)$$
(5)

We suppose that $D_v(\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^k)$ has the form

$$d\left(D_{v}\tilde{B}^{k}\right) = \dot{h}_{1}^{k}dt + A_{\mu}^{k}.d\tilde{B}^{\mu}$$

$$\tag{6}$$

where $t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1 \, ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field. Reporting (6) and (4) in (5):

$$\mathrm{d}v^k(t,\omega) = D_v(Z^k_\mu) \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu + Z^k_\mu \dot{h}^\mu_1 \mathrm{d}t + Z^k_\mu \circ A^\mu_\nu \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\nu$$

As v^k is a SPT vector,

$$-\Gamma^{k}_{ij}Z^{i}_{\mu}v^{j}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu} = D_{v}(Z^{k}_{\mu})\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu} + Z^{k}_{\mu}\dot{h}^{\mu}_{1}\mathrm{d}t + Z^{k}_{\lambda}\circ A^{\lambda}_{\mu}\cdot\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu}$$
(7)

Identifying the Itô integals in (7), we get:

$$-\Gamma^{k}_{ij}v^{j}Z^{i}_{\mu} = D_{v}\left(Z^{k}_{\mu}\right) + Z^{k}_{\lambda}A^{\lambda}_{\mu} \tag{8}$$

From (8) and Lemma 7..2, then A^{λ}_{μ} is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -S.M. So we can rewrite $D_{v}(\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{k})$ as:

$$d\left(D_{v}\tilde{B}^{k}\right) = \dot{h}_{2}^{k}dt + A_{\mu}^{k} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\mu}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

where $t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_2 \, ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field. Then as in definition 6.1 for \tilde{H} , we write $v = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t, \omega)$:

$$D_{v}(\mathrm{d}p^{k}) = \mathrm{d}v^{k}$$

$$= \mathrm{d}\left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t)Z_{\mu}^{k}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \dot{f}^{\mu}(t)Z_{\mu}^{k}\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t) \circ \mathrm{d}Z_{\mu}^{k}(t,\omega)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \dot{f}^{\mu}(t)Z_{\mu}^{k}\mathrm{d}t - f^{\mu}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j} \circ \mathrm{d}p^{i}$$
(10)

But

$$f^{\mu}Z^{j}_{\mu} = f^{\mu}(\theta_{*}u_{\mu}(t,\omega))^{j}$$
$$= (\theta_{*}(f^{\mu}u_{\mu}(t,\omega)))^{j}$$
$$= v^{j}(t,\omega)$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$D_v(\mathrm{d}p^k) = \dot{f}^{\mu}(t)Z^k_{\mu}\mathrm{d}t - \Gamma^k_{ij}v^j Z^i_{\mu} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu}$$
$$= D_v(Z^k_{\mu} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu})$$

with (10), we get then:

$$\begin{split} \dot{f}^{\mu}Z^{k}_{\mu}\mathrm{d}t &- \Gamma^{k}_{ij}v^{j}Z^{i}_{\mu}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu} = D_{v}(Z^{k}_{\mu})\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu} + Z^{k}_{\mu}\circ D_{v}(\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu}) \\ &= D_{v}(Z^{k}_{\mu})\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu} + Z^{k}_{\mu}\dot{h}^{\mu}_{2}\mathrm{d}t + Z^{k}_{\mu}A^{\mu}_{\lambda}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\lambda} \end{split}$$

Using (8) for the identification of the terms with dt, in the above equation, brings

$$h_2(t) = \int_0^t \dot{f}(s) \,\mathrm{d}s$$
 (11)

To determine A^k_μ we write

$$\mathrm{d}A^k_\mu(t,\omega) = a^k_{1,\mu}\mathrm{d}t + b^k_{\mu,\rho}.\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\rho \tag{12}$$

where $a_{1,\mu}^k$ and $b_{\mu,\rho}^k$ are the components of (n+1) $n \times n$ -matrices.

So we differentiate (8):

$$Z^k_{\lambda} A^k_{\mu} = -D_v(Z^k_{\mu}) - \Gamma^k_{ij} v^j Z^i_{\mu}$$

and report (12) in (8). The only time that the expression $b_{\mu,\rho}^k.\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}$ will appear, after differentiation of both members of (8) will come on the right side; all other terms of (8) after differentiation, will bring either terms in $\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}$ or $\mathrm{d}t$, for which the coefficients are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -S.M. After identification of the terms in $\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}$, we see that $b_{\mu,\rho}^k$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -S.M.

So we can rewrite (12) as

$$\mathrm{d}A^k_\mu(t,\omega) = a^k_{2,\mu}\mathrm{d}t + b^k_{\mu,\rho} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\rho \tag{13}$$

To make $a_{2,\mu}^k$ and $b_{\mu,\rho}^k$ explicit, we differentiate (8) and report (13) in d $(Z_{\lambda}^k A_{\mu}^{\lambda})$:

$$d\left(Z_{\lambda}^{k}A_{\mu}^{\lambda}\right) = A_{\mu}^{\lambda} \circ dZ_{\lambda}^{k} + Z_{\lambda}^{k} \circ dA_{\mu}^{\lambda}$$
$$= -A_{\mu}^{\lambda}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\lambda}^{j} \circ dp^{i} + Z_{\lambda}^{k}a_{2,\mu}^{\lambda}dt + Z_{\lambda}^{k}b_{\mu,\rho}^{\lambda} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\rho}$$
(14)

$$d\left(\Gamma_{ij}^{k}v^{j}Z_{\mu}^{i}\right) = v^{j}Z_{\mu}^{i}\circ\mathrm{d}\Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{i}\circ\mathrm{d}v^{j} + \Gamma_{ij}^{k}v^{j}\circ\mathrm{d}Z_{\mu}^{i}$$
$$= v^{j}Z_{\mu}^{i}\left(\sum_{\rho=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{ij}^{k}}{\partial x^{\rho}}\circ\mathrm{d}p^{\rho}\right) + \Gamma_{ij}Z_{\mu}^{i}\dot{f}^{\alpha}Z_{\alpha}^{j}\mathrm{d}t$$
$$-\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{i}\Gamma_{\rho\alpha}^{j}v^{\alpha}\circ\mathrm{d}p^{\rho} - \Gamma_{ij}^{k}v^{j}\Gamma_{\rho\ell}^{i}Z_{\mu}^{\ell}\circ\mathrm{d}p^{\rho}$$
(15)

$$d\left(D_{v}\left(Z_{\mu}^{k}\right)\right) = D_{v}(dZ_{\mu}^{k}) = -D_{v}\left[\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j}\circ dp^{i}\right]$$
$$= -(D_{v}\Gamma_{ij}^{k})Z_{\mu}^{j}\circ dp^{i} - \Gamma_{ij}^{k}(D_{v}Z_{\mu}^{j})\circ dp^{i}$$
$$-\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j}\circ D_{v}(dp^{i})$$
(16)

But

$$D_v(\Gamma_{ij}^k) = \sum_{\rho=1}^n \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ij}^k}{\partial x^\rho} v^\rho$$

113

and (8):

$$D_v(Z^j_\mu) = -\Gamma^j_{sr} v^r Z^s_\mu - Z^j_\lambda A^\lambda_\mu$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$d\left(D_{v}(Z_{\mu}^{k})\right) = -(D_{v}\Gamma_{\rho i}^{k})Z_{\mu}^{i} \circ dp^{\rho} - \Gamma_{\rho i}^{k}(D_{v}Z_{\mu}^{i}) \circ dp^{\rho} - \Gamma_{\rho i}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{i} \circ D_{v}(dp^{\rho})$$

$$= -\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{\partial\Gamma_{\rho i}^{k}}{\partial x^{r}}v^{r}Z_{\mu}^{i} \circ dp^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\rho i}^{k}\left(\Gamma_{sr}^{i}v^{r}Z_{\mu}^{s} + Z_{\lambda}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\lambda}\right) \circ dp^{\rho}$$

$$-\Gamma_{ij}^{k}Z_{\mu}^{j}\left(\dot{f}^{r}Z_{r}^{i}dt - \Gamma_{rs}^{i}v^{s} \circ dp^{r}\right)$$
(17)

Reporting (14), (15), (17) in both differentiated sides of (8) and identifying the terms in dt, we get:

$$a_{2,\mu}^{\nu} = \dot{f}^{\alpha} T_{sr}^{k} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} \left(Z^{-1} \right)_{k}^{\nu}$$
(18)

So $a_{2,\mu}^{\nu}$ is intrinsically defined:

$$a_{2,\mu}^{\nu} = g\left(T\left(\dot{f}^{\alpha}u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}\right), u_{\nu}\right) \tag{18'}$$

To evaluate $b^{\lambda}_{\mu,\rho}$ we report (14), (15), (17), in both differentiated sides of (8) and we identify the terms in $d\tilde{B}^{\rho}$ we get

$$\begin{split} -A^{\lambda}_{\mu}\Gamma^{k}_{ij}Z^{j}_{\lambda}Z^{i}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}+Z^{k}_{\lambda}b^{\lambda}_{\mu,\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}\\ &=-v^{j}Z^{i}_{\mu}\frac{\partial\Gamma^{k}_{ij}}{\partial x^{r}}Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}+\Gamma^{k}_{ij}Z^{i}_{\mu}\Gamma^{j}_{r\alpha}v^{\alpha}Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}\\ &+\Gamma^{k}_{ij}v^{j}\Gamma^{i}_{rs}Z^{s}_{\mu}Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}+\frac{\partial\Gamma^{k}_{ri}}{\partial x^{s}}v^{s}Z^{i}_{\mu}Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}\\ &-\Gamma^{k}_{rj}\left(\Gamma^{j}_{sn}v^{n}Z^{s}_{\mu}+Z^{j}_{\lambda}A^{\lambda}_{\mu}\right)Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}\\ &-\Gamma^{k}_{ij}Z^{j}_{\mu}\Gamma^{i}_{rs}v^{s}Z^{r}_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}\end{split}$$

which after simplification and rewriting some indices:

$$v^{s} Z^{i}_{\mu} Z^{r}_{\rho} \left[\frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{ri}}{\partial x^{s}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{is}}{\partial x^{r}} + \Gamma^{k}_{in} \Gamma^{n}_{rs} + \Gamma^{k}_{ns} \Gamma^{n}_{ri} - \Gamma^{k}_{rn} \Gamma^{n}_{is} - \Gamma^{k}_{ni} \Gamma^{n}_{rs} \right] = Z^{k}_{\lambda} b^{\lambda}_{\mu,\rho}$$
(19)

We can rewrite (19):

$$v^{s} Z^{i}_{\mu} Z^{r}_{\rho} \left[\frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{ri}}{\partial x^{s}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{si}}{\partial x^{r}} + \Gamma^{n}_{ri} \Gamma^{k}_{sn} + \Gamma^{n}_{ri} T^{k}_{ns} - \Gamma^{k}_{rn} T^{n}_{is} - \Gamma^{k}_{rn} \Gamma^{n}_{si} \right. \\ \left. + \Gamma^{n}_{rs} T^{k}_{in} + \frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{si}}{\partial x^{r}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{k}_{is}}{\partial x^{r}} \right] = Z^{k}_{\lambda} b^{\lambda}_{\mu,\rho}$$

From

$$R_{sri}^{k} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ri}^{k}}{\partial x^{s}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{si}^{k}}{\partial x^{r}} + \Gamma_{ri}^{n} \Gamma_{sn}^{k} - \Gamma_{rn}^{k} \Gamma_{si}^{n}$$
(20)

114 and

$$\nabla_r T^k_{si} = \partial_r T^k_{si} - \Gamma^n_{ri} T^k_{sn} - \Gamma^n_{rs} T^k_{ni} + \Gamma^k_{rn} \Gamma^n_{si}$$
(21)

we get:

$$Z^k_{\lambda} b^{\lambda}_{\mu,\rho} = \left(R^k_{sri} + \nabla_r T^k_{si} \right) Z^i_{\mu} Z^r_{\rho} v^s$$
(22)

which can be written

$$b^{\alpha}_{\mu,\rho} = g\left[R(v, u_{\rho}, u_{\mu}), u_{\alpha}\right] + g\left(\left(\nabla_{u_{\rho}}T\right)(v, u_{\mu}), u_{\alpha}\right)$$
(22')

Now we want to prove that $t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1(s) \, ds$ is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ -vector field. From (6), (9) and (12), we have

$$\dot{h}_{1}^{k} = \dot{h}_{2}^{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} b_{\mu,\mu}^{k}$$
(22")

From (22), we have:

$$b^k_{\mu,\mu} = \left(R^{\alpha}_{jri} + \nabla_r T^{\alpha}_{ji} \right) Z^i_{\mu} Z^r_{\mu} (Z^{-1})^k_{\alpha} v^j$$

and $v = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} f^{\nu}(t) u_{\nu}(t, \omega)$, so:

$$b_{\mu,\mu}^{k} = \left(R_{jri}^{\alpha} + \nabla_{r}T_{ji}^{\alpha}\right)Z_{\mu}^{i}Z_{\mu}^{r}\left(Z^{-1}\right)_{\alpha}^{k}f^{\nu}(t)Z_{\nu}^{j}$$

As $f^{\nu} \in H$, $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f^{\nu}(t)|$ is bounded, and each other element in the $b_{\mu,\mu}^{k}$'s formula is either a component of a SPT vector, or of a C^{∞} function of such components, on a compact manifold, so all these elements are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, and $t \mapsto \int_{0}^{t} b_{\mu,\mu}^{k} ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field. Now $h_{1}: t \mapsto \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}_{1}(s) ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field and $D_{v} - h_{1}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation such that

$$d\left(D_{v}\tilde{B}^{k}-h_{1}^{k}\right)=A_{\mu}^{k}.d\tilde{B}^{\mu}$$
(6)

But by Clark-Ocone, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{W})$ there exists $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mu}$ such that

$$\alpha = \text{constant} + \int_0^1 \tilde{\alpha}_\mu \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu$$

and

$$(D_v - h_1)(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \left((D_v - h_1)\tilde{\alpha}_\mu \right) \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu + \int_0^1 \tilde{\alpha}_\mu (D_v - h_1) \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu$$
$$= \int_0^1 (D_v - h_1)\tilde{\alpha}_\mu \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu + \int_0^1 \tilde{\alpha}_\mu A_\nu^\mu \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\nu$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$E\left[(D_v - h_1)\alpha\right] = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{div}\left(D_v - h_1\right) = 0$$

Now $D_v - h_1$ is a derivation, adapted and with a null divergence; from Theorem IV, 1, we deduced that there exists a $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix \tilde{A}^k_{μ} which as a process, is an adapted multiplicator such that:

$$D_v - h_1 = \operatorname{div} A \operatorname{grad}.$$

The fundamental isometry show that $\tilde{A}^k_{\mu} = A^k_{\mu}$ p.a.s. So A^k_{μ} is an antisymmetrical $n \times n$ matrix. From (13), we deduce that $a^k_{2,\mu}$ is antisymmetrical. Then $a^k_{2,\mu}g(u_k(t,\omega), u_\mu(t,\omega)) = 0, u_k(t,\omega)$ and $u_k(t,\omega)$ being the SPT of the vectors ue_k, ue_μ (e_k and e_μ being canonical basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n).

So $g(a_{2,\mu}^k u_k, u_{\mu}) = 0$, which implies $g_{ij}(a_{2,\mu}^k Z_k^i, Z_{\mu}^j) = 0$. With (18), we have:

$$g_{ij}\left(T\left(u_{\mu}(\omega,t),\dot{f}^{\alpha}u_{\alpha}(\omega,t)\right)^{i},Z_{\mu}^{j}\right)=0$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$g\left(T\left(u_{\mu}(\omega,t),\dot{f}^{\alpha}u_{\alpha}(\omega,t)\right),u_{\mu}(\omega,t)\right)=0$$

and $g(T(X_1, X_2), X_1) = 0$, which is the Driver condition (3).

