

Patterns of positive selection in seven ant genomes

Julien Roux^{1,2,5,7}, Eyal Privman^{1,2,6}, Sébastien Moretti^{1,2,3}, Josephine T. Daub^{1,2,4}, Marc Robinson-Rechavi^{1,2}, Laurent Keller¹

¹ Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

² SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

³ Vital-IT group, SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴ CMPG, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

⁵ Present address: Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

⁶ Present address: Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, University of Haifa, Israel

⁷ Corresponding author: Julien.Roux@unil.ch

Short title

Positive selection in ant genomes

Keywords

Comparative genomics, sociality, d_N/d_S , aging, ageing, lifespan, immunity, neurogenesis, olfactory receptors, metabolism, Hymenoptera, bees, *Drosophila*

Authors contributions

JR, EP and LK designed the study; JR and EP analyzed data; JTD, SM and MRR contributed code or programs; JR and LK wrote the manuscript.

Abstract

The evolution of ant species is marked by remarkable adaptations that allowed the development of very complex social systems. To identify how ant-specific adaptations are associated with specific patterns of molecular evolution we searched for signs of positive selection on amino-acid changes in proteins during the evolution of the ant lineage. We identified 24 functional categories of genes which were enriched for positively selected genes in the ant lineage. We also reanalyzed genome-wide dataset in bees and flies with the same methodology to check if genes under positive selection in ants were also under positive selection in the other analyzed lineages. Notably, genes implicated in immunity were enriched for positively selected genes in the three lineages, ruling out the hypothesis that the evolution of hygienic behaviors in social insects caused a major relaxation of selective pressure on this set of genes. Our scan also indicated that genes implicated in neurogenesis and olfaction started to undergo increased positive selection before the evolution of sociality in Hymenoptera, although it is assumed that the main challenges of the olfactory and neural systems in this lineage occurred with the evolution of social living. Finally, the comparison between these three lineages allowed us to pinpoint molecular evolution patterns that were specific to the ant lineage. In particular, there was relaxed selective pressure for genes related to metabolism in ants but not in bees and flies, possibly reflecting the loss of flight in ant workers. By contrast, there was recurrent positive selection on genes with mitochondrial functions specifically in ants, suggesting that the activity of mitochondria was improved during ant evolution. This might have been an important step toward the evolution of extreme lifespan that is a hallmark of this lineage.

Author summary

Positive selection refers to the fast fixation of advantageous alleles in a population. Now that the genomes of seven ant species have been sequenced, it is of particular interest to identify which of the amino-acid changes they harbor in protein sequences were positively selected, since these ultimately underlie the spectacular phenotypic adaptations of the ant lineage. We used phylogenetic methods to detect genes that were affected by positive selection during the evolution of the ant lineage and then identified which functional categories of genes experienced the highest rates of positive selection.

We also performed a similar scan for positive selection in a dataset of 10 bees, and a dataset of 12 flies, which provided supplementary insights into the evolutionary histories of the genes analyzed. For example, functional categories of genes enriched for positively selected genes in the three lineages are unlikely to be associated with ant-specific adaptations. This design allowed us to detect ant-specific adaptive evolution, notably for mitochondrial functions.

Introduction

Ants constitute an extremely successful lineage of animals which has colonized virtually all ecosystems on Earth [1]. The pivotal feature at the basis of this ecological success is their highly social system with a reproductive division of labor, where one or a few queens specialize in reproduction, while workers conduct all the colony tasks such as brood care, nest maintenance and food collection. In this paper we take advantage of the recent availability of seven sequenced ant genomes [2-7] to perform a genome-wide scan for positive selection on amino-acid changes in protein coding genes during the evolution of the ant lineage. We addressed three main questions.

First, we compared the amount of positive selection in categories of genes with different functions. Previous large-scale scans for positive selection in animals indicated that positive selection predominantly affects some types of genes, such as those involved in evolutionary arms races, sexual selection and conflicts with pathogens (e.g., [8-14]). Such genes typically experienced positive selection events recurrently on broad evolutionary time scales and it is likely that they contribute to a fraction of the signal of positive selection identified in the ant lineage. To identify these genes, we reasoned that they likely also were under positive selection in other insect lineages. We carried out similar scans for positive selection on two outgroup datasets, composed of 12 *Drosophila* species (all solitary)[8] and 10 bee species (including solitary, primitively social and highly social species)[9], with the aim of looking for shared signal between the three datasets. An example of genes expected to be repeatedly under positive selection in insects are genes of the immune system, which are classical hits of positive selection scans [8,15]. On the basis of the observed smaller set of immunity genes in the honeybee compared to *D. melanogaster*, it was previously suggested that selective pressure on immunity genes could have been relaxed in social insects, perhaps because they have social hygienic behaviors [2,7,16-19]. However, the addition of several newly sequenced insect genomes revealed that the important gene complement in fruit fly is a derived character [5,20,21]. We used our datasets to test whether there was evidence for weaker positive selection on immunity genes in ants and bees.

Second, we aimed at detecting sets of genes involved in functions likely to reflect ant-specific adaptations. We focused on three main adaptations. The first relates to the wide range of coordinated collective behaviors associated with division of labor in ant societies. Complex cooperative behaviors occur among nestmates for tasks such as

communal nest construction and defense, brood rearing, social hygienic behavior and collective foraging [1]. The evolution of these social interactions may translate into increased rates of positive selection on nervous system-related genes, as documented in primitively social lineages of bees [9,21] which evolved social behaviors independently from ants. Complex collective behaviors also require efficient communication systems that are essentially mediated by chemical signaling in social insects. Ants identify nestmates from non-nestmates as well as ants from other species through their scent. Individuals also use various types of pheromones as alarm signals and to mark their trails and territories. It was therefore suggested that genes involved in pheromone production and chemical signaling should experience increased positive selection in ants compared to solitary insects [4,6,7,22-25]. A manually curated dataset of 873 olfactory receptor genes allowed us to conduct a test for increased positive selection on these genes in ants.

The second type of potential molecular adaptation relates to phenotypic plasticity among castes. Although queens and workers develop from totipotent eggs that usually do not differ genetically [26], they display dramatic morphological and physiological differences. Queens are often larger, have wings and have much more highly developed ovaries than workers which often are sterile and lack a sperm storage organ [1]. In most species the difference between castes result from developmental differences induced by environmental factors rather than genetic differences [26-29]. We therefore investigated whether there was evidenced for increased positive selection in genes and pathways potentially involved in developmental plasticity [17,21].

Finally, a third and interesting type of adaptation relates to the extremely long lifespan of ant queens, which can live more than 20 years in some species [30,31]. This corresponds to a 100-fold increase in lifespan compared to solitary insects. The variation in lifespan among castes is also remarkable, with queens living up to 10 times longer than workers and 500 times longer than males. So far, a limited number of molecular candidates have been identified to explain this pattern, mainly inspired from work in *Drosophila* [31,32]. We therefore investigated if there was evidence of positive selection in the sets of genes that have previously been associated with aging in model organisms. It is possible that positive selection acted on the same sets of genes in the bee lineage, where queens also live longer than other castes and than solitary insects, but a similar signal should not be observed in short-lived species of the *Drosophila* lineage. To

further assess the link between positive selection and aging, we investigated whether genes that experienced positive selection in ants were the same as genes which were reported to be differentially expressed in *Drosophila melanogaster* in old compared to young individuals and in oxygen-stressed individuals compared to controls [33].

Finally, we investigated whether there was a difference in the level of positive selection between genes showing biased expression in queens, workers and males. The efficiency of natural selection acting on an advantageous mutation—and thus the probability of its long-term fixation—is proportional to its effect on fitness [34]. The fitness effects of mutations in genes that are expressed only in non-reproductive workers are indirect, so everything else being equal, selection should be less efficient at fixing them than similar mutations on genes expressed in queens and males. This could translate into lower levels of positive selection on genes expressed specifically in workers compared to males and queens [35,36]. We therefore analyzed previously published microarray data from the red fire ant *Solenopsis invicta* [37], and compared the amount of positive selection between groups of genes with caste-biased expression.

Results

Pervasive positive selection detected in ants

To detect positive selection episodes that acted on protein coding genes during the evolution of the ant lineage, the branch-site test of Codeml was run on 4,261 protein alignments of single-copy orthologs composed of at most seven ant and five outgroup species (materials and methods). Some alignments included fewer species because unreliably aligned sequences were removed by quality filtering (materials and methods). All branches that led to ant species in each gene family tree (including 2 hymenopteran and 13 ant branches, Figure 1) were successively tested for the presence of episodic positive selection. As many as 1,832 single-copy orthologs families (43%) displayed signal of positive selection (at 10% FDR) in at least one of the branches tested (Table S1). In 91% of the significant alignments, at least one residue targeted by positive selection could be identified with a posterior probability greater than 0.9 (Bayes Empirical Bayes test [38], Figure 2). There was evidence for positive selection in at least one branch of the ant lineage for 830 (20%) of the genes analyzed. For 74% of them positive selection was specific to ants and not observed in the basal hymenopteran

branches #7 and #8 (Figure 1). The 10 gene families with most significant test values in the ant lineage are given in Table 1.

The proportion of positively selected genes varied significantly across the different branches tested (χ^2 test $p < 10^{-15}$; Table 2), similarly to previous reports in experimental and simulated datasets. This likely results at least in part from lower power of the branch-site test in shorter branches [10,13,39-42]. Consistent with this view, there was a significant correlation in our dataset between the length of tested branches and the test score (log-likelihood ratio; Spearman correlation $\rho = 0.41$, $p < 10^{-15}$). Additional analyses ruled out that false positives caused by selective constraints acting on synonymous sites, saturation of synonymous substitution rate d_s or sequencing errors could be responsible for this pattern (supplementary text).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that the evolution of the ant lineage was marked by the action of positive selection. The proportion of significant genes was similar in magnitude in the outgroup dataset of 10 bees analyzed with the same methodology (20%; Table S2), and even higher in the outgroup dataset of 12 flies (36%; Table S3), possibly reflecting biological differences between the lineages, such as effective population size N_E , but also differences in the topology of the species trees that influence the power to detect positive selection events in protein alignments (supplementary text).

To compare the amount of positive selection experienced by different functional categories of genes, we classified genes based on their Gene Ontology (GO) annotation in *D. melanogaster* orthologs and performed a gene set enrichment test using for each gene family a score reflecting the overall occurrence of positive selection in the ant lineage (materials and methods; supplementary text). Such an approach of grouping genes enables a more sensitive search for positive selection, while buffering the impact of potential false positives (e.g., from remaining alignment errors or GC-biased gene conversion events which are difficult to distinguish from real positive selection signals, but should not affect consistently genes with similar biological function). Twenty-four functional categories of genes were significantly enriched for positively selected genes in the ant lineage (at 20% FDR; Table 3). A large number of them (11 out of 24) were related to mitochondria and mitochondrial activity. The other significant categories were related to nervous system development, behavior, immunity, protein translation and degradation, cell membrane, and receptor activity.

Thus positive selection seemingly targeted a diverse array of gene functions during the evolution of the ant lineage. In the rest of the manuscript, we made use of additional datasets to further investigate and interpret the above reported results in light of adaptations in the ant lineage.

Usual targets of positive selection in insects

Previous studies have uncovered similarities across lineages in the functional classes of genes under positive selection. For example, genes involved in defense and immunity underwent strong positive selection in diverse insect lineages [8,21] and even more distant lineages such as mammals, fish and urchins [10,14,41,43]. However, a systematic analysis of the usual targets of positive selection in insects has not yet been carried out, in part because genome-wide scans for positive selection were performed separately and often with different methods in different lineages. For example, a positive selection scan on the fly lineage [8] used the site test of Codeml [44], which is aimed at detecting recurrent positive selection events affecting particular sites of a protein, while a scan on the bee lineage used the branch test [45], which tends to detect positive selection events affecting a large number of sites of a protein but during a limited period of time. These two methods were shown to be less powerful than the branch-site test used in this study [46,47].

To identify GO categories that experienced positive selection not only in ants but also in other insects, we reanalyzed the fly and bee datasets with the same methodology used for the ant dataset (see Material and Methods). These analyses revealed 106 GO categories significantly enriched for the flies and 38 for the bees (Tables S4 and S5). We investigated which categories were enriched for positively selected genes in the three lineages. The resulting shared categories could be classified into two broad groups of functions, proteolysis and response to stimulus, and accounted for 5 of the 24 GO categories enriched in the ant lineage.

The overrepresentation of genes involved in proteolysis among genes showing signs of positive selection in ants, flies and bees was apparent from an enrichment of GO categories such as “metallopeptidase activity”, “peptidase regulator activity” and “hydrolyase activity”. Proteolysis genes are involved in a wide range of processes, including immunity [48], reproduction [49], digestion [3,50], hormone [3] or venom production [7,16,20,51], catalytic activity or post-translational control [52]. A recent study in

Drosophila indicated that both sexual selection and immune processes were important forces that influenced the evolutionary history of this group of proteins [49].

The second group of genes enriched for positive selection signal in ants, flies and bees were involved in response to stimuli. The GO categories “response to biotic stimulus” and “response to other organism” were enriched in flies, and the GO category “response to hormone stimulus” was enriched in bees. In ants, “response to ecdysone” and “response to steroid hormone stimulus” were marginally significant (FDR = 21%; Table S6). There was also an enrichment of the GO category “receptor activity” in the three lineages (as well as the GO categories “transmembrane receptor activity” and “olfactory receptor activity” in flies), potentially linked to the pivotal role of this class of genes in the interactions between individuals and with the environment. However, receptor genes lack complete annotation in most available insect genomes, resulting in insufficient resolution to investigate whether the same receptor functions were selected in different lineages (see detailed analysis of olfactory receptors below).

Some functions were enriched for positively selected genes in only two of the three lineages. These included a set of GO categories related to immunity that were enriched in ants and flies, and a set of categories related to metabolism enriched in flies and bees. First, positive selection on immunity-related functions was apparent in ants with a significant enrichment for the GO categories “immune system development” and “hemopoietic or lymphoid organ development”, the organ that produces during larval development the cells mediating the immune response in insects [53]. Six GO categories related to immunity were also enriched in flies (e.g., “defense response”, “immune system process”, “regulation of antimicrobial humoral response”, “regulation of immune effector process”). The lack of significant enrichment for such categories in bees might reflect a lack of power of the gene set enrichment test, because the set of immunity genes is small in the honeybee [16] and the dataset analyzed was further depleted in genes with immunity-related functions (supplementary text and Tables S7). Importantly, there was a trend in the direction of an enrichment, although non significant, for the majority (5 of 7) tested GO categories related to immunity in bees (data not shown). Taken together, these results suggest that immunity is a common target of positive selection in insects, questioning the hypothesis that hygienic behaviors in social insects could have relaxed the selective pressure on immune genes because this should be reflected in reduced levels of positive selection on these genes [2,5,7,16-21].

The second set of GO categories enriched in two of the three insect lineages included various metabolic processes and their regulators with metabolism of chitin, aminoglycan, carbohydrate, polysaccharide, glucose, hexose, glycerolipid, phosphatidylinositol being enriched in flies and metabolism of lipid, amino-acid, nucleotide, phosphorus being enriched in bees. The lack of enrichment of these GO categories in ants cannot be explained by a lower power of the enrichment test in ants because genes annotated with metabolic functions were not depleted in the ant dataset (Table S8). Moreover, there was no evidence for a bias in the enrichment direction for GO categories related to metabolism (57 of the tested categories were in the direction of an enrichment for positively selected genes, 48 were in the direction of a depletion; Fisher test $p=0.13$). The lower levels of positive selection in ants may reflect a relaxation of selective pressure on genes involved in metabolic processes.

To sum up, we identified classes of genes usually under positive selection in insects, and found that they accounted for 7 out of 24 GO categories enriched for positively selected genes in ants. The remaining set of GO categories was enriched only in ants, possibly reflecting ant-specific adaptations.

Social behaviors

It has been suggested that the acquisition of novel behaviors in social insects could be tracked down to molecular changes affecting nervous system development and function [21]. We identified two enriched GO categories that potentially support a role of positive selection on the evolution of neural systems in ants.

First, there was an enrichment for “adult locomotory behavior” among positively selected genes during ant evolution (Table 3). This GO category refers to a variety of behaviors, including flight, walking or jump response, making it difficult to pinpoint which precise behavior or biological process could have been targeted by positive selection in ants. We focused on the three genes contributing most to the positive selection signal in this GO category, *DCX-EMAP*, *turtle* and *beethoven*. The role of these genes suggests that the evolution of coordinated collective behavior in ants could be linked to positive selection on genes involved in sensory perception. Adult flies carrying a piggyBac insertion in *DCX-EMAP* are uncoordinated and deaf and display loss of mechanosensory transduction and amplification [54]. *turtle* plays an essential role in the execution of coordinated motor output in complex behaviors in flies, notably regarding

the response to tactile stimulation [55]. Finally, *beethoven* is involved in male courtship behavior, adult walking behavior and sensory perception of sound in flies [56].

The second GO category enriched in the ant lineage that is related to nervous system was “neuron recognition”. However, GO categories related to neurogenesis were not enriched exclusively in the ant lineage. The GO categories “regulation of synaptogenesis”, “mushroom body development” and “memory”, were slightly enriched in branch #8, basal to the Hymenoptera lineage (Figure 1; Table S9), possibly reflecting the increase of mushroom body size at the base of the Euhymenopteran (Orussioidea + Apocrita) lineage, approximately 90 Myr before the evolution of sociality in the Aculeata [57]. GO categories related to synapse growth and organization were also enriched for positively selected genes in the primitively social lineages of bees (data not shown, also reported in [9]). These results suggest that positive selection on nervous system function and development might have predated the apparition of complex behaviors in social insects.

Given the diversity of chemical signaling underlying complex behaviors in ant societies, we tested the prediction that olfactory receptors (ORs) experienced increased positive selection in ants compared to solitary insects [4,6,7,22-24]. ORs are difficult to process in automated pipelines, since they are characterized by very dynamic patterns of contraction and expansion during evolution [58]. Accordingly, our dataset of ant single-copy orthologs was depleted in genes involved in olfaction (Tables S7,8,10,11) and GO categories related to olfaction could not be tested because they included too few annotated genes (materials and methods). We therefore used a more comprehensive dataset of 873 manually annotated ORs in two ant genomes (*Pogonomyrmex barbatus* and *Linepithema humile*) and the solitary wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* to run the branch-site test of Codeml on the reconstructed gene tree (materials and methods). Overall, 277 branches (23%) displayed significant signals for positive selection (Figure 3, Figure S1). To test the prediction that ants experienced increased positive selection on ORs relative to the non-social wasp outgroup, we compared the amount of positive selection in branches leading to ant species only (929 branches) or to wasp only (156 branches). Surprisingly, there was a lower proportion of ant (19%) than wasp (40%) branches under positive selection (Fisher exact test $p=7.6e-9$).

Phenotypic plasticity among castes

We next investigated whether there was an overrepresentation of genes potentially involved in phenotypic plasticity (i.e., caste differences) among genes showing signs of positive selection. This analysis showed only limited signs of such a pattern. Some of the top genes of our scan in ants are involved in wing development (e.g., *Guanine nucleotide exchange factor in mesoderm* and *Methylthioribose-1-phosphate isomerase*) or in other developmental processes (*Dis3*, *embargoed* and *Brahma associated protein 55kD*; Table 1). Many caste differences are observed at the morphological level, but there was no significant enrichment for GO categories related to morphology or morphogenesis among positively selected genes in the ant lineage. The only significant trend was observed on the branch leading to *Solenopsis invicta*, where most enriched GO categories were related to the development of anatomical structures such as tracheal system, imaginal disc or salivary gland (Table S9). We then carried out another enrichment test using annotations obtained from mutant phenotypes in *D. melanogaster*. These functional annotations could be more relevant to analyze genes involved in morphogenesis because the genes are categorized in sets that mostly refer to anatomical structures (materials and methods). The only enriched terms that might be related to phenotypic plasticity between castes were again observed on the branch leading to *S. invicta* and pointed to structures such as dorsal air sac, wings and imaginal discs, abdominal segment and midgut (Table S12). These structures differ between castes, for example wings are found in males and virgin queens, while workers are wingless. Similarly, the abdomen differs greatly in size between castes, and it houses numerous internal organs, including the reproductive and excretory systems. It is however unclear why only the *S. invicta* branch displays such a pattern.

