

Interspecific Introgressive Origin of Genomic Diversity in the House Mouse

Kevin J. Liu^{*}, Ethan Steinberg[†], Alexander Yozzo[†], Ying Song[‡], Michael H. Kohn[‡], and Luay Nakhleh[†] [‡]

^{*}Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824 (Research was performed while KJL was at Rice University), [†]Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, and [‡]Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

We report on a genome-wide scan for introgression in the house mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus*) involving the Algerian mouse (*Mus spretus*), using samples from the ranges of sympatry and allopatry in Africa and Europe. Our analysis reveals wide variability in introgression signatures along the genomes, as well as across the samples. We find that fewer than half of the autosomes in each genome harbor all detectable introgression, while the X chromosome has none. Further, European mice carry more *M. spretus* alleles than the sympatric African ones. Using the length distribution and sharing patterns of introgressed genomic tracts across the samples, we infer, first, that at least three distinct hybridization events involving *M. spretus* have occurred, one of which is ancient, and the other two are recent (one presumably due to warfarin rodenticide selection). Second, several of the inferred introgressed tracts contain genes that are likely to confer adaptive advantage. Third, introgressed tracts might contain driver genes that determine the evolutionary fate of those tracts. Further, functional analysis revealed introgressed genes that are essential to fitness, including the *Vkorc1* gene, which is implicated in rodenticide resistance, and olfactory receptor genes. Our findings highlight the extent and role of introgression in nature, and call for careful analysis and interpretation of house mouse data in evolutionary and genetic studies.

Mus musculus | *Mus spretus* | hybridization | adaptive introgression
| PhyloNet-HMM

Significance Statement

The mouse has been one of the main mammalian model organisms used for genetic and biomedical research. Understanding the evolution of house mouse genomes would shed light not only on genetic interactions and their interplay with traits in the mouse, but would also have significant implications for human genetics and health. Analysis using a recently developed statistical method shows that the house mouse genome is a mosaic that contains previously unrecognized contributions from a different mouse species. We traced these contributions to ancient and recent interbreeding events. Our findings reveal the extent of introgression in an important mammalian genome, and provide an approach for genome-wide scans of introgression in other eukaryotic genomes.

Classical laboratory mouse strains, as well as newly established wild ones are widely used by geneticists for answering a diverse array of questions [1]. Understanding the genome contents and architecture of these strains is very important for studies of natural variation and complex traits, as well as evolutionary studies in general [2]. *Mus spretus*, a sister species of *M. musculus*, impacts the findings in *M. musculus* investigations for at least two reasons. First, it was deliberately interbred with laboratory *M. musculus* strains to introduce genetic variation [3]. Second, *M. m. domesticus* is partially sympatric (naturally co-occurring) with *M. spretus* (Fig. 1).

Recent studies have examined admixture between subspecies of house mice [4, 5, 6, 7], but have not studied introgression with *M. spretus*. In at least one case [4], the in-

trogressive descent origins of the mouse genome were hidden due to data post-processing that masked introgressed genomic regions as missing data. In another study reporting whole-genome sequencing of 17 classical lab strains [5], *M. spretus* was used as an outgroup for phylogenetic analysis. The authors were surprised to find that 12.1% of loci failed to place *M. spretus* as an outgroup to the *M. musculus* clade. The authors concluded that *M. spretus* was not a reliable outgroup, but did not pursue their observation further. On the other hand, in a 2002 study [8], Orth *et al.* compiled data on allozyme, microsatellite, and mitochondrial variation in house mice from Spain (sympatry) and nearby countries in Western and Central Europe. Interestingly then, allele sharing between the species was observed in the range of sympatry but not so outside in the range of allopatry. The studies demonstrated the possibility of natural hybridization between these two sister species. Further, the study of Song *et al.* [9] demonstrated a recent adaptive introgression from *M. spretus* into some *M. m. domesticus* populations in the wild, involving the vitamin K epoxide reductase subcomponent 1 (*Vkorc1*) gene, which was later shown to be more widespread in Europe albeit geographically restricted to parts of southwestern and central Europe [10].