7. 2 Reapracally, if the Driver condition is fulfilled, then any D_v -type of derivation has an unique \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation extension

Now we want to show that the Driver condition (3) is a sufficient condition. So given $v \in \tilde{H}$, $v = f^{\nu}(t)u_{\nu}(t,\omega)$ we have an operator D_{v} acting on functions $F_{f,t}(\omega)$ such that

$$(D_v F_{f,t})(\omega) = (v(\omega(t)) \cdot f)_{I(\omega)(t)}$$
(2)

and we define

$$\tilde{D}_v = \operatorname{div} A_v \operatorname{grad} + \left(t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)$$
(23)

where

$$(A_v)^k_{\mu}(\omega, t) = \int_0^t a^k_{1,\mu}(v) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b^k_{\mu,\rho} \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}$$
(24)

with

$$b^k_{\mu,\rho}(v) = \left(R^{\alpha}_{jri} + \nabla_r T^{\alpha}_{ji}\right) Z^i_{\mu} Z^r_{\rho} (Z^{-1})^k_{\alpha} v^j \tag{25}$$

and

$$a_{2,\mu}^{k}(v) = \dot{f}^{\alpha} T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^{k} = g(T(\dot{f}_{u_{\alpha}}^{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu})$$
(26)

and

$$\dot{h}_{1}^{k} = \dot{f}^{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} b_{\mu,\mu}^{k} = \dot{h}_{2} + \dot{h}_{3}$$
(27)

with

$$\dot{h}_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^n b_{\mu,\mu}^k \tag{27'}$$

We have to prove that:

- A_v is an antisymmetrical, adapted, matrix;
- A_v is a multiplicator;
- the operator D_v and div A grad + $(t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1(s) ds)$ coincide on p^k .

(24) can be rewritten:

$$A(v)(t) = \int_0^t a_1(v) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b(v)_{\rho} \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}$$
(24')

From the intrinsic formulations of $a_{1,\mu}^k$, $a_{2,\mu}^k$ and b_{μ}^k , and with the Driver condiction (3), we get that A_v in (23) and (24) is indeed antisymmetric.

We are going to show, for example, that $g((\nabla_{u_{\rho}}T)(v, u_{\mu}), u_{\alpha})$ is antisymmetric in (μ, α) . For this, it is enough to prove that if X, Y, Z are vector fields on $(V_n, g), g((\nabla_Z T)(X, Y), X) = 0.$

From g(T(X,Y),X) = 0, we deduce g(T(U,Y),V) = -g(T(V,Y),U). Then

$$g\left((\nabla_Z T)(X,Y),X\right) = g\left(\nabla_Z (T(X,Y)),X\right) - g\left(T(\nabla_Z X,Y),X\right) - g\left(T(X,\nabla_Z Y),X\right)$$

The last term is zero by (3) (Driver condition), and:
$$= g\left(\nabla_Z (T(X,Y)),X\right) + g\left(T(X,Y),\nabla_Z X\right)$$

$$= Z \cdot g(T(X,Y),X) = 0$$

Now we have to show that:

$$(A_v)^k_{\mu}(t,\omega) = \int_0^t a^k_{1,\mu}(v) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b^k_{\mu,\rho} \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho}$$
(24)

is a multiplicator.

$$b_{\mu,\rho}^{k} = g\left(R(v, u_{\rho}, u_{\mu}), u_{k}\right) + g\left((\nabla_{u\rho}T)(v, u_{\mu}), u_{k}\right)$$
(25)

and $t \mapsto \int_0^t b_{\mu,\rho}^k \cdot d\tilde{B}^{\rho}$ is an Itô stochastic integral of a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded process so is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölderian \mathbb{D}^{∞} process, so is a multiplicator. Then

$$a_{1,\mu}^{\nu} = \dot{f}^{\alpha}g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}b_{\mu,\mu}^{\nu}$$
(26)

 $\frac{1}{2}b^{\nu}_{\mu,\mu}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded so $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t}b^{\nu}_{\mu,\mu} ds$ is a $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\omega, H)$ vector field, so the process $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t}b^{\nu}_{\mu,\mu} ds$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{grad}^{j} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \dot{f}^{\alpha}(s) g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}s \right\} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \dot{f}^{\alpha}(s) \operatorname{grad}^{j} \left\{ g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) \right\} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left| \dot{f}^{\alpha}(s) \right|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left\| \operatorname{grad}^{j} \left\{ g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) \right\} \right\|_{\otimes^{j} H} \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

so with criterion IV, 2, we see that

$$t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{f}^{\alpha}(s) g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) \,\mathrm{d}s$$

is a multiplicator.

Last: straightforward computation shows that $(t \mapsto \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^n b_{\mu,\mu}^k \, \mathrm{d}s)$ is a \mathbb{D}^∞ -vector field. Now we will show that $(D_v - v)p^\ell = 0$, with $v \in \tilde{H}$ and $v = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f^\mu(t)u_\mu(t,\omega)$. With the same notations as before, and with a Stratonovitch integration by parts, we have:

$$v(t,\omega) \cdot p^{\rho} = v^{\rho}(t,\omega) = \int_0^t \dot{f}^{\mu}(s)u^{\rho}_{\mu}(s,\omega)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t f^{\mu}(s)\circ\mathrm{d}Z^{\rho}_{\mu}$$
$$(D_v - v)p^{\ell} = D_v\left(\int_0^t Z^{\ell}_{\mu}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\mu}\right) + \int_0^t f^{\mu}(s)\Gamma^{\ell}_{jk}Z^k_{\mu}Z^j_{\rho}\circ\mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho} - \int_0^t \dot{f}(s)^{\mu}Z^{\ell}_{\mu}\,\mathrm{d}s$$
With (9):

$$= \int_{0}^{t} D_{v} Z_{\rho}^{\ell} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\rho} + \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}_{2}^{\mu} Z_{\mu}^{\ell} ds + \int_{0}^{t} Z_{\mu}^{\ell} A_{\rho}^{\mu} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\rho} + \int_{0}^{t} f^{\mu} \Gamma_{jk}^{\ell} Z_{\mu}^{k} Z_{\rho}^{j} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\rho} - \int_{0}^{t} \dot{f}^{\mu}(s) Z_{\mu}^{\ell} ds \quad \text{but } \dot{h}_{2}^{\mu} = \dot{f}^{\mu} = \int_{0}^{t} \widehat{R}_{\rho}^{\ell} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\rho}$$

$$(27)$$

with

 So

$$\widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho} = D_v Z^{\ell}_{\rho} + Z^{\ell}_{\mu} A^{\mu}_{\rho} + f^{\mu} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} Z^k_{\mu} Z^j_{\rho}.$$
$$\widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho} = D_v Z^{\ell}_{\rho} + Z^{\ell}_{\mu} A^{\mu}_{\rho} + \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} v^k Z^j_{\rho}$$
(28)

Using

$$Z^{\ell}_{\rho} = -\int_0^t \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\lambda} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\lambda}$$

and (13):

$$\mathrm{d}A^k_\mu = a^k_{2,\mu}\mathrm{d}t + b^k_{\mu,\rho} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\rho$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho} &= -D_{v} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \right) \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mu}_{\rho} \Gamma^{\ell}_{ij} Z^{j}_{\mu} Z^{i}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} Z^{\ell}_{\mu} a^{\mu}_{2,\rho} \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{0}^{t} Z^{\ell}_{\mu} b^{\mu}_{\rho,\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk}}{\partial x^{\beta}} v^{k} Z^{j}_{\rho} Z^{\beta}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} v^{k} \Gamma^{j}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} Z^{j}_{\rho} \dot{f}^{\mu} Z^{k}_{\mu} \,\mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} Z^{j}_{\rho} \Gamma^{k}_{\lambda\beta} v^{\beta} Z^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$
(29)

using (9):

$$\mathrm{d}(D_v(\tilde{B}^\alpha)) = \dot{h}_2^\alpha \mathrm{d}t + A_\mu^\alpha \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\mu$$

we can rewrite:

$$D_v \left(\int_0^t \Gamma^\ell_{\mu\nu} Z^\nu_\rho Z^\mu_\alpha \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\alpha \right) = \int_0^t \left((D_v - v) \cdot \Gamma^\ell_{\mu\nu} \right) Z^\nu_\rho Z^\mu_\alpha \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\alpha$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} (v \cdot \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}) Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} D_{v} \left(Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \right) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} \left((D_{v} - v) \cdot p^{\beta} \right) \cdot Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} v^{\beta} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} (D_{v} Z^{\nu}_{\rho}) Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} (D_{v} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha}) \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \dot{f}^{\alpha} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\lambda} A^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$
(30)

Reporting (30) in (29)

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho} &= -\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} \left((D_{v} - v) \cdot p^{\beta} \right) Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} v^{\beta} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} (D_{v} Z^{\nu}_{\rho}) Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} A^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \dot{f}^{\alpha} \, ds - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\lambda} A^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} A^{\mu}_{\rho} \Gamma^{\ell}_{ij} Z^{j}_{\mu} Z^{i}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} Z^{\ell}_{\mu} a^{\mu}_{2,\rho} \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} Z^{\ell}_{\mu} b^{\mu}_{\rho,\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk}}{\partial x^{\beta}} v^{k} Z^{j}_{\rho} Z^{\beta}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} v^{k} \Gamma^{j}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} Z^{j}_{\rho} \dot{f}^{\mu} Z^{\mu}_{\mu} \, ds - \int_{0}^{t} \Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} Z^{j}_{\rho} \Gamma^{k}_{\lambda\beta} v^{\beta} Z^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

In this latest equation: the terms Nr. 5 + Nr. 8 + Nr. 12 = 0 (see (18)).

• The terms

Nr. 3 + Nr. 7 =
$$-\int_0^t \Gamma_{ij}^\ell Z^i_\alpha (D_v Z^j_\rho + A^\mu_\rho Z^j_\mu) \circ d\tilde{B}^\alpha$$

= $-\int_0^t \Gamma_{ij}^\ell Z^i_\alpha (\widehat{R}^j_\rho - \Gamma^j_{rk} v^k Z^r_\rho) \circ d\tilde{B}^\alpha$ (with (28))

• Same for Nr. 4 + Nr. 6:

$$-\int_0^t \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} (D_v Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} + Z^{\mu}_{\lambda} A^{\lambda}_{\alpha}) \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha} = -\int_0^t \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} Z^{\nu}_{\rho} (\widehat{R}^{\mu}_{\alpha} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{jk} v^k Z^j_{\alpha}) \circ d\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$

• Nr. 9:

$$+\int_0^t Z^\ell_\mu b^\mu_{\rho,\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\alpha = \int_0^t \left(R^\ell_{sri} + \nabla_r T^\ell_{si} \right) Z^i_\rho Z^r_\alpha v^s \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^\alpha$$

• Nr. 2 + Nr. 10:

$$-\int_0^t \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ri}^\ell}{\partial x^s} Z_{\rho}^i Z_{\alpha}^r v^s \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} + \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Gamma_{is}^\ell}{\partial x^r} v^s Z_{\rho}^i Z_{\alpha}^r \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$

The sum of all derivatives of the Christoffel symbols of the terms Nr. 9 + Nr. 2 + Nr. 10 is zero.

Now we collect all the terms with double products of Christoffel symbols: they come from terms Nr. 11, 13, from Nr. 3 + Nr. 7, Nr. 4 + Nr. 6, and from the unused parts of $R_{sri}^{\ell} + \nabla_r T_{si}^{\ell}$ in Nr. 9: we get (λ : summation index)

$$\int_0^t + Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} v^k (-\Gamma^{\ell}_{jk} \Gamma^j_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\ell}_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu k} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\ell}_{k\lambda} - \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{k\nu} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} T^{\ell}_{k\lambda} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu k} T^{\ell}_{\lambda\nu} + \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\lambda} T^{\lambda}_{k\nu} + \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu j} \Gamma^{j}_{\nu k} + \Gamma^{\ell}_{\lambda\nu} \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu k}) \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$

which after reduction, equals 0.

So (31) becomes:

$$\widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho} = -\int_{0}^{t} \left\{ \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} \left((D_{v} - v) \cdot p^{\beta} \right) Z^{\nu}_{\rho} Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\ell}_{\mu\nu} \left(Z^{\mu}_{\alpha} \widehat{R}^{\nu}_{\rho} + Z^{\nu}_{\rho} \widehat{R}^{\mu}_{\alpha} \right) \right\} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha} \quad (32)$$

We also have:

$$(D_v - v) \cdot p^{\ell} = \int_0^t \widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$
(27)

(32) and (27) constitute a linear system of SDE, for which the unknown variables are $\widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho}$, $(D_v - v) \cdot p^{\ell}$ and with null initial conditions of $\widehat{R}^{\ell}_{\rho}$ and $(D_v - v) \cdot p^{\ell}$. So $(D_v - v) \cdot p^{\ell} = 0$.

7. 3 Calculus of the D_v -derivation of a k-covariant tensor, on (V_n, g)

Let \mathscr{C} be a k-covariant tensor of (V_n, g) and $v \in \tilde{H}$: $v = f^{\mu}(t)u_{\mu}(t, \omega)$ where $u_{\mu}(t, \omega)$ is the SPT of ue_{μ} , at instant t "along $\omega(t)$ ".

Let $x_i(t,\omega)$, i = 1, ..., k, SPT of vectors $x_i \in T_{m_0}V_n$, we want to compute $D_v \mathscr{C}(x_1(t,\omega), \ldots, x_k(t,\omega))$,

$$D_v\left[\mathscr{C}\left(x_1(t,\omega),\ldots,x_k(t,\omega)\right)\right] = D_v\left(\mathscr{C}_{i_1\ldots i_k}x_1^{i_1}\ldots x_k^{i_k}\right), \quad i_j = 1,\ldots,n$$

To simplify the notations, we keep only one index i_j and make the calculus only with this i_j :

$$D_{v}\left[\mathscr{C}(x_{i_{j}})\right] = (v \cdot \mathscr{C}_{i_{j}})(x_{j}^{i_{j}}) + \mathscr{C}_{i_{j}}\left[D_{v}x_{j}^{i_{j}}\right]$$
$$= (v \cdot \mathscr{C}_{i_{j}})(x_{j}^{i_{j}}) + \mathscr{C}_{i_{j}}\left[-\Gamma_{\alpha_{j},\beta_{j}}^{i_{j}}v^{\beta_{j}}x_{j}^{\alpha_{j}} - x_{\lambda_{j}}^{i_{j}}A_{j}^{\lambda_{j}}\right] \qquad \text{with } (8)$$

 α_j , β_j running from 1 to n and λ_j from 1 to k.

$$= (v \cdot \mathscr{C}_{i_j})(x_j^{i_j}) - \mathscr{C}_{i_j} \left(\Gamma_{\alpha_j,\beta_j}^{i_j} x_j^{\beta_j} v^{\alpha_j} \right) - \mathscr{C}_{i_j} \left(T_{\alpha_j,\beta_j}^{i_j} v^{\beta_j} x_j^{\alpha_j} \right) - \mathscr{C}_{i_j} \left(x_{\lambda_j}^{i_j} A_j^{\lambda_j} \right)$$
$$= (\nabla_v \mathscr{C}) (x_j) - \mathscr{C}_{i_j} \left(T^{i_j}(x_j, v) + x_{\lambda_j}^{i_j} A_j^{\lambda_j} \right)$$

So

$$D_{v}\left[\mathscr{C}\left[x_{1},\ldots,x_{k}\right]\right]=\left(\nabla_{v}\mathscr{C}\right)\left(x_{1},\ldots,x_{k}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\mathscr{C}\left(x_{1},\ldots,x_{j-1},T(x_{j},v)+A_{j}^{\lambda_{j}}x_{\lambda_{j}},\ldots,x_{k}\right)$$

We now apply this result to a bilinear symmetrical form on (V_n, g) , denoted q, and compatible with the operator ∇ of the connection on (V_n, g) :

$$0 = D_v q(x_1(t,\omega), x_2(t,\omega))$$

= $(\nabla_v q)(x_1, x_2) - q(x_1, Ax_2 + T(x_2, v)) - q(Ax_1 + T(x_1, v), x_2)$
= $(\nabla_v q)(x_1, x_2) - q(x_1, Ax_2) - q(x_1, T(x_2, v)) - q(Ax_1, x_2) - q(T(x_1, v), x_2)$
= $q(T(x_1, v), x_2) - q(x_1, T(x_2, v))$

because $\nabla_v q = 0$ and the antisymmetry of A. Which implies q(T(x, y), x) = 0. We recall that \tilde{H} , the NCM (New Cameron-Martin space) is the set

$$\left\{\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t,\omega) \,/\, f^{\mu} \in H \text{ and } u_{\mu}(t,\omega) = u e_{\mu}\right\}$$

 $u_{\mu}(t,\omega)$ is the SPT of ue_{μ} , evaluated at instant $t \in [0,1]$ "along ω "; $(e_{\mu})_{\mu=1,\dots,n}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and u is the isomorphism between \mathbb{R}^n and $T_{m_0}V_n$. The scalar product on \tilde{U} is

The scalar product on \tilde{H} is

$$\left\langle \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(\cdot) u_{\mu}, \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} g^{\mu}(\cdot) u_{\nu} \right\rangle_{\tilde{H}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{f}^{\mu}(t) \dot{g}^{\mu}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

with this scalar product, \tilde{H} is complete.