Of note, no functional category related to development or morphology was enriched in flies or in bees, although the latter also have morphologically distinct castes. This could be because the bee dataset includes non-social and primitively social species, where morphological differences between castes are not very pronounced.

Lifespan extension

Our analyses showed evidence for genes potentially involved in aging to be overrepresented among positively selected genes in ants. It was previously suggested that lifespan extension could be achieved through reduction of the concentration of toxic

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) in the cells [31,59-63], which are natural byproducts of cellular respiration and energy production in the mitochondria. Interestingly, 11 GO categories enriched for positively selected genes in ants were related to mitochondrial activity (e.g., “mitochondrial electron transport”, “mitochondrial matrix”, “mitochondrial respiratory chain”, “NADH dehydrogenase ubiquinone activity” and “oxidoreductase activity”; Table 3). The mitochondrial processes under positive selection were not restricted to respiration and energy production, but also included translation (“organellar ribosome”, “mitochondrial small/large ribosomal subunit”). GO categories related to mitochondria were also enriched for positively selected genes on many individual branches of the ant lineage analyzed separately (Table S9), or when a larger dataset, including duplicated genes, was analyzed with the site test of Codeml (materials and methods; Table 1 and Table S13), indicating that recurrent events of positive selection occurred on genes with mitochondrial functions during the evolution of the ant lineage. In contrast, mitochondria-related GO categories did not display any enrichment for positively selected genes in flies and bees (Tables S4 and S5), despite a high power to detect it on the respective datasets (supplementary text). Similarly, no mitochondrial function was enriched in the branches #7 and #8, basal to the ant lineage (Figure 1; Table S9), reinforcing the idea that increased positive selection on mitochondria is restricted to the ant lineage.

Of note, none of the 13 protein coding genes [64,65] from the mitochondrial genome was included in our main dataset because the mitochondrial genomes of most of the ant species analyzed were not annotated. Our results thus reflect positive selection on nuclear genes encoding proteins which function in the mitochondrion. We then annotated mitochondrial genomes in 5 of the 7 ant species analyzed and tested if positive selection could also be detected on the mitochondrial genomes themselves (materials and methods)[66-68]. While the site test did not find evidence for positive selection on these alignments (Table S14), the branch-site test yielded significant p-values for 2 genes ($p=0.004$ for *cytochrome b* on branch #3, and $p=0.006$ for *NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2* on branch #7), but these were below statistical significance after correction for multiple testing (FDR=34% in both cases over all branches tested for the 13 genes; Table S15).

A link between positive selection on mitochondrial functions and aging was further confirmed by the examination of genes known to be involved in aging and in

response to oxidative stress in *D. melanogaster*. There was a significant enrichment for positively selected genes in the 61 ant orthologs of *D. melanogaster* genes that were down-regulated in 61 days-old flies compared to 10 days-old flies, based on a published microarray analysis ($p=0.011$; below Bonferroni threshold $\alpha=0.05/4=0.0125$; Table S16)[33].

Finally, two genes known to be involved in aging were among the top-scoring genes for positive selection in our datasets. The first was *Tequila*, which has been shown to be associated with aging in an experimental evolution study in *D. melanogaster* [69]. The other was *Mitochondrial trifunctional protein α subunit*, whose knock-out resulted in reduced lifespan also in *D. melanogaster* [70]. Although not in the list of top hits, *Sod2* (*Superoxide dismutase [Mn], mitochondrial*), a gene known to have antioxidant activity and whose overexpression has been shown to be associated with increased lifespan in some strains of *D. melanogaster* [71,72], underwent positive selection at the base of the Hymenoptera lineage (FDR=0.0073) and in the *Accromyrmex echinator* branch (FDR=9.6e-8).

Selective pressure on genes with caste-biased expression

Our analyses do not support the theoretical prediction that the efficiency of natural selection is lower for genes specifically expressed in non-reproducing workers compared to both males and queens [35,36]. Genes with caste-biased expression at the pupal and adult developmental stages in *Solenopsis invicta* were isolated using a microarray expression dataset [37]. There was a marginally significant enrichment for positively selected genes among genes with biased expression in adult workers (effect size=1.2, $p=0.025$; not significant after Bonferroni correction $\alpha=0.05/6=0.0083$; Table S17) and a stronger enrichment for genes with queen-biased expression in adults (effect size=1.8, $p=0.0028$). Surprisingly, however, there was a pattern of weaker enrichment for genes with male-biased expression in adults (effect size=1.04, $p=0.2381$). At the pupal stage, there was no significant enrichment for positively selected genes among any group of genes showing caste-biased expression. But similarly to the adult stage, the enrichment effect size was higher for genes with queen-biased expression (effect size=1.2) than genes with worker-biased expression (effect size=1.1), and it was the lowest for genes showing male-biased expression (effect size=1.06).

Discussion

In this paper, we report results from a genome-wide scan for positive selection in protein coding sequences of seven ant genomes, using the rigorous branch-site model of Codeml [46] with stringent data quality control. Positive selection was detected in the ant lineage for 20% of the gene families analyzed. This proportion is similar in magnitude to the values observed in the other two insect lineages that we reanalyzed in this study (20% of the gene families experiencing positive selection in the 10 bee species and 36% in the 12 flies species).

Our analysis identified similarities in patterns of positive selection between the ants and other insect lineages. Notably, at the broadest phylogenetic scale that our datasets allowed us to study, functional categories related to proteolysis, response to stimuli and immunity were enriched for positively selected genes in ants, bees and flies. The most parsimonious explanation for this pattern is that there have been recurrent episodes of positive selection on these functional categories since their common ancestor, at the base of the Endopterygota lineage (holometabolous insects). Studies in mammals, fishes and urchins also provided evidence of positive selection on these functional categories [10,14,41,43]. Recurrent positive selection on such long evolutionary time scales is typical of genes involved in the interaction with changing environments or in conflicts such as evolutionary arms races between sexes or between different species, which cause the perpetuation of adaptations and counter-adaptations in competing sets of co-evolving genes [73]. It is notable that positive selection patterns on these categories of genes do not seem to reflect or be strongly affected by the large ecological differences between lineages analyzed here, for example the evolution of eusociality in the hymenopteran lineages.

Our analysis also revealed that genes related to metabolism showed signs of positive selection in flies and bees but not ants. This suggests a relaxation of selection on metabolic genes in ants, which could be caused by reduced metabolic needs in this lineage. Previous studies have shown that social organisms have lower metabolic rates than solitary living organisms [74,75], but this explanation does not suffice because the relaxation of selection was not observed in bees. We suggest that this pattern could instead be related to the loss of flight in ant workers that translates into lower metabolic needs compared to flying insects [76]. Flight is indeed an energetically costly behavior requiring highly elevated metabolic rates [77,78].

Our analysis indicated that genes involved in neurogenesis were under positive selection in ants and the primitively social lineages of bees. It was previously hypothesized that stronger selection on genes related to brain function and development should be observed in eusocial Hymenoptera species due to high cognitive demands associated with social life [21]. However, our results are not compatible with this interpretation because we also uncovered signs of positive selection at the base of the Hymenoptera lineage, that is before the evolution of sociality. Interestingly, a similar pattern had previously been reported with brain morphological data. A comparative analysis of insects showed that the size of mushroom body started to increase at the base of the Hymenoptera lineage, but that there was no clear correlation between the size of brain components and the levels of sociality or cognition capabilities [57,79]. To account for this observation, Fischman and colleagues tried to identify factors, other than sociality, that may have placed unique selective pressure on brain evolution in species of the Hymenoptera lineage [21]. Based on the observation that there was less positive selection on neurogenesis genes in highly social bees than in primitively social bees, they proposed that cognitive challenges might be associated with the mode of colony founding in social Hymenoptera. In particular, primitively social bees, which transit from a solitary phase during the process of colony founding, to a social phase could experience higher cognitive needs than highly social bees, which never go through a solitary phase. However, our results are also not fully compatible with this model since increased positive selection was observed before the evolution of sociality in Hymenoptera. A comprehensive survey of positive selection on neurogenesis genes in Hymenoptera species, including species basal to the lineage, is required to identify precisely when selective pressure started to increase, and in which hymenopteran sub-lineages it was maintained.

Our results also challenge the hypothesis that genes involved in pheromone production and chemical signaling experienced increased positive selection in social insects [4,6,7,22-24,80]. The analysis of olfactory receptor repertoires in two ants and a non-social wasp indicated that positive selection on amino-acid substitutions was surprisingly less frequent in ant than in wasp branches. Given the limited number of species used in this analysis, future work should concentrate on generating extensive annotation of olfactory receptors from more Hymenoptera and also outgroup species to

identify characters or traits that could be associated with the pattern of positive selection on olfactory receptors.

Our analysis revealed that some functional categories were enriched for positively selected genes exclusively in the ant lineage, possibly reflecting ant-specific adaptations. The most consistent and robust result was that genes functioning in the mitochondria were particularly likely to be under positive selection. Mitochondrial activity plays an important role in the process of reproductive isolation and speciation [81,82], interactions with endosymbionts such as *Wolbachia* [83], diseases [84-87], and in the process of aging [62,85,88]. In that respect it is notable that the evolution of sociality has been accompanied by a nearly 100-fold increase in lifespan of queens compared to their solitary ancestors [30,31]. Three lines of evidence suggest that increased lifespan of queens might be related to increased positive selection on mitochondrial genes in the ant lineage.

First, previous studies provided support for the ideas that lifespan extension in insects, but also in other lineages such as birds and bats, was associated with decreased production of Reactive Oxidative Species (ROS) [31,89-92]. ROS are produced as a normal by-product of cellular metabolism. In particular, one major contributor to oxidative damage is hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), which is produced from leaks of the respiratory chain in the mitochondria [62]. Positive selection in ants on genes functioning in the mitochondria may thus reflect selection to increase mitochondrial efficiency and reduce ROS production. Interestingly, positive selection on genes with mitochondrial functions was previously documented in the bat lineage [93,94], which include species with exceptional longevity [95]. In the bat *Myotis lucifugus*, ROS production was also shown to be significantly lower than in two similar sized mammal species (a mouse and a shrew) although the metabolic rates, and thus mitochondrial activity, of the former were much higher because of flight demands [92].

Second, we found that positive selection was strongest on genes which had been found to have queen-biased expression in the fire ant *S. invicta*, intermediate on genes with worker-biased expression, and weakest on genes with male-biased expression. This trend may reflect between-castes differences in the expression levels of mitochondrial genes which are enriched for positively selected genes in ants. However, this explanation can be ruled out, because in *S. invicta* mitochondrial genes are significantly less expressed in queens than in workers at the larval stage, and not

differentially expressed at the adult stage (Figure S2). The finding of higher levels of positive selection for genes more highly expressed in the castes with the longer lifespan (queens can live decades in some species, whereas workers have lifespan in the order of months, and males in the order of days) suggests that increased positive selection on queen-specific genes could be related to longer lifespan.

Third, our analysis showed that the levels of positive selection were higher on orthologs of genes which are down-regulated during aging in flies. These genes include numerous energy metabolism genes, and their down-regulation in old flies is thought to reflect a decline of normal and functional mitochondria with age [33,96]. The finding of increased levels of positive selection on genes whose expression declines at older ages suggests that the function of these genes might be improved in ants, potentially delaying the loss of normal activity in mitochondria with age. It would be interesting to test if parallel mechanisms also evolved in the ant lineage to maintain the expression of these genes through lifespan in queens.

In contrast to ants, there was no sign of elevated levels of positive selection on mitochondrial functions in the bee lineage. As most social species, bees also evolved longer queen lifespans (more than 2 years) compared to males and workers (a few weeks)[30,97]. There are four possible explanations for the difference between ants and bees in the level of positive selection on mitochondrial genes. First, lifespan differences between castes are less pronounced in bees, where queens live up to 2-5 years, than in ants, where queens can live up to 30 years, possibly resulting in lower selective pressure to increase lifespan in bees than in ants. Second, because eusociality evolved independently in ants and bees it is possible that extended queen lifespans evolved by different molecular mechanisms [31]. For example, vitellogenin may play a more central role for aging in bees than ants [97-99]. Third, the evolution of mitochondria-related genes may have been differently constrained in ants and bees. For example, metabolic rates differ greatly between flying bee workers and non-flying ant workers. This could be associated with a relaxation of selective pressure on metabolic genes in ants. Because metabolism and mitochondrial activity are closely connected, lower metabolic rates in ants might also have alleviated functional constraints on mitochondria-related genes, allowing selection to act on lifespan extension. Finally, the GC content in bee genomes is lower than in ant genomes [16,100]. Some parts of the bee genomes, as well as their mitochondrial genomes [64,101,102], display extreme bias in nucleotide composition,

which leads to significant effect on both the codon usage patterns and amino acid composition of proteins and may have interfered with the action of positive selection.

While we detected positive selection in ants on nuclear genes with mitochondrial functions, there was no clear evidence for positive selection on the 13 genes of the mitochondrial genome itself. This could reflect an increased efficiency of natural selection in the nuclear genome compared to the mitochondrial genome. Genes of the mitochondrial genome are indeed known to experience higher rates of sequence evolution than nuclear genes, most probably because of the lack of recombination of the mitochondrial genome, leading to decreased efficiency of natural selection and the accumulation of slightly deleterious mutations [103,104].

In conclusion, this study provides a detailed analysis of the extent of positive selection events on protein-coding genes in seven ant species. Because false positives are a major concern for whole-genome scans for positive selection, we used a conservative methodology. We also reanalyzed data in bees and flies with the same methods to permit an unbiased and robust comparison of positive selection between lineages. The comparison between these three lineages provided interesting perspectives on the evolution of genes implicated in immunity, metabolism, neurogenesis and olfaction, and allowed us to pinpoint positive selection events that were specific to the ant lineage. In particular, we found that the evolution of extreme lifespan in ants was associated with positive selection on genes with mitochondrial functions raising the possibility that a more efficient functioning of mitochondrial genes might have been an important step towards the extreme lifespan extension that characterizes this lineage.

Materials and methods

Single-copy orthologs gene families dataset

Protein coding gene sequences of the 7 ant genomes were downloaded from the Hymenoptera Genome Database (http://hymenopteragenome.org/ant_genomes/) [105]. The complete annotated gene sets were OGS_1.0 for *Acromyrmex echinator* [3], OGS_1.2 for *Atta cephalotes* [2], OGS_2.2.3 for *Solenopsis invicta* [4], OGS_1.2 for *Pogonomyrmex barbatus* [5], OGS_3.3 for *Camponotus floridanus* [6], OGS_1.2 for *Linepithema humile* [7] and OGS_3.3 for *Harpegnathos saltator* [6]. Coding sequences of 5 outgroup species were downloaded from the Hymenoptera Genome Database [105] for the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* Amel_pre_release2 [16]) and the jewel wasp (*Nasonia Vitripennis* OGS_v1.2 [20]), from Flybase [106] for the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster* FB5.29 [107]), from BeetleBase [108] for the flour beetle (*Tribolium castaneum* T cas_3.0 [109]), and from vectorBase [110] for the body louse (*Pediculus humanus* PhumU1.2 [111]).

Gene families were obtained from a custom run of the OrthoDB pipeline for the Ant Genomic Consortium (<http://cegg.unige.ch/orthodbants> and http://bioinfo.unil.ch/supdata/Roux_positive_selection_ants/orthoDB_run.zip; pipeline of OrthoDB release 4 [100,112]). Briefly, OrthoDB implements a Best Reciprocal Hit clustering algorithm based on all-against-all Smith-Waterman protein sequence comparisons. The longest alternatively spliced form of genes is used. The orthologous groups are built at different taxonomic levels and it is possible to query for specific phyletic profiles by combining the criteria of absent, present, single-copy, multi-copy or no restriction, for each species within the studied clade.

We selected gene families including strictly one ortholog in each of the 12 species considered (2,756 gene families). Because annotations of the studied genomes are likely to be incomplete [100], we incorporated families with some missing genes – gene losses or unannotated genes – with the restriction that at least 4 genes out of the 7 ant species, and 3 genes out of the 5 outgroup species should be present in the gene family. Because we transferred functional annotations from *D. melanogaster*, we retained only families including a fruit fly ortholog. With these criteria, the number of OrthoDB groups in the dataset increased to 4,337. All gene families were assumed to follow the species tree topology (Figure 1). The exclusion of families that experienced gene duplication

facilitates the comparison of branches between gene families, and keeps our analysis from biases related to differential duplication among lineages [113] and among genes [114,115], and to the consequences of duplication [116,117]. Finally, results on single-copy orthologs can be easily compared to previously published studies using similar gene family topologies [8,10,41,118].

Basic sequence quality features were first controlled as in [119]: CDS (coding sequences) whose length was not a multiple of three or did not correspond to the length of the predicted protein, or that contained an internal stop codon, were eliminated; the longest CDS of genes showing multiple isoforms was retained; CDS shorter than 100nt were eliminated.

Because misalignment errors can be an important source of false positives in genome-wide scans for positive selection in coding sequences [47,120-122], we took great care at filtering the potentially problematic sites in the alignments. The quality filtering pipeline used here is adapted from the pipeline of the Selectome database release 4 (<http://selectome.unil.ch>)[123,124]. The multiple alignment of the protein sequences in each gene family was computed by M-Coffee [125] from the T-Coffee package v8.93 [126], which combines the output of different aligners. Similarly to Ensembl Compara (see http://www.ensembl.org/info/docs/compara/homology_method.html for more details)[127], 4 different aligners were used for M-Coffee (mafftgins_msa, muscle_msa, kalign_msa and t_coffee_msa). M-Coffee outputs a consensus of 4 alignments from the different aligners, and a quality score for each residue based on the concordance of the alignment at each position by different aligners. Scores lie between 0, if a residue was not aligned at the same position by the different aligners, and 9 if it is reliably aligned at the same position in all cases. Reliably aligned residues with a score of 7 or above were retained. We used the heuristic algorithm of MaxAlign v1.1 [128] to detect and remove sequences badly aligned as a whole (gap-rich sequences) in the multiple sequence alignments. If a sequence was removed, the gene family was realigned and refiltered using M-Coffee (see above). Families left with less than four sequences were discarded because of insufficient power to detect positive selection. The protein alignments were reverse-translated to nucleotide alignments using the seq_reformat utility of the T-Coffee package [126].

Finally we used a stringent Gblocks filtering (v0.91b; type = codons; minimum length of a block = 4; no gaps allowed) [129], to remove from the alignments regions where gapped positions were present, as these are the most problematic for positive selection inference [39,120]. The large requirements of M-Coffee when handling long alignments led us to use only Gblocks without M-Coffee scoring if the length of the alignment was greater than 9,000 nt.

After filtering, our dataset included 4,261 gene families with an average of 10.4 branches per family to test (Figure 1; 44,306 branches to test; median = 10 branches per family). The mean length of filtered alignment was 1,133 nt. (median = 885 nt.), ranging from a minimum of 54 nt. to a maximum of 22,248 nt. Of note, lost or missing genes in families affect the topology of the trees and the possibility to compare equivalent branches of different families. Still, our dataset contains 36,681 branches (83%) in 4,256 families with a topology identical to the species tree (Figure 1). These can be compared across families.

Note that in this setup we are likely to underestimate the genome-wide number of positive selection events because we filtered out unreliable parts of sequence alignments including fast evolving residues that are difficult to align [39,130](although see [131]). In addition single-copy orthologs gene families are not fully represented in our dataset because the ant genomes still lack good annotation of gene models, and they tend to evolve under stronger purifying selection than multi-copy gene families [113].