Major, unanswered questions arise from these studies. First, is the vicinity around the *Vkorc1* gene an isolated case of adaptive introgression in the house mouse genome, or do many other such regions exist? Second, is introgression from *M. spretus* into *M. m. domesticus* common outside the range of sympatry? Third, have there been other hybridization events, and in particular, more ancient ones? Fourth, what role do introgressed genes, and more generally, genomic regions, play?

To investigate these open questions, we used genome-wide variation data from 20 *M. m. domesticus* samples (wild and wild-derived) from the ranges of sympatry and allopatry, as well as two *M. spretus* samples. For detecting introgression, we used PhyloNet-HMM [11], a newly developed method for statistical inference of introgression in genomes while accounting for other evolutionary processes, most notably incomplete lineage sorting (ILS).

Our analysis provides answers to the questions posed above. First, we find signatures of introgression in each of the *M. m. domesticus* samples. The amount of introgression varies across the autosomes of each genome, with a few chro-

Reserved for Publication Footnotes

mosome harboring all detectable introgression, and most of the genomes have none. We detected no introgression on the X chromosome. Further, the amount of introgression varied widely across the samples. Our analyses demonstrate introgression outside the range of sympatry. In fact, our results show more signatures of introgression in the genomes of samples from Europe than in those of samples from Africa. For the third question, we utilized the length distribution and sharing patterns of introgressed regions across the samples to show support for at least three hybridization events: an ancient hybridization event that predates the colonization of Europe by *M. m. domesticus* and two more recent events, one of which presumably occurred about 50 years ago and is related to warfarin resistance selection [9]. For the fourth question, our functional analysis of the introgressed genes shows enrichment for certain categories, most notably olfaction—an essential trait for the fitness of rodents. Understanding the genomic architecture and evolutionary history of the house mouse has broad implications on various aspects of evolutionary, genetic, and biomedical research endeavors that use this model organism. The PhyloNet-HMM method [11] can be used to detect introgression in other eukaryotic species, further broadening the impact of this work.

Results

We now describe our findings of introgression within the individual genomes, as well as across the genomes of the 20 *M. m. domesticus* samples (40 haploid genomes). The four African samples as well as the two samples from Spain are sympatric with *M. spretus*, whereas the other samples are allopatric (Fig. 1).

Genome-wide signals of introgression. Our analysis detected introgression in the genomes of all 20 *M. m. domesticus* samples; Fig. 2 (see SI Appendix for complete scans of introgression of all 20 samples). However, the patterns of introgression varied across the chromosomes within each individual genome, as well as across the genomes. In terms of within-genome variability, a few chromosomes in each genome carried almost all of the introgressed regions. For example, all detected introgressed regions resided on five chromosomes in the sample from Spain-RocadelVallès. For all samples, fewer than half the chromosomes of a sample's genome carried any detected introgression (Figures S??–S?? in the SI Appendix). The analysis did not detect any introgression on chromosome X (Fig. S?? in the SI Appendix). Further, in the two samples from Spain and the six Germany-Hamm samples, one or two chromosomes carried over 50% of all detected introgression. Generally, the percentage of introgressed sites in a genome ranged from about 0.02% in a sample from Tunisia to about 0.8% in samples from Germany (Fig. 2). The large extent of detected introgression between *M. spretus* and *M. m. domesticus* seen on chromosome 17 in the samples from Spain (see SI Appendix) merits further investigation. The introgressed regions spatially coincide with the known polymorphic recombination-suppressing inversions and t-haplotypes in house mice [12].

The amount of introgression in the genomes of the 20 samples points qualitatively to three groups of samples: Group I, which includes the two samples from Spain and the six Germany-Hamm samples; Group II, which includes the two other Germany samples and the Italy and Greece samples; and, Group III, which includes the samples from Africa. Variability in the amount of introgression across samples within each group is much smaller than that across groups, as is the

amount of sharing of introgressed regions. Further, Group I has the most introgression and Group III has the least. Notice that all samples within Group I, except for the one from Spain-Arenal, contain the introgression from *M. spretus* that carries *Vkorc1* [9]. Group II contains all the allopatric European mice that do not carry *Vkorc1*, and Group III contains all the sympatric African samples. This categorization guides our results displays and analyses below. These results answer the first two questions we posed above in the affirmative: there are introgressed genomic regions beyond the region that contains *Vkorc1*, and introgression is present in all 20 samples, pointing to potential hybridization outside the range of sympatry. Quantifying how common such hybridization is outside the range of sympatry, though, requires denser sampling that is beyond the scope of this work.