There is a correspondence between \tilde{H} and H: $\forall v \in \tilde{H}$, $v = \sum f^{\mu}u_{\mu}(t,\omega)$, we associate the element of H: $(f^{\mu}(t))_{\mu=1,\dots,n}$. This correspondence is an isometry and the image of v is denoted h(v): $h(v) = (f^{\mu}(t))_{\mu=1,\dots,n}$. As a basis \check{B} of \tilde{H} , we choose the following elements of \tilde{H} :

$$\breve{B} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\ell,j} = t \mapsto \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \cos 2\pi \ell s \cdot \mathrm{d}s\right) u_j(t,\omega) \\ \varepsilon'_{k,j} = t \mapsto \left(\sqrt{2} \int_0^t \sin 2\pi k s \cdot \mathrm{d}s\right) u_j(t,\omega) \\ \varepsilon''_j = t \mapsto \left(\int_0^t 1 \cdot \mathrm{d}s\right) u_j(t,\omega) \end{cases}$$
(33)

 \check{B} is a basis of \tilde{H} . We denote by ε_{ρ} (or ε_i) the generic basis vector of \check{B} . Now we will define an operator denoted again grad, but associated to the NCM. ε

being a vector of \check{B} , we recall that

$$D_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{div} A(\varepsilon) \operatorname{grad} + \left(t \mapsto \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}_{1}(\varepsilon)(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)$$
(23)

with

$$(A(\varepsilon))^k_{\mu}(t,\omega) = \int_0^t a^k_{1,\mu}(\varepsilon) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t b_{\mu,\rho}(\varepsilon) \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\rho} \tag{24}$$

and

$$b_{\mu,\rho}^{k}(\varepsilon) = \left(R_{jri}^{\alpha} + \nabla_{r}T_{ji}^{\alpha}\right) Z_{\mu}^{i} Z_{\rho}^{r} (Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^{k} \varepsilon^{j}$$
⁽²⁵⁾

$$a_{2,\mu}^k(\varepsilon) = \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)(t) T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^r Z_{\alpha}^s (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^k$$
(26)

7. 4 Definition of the new-gradient on \mathbb{D}^{∞} .

DEFINITION 7..1. $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being a basis of \tilde{H} , we define, for $f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$

$$\operatorname{grad} f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(D_{v_i} f \right) v_i \tag{34}$$

We have to show that this definition is legitimate and that grad f is a derivation (trivial). We will show that first, with the basis \check{B} , the defining series in (33) is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergent. For this it is enough to prove:

LEMMA 7..4. If $f, g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, then $\sum_{\rho=1}^{\infty} D_{\varepsilon_{\rho}} f \cdot D_{\varepsilon_{\rho}} g$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergent. Nota: ε_{ρ} is either $\varepsilon_{\ell,j}$, $\varepsilon'_{k,j}$ or ε''_{j} , basis vectors of \check{B} .

To prove Lemma 7..4, it is enough to prove that $(D_{\varepsilon_{\rho}}f)_{\rho\in\mathbb{N}_{*}}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} vector field. From (23), we have:

$$D_{\varepsilon_{\rho}}f = \operatorname{div} A(\varepsilon_{\rho})\operatorname{grad} f + \left(t \mapsto \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}_{1}(\varepsilon_{\rho})(s) \,\mathrm{d}s\right)$$
$$\dot{h}_{1}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) = \sqrt{2}\cos(2\pi\rho t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} b_{\mu,\mu} \qquad (33)$$
$$\operatorname{or} \sqrt{2}\sin(2\pi\rho t) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} b_{\mu,\mu} \qquad (27)$$

with

$$b_{\mu,\mu}(\varepsilon) = \left(R_{jri}^{\alpha} + \nabla_r T_{ji}^{\alpha}\right) Z_{\mu}^i Z_{\mu}^r (Z^{-1})_{\alpha}^j h(\varepsilon_{\rho})$$

 $(\dot{h}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) \neq \dot{h}_{1}(\varepsilon_{\rho}))$. Now, using $\left|\int_{0}^{t} \cos 2\pi \ell s \, \mathrm{d}s\right| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{\ell}$ and $\left|\int_{0}^{t} \sin 2\pi k s \, \mathrm{d}s\right| \leq \frac{C_{0}}{k}$, C_{0} being a constant, we see that

$$\left(t\mapsto\int_0^t\dot{h}_1(\varepsilon_\rho)(s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right)_{\rho\in\mathbb{N}_*}$$

is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

To be able to do the same trick with div $A(\varepsilon_{\rho})$ grad f, we know that:

$$A(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{k}_{\mu} = \int_{0}^{t} a^{k}_{1,\mu}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{0}^{t} b^{k}_{\mu,\alpha}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}^{\alpha}$$
(24)

with

$$b_{\mu,\alpha}^{k}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) = \left(R_{jri} + \nabla_{r}T_{ji}^{m}\right)Z_{\mu}^{i}Z_{\alpha}^{r}(Z^{-1})_{m}^{k}\varepsilon_{\rho}$$

and

$$a_{1,\mu}^{k}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) = \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{\alpha} T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^{k} + \frac{1}{2} b_{\mu,\mu}^{k}$$

(Eq. 25 and 26)

The only item for which the trick is not directly possible is $a_{2,\mu}^k(\varepsilon_{\rho})$. So we make a Stratonovitch integration by parts and we get:

$$\int_{0}^{t} a_{2,\mu}^{k}(\varepsilon_{\rho}) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{\alpha} T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^{k} \,\mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \left(\int_{0}^{t} h(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}s\right) \times \int_{0}^{t} T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^{k} \circ \mathrm{d}\tilde{B}$$
$$- \int_{0}^{t} h(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{\alpha} \circ \mathrm{d}\left(T_{sr}^{\nu} Z_{\mu}^{r} Z_{\alpha}^{s} (Z^{-1})_{\nu}^{k}\right)$$
(35)

As $a_{1,\mu}^k$, T_{sr}^{ν} , Z_{μ}^r , Z_{α}^s , and $(Z^{-1})_{\nu}^k$ are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -semi-martingales, we can make this integration by parts. Then each Stratonovitch integral in (35) is α -Hölderian, $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, ρ -uniformly, and is multiplied by $\frac{1}{\rho}$, which is due to the presence of $h(\varepsilon_{\rho})^{\alpha}$.

The Lebesgue integral in the r.h.s. of (35) is also a Stratonovitch integral multiplied by $\frac{1}{\rho}$ which appears because of $\int_0^t h(\varepsilon_\rho)^{\alpha}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$.

So $(D_{\varepsilon_{\rho}}f)_{\rho\in\mathbb{N}_{*}}$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field. We also have to prove that the definition of the new grad does not depend on the basis $(v_{j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}_{*}}$. For this, we prove first that the map $\tilde{H} \ni v \mapsto D_{v}f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ is continuous;

$$D_v f = \operatorname{div} A_v \operatorname{grad} f + t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1(v)(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \tag{23}$$

From (27), we see that $v \mapsto (t \mapsto \int_0^t \dot{h}_1(v)(s) \, ds)$ is continuous, when seen as a vector field. The map $v \mapsto \text{div } A_v \text{grad } f$ is continuous because with (24), (25), (26), we see from the equations defining b(v) and a(v), so A(v), that A(v) is uniformly multiplicator.

Then if $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a basis of \tilde{H} , and which $w \in \tilde{H}$, we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{L} D_{v_i} f \cdot v_i, w \right\rangle_{\tilde{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(D_{v_i} f \right) \left\langle v_i, w \right\rangle_{\tilde{H}}$$
$$= D_{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \left\langle v_i, w \right\rangle_{\tilde{H}} v_i} f$$

so, when $L \uparrow \infty$, we get

$$\langle \operatorname{grad} f, w \rangle_{\tilde{H}} = D_w f$$

REMARK 7..1. If $\overrightarrow{\text{grad}} f$ denotes the gradient of a function $f \in C^{\infty}(V_n)$

$$\overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$$

then $F_{f,t}$ being $F_{f,t}(\omega) = f(I(\omega)(t), I$ being the Itô map, we have

$$\langle v, \operatorname{grad} F_{f,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}}(\omega) = \left\langle v, \operatorname{\overline{\operatorname{grad}}} f \right\rangle_{V_n} (I(\omega)(t))$$

8. Standard Quadratic form on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$

This section is dedicated to the study of the standard quadratic form on the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$.

We recall the theorem of Dini-Lipshitz:

If f is a real function which is piecewise of class C^1 on a bounded interval, its Fourier series converges uniformly where f is C^1 , and on the finite number of discontinuity points, f converges towards the half sum of f's right and left limits.

This theorem is still valid if f is piecewise α -Hölderian ($0 < \alpha < 1$), and the proof is similar.

We suppose from now on, that the metric g satisfies the Driver condition: V_1 and V_2 being C^{∞} vector fields on V_n , T the torsion, $g(T(V_1, V_2), V_1) = 0$.

8. 1 Definition of the New Cameron-Martin space and of the standard bilinear form

We recall some properties of the NCM (new Cameron-Martin)

 $\{e_{\mu}/\mu = 1, \ldots, n\}$ being the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n , NCM denoted \tilde{H} is:

$$\tilde{H} = \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t,\omega) \middle/ u_{\mu} \text{ being the SPT of } ue_{\mu} \text{ and } f^{\mu}(t) \in H \right\}$$

Scalar product on \tilde{H} :

If $v_i = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f_i^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t, w) \in \tilde{H}, i = 1, 2$:

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\tilde{H}} = \int_0^1 \dot{f}_1^{\mu}(t) \dot{f}_2^{\mu}(t) dt$$

 $-\tilde{H}$ is complete.

– A basis of \tilde{H} is:

 $\{v_i = \sum_{\mu=1}^n f_i^{\mu}(t) u_{\mu}(t, w) / \quad {}^t(f_i^1, \dots, f_i^n), i \in \mathbb{N}_* \text{ being a basis of } H, \\ (u_{\mu}(t, w) / \mu = 1, \dots, n) \text{ being the SPT of a basis in } T_{m_0} V_n \}$

Definition 8..1.

i) If $\delta \in \text{Der}(\Omega), f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) : df(\delta) = \delta(f), \text{ then } df \in \text{Der}^{*}(\Omega).$

ii) If $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a basis of \tilde{H} , we define $\delta_f \in \text{Der } by$:

$$\delta_f(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{v_i} f \cdot D_{v_i} g.$$

 $\begin{aligned} \delta_f \in \operatorname{Der}(\Omega) \ because \ \delta_f(g) &= < \operatorname{grad} \ f, \operatorname{grad} \ g >_{\tilde{H}}. \\ iii) \ If \ \alpha \in \operatorname{Der}^*(\Omega): \ \delta_\alpha(f) &= \alpha(\delta_f), \ then \ \delta_\alpha \in \operatorname{Der}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$

REMARK 8..1. If the set $(f_k)_{k \in K}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, the set $(\delta_{f_k})_{k \in K}$ is bounded in $\text{Der}(\Omega)$.

DEFINITION 8..2. If $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$, we define the standard bilinear form on Der^{*} by:

$$q(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(D_{v_i})\beta(D_{v_i})$$

We have to show that this definition does not depend of the chosen basis, that this series is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergent, and that q is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous relatively to each of its argument.

We first prove that the series defining $q(\alpha, \beta)$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergent. a) If $\alpha = df, \beta = dg, f$ and $g \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$q(\alpha,\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} df(D_{v_i}) \cdot dg(D_{v_i}) = < \text{grad } f, \text{grad } g >_{\tilde{H}}$$

b) If $\beta = dg$ and $\alpha \in \text{Der}^*$

$$q(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{v_i}g \cdot D_{v_i})$$

As $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{v_i} g \cdot D_{v_i} = \delta_g \in \text{Der}(\Omega)$, and as α is continuous, $q(\alpha, \beta)$ is legitimate. c) Let $\alpha \in \text{Der}^*$, with theorem 3,2, there exists a bounded net $(\alpha_k)_{k \in K}$ in Der^{*} which converges towards α , and:

$$\alpha_k = \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} dg_{i_k}, I_k \text{ finite}, h_{i_k} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ and } g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

Then direct computation shows that:

$$\delta_{\alpha_k} = \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{v_j} g_{i_k} \cdot D_{v_j}$$

Moreover the set $(\delta_{\alpha_k})_{k \in K}$ is a bounded set in $\text{Der}(\Omega)$, $(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being a base of \tilde{H} , then:

$$q(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*} |\alpha_k(D_{v_j})|^2 = \alpha_k(\delta_{\alpha_k})$$

because we have:

$$q(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) = q(\alpha_k, \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} dg_{i_k}) = \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} q(\alpha_k, dg_{i_k})$$
$$= \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (D_{v_j}) dg_{i_k} (D_{v_j})$$
$$= \sum_{i_k \in I_k} h_{i_k} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (D_{v_j}) D_{v_j} (g_{i_k})$$
$$= \alpha_k (\delta_{\alpha_k})$$

The sets $(\alpha_k)_{k \in K}$ and $(\delta_{\alpha_k})_{k \in K}$ are bounded in Der^{*} and Der, so the finite sums $\sum_{i=1}^{L} |\alpha_k(D_{v_i})|^2$ are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded uniformly in L and in k, so $q(\alpha, \alpha)$ exists and is $\in L^{\infty-0}(\Omega)$. But the set $\{\alpha_k(\delta_{\alpha_k})/k \in \mathbb{N}_*\}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, then by interpolation, $q(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

The net $q(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) - q(\alpha, \alpha)$ is $L^{p'}$ -convergent for each p' > 1 and is \mathbb{D}_r^p -bounded, p > 1, $r \in \mathbb{N}_*$. By interpolation this net converges towards 0 in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, so $q(\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Now we want to prove the continuity of $q(\alpha, \beta)$ relatively to each of its arguments. If $\alpha \in \text{Der}^*$ and $\beta \in \text{Der}^*$, we know that there exists a net $(\alpha_k)_{k \in K}$ as in theorem 3,2 such that: $\alpha_k \xrightarrow{\text{Der}^*} \alpha$, then we have seen that

$$\lim_{k} q(\alpha_k, \beta) = q(\alpha, \beta) = \lim_{k} \alpha_k(\delta_\beta) = \beta(\delta_\alpha)$$
(1)

Then the continuity of q relatively to each of its arguments is trivial.

The independence of the the definition of q relatively to the chosen basis is given by:

$$q(\alpha, \beta) = \beta(\delta_{\alpha})$$
 (definition 8..1) and (1)

REMARK 8..2. θ being the bijection between $\text{Der}^*(\Omega)$ and $D_0(\Omega)$, obtained through the standard bilinear form, we have: $\forall \alpha \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$, $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an Hilbertian basis of \tilde{H} :

$$\theta(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha(v_i) v_i$$

8. 2 Non degenerescence of the standard quadratic form

We will now prove that the standard bilinear form is non degenerate. It is enough to prove that if $\alpha \in \text{Der}^* \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $v \in \tilde{H}$ such that $\alpha(D_v) \neq 0$, D_v being the derivation associated to v as (7.23).