Extensive gene families dataset

We created another dataset gathering all gene families from the OrthoDB database that could pass our quality filters, including families that experienced duplications. The CDS were filtered as described above previously. The alignment and filtering methods were also different in order to test for the robustness of our results to the methodology: Amino-acid sequences were aligned using PRANK (v100701), which has performed the best in comparisons of aligners for selection studies [39,120,122,130,132,133]. The program GUIDANCE (v1.1) was used to assess alignment confidence and mask unreliably aligned residues [130,134]. This combination of aligner and filtering algorithm was shown to perform the best in recent benchmark studies on simulated data [122,130]. Gene family phylogenies were built using RAxML (v7.2.9)[135] from the amino acid sequences, with the LG matrix and the CAT model. The amino acid

alignments were reverse-translated into the corresponding codon alignment. This resulted in 6,186 families tested, with an average of 11 genes, and an average length of filtered alignment of 3,129 nt. (median of 2,385 nt., ranging from a minimum of 192 nt. to a maximum of 20,556 nt.).

Mitochondrial gene families dataset

Contigs corresponding to mitochondrial genomes were available for 5 of the 7 ant genomes (*Acromyrmex echinator*, *Atta cephalotes*, *Solenopsis invicta*, *Pogonomyrmex barbatus* and *Linepithema humile*). They were submitted to MITOS, a web server for the annotation of metazoan mitochondrial genomes (<http://mitos.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/index.py>)[136]. This gave us the predicted coordinates of 13 mitochondrial protein coding genes in these species. Frameshift errors or incomplete gene predictions were manually corrected. Mitochondrial genes from the outgroup species *Apis mellifera*, *Nasonia Vitripennis* and *Tribolium castaneum* were downloaded from Genbank (accessions L06178; EU746609.1 and EU746613.1; AJ312413.2 and NC_003081.2 respectively). Mitochondrial genes from *Drosophila melanogaster* were downloaded from Flybase at ftp://ftp.flybase.net/genomes/Drosophila_melanogaster/dmel_r5.43_FB2012_01/fasta/dmel-dmel_mitochondrion_genome-CDS-r5.43.fasta.gz. The multiple alignment and filtering steps for the 13 mitochondrial gene families were identical to the dataset of single-copy orthologs nuclear gene families (see above). A total of 119 branches were tested in this dataset (average of 9.2 and median of 9 branches per family; average length of filtered alignment of 641 nt. and median of = 621 nt., ranging from a minimum of 39 nt. to a maximum of 1,413 nt.).

Twelve Drosophila dataset

Single-copy ortholog gene families from the 12 sequenced *Drosophila* species were downloaded from ftp://ftp.flybase.net/12_species_analysis/clark_eisen/alignments/ [8]. We used the files “all_species.guide_tree.longest.cds.tar.gz” and “all_species.guide_tree.longest.translation.tar.gz”. The multiple alignment and filtering steps for these gene families were identical to the dataset of single-copy ortholog gene families used for the ant analysis. Out of 6,698 initially downloaded *Drosophila* gene families, only 3,749 (56%) passed our filters and could be tested for positive selection,

resulting in 77,495 branches tested (average of 20.7 and median of 21 branches per family; average length of filtered alignment of 876 nt. and median of = 708 nt., ranging from a minimum of 15 nt. to a maximum of 14,535 nt.).

Bee dataset

Single-copy ortholog gene families from 10 bee species were downloaded from <http://insectsociogenomics.illinois.edu/>. This set of gene families is incomplete as it is derived from the sequencing of expressed sequence tags (using 454 Life Science/Roche GS-FLX platform) from 9 bee species [9], and from gene models of the honey bee *Apis mellifera* [16]. The multiple alignment and filtering steps for these gene families were identical to the dataset of single-copy ortholog gene families used for the ant analysis. Out of 3,647 initially downloaded gene families, 2,256 (62%) passed our filters and could be tested for positive selection, resulting in 20,169 branches tested (average of 8.9 and median of 9 branches per family; average length of filtered alignment of 611 nt. and median of 528 nt., ranging from a minimum of 27 nt. to a maximum of 3,945 nt.).

Branch-site test for positive selection

We used the updated branch-site test [46] of Codeml from the package PAML v4.4c [137] to detect Darwinian positive selection experienced by a gene family in a subset of sites in a specific branch of its phylogenetic tree. This test was previously used in genome-wide scans for positive selection in various lineages [10-14,41] and is used by the Selectome project (<http://selectome.unil.ch>)[123,124]. It is acknowledged to be more sensitive for the detection of positive selection than branch tests [45] or site tests [44], because it does not average the signal over all codons in the alignment (branch test) nor over all branches of the phylogeny (site test)[47]. It is also robust to relaxation of purifying selection (ω close to 1) since this scenario is accounted for in the null model [46,138]. The alternative model is contrasted to the null model using a likelihood-ratio test (LRT), where log-likelihood ratios are compared to a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom [46]. Previous studies have reported the branch-site test to be conservative in this setup [11,39,41,47]. We did not use the ω estimates to infer the strength of positive selection because they were shown to be unreliable [11,47].

In the absence of a specific *a priori* hypothesis regarding which branches to test for positive selection, our implementation runs the test multiple times on each gene

family, successively changing the branch selected as foreground. The branches considered as foreground are highlighted in red in Figure 1. This approach was shown to be legitimate if p-values from the successive tests are corrected for multiple testing [40,47]. We applied a False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction [139] over all the p-values treated as one series (number of branches tested \times number of gene families tested). In the ant single-copy orthologs nuclear dataset we analyzed a maximum of 15 branches leading to the 7 ant species, summing to 44,306 tests performed. In the ant mitochondrial dataset we analyzed a maximum of 11 branches leading to 5 ant species, summing to 119 tests (branches in red in Figure S3). In the *Drosophila* single-copy orthologs dataset we analyzed a maximum of 21 branches, leading to a total of 77,495 tests (Figure S4). Finally in the bee dataset we analyzed a maximum of 17 branches, leading to a total of 20,169 tests (Figure S5).

All computations were performed using Slimcodeml (release 4th May 2011)[140], an optimized version of Codeml, based on the release 4.4c of the PAML package (downloadable at <http://selectome.unil.ch/cgi-bin/download.cgi>). Slimcodeml was estimated to run the branch-site models about 1.77 times faster than the original Codeml thanks to the use of external standard libraries for linear algebra calculations and specific optimizations for the computer architecture used. We verified on a subset of the gene families that the results given by Slimcodeml were identical with the original Codeml. Examples of Slimcodeml/Codeml control files used are provided in supplementary text. For the ant mitochondrial dataset, Codeml was used with the option "icode=4" to use the Invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Utils/wprintgc.cgi#SG5>).

The branch-site model is known to display convergence problems in the calculation of likelihoods [47], leading to negative, or artificially large log-likelihood ratios. To prevent this, we launched 3 independent runs for both the alternative and null hypotheses, for each branch of each gene family, and kept the best likelihood value of each run to calculate the log-likelihood ratio [47]. Although the likelihood differences observed across the 3 runs were most of the time very small, it is desirable to eliminate this potential source of false positives (convergence problem of the null model) and false negatives (convergence problem of the alternative model). Even after reconciliation of 3 replicate runs, we still observe a number of negative log-likelihood ratios (8% of the tests – most of them very close to 0). In such cases we manually set the log-likelihood

ratios to 0 (meaning non-significance). Values of d_N and d_S were calculated with parameters extracted from Codeml results files (.mlc files)

All calculations were performed on the SIB Vital-IT cluster in Lausanne (<http://www.vital-it.ch/>). All 3 runs and the 2 hypotheses of each test were performed on the same node of the cluster.

Site test for positive selection

We ran the site test [44] of Codeml from the package PAML v4.4e [137] on the extensive dataset of 6,186 families (see above), allowing the d_N/d_S ratio (ω) to vary among sites. We contrasted the null model M8a (beta & ω with $\omega=1$) to the alternative model M8 (beta & ω with $\omega\geq 1$) which has 11 site classes [141,142]. Examples of Codeml control files used are provided in supplementary text. Similar to the branch-site test, we launched 3 independent runs for both the alternative and null hypotheses for each gene family and kept the best likelihood value of each run to calculate the log-likelihood ratio. The log-likelihood ratios were compared to a Chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom as recommended in PAML user's guide (<http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/pamlDOC.pdf>).

Olfactory receptors family

Olfactory receptors are difficult to process in automated pipelines since they are characterized by dynamic patterns of duplications and pseudogenization during evolution [58]. Furthermore, the sequences of ORs are highly variable and notoriously difficult for automatic gene annotation. Manually annotated protein coding sequences of OR genes (excluding suspected pseudogenes) were provided by Hugh Robertson for *P. barbatus* (291 genes; [5]), *L. humile* (320 genes; [7]) and *N. vitripennis* (262 genes; [20]). Nucleotide sequences were translated and amino acid sequences were aligned using MAFFT [143]. Unreliably aligned residues were masked (replaced with X) using GUIDANCE based on 32 bootstrap samples and a cutoff of 0.2 that was chosen so that the 15% lowest scoring residues are masked [130,134]. Phylogeny was reconstructed using RAxML with the JTT substitution matrix, the CAT approximation and 100 bootstrap samples [135]. Because the resulting gene tree was too large for the positive selection analysis with Codeml, we divided it into 16 smaller subtrees, each containing less than 100 leaves. Branches with highest possible bootstrap support were chosen as splitting

points. The 16 subtrees include all of ant sequences but only 105 of *N. vitripennis* sequences. The sequences from each subtree were realigned using PRANK [132] and reverse-translated into corresponding codon alignments. GUIDANCE was used to mask unreliably aligned codons (0.8 cutoff; replaced with “NNN”). Phylogeny was reconstructed using RAxML as above. Out of 1,744 branches in the initial tree, 1,400 branches from the subtrees were tested using the branch-site test of Codeml (see above), and the computation was successful (both null and alternative hypotheses) for 1,184 branches. Significant branches are highlighted in red in Figure 3 and in Figure S1. Full results of the branch-site test on all 16 clades are shown in Table S18. A full tree with branch names and bootstrap values is provided as figure S1. Newick trees of the 16 individual subtrees along with annotation of tested branches are available in supplementary text.

Tests of functional category enrichment

Gene Ontology (GO) [144] annotations for OrthoDB gene families were taken from the annotation of the *D. melanogaster* gene member they include (downloaded from http://flybase.org/static_pages/downloads/FB2011_02/go/gene_association.fb.gz). The annotation of children GO categories was propagated to their parent categories following the GO graph structure. Gene Ontology categories mapped to 10 genes or less were discarded for the enrichment analysis.

To identify the functional biases present in the full datasets used in this study, the package topGO version 2.4 [145] of Bioconductor [146] was used. The reference set is constituted of all OrthoDB families including a *D. melanogaster* gene with GO annotation. A Fisher exact test was used, combined with the “elim” algorithm of topGO, which decorrelates the graph structure of the Gene Ontology to reduce non-independence problems [145]. Gene ontology categories with a FDR < 20% are reported [139].

Regarding the functional enrichment of genes targeted by positive selection, the Fisher exact test approach has been criticized because it imposes the choice of an arbitrary cutoff to dichotomize genes into “significant” and “non-significant” categories. This leads to a loss of information and makes this method less powerful and robust [147-149]. To test for GO functional categories for enrichment for positively selected genes, we turned to a gene set enrichment approach, which tests whether the distribution of scores of genes from a gene set differs from the whole dataset scores

distribution, allowing the detection of gene sets that contain many marginally significant genes. Different implementations for this approach have been proposed and the most widely used is the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)[150], but this method was shown to perform relatively poorly (e.g., [148,151,152]). Here, we used a SUMSTAT test: For a given gene set g including n genes, the SUMSTAT statistic is defined as the sum of scores of the n genes. This statistic was shown to be more sensitive than a panel of other methods, while controlling well for the rate of false positives [148,149,153,154]. To be able to use the distribution of log-likelihood ratios of the positive selection test – which follows a chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom and spans several orders of magnitude – as scores in the SUMSTAT test, we applied a fourth root transformation as variance stabilizing method. This transformation conserves the ranks of gene families (see <http://udel.edu/~mcdonald/stattransform.html> [155,156]). According to the Central Limit Theorem the distribution of SUMSTAT scores from random gene sets approaches a normal distribution whose mean and variance derives from the mean and variance of the scores of the complete list of tested genes G :

$$E(\text{SUMSTAT}) = n \cdot E(G)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(\text{SUMSTAT}) = n \cdot \text{Var}(G)$$

We performed bidirectional tests against this distribution to test if the SUMSTAT statistic for a given gene set is higher or lower than expected by chance, corresponding to respectively enrichment or depletion for positively selected genes in this gene set. We verified the accuracy of this methodology by drawing an empirical null distribution for each gene set of size n found in the real dataset, based on scores of 10,000 gene sets of same size n randomly picked from the whole dataset. The distribution of SUMSTAT scores of these randomized gene sets approximates closely a normal distribution, even when the gene set size is small (Figure S6). This makes the SUMSTAT test less computationally intensive than other gene set enrichment approaches (e.g. GSEA [150]) where the null distribution cannot be inferred mathematically and randomizations have to be performed for each individual test. We verified that a GSEA approach gave broadly similar results (not shown).

Because different gene sets sometimes share many genes in common, the list of significant gene sets resulting from enrichment tests is usually highly redundant. We implemented the “elim” algorithm from the Bioconductor package topGO, to decorrelate

the graph structure of the Gene Ontology [145]. Briefly, the GO categories are tested recursively starting from the deeper levels of the GO tree, and the genes annotated to these significant categories are removed from all their parent categories. The final list of p-values resulting from this test may not be reliable since the different tests are not independent, and it is not clear if classical approaches to assess the False Discovery Rate (e.g., [139]) are accurate in this context. Thus we calculated empirically an FDR at each p-value threshold by performing 100 randomizations where the scores of gene families were permuted and the gene set enrichment test rerun. The FDR is estimated as:

$$FDR = \frac{FP}{FP + TP} = \frac{N_0}{N_t}$$

where at a given p-value threshold N_0 represents the mean number of false positives obtained in the randomizations and N_t represents the number of positives obtained with the real dataset. The FDR obtained with this approach was in good agreement with the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR [139]. Gene Ontology categories with a FDR < 20% are reported. Functional categories depleted in positive selection reflect the most conserved sets of functional categories, under the action of purifying selection. These are not discussed in this manuscript.

The SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test ran on each individual branch of the tree using the branch-site test score for each gene family yields quite heterogeneous results, resulting from important differences in power of the branch-site test on different branches of the phylogeny (Table S9; only branches Sinv, Pbar, Hsal, #3 and #6 show some significant categories at FDR 20%). This test could also be sensitive to false positive results of the branch-site test (e.g., GC-biased gene conversion, discussed in supplementary text). Thus we designed a more robust test to account for these problems. We considered a unique score per gene family reflecting the evidence for positive selection globally in the ant lineage, the mean of the branch-site test scores on the 13 individual ant branches. This scoring scheme should unveil functional categories of genes that experienced extensive and probably recurrent episodes of positive selection in the ant lineage. While it overcomes the power issues of the branch-site test by accumulating data on different branches, it should be robust to transient episodes of GC-biased gene conversion that are not experienced consistently on the same genes through evolutionary time. We also checked that in most cases, the categories found enriched were not detected because of a single outlier gene with a strong positive

selection score, but rather because it included numerous genes under positive selection, and a significant shift in the distribution of positive selection scores (Figure S7).

Tests of phenotypic category enrichment

We extracted the mutant phenotype annotation of *D. melanogaster* genes from Flybase [157,158]. The following ontologies were downloaded from the OBO foundry [159]: The Flybase controlled vocabulary ontology (http://www.berkeleybop.org/ontologies/obo-all/flybase_vocab/flybase_vocab.obo), the *Drosophila* anatomical ontology (http://www.berkeleybop.org/ontologies/obo-all/fly_anatomy/fly_anatomy.obo) and the *Drosophila* developmental stages ontology (http://www.berkeleybop.org/ontologies/obo-all/fly_development/fly_development.obo). From Flybase, the relationships between genes and alleles, and between alleles and phenotypes (anatomical and developmental ontology categories) were extracted from the file ftp://ftp.flybase.net/releases/FB2012_01/reporting-xml/FBgn.xml.gz ("derived_pheno_class" and "derived_pheno_manifest" entities). The information on gain or loss-of-function alleles was extracted from the file ftp://ftp.flybase.net/releases/FB2012_01/reporting-xml/FBal.xml.gz (loss of function: controlled vocabulary term FBcv:0000287 and child terms; gain of function: FBcv:0000290 and child terms). The annotation of child phenotypic categories (anatomy of development) was propagated to their parent categories following the respective ontologies structures.

To perform an enrichment analysis based on mutant phenotypes in fruit fly, we used the same methodology as with the Gene Ontology functional categories (SUMSTAT test; see above). Because the annotation is scarcer than the Gene Ontology annotation, we used only the categories mapped to more than 5 genes for the enrichment analysis. The reported results include the annotation for gain and loss-of-function alleles. We observed very similar results when gain-of-function alleles were removed from the annotation [160](not shown).

Expression data

Microarray expression data from *Solenopsis invicta* [37] were provided upon request by the authors. These included expression levels of clones of the spotted microarray used,

as well as the list of genes identified to be over-expressed in each of the three castes (workers, queens and males), both at pupal and adult stages [37]. The mapping of clones to the gene model of *Solenopsis invicta* (OGS_2.2.3)[4] was provided by Y. Wurm, and is similar to the mapping used in [161]. If multiple clones mapped to the same gene model, the average signal was used for expression. For differential expression, we used the results of the original study (BAGEL analysis, where a clone was considered to be differentially expressed between conditions if the Bayesian posterior probability was $p < 0.001$, corresponding to a FDR $\sim 5\%$)[37]. A gene was considered differentially expressed if at least one clone mapped to it was found differentially expressed. Expression data was available for 1,327 genes of the single-copy orthologs dataset, among which 603 genes are over-expressed in at least one condition. We ran a SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on the sets of genes with caste-specific expression (pupal male, pupal queen, pupal worker, adult male, adult queen and adult worker), considering for each gene family its amalgamated positive selection score across ant branches (see above). P-values were obtained by comparison to an empirical distribution created with 10,000 randomizations of gene scores.

Aging genes

Aging and oxidative stress associated genes were obtained from a microarray study in *Drosophila melanogaster* comparing the expression of genes in 10 day old flies to 61 day old flies, and flies exposed to 100% O₂ for 7 days as controls [33]. We created four gene sets to test for enrichment for positively selected genes by considering separately up and down-regulated genes in each of these contrasts. We ran a SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on each on these gene sets, considering for each gene family its amalgamated positive selection score across ant branches (see above). P-values were obtained by comparison to an empirical distribution created with 10,000 randomizations of gene scores.

Genes with mitochondrial function

Genes with mitochondrial function were identified as those mapped to any of the 310 Gene Ontology categories including “mitochondria*” in their names or synonym names (using the search engine on <http://amigo.geneontology.org/>). 313 of the identified genes had available microarray expression data in *S. invicta*.

Data availability

Raw and filtered alignments used in these analyses, track files for the alignment editor Jalview [162], Codeml control files and result files can be downloaded at http://bioinfo.unil.ch/supdata/Roux_positive_selection_ants/Roux_et_al_datasets.tar.gz.