Support for more than a single hybridization event.

To answer the third question of whether multiple, distinct hybridization events involving *M. spretus* and *M. m. domesticus* have occurred, we focused on two analyses: Inspecting the introgressed tract length distribution, where an introgressed tract is defined as a maximally contiguous introgressed region, and inspecting the sharing pattern of introgressed regions across the samples. Repeated back-crossing, recombination, and drift result in fragmentation of introgressed regions, with very long regions pointing to recent hybridization events. On the other hand, selection on an adaptive introgressed region could also maintain it for long periods, confounding the tract length-based analysis of the age of hybridization. However, if a long region is shared across some, but not all, samples from the population, that increases the likelihood of a recent hybridization hypothesis.

For each of the three groups, we plotted the distribution of introgressed tract lengths, where an introgressed tract is defined as a maximally contiguous introgressed region (Fig. 3). The figure shows that Group I contains the only samples that have introgressed tracts of lengths exceeding 4 Mb. All these tracts correspond to the adaptively introgressed region that contains *Vkorc1* between positions 122 Mb and 132 Mb on chromosome 7 (Fig. 4A). The exclusivity of these very long introgressed tracts to Group I points to a very recent hybridization event involving *M. spretus*, in agreement with the assessment of [9]. Except for this group of introgressed tracts, the three distributions are very similar, with an excess of very short tracts and a smaller number of longer tracts (up to 4 Mb). The very short tracts could be a signal of ancient hybridization, or just incorrect inference by the method (detecting very short introgressed regions is very hard due to low signal-to-noise ratio). However, the pattern of sharing of introgressed regions across the samples supports a hypothesis of ancient hybridization, as we now discuss.

Fig. 4 shows examples of three different patterns of introgression across the samples (full genome-wide scans of all samples can be found in the SI Appendix). Fig. 4A shows introgressed tracts that are shared exclusively among samples in Group I (we hypothesize that the Spain-Arenal sample underwent a secondary loss of the introgressed *Vkorc1*-containing region that it once had). As we discussed above, these point to at least one, very recent hybridization event involving *M. spretus*. Fig. 4B shows introgressed tracts that are shared across samples from all three groups. This pattern points to an ancient hybridization event involving *M. spretus* and that precedes the ancestor of all *M. m. domesticus* samples in the study. It is important to note here that this pattern could also be a signature of balancing selection on standing variation prior to the split of *M. musculus* and *M. spretus*. We discuss this possibility in the Discussion section below.

Fig. 4C shows introgressed regions, of considerable length, in the sample from Morocco. This is, again, a signature of a recent hybridization event that is different from that involving the large tracts on chromosome 7 in Group I.

Putting together all the evidence, the data supports a hypothesis of at least three distinct hybridization events. One hybridization event is ancient, predating the colonization of Europe by *M. m. domesticus* upwards of 2,000 years ago [13]. The other two hybridization events are more recent, and one of them presumably occurred about 50 years ago and is related to warfarin resistance selection [9].

Adaptive signals of introgression. Introgressed genomic tracts and the genes they carry are generally assumed to be neutral or deleterious. Further, such tracts would naturally be expected to be present in the genomes of sympatric hybridizing taxa. Consequently, in the samples we considered here, one would expect to find more introgressed tracts, if any, in the sympatric mice (the African and Spanish samples) than in the allopatric ones. However, our results give a very different picture from these expectations. We hypothesize that some of these introgressed tracts have conferred selective advantage on the mice that carry them. For example, the introgressed region on chromosome 7 in Group I contains the *Vkorc1* gene whose introgression and adaptive role were discussed in the context of warfarin resistance selection in [9]. To identify whether other introgressed regions of adaptive role are associated with that *Vkorc1*-containing region, we applied the selective sweep measure of [14] to a comparison of rodenticide-resistant to rodenticide-susceptible wild *M. m. domesticus* samples, which favors detection of the recent rodenticide-related selective sweep (within the last ~ 50 years). Not surprisingly, the selective sweep statistics in the *Vkorc1*-containing region were among the largest of any detected in our study; Fig 4A (see SI Appendix for full results). We also detected selective sweeps outside the *Vkorc1* region.