We first, will prove that the "derivation adherence" of a particular \mathbb{D}^{∞} -module \mathfrak{D} , contains all \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields. The derivative adherence means that it includes all limits of bounded nets of \mathfrak{D} , which converges as derivations:

$$(\delta_i)_{i\in I} \to \delta \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \delta_i f \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}^{\infty}} \delta f.$$

We consider the following operator: B is a constant antisymmetrical $n \times n$ matrix, if $h \in H$, we denote $(\hat{A}h)(t) = \int_0^t B\dot{h}(s)ds$. \hat{A} is a bounded operator on H. As basis of \tilde{H} , we take (7.33) and denote the generic item of this basis \check{B} by ε_j .

We recall that to $v \in \tilde{H}$, we can associate a derivation

$$D_v = \operatorname{div} A(v) \operatorname{grad} + t \to \int_0^t \dot{h}(v) ds$$
 (7.23)

Now, as basis of \hat{H} , we take \check{B} (7.33). P_N being the projection on the subspace of H generated by the: $h(\varepsilon_{l,j})$, $h(\varepsilon'_{l,j})$ and $h(\varepsilon''_j)$ with $l = 1, \ldots, N$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$, denote $\hat{A}_N = P_N \hat{A} P_N$.

We recall that if $v(t, \omega) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} f^{\mu}(t)u_{\mu}(t, \omega)$ with $u_{\mu}(t, \omega)$ being the SPT of ue_{μ} and $(f^{\mu})_{\mu=1,\dots,n} \in H$, then $v \in \tilde{H}$ and $h(v)^{\mu} = f^{\mu}(t)$.

Taking in account the special form of the basis vectors of \check{B} , we deduce $[\hat{A}, P_N] = 0$. We denote by $h(\varepsilon_i)$ the generic item obtained from $\varepsilon_i \in \check{B}$, with the bijection $\tilde{H} \to H$:

$$\tilde{H} \ni v = h(t)u(t,\omega) \to h(v)(t) = h(t) \in H$$

We now will study the limit, as a derivation, of:

$$\sum_{i,j \le N} a_{ij} \{ W(h(\varepsilon_i)) D_{\varepsilon_j} - W(h(\varepsilon_j)) D_{\varepsilon_i} \}$$
(2)

where $a_{ij} = \langle h(\varepsilon_i), \hat{A}h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H$.

With (7.23) and (7.27), and denoting $Z(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^n b_{\mu\mu}(\varepsilon_i)$, we get from (2):

$$(2) = \sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} \{ W(h(\varepsilon_i))h(\varepsilon_j) - W(h(\varepsilon_j))h(\varepsilon_i) \}$$
(T1)

$$+\sum_{i,j\leqslant N}a_{ij}\{W(h(\varepsilon_i))\int_0^t Z(\varepsilon_j)ds - W(h(\varepsilon_j))\int_0^t Z(\varepsilon_i)ds\}$$
(T2)

$$-\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} \{ \langle h(\varepsilon_i), A(\varepsilon_j) \operatorname{grad}(\cdot) \rangle_H - \langle h(\varepsilon_j), A(\varepsilon_i) \operatorname{grad}(\cdot) \rangle_H \}$$
(T3)

+
$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} \{ \operatorname{div} (W(h(\varepsilon_i))A(\varepsilon_j) - W(h(\varepsilon_j))A(\varepsilon_i)) \} \operatorname{grad}(\cdot)$$
 (T4)

We want to prove that each of the Ti, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, converges when $N \to \infty$, as derivations, towards a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation.

For (T1):

$$(T1) = 2 \operatorname{div} \hat{A}_N \operatorname{grad}(\cdot)$$

As bounded operators, we have: $\hat{A}_N \to \hat{A}$, so:

$$\forall X \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H) : \quad \|(\hat{A}_N - \hat{A})X\|_{D^p_n} \to 0$$

div being a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous operator, $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \operatorname{div} \hat{A}_N \operatorname{grad} f = \operatorname{div} \hat{A} \operatorname{grad} f \quad (\operatorname{in} \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega))$$

For (T2):

We can rewrite (T2) as the vector field:

$$t \to 2 \int_0^t Z \left[W \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \cdot \varepsilon_j \right] ds$$

Now $\varepsilon_j(s,\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)u_{\alpha}(s,\omega)$, where as usual: $u_{\alpha}(s,\omega) = \text{SPT}$ of ue_{α} , at time s "along ω ", $(e_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ canonical unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n .

We denote by $(k^{\alpha}_s)_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ this element of $H:\rho\in[0,1]$

$$k_s^{\alpha}(\rho) = (\rho \wedge s)e_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$

Then

$$h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) = \int_0^s \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(r)dr = \langle k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H$$
(3)

Then (T2) becomes, with Einstein summation convention:

$$(T2) = 2\int_0^t Z\left[W\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j) < k_s^\alpha, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H\right) u_\alpha(s,\omega)\right] ds$$
$$= 2\int_0^t Z\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N < k_s^\alpha, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right) u_\alpha(s,\omega)\right] ds$$

But Z is \mathbb{R} -linear, so:

$$(T2) = 2\int_0^t W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \langle k_s^\alpha, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right) Z(u_\alpha(s,\omega))ds$$

With corollary 6.1, we know that $\sup_{\alpha \in \{1,...,n\}} Z(u_{\alpha}(s,\omega))$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded. Now

$$\left\| W\left(\hat{A}\sum_{j=1}^{N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$
$$= \left\| \hat{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right\|_{H} \leqslant \|\hat{A}\| \cdot \|k_{s}^{\alpha}\|_{H}$$
(4)

and $||k_s^{\alpha}||_H^2 = \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(u) du$.

As on \mathcal{C}_1 all \mathbb{D}_r^p -norms are equivalent, the l.h.s. of (4) is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, uniformly relatively to *s* and *N*. So (T2) converges, as multiplicators, towards: $2 \int_0^t W[\hat{A}k_s^{\alpha}]Z(u_{\alpha})ds.$ For (T3): (T3) can be rewritten as:

$$(T3) = -2 < \sum_{1 \le i, j \le N} a_{ij} A(\varepsilon_j) \cdot h(\varepsilon_i), \operatorname{grad}(\cdot) >_H$$

To prove that this sequence of derivations is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -converging towards a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation, the convergence being a derivation convergence, it is enough to prove that: $2\sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} a_{ij}A(\varepsilon_j)h(\varepsilon_i)$ converges, as vector fields, towards a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector field.

With (7.24) we can write, with shorter notations:

$$A(\varepsilon_j)(t) = \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) \cdot \gamma_{1,\alpha}(s) ds + \int_0^t h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) Z_{1,\alpha}(s) \circ d\tilde{B}$$

where $\gamma_{1,\alpha}(s)$ and $Z_{1,\alpha}(s)$ are:

$$(\gamma_{1,\alpha}(s))^{\nu}_{\mu} = g(T(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) \qquad (7.18')$$

and

$$(Z_{1,\alpha}(s))^{\nu}_{\mu,\rho} = g(R(u_{\alpha}, u_{\rho}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu}) + g((\bigvee_{u_{\rho}} T)(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}), u_{\nu})$$
(7.22').

 Z_1 and γ_1 are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -semi-martingales, $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian processes and \mathbb{R} -multilinear for the variables $u_{\alpha}, u_{\rho}, u_{\mu}, u_{\nu}$.

We use the Stratonovich integration by parts on

$$\int_0^t h_\alpha(\varepsilon_j) \times Z_1(u_\alpha, u_\rho, u_\mu, u_\nu) \circ d\tilde{B}^\rho$$

and we get:

$$A(\varepsilon_j)(t) = \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)ds + h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t)Z_{2,\alpha}(t)$$
(5)

where again $\gamma_{2,\alpha}$ and $Z_{2,\alpha}$ are \mathbb{D}^{∞} -semi-martingales, $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian processes and \mathbb{R} -multilinear for their variables $u_{\alpha}, u_{\rho}, u_{\mu}, u_{\nu}$,

$$Z_{2,\alpha} = \int_0^t Z_1(u_\alpha, u_\rho, u_\mu, u_l) \circ d\tilde{B}^l$$
(5')

and

$$\gamma_{2,\alpha} = \gamma_{1,\alpha} - \int_0^s Z_1(u_\alpha, u_\rho, u_\mu, u_l) \circ d\tilde{B}^\rho \tag{5''}$$

So $\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)$ can be written $\gamma_2(u_{\alpha}, u_{\mu}, u_l)$.

Then $A(\varepsilon_j)$ acting on the vector $h(\varepsilon_i)$ is given by:

$$(A(\varepsilon_j) \cdot h(\varepsilon_i))(t) = \int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) \cdot \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i)(s) ds + \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \gamma_{2,\alpha}(r) \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(r) dr \right) \cdot \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$
(6)

We define a vector field $w_s(u_\mu, u_l)$ by:

$$(w_s(u_\mu, u_l))_\alpha = t \to \int_0^{s \wedge t} \gamma_2(u_\alpha, u_\mu, u_l)(r) dr = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(r) dr \tag{6'}$$

Then

$$\langle w_s(u_\mu, u_l), h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H = \int_0^s \gamma_{2,\alpha}(r) \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(r) dr$$
 (7)

And (6) becomes:

$$(A(\varepsilon_j) \cdot h(\varepsilon_i))(t) = \int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j) \cdot \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i)(s) ds + \int_0^t \langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

Now:

$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} (A(\varepsilon_j)h(\varepsilon_i))(t) = \int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \left(\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)\right) \cdot \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds + \int_0^t \langle w_s, \sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij}h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

Using: $\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij}h(\varepsilon_j) = -\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_i)$ and (3): $\langle k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H = h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)$, we get:

$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} (A(\varepsilon_j) \cdot h(\varepsilon_i))(t) = -\int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \sum_{i \leq N} \langle k_s^{\alpha}, \hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_i)) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$-\int_0^t \sum_{i \leq N} \langle w_s, \hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_i)) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \sum_{i \leq N} Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \langle \hat{A}_N k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_i) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \sum_{i \leq N} \langle \hat{A}_N(w_s), h(\varepsilon_i) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \sum_{i \leq N} \langle \hat{A}_N k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_i) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \sum_{i \leq N} \langle \hat{A}w_s, h(\varepsilon_i) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i) ds$$

$$(7')$$

In the first integral of (7'), the series, $\sum_{i \leq N} \langle \hat{A}k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_i) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i)(r)$ is independent of ω , and depends only of s. The theorem of Dini-Lipschitz (Theorem 2.11) applied to this series shows that it converges uniformly on each compact of [0, 1] which does not include discontinuity points, relatively to r, and on the discontinuity points, the series converges towards the half sum of the left and right limits. Here the only discontinuity point is s = r, so on this point the series converges towards

$$\frac{1}{2}(\dot{\widehat{A}}k^{\alpha}_{s-}+\dot{\widehat{A}}k^{\alpha}_{s+})=\frac{1}{2}Be_{\alpha}$$

As $s \in [0, 1]$, we see that the integrand in the first integral in (7') is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, uniformly in $s (Z_{2,\alpha} \text{ is } 1/2 \cdot \mathbb{D}^{\infty}\text{-Holderian})$. So the first integral in (7'), converges \mathbb{D}^{∞} towards $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{2,\alpha}(s) Be_{\alpha} ds$; if we apply the O.U. operator to this first integral, we get:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} ((1-L)^{r/2} Z_{2,\alpha}) \sum_{i \leq N} \langle \hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{i}) \rangle_{H} \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{i})(s) ds$$

The same reasoning shows that this new sequence will \mathbb{D}^{∞} -converge towards $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} ((1-L)^{r/2} Z_{2,\alpha}) Be_{\alpha} ds$. So the first integral of (7') \mathbb{D}^{∞} -converges as vectors fields towards $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{2,\alpha} Be_{\alpha} ds$.

For the second integral in (7'), the same reasoning on the series

$$\sum_{i \leqslant N} < \hat{A}w_s, h(\varepsilon_i) >_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_i)(r)$$

but considering the Dini-Lipschitz convergence in the Frechet space $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, shows that this series converges on the unique discontinuity point (r = s), and that this \mathbb{D}^{∞} -convergence towards the half value of the jump in r = s, is uniformly, in s, bounded.

Then the second integral of (7') will $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ -converges towards the vectors field:

$$t \to \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} B \gamma_{2,\alpha} e_\alpha ds$$

Now, for (T4), we will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 8..1. The vector field $s \to w_s$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian.

Proof. Recall (6'):

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(1-L)^{r/2}(w_{t+\varepsilon}-w_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}(1-L)^{r/2}\gamma_2(s,\omega)ds$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(1-L)^{r/2}(w_{t+\varepsilon}-w_t)\|_{L^p(\Omega,H)}^p &= \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \left[\int_0^1 |\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(1-L)^{r/2}\gamma_2|^2 \mathbbm{1}_{[t,t+\varepsilon]}^{(s)} ds\right]^{p/2} \\ &\leqslant \int_t^{t+\varepsilon} ds \int \mathbb{P}(d\omega) |\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(1-L)^{r/2}\gamma_2|^p \end{split}$$

Last, we study (T4):

$$(T4) = \sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} \operatorname{div} \left[W(h(\varepsilon_i)) A(\varepsilon_j) - W(h(\varepsilon_j)) A(\varepsilon_i) \right] \text{ grad}$$

We want to prove that when $N \to \infty$, (T4) converges, as a sequence of derivation, towards a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous derivation, div Q grad, when Q is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator. For this, it is enough to prove that the sequence:

$$S_N = \sum_{i,j \leq N} a_{ij} \left[W(h(\varepsilon_i)) A(\varepsilon_j) - W(h(\varepsilon_j)) A(\varepsilon_i) \right]$$
$$= 2 \sum_{j \leq N} W \left[\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)) \right] A(\varepsilon_j)$$
(8)

converges as multiplicators, towards a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator Q.

Using the expression of $A(\varepsilon_i)$ in (5), with (8):

$$S_{N} = 2 \sum_{j \leq N} W \left[\hat{A}_{N}(h(\varepsilon_{j})) \right] \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j}) \cdot Z_{2,\alpha}(t) + 2 \sum_{j \leq N} W \left[\hat{A}_{N}(h(\varepsilon_{j})) \right] \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) ds$$
(9)

We denote the two items in (9) by (T4,1) and (T4,2). So $S_N = (T4,1) + (T4,2)$. The case of (T4,1):

$$(T4,1) = 2Z_{2,\alpha}(t) \cdot \sum_{j \leq N} W\left[\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j))\right] h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n$$
$$= 2Z_{2,\alpha}(t) W\left[\hat{A}_N(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \cdot h(\varepsilon_j))\right]$$
$$= 2Z_{2,\alpha}(t) W\left[\hat{A}(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \cdot h(\varepsilon_j))\right]$$

The series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \cdot h(\varepsilon_j)$ converges in H because the basis \check{B} is such that: $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_*, \forall \alpha \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, |h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)| \leq \frac{Const}{j}$, uniformly relatively to $t \in [0, 1]$.

This implies that $W\left[\hat{A}(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \cdot h(\varepsilon_j))\right]$ is L^2 -convergent, t-uniformly, towards $W[\hat{A}(k_t^{\alpha})]$

$$h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) = \langle h(\varepsilon_j), k_t^{\alpha} \rangle_H \qquad (\text{see}(3))$$

So as $\forall N : W\left[\hat{A}(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \cdot h(\varepsilon_j))\right] \in \mathcal{C}^1$, and with corollary 4.1, we see that the sequence (T4,1) converges, as multiplicators, towards $W[\hat{A}(k_t^{\alpha})]$, *t*-uniformly. And $Z_{2,\alpha}(t)$ is also a multiplicator because it is an $\frac{1}{2}$ -Holderian process, so the limit of (T4,1), limit as multiplicators, is the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator:

$$2Z_{2,\alpha}(t) \cdot W\left[\hat{A}(k_t^{\alpha})\right]$$

Now for (T4,2), we rewrite it:

$$(T4,2) = 2\sum_{j \leq N} \{W(\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)) - \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_Nh(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\} \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)ds$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{j \leq N} \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) ds$$
(10)

-+

These two items in (10) are labeled as (T4,2,a) and (T4,2,b).