The Jalview tracks display the regions used or filtered out in the original protein alignments, as well as the residues found to be under positive selection by Bayes Empirical Bayes [38] in all the branches tested for each of the 3 replicate runs (Figure 2).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Yannick Wurm, Miguel Corona Villegas, Nicolas Salamin, Corrie Moreau, and members of the Keller lab for stimulating discussions. We are grateful to Oksana Riba-Grognuz, Lino Ometto, Roberto Bonasio, Robert Waterhouse, Hannes Schabauer, Walid Gharib and members of the Ant Comparative Genomics Consortium for making data or software available for this study; Ben-Yang Liao and Meng-Pin Weng for help with Flybase phenotypic data extraction; Alexander Wild for providing illustrations for Figure 1. Computations were performed at the Vital-IT (<http://www.vital-it.ch>) center for high-performance computing of the SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics. JR was funded by a Swiss National Science Foundation grant attributed to LK, a Swiss National Science Foundation postdoc mobility fellowship PBLAP3-134342 and a Marie Curie fellowship. MRR and SM acknowledge funding from SNSF grant 31003A 133011/1, the Swiss Platform for High-Performance and High-Productivity Computing (HP2C), and project UNIL.5/SMSCG as part of the AAA/SWITCH. MRR and JTD acknowledge funding from a SNSF ProDoc grant (PDFMP3-130309).

Figure legends


Figure 1: Phylogeny of the seven sequenced ant species and the five outgroups used in this study.


The maximum likelihood phylogeny was computed by R. Waterhouse from the concatenated alignment of the conserved protein sequences of 2,756 single-copy orthologs from OrthoDB [100]. The phylogeny is consistent with a previously published study [163]. A second study only found a difference in the branching of *P. barbatus* and *S. invicta* [164]. The 15 different branches where positive selection was tested are highlighted in red (the seven terminal branches leading to ant species and the branches numbered #1 to #8). The percentage of gene families showing positive selection in each of these branches at FDR = 10% is displayed in Table 2. Illustrations of the seven ant species and *A. mellifera* are courtesy of Alexander Wild at <http://www.alexanderwild.com>. *P. humanus* illustration was downloaded from Vectorbase, *D. melanogaster*, *T. castaneum* and *N. vitripennis* illustrations were downloaded from Wikipedia. Illustrations are not to scale.


Figure 2: Protein alignment view of positive selection signal on gene family 11650.

See Table 1 for description of the potential function of this gene family. Protein alignment is shown partially, from position 230 to 350, and *D. melanogaster*, *T. castaneum* and *P. humanus* genes were removed by MaxAlign because of insufficient alignment quality (materials and methods). The second annotation track under the protein alignment (“branch 5 BEB site”) indicates positively selected sites on the tested branch #5 (Figure 1). Site 285 of the alignment (indicated with a red arrow) has been selected; it shows the fixation of isoleucine in lieu of the ancestral tryptophan, in the formicoid clade including 6 of the 7 ant species.

Figure 3: Positive selection in the olfactory receptors gene family. Phylogenetic tree of manually annotated protein coding sequences of olfactory receptors genes, including 291 genes from *P. barbatus* in blue, 320 genes from *L. humile* in green and 262 genes from *N. vitripennis* in black. The topology of the tree depicts the assemblage of 16 subtrees where positive selection was tested using the branch-site test of Codeml (materials and methods). Tested branches are depicted in gray if there was no evidence for positive selection and in red if there was significant evidence for positive selection at 10% FDR. Untested branches are depicted in black. Scale bar indicates the number of amino acid substitutions per site.







Tables

Table 1: Top scoring gene families at branch-site and site tests for positive selection.

Gene families are ranked based on their log-likelihood ratios ($\Delta\ln L$). Results of the branch-site test were filtered to keep only internal ant branches of the phylogenetic tree (branches #1 to #6), with a good support for the detection of sites evolving under positive selection (BEB posterior probability > 0.9), and with a d_s on the tested branch below 1. Manual inspection of the best hits confirmed that the signal of positive selection was genuine for all cases, except for one family (12370) in the branch-site test results and one family (3245) in the site test results. These families were removed from the list.

Test used	Gene Family	Branch	$\Delta\ln L$	p-val	FDR	d_s	<i>D. melanogaster</i> gene name	Function annotated in Flybase and Uniprot	Duplication in ants?	Uniprot ID	Refs
Branch-site test	150	6	32.2	1.4E-8	2.2E-6	0.93	Tequila	Serine-type endopeptidase activity; long-term memory; aging	-	O45029	[69,165,166]
	11650 ¹	5	24.3	8.4E-7	7.9E-5	0.059	CG17321	Unknow	-	Q9VJ40	-
	453	6	22.7	1.9E-6	1.5E-4	0.29	Guanine nucleotide exchange factor in mesoderm	Ral GTPase binding; imaginal disc-derived wing vein specification	-	A1ZBA1	[167]
	361	1	21.8	3.0E-6	2.3E-4	0.090	Megator	Spindle assembly	-	A1Z8P9	[168]
	5623	1	21.3	4.0E-6	3.0E-4	0.13	Methylthioribose-1-phosphate isomerase	Catalyzes interconversion of methylthioribose-1-phosphate into methylthioribulose-1-phosphate; wing disc development	-	Q9V9X4	[169]
	1050	6	20.3	6.7E-6	4.7E-4	0.49	Dis3	Regulation of gene expression; nuclear RNA surveillance; neurogenesis	-	Q8MSY2	[170-172]
	793	4	19.1	1.2E-5	7.6E-4	0.085	embargoed	Protein binding; protein transporter activity; protein export from nucleus; multicellular organismal development; centriole replication	-	Q9TVM2	[173,174]
	8639	6	18.9	1.4E-5	8.3E-4	0.26	ATP synthase, subunit b, mitochondria	Hydrogen-exporting ATPase activity, phosphorylative mechanism; phagocytosis, engulfment	-	Q94516	[175]
	3983	4	17.8	2.4E-5	0.0014	0.036	lysyl oxidase-like 2	Protein-lysine 6-oxidase activity	-	Q8IH65	[176,177]
	2208	6	17.4	3.0E-5	0.0016	0.49	Cytochrome reductase	P450 NADPH-hemoprotein reductase activity; oxidation-reduction process; putative function in olfactory clearance	-	Q27597	[178]
Site test	9147	-	614	0	0	-	Probable cytochrome P450 305a1	Electron carrier activity; Oxidation-reduction process	Yes	Q9VW43	-
	1867	-	322	0	0	-	CG16789	ATP binding	Yes	Q9VHB4, Q8SX18	-

4453	-	139	0	0	-	Brahma associated protein 55kD	Positive regulation of gene silencing by miRNA; dendrite guidance and morphogenesis; mitosis; cytokinesis; muscle organ development; belongs to the actin family	Yes	Q7K012	[179-181]
8567	-	125	0	0	-	luna	Sequence-specific DNA binding; regulation of transcription	No	Q8MR37	[182]
9196	-	30.1	4.2E-8	4.9E-5	-	CG14207	Unknown; belongs to the small heat shock protein (HSP20) family	Yes	Q8IQW5, Q9VWG1	[183,184]
6214	-	16.6	4.6E-5	0.038	-	CG42343	Unknown	No	B7Z153, Q9VRI6	-
6649	-	15.9	6.6E-5	0.045	-	CG7845	Muscle cell homeostasis	No	Q7K4B2	[185]
5707	-	15.8	7.2E-5	0.045	-	mitochondrial ribosomal protein L37	Structural constituent of ribosome; translation	No	Q9VGW9, Q3YNF4, Q3YNF5	[186]
2372	-	15.6	7.6E-5	0.045	-	Mitochondrial trifunctional protein α subunit	Long-chain-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity; long-chain-enoyl-CoA hydratase activity; response to starvation; determination of adult lifespan; fatty acid beta-oxidation; wound healing	No	Q8IPE8, Q9V397	[70]
8490	-	14.8	1.2E-4	0.062	-	Phosphatidylinositol synthase	CDP-diacylglycerol-inositol 3-phosphatidyltransferase activity; phototransduction	No	Q8IR29, Q8SX37	[187]

¹ Example used in Figure 2

Table 2: Amount of positive selection detected on different branches of the analyzed phylogeny

Branch name¹	Lineage delineated	Fraction of positively selected gene families	Number of positively selected gene families
Acep	<i>A. cephalotes</i>	0.056	146
Aech	<i>A. echinator</i>	0.043	109
Sinv	<i>S. invicta</i>	0.029	85
Pbar	<i>P. barbatus</i>	0.038	80
Cflo	<i>C. floridae</i>	0.017	65
Lhum	<i>L. humile</i>	0.036	97
Hsal	<i>H. saltator</i>	0.020	76
#1	Attini	0.0088	16
#2	Myrmicinae	0.0071	10
#3	Myrmicinae	0.0087	16
#4	Formicoid	0.0072	17
#5	Formicoid	0.025	58
#6	Formicidae	0.030	87
#7	Aculeata	0.10	176
#8	Hymenoptera/Apocrita	0.39	762
All above branches except #7 and #8	Formicidae	0.20	830
All above branches	Hymenoptera	0.43	1,832

¹ As illustrated in Figure 1

Table 3: Gene Ontology enrichment test based on scores from the branch-site test from Codeml in ants.

The enrichment test considers a combined score for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage (materials and methods). The full table of results is shown in Table S6.

setID	Ontology	setName	setsize	score	direction	p-value	FDR
GO:0000313	CC	organellar ribosome	59	26.8	+	1.4E-10	0
GO:0006120	BP	mitochondrial electron transport, NADH to ubiquinone	18	10.6	+	1.1E-09	0
GO:0005759	CC	mitochondrial matrix	98	39.7	+	1.6E-09	0
GO:0005762	CC	mitochondrial large ribosomal subunit	36	16.8	+	1.1E-07	0.0025
GO:0005746	CC	mitochondrial respiratory chain	31	14.6	+	4.5E-07	0.0033
GO:0005747	CC	mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I	22	11.0	+	1.3E-06	0.0033
GO:0008137	MF	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) activity	16	8.0	+	3.2E-05	0.013
GO:0005763	CC	mitochondrial small ribosomal subunit	25	10.9	+	0.00018	0.047
GO:0008038	BP	neuron recognition	19	8.7	+	0.00023	0.047
GO:0008344	BP	adult locomotory behavior	19	8.4	+	0.00082	0.086
GO:0042254	BP	ribosome biogenesis	39	15.0	+	0.0011	0.099
GO:0003735	MF	structural constituent of ribosome	107	36.4	+	0.0012	0.099
GO:0044459	CC	plasma membrane part	129	42.9	+	0.0016	0.12
GO:0006508	BP	Proteolysis	145	47.4	+	0.0022	0.14
GO:0006412	BP	Translation	191	61.0	+	0.0025	0.15
GO:0016491	MF	oxidoreductase activity	127	41.8	+	0.0028	0.15
GO:0004872	MF	receptor activity	90	30.6	+	0.0028	0.15
GO:0055114	BP	oxidation-reduction process	129	42.2	+	0.0038	0.16
GO:0008237	MF	metallopeptidase activity	36	13.6	+	0.0039	0.16
GO:0061134	MF	peptidase regulator activity	17	7.2	+	0.0046	0.18
GO:0002520	BP	immune system development	26	10.2	+	0.0053	0.19

GO:0048534	BP	hemopoietic or lymphoid organ development	26	10.2	+	0.0053	0.19
GO:0016616	MF	oxidoreductase activity, acting on the CH-OH group of donors, NAD or NADP as acceptor	18	7.5	+	0.0053	0.19
GO:0016836	MF	hydro-lyase activity	14	6.1	+	0.0055	0.19
GO:0043066	BP	negative regulation of apoptosis	26	2.9	-	0.00024	0.047
GO:0043687	BP	post-translational protein modification	16	1.1	-	0.00025	0.047
GO:0003700	MF	sequence-specific DNA binding transcription factor activity	127	25.9	-	0.00053	0.060
GO:0016476	BP	regulation of embryonic cell shape	11	0.85	-	0.0035	0.16
GO:0000278	BP	mitotic cell cycle	175	39.1	-	0.0039	0.16
GO:0071011	CC	precatalytic spliceosome	85	17.2	-	0.0041	0.17

Supplementary information

Table S1: Results from the branch-site test for positive selection applied on the ant single-copy orthologs dataset (4,256 families). Family numbering as in OrthoDB run (http://bioinfo.unil.ch/supdata/Roux_positive_selection_ants/orthoDB_run.zip). Branch numbering as in Figure 1. A “comparable” branch means that in this particular gene family, the topology of this particular branch is identical to the species tree, and not affected by missing genes.

Table S2: Results from the branch-site test for positive selection applied on the bee dataset (2,256 families). Family numbering based on *Apis mellifera* orthologs. Branch numbering as in Figure S5. Legend as Table S1.

Table S3: Results from the branch-site test for positive selection applied on the twelve *Drosophila* dataset (3,749 families). Family numbering based on *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs. Branch numbering as in Figure S4. Legend as Table S1.

Table S4: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in 12 *Drosophila* using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined score for all analyzed branches of the *Drosophila* lineage).

Table S5: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in bees using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined for all analyzed branches of the bee lineage, with the exception of two long basal branches leading to *Megachile rotundata* and *Exoneura robusta*).

Table S6: Gene Ontology enrichment test based on scores from the branch-site test from Codeml in ants. This is the full table of all GO categories tested. See Table 3 for only the GO categories that are significantly enriched or depleted.

Table S7: Biases in Gene Ontology functional categories for genes present in the dataset of bee single-copy orthologs gene families (10 species).

Table S8: Biases in Gene Ontology functional categories for genes present in the dataset of single-copy orthologs gene families (7 ant species and 12 species in total).

Table S9: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection using the branch-site test from Codeml (an enrichment test is run for each branch separately).

Table S10: Biases in Gene Ontology functional categories for genes present in the extensive ant dataset including gene families that experienced duplications (7 ant species and 12 species in total).

Table S11: Biases in Gene Ontology functional categories for genes present in the dataset of *Drosophila* single-copy orthologs gene families (12 species).

Table S12: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on phenotypic categories based on positive selection scores using the branch-site test from Codeml (an enrichment test is run for each branch separately).

Table S13: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in ants using the site test from Codeml.

Table S14: Results from the site test for positive selection applied on the mitochondrial genome dataset (13 families).

Table S15: Results from the branch-site test for positive selection applied on the mitochondrial genome dataset (13 families). Branch numbering as in Figure S3. Legend as Table S1.

Table S16: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on sets of aging and oxidative stress differentially expressed genes in *D. melanogaster*, based on scores for positive selection using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined scores for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage).

Table S17: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on sets of caste-specific differentially expressed genes in *S. invicta*, based on scores for positive selection using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined scores for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage).

Table S18: Results from the branch-site test for positive selection applied on the olfactory receptors dataset. Clades and branches IDs are similar to Newick trees show in supplementary text. Full phylogenetic tree is displayed as Figure 3 and Figure S1.

Table S19: Results from the site test for positive selection applied on the extensive ant dataset (6,186 families). Family numbering as in OrthoDB run (http://bioinfo.unil.ch/supdata/Roux_positive_selection_ants/orthoDB_run.zip).

Table S20: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in ants using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined score for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage). Only results on internal branches were considered (no leaves).

Table S21: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in ants using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined score for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage). We considered only results on branches where the rate of synonymous mutations d_s was lower than 1.

Table S22: SUMSTAT gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories based on scores for positive selection in ants using the branch-site test from Codeml (combined score for all analyzed branches of the ant lineage). Only positive results with identified sites on the alignment (BEB posterior probability > 0.9) were considered.

Bibliographic references

1. Hölldobler B, Wilson E (1990) The ants: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
2. Suen G, Teiling C, Li L, Holt C, Abouheif E, et al. (2011) The Genome Sequence of the Leaf-Cutter Ant *Atta cephalotes* Reveals Insights into Its Obligate Symbiotic Lifestyle. PLoS Genet 7: e1002007.
3. Nygaard S, Zhang G, Schiøtt M, Li C, Wurm Y, et al. (2011) The genome of the leaf-cutting ant *Acromyrmex echinatior* suggests key adaptations to advanced social life and fungus farming. Genome Res 21: 1339-1348.
4. Wurm Y, Wang J, Riba-Grognuz O, Corona M, Nygaard S, et al. (2011) The genome of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 5679-5684.
5. Smith CR, Smith CD, Robertson HM, Helmkampf M, Zimin A, et al. (2011) Draft genome of the red harvester ant *Pogonomyrmex barbatus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 5667-5672.
6. Bonasio R, Zhang G, Ye C, Mutti NS, Fang X, et al. (2010) Genomic Comparison of the Ants *Camponotus floridanus* and *Harpegnathos saltator*. Science 329: 1068-1071.
7. Smith CD, Zimin A, Holt C, Abouheif E, Benton R, et al. (2011) Draft genome of the globally widespread and invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 5673-5678.
8. Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium (2007) Evolution of genes and genomes on the *Drosophila* phylogeny. Nature 450: 203-218.
9. Woodard SH, Fischman BJ, Venkat A, Hudson ME, Varala K, et al. (2011) Genes involved in convergent evolution of eusociality in bees. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 7472-7477.
10. Kosiol C, Vinar T, da Fonseca RR, Hubisz MJ, Bustamante CD, et al. (2008) Patterns of Positive Selection in Six Mammalian Genomes. PLoS Genet 4: e1000144.
11. Bakewell MA, Shi P, Zhang J (2007) More genes underwent positive selection in chimpanzee evolution than in human evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 7489-7494.
12. Vamathevan J, Hasan S, Emes R, Amrine-Madsen H, Rajagopalan D, et al. (2008) The role of positive selection in determining the molecular cause of species differences in disease. BMC Evol Biol 8: 273.
13. George RD, McVicker G, Diederich R, Ng SB, MacKenzie AP, et al. (2011) Trans genomic capture and sequencing of primate exomes reveals new targets of positive selection. Genome Res 21: 1686-1694.

14. Oliver TA, Garfield DA, Manier MK, Haygood R, Wray GA, et al. (2010) Whole-Genome Positive Selection and Habitat-Driven Evolution in a Shallow and a Deep-Sea Urchin. *Genome Biol Evol* 2: 800-814.
15. Bulmer MS (2010) Evolution of Immune Proteins in Insects. *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences (ELS)*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
16. Honeybee Genome Sequencing Consortium. (2006) Insights into social insects from the genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Nature* 443: 931-949.
17. Smith CR, Toth AL, Suarez AV, Robinson GE (2008) Genetic and genomic analyses of the division of labour in insect societies. *Nat Rev Genet* 9: 735-748.
18. Viljakainen L, Evans JD, Hasselmann M, Rueppell O, Tingek S, et al. (2009) Rapid evolution of immune proteins in social insects. *Mol Biol Evol* 26: 1791-1801.
19. Harpur BA, Zayed A (2013) Accelerated evolution of innate immunity proteins in social insects: adaptive evolution or relaxed constraint? *Mol Biol Evol* 30: 1665-1674.
20. Werren JH, Richards S, Desjardins CA, Niehuis O, Gadau J, et al. (2010) Functional and Evolutionary Insights from the Genomes of Three Parasitoid *Nasonia* Species. *Science* 327: 343-348.
21. Fischman BJ, Woodard SH, Robinson GE (2011) Molecular evolutionary analyses of insect societies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108 Suppl 2: 10847-10854.
22. Robertson HM, Wanner KW (2006) The chemoreceptor superfamily in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*: expansion of the odorant, but not gustatory, receptor family. *Genome Res* 16: 1395-1403.
23. Leboeuf AC, Benton R, Keller L (2013) The molecular basis of social behavior: models, methods and advances. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 23: 3-10.
24. Ingram KK, Oefner P, Gordon DM (2005) Task-specific expression of the foraging gene in harvester ants. *Mol Ecol* 14: 813-818.
25. Kulmuni J, Wurm Y, Pamilo P (2013) Comparative genomics of chemosensory protein genes reveals rapid evolution and positive selection in ant-specific duplicates. *Heredity* 110: 538-547.
26. Schwander T, Lo N, Beekman M, Oldroyd BP, Keller L (2010) Nature versus nurture in social insect caste differentiation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 25: 275-282.
27. Rajakumar R, San Mauro D, Dijkstra MB, Huang MH, Wheeler DE, et al. (2012) Ancestral developmental potential facilitates parallel evolution in ants. *Science* 335: 79-82.
28. Penick CA, Prager SS, Liebig J (2012) Juvenile hormone induces queen development in late-stage larvae of the ant *Harpegnathos saltator*. *J Insect Physiol* 58: 1643-1649.
29. Abouheif E, Wray GA (2002) Evolution of the gene network underlying wing polyphenism in ants. *Science* 297: 249-252.
30. Keller L, Genoud M (1997) Extraordinary lifespans in ants: a test of evolutionary theories of ageing. *Nature* 389: 958-960.
31. Jemielity S, Chapuisat M, Parker JD, Keller L (2005) Long live the queen: studying aging in social insects. *Age* 27: 241-248.
32. Keller L, Jemielity S (2006) Social insects as a model to study the molecular basis of ageing. *Exp Gerontol* 41: 553-556.
33. Landis GN, Abdueva D, Skvortsov D, Yang J, Rabin BE, et al. (2004) Similar gene expression patterns characterize aging and oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 7663-7668.