To assess the potential adaptive benefit of other introgressed regions, we utilized the frequencies of the introgressed regions, as reflected by the sharing patterns. For example, the shared introgressed regions across sympatric and allopatric samples on chromosome 1 and 7, as shown in Fig. 4B, point to a hypothesis of adaptive roles of parts of these regions. To further zoom in on these shared regions, we analyzed the sharing patterns of genes across in the introgressed regions across all samples. Fig. 5 shows the Venn diagram of the sets of introgressed genes in Groups I, II, and III.

Fig. 5 shows that the two European groups have 399 introgressed genes in common, almost twice the number of introgressed genes that are in common between either of them and the African group. We hypothesize that the set of 157 genes that are shared across all three groups contain a subset that we call “driver genes”—those that have driven the maintenance of those introgressed regions for a long time across the samples. In our proposed classification, driver genes would be beneficial upon introgression and would be subject to selection. Genes that are introgressed in one group, but not the others, are potential neutral, linked “passenger” genes. While passenger genes would be expected to be neutral, they could also introduce new polymorphisms into *M. m. domesticus* genomes and could become subject to selection at some point during its sojourn time.

Individual driver genes on introgressed tracts are not expected to result in functional enrichment scores. For example, the introgressed region that contains *Vkorc1* on chromosome 7 has many other genes, yet is not enriched for any functional

categories. On the other hand, introgressed tracts with an abundance of genes from a given family tend to result in significant enrichment scores of the tracts. We illustrate this with two examples related to olfaction, a multi-genic trait known to be essential for the fitness of rodents (full lists of the genes in introgressed regions and their Gene Ontology functional enrichment are given in the SI Appendix). The introgressed tract on chromosome 1 that is shared by mice from Africa and Europe, including allopatric mice, is significantly enriched ($P= 5.9E-8$ after Benjamini-Hochberg [15] correction) for genes involved in olfactory transduction and encode olfactory receptor genes (13 out of 36 genes) located on the contiguous tracts (Fig. 4B). It is conceivable that this group of genes, or a subset of these, may have acted as a driver for this introgressed fragment carrying at least another set of 23 passenger genes. Similarly, the region on chromosome 7 shared by the African samples is highly enriched ($P=3.6E-6$) for genes involved in olfactory transduction and encode at least 15 olfactory receptors amongst at least 62 genes situated on this tract. Evidently, large tracts have become polymorphic for introgressed and native repertoires of olfactory receptor alleles.

Discussion

The biological significance of hybridization and introgression in the evolution of new traits in natural eukaryotic populations has ignited much research into these two processes [16]. Introgressed genetic material can be neutral and go unnoticed in terms of phenotypes but can also be adaptive and affect phenotypes [9, 17]. Notably, these processes have played a crucial role in the domestication of plants and animals, and appear to be common in natural populations of plants [16]. For example, the importance of introgression has become a central discussion point when reconstructing the evolution of primates, including humans [18]. Further, it has now become clear that the genomes of model organisms prior to their adoption as laboratory models by humans have been shaped by hybridization and introgression in their natural ancestral populations, such as in mice and macaques [8, 19, 20]. Such influx of genetic variation of inter-subspecific or inter-specific origins is expected to continue, as wild-derived strains of mice will contribute to the collaborative cross in laboratory mice, and Primate Research centers continue to rely on imports of macaques from Asia.

Classical laboratory mouse strains, as well as newly established wild ones are widely used by geneticists for answering a diverse array of questions [1]. Understanding the genome contents and architecture of these strains is very important for studies of natural variation and of complex traits, as well as evolutionary studies in general [2]. For example, large-scale efforts have been made to decode the genetic background of most commonly used laboratory mouse strains, including inbred and wild-derived strains of *M. m. domesticus*, and of other other subspecies of the laboratory mouse, including *M. m. musculus* and *M. m. castaneus* [2]. Amongst the numerous insights of the evolutionary genomic analyses of the laboratory mouse and its wild relatives were that inter-subspecific introgression between strains has been common [2]. In addition to understanding the ancestry and mosaic structure of laboratory mouse genomes, detecting introgression is also of biomedical significance. In a recent study [9], the authors discovered in a mouse model resistance to the commonly used anticoagulant warfarin [21] through the acquisition of a mutated version of a key enzyme of the vitamin K cycle, *Vkorc1*, that is targeted by warfarin. While previous genome-wide studies in mice focused on polymorphism and introgression

within the *M. musculus* group [2, 4], we focused here on introgression of *M. spretus* genomic material into the genomes of several *M. m. domesticus* samples from the regions of sympatry and allopatry in Africa and Europe. These analyses are now enabled by our recently developed method for statistical inference of introgression in the presence of other evolutionary events, most notably incomplete lineage sorting [11].