We first study the limit of (T4,2,a):

$$(T4,2,a) = 2 \sum_{j \leq N} \{W(\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j))) - \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_Nh(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\} \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)\gamma_{2,\alpha}ds$$
$$= 2 \sum_{j \leq N} \{\operatorname{div}\left[\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)) - P_t(\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)))\right]\} \cdot \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)ds$$

where P_t is the projection operator on H, defined by:

$$(P_tY)(u) = \int_0^{u \wedge t} X(u) du$$
 with $Y(s) = \int_0^s X(u) du, Y \in H$

 $(P_t \text{ is the projector of vectors of } H, \text{ on vectors which are zero after } t).$ With (7): $\langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H = \int_0^s \gamma_{2,\alpha}(r) \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(r) dr$, so we have:

$$(T4, 2, a) = 2 \operatorname{div} \left[\sum_{j \leq N} \left(\int_0^t \dot{h}^\alpha(\varepsilon_j) \gamma_{2,\alpha} ds \right) \left(\hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)) - P_t \hat{A}_N(h(\varepsilon_j)) \right) \right]$$
$$= 2 \operatorname{div} \left[\hat{A}_N \left(\sum_{j \leq N} < w_t, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right]$$
$$- 2 \operatorname{div} \left[P_t \hat{A}_N \left(\sum_{j \leq N} < w_t, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right]$$
(11)

We first study the limit of:

2div
$$\left[\hat{A}_N\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N} \langle w_t, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right] = 2$$
div $(P_N \hat{A} w_t)$

(Recall $[\hat{A}, P_N] = 0$).

Now , with lemma 8.1, $P_N \hat{A} w_t$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, in t, N-uniformly, so, as div is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -continuous, div $(P_N \hat{A} w_t)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, so is a multiplicator, N-uniformly. Using the closed graph theorem, the sequence 2div $(P_N \hat{A} w_t)$ converges as multiplicators, towards the multiplicator 2div $\hat{A} w_t$.

Now we study the limit of the second item in (11),

2div
$$\left[P_t \hat{A}_N\left(\sum_{j \leq N} \langle w_t, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right] = 2 \text{div} \left[P_t P_N \hat{A} w_t\right];$$

to study this limit, we consider with $\lambda \in [0,1]$: $P_{\lambda}P_{N}\hat{A}w_{t} = I_{\lambda,N,t}$. $I_{\lambda,N,t}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, in t, (λ, N) -uniformly, but is also $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, in λ ,

(N, t)-uniformly, because:

$$\|(1-L)^{r/2}(P_{\lambda+\varepsilon}-P_{\lambda})(P_{N}\hat{A}w_{t})\|_{H} \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} \mathbb{1}_{[\lambda,\lambda+\varepsilon]}(s)\|(1-L)^{r/2}P_{N}\hat{A}w_{t}(s,w)\|_{H}ds$$
$$\leqslant \varepsilon^{1/2} \left(\int_{0}^{1}\|P_{N}\hat{A}(1-L)^{r/2}w_{t}\|_{H}^{2}ds\right)^{1/2}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_{\lambda+\varepsilon} - P_{\lambda})(P_N \hat{A} w_t)\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)} &\leq \varepsilon^{1/2} \|P_N \hat{A} w_t\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{1/2} \|\hat{A} w_t\|_{\mathbb{D}^p_r(\Omega,H)} \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{A}w_t$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded because γ_2 is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded. We have then the $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderianity of $I_{\lambda,N,t}$ when $\lambda = t$. Then as for the first item of (T4,2,a), div $P_t P_N \hat{A}w_t$ converges, as a sequence of multiplicators towards the multiplicator div $P_t \hat{A}w_t$. And div $(P_t \hat{A}w_t) = \text{div } \hat{A}w_t$.

The last limit to study is (T4,2,b), in (10):

$$(T4,2,b) = 2\sum_{j \leq N} \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \int_0^t \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)ds$$

With the Ito formula, we have:

$$(T4, 2, b) = 2 \sum_{j \leq N} \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \dot{h}^\alpha(\varepsilon_j) \gamma_{2,\alpha} dr \right) d\left(\mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \right) + 2 \sum_{j \leq N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \cdot \dot{h}^\alpha(\varepsilon_j) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) ds$$
(12)

We compute $d\left(\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right]\right)$: We remind: \tilde{B} is the Brownian defined in (6.2). We have:

$$\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)(t) = \int_0^t B(P_N \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)) ds$$
$$W\left[\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)\right] = \int_0^1 t(B(P_N \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j))) \cdot d\tilde{B}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = \int_0^s t(B(\hat{P_N h(\varepsilon_j)})) \cdot d\tilde{B}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$d\left(\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right]\right) = {}^t(B(\widehat{P_N h(\varepsilon_j)}))d\tilde{B}_s$$

Then (12) becomes, with $\langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H = \int_0^s \dot{h}_\alpha(\varepsilon_j) \gamma_{2,\alpha} dr$:

$$(T4,2,b) = 2\sum_{j \leq N} \int_0^t \langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \, {}^t(B(P_N\dot{h}(\varepsilon_j))) \cdot d\tilde{B}_s$$

$$+ 2\sum_{j \leq N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \cdot \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) ds$$
(13)

We denote these two integrals in (13) by $I_N^{(1)}$ and $I_N^{(2)}$. Then:

$$I_N^{(1)} = \int_0^t t \left\{ B\left(\sum_{j \le N} < w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H \dot{P_N h(\varepsilon_j)}(s)\right) \right\} d\tilde{B}_s$$
$$= \int_0^t t \left\{ B\left(\sum_{j \le N} < w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)\right) \right\} d\tilde{B}_s$$
(13')

With the Dini-Lipschitz theorem (Theorem 2.11), we know that the series ${}^{t}B\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N} \langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\right)$ converges in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, towards $\frac{1}{2}{}^{t}B\gamma_2$ and all items $\sum_{j \leq N} \langle w_s, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)$ are adapted and s-uniformly \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded. So all integrals as in (13') are N-uniformly, $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, and the L^2 -convergence of this series (obtained with the fundamental isometry) proves that the $I_N^{(1)}$ converges, as miltiplicators, towards $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t {}^t B \gamma_2 d\tilde{B} = \frac{1}{2} \text{div } \hat{A} w_t$. At last, we study $I_N^{(2)}$:

$$I_N^{(2)} = 2\sum_{j\leqslant N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] \cdot \dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)ds$$

We know that $\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -S.M, so we can write:

$$\gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) = \gamma_{2,\alpha}(0) + \int_0^t \gamma_{3,\alpha} ds + \int_0^t \gamma_{4,\alpha} \cdot d\tilde{B}_s$$

So $\frac{1}{2}I_N^{(2)}$ becomes, with a Stratonovitch integration by parts:

$$\frac{1}{2}I_N^{(2)} = \sum_{j \le N} \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \times h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \\ - \sum_{j \le N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)ds \\ - \sum_{j \le N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) \circ \gamma_{4,\alpha}d\tilde{B}_s \\ - \sum_{j \le N} \int_0^t h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \circ d\left(\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right]\right)$$

With (13), we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}I_N^{(2)} = \sum_{j \le N} \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_t\right] \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \\ - \sum_{j \le N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j))|\mathcal{F}_s\right] h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)ds$$

$$-\sum_{j\leqslant N}\int_{0}^{t}\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}_{N}h(\varepsilon_{j}))|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\circ\gamma_{4,\alpha}d\tilde{B}_{s}\\-\sum_{j\leqslant N}\int_{0}^{t}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)\circ^{t}(B(P_{N}\dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})))d\tilde{B}_{s}$$
(14)

The first item of the l.h.s. of (14) is:

$$\sum_{j \leq N} \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \\ = \mathbb{E} \left[W\left(\hat{A}_N \left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \cdot \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \\ = \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \cdot \operatorname{div} \left[P_t \left(\hat{A} \left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t) h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right) \right] \right]$$

As $|h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)| \leq \frac{C_0}{j}$, C_0 being a constant, $P_t\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(t)h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right)$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, *N*-uniformly, and converges as multiplicator towards $\operatorname{div}(P_t \hat{A} k_t^{\alpha})$, so the first item of the l.h.s of (14) converges towards

$$\operatorname{div}(P_t \hat{A} k_t^{\alpha}) \cdot \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) = \operatorname{div}(\hat{A} k_t^{\alpha}) \cdot \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t)$$

The second item of the l.h.s. of (14) is:

$$\sum_{j \leqslant N} \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_N h(\varepsilon_j)) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) \gamma_{3,\alpha} ds$$
$$= \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[W\left(\hat{A} \left(\sum_{j \leqslant N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_j)(s) h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \gamma_{3,\alpha}(s) ds$$

As $W\left[\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right] \in \mathcal{C}^{1}$, and converges towards $W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha})$ uniformly relatively to s, the same is true for $\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ and so the sequence $\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ converges towards $\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha})|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ as multiplicators. Then the sequence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)$$

converges as vector fields, towards the vector field,

$$\mathbb{E}[W(\hat{A}k_s^{\alpha})|\mathcal{F}_s]\gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)$$

And then

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N} h^\alpha(\varepsilon_j)(s)h(\varepsilon_j)\right)\right) |\mathcal{F}_s\right]\gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)ds$$

converges as multiplicators towards $\int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}k_s^{\alpha}) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \gamma_{3,\alpha} ds$. The third item of the l.h.s. of (14) is:

$$\sum_{j \leq N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}_{N}h(\varepsilon_{j})) | \mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \cdot h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s) \circ \gamma_{4,\alpha} d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E} \left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right) | \mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \cdot \gamma_{4,\alpha} d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[d\left(\mathbb{E} \left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right) | \mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \right), \gamma_{4,\alpha} \tilde{B}_{s} \right]$$
(15)

Direct computation shows that:

$$d\left(\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]\right)$$
$$= {}^{t}\left\{B\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}\dot{h}^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right\}d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

So (15) becomes:

$$(15) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E} \left[W \left(\hat{A} \left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right) |\mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \cdot \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) d\tilde{B}_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} t \left\{ \hat{A} \left(\sum_{j \leq N} \langle k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) \rangle_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j}) \right) \right\} \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) ds$$
(16)

In (16), the quantity $\mathbb{E}\left[W\left(\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N}h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right)|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ converges $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ towards $\mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha})|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$ and as $\gamma_{4,\alpha}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded, the first integral in (16), an Ito integral, is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian so this sequence of Ito integrals is uniformly relatively to N, $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, and converges as multiplicators towards the multiplicator:

$$\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[W(\hat{A}k_s^{\alpha})|\mathcal{F}_s\right]\gamma_{4,\alpha}(s)d\tilde{B}_s$$

For the second integral in (16), a Lebesgue integral, the sequence

$${}^{t}\left\{\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j\leqslant N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right\}$$

is a sequence of determinist vectors of H and so converges towards

$${}^{t}\left\{\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right\}$$

As $\gamma_{4,\alpha}$ is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded and $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian the sequence of vector fields ${}^{t}\left\{\hat{A}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} h(\varepsilon_{j})\right)\right\}\gamma_{4,\alpha}$ is convergent, as vector fields, towards ${}^{t}\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha} \cdot \gamma_{4,\alpha}$, then the sequence of integrals

$$\int_0^t t \left\{ \hat{A}\left(\sum_{j \leq N} < k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H h(\varepsilon_j) \right) \right\} \cdot \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) ds$$

converges as multiplicators towards $\int_0^t t \hat{A} k_s^{\alpha} \cdot \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) ds$. So the third item of the l.h.s. of (14) converges as multiplicators towards $\int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A} k_s^{\alpha}) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] \circ \gamma_{4,\alpha} d\tilde{B}_s$.

For the last item of the l.h.s. of (14):

$$\sum_{j \leq N} \int_{0}^{t} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \circ {}^{t} \{B(P_{N}\dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})))\} d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}{}^{t} \left\{ B\left(\sum_{j \leq N} h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})(s) \cdot \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\right)\right\} \cdot d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \leq N} \int_{0}^{t} \left[d\left(h^{\alpha}(\varepsilon_{j})\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)\right), B(P_{N}\dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})) d\tilde{B}_{s} \right]$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s){}^{t} \left\{ B\left(\sum_{j \leq N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\right) \right\} d\tilde{B}_{s}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) B\left(\sum_{j \leq N} < k_{s}^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_{j}) >_{H} \dot{h}(\varepsilon_{j})(s)\right) ds \qquad (17)$$

The first integral in (17): As $\sum_{j \leq N} \langle k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)$ converges towards $\frac{1}{2}\dot{k}_s^{\alpha}$, and this sequence is N-uniformly bounded, the convergence of

$$\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)^t \left\{ B\left(\sum_{j \leqslant N} \langle k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) \rangle_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\right) \right\}$$

towards $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s,w)^t(B\dot{k}_s^{\alpha})$ is a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded convergence. Then the sequence of Ito integrals in (17), all *N*-uniformly $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, converges as multiplicators towards the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -multiplicator:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)^t (B\dot{k}_s^{\alpha}) d\tilde{B}_s$$

For the sequence of the Lebesque integrals in (17), we see that

$$\gamma_{4,\alpha} B\left(\sum_{j \leqslant N} < k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\right)$$

converges \mathbb{D}^{∞} towards

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{4,\alpha}(B\dot{k}^{\alpha}_{s}) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{4,\alpha}Be_{\alpha}$$

So the convergence of the Lebesque integrals

$$\int_0^t \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) B\left(\sum_{j \le N} < k_s^{\alpha}, h(\varepsilon_j) >_H \dot{h}(\varepsilon_j)(s)\right) ds$$

is a multiplicator convergence towards $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma_{4,\alpha}(B\dot{k}_s^{\alpha}) ds$. So the limit of the fourth term in (14) can be written as:

$$\int_0^t \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)^t (B\dot{k}_s^{\alpha}) d\tilde{B}_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma_{4,\alpha}(B\dot{k}_s^{\alpha}) ds$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_0^t \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s)^t (Be_\alpha) \circ d\tilde{B}_s$$

Now we recapitulate all limits obtained:

$$\begin{split} \lim_{N} (T1) &= 2 \operatorname{div} \hat{A} \operatorname{grad} \\ \lim_{N} (T2) &= 2 \int_{0}^{t} W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha})Z(u_{\alpha})(s)ds \\ \lim_{N} (T3) &= -2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \cdot \frac{1}{2}Be_{\alpha}ds - 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2}B\gamma_{2,\alpha}(s,w)e_{\alpha}ds \\ \lim_{N} (T4,1) &= 2 \operatorname{div} \left[Z_{2,\alpha}(t)W\left[\hat{A}k_{t}^{\alpha}\right] \right] \operatorname{grad} \\ \lim_{N} (T4,2,a) &= 2 \operatorname{div} \hat{A}w_{t} - 2 \operatorname{div} \hat{A}w_{t} = 0 \\ \lim_{N} (T4,2,b) &= 2 \lim_{N} I_{N}^{(1)} + 2 \lim_{N} I_{N}^{(2)} \\ \lim_{N} I_{N}^{(1)} &= \operatorname{div} \left[(\operatorname{div} \hat{A}w_{t}) \operatorname{grad} \right] \\ \lim_{N} I_{N}^{(2)} &= \operatorname{div} \left(\operatorname{div} (\hat{A}k_{t}^{\alpha}) \cdot \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) \right) \operatorname{grad} \\ &+ \operatorname{div} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha}) |\mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \gamma_{3,\alpha}(s)ds \right] \operatorname{grad} \\ &+ \operatorname{div} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E} \left[W(\hat{A}k_{s}^{\alpha}) |\mathcal{F}_{s} \right] \circ \gamma_{4,\alpha}d\tilde{B}_{s} \right] \operatorname{grad} \\ &+ \operatorname{div} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \cdot {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) \circ d\tilde{B}_{s} \right] \operatorname{grad} \end{split}$$

Instead of the Fourier basis \check{B} (7.33) on [0, 1], we could have chosen the same type of Fourier basis but on $[t_0, 1]$ ($0 < t_0 < 1$). Then if the matrix B is multiplied by a function $f(w) \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$, as all coefficients in (T1),(T2),(T3),(T4)

are adapted, the similar limits obtained in the case of this Fourier basis on $[t_0, 1]$ and with $fB \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[t_0, t]}$ will have the same form. And this remains true if

$$B = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]} f_i(w) B_i$$
(18)

with $f_i(w) \in \mathcal{F}_{t_i}, t_0 = 0$ and $t_n = 1$.