34. Duret L (2008) Neutral theory: The null hypothesis of molecular evolution. *Nature Education* 1.
35. Linksvayer TA, Wade MJ (2009) Genes with social effects are expected to harbor more sequence variation within and between species. *Evolution* 63: 1685-1696.
36. Hall DW, Goodisman MA (2012) The effects of kin selection on rates of molecular evolution in social insects. *Evolution* 66: 2080-2093.
37. Ometto L, Shoemaker D, Ross KG, Keller L (2011) Evolution of Gene Expression in Fire Ants: The Effects of Developmental Stage, Caste, and Species. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 1381-1392.
38. Yang Z, Wong WSW, Nielsen R (2005) Bayes Empirical Bayes Inference of Amino Acid Sites Under Positive Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 22: 1107-1118.
39. Fletcher W, Yang Z (2010) The Effect of Insertions, Deletions, and Alignment Errors on the Branch-Site Test of Positive Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 27: 2257-2267.
40. Anisimova M, Yang Z (2007) Multiple Hypothesis Testing to Detect Lineages under Positive Selection that Affects Only a Few Sites. *Mol Biol Evol* 24: 1219-1228.
41. Studer RA, Penel S, Duret L, Robinson-Rechavi M (2008) Pervasive positive selection on duplicated and nonduplicated vertebrate protein coding genes. *Genome Res* 18: 1393-1402.
42. Gharib WH, Robinson-Rechavi M (2013) The branch-site test of positive selection is surprisingly robust but lacks power under synonymous substitution saturation and variation in GC. *Mol Biol Evol* 30: 1675-1686.
43. Montoya-Burgos JI (2011) Patterns of positive selection and neutral evolution in the protein-coding genes of Tetraodon and Takifugu. *PLoS ONE* 6: e24800.
44. Yang Z, Nielsen R, Goldman N, Pedersen A-MK (2000) Codon-Substitution Models for Heterogeneous Selection Pressure at Amino Acid Sites. *Genetics* 155: 431-449.
45. Yang Z (1998) Likelihood ratio tests for detecting positive selection and application to primate lysozyme evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 15: 568-573.
46. Zhang J, Nielsen R, Yang Z (2005) Evaluation of an Improved Branch-Site Likelihood Method for Detecting Positive Selection at the Molecular Level. *Mol Biol Evol* 22: 2472-2479.
47. Yang Z, dos Reis M (2011) Statistical Properties of the Branch-Site Test of Positive Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 1217-1228.
48. Hoffmann JA (2003) The immune response of *Drosophila*. *Nature* 426: 33-38.
49. Wong A, Turchin M, Wolfner MF, Aquadro CF (2012) Temporally variable selection on proteolysis-related reproductive tract proteins in *Drosophila*. *Mol Biol Evol* 29: 229-238.
50. Whitworth ST, Blum MS, Travis J (1998) Proteolytic Enzymes from Larvae of the Fire Ant, *Solenopsis invicta*. *J Biol Chem* 273: 14430-14434.
51. De Graaf DC, Aerts M, Brunain M, Desjardins CA, Jacobs FJ, et al. (2010) Insights into the venom composition of the ectoparasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* from bioinformatic and proteomic studies. *Insect Mol Biol* 19: 11-26.
52. Pradet-Balade B, Boulmé F, Beug H, Mullner EW, Garcia-Sanz JA (2001) Translation control: bridging the gap between genomics and proteomics? *Trends Biochem Sci* 26: 225-229.
53. Corley LS, Lavine MD (2006) A review of insect stem cell types. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* 17: 510-517.
54. Bechstedt S, Albert JT, Kreil DP, Muller-Reichert T, Gopfert MC, et al. (2010) A doublecortin containing microtubule-associated protein is implicated in mechanotransduction in *Drosophila* sensory cilia. *Nat Commun* 1: 11.

55. Bodily KD, Morrison CM, Renden RB, Broadie K (2001) A Novel Member of the Ig Superfamily, turtle, is a CNS-Specific Protein Required for Coordinated Motor Control. *Journal of Neuroscience* 21: 3113-3125.
56. Tauber E, Eberl DF (2001) Song production in auditory mutants of *Drosophila*: the role of sensory feedback. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A: Sensory, neural, and behavioral physiology* 187: 341-348.
57. Farris SM, Schulmeister S (2011) Parasitoidism, not sociality, is associated with the evolution of elaborate mushroom bodies in the brains of hymenopteran insects. *Proc R Soc B* 278: 940-951.
58. Nozawa M, Nei M (2007) Evolutionary dynamics of olfactory receptor genes in *Drosophila* species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 7122-7127.
59. Finkel T, Holbrook NJ (2000) Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. *Nature* 408: 239-247.
60. Harman D (1972) The biologic clock: the mitochondria? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 20: 145-147.
61. Cui H, Kong Y, Zhang H (2012) Oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and aging. *J Signal Transduct* 2012: 646354.
62. Lenaz G (1998) Role of mitochondria in oxidative stress and ageing. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1366: 53-67.
63. Jobson RW, Nabholz B, Galtier N (2010) An Evolutionary Genome Scan for Longevity-Related Natural Selection in Mammals. *Mol Biol Evol* 27: 840-847.
64. Gotzek D, Clarke J, Shoemaker D (2010) Mitochondrial genome evolution in fire ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *BMC Evol Biol* 10: 300.
65. Oliveira DC, Raychoudhury R, Lavrov DV, Werren JH (2008) Rapidly evolving mitochondrial genome and directional selection in mitochondrial genes in the parasitic wasp *nasonia* (hymenoptera: pteromalidae). *Mol Biol Evol* 25: 2167-2180.
66. Bazin E, Glemin S, Galtier N (2006) Population size does not influence mitochondrial genetic diversity in animals. *Science* 312: 570-572.
67. Gerber AS, Loggins R, Kumar S, Dowling TE (2001) Does Nonneutral Evolution Shape Observed Patterns of DNA Variation in Animal Mitochondrial Genomes? *Annual Review of Genetics* 35: 539-566.
68. Meiklejohn CD, Montooth KL, Rand DM (2007) Positive and negative selection on the mitochondrial genome. *Trends Genet* 23: 259-263.
69. Remolina SC, Chang PL, Leips J, Nuzhdin SV, Hughes KA (2012) Genomic Basis of Aging and Life-History Evolution in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 66: 3390-3403.
70. Kishita Y, Tsuda M, Aigaki T (2012) Impaired fatty acid oxidation in a *Drosophila* model of mitochondrial trifunctional protein (MTP) deficiency. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 419: 344-349.
71. Mockett RJ, Orr WC, Rahmandar JJ, Benes JJ, Radyuk SN, et al. (1999) Overexpression of Mn-Containing Superoxide Dismutase in Transgenic *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 371: 260-269.
72. Curtis C, Landis GN, Folk D, Wehr NB, Hoe N, et al. (2007) Transcriptional profiling of MnSOD-mediated lifespan extension in *Drosophila* reveals a species-general network of aging and metabolic genes. *Genome Biol* 8: R262.
73. Dawkins R, Krebs JR (1979) Arms Races between and within Species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 205: 489-511.

74. Waters JS, Holbrook CT, Fewell JH, Harrison JF (2010) Allometric Scaling of Metabolism, Growth, and Activity in Whole Colonies of the Seed-Harvester Ant *Pogonomyrmex californicus*. *The American Naturalist* 176: 501-510.
75. Hou C, Kaspari M, Vander Zanden HB, Gillooly JF (2010) Energetic basis of colonial living in social insects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 3634-3638.
76. Jensen TF, Holm-Jensen I (1980) Energetic cost of running in workers of three ant species, *Formica fusca* L., *Formica rufa* L., and *Camponotus herculeanus* L. (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). *Journal of Comparative Physiology* 137: 151-156.
77. Suarez RK (2000) Energy Metabolism during Insect Flight: Biochemical Design and Physiological Performance. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 73: 765-771.
78. Niven JE, Scharlemann JP (2005) Do insect metabolic rates at rest and during flight scale with body mass? *Biology Letters* 1: 346-349.
79. Lihoreau M, Latty T, Chittka L (2012) An exploration of the social brain hypothesis in insects. *Front Physiol* 3: 442.
80. Zhou X, Slone JD, Rokas A, Berger SL, Liebig J, et al. (2012) Phylogenetic and transcriptomic analysis of chemosensory receptors in a pair of divergent ant species reveals sex-specific signatures of odor coding. *PLoS Genet* 8: e1002930.
81. Burton RS, Barreto FS (2012) A disproportionate role for mtDNA in Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities? *Mol Ecol* 21: 4942-4957.
82. Lee HY, Chou JY, Cheong L, Chang NH, Yang SY, et al. (2008) Incompatibility of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes causes hybrid sterility between two yeast species. *Cell* 135: 1065-1073.
83. Werren JH (1997) Biology of *Wolbachia*. *Annual Review of Entomology* 42: 587-609.
84. Trifunovic A, Hansson A, Wredenberg A, Rovio AT, Dufour E, et al. (2005) Somatic mtDNA mutations cause aging phenotypes without affecting reactive oxygen species production. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 17993-17998.
85. Cortopassi GA (2002) A neutral theory predicts multigenic aging and increased concentrations of deleterious mutations on the mitochondrial and Y chromosomes. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 33: 605-610.
86. Trifunovic A, Wredenberg A, Falkenberg M, Spelbrink JN, Rovio AT, et al. (2004) Premature ageing in mice expressing defective mitochondrial DNA polymerase. *Nature* 429: 417-423.
87. Richly E, Chinnery PF, Leister D (2003) Evolutionary diversification of mitochondrial proteomes: implications for human disease. *Trends Genet* 19: 356-362.
88. Kowald A, Kirkwood TB (2011) Evolution of the mitochondrial fusion-fission cycle and its role in aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 10237-10242.
89. Perez-Campo R, López-Torres M, Cadenas S, Rojas C, Barja G (1998) The rate of free radical production as a determinant of the rate of aging: evidence from the comparative approach. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 168: 149-158.
90. Parker JD, Parker KM, Sohal BH, Sohal RS, Keller L (2004) Decreased expression of Cu-Zn superoxide dismutase 1 in ants with extreme lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101: 3486-3489.
91. Corona M, Hughes KA, Weaver DB, Robinson GE (2005) Gene expression patterns associated with queen honey bee longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev* 126: 1230-1238.
92. Brunet-Rossinni AK (2004) Reduced free-radical production and extreme longevity in the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*) versus two non-flying mammals. *Mech Ageing Dev* 125: 11-20.

93. Zhang G, Cowled C, Shi Z, Huang Z, Bishop-Lilly KA, et al. (2013) Comparative analysis of bat genomes provides insight into the evolution of flight and immunity. *Science* 339: 456-460.
94. Shen YY, Liang L, Zhu ZH, Zhou WP, Irwin DM, et al. (2010) Adaptive evolution of energy metabolism genes and the origin of flight in bats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 8666-8671.
95. Brunet-Rossinni A, Austad S (2004) Ageing Studies on Bats: A Review. *Biogerontology* 5: 211-222.
96. Yui R, Ohno Y, Matsuura ET (2003) Accumulation of deleted mitochondrial DNA in aging *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genes & Genetic Systems* 78: 245-251.
97. Munch D, Amdam GV, Wolschin F (2008) Ageing in a eusocial insect: molecular and physiological characteristics of life span plasticity in the honey bee. *Funct Ecol* 22: 407-421.
98. Amdam GV, Omholt SW (2002) The regulatory anatomy of honeybee lifespan. *J Theor Biol* 216: 209-228.
99. Corona M, Velarde RA, Remolina S, Moran-Lauter A, Wang Y, et al. (2007) Vitellogenin, juvenile hormone, insulin signaling, and queen honey bee longevity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104: 7128-7133.
100. Simola DF, Wissler L, Donahue G, Waterhouse RM, Helmkampf M, et al. (2013) Social insect genomes exhibit dramatic evolution in gene composition and regulation while preserving regulatory features linked to sociality. *Genome Res* 23: 1235-1247.
101. Tan H-W, Liu G-H, Dong X, Lin R-Q, Song H-Q, et al. (2011) The Complete Mitochondrial Genome of the Asiatic Cavity-Nesting Honeybee *Apis cerana* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *PLoS ONE* 6: e23008.
102. Crozier RH, Crozier YC (1993) The mitochondrial genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*: complete sequence and genome organization. *Genetics* 133: 97-117.
103. Lynch M, Sung W, Morris K, Coffey N, Landry CR, et al. (2008) A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 9272-9277.
104. Montooth KL, Rand DM (2008) The Spectrum of Mitochondrial Mutation Differs across Species. *PLoS Biol* 6: e213.
105. Munoz-Torres MC, Reese JT, Childers CP, Bennett AK, Sundaram JP, et al. (2011) Hymenoptera Genome Database: integrated community resources for insect species of the order Hymenoptera. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39: D658-D662.
106. Tweedie S, Ashburner M, Falls K, Leyland P, McQuilton P, et al. (2009) FlyBase: enhancing *Drosophila* Gene Ontology annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D555-D559.
107. Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, Evans CA, Gocayne JD, et al. (2000) The Genome Sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* 287: 2185-2195.
108. Kim HS, Murphy T, Xia J, Caragea D, Park Y, et al. (2010) BeetleBase in 2010: revisions to provide comprehensive genomic information for *Tribolium castaneum*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38: D437-D442.
109. *Tribolium* Genome Sequencing Consortium. (2008) The genome of the model beetle and pest *Tribolium castaneum*. *Nature* 452: 949-955.
110. Lawson D, Arensburger P, Atkinson P, Besansky NJ, Bruggner RV, et al. (2009) VectorBase: a data resource for invertebrate vector genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D583-D587.

111. Kirkness EF, Haas BJ, Sun W, Braig HR, Perotti MA, et al. (2010) Genome sequences of the human body louse and its primary endosymbiont provide insights into the permanent parasitic lifestyle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 12168-12173.
112. Waterhouse RM, Zdobnov EM, Tegenfeldt F, Li J, Kriventseva EV (2011) OrthoDB: the hierarchical catalog of eukaryotic orthologs in 2011. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39: D283-D288.
113. Waterhouse RM, Zdobnov EM, Kriventseva EV (2011) Correlating Traits of Gene Retention, Sequence Divergence, Duplicability and Essentiality in Vertebrates, Arthropods, and Fungi. *Genome Biol Evol* 3: 75-86.
114. Davis JC, Petrov DA (2004) Preferential duplication of conserved proteins in eukaryotic genomes. *PLoS Biol* 2: e55.
115. He X, Zhang J (2006) Higher Duplicability of Less Important Genes in Yeast Genomes. *Mol Biol Evol* 23: 144-151.
116. Brunet FG, Crollius HR, Paris M, Aury JM, Gibert P, et al. (2006) Gene loss and evolutionary rates following whole-genome duplication in teleost fishes. *Mol Biol Evol* 23: 1808-1816.
117. Force A, Lynch M, Pickett FB, Amores A, Yan YL, et al. (1999) Preservation of duplicate genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. *Genetics* 151: 1531-1545.
118. Lindblad-Toh K, Garber M, Zuk O, Lin MF, Parker BJ, et al. (2011) A high-resolution map of human evolutionary constraint using 29 mammals. *Nature* 478: 476-482.
119. Hambuch TM, Parsch J (2005) Patterns of Synonymous Codon Usage in *Drosophila melanogaster* Genes With Sex-Biased Expression. *Genetics* 170: 1691-1700.
120. Markova-Raina P, Petrov D (2011) High sensitivity to aligner and high rate of false positives in the estimates of positive selection in the 12 *Drosophila* genomes. *Genome Res* 21: 863-874.
121. Schneider A, Souvorov A, Sabath N, Landan G, Gonnet GH, et al. (2009) Estimates of Positive Darwinian Selection Are Inflated by Errors in Sequencing, Annotation, and Alignment. *Genome Biol Evol* 1: 114-118.
122. Jordan G, Goldman N (2012) The effects of alignment error and alignment filtering on the sitewise detection of positive selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 29: 1125-1139.
123. Proux E, Studer RA, Moretti S, Robinson-Rechavi M (2009) Selectome: a database of positive selection. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37: D404-D407.
124. Moretti S, Laurency B, Gharib WH, Castella B, Kuzniar A, et al. (2013) Selectome update: quality control and computational improvements to a database of positive selection. *Nucleic Acids Research*.
125. Wallace IM, O'Sullivan O, Higgins DG, Notredame C (2006) M-Coffee: combining multiple sequence alignment methods with T-Coffee. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34: 1692-1699.
126. Notredame C, Higgins DG, Heringa J (2000) T-coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J Mol Biol* 302: 205-217.
127. Vilella AJ, Severin J, Ureta-Vidal A, Heng L, Durbin R, et al. (2009) EnsemblCompara GeneTrees: Complete, duplication-aware phylogenetic trees in vertebrates. *Genome Res* 19: 327-335.
128. Gouveia-Oliveira R, Sackett P, Pedersen A (2007) MaxAlign: maximizing usable data in an alignment. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8: 312.
129. Talavera G, Castresana J (2007) Improvement of Phylogenies after Removing Divergent and Ambiguously Aligned Blocks from Protein Sequence Alignments. *Systematic Biology* 56: 564-577.

130. Privman E, Penn O, Pupko T (2012) Improving the performance of positive selection inference by filtering unreliable alignment regions. *Mol Biol Evol* 29: 1-5.
131. Bazykin GA, Kondrashov AS (2012) Major role of positive selection in the evolution of conservative segments of *Drosophila* proteins. *Proc R Soc B* 279: 3409-3417.
132. Löytynoja A, Goldman N (2008) Phylogeny-aware gap placement prevents errors in sequence alignment and evolutionary analysis. *Science* 320: 1632-1635.
133. Löytynoja A, Goldman N (2005) An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences with insertions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 10557-10562.
134. Penn O, Privman E, Landan G, Graur D, Pupko T (2010) An alignment confidence score capturing robustness to guide tree uncertainty. *Mol Biol Evol* 27: 1759-1767.
135. Stamatakis A (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22: 2688-2690.
136. Bernt M, Donath A, Juhling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, et al. (2012) MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 69: 313-319.
137. Yang Z (2007) PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol* 24: 1586-1591.
138. Zhang J (2004) Frequent False Detection of Positive Selection by the Likelihood Method with Branch-Site Models. *Mol Biol Evol* 21: 1332-1339.
139. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)* 57: 289-300.
140. Schabauer H, Valle M, Pacher C, Stockinger H, Stamatakis A, et al. (2012) SlimCodeML: An Optimized Version of CodeML for the Branch-Site Model. 2012 IEEE 26th International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops & PhD Forum: 706-714.
141. Swanson WJ, Nielsen R, Yang Q (2003) Pervasive Adaptive Evolution in Mammalian Fertilization Proteins. *Mol Biol Evol* 20: 18-20.
142. Wong WS, Yang Z, Goldman N, Nielsen R (2004) Accuracy and power of statistical methods for detecting adaptive evolution in protein coding sequences and for identifying positively selected sites. *Genetics* 168: 1041-1051.
143. Katoh K, Kuma K, Toh H, Miyata T (2005) MAFFT version 5: improvement in accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33: 511-518.
144. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, et al. (2000) Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* 25: 25-29.
145. Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J, Lengauer T (2006) Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics* 22: 1600-1607.
146. Gentleman R, Carey V, Bates D, Bolstad B, Dettling M, et al. (2004) Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol* 5: R80.
147. Allison DB, Cui X, Page GP, Sabripour M (2006) Microarray data analysis: from disarray to consolidation and consensus. *Nat Rev Genet* 7: 55-65.