In terms of the debate surrounding the importance of introgression in animal evolution an important result of our genome-wide study is that it is not only a recent strong and potentially human-driven selection (warfarin rodenticide and *Vkorc1*) that has promoted introgression in natural populations of mice. Hybridization and introgression between *M. spretus* and *M. m. domesticus* appear to be natural processes spanning at least several thousand years. Nevertheless, even from a rather dense genome-wide survey such as ours it is difficult to discern how frequent introgression occurs. This is because most hybridization does not lead to introgression, as drift and selection tend to remove introgressed regions. However, here we infer at least three hybridization events, one in the distant past and two of more recent timing (including the introgression of *Vkorc1*). We suspect that this is an underestimate of the frequency of hybridization and introgression between *M. spretus* and *M. m. domesticus* in the wild since the species have established secondary contact a few thousand years ago when house mice reached the Mediterranean Basin on their westward spread into Northern Africa and Europe.

In terms of a role of selection on introgressed tracts, our genome-wide scan revealed informative patterns. First, introgression is limited to a few autosomes and absent from the X chromosome. This is consistent with a strong role of purifying selection and drift in the removal of introgressed material. We find it noteworthy that tracts are very frequently found in the homozygous state, which indicates that introgressed variants can be recessive as well as dominant. The sharing of tracts across samples is consistent with positive selection on introgressed material (adaptive introgression). Such tract sharing is observed over long time scales, such as for chromosome 1, as well as over shorter time scales and locally, as is suggested by tract sharing by subsets of samples from presumably local populations such as Hamm in Germany or African samples. Finally, it is common to infer that introgressed regions are adaptive if these are found outside the area of sympatry. As we observed numerous introgressed tracts, both presumably old and young as judged by their tract lengths, it is reasonable to assume that selection might have favored the spread of some of these variants into the allopatric range of house mice.

Selection could have confounding effects on our analyses and inferences. Specifically, it is important to note that various evolutionary events could give rise to genomic patterns and signals that resemble those created by introgression, including incomplete lineage sorting (ILS), convergence, and ancestral polymorphism coupled with balancing selection [22]. Indeed, all these processes could confound the detection of introgression [23]. The introgression detection method [11] that we use here accounts for ILS (hence, ancestral polymorphism that is not under balancing selection), and employs finite-site models in a statistical inference framework, which helps account for convergence at the nucleotide level. Currently, methods that automatically distinguish introgression from balancing selection do not exist however. For example, recent studies of adaptive introgression [24, 25] focused solely on introgression. Our current analysis of multiple *M. m. domesticus* from the ranges of sympatry and allopatry in Africa and Europe mostly point to a very polymorphic signal of introgression across these samples which, consequently, decreases the likelihood that ancestral polymorphism with balancing se-

lection acting on it could explain the patterns we see in the data. We also scanned genomes of samples from *M. m. musculus*, which is a sister subspecies of *M. m. domesticus* (Fig. S?? in the SI Appendix). As the figure shows, no introgression was detected in the *M. m. musculus* sample (a similar result to that shown in [11]), which further weakens the plausibility of balancing selection acting from the most recent common ancestor of *M. musculus* and *M. spretus* until the present day. Furthermore, many of the introgressed regions we detect are much longer than regions with balancing selection signatures reported in previous studies. For example, in humans, DeGiorgio *et al.* reported that the maximum contiguous genomic region with balancing selection signal was of length ~ 40 kb (near the *FANK1* gene), and which “surprised” them because it was “abnormally large for balancing selection” [26]. Introgressed tract lengths on the order of megabases would require much stronger balancing selection than have been previously reported in the literature. Still, for some of the shared introgressed regions, we cannot rule out the possibility of balancing selection, as an alternative to introgression. While our method for detecting introgression can be confounded by balancing selection, a similar issue might arise for methods that detect balancing selection. For example, DeGiorgio *et al.* noted recently that gene flow is very likely to confound their test for balancing selection [26]. New methods need to be developed to account for the two processes simultaneously, as detecting balancing selection could shed light on the evolution of species [27].