From Theorem 4.7, each antisymmetrical matrix, adapted, and multiplicator, is a limit (in the multiplicator way) of step-functions as (18), so we see that for such antisymmetrical B, adapted and multiplicator, the limits (T1),(T2),(T3),(T4) have the same form than previously computed, but with $W(\hat{A}k_s^{\alpha})$ being $\int_0^{s} {}^t(Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B}$ and (div $\hat{A}w_t$) being $\int_0^t {}^t(B\gamma_{2,\alpha}e_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B}$. Now, given a vector field $u \in H \cap C^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}^n)$, we want to find an

Now, given a vector field $u \in H \cap C^2([0,1],\mathbb{R}^n)$, we want to find an \mathbb{D}^{∞} -antisymmetrical matrix B, adapted, and $v \in \tilde{H}$ such that:

 $D_v = (T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4)(B) + u$ which leads to two equations, using (7.23). As vector fields, we must have:

$$(T_2 + T_3)(B) + \int_0^t \dot{u}(s)ds = \int_0^t \dot{h}_s(v)(s,w)ds$$
(19)

As derivations, we must have:

$$(T_1 + T_4)(B) = \operatorname{div} A(v) \text{ grad}$$
(20)

Using the formulas for $\lim_N T_1$, $\lim_N T_2$, $\lim_N T_3$, $\lim_N T_4$, we get with (19):

$$-\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} Z_{2,\alpha}(t) B e_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} B \gamma_{2,\alpha} e_{\alpha}\right) + \dot{u}(t) = \dot{h}_{s}(v)(t).$$
(21)

And for (20), we get:

$$B + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} Z_{2,\alpha}(t) \int_{0}^{t} {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha} \cdot d\tilde{B} + \int_{0}^{t} {}^{t} (B\gamma_{2}) \cdot d\tilde{B}$$

+
$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{t} {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{3,\alpha}(s) \left(\int_{0}^{s} {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \right) ds$$

+
$$\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{s} {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) \cdot d\tilde{B}_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{4,\alpha}{}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) ds$$

+
$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \cdot {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{4,\alpha}(s) ({}^{t}Be_{\alpha}) ds$$

=
$$\frac{1}{2} A(v)$$
(22)

Now we use the Stratonovich integration by parts and we have, with (7.5'):

$$Z_{2,\alpha}(t)\int_0^t {}^t(Be_\alpha) \cdot d\tilde{B} = \int_0^t Z_{2,\alpha}(s) \circ {}^t(Be_\alpha)d\tilde{B}_s$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{s} {}^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) d\tilde{B} \right) \circ dZ_{s,\alpha}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} Z_{2,\alpha}(s)^{t} (Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} + \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{s} ({}^{t}Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) Z_{1,\alpha} \cdot d\tilde{B}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \langle Z_{1,\alpha}, Be_{\alpha} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} ds$$
(23)

We treat $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{t} ({}^{t}Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \cdot \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t)$ the same way and we get:

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(\int_{0}^{t} {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{s} {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \circ d\gamma_{2,\alpha} + \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \circ {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) d\tilde{B}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\int_{0}^{s} {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) \cdot d\tilde{B} \right) \cdot d\gamma_{2,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[d\gamma_{2,\alpha}, {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) d\tilde{B} \right]$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) \cdot ({}^{t}Be_{\alpha}) d\tilde{B} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \left[d\gamma_{2,\alpha}, {}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}) d\tilde{B} \right]$$
(24)

With (5'):

$$\gamma_{2,\alpha}(t) = \gamma_{1,\alpha}(t) - \int_0^t Z_{1,\alpha} \cdot d\tilde{B} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left[dZ_{1,\alpha}, d\tilde{B} \right]$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\left[d\gamma_{2,\alpha}, {}^t(Be_{\alpha})d\tilde{B}\right] = d\gamma_{1,\alpha} - \langle Z_{1,\alpha}, {}^t(Be_{\alpha}) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds$$

(24) becomes:

$$(24) = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s {}^t (Be_\alpha) d\tilde{B} \right) d\gamma_{2,\alpha} + \int_0^t \gamma_{2,\alpha}(s) ({}^t Be_\alpha) \cdot d\tilde{B} + \int_0^t \mathfrak{L}_\alpha({}^t (Be_\alpha)) ds - \int_0^t \langle Z_{1,\alpha}, {}^t (Be_\alpha) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds$$
(25)

In (25), $\mathfrak{L}_{\alpha}({}^{t}(Be_{\alpha}))$ is a linear equation on ${}^{t}(Be_{\alpha})$ with coefficients which are $L^{\infty}(\Omega \times [0,1])$ bounded.

Now we transfer (23) and (25) in (22) and if we denote

$$X(t) = \int_0^t {}^t (Be_\alpha) \cdot d\tilde{B}$$
(26)

and denote this new equation by (22'), we get a system of three equations, (21), (22'), (26) with four unknown variables B, $\dot{h}_s(v)$, A(v), X. Now we will take as unknown variable h(v): using the canonical isometry between H and \tilde{H} , and with (7.27), (7.27'), (7.25) and (7.26), we see that A(v) is a linear equation on the unknown variable h(v), and after transfer of (7.27) and (7.27') in (21), we get an equation which as unknown variables, has only h(v) and B; this equation is numbered (27).

Now we make the same transfer in (22'), using (7.24), (7.25) and (7.26) to eliminate A in (22'), A being linearly dependent of v, so of h(v) with the

canonical isometry. This last equation is denoted (22''). So finally we get three equations, (21'), (22'') and (26) with three unknown variables h(v), B, X. These three equations make a system of three linear equations, linear in the variables h(v), B, X, as direct inspection of these equations shows.

In these three equations (21'), (22") and (26), there are two coefficients which are not $L_{\infty}(\Omega \times [0,1])$ bounded: $\gamma_{2,\alpha}$ and $Z_{2,\alpha}$, because they are formed with $\int_0^t Z_{1,\alpha} \circ d\tilde{B}$ (see (5') and (5")).

To be able to use the classical theorem on solutions of a system of linear SDE, we will truncate this system with a sequence of stopping times, so we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 8..2.
$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |Z_2(t)|}\right] < +\infty.$$

Proof. We denote: $\tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t) = \int_0^t Z_{1,\alpha} \cdot d\tilde{B}$ and $Z_{1,\alpha} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times [0,1])$. With the Ito formula:

$$e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^t Z_{1,\alpha}^2 ds} = 1 + \lambda \int_0^t e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^s Z_{1,\alpha}^2 ds} \cdot Z_1 d\tilde{B}$$

So $e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^t Z_{1,\alpha}(s)^2 ds}$ is a local martingale. Let $(\tau_k)_{k \in N^*}$ a sequence of stopping times converging towards $+\infty$, then:

$$e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t\wedge\tau_k) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k} Z_{1,\alpha}^2 ds} = \lambda \int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k} e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha} - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^s Z_{1,\alpha}^2 ds} \cdot Z_1 d\tilde{B} + 1$$

And

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t\wedge\tau_k)-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau_k}Z_1^2ds}\right] = 1$$

So $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t\wedge \tau_k)}\right] \leq C_0(\lambda)$, C_0 constant is dependent of k. Then, with the Fatou Lemma: $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t)}\right] \leq C_0(\lambda)$. But: $\forall p > 1, \mathbb{E}\left[e^{p\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t)}\right] \leq C_0(p)$. So the local martingale is a martingale. Moreover,

$$\sup_{t} e^{\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t)} + \sup_{t} e^{-\lambda \tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t)} \ge e^{\sup_{t} \lambda |\tilde{Z}_{2,\alpha}(t)|}$$

So as $\int_0^t [dZ_1, d\tilde{B}]$ is $L_{\infty}(\Omega \times [0, 1])$, we have $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |Z_{2, \alpha}(t)|}\right] < +\infty$.

Now we denote by $\tau_k : \tau_k = \inf_t |Z_{2,\alpha}(t)| \ge k$, so $|Z_{2,\alpha}(t \wedge \tau_k)| \le k$. Then the solution S_k of the localized SDE is unique and verifies:

$$\begin{split} \|S_k(t\wedge\tau_k)\|_{L^p} &\leqslant C(p)e^{\beta kt}, \ \beta \text{ constant. So } \|S(t)\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}\|_{L^p} \leqslant C(p)e^{\beta kt}. \text{ As in } \\ [\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}], \sup_t Z_{2,\alpha}(t) \text{ is } \in [k,k+1], \text{ we have } \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}e^{\lambda\sup_t |Z_{2,\alpha}(t)|}\right] < C_1(\lambda) \\ \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}\right] < C_1(\lambda)e^{-\lambda k}. \end{split}$$

Then if 1 < p' < p, with Holder:

$$\|S(t)\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}\|_{L^{p'}} = \|S(t)\mathbb{1}_{[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]}\|_{L^{p'}} \leqslant \left(C_2 e^{k\beta}\right)^{r_1} \left(C_1(\lambda) e^{-k\lambda}\right)^{r_2}$$

with $r_1, r_2 > 1$.

We can choose λ so that the r.h.s. of the last equation is like $e^{-k} \times C_3(\lambda)$. Then the series defining S(t) is $L^{p'}(\Omega)$, $\forall p'$, so $S(t) \in L^{\infty-0}$, uniformly in t.

We can repeat this process for the gradient of S and then $S(t) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, uniformly relatively to t, and so is $\frac{1}{2}$ - \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Holderian, so is a multiplicator.

Now let suppose that if q is the quadratic form and that there exists $\alpha \in \text{Der}^*$ such that $q(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. Then $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_*$, if $(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is an Hilbertian basis of \tilde{H} : $\alpha(D_{V_j}) = 0$. Now \mathcal{D} being the set of the combinations of D_{V_j} which was used to obtain the limits: $\lim_N T_1$, $\lim_N T_2$, $\lim_N T_3$, $\lim_N T_4$, we have $\alpha(\lim_N T_1) = \alpha(\lim_N T_2) = \alpha(\lim_N T_3) = \alpha(\lim_N T_4) = 0$. So when B is built with step functions like Theorem 4.7, if we denote by δ_B the derivation associated to B, we have $\alpha(\delta_B) = 0$. As $\alpha(D_v) = 0$, we get from the system of linear SDE, $\alpha(u) = 0$, u being read as the derivation associated to the vector field $u \in C^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n) \cap H$.

Then with Theorem 2.7, we get $\alpha(\text{Der}) = 0$, so q is non-degenerate.

9. Some Tools on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$

Now we are going to study some properties of some mathematical tools on a $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n)$ -stochastic manifold, and draw an incomplete list of opened questions.

9. 1 Some"renormalisation" theorem

THEOREM 9..1. Let $T \in (\bigotimes^p \operatorname{Der})^*$, If $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ is a basis of H, then $\sum_{\varepsilon_{i_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i_p}} T(\varepsilon_{i_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{i_p})^2 \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$

the sum being on all p-uples that can be extracted from the basis $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_*}$.

To prove this theorem, we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 9..1. A continuous \mathbb{R} -bilinear form on $\text{Der}(\Omega)$, \mathbb{R} -valued, which is continuous for each of its arguments, is bounded on each part of $\text{Der}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Denote by B(p, r, p', r') the set of all \mathbb{R} -linear continuous maps of $\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega)$ in $\mathbb{D}_{r'}^{p'}(\Omega)$ and let $B(p_n, r_n, p'_n, r'_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_*$ a sequence of such sets: the projective limit of this sequence is denoted B(s, s') with $s = (p_n, r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, $s' = (p'_n, r'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$; B(s, s') is a Fréchet space.

We denote by $\varinjlim_{s,s'} B(s,s')$ the inductive limit of the B(s,s'); we have $\varinjlim_{(s,s')} B(s,s') = \text{Der}$ and if D is a bounded part of Der, $\exists B(s_0,s'_0)$ with $D \subset B(s_0,s'_0)$ and D is a bounded subset of $B(s_0,s'_0)$ relatively to the Fréchet structure of $B(s_0,s'_0)$.

Let *D* be a bounded part of Der, and *q* an \mathbb{R} -bilinear form on Der, and $v \in D$ fixed. We want to show that there exists $C_0(v)$ constant such that $\forall u \in \text{Der}, |q(u,v)| \leq C_0(v).$

Suppose that $\exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*} \in D$ such that $|q(u_n, v)| \to \infty$. Then there exist $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}, \ \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}, \ \alpha_n > 0$, such that $\alpha_n \to 0$ and $\alpha_n q(u_n, v) \not\rightarrow 0$. But $\alpha_n u_n \to 0$ in D (D bounded), so q being continuous, $\lim_{n\to\infty} q(\alpha_n u_n, v) = 0$.

As $D \subset \text{Der} \cap B(s_0, s'_0)$ and as the topology on D is the restriction of the Fréchet topology on $B(s_0, s'_0)$ we can apply the Banach-Steinhaus theorem:

 \exists a constant C, such that $\forall u \in D, \forall v \in D, |q(u,v)| \leq C$

LEMMA 9..2. Let q be an \mathbb{R} -bilinear form on $\text{Der}(\Omega)$, positive, bounded on each bounded part of Der and symmetric: that is $\forall f \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}, \forall u, \forall v \in \text{Der},$ $q(fu, v) = q(u, fv); (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being an OTHN basis of H, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q(e_i, e_i) < +\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon(j) = \pm 1, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Denote

$$D_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon(j) \left(W(h_j) k_j - W(k_j) h_j \right)$$

 $(h_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_*}, (k_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ being two OTHN bases of H, we have $D_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{div} A_n$ grad where

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon(1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & 0 \\ & & \varepsilon(2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & \varepsilon(n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

So on a bounded part of \mathbb{D}^{∞} , D_{ε} is bounded, ε -uniformly.

Then $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon} q(D_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon})$ is also *n*-uniformly bounded on the subset of Der constitued by the D_{ε} .

This bound does not depend on the chosen basis of H because if we change this basis, the new basis is obtained from the initial basis by a unitary transformation.

Then we have:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon} q(D_{\varepsilon}, D_{\varepsilon}) = & \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n \varepsilon(j) \varepsilon(\ell) q\left(W(h_j)k_j, W(h_\ell)k_\ell\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2^n} 2 \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n \varepsilon(j) \varepsilon(\ell) q\left(W(h_j)k_j, W(k_\ell)h_\ell\right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} \varepsilon(j) \varepsilon(\ell) q \left(W(k_{j})h_{j}, W(k_{\ell})h_{\ell} \right)$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left(W(h_{j})k_{j}, W(h_{j})k_{j} \right) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left(W(h_{j})k_{j}, W(k_{j})h_{j} \right)$
+ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left(W(k_{j})h_{j}, W(k_{j})h_{j} \right)$ (1)

So the r.h.s. member of (1) is *n*-uniformly bounded by a constant C_0 . We fix n, and choose for k: $k_{\ell} = h_{n+\ell-1+j}$ and rewrite the r.h.s. of (1) with these new values, and average it on $\ell = 1, \ldots, r$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[W(h_j) h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j) h_{n+\ell-1+j} \right] \\ &- \frac{2}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[W(h_j) h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_{n+\ell-1+j}) h_j \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[W(h_{n+\ell-1+j}) h_j, W(h_{n+\ell-1+j}) h_j \right] < C_0 \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[W(h_j) h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j) h_{n+\ell-1+j} \right] - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j}) h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j) h_j \right] + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^2 h_j, h_j \right] < C_0$$

The first item of the above equation is positive so:

$$-2\sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j}) h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j) h_j \right] +\sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^2 h_j, h_j \right] < C_0$$
(2)

The last item of the l.h.s. of (2) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} q \left[\left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^2 - 1 \right) h_j, h_j \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} q(h_j, h_j)$$
(3)
We denote by $a_{r,j} = \frac{1}{r} \{ \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^2 - 1 \}$. Then $a_{r,j} \to 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then (3) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|a_{r,j}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} q \left[\frac{1}{\|a_{r,j}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}} \times \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^{2} - 1 \right) h_{j}, h_{j} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{n} q(h_{j}, h_{j})$$
(4)

 $\frac{1}{\|a_{r,j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} \times \frac{1}{r} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^r W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^2 - 1 \right) h_j \text{ is a set of derivations } (r \in \mathbb{N}_*) \text{ which}$ is bounded in Der (*n* being previously fixed); so

$$q\left[\frac{1}{\|a_{r,j}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}} \times \left(\frac{1}{r}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})^{2} - 1\right)h_{j}, h_{j}\right]$$

is bounded and as $||a_{r,j}||_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, (4) is reduced to $\sum_{j=1}^n q(h_j, h_j)$.