148. Tintle N, Borchers B, Brown M, Bekmetjev A (2009) Comparing gene set analysis methods on single-nucleotide polymorphism data from Genetic Analysis Workshop 16. BMC Proceedings 3: S96.
149. Daub JT, Hofer T, Cutivet E, Dupanloup I, Quintana-Murci L, et al. (2013) Evidence for Polygenic Adaptation to Pathogens in the Human Genome. Mol Biol Evol 30: 1544-1558.
150. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, et al. (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 15545-15550.
151. Kim SY, Volsky DJ (2005) PAGE: parametric analysis of gene set enrichment. BMC Bioinformatics 6: 144.
152. Efron B, Tibshirani R (2007) On Testing the Significance of Sets of Genes. The Annals of Applied Statistics 1: 107-129.
153. Fehring G, Liu G, Briollais L, Brennan P, Amos CI, et al. (2012) Comparison of Pathway Analysis Approaches Using Lung Cancer GWAS Data Sets. PLoS ONE 7: e31816.
154. Ackermann M, Strimmer K (2009) A general modular framework for gene set enrichment analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 47.
155. McDonald JH (2009) Handbook of Biological Statistics. Baltimore, Maryland: Sparky House Publishing. 319 p.
156. Canal L (2005) A normal approximation for the chi-square distribution. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 48: 803-808.
157. Drysdale R (2001) Phenotypic data in FlyBase. Brief Bioinform 2: 68-80.
158. Osumi-Sutherland D, Marygold S, Millburn G, McQuilton P, Ponting L, et al. (2013) The Drosophila phenotype ontology. Journal of Biomedical Semantics 4: 30.
159. Smith B, Ashburner M, Rosse C, Bard J, Bug W, et al. (2007) The OBO Foundry: coordinated evolution of ontologies to support biomedical data integration. Nat Biotechnol 25: 1251-1255.
160. Weng MP, Liao BY (2011) DroPhEA: Drosophila phenotype enrichment analysis for insect functional genomics. Bioinformatics 27: 3218-3219.
161. Hunt BG, Ometto L, Wurm Y, Shoemaker D, Yi SV, et al. (2011) Relaxed selection is a precursor to the evolution of phenotypic plasticity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 15936-15941.
162. Clamp M, Cuff J, Searle SM, Barton GJ (2004) The Jalview Java alignment editor. Bioinformatics 20: 426-427.
163. Brady SG, Schultz TR, Fisher BL, Ward PS (2006) Evaluating alternative hypotheses for the early evolution and diversification of ants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 18172-18177.
164. Moreau CS, Bell CD, Vila R, Archibald SB, Pierce NE (2006) Phylogeny of the Ants: Diversification in the Age of Angiosperms. Science 312: 101-104.
165. Didelot G, Molinari F, Tchenio P, Comas D, Milhiet E, et al. (2006) Tequila, a neurotrypsin ortholog, regulates long-term memory formation in *Drosophila*. Science 313: 851-853.
166. Chen CC, Wu JK, Lin HW, Pai TP, Fu TF, et al. (2012) Visualizing long-term memory formation in two neurons of the *Drosophila* brain. Science 335: 678-685.
167. Blanke S, Jackle H (2006) Novel guanine nucleotide exchange factor GEFmeso of *Drosophila melanogaster* interacts with Ral and Rho GTPase Cdc42. FASEB J 20: 683-691.

168. Qi H, Rath U, Wang D, Xu YZ, Ding Y, et al. (2004) Megator, an essential coiled-coil protein that localizes to the putative spindle matrix during mitosis in *Drosophila*. *Mol Biol Cell* 15: 4854-4865.
169. Bronstein R, Levkovitz L, Yosef N, Yanku M, Ruppin E, et al. (2010) Transcriptional Regulation by CHIP/LDB Complexes. *PLoS Genet* 6: e1001063.
170. Kiss DL, Andrusis ED (2010) Genome-wide analysis reveals distinct substrate specificities of Rrp6, Dis3, and core exosome subunits. *RNA* 16: 781-791.
171. Kuan YS, Brewer-Jensen P, Bai WL, Hunter C, Wilson CB, et al. (2009) *Drosophila* suppressor of sable protein [Su(s)] promotes degradation of aberrant and transposon-derived RNAs. *Mol Cell Biol* 29: 5590-5603.
172. Neumuller RA, Richter C, Fischer A, Novatchkova M, Neumuller KG, et al. (2011) Genome-wide analysis of self-renewal in *Drosophila* neural stem cells by transgenic RNAi. *Cell Stem Cell* 8: 580-593.
173. Collier S, Chan HYE, Toda T, McKimmie C, Johnson G, et al. (2000) The *Drosophila* embargoed Gene Is Required for Larval Progression and Encodes the Functional Homolog of *Schizosaccharomyces* Crm1. *Genetics* 155: 1799-1807.
174. Roth P, Xylourgidis N, Sabri N, Uv A, Fornerod M, et al. (2003) The *Drosophila* nucleoporin DNup88 localizes DNup214 and CRM1 on the nuclear envelope and attenuates NES-mediated nuclear export. *J Cell Biol* 163: 701-706.
175. Stroschein-Stevenson SL, Foley E, O'Farrell PH, Johnson AD (2005) Identification of *Drosophila* Gene Products Required for Phagocytosis of *Candida albicans*. *PLoS Biol* 4: e4.
176. Molnar J, Ujfaludi Z, Fong SF, Bollinger JA, Waro G, et al. (2005) *Drosophila* lysyl oxidases Dmlox1-1 and Dmlox1-2 are differentially expressed and the active DmLOXL-1 influences gene expression and development. *J Biol Chem* 280: 22977-22985.
177. Molnar J, Fong KSK, He QP, Hayashi K, Kim Y, et al. (2003) Structural and functional diversity of lysyl oxidase and the LOX-like proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics* 1647: 220-224.
178. Hovemann BT, Sehlmeier F, Malz J (1997) *Drosophila melanogaster* NADPH-cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase: pronounced expression in antennae may be related to odorant clearance. *Gene* 189: 213-219.
179. Tea JS, Luo L (2011) The chromatin remodeling factor Bap55 functions through the TIP60 complex to regulate olfactory projection neuron dendrite targeting. *Neural Dev* 6: 5.
180. Parrish JZ, Kim MD, Jan LY, Jan YN (2006) Genome-wide analyses identify transcription factors required for proper morphogenesis of *Drosophila* sensory neuron dendrites. *Genes Dev* 20: 820-835.
181. Pressman S, Reinke CA, Wang X, Carthew RW (2012) A Systematic Genetic Screen to Dissect the MicroRNA Pathway in *Drosophila*. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 2: 437-448.
182. Jordan KW, Craver KL, Magwire MM, Cubilla CE, Mackay TF, et al. (2012) Genome-wide association for sensitivity to chronic oxidative stress in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS ONE* 7: e38722.
183. Gregory JM, Barros TP, Meehan S, Dobson CM, Luheshi LM (2012) The Aggregation and Neurotoxicity of TDP-43 and Its ALS-Associated 25 kDa Fragment Are Differentially Affected by Molecular Chaperones in *Drosophila*. *PLoS ONE* 7: e31899.

184. Zhang D, Ke L, Mackovicova K, Van Der Want JJ, Sibon OC, et al. (2011) Effects of different small HSPB members on contractile dysfunction and structural changes in a *Drosophila melanogaster* model for Atrial Fibrillation. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 51: 381-389.
185. Kucherenko MM, Marrone AK, Rishko VM, Magliarelli Hde F, Shcherbata HR (2011) Stress and muscular dystrophy: a genetic screen for dystroglycan and dystrophin interactors in *Drosophila* identifies cellular stress response components. *Dev Biol* 352: 228-242.
186. Kim HJ, Morrow G, Westwood JT, Michaud S, Tanguay RM (2010) Gene expression profiling implicates OXPHOS complexes in lifespan extension of flies over-expressing a small mitochondrial chaperone, Hsp22. *Exp Gerontol* 45: 611-620.
187. Wang T, Montell C (2006) A phosphoinositide synthase required for a sustained light response. *J Neurosci* 26: 12816-12825.

Supplementary information for:

Patterns of positive selection in seven ant genomes

Julien Roux^{1,2,5,7}, Eyal Privman^{1,2,6}, Sébastien Moretti^{1,2,3}, Josephine T. Daub^{1,2,4}, Marc Robinson-Rechavi^{1,2}, Laurent Keller¹

¹ Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

² SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

³ Vital-IT group, SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

⁴ CMPG, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

⁵ Present address: Department of Human Genetics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

⁶ Present address: Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, University of Haifa, Israel

⁷ Corresponding author: Julien.Roux@unil.ch

Supplementary text.....	3
Potential sources of false positives in the scan for positive selection	3
Validation with the site test of Codeml.....	5
Influence of false positives on the gene set enrichment approach.....	5
Characterization of functional biases in the datasets.....	6
Comparison with other lineages.....	7
Examples of Codeml control files for the branch-site test	10
Alternative hypothesis.....	10
Null model	10
Examples of Codeml control files for the site test.....	11
Alternative hypothesis (M8)	11
Null model (M8a)	11
Newick files for 16 olfactory receptors subtrees	12
Figure S1	21
Figure S2	21
Figure S3	22
Figure S4	23
Figure S5	24
Figure S6	25
Figure S7	25
References.....	27

Supplementary text

Potential sources of false positives in the scan for positive selection

Phylogenetic tests of positive selection in protein-coding genes usually contrast substitution rates at non-synonymous sites to substitution rates at synonymous sites, taken as a proxy to neutral rates of evolution. However a number of species are known to experience selective constraints at synonymous sites, potentially leading to false positives [1]. For example in most species of the *Drosophila* phylogeny, synonymous codons are optimized for better protein translation [2]. In our dataset, nine out of the twelve species studied (the seven ants, *Tribolium castaneum* and *Nasonia vitripennis*) display low codon usage bias with synonymous sites likely to behave as neutral sites [3]. For all branches tested deeper in the phylogenetic tree (in red in Figure 1), the most parsimonious scenario is that they did not experience constraints at synonymous sites either.

Schneider *et al.* postulated that higher rate of detection on long branches of phylogenetic trees (Table 2) might be due to saturation of d_S , leading to overestimation of d_N/d_S [4]. Previous work has shown that the branch-site test should not suffer seriously from this problem if the number of species used is large enough and the phylogenetic depth reasonable [1,5,6]. These conditions are met in our dataset, so d_S saturation should not be an important concern in our study. Simulations also showed that increasing branch lengths indeed does lead to saturation of d_S but also decreases the power of the test, making it unlikely to result in false positives [7]. When we applied a stringent filtering on our results, and did not consider all tests where d_S on the foreground branch was higher than 1, the percentage of families with significant signal for an episode of positive selection only dropped to 24% (1,034 families), indicating that the majority of the positives cannot be caused by saturation of d_S . The drop is even less severe when only ant branches are considered (from 20% to 15%; 620 families), indicating that d_S saturation is more likely to affect the longest branches of our phylogeny (branch #8

leading to hymenoptera and branch #7 leading to ants and bees) than the branches of the ant lineage.

Another source of false positives could be sequencing and assembly errors [4,8]. These are particularly critical with second generation sequencing technologies used for most genomes of our dataset. However, these errors should not be shared between multiple species, so they are only expected to affect the results of tests on terminal branches of the tree. Among the two technologies used to sequence the 7 ant genomes, Roche 454 was shown to yield a higher rate of sequencing errors than Illumina [9], but we do not observe any obvious relation between the proportion of significant genes in terminal ant branches and the technology used to sequence the genomes (Roche 454, Illumina or a mix of both technologies; Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = 0.32$). If only internal branches of the phylogeny are considered, 1,481 families (35%) still display some significant signal for an episode of positive selection in any of the tested branches, and 267 families (6.3%) are significant on at least one the 6 internal branches of the ant lineage (197 of them – 74% – exclusively on these branches).

Finally, some studies have warned about the possibility that GC-biased gene conversion, a neutral process affecting genomes in very similar ways to positive selection, could lead to erroneous significance of the positive selection tests [10-12]. However GC-biased gene conversion is transient by nature, and should not affect consistently the same sets of genes over long time scales. There is also no particular reason that GC-biased gene conversion would target genes sharing the same function. Thus, we are reasonably confident that our methodology decreases the influence of potential false positives due to GC-biased gene conversion. Indeed the gene set enrichment approach we used favors gene sets including numerous significant families, and where gene families obtained good scores at the branch-site test on different branches of the phylogeny, i.e., on long time scales. Such occurrences are unlikely to be caused by GC-biased gene conversion events [10].

Validation with the site test of Codeml

To investigate how positive selection contributed to the evolution of large gene families, we applied the site test of Codeml on a more extensive dataset (not limited to single-copy orthologs, materials and methods)[13]. Not surprisingly, this yielded a much lower proportion of positives (11 families at 10% FDR; Table 1 and S19), because the site test detects families that experienced recurrent positive selection on many branches of a phylogeny. This compares with only 22 single-ortholog gene families with significant signal for positive selection in at least 4 different branches of their phylogenetic tree. Across gene families, there was a small but highly significant correlation between the site test scores (log-likelihood ratio) and the branch-site test scores (mean of transformed log-likelihood ratio, materials and methods; Spearman correlation $\rho=0.13$, $p<10^{-15}$).

Finally, the gene set enrichment test applied on results of the site test confirms the enrichment of positive selection on mitochondrial functions observed with the branch-site test (Table S13). Interestingly there was also a significant enrichment for functions related to amino acid transport, heme binding and detection of light stimulus involved in visual perception.

Influence of false positives on the gene set enrichment approach

The test for functional biases of positively selected genes (gene set enrichment test; materials and methods) attenuates by design the influence of potential false positives of the positive selection test. We considered for each family a score reflecting how consistently positive selection was experienced on different branches of the ant phylogeny (Table 3). As false positives are not likely to be detected on different branches of a same gene family, this should cancel out their influence. In addition, the gene set enrichment approach reduces the contribution of individual genes and rather favors functional categories including many good scoring genes. Indeed we observe that most of the top significant GO categories display a global shift towards large scores at the positive selection test, and are not called significant because of a single gene with an extreme high score (Figure S7).

The results of the enrichment test are similarly consistent after filtering out i) all foreground terminal branches (“leaves”; Table S20), ii) all branches which displayed a d_s greater than 1 (Table S21), or iii) all positives where the identification of positively selected residues in protein alignments failed (Table S22). They are also consistent with an enrichment test based on results from a validation dataset, which includes a larger set of gene families that we processed with different alignment and filtering methods and that we subjected to a different test for positive selection, the site test (see above). Thus the functional insights from our scan for positive selection are most likely not driven by false positives.

Overall, our methodological choices may hamper the detection of a significant portion of positive selection events (e.g., species-specific events), but we feel that such high standard quality control steps should be applied systematically previous to large-scale scans for positive selection as they greatly reduce the rate of false positives, which is otherwise a major concern [14]. Even after multiple quality checks, a non-negligible portion of positives from positive selection scans remains subject to caution. This requires a careful post-processing of the results to attempt to remove the influence of such false positives.

Characterization of functional biases in the datasets

Gene families were filtered based on their topology and on the quality of their sequences (materials and methods). We sought to characterize the biases of the subsets of gene families used in this study compared to all annotated gene families available for the set of species analyzed. The single-copy orthologs dataset in ants shows an enrichment of genes linked to translation and GTPase activity, while genes with receptor (e.g., neuropeptide, ionotropic glutamate, olfactory), catalytic (e.g., oxidoreductase, lipase, transaminase, peptidase), binding (e.g., vitamin, metal ion, DNA) or structural molecule (e.g., structural constituent of chitin-based cuticle) activity are depleted (Table S8). Some of the latter are of particular interest to ant biology but their depletion leads to a decreased power in gene set enrichment analyses following the positive selection test.

The extensive dataset, including gene families that experienced gene duplication, gathers all OrthoDB gene families that passed our basic quality filters. But essentially the same functional categories are depleted, with the addition of taste receptor activity, defense response and spermatid nucleus differentiation (Table S10). These functional categories probably gather genes whose annotation is still incomplete in the analyzed genomes and require manual annotation. The functional categories that gained representation in this dataset are linked to transcriptional regulation: DNA binding, transcription factor (e.g., general RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity is enriched) and RNA binding proteins involved in mRNA splicing.

Of note, there are substantial differences with the functional biases found in datasets of single-copy orthologs from the 12 *Drosophila* species [2] or from 10 bee species [15], that were processed through the same quality filtering pipeline (Table S7 and S11; materials and methods). The *Drosophila* dataset is enriched in odorant and pheromone binding and in genes involved in mitochondrial processes (e.g., NADH dehydrogenase and oxidoreductase activity, oxidation-reduction process, mitochondrial electron transport, mitochondrial DNA replication), while it is depleted in genes involved in chromatin organization, reproduction, brain development and morphogenesis (eye, wing). The bee dataset is enriched in protein folding activity, regulation of splicing and translation, and mitochondrial processes as well. Quite similar to ants, it is depleted in receptor activity (odorant, neurotransmitter, neuropeptide steroid hormone and ionotropic glutamate), but also in immune functions, transcription factor activity and morphogenesis (embryo, wing, leg, nervous system, tracheal system, ventral cord, midgut).

Comparison with other lineages

We compared our dataset with large comparative genomics datasets available for other insect groups. The first dataset gathers twelve genomes of *Drosophila* species (Diptera)[2]. The second dataset gathers gene models reconstructed from expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from nine bee species and the genome of the honey bee *Apis mellifera* [15]. This dataset is of particular interest

because species of the bee lineage acquired sociality several times independently. Although positive selection scans were previously performed for these datasets, the differences in methodology hinder direct comparisons with our results. For example the fly dataset was analyzed with the site test of Codeml and the bee dataset was analyzed with the branch test of Codeml. Thus we applied the exact same alignment filtering methodology to these data as to the ant data, the same branch-site positive selection test, and the same gene set enrichment test on Gene Ontology functional categories on these two datasets. Differences in topology of the phylogenetic tree of the species cannot be directly controlled for and can be responsible for differences in power of the positive selection test. Similarly, difference in selective pressure at synonymous sites and mutational biases may vary between lineages. Yet, the common methodological framework maximizes chances that differences in the results from these scans can be attributed to biological differences with ants.

In the twelve *Drosophila* dataset, at FDR 10%, 1,365 gene families out of 3,749 (36%) display some significant signal of positive selection in at least one branch of the tree, with a maximum of 9.5% positives in the branch leading to the Subgenus *Sophophora*, and a minimum of 0.30% in the branch leading to *D. yakuba* and *D. erecta* (Table S3). In the bee dataset, 461 gene families out of 2,256 show episodes of positive selection (20%), with a maximum of 5.8% positives in the branch leading to *Euglossa cordata*, and a minimum of 0.31% in the branch leading to *Apis mellifera* (Table S2). Reassuringly numbers of positives are on the same orders of magnitude between the ant, fly and bee datasets .