Adaptive introgressive hybridization may be an important source for novel functional genetic variants, and combinations thereof, that encode novel traits upon which selection could act. Here, one objective of the study is to discern whether multiple introgressed genes encode the previously reported warfarin resistance trait. Our functional annotation of the introgressed tract did not reveal any discernible enrichment for genes that clearly modulate warfarin resistance. Moreover, we did not observe a pattern where all mice that carry the *Vkorc1* introgression share introgressed tracts of comparable length. We therefore conclude, that, until further samples are analyzed and tracts are annotated in terms of function more comprehensively, the adaptive introgressive hybridization leading to warfarin resistance in house mice requires the introgression of only the *Vkorc1*. Whether the introgressed *Vkorc1* interacts with the native genes in pathways resulting in warfarin resistance cannot be deduced from our analysis at this time.

We observed that the raw material for a complex trait known to be important to rodent life history could be introgressed. We noticed the large numbers of olfactory receptor genes for which now polymorphic and divergent copies segregate in the populations of house mice [28]. It is known that both minor nucleotide differences as well as larger scale differences in the olfactory receptor repertoire have measurable phenotypic consequences in mice [28]. Thus, we hypothesize that wild mice from Europe that have experienced gene flow, such as seen for chromosome 1 enriched for olfactory receptor clusters, indeed may be the subject of natural selection.

Materials and Methods

Our study utilized two *M. spretus* samples and twenty *M. m. domesticus* samples from the ranges of sympatry and allopatry, that were either newly sampled or from previous publications. For details on compiling the sequence data, genotyping and phasing it, as well as single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling, see the SI Appendix. We used PhyloNet-HMM [11] to scan *M. musculus* genomes for segments with introgressed origin from *M. spretus*. For every haploid genome *M. m. domesticus*, we analyzed it along with the the *M. spretus* genomes and detected introgression. See the SI Appendix for full details on how the analysis was

done. We used XP-CLR [14] version 1.0 to scan for selective sweep patterns. The method was run using its default settings. See the SI Appendix for the set of samples used in these scans.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Yun Yu for help with the PhyloNet-HMM software. The work was partially supported by R01-HL091007-01A1 to MHK from the

National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, and by startup funds from Rice University to MHK. LN was supported in part by NSF grants DBI-1062463 and CCF-1302179, and grant R01LM009494 from the National Library of Medicine (NLM). KJL was partially supported by a training fellowship from the Keck Center of the Gulf Coast Consortia, on the NLM Training Program in Biomedical Informatics, NLM T15LM007093.