In (2), the only item left to compute is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} q\left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j)h_j\right)$$

The $L^2(\Omega, H)$ -norm of $\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^r W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})h_{n+\ell-1+j}$ converges towards 0, so we can apply the same method as above and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} q\left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} W(h_{n+\ell-1+j})h_{n+\ell-1+j}, W(h_j)h_j\right)$$

converges towards 0.

So at the end we have $\sum_{j=1}^{n} q(h_j, h_j) < C_1$, C_1 constant, which implies $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q(h_j, h_j) < +\infty$

Proof of the theorem. T is a p-linear form, \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear, continuous for each of its arguments. We proceed with an induction: suppose the property is true for $T \in (\bigotimes^{p-1} \operatorname{Der})^*$. Fix $u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{i_{p-1}}$ vectors of a basis of H and u another vector of this basis; and $\psi \in L^{1+0} = (L^{\infty-0})^*$, $\psi \ge 0$. Then

$$\sum_{\left(u_{i_1},\ldots,u_{i_{p-1}}\right)}\int \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega)\psi\left[(1-L)^{\frac{r}{2}}T(u,u_{i_1},\ldots,u_{i_{p-1}})\right]^2$$

is correctly defined (induction hypothesis) and ≥ 0 , and

$$u \mapsto \sum_{\left(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{p-1}}\right)} \int \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega) \psi \left[(1-L)^{\frac{r}{2}} T(u, u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{p-1}}) \right]^2$$

defines an \mathbb{R} -valued quadratic form, positive, which satisfies the symmetry property, and bounded on any bounded part of Der. We can apply to this quadratic form the result of Lemma 9,2 to get the result.

Now we will study the link of the operator divergence, when defined on $Der(\Omega)$ (Definition 2,4, Remarks 2,1 and 2,2) with the NCM H, and the new gradient (Definition 7, 1).

Recall that div : $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ is such that: if $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}(\Omega), \forall \varphi \in$ $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega),$

$$(\operatorname{div} \delta, \varphi) = -\int \delta \varphi \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{d}\omega)$$

div is a continuous operator.

Now, given a Hilbertian basis of H, $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ and $\alpha_i(\omega)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_*$ being the components of a vector field in H, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i v_i \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, \tilde{H})$; we denote as usual D_{v_i} the derivation associated to $v_i \in H$. Then:

THEOREM 9..2.

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\alpha_i D_{v_i}\right)$$

is well defined and $\in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$

Proof.

$$\operatorname{div}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i D_{v_i}\right] = \operatorname{div}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i (D_{v_i} - h(v_i))\right] + \operatorname{div}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i h(v_i)$$

where $D_{v_i} = h_1 + \text{div} A(v_i)$ grad (Eq 7, 23), h_1 being the vector field of H such as $h_1 = h_2 + h_3$ (Eq 7, 27), $h_2(v_i) = h(v_i)$ (Eq 7, 11).

Now $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i h(v_i) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, so div $(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i h(v_i)) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. It remains to show that $\lim_{N\uparrow\infty} \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \left(D_{v_i} - h(v_i)\right)\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. But

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \left(D_{v_{i}} - h(v_{i})\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} \operatorname{div}(D_{v_{i}} - h(v_{i})) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (D_{v_{i}} - h(v_{i})) \cdot \alpha_{i}$$

According to (Eq 7, 23), and Remark 2, 2, iii,

$$\operatorname{div}(D_{v_i} - h(v_i)) = 0$$

We therefore just need to prove that $\lim_{N\uparrow\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{N}(D_{v_i}-h(v_i))\cdot\alpha_i\in\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega),$ and with (Eq 26, 27),

$$D_{v_i} - h(v_i) = h_3(v_i) + \operatorname{div} A(v_i) \operatorname{grad}$$

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 9..3. The set $(b_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}_*}$ being the components of a vector field in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$, we denote by T an operator defined only on the finite sums like $\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ij} h(v_i) \otimes h(v_j) by$

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{ij}h(v_i) \otimes h(v_j)\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} b_{ij}(\omega)A(v_i) \cdot h(v_j)$$

Then there is a unique extension of T, which is a multiplicator from

 $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$

to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$.

Proof. Let $d \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H)$. Then straightforward computation shows that, if T^* exists,

$$\left(T^*d, \sum_{i,j=1}^m b_{ij}(\omega)h(v_i) \otimes h(v_j)\right) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^m A(v_i)(d) \otimes h(v_i), \sum_{j,k=1}^m b_{kj}h(v_k) \otimes h(v_j)\right)$$

So $T^*d = +\sum_{i=1}^m A^*(w_i)(d) \otimes h(w_i)$

So $T^*d = +\sum_{i=1}^m A^*(v_i)(d) \otimes h(v_i).$

Each $A^*(v_i) \otimes h(v_i)$ can be considered as a vector matrix \vec{A}_i with entries $\left(\vec{A}_i^*\right)_k^\ell = -(a(v_i)_\ell^k h(v_i))$.

Ås shown previously in the proof of Lemma 7,4, $ia(v_i)_{\ell}^k$ are *i*-uniformly \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Hölderian, and as $|h(v_i)|$ is bounded by $\frac{\text{constant}}{i}$, the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A^*(v_i) \otimes h(v_i)$$

is \mathbb{D}^{∞} -Hölderian, so $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A^*(v_i) \otimes h(v_i)$ is a multiplicator from $\mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{-\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$.

Now we go back to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{div} A(v_i) \operatorname{grad} \alpha_i$, $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ being by hypothesis the coordinates of a D^{∞} -vector field in H, $(\operatorname{grad} \alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*} \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$.

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{div} A(v_i)(\operatorname{grad} \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ because $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A(v_i)(\operatorname{grad} \alpha_i)$ can be written as $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} b_{ij}h(v_i) \otimes h(v_j)$.

The last sum for which the convergence is to be proven is $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_3(v_i) \cdot \alpha_i$. We can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_3(v_i) \cdot \alpha_i = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_3(v_i) \otimes h(v_i), \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{grad} \alpha_j \otimes h(v_j) \right\rangle_{H \otimes H}$$

and as $\|h_3(v_i)\|_{\mathbb{D}_r^p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C_2(p,r)}{i}$, $C_2(p,r)$ being a constant $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_3(v_i) \otimes h(v_i)$ is $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ -convergent, and so is $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{grad} \alpha_j \otimes h(v_j)$; and the scalar product of two vector fields which are $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H \otimes H)$ is in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. \Box

Now we will study the new O.U. operator built with the new grad (Def 7, 1) and the new div (Theorem 9, 2): div grad.

LEMMA 9..4. If V_n is a compact Riemannian manifold, then there exist $C^{\infty}(V_n)$ functions $\varphi_i, \psi_i, \alpha_i, i = 1, ..., M$, such that for any C^{∞} -vector field U on V_n , we have:

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \left\langle U, \operatorname{grad} \varphi_i \right\rangle_{V_n} \operatorname{grad} \alpha_i \tag{5}$$

Proof. Given a chart on V_n with coordinates x_i , $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are the canonical basis vectors on each point of this chart; with the Gram-Schmidt process, we get an orthonormal basis, denoted $(v_j)_{j=1,\ldots,n}$.

Then $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle U, v_j \rangle_{V_n} v_j = U.$

Then using a finite partition of unity on V_n , we have the desired result. \Box

We recall that if $f \in C^{\infty}(V_n)$, we denote by $F_{f,t}(\omega) = f \circ I(\omega)(t)$, I being the Itô map from $\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n)$. We denote by $k_{j,t}$ the vector field of \tilde{H} defined by

$$k_{j,t}(s) = (s \wedge t)(ue_j)(s,\omega)$$

 $ue_j(s,\omega)$ being the SPT of ue_μ at time s "along ω ", and $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

DEFINITION 9..1. With $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, we call a \mathcal{F}_{t_0} -vector field a vector field which when written as a process $\varphi(t, \omega)$ is such that

 $\begin{array}{ll} i) \ \dot{\varphi}(t,\omega) = 0 & \forall t \geq t_0 \ a.s.; \\ ii) \ \varphi(t,\omega) \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0} & \forall t \in [0,1] \end{array}$

REMARK 9..1. The scalar product in \tilde{H} of two \mathcal{F}_{t_0} -vector fields is again in \mathcal{F}_{t_0} .

THEOREM 9..3. The new O.U. operator, div grad, verifies: if $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$, div grad $f \notin \mathcal{F}_t$ in general.

Proof. We know that $k_{j,t} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, with j = 1, ..., n. With Lemma 9, 4, we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \left[I(\omega)(t) \right] \left\langle \overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} \varphi_i, k_t \right\rangle_{V_n} \left(I(\omega)(t) \right) \left(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} \alpha_i \right) \left(I(\omega)(t) \right) = k_t$$

where $\overline{\text{grad}}$ is the usual gradient on the manifold V_n . With Remark 7, 1, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \left(I(\omega)(t) \right) \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i, t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \left(\omega \right) \cdot \left(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} \alpha_i \right) \left(I(\omega)(t) \right) = k_t \left(I(\omega)(t) \right)$$

We make the scalar product on V_n of both members of this last equation, with a determinist vector field V and get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i, t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \left\langle V, \operatorname{grad} \alpha_i \right\rangle_{V_n} = \langle k_t, V \rangle_{V_n}$$
(6)

But

$$\langle k_t, V \rangle_{\tilde{H}} = V(t) = \frac{1}{t} \langle k_t, V \rangle_{V_n}$$

so (6) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i, t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \left\langle V, \operatorname{\overline{\operatorname{grad}}} \alpha_i \right\rangle_{V_n} = t \langle k_t, V \rangle_{\tilde{H}}$$
(7)

As $V \in \tilde{H}$, we have

$$\left\langle V, \overrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}} \alpha_i \right\rangle_{V_n} = \left\langle V, \operatorname{grad} \alpha_i \right\rangle_{\tilde{H}}$$

and (7) becomes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i, t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \langle \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_i, t}, V \rangle_{\tilde{H}} = t \langle k_t, V \rangle_{\tilde{H}}$$

From which we deduce

$$tk_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i, t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_i, t}$$
(8)

which proves that $k_t \in \tilde{H}$.

Now we show that div $k_t \notin \mathcal{F}_t$: $k_t \in \tilde{H}$ so k_t as an operator is D_{k_t} , with $D_{k_t} = h_1(k_t) + \text{div } A(k_t)$ grad, $h(k_t)$ being a vector field and $A(k_t)$ a multiplicator.

Then div $D_{k_t} = \text{div}(h_1(k_t)) + \text{div}(\text{div} A(k_t)\text{grad})$. With Remark 2, 2, iii, div(div $A(k_t)\text{grad}) = 0$ so

$$\operatorname{div} D_{k_t} = \operatorname{div}[h_2(k_t)] + \operatorname{div}[h_3(k_t)]$$
 (Eq 7, 27)

As

$$h_3(k_t)(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \sum_{\mu=1}^n b_{\mu,\mu}(k_t)(r,\omega) \,\mathrm{d}r \qquad (\text{Eq } 7, 25)$$

we see that generally, div $h_3 \notin \mathcal{F}_t$.

Now we apply div to both members of (8),

$$\operatorname{div}(tk_t) = \operatorname{div}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_i,t}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{M} \psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \cdot \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_i,t}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{M} \langle \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_i,t}, \operatorname{grad} (\psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}}) \rangle_{\tilde{H}}$$

but as $F_{\alpha_i,t} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, grad $F_{\alpha_i,t}$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -vector field, $\psi_i \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $F_{\varphi_i,t} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ so grad $[\psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}}]$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -vector field, and with Remark 9, 1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \langle \operatorname{grad} F_{\alpha_{i},t}, \operatorname{grad} (\psi_{i} \langle k_{t}, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_{i},t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}}) \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \in \mathcal{F}_{t}$$

So at least one term $\psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}}$ div grad $F_{\alpha_i,t}$ is not in \mathcal{F}_t . But $\psi_i \langle k_t, \operatorname{grad} F_{\varphi_i,t} \rangle_{\tilde{H}} \in \mathcal{F}_t$; so there exists an *i* such that

div grad
$$F_{\alpha_i,t} \notin \mathcal{F}_t$$

while

150

$$F_{\alpha_i,t} = \alpha_i \left[I(\omega)(t) \right] \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

The same method can be used to show that if A is an adapted multiplicator, then div Agrad will not, in general, sends \mathcal{F}_t in \mathcal{F}_t .

THEOREM 9..4. Using again the notation $D_0(\Omega)$ for the subset of $\text{Der}(\Omega)$ that is in bijection with $\text{Der}^*(\Omega)$, we have: $D_0 \subset \tilde{H}$ and D_0 is dense in \tilde{H} , the density being conceived as usual, as simple convergence on \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bounded subsets of $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The (Eq 9, 8) show that $k_t \in D_0(\Omega)$. And the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear sums of k_t will be dense in \tilde{H} .

THEOREM 9..5. Let q_1 a bilinear form, positive definite on Der^{*}(Ω) × Der^{*}(Ω), with values in $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $D_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$ being the subset of Der(Ω) which is in bijective correspondence to Der^{*}(Ω), thanks to q_1 . This bijection being denoted θ_1 , let α a map

$$\alpha: D_0^{(1)}(\Omega) \times D_0^{(1)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^\infty(\Omega)$$

such that

- i) α admits an extension on $\operatorname{Der}(\Omega) \times \operatorname{Der}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$
- ii) $q_1 + \alpha \circ \theta_1 = q_2$ is a bilinear form, positive definite and continuous from $\text{Der}^*(\Omega) \times \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Then the extension of α is unique, and $D_0^{(2)} \subset D_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

Proof.

- i) Let $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ be two extensions of α which are equal on $D_0^{(1)} \times D_0^{(1)}$. Suppose that there exists $v_0 \in D_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$ such that $\gamma(v) = (\tilde{\alpha}_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2)(v_0, v)$; then $\gamma \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$ and $\gamma \left(D_0^{(1)}(\Omega) \right) = 0$. But $\theta(\gamma) \in D_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$. Then $\gamma(\theta(\gamma)) = 0 = q_1(\gamma, \gamma)$ so $\gamma = 0$. Same proof for $\gamma'(\omega) = (\tilde{\alpha}_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2)(v, w)$ with $v \in \text{Der}(\Omega)$ fixed.
- ii) Let β and $\beta' \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$,

$$q_1(\beta, \beta') + \alpha(\theta_1(\beta), \theta_1(\beta')) = q_2(\beta, \beta')$$

Then $\beta(\theta_1(\beta')) + \alpha(\theta_1(\beta), \theta_1(\beta')) = \beta(\theta_2(\beta')), \theta_1 \text{ and } \theta_2 \text{ are the bijections}$ of Der^{*}(Ω) on $D_0^{(i)}(\Omega), i = 1, 2$, obtained with q_1 and q_2 .

Let
$$\mu(\beta'') = \alpha(\theta_1(\beta), \beta'')$$
. Then $\mu \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$ and

$$\beta(\theta_1(\beta')) + \mu(\theta_1(\beta')) = \beta(\theta_2(\beta')) = \beta'(\theta_2(\beta))$$

as q_2 is symmetrical. So $(\beta + \mu)(\theta_1(\beta')) = \beta'(\theta_2(\beta))$ which implies $\beta'(\theta_1(\beta + \mu)) = \beta'(\theta_2(\beta))$. As this is valid $\forall \beta' \in \text{Der}^*(\Omega)$,

$$\theta_1(\beta + \mu) = \theta_2(\beta)$$

which implies $D_0^{(2)}(\Omega) \subset D_0^{(1)}(\Omega)$.

So on $D_0^{(2)}(\Omega)$, it is possible to make some variational calculus.