For the gene set enrichment test, similarly to ants, we considered test scores on all branches of the lineage (only the long basal branches leading to *Megachile rotundata* and *Exoneura robusta* where excluded from the bee dataset). In flies, there is an enrichment of positive selection for functions related to chitin binding, metabolism, immune response, proteolysis, receptor activity (e.g., olfactory receptor activity), response to stimulus, localization in the cell, meiosis, membrane, nuclear envelope, extracellular region, chromosome organization, spermatogenesis, regulation of cell cycle, negative regulation of gene expression and wing disc development (Table S4). Mitochondria-related terms are largely absent, with the

exception of oxidoreductase activity, but it is not ranked on top of the list (FDR = 9%). In the bee lineage, positively selected genes belong to functional categories such as GTPase activity, receptor activity, metabolism, response to hormone stimulus, localization in the cell and proteolysis (Table S5). There is no significant term associated to mitochondria. This is not due to a power issue for the gene set enrichment test since genes with mitochondrial functions appear to be enriched in both these datasets (Table S7 and S11). Aside from mitochondria, and more particularly in the bee lineage, the functional categories identified are broadly similar to results in ants. It is difficult to imagine how differences in species tree topology and substitutions patterns – the only differences that could have an impact on the performance of the branch-site test between the three scans – would affect exclusively mitochondrial functions.

Examples of Codeml control files for the branch-site test

Alternative hypothesis

```
seqfile = 15.phy      * sequence data file name
treefile = 15.01.nwk * tree structure file name
outfile = 15.01.mlc  * main result file name

noisy = 9      * 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen
verbose = 1    * 1: detailed output, 0: concise output
runmode = 0    * 0: user tree; 1: semi-automatic; 2: automatic
               * 3: StepwiseAddition; (4,5):PerturbationNNI; -2: pairwise

seqtype = 1    * 1:codons; 2:AAs; 3:codons-->AAs
CodonFreq = 2 * 0:1/61 each, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:codon table
ndata = 1     * specifies the number of separate data sets in the file
clock = 0     * 0: no clock, unrooted tree, 1: clock, rooted tree
aaDist = 0    * 0:equal, +:geometric; -:linear, {1-5:G1974,Miyata,c,p,v}
model = 2     * models for codons:
               * 0:one, 1:b, 2:2 or more dN/dS ratios for branches
NSsites = 2   * 0:one w; 1:NearlyNeutral; 2:PositiveSelection; 3:discrete;
               * 4:freqs; 5:gamma;6:2gamma;7:beta;8:beta&w;9:beta&gamma;10:3normal
icode = 0     * 0:standard genetic code; 1:mammalian mt; 2-10:see below
Mgene = 0     * 0:rates, 1:separate; 2:pi, 3:kappa, 4:all

fix_kappa = 0 * 1: kappa fixed, 0: kappa to be estimated
kappa = 2     * initial or fixed kappa
fix_omega = 0 * 1: omega or omega_1 fixed, 0: estimate
omega = 1     * initial or fixed omega, for codons or codon-based AAs

getSE = 0     * 0: don't want them, 1: want S.E.s of estimates
RateAncestor = 0 * (0,1,2): rates (alpha>0) or ancestral states (1 or 2)
Small_Diff = .5e-6
cleandata = 1 * remove sites with ambiguity data (1:yes, 0:no)?
fix_blength = 0 * 0: ignore, -1: random, 1: initial, 2: fixed
method = 0    * 0: simultaneous; 1: one branch at a time
```

Null model

```
seqfile = 15.phy      * sequence data file name
treefile = 15.01.nwk * tree structure file name
outfile = 15.01.fx.mlc * main result file name

noisy = 9      * 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen
verbose = 1    * 1: detailed output, 0: concise output
runmode = 0    * 0: user tree; 1: semi-automatic; 2: automatic
               * 3: StepwiseAddition; (4,5):PerturbationNNI; -2: pairwise

seqtype = 1    * 1:codons; 2:AAs; 3:codons-->AAs
CodonFreq = 2 * 0:1/61 each, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:codon table
ndata = 1     * specifies the number of separate data sets in the file
clock = 0     * 0: no clock, unrooted tree, 1: clock, rooted tree
aaDist = 0    * 0:equal, +:geometric; -:linear, {1-5:G1974,Miyata,c,p,v}
model = 2     * models for codons:
               * 0:one, 1:b, 2:2 or more dN/dS ratios for branches
NSsites = 2   * 0:one w; 1:NearlyNeutral; 2:PositiveSelection; 3:discrete;
               * 4:freqs; 5:gamma;6:2gamma;7:beta;8:beta&w;9:beta&gamma;10:3normal
icode = 0     * 0:standard genetic code; 1:mammalian mt; 2-10:see below
Mgene = 0     * 0:rates, 1:separate; 2:pi, 3:kappa, 4:all

fix_kappa = 0 * 1: kappa fixed, 0: kappa to be estimated
kappa = 2     * initial or fixed kappa
fix_omega = 1 * 1: omega or omega_1 fixed, 0: estimate
omega = 1     * initial or fixed omega, for codons or codon-based AAs
```

```

    getSE = 0 * 0: don't want them, 1: want S.E.s of estimates
RateAncestor = 0 * (0,1,2): rates (alpha>0) or ancestral states (1 or 2)
    Small_Diff = .5e-6
    cleandata = 1 * remove sites with ambiguity data (1:yes, 0:no)?
    fix_blength = 0 * 0: ignore, -1: random, 1: initial, 2: fixed
    method = 0 * 0: simultaneous; 1: one branch at a time

```

Examples of Codeml control files for the site test

Alternative hypothesis (M8)

```

    seqfile = cds.GUIDANCE.prank.nnn.0.8.fa
    treefile = cds.GUIDANCE.prank.nnn.0.8.raxml
    outfile = M8.out

    noisy = 9 * 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen
    verbose = 2 * 0: concise; 1: detailed, 2: too much
    runmode = 0 * 0: user tree; 1: semi-automatic; 2: automatic
                * 3: StepwiseAddition; (4,5):PerturbationNNI; -2: pairwise
    seqtype = 1 * 1:codons; 2:AAs; 3:codons-->AAs
    CodonFreq = 2 * 0:1/61 each, 1:F1X4, 2:F3X4, 3:codon table
*
    ndata = 1
    clock = 0 * 0:no clock, 1:clock; 2:local clock; 3:CombinedAnalysis
    aaDist = 0 * 0:equal, +:geometric; -:linear, 1-6:G1974,Miyata,c,p,v,a
    aaRatefile = /home/talpu/rubi/paml44/dat/wag.dat

    model = 0
                * models for codons:
                * 0:one, 1:b, 2:2 or more dN/dS ratios for branches
                * models for AAs or codon-translated AAs:
                * 0:poisson, 1:proportional, 2:Empirical, 3:Empirical+F
                * 6:FromCodon, 7:AAClasses, 8:REVaa_0, 9:REVaa(nr=189)

    NSsites = 8 * 0:one w;1:neutral;2:selection; 3:discrete;4:freqs;
                * 5:gamma;6:2gamma;7:beta;8:beta&w;9:beta&gamma;
                * 10:beta&gamma+1; 11:beta&normal>1; 12:0&2normal>1;
                * 13:3normal>0

    icode = 0 * 0:universal code; 1:mammalian mt; 2-10:see below
    Mgene = 0
                * codon: 0:rates, 1:separate; 2:diff pi, 3:diff kapa, 4:all diff
                * AA: 0:rate, 1:separate

    fix_kappa = 0 * 1: kappa fixed, 0: kappa to be estimated
    kappa = 2 * initial or fixed kappa
    fix_omega = 0 * 1: omega or omega_1 fixed, 0: estimate
    omega = 1 * initial or fixed omega, for codons or codon-based AAs

*
    fix_alpha = 1 * 0: estimate gamma shape parameter; 1: fix it at alpha
*
    alpha = 0. * initial or fixed alpha, 0:infinity (constant rate)
*
    Malpha = 0 * different alphas for genes
    ncatG = 8 * # of categories in dG of NSsites models

    getSE = 1 * 0: don't want them, 1: want S.E.s of estimates
RateAncestor = 0 * (0,1,2): rates (alpha>0) or ancestral states (1 or 2)

    Small_Diff = .5e-6
    cleandata = 0 * remove sites with ambiguity data (1:yes, 0:no)?
    fix_blength = 1 * 0: ignore, -1: random, 1: initial, 2: fixed
    method = 0 * Optimization method 0: simultaneous; 1: one branch a time

```

Null model (M8a)

```

    seqfile = cds.GUIDANCE.prank.nnn.0.8.fa
    treefile = cds.GUIDANCE.prank.nnn.0.8.raxml
    outfile = M8a.out

```

```

noisy = 9 * 0,1,2,3,9: how much rubbish on the screen
verbose = 2 * 0: concise; 1: detailed, 2: too much
runmode = 0 * 0: user tree; 1: semi-automatic; 2: automatic
          * 3: StepwiseAddition; (4,5):PerturbationNNI; -2: pairwise

seqtype = 1 * 1:codons; 2:AAs; 3:codons-->AAs
CodonFreq = 2 * 0:1/61 each, 1:FlX4, 2:F3X4, 3:codon table
*
  ndata = 1
  clock = 0 * 0:no clock, 1:clock; 2:local clock; 3:CombinedAnalysis
  aaDist = 0 * 0:equal, +:geometric; -:linear, 1-6:G1974,Miyata,c,p,v,a
aaRatefile = /home/talpu/rubi/paml44/dat/wag.dat

model = 0
  * models for codons:
  * 0:one, 1:b, 2:2 or more dN/dS ratios for branches
  * models for AAs or codon-translated AAs:
  * 0:poisson, 1:proportional, 2:Empirical, 3:Empirical+F
  * 6:FromCodon, 7:AAClasses, 8:REVaa_0, 9:REVaa(nr=189)

NSsites = 8 * 0:one w;1:neutral;2:selection; 3:discrete;4:freqs;
            * 5:gamma;6:2gamma;7:beta;8:beta&w;9:beta&gamma;
            * 10:beta&gamma+1; 11:beta&normal>1; 12:0&2normal>1;
            * 13:3normal>0

icode = 0 * 0:universal code; 1:mammalian mt; 2-10:see below
Mgene = 0
  * codon: 0:rates, 1:separate; 2:diff pi, 3:diff kapa, 4:all diff
  * AA: 0:rates, 1:separate

fix_kappa = 0 * 1: kappa fixed, 0: kappa to be estimated
  kappa = 2 * initial or fixed kappa
fix_omega = 1 * 1: omega or omega_1 fixed, 0: estimate
  omega = 1 * initial or fixed omega, for codons or codon-based AAs

*
  fix_alpha = 1 * 0: estimate gamma shape parameter; 1: fix it at alpha
*
  alpha = 0. * initial or fixed alpha, 0:infinity (constant rate)
*
  Malpha = 0 * different alphas for genes
  ncatG = 8 * # of categories in dG of NSsites models

getSE = 1 * 0: don't want them, 1: want S.E.s of estimates
RateAncestor = 0 * (0,1,2): rates (alpha>0) or ancestral states (1 or 2)

Small_Diff = .5e-6
cleandata = 0 * remove sites with ambiguity data (1:yes, 0:no)?
fix_blength = 1 * 0: ignore, -1: random, 1: initial, 2: fixed
  method = 0 * Optimization method 0: simultaneous; 1: one branch a time

```

Newick files for 16 olfactory receptors subtrees

"#" characters indicate the IDs of tested branches, as reported in Table S18.

Clade 1:

```

(LhOr214#52:0.12700270391109774737,PbOr155#0:0.15115695719430483068,((PbOr162#40:0.127670
95981004664140,(PbOr156FIX#41:0.06912065723405018403,(PbOr160FIX#47:0.0543540491807749798
2,(PbOr159FIX#45:0.04849218372794571880,(PbOr158FIX#42:0.02906122433329262275,PbOr157#43:
0.03376281725807433326)#44:0.03942050956533193345)#46:0.04646045906677091081)#48:0.032683
18554372971058)#49:0.04611026577420087452)#50:0.20155627979239407188,(NvOr261#38:0.331896
33324758538446,(((NvOr256#30:0.07472817364594770695,(NvOr258#32:0.05039805107455570116,N
vOr257#31:0.05050608904167195462)#33:0.07834442018658442641)#34:0.16938432354919935596,(N
vOr255#28:0.18584305712397086729,NvOr260#27:0.25135472314584683673)#29:0.0487927341428094
9073)#35:0.05442767623539263022,((NvOr245#8:0.11949917114384320249,((NvOr262#10:0.0413044
8977170079333,NvOr263#9:0.04937130563266019928)#11:0.07173047213223919527,(NvOr241#13:0.0
3649830016750404277,NvOr243#12:0.08993844906210475554)#14:0.03713426930879297483)#15:0.05
905111656602962666)#16:0.07312961651287799847,(NvOr252#18:0.05010656346318344972,NvOr251
#17:0.05410011513330496846)#19:0.21025708466762274562,(NvOr253#20:0.15018635430508897599,
(NvOr250#21:0.03185269629544653508,NvOr247#22:0.07211623334040800914)#23:0.13973691861200

```

876474)#24:0.05363800920876846817)#25:0.06757557137934989966)#26:0.16156367801895024638)#36:0.04019419297325978885,(NvOr249#4:0.16438483878311427322,NvOr246#5:0.17504495896666197319)#6:0.06183094703029002465,(NvOr242#1:0.25114299996151995265,NvOr248#2:0.29644982714814260838)#3:0.09209660106446855565)#7:0.18014489351874354850)#37:0.11547797001294089758)#39:0.20359534912102003612)#51:0.14421525008022353931)#53;

Clade 2:

(PbOr176#73:0.06082873108860709088,LhOr215#74:0.08353345534761674740,(((LhOr218#39:0.00508595229713833513,LhOr219FIX#40:0.01306812986974399855)#41:0.20309753047680537130,(((PbOr192#43:0.08396852117914523073,PbOr184NEW#42:0.08825892051780954983)#44:0.05019409634701121892,(LhOr220#46:0.05553828515331037108,PbOr182NEW#45:0.05937380831970199419)#47:0.08714172575459538939)#48:0.02882557447453374527,(PbOr177#50:0.08066248512340329357,PbOr185#49:0.09420379603840116356)#51:0.11039959084735397055)#52:0.08017725547537361830,(PbOr189#53:0.07376054307363721918,PbOr187#54:0.09381812878975828718)#55:0.11704343743263055655,(PbOr180#66:0.07438442813359358308,LhOr217FIX#65:0.09832388052481165486)#67:0.11333760474072096525,(PbOr188FIX#57:0.07319211841743798741,LhOr216#56:0.07929826180456862883)#58:0.07681711963909131080,(PbOr179#59:0.15116957436341549315,(PbOr190FIX#61:0.09502974931755860111,PbOr178#60:0.13593561026870532027)#62:0.05975825668385024991)#63:0.04295060459312043805)#64:0.03585467346065920186)#68:0.03290278308586715161)#69:0.04213635842695823142)#70:0.02724460764805466950)#71:0.04972358634563252278,(NvOr44#0:0.20983718073005075411,(NvOr45#1:0.24374515880998551265,(PbOr194JF#7:0.10132752242257116182,(PbOr195JF#4:0.07318552927135921204,LhOr222#3:0.09151725482589040395)#5:0.02551164126895187970,PbOr193JOI#2:0.13326993861774322214)#6:0.06923954708520733969)#8:0.05670835241989650999,(PbOr181#12:0.17233206426544503409,(PbOr196JF#10:0.06728442567136626307,LhOr223JOI#9:0.07632338427646809254)#11:0.14171167374924883986)#13:0.06989996587889162061)#14:0.06403208467685669869)#15:0.04267581126975338729,(NvOr43#19:0.17630549199790204740,(NvOr46#17:0.18846345356926758052,PbOr191FIX#16:0.21852116812660760115)#18:0.09838471065440075602)#20:0.10050417797038178891,((NvOr48#32:0.20520479115547776705,NvOr47#33:0.23529211380457948355)#34:0.06234813422945846562,(NvOr59#21:0.17979942748144203679,NvOr58#22:0.20537659525162291208)#23:0.08142301811164054381,(NvOr56#24:0.23087166119626123528,(NvOr53#28:0.14582347574470266860,(NvOr50#26:0.17407287249632374948,NvOr51#25:0.19668754689129891444)#27:0.05245509519470525212)#29:0.06182327394637425477)#30:0.04324976757348040352)#31:0.05404031374042227165)#35:0.11063913796319144178)#36:0.06659586664272680590)#37:0.08250691010857801044)#38:0.05457567702126541603)#72:0.13964315019539036422)#75;

Clade 3:

((LhOr47FIX#2:0.14825294768755742369,PbOr163#1:0.15484356746550523298)#3:0.06006847272929938253,PbOr166#0:0.28579803732196529253)#4:0.09666298326824580844,LhOr59#38:0.42172608620646406807,((PbOr168#8:0.16760099190192406793,(LhOr50#6:0.01912291658990686663,LhOr51#5:0.0462368528333146823)#7:0.14352593428938073550)#9:0.11706087756188153504,(LhOr55#13:0.13871445744291385771,(LhOr52#14:0.15731064777396269738,PbOr170#15:0.17681619961022698728)#16:0.03308287452757098512)#17:0.08394046750557647429,(LhOr54#10:0.11112369129639920740,LhOr56#11:0.18554622372035137201)#12:0.13125253993846636158)#18:0.04522372944504170211)#19:0.02845752858792141224,(PbOr172#20:0.14523439070950164287,(LhOr57#22:0.13822240183453518569,LhOr58#21:0.14275377695965757452)#23:0.04138473458732910837)#24:0.07949866741536493198,(PbOr173#26:0.18328208402641280039,PbOr175#25:0.22942283637932850415)#27:0.06501015390752930279,(LhOr49#31:0.12410098473386869944,PbOr167#32:0.15034893536074842024)#33:0.04185656246491760435,(LhOr48#29:0.14575579529538179591,PbOr164FIX#28:0.18741919016634589479)#30:0.04616045473236166791)#34:0.10822836285965163616)#35:0.04171216768762579796)#36:0.03249126373429089831)#37:0.04693668565656346908)#39;

Clade 4:

(LhOr224#194:0.06504435792040369479,PbOr197#193:0.08348903213533781509,(((PbOr227#0:0.06176522391653117838,(LhOr227#2:0.02200234638084715838,LhOr228#1:0.04724448386920492710)#3:0.04933393142581436741)#4:0.07998914245664295875,(LhOr229#11:0.04676674479915721161,PbOr229#10:0.07388743043047751868)#12:0.06284001202834139932,(LhOr230#8:0.10335577673619879280,(PbOr230#5:0.07511824212747636453,LhOr231#6:0.09112009180771077355)#7:0.04335344017809714123)#9:0.06507274472893065376)#13:0.03903418690337849550)#14:0.09366516484213024962,(((NvOr126#15:0.05589359230633769021,NvOr124#16:0.07604144192067412156)#17:0.04736403938877526559,NvOr128#18:0.13384899451331291886)#19:0.1331641230614832416,(NvOr119#20:0.04442322241162317831,(NvOr117#22:0.04605681412635846683,NvOr118#21:0.05045349731899097939)#23:0.02699852754059564250)#24:0.18898956931021917982,(NvOr125#26:0.18233343229472204206,NvOr122#25:0.20428820857952811885)#27:0.07152642358899721775)#28:0.02595831089852023571)#29:0.04611936372324701439)#30:0.10842611891270696745,(((NvOr107#34:0.08932820614844806661,(NvOr110#31:0.06700104287603399211,NvOr111#32:0.08957835361537108687)#33:0.07328983554280857349)#35:0.14770157120687424368,(NvOr115#37:0.14610190769251085730,NvOr114#36:0.15304070039950565252)#38:0.04953351894107758946,(NvOr106#40:0.14477996999197065287,NvOr113#39:0.230