- Guénet, J.-L & Bonhomme, F. (2003) Wild mice: an ever-increasing contribution to a popular mammalian model. *Trends in Genetics* 19, 24–31.
- Yang, H, Bell, T. A, Churchill, G. A. & Pardo-Manuel de Villena, F. (2007) On the subspecific origin of the laboratory mouse. *Nature Genetics* 39, 1100–1107.
- Bonhomme, F, Martin, S, & Thaler, L. (1978) Hybridation en laboratoire de *Mus musculus* L. et *Mus spretus* Lataste. *Experientia* 34, 1140.
- Yang, H, Wang, J. R, Didion, J. P, Buus, R. J, Bell, T. A, Welsh, C. E, Bonhomme, F, Yu, A. H.-T, Nachman, M. W, Pialek, J, Tucker, P, Boursot, P, McMillan, L, Churchill, G. A, & de Villena, F. P.-M. (2011) Subspecific origin and haplotype diversity in the laboratory mouse. *Nat Genet* 43, 648–655.
- Keane, T. M, Goodstadt, L, Danecek, P, White, M. A, Wong, K, Yalcin, B, Heger, A, Agam, A, Slater, G, Goodson, M, Furlotte, N. A, Eskin, E, Nellaker, C, Whitley, H, Cleak, J, Janowitz, D, Hernandez-Piiego, P, Edwards, A, Belgard, T. G, Oliver, P. L, McIntyre, R. E, Bhomra, A, Nicod, J, Gan, X, Yuan, W, van der Weyden, L, Steward, C. A, Bala, S, Stalker, J, Mott, R, Durbin, R, Jackson, I. J, Czechanski, A, Guerra-Assuncao, J. A, Donahue, L. R, Reinholdt, L. G, Payseur, B. A, Ponting, C. P, Birney, E, Flint, J, & Adams, D. J. (2011) Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation. *Nature* 477, 289–294.
- Staubach, F, Lorenc, A, Messer, P. W, Tang, K, Petrov, D. A. & Tautz, D. (2012) Genome patterns of selection and introgression of haplotypes in natural populations of the house mouse (*Mus musculus*). *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002891.
- Teeter, K. C, Payseur, B. A, Harris, L. W, Bakewell, M. A, Thibodeau, L. M, OBrien, J. E, Krenz, J. G, Sans-Fuentes, M. A, Nachman, M. W, & Tucker, P. K. (2008) Genome-wide patterns of gene flow across a house mouse hybrid zone. *Genome Research* 18, 67–76.
- Orth, A, Belkhir, K, Britton-Davidian, J, Boursot, P, Benazzou, T, & Bonhomme, F. (2002) Natural hybridization between two sympatric species of mice, *Mus musculus domesticus* L. and *Mus spretus* Lataste. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 325, 89–97.
- Song, Y, Endepols, S, Klemann, N, Richter, D, Matuschka, F.-R, Shih, C.-H, Nachman, M. W, & Kohn, M. H. (2011) Adaptive introgression of anticoagulant rodent poison resistance by hybridization between old world mice. *Current Biology* 21, 1296–1301.
- Pelz, H.-J, Rost, S, Muller, E, Esther, A, Ulrich, R, & Muller, C. (2012) Distribution and frequency of VKORC1 sequence variants conferring resistance to anticoagulants in *Mus musculus*. *Pest Management Science* 68, 254–259.
- Liu, K, Dai, J, Truong, K, Song, Y, Kohn, M. H, & Nakhleh, L. (2014) An HMM-based comparative genomic framework for detecting introgression in eukaryotes. *PLoS Computational Biology* 10, e1003649.
- Hammer, M. F, Schimenti, J, & Silver, L. M. (1989) Evolution of mouse chromosome 17 and the origin of inversions associated with t haplotypes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 86, 3261–3265.
- Auffray, J.-C & Britton-davidian, J. (1992) When did the house mouse colonize Europe? *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 45, 187–190.
- Chen, H, Patterson, N, & Reich, D. (2010) Population differentiation as a test for selective sweeps. *Genome Research* 20, 393–402.
- Benjamini, Y & Hochberg, Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)* 57, 289–300.
- Arnold, M. L. (2004) Transfer and origin of adaptations through natural hybridization: were anderson and stebbins right? *The Plant Cell Online* 16, 562–570.
- Schmidt, L, Fradkin, R, Harrison, J, & Rossan, R. N. (1977) Differences in the virulence of plasmodium knowlesi for macaca irus (fascicularis) of philippine and malayan origins. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 26, 612.
- Arnold, M. L & Meyer, A. (2006) Natural hybridization in primates: one evolutionary mechanism. *Zoology* 109, 261–276.
- Stevison, L & Kohn, M. (2008) Determining genetic background in captive stocks of cynomolgus macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*). *Journal of Medical Primatology* 37, 311–317.
- Osada, N, Uno, Y, Mineta, K, Kameoka, Y, Takahashi, I, & Terao, K. (2010) Ancient genome-wide admixture extends beyond the current hybrid zone between *Macaca fascicularis* and *M. mulatta*. *Molecular Ecology* 19, 2884–2895.
- Scully, M. (2002) Warfarin therapy. *The Biochemist* 24, 15–7.
- Hedrick, P. W. (2013) Adaptive introgression in animals: examples and comparison to new mutation and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. *Molecular ecology* 22, 4606–4618.
- Nakhleh, L. (2013) Computational approaches to species phylogeny inference and gene tree reconciliation. *Trends in ecology & evolution* 28, 719–728.
- Green, R. E, Krause, J, Briggs, A. W, Maricic, T, Stenzel, U, Kircher, M, Patterson, N, Li, H, Zhai, W, Fritz, M. H.-Y, Hansen, N. F, Durand, E. Y, Malaspinas, A.-S, Jensen, J. D, Marques-Bonet, T, Alkan, C, Prfer, K, Meyer, M, Burbano, H. A, Good, J. M, Schultz, R, Aximu-Petri, A, Butthof, A, Hber, B, Hffner, B, Siegemund, M, Weihmann, A, Nusbaum, C, Lander, E. S, Russ, C, Novod, N, Affourtit, J, Egholm, M, Verna, C, Rudan, P, Brajkovic, D, Kucan, , Guic, I, Doronichev, V. B, Golovanova, L. V, Lalueza-Fox, C, de la Rasilla, M, Fortea, J, Rosas, A, Schmitz, R. W, Johnson, P. L. F, Eichler, E. E, Falush, D, Birney, E, Mullikin, J. C, Slatkin, M, Nielsen, R, Kelso, J, Lachmann, M, Reich, D, & Pbo, S. (2010) A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. *Science* 328, 710–722.
- The Heliconious Genome Consortium. (2012) Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species. *Nature* 487, 94–98.
- DeGiorgio, M, Lohmueller, K, & Nielsen, R. (2014) A model-based approach for identifying signatures of ancient balancing selection in genetic data. *PLoS Genetics* 10, e1004561.
- Leffler, E. M, Gao, Z, Pfeifer, S, Ségurel, L, Auton, A, Venn, O, Bowden, R, Bontrop, R, Wall, J. D, Sella, G, Donnelly, P, McVean, G, & Przeworski, M. (2013) Multiple instances of ancient balancing selection shared between humans and chimpanzees. *Science* 339, 1578–1582.
- Young, J. M & Trask, B. J. (2002) The sense of smell: genomics of vertebrate odorant receptors. *Human Molecular Genetics* 11, 1153–1160.
- Palomaa, L, Justob, E, & Vargasa, J. (2009) *Mus spretus* (Rodentia: Muridae). *Mammalian Species* 840, 1–10.