9. 2 Another "renormalisation" theorem

Let V_n an *n*-dimensional manifold, q a metric on V_n (q is bilinear, symmetrical and positive definite), μ_q the canonical measure on V_n associated to q, ∇ the Levi-Civita connection related to q, ϕ a C^{∞} density on V_n , $\phi > 0$, and $m_0 \in V_n$.

We recall the following formulas:

If f, g are C^{∞} functions on V_n , and $u, v \in \Gamma(V_n)$ (u and v are vector fields), we have:

$$u \cdot f = q (\operatorname{grad} f, u)$$

(Hess f) $(u, v) = u \cdot (v \cdot f) - (\nabla_u v) \cdot f$
= (Hess f) (v, u)

If $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is an orthonormal basis on $T_m V_n$, m being in a small neighbourhood of $m_0 \in V_n$:

$$\Delta f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ e_i \cdot (e_i \cdot f) - (\nabla_{e_i} e_i) \cdot f \}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} q \left(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, e_i \right)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} q\left(\nabla_{e_i} u, e_i\right)$$

Then we define:

$$\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \nabla_{e_i} \left(\nabla_{e_i} u \right) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} u \right\}$$

and the O.U. operator L by

$$\forall f, \forall g \in C^{\infty}(V_n), \qquad \int gL(f) \cdot \phi \, \mathrm{d}\mu_q = \int q(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} g)\phi \cdot \mathrm{d}\mu_q$$

From the last equation, we deduce:

$$L(f) = -\Delta f - q(\operatorname{grad}\,\log\varphi, \operatorname{grad}\,f)$$

Then we define the operator div by

$$\int g(\operatorname{div}_{\varphi} f)\varphi d\mu_q = -\int q(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} g)\varphi d\mu_q$$

and

$$L(u) = -\Delta u - \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} \log \varphi u$$

From the symmetry of the Hessian, we have

$$q(v, \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f) = q(u, \nabla_v \operatorname{grad} f)$$

and

q

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_u e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, e_j) q(e_j, \nabla_u e_i) = 0$$

because $q(e_i, e_j) = 0$ and the connection is the Levi-Civita connection. Now we are going to compute $q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u)$:

$$(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) = -q(\operatorname{grad} \Delta(f), u) - q(\operatorname{grad} q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f), u)$$

$$= -u \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, e_i) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_u (\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f), e_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_u e_i)$$

$$- u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_u (\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f), e_i) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f)$$

$$= R(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f), e_i)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, e_i) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f) \quad (8')$$

Using the Hessian symmetry:

$$q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) = R(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, e_i)$$
$$- \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, [u, e_i]) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f)$$

Now we compute $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, [u, e_i])$:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^n q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, [u, e_i]) &= \sum_{i=1}^n q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_u e_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} u) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^n q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} u) \end{split}$$

 So

$$q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) = R(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, e_i)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} u) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f)$$

Now we compute $\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, e_i)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \cdot q(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, e_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} e_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \cdot q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, u) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} e_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, u) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} e_i)$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_i} u)$$

Using this equality in (8') we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) &= R(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - q(\Delta \operatorname{grad} f, u) - u \cdot q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f) \\ &= R(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - q(\Delta \operatorname{grad} f, u) - q(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \operatorname{grad} f) \\ &- q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f) \end{aligned}$$

We denote $Z(u) = \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} \log \varphi$ and

$$\tilde{R}(u,v) = \operatorname{Ricc}(u,v) - q(Z(u),v)$$

Then

$$q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) = \tilde{R}(u, \operatorname{grad} f) + q(L(\operatorname{grad} f), u)$$
(9)

Now we compute
$$L(u \cdot f)$$
:

$$L(u \cdot f) = q(L(u), \operatorname{grad} f) + q(u, L(\operatorname{grad} f)) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} u, \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f)) \quad (10)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_i} u, \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ e_i \cdot q(u, \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f) - q(u, \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \operatorname{grad} f) \}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \cdot q(e_{i}, \nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(u, \nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} ff)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{q(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}) + q(\nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}) - q(u, \nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f)\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}) - q(u, \Delta(\operatorname{grad} f))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} q(\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}) + q(u, L(\operatorname{grad} f)) + q(\nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} \log \varphi)$$

$$= q(u, L(\operatorname{grad} f) + \frac{1}{\varphi} \operatorname{div} (\varphi \{\nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f\})$$
(11)

Using (9), (10) in (11), we get:

$$L(u \cdot f) = q(L(u), \operatorname{grad} f) + \tilde{R}(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) - \frac{2}{\varphi} \operatorname{div} \{\varphi \cdot \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f\}$$
$$= q(L(u), \operatorname{grad} f) + \tilde{R}(u, \operatorname{grad} f) - q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) - 2\operatorname{div}_{\varphi}(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f)$$

Finally:

$$q(L(u) + \tilde{R}(u), \operatorname{grad} f) = L(u \cdot f) + q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) + 2\operatorname{div}_{\varphi}(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f) \quad (12)$$

Now we will extrapolate the previous formula for a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic manifold, with an atlas having only one global chart, and such that the operator div sends \mathcal{D}_0 in \mathbb{D}^{∞} .

Then, if u and v are elements of \mathcal{D}_0 :

$$\operatorname{div}([u, v]) = (u \cdot \operatorname{div} v) - v \cdot (\operatorname{div} u) \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$$

because $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and div u, div $v \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$.

REMARK 9..2. Without the hypothesis

$$\operatorname{div}:\mathcal{D}_0\to\mathbb{D}^\infty$$

we only have

$$\operatorname{div} u \in \mathbb{D}^{-\infty}$$

But with this hypothesis on div, we have if $u, v \in \mathcal{D}_0$: div $\nabla_u v \in \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$, because

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}(\nabla_{u+v}u+v) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}\nabla_{u}u - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}\nabla_{v}v$$

and we know that (Lemma 3, 4) $\nabla_u u \in \mathcal{D}_0$ if $u \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

Now $\frac{2}{\varphi} \operatorname{div} \{ \varphi \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f \}$, the last item in (12), can be extrapolated by $\operatorname{div} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f$, and as $f \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$, $\operatorname{grad} f \in \mathcal{D}_0$, and $\operatorname{div} (\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f) \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$.

In (12) we have two more items, $L(u \cdot f)$ and $q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u)$. As $u \in \mathcal{D}_0$, and $\operatorname{grad} L(f) \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$ and $u \cdot f \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$, $L(u \cdot f) \in \mathbb{D}^\infty$.

So although quantities like \tilde{R} and L(u) cannot, generally, be defined on such a stochastic manifold, the quantity $\tilde{R}(u, \operatorname{grad} f) + L(u)$ can be given a meaning by

$$q\left(\tilde{R}(u, \operatorname{grad} f) + L(u), \operatorname{grad} f\right) = L(u \cdot f) + q(\operatorname{grad} L(f), u) + \operatorname{div}\left(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f\right)$$
(13)

This extrapolation is legitimate because in the case of the Wiener space "with N dimensions",

$$\varphi = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} W(e_i)^2}$$
$$\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u \cdot e_i \cdot f) e_i$$
$$\operatorname{div} \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ (u \cdot e_i f) W(e_i) + e_i \cdot (u \cdot e_i f) \}$$

In these two last formulas, ∇_u and div are operators on the Wiener space. And

 $\operatorname{div}_{\varphi} \left[\nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f \right] = q(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f) + \operatorname{div}(\nabla_{u} \operatorname{grad} f)$ so when $\varphi = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} W(e_{i})^{2}}$,

$$\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} W(e_i) e_i$$
$$q \left(\operatorname{grad} \log \varphi, \nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f \right) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} W(e_i) \cdot u \cdot (e_i f)$$
$$\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u \cdot (e_i \cdot f)) \cdot e_i$$
$$\operatorname{div} \left(\nabla_u \operatorname{grad} f \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} q \left(\nabla_{e_j} \left((u \cdot (e_i \cdot f)) e_i \right), e_j \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \cdot u \cdot (e_i \cdot f)$$

So the formula (13) is valid when the density is $\varphi = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} W(e_i)^2}$ and it does not depend on N; so it is valid when $N = +\infty$; in this case, the Wiener space comes with the standard quadratic form, $\tilde{R} = \text{Id}$; so L(u) then has meaning, even when $u \in \text{Der}$, because we can give meaning to $\nabla_u \text{grad} f$ with $u \in \text{Der}$, thanks to the extension theorem (tensor product by H).

REMARK 9..3. If $\mathcal{U}(t,\omega)$ is a unitary process, adapted and multiplicator, but not continuous, it is still possible to approximate \mathcal{U} , in the multiplicator sense, with a sequence \mathcal{U}_n of step-processes, adapted and n-uniformly multiplicators.

The proof will use the Egorov theorem.

9.3 Some open questions

- A being an adapted process such that it sends D[∞]-adapted vector fields in D[∞]-adapted vector fields, is A a D[∞]-multiplicator?
- (2) If U, unitary operator, sends D[∞]-vector fields in D[∞]-vector fields, and admits an inverse, is U⁻¹ a multiplicator?
- (3) In the Wiener space case, is any D[∞]-derivation the sum of a vector field and an operator which can be written as div Agrad? We know that if the derivation is adapted with a null divergence, this is true.
- (4) Does the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -dual of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields consist only of \mathbb{D}^{∞} -vector fields?
- (5) Is it possible to generalize the results about operators of the $\mathcal{U}dB$ form with multiples times Brownians?
- (6) Given a Gaussian space Ω, and a bilinear form q on Der^{*}, it is possible to obtain an O.U. operator associated with q, and then a D[∞](q) space. Under which conditions will we have D[∞](q) ⊆ D[∞](Ω)?
- (7) Given the map θ generated by a $\mathcal{U}dB$ type of map, \mathcal{U} being an adapted multiplicator, is θ a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism? We know already that generally, it is not a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -isomorphism.
- (8) In the same setting than in (7), if \mathcal{U} moreover is continuous relatively to t, \mathbb{P} -a.s., then is θ inversible in $L^{\infty 0}$?
- (9) Given a diffeomorphism of D[∞] to D[∞], does it imply the existence of a D[∞]-density?
- (10) Given a derivation on \mathbb{D}^{∞} , is its divergence an item of \mathbb{D}^{∞} ? If (3) is true, then (10) is true.
- (11) If θ is a diffeomorphism generated by a $\mathcal{U}dB$ type of map, of the Wiener in itself, does it induce a diffeomorphism of Wiener^N in itself?
- (12) If we have a *p*-linear form φ on $(\bigotimes \text{Der})^p$ and a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -bilinear positive form q on $\text{Der} \times \text{Der}$, after having chosen a basis of the Cameron-Martin space H, $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_*}$, such that $q(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$, what are the NSC to have

$$\sum_{\left(e_{i_1},\ldots,e_{i_p}\right)}\varphi(e_{i_1},\ldots,e_{i_p})^2\in\mathbb{D}^\infty\quad?$$

- (13) Is it possible to give meaning to the O.U. operator when it acts on a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -derivation δ such that $(O.U)(\delta) \in \text{Der}$? Same question with O.U. acting on Der^{*}.
- (14) If a D[∞]-derivation has the form div Agrad, is the choice for A unique? We know that it is true when the derivation is adapted, with zero divergence.
- (15) If the matrix process defined by the antisymmetrical matrix A is not adapted, is the multiplicator condition on A necessary, so that div Agrad is a derivation on \mathbb{D}^{∞} ?

- (16) Let A be a multiplicator on the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -module $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H), A : \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H) \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$. Can A be written as a finite \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear arrangement of unitary multiplicators?
- (17) Is it possible to generalize to the n- \mathbb{D}^{∞} -linear forms on Der, the approximation theorem 3, 2?
- (18) Given an infinite sequence of morphisms φ_n , from a Wiener \mathcal{W} to a Wiener \mathcal{W} under which conditions can we get an induced \mathbb{D}^{∞} -morphism from $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathcal{W}^{\mathbb{N}}$?
- (19) Given $r \in \mathbb{N}_*$, does a derivation $\delta : \mathbb{D}^{\infty} \to \mathbb{D}^{\infty}$ exist, such that there exists $f \in \mathbb{D}_r^{\infty}$ with $\delta f \in L^{\infty-0}$ and $\delta f \notin \mathbb{D}_1^{\infty}$?
- (20) If we define as a multiplicator, an operator A that sends continuously $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{\infty}(\Omega, H)$, then as in the adapted case, is it enough for A to send continuously $\mathbb{D}^{2}_{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{2}_{\infty}(\Omega, H)$ to be a multiplicator?
- (21) V_n being a Riemannian manifold, we define a random connection on V_n , the randomness according to $\omega \in \mathbb{P}(m_0, V_n)$. Then which results, that were sound for $\mathbb{P}(m_0, V_n)$, remain valid?
- (22) Let us consider a map from a probabilised space to a subset of finite dimensional manifolds. Under which conditions can the graph of this map be endowed with a D[∞]-stochastic atlas?
- (23) Under which conditions on the bilinear positive form q can we generalize the results that were obtained for the standard bilinear form?
- (24) In the case where V_n is not a compact Riemannian manifold, let τ_B be the exit stopping time of the Brownian on V_n , distinct from ∞ when the manifold V_n is not Brownian complete. Does a sequence of stopping times exist, τ_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}_*$, $\tau_j \uparrow \tau_B$, such that on each stochastic interval $[0, \tau_j]$, there exists a \mathbb{D}^{∞} -manifold structure on $\mathbb{P}_{m_0}(V_n, g)$ and that the restriction to $[0, \tau_j]$ of the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic process on $[0, \tau_{j+1}]$ is the \mathbb{D}^{∞} -stochastic structure of $[0, \tau_j]$?

References

- Adams R.A. and Fournier J.F. Sobolev Spaces, *Elsevier*, 2003 (second edition).
- [2] Andersson L. and Peters G. Geometric quantization on Wiener manifolds, in *Stochastic Analysis and Applications, Progress in Probability*, 26, 1991, p. 29-51.
- [3] Campbell R. Les intégrales Euleriennes et leurs applications, *Dunod*, 1966.
- [4] Cruzeiro A.B. and Malliavin P. Renormalized differential geometry on path spaces structural equation, curvature, *J. Funct. Annal.*, 139, 1996, p. 119-181.

[5] Driver B.K.

A Cameron Martin type of quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on a compact Riemannian manifold, *J. Funct. Annal.*, 110, 1992, p. 272-375.

[6] Driver B.K.

Towards Calculus and Geometry on path spaces, in *Stochastic Analysis, Summer Research Institute on Stochastic Analysis, July 11-30, 1993, Cornell University, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc.*, 57, 1995, p. 405-422.

[7] Diver B.K.

The Lie bracket of adapted vector fields on Wiener space, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 39, 1995, p. 179-210.

- [8] Ikeda N. and Watanabe S. Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, North Holland, 1989.
- [9] Kelly John L. and Namioka I. Linear topological spaces, *Springer*, 1963.
- [10] Kuo H.-H.

Integration theory on infinite dimensional manifolds, *Trans. Aer. Math. Soc.*, 159, 1971, p. 57-78.

- [11] Kusuoka S. On the foundations of Wiener-Riemann manifolds, Stoch. Anal., Pitman Res. Notes Math., 200, 1989, p. 130-164.
- [12] Kusuoka S.
 De Rham cohomology on Wiener-Riemann manifolds, in *Proc. IKM, Kyoto 1990, Springer*, p. 1075-1082.
- [13] Malliavin P. Stochastic Analysis, Springer, 1997.
- [14] Nualart D.

The Malliavin Calculus and related topics, Springer, 1995.

- [15] The exterior algebra for Wiemann manifolds, J. Funct. Anal., 28, 1978, p. 279-308.
- [16] Rudin W. Real and complex analysis, *McGraw-Hill*, 1966.
- [17] Russo F. and Vallois P. Forward, backward and symmetric stochastic integration, in *Probability, Theory* and related fields, Springer, 97, 1993, p. 403-421.
- [18] Russo F. and Vallois P. The generalized covariation process and Ito formula, *Stochastic Processes and their applications*, *Elsevier*, 59, 1995, p. 81-104.
- [19] Zähle A.

Forward integrals and stochastic differential equations, *Progress in Probability*, *Birkhäuser*, 52, 2002, p. 293-302.