Fig. 1. Population ranges and samples used in our study. The population range of *M. spretus* is shown in green [29], and the population range of *M. m. domesticus* includes the blue regions, the range of *M. spretus*, and beyond [1]. Wild *M. m. domesticus* and *M. spretus* samples were obtained from locations marked with red circles and purple diamonds, respectively. The samples originated from within and outside the area of sympatry between the two species. (See Supplementary Table ?? for additional details about the samples used in our study.)




Fig. 2. Amount of introgressed genetic material in the 20 *M. m. domesticus* samples. (A) The amount of introgressed genetic material in Mb per sample. (B) The amount of introgressed genetic material as a percentage of the genome length per sample.




Fig. 3. Distributions of introgressed tract lengths detected in the 40 haploid genomes. (A) Group I: The six Germany-Hamm samples and two Spain samples. (B) Group II: The four Italy, two Greece, and two other Germany samples. (C) Group III: The Algeria, Morocco, and two Tunisia samples. Note the x-axis scale difference between panel A and the other two panels. (See main text for the rationale behind the grouping.)




Fig. 4. Three different introgression patterns across the 20 *M. m. domesticus* samples. (A) Introgressed regions that are exclusive to the Germany-Hamm and Spain samples. (B) Introgressed regions that are shared across the samples. (C) Introgressed regions that are exclusive to African samples. For each sample, scans from both haploid chromosomes are shown. A posterior decoding cutoff of 95% was used to declare a site introgressed (see main text for more details). The red squares on the x-axis of the top part of each panel denotes the locations of genes in introgressed regions (given the scale, the squares appear overlapping, but the genes are not overlapping). The location of *Vkorc1* on chromosome 7 is indicated with a dashed vertical line in (A). The bottom part of each panel shows selective sweep statistics, which are normalized XP-CLR scores [14] based on a comparison of rodenticide-resistant to rodenticide-susceptible wild *M. m. domesticus* samples.




Fig. 5. Venn diagram of the three sets of genes in introgressed regions in Groups I, II, and III of samples. Introgression was called based on a posterior decoding probability cutoff of 95%. For each circle in the Venn diagram, two quantities are shown: (top) the number of genes, and (bottom) the percentage of all introgressed genes found in our study.