25840044462658751)#41:0.03996184879526618050)#42:0.12922378682444354836)#43:0.09057858589
996808929,(NvOr98#55:0.20515600813616502451,(NvOr105#53:0.21531200668660840924,(NvOr103#4
4:0.19783488303960186649,(NvOr99#45:0.22934881716895622050,(NvOr100#49:0.1107646489724804
6448,(NvOr101#47:0.11609804066249530818,NvOr102#46:0.13329996092290880005)#48:0.042743476
43710470906)#50:0.10890853287333576715)#51:0.05764146843752000759)#52:0.06345497146664048
904)#54:0.12119801847062493250)#56:0.07158943013685371837)#57:0.05065487739115683702,((L
hOr226#178:0.17173552585749210508,(LhOr225#179:0.08072203317854952098,(PbOr200#180:0.012
39884538629845538,PbOr201#181:0.01729820481465962900)#182:0.02873908024839657779,(PbOr199
FIX#184:0.05133291107751279669,PbOr198FIX#183:0.05746493662568850219)#185:0.0554710823071
7896077)#186:0.03499219007062884940)#187:0.09302635729937655529)#188:0.100166114870490596
81,NvOr80#177:0.34513156863301325261)#189:0.04007187567712019310,((NvOr93#159:0.13838922
397062994318,NvOr94#160:0.25105031492866136666)#161:0.08730431035082647506,(NvOr92#162:0
.21111631019008858523,NvOr96#163:0.25412796264462572671)#164:0.07893165543625271785,(NvOr
85#172:0.26004568330752331207,(NvOr87#165:0.06937547196974769292,(NvOr86#166:0.0896171618
643699068,(NvOr89#168:0.09128589151461544149,NvOr88#167:0.11637507572930734512)#169:0.03
684886849026364902)#170:0.03759933528303834038)#171:0.16661684623894640334)#173:0.0936092
6946488531963)#174:0.03456452198989460461)#175:0.06001594860682312599,(NvOr82#131:0.2353
1513511525115057,((LhOr138#132:0.07617950621418133339,LhOr137#133:0.08173779416394665043
)#134:0.02630187408907139548,(PbOr202FIX#135:0.0925533537979880000,LhOr139#136:0.1043611
5056603871565)#137:0.04170959979407941332)#138:0.06615103520112568247,(LhOr144#139:0.0859
7090735372149573,(LhOr143#153:0.05383791593079666898,(PbOr207#140:0.07908855815766097086
,LhOr145#141:0.08047765722439555180)#142:0.01432419604896608377,(LhOr142#143:0.022254056
86454412155,LhOr141#144:0.02612123451726530710)#145:0.05835728971430727274,(LhOr140FIX#14
6:0.06023088414085454867,(PbOr203#147:0.05048176564343271472,PbOr206FIX#148:0.07293497926
021914879)#149:0.02445997336843486192)#150:0.01800266797363784740)#151:0.0218456176052402
4454)#152:0.01919369160337373870)#154:0.02293161076719913913)#155:0.08206439832863024475)
#156:0.13007903628812014785)#157:0.03629492365495076006,(NvOr81#58:0.26116659387283153171
,((LhOr129#126:0.15463431011578696039,(LhOr130#117:0.09259255782748161689,(LhOr131#118:0
.07338436863986312619,(PbOr219#120:0.00657755211625470765,PbOr220#119:0.0097087202547636
5690)#121:0.03126149524840589333,PbOr221#122:0.04618442653090105987)#123:0.05011340389381
239296)#124:0.04710643812301686106)#125:0.05646309651803915058)#127:0.0299696386226432978
7,(PbOr218#98:0.13817529405412767862,((PbOr223JOI#102:0.09554340064719422931,LhOr133#103
:0.09607330263517104008)#104:0.06773160129828507958,(PbOr225#110:0.05840631065714693010,
LhOr136#111:0.06129550243431721585)#112:0.02863205998608612038,(PbOr224JOI#105:0.05967930
446623592039,(LhOr134FIX#106:0.038820340489971165926,LhOr135#107:0.05245443603005721378)#1
08:0.02734100982652727663)#109:0.02221943921972408670)#113:0.06229415240300216483)#114:0.
04425715165649175831,(PbOr222FIX#100:0.06781266718986005138,LhOr132#99:0.0967153897948639
3739)#101:0.12874722722204420822)#115:0.02930940349042825133)#116:0.03016716955933224781)
#128:0.02784808303268420174,((LhOr127#59:0.10112494322657009094,(LhOr128#61:0.06766822651
738080285,PbOr217FIX#60:0.08930768486368823034)#62:0.06053751376895628172)#63:0.029995758
53513243573,((PbOr215#94:0.05952538249328418601,LhOr126#93:0.06817009604892103336)#95:0.0
8051439876883074998,(LhOr123FIX#85:0.10941278305232279844,(LhOr124#87:0.002649563971068
87306,LhOr125#86:0.00392835989778709052)#88:0.06142321146866561860,PbOr214#89:0.074580948
14498580916)#90:0.05655613561670068401)#91:0.05219033802780467801,((PbOr213#81:0.05631993
231436970004,LhOr122#82:0.06450614786253108801)#83:0.06158604113478619024,((LhOr120FIX#6
5:0.05896843084347736658,PbOr211JF#64:0.07061465707509259615)#66:0.02880401579988308564,(
LhOr121#67:0.06197455293106976515,PbOr212#68:0.08059420917722724675)#69:0.029553833821262
20912)#70:0.02920296751061459903,(LhOr117#78:0.10785050805581858357,(LhOr119#76:0.0738046
8065395255628,(LhOr118#74:0.05954272437315043937,(PbOr210#71:0.03898825948681169246,PbOr2
09#72:0.04025791166585995784)#73:0.05318882247522752765)#75:0.02894409437281996941)#77:0.
04348617686233215152)#79:0.02627827371297620021)#80:0.01797369849325510666)#84:0.02038427
057785799010)#92:0.03912442310666421064)#96:0.02786144984910120595)#97:0.0293231894675958
9554)#129:0.12825981947677952966)#130:0.06444625853748782440)#158:0.04837069543325687310)
#176:0.02960603465005828480)#190:0.06427556076885039549)#191:0.11715824898224512507)#192:
0.31032493451553094799)#195;

Clade 5:

(LhOr249#20:0.11567698648139186635,(PbOr247#0:0.13613441893244399017,(PbOr250#2:0.1384167
6826479470952,PbOr248FIX#1:0.22504147022611128981)#3:0.05170688739146375029)#4:0.06267954
107689907572,(LhOr250#5:0.15260238988977392616,(PbOr255#6:0.14427136058744652325,(PbOr25
4#7:0.10596592998757430049,(PbOr252#9:0.02964662589210982982,PbOr253#8:0.0302365662747951
6176)#10:0.08071848342509982399)#11:0.07558754439449749674)#12:0.04707406066343369777,(Pb
Or251#13:0.18207115617410660202,(PbOr259#15:0.01342003393894021339,PbOr258FIX#14:0.021438
45300876345492)#16:0.17807297464121768504)#17:0.04651479841059385362)#18:0.07434689003337
921487)#19:0.36097074054056921266)#21;

Clade 6:

(LhOr232#16:0.11833550742016626933, (PbOr233#1:0.06766645099729103052, PbOr234#0:0.12669581414876343595) #2:0.13923645879975890538, ((LhOr234#6:0.08271829219557245982, LhOr235#7:0.08711306875544880257) #8:0.08546456401918384183, (PbOr240#4:0.16224982501208068397, PbOr237#3:0.16991316763588712457) #5:0.08139165401930825716) #9:0.08259964582320121484, ((LhOr238#11:0.10235310175682220402, PbOr242#12:0.11811708940859366734) #13:0.05670355409185014878, PbOr241#10:0.23964131025281165677) #14:0.09628328062232598128) #15:0.05969708117238837347) #17;

Clade 7:

(LhOr252#46:0.09688591177139660449, (PbOr260FIX#41:0.10329776120361008895, (PbOr262#43:0.01301522482138395102, PbOr261#42:0.02030291376702532055) #44:0.11607996400798416270) #45:0.07006207176210743626, ((LhOr253#1:0.11031350479778091744, PbOr263#0:0.13967675180880764763) #2:0.21505399416570683546, ((LhOr337#3:0.07950616143974434391, PbOr269#4:0.08453503880021727424) #5:0.13487450679949991583, (PbOr268#7:0.08685297892073672033, LhOr263#6:0.08991687232595861612) #8:0.18168917448194313313) #9:0.14013391588614240724, ((PbOr267#10:0.08738671783476144317, LhOr262#11:0.08902269464669325394) #12:0.31697304030811540576, ((LhOr254FIX#13:0.08633555337985482359, (LhOr256#14:0.09335724476444627606, PbOr264#15:0.12012054948630616902) #16:0.04167234786928292883) #17:0.23864328947706012207, (PbOr266#18:0.23793243351172899125, (LhOr257#19:0.13663783793552455870, ((LhOr259#20:0.04371035943999277557, LhOr261#21:0.05684623341365639682) #22:0.05428732855120772804, LhOr255#23:0.13905622541572784656) #24:0.05417510570998938096, ((LhOr244#28:0.12923892990161073291, (LhOr242#30:0.10047284838189202150, LhOr243#29:0.10571426844887030216) #31:0.04005036931491708779) #32:0.01630986891898068836, (LhOr260FIX#25:0.15048057649794957524, LhOr241#26:0.15485882046963569958) #27:0.03048673398894139602) #33:0.05879451328688677092) #34:0.09416933725900324126) #35:0.06413693161577253832) #36:0.24218979410413338238) #37:0.08906417945140721408) #38:0.05129636866962993674) #39:0.12982646880680215329) #40:0.24335335713919573064) #47;

Clade 8:

(LhOr240#0:0.02659375220041562335, LhOr239#50:0.03797266272919302965, (PbOr244#48:0.14598201985403902459, ((LhOr245#1:0.25494240555791736202, ((PbOr382#3:0.0000093905322139818, PbOr150#4:0.0000093905322139818) #5:0.14050117565847597412, LhOr367#2:0.18525005025552168658) #6:0.15194325809302838137) #7:0.06438092334391085081, ((LhOr246#8:0.13820783637317637926, (PbOr245FIX#9:0.01896935363422937865, PbOr246#10:0.02081636438420580645) #11:0.12486120036977343961) #12:0.14309015851042611311, ((LhOr355#19:0.06046077939710672866, (LhOr357#16:0.03962793750964297562, LhOr358#17:0.04929105040360693551) #18:0.06991349285795393775) #20:0.03452349443888502734, (LhOr356#13:0.06815799862762034200, LhOr360FIX#14:0.10100239059699699096) #15:0.05621657844326546222) #21:0.10382267040952466997, (PbOr372#43:0.19391114791672720008, ((PbOr373FIX#22:0.09364892526891381574, LhOr361#23:0.12791185056684262200) #24:0.05701300962336082467, (PbOr374#25:0.07508352365020541652, ((LhOr364FIX#27:0.00031878436060032766, LhOr362FIX#26:0.01829359455369910376) #28:0.0535184854071109632, LhOr363#29:0.09104636782447399213) #30:0.05459504079448435077) #31:0.10868924012011385583) #32:0.02828069977601530699, ((LhOr365#33:0.11310538629193366000, LhOr366#34:0.11898173668373671075) #35:0.03255203912591573406, (PbOr381FIX#39:0.11029154115902831124, (PbOr380#37:0.11561917985044264934, PbOr377#36:0.16327925040951529745) #38:0.03478559067589177822) #40:0.03058909707610408396) #41:0.06288362291539412174) #42:0.04908083236129496402) #44:0.05006665087162787131) #45:0.23105038617011744440) #46:0.05433629773047895378) #47:0.41271540793973204853) #49:0.15800151646562046737) #51;

Clade 9:

(LhOr349#38:0.10653689323086852625, PbOr400#37:0.12125869977855327497, (PbOr274#35:0.22576861578153556431, ((PbOr280#0:0.17957430472531507593, (PbOr277FIX#2:0.08958783734220689132, PbOr275#1:0.10626137563587727264) #3:0.11025367672366340188) #4:0.13232702713950048046, ((PbOr271#5:0.12589958044388102620, PbOr273#6:0.15242820168087825583) #7:0.03691392816308682739, (LhOr348#8:0.14433441609673261508, (LhOr345#10:0.12616653468319743991, LhOr346#9:0.15424069095171796806) #11:0.07241158011235249159) #12:0.03025773270422742536) #13:0.06345852364149354163, ((LhOr347#14:0.10093178336060988631, PbOr272#15:0.13033369830517391175) #16:0.06391302149997249438, (PbOr279#17:0.16173766888248350404, (LhOr338#18:0.12623919498492863767, PbOr270#19:0.17425320213594025498) #20:0.05229234001437480656, ((LhOr341#24:0.01579422594281186878, (LhOr340FIX#26:0.01394266075224939901, LhOr339FIX#25:0.02378371394374283881) #27:0.00243589059045940665) #28:0.13110017576376253867, (LhOr342#21:0.05065382363233122270, LhOr343#22:0.06654941384567342189) #23:0.18842948248339330686) #29:0.02665653959142357671) #30:0.02934318269573192584) #31:0.09467835410266642326) #32:0.04612386456252177275) #33:0.08167595351471539089) #34:0.20961376214226837278) #36:0.27210174528807190564) #39;

Clade 10:

(LhOr233#104:0.10026888552919549502, PbOr383#0:0.11943124711136463012, ((PbOr231#98:0.07195201377130676368, (PbOr232FIX#100:0.07633772493638474321, LhOr350#99:0.10059368319248487844)

#101:0.03744469582922363426)#102:0.11560088353420214236,(LhOr325#96:0.2411493179765144545
5,((LhOr316#90:0.15455975288313530958,(PbOr319#91:0.08583022796349082384,LhOr315#92:0.143
01453206068850954)#93:0.08700966678505345220)#94:0.04534329891829318171,((PbOr294#84:0.20
840268913527429384,(LhOr324#86:0.07721886350527594478,PbOr299#85:0.07979688766777880138)#
87:0.14971258086454808378)#88:0.04351323041425214644,(LhOr333#1:0.30932238016513030621,(
(LhOr354#20:0.13840933433842239619,PbOr287FIX#19:0.18930929514576572137)#21:0.0784095655
0818703463,(LhOr319#23:0.12032318270849427788,LhOr318#22:0.13318624840150242172)#24:0.139
31353284280548199)#25:0.05125290593315037085,(((PbOr292FIX#14:0.00833634056870910696,PbO
r293FIX#13:0.01415437066231744687)#15:0.09450926653711590186,LhOr320#12:0.111335316485241
93358)#16:0.13398521862598483656,(LhOr322#7:0.09522595633032165940,PbOr295#8:0.095731728
10042582248)#9:0.10183749861051004382,PbOr283FIX#10:0.22990740482586377591)#11:0.07854849
154217806440)#17:0.02398003519893597210,((PbOr297#3:0.09434732590336308844,LhOr323#4:0.12
037979718851710143)#5:0.13408953191526715165,PbOr296#2:0.28256285312436668633)#6:0.052322
22848568847395)#18:0.01982654685491656854)#26:0.03034697747126048617)#27:0.02397350037206
704559,(((LhOr334#64:0.07986733452574333592,PbOr309#65:0.09566414377714232975)#66:0.031
80255187739687572,(PbOr315#67:0.03774372158057306970,(PbOr312FIX#69:0.003271217178000601
11,PbOr313FIX#68:0.00356005264607229524)#70:0.02335631606073828789,(PbOr314#72:0.01157164
769912470519,PbOr311#71:0.02379473000342737996)#73:0.00820647603499710665)#74:0.065282771
70162924414)#75:0.06033369441751949142)#76:0.10491433095410691856,(PbOr316#77:0.103370262
71817575940,LhOr336#78:0.14659334060310028058)#79:0.11804099519966340659)#80:0.0418558263
2964192362,(PbOr320#59:0.16058255207004570875,(LhOr314#60:0.17440164735673382990,LhOr313#
61:0.18076096815027364872)#62:0.05258239714140428706)#63:0.08598345134378024279)#81:0.025
90640025241095629,(LhOr317#28:0.18438243916921109866,((PbOr302FIX#30:0.01322281490356184
627,PbOr301#29:0.01534543350682927507)#31:0.05786170862975911766,LhOr326#32:0.08420301318
835636539)#33:0.12303305602833532195,(PbOr303#34:0.18583779169833905542,(LhOr332#35:0.09
460963499216952510,(PbOr307#36:0.04939755928605480545,PbOr323FIX#37:0.0512307948346957392
5)#38:0.06413196714124407005)#39:0.03842482281597585370,(PbOr304FIX#49:0.059204382990811
76581,(PbOr322#50:0.03388814992264089360,PbOr305#51:0.04948388639518658261)#52:0.02359562
879777822586)#53:0.04787201333583579466,(LhOr331#40:0.08723588540366809807,(LhOr327#46:0.
04818572212264995991,(LhOr328#41:0.04564622210461836277,(LhOr330#42:0.0422236297978672084
4,LhOr329#43:0.04543517756279642772)#44:0.02322449987296213575)#45:0.02495128683689484470
)#47:0.02154278971979128876)#48:0.02223426128770717922)#54:0.0440208256287357737)#55:0.1
2671527823050776629)#56:0.04591138382871283452)#57:0.02891381969374324026)#58:0.021566032
73030296281)#82:0.03548475754700645274)#83:0.03484020336698994552)#89:0.02115134727741501
547)#95:0.04786207166171323885)#97:0.22284903716036391641)#103:0.10062646770817308683)#10
5;

Clade 11:

((LhOr268#0:0.02863297821869928428,LhOr269#1:0.03807838287175410730)#2:0.1204860593618709
7021,LhOr264#148:0.16932600071202255632,((LhOr273#3:0.13361363019735783553,(LhOr280#6:0.
03583575761435609658,LhOr272#5:0.07419757186859761433)#7:0.07405189645970484957,LhOr271#4
:0.19657554624452636127)#8:0.02699228413793333323)#9:0.03926461058816243382,(((LhOr266FIX
#10:0.05013227435699574297,LhOr267#11:0.06966143614055107791)#12:0.11415822886070307873,(
LhOr274FIX#13:0.10808478220261906466,((LhOr275#20:0.03171055070381569724,LhOr276FIX#19:0.
03490800146541288979)#21:0.10177273753552402991,(LhOr277#14:0.09013900802943551616,(LhOr2
78#15:0.06208380451233402181,LhOr279#16:0.06490502677472920201)#17:0.09753037794624232204
)#18:0.04970068698979328986)#22:0.02889564241037491377)#23:0.11989010345447483064)#24:0.0
427975616960202903,((PbOr395FIX#29:0.16730872384112091544,(PbOr393#26:0.114078230908815
71981,PbOr394#27:0.12102367281326585624)#28:0.08024149459544548113)#30:0.0231632276627846
2036,PbOr391#25:0.23539277731323382525)#31:0.03445085802002299802,(((LhOr292#40:0.13538
445674815094422,(LhOr291#41:0.03119440417307267621,(LhOr290FIX#42:0.0240548222317750773,
LhOr289FIX#43:0.02752865587475598549)#44:0.02390681227128260700)#45:0.1305936796776337172
1)#46:0.05367713325445729738,(LhOr288FIX#37:0.18446162180163822031,LhOr293#38:0.196147501
00857314408)#39:0.04975882803002023430)#47:0.01936090144419236325,(LhOr284#32:0.209422059
04189911680,(LhOr281#33:0.09573618858845478474,LhOr282#34:0.15881820812447913771)#35:0.07
707444279580065449)#36:0.03017588994268752614)#48:0.04101100123519996199,(LhOr286#50:0.0
4965435077709723366,LhOr285#49:0.08767985197384688123)#51:0.17237261787685506653,((PbOr32
7#59:0.13548695658280729415,(PbOr325FIX#61:0.10666743554421743989,PbOr326#60:0.1687030356
3853902840)#62:0.07449531232052020169)#63:0.05624238933943424140,(PbOr333#52:0.1430311276
8455769621,((PbOr331#54:0.08572296284604143113,PbOr329#55:0.10661762641260459639)#56:0.02
758750759043292547,PbOr330FIX#53:0.15309611687641538902)#57:0.09479455466282606102)#58:0.
08844632860419450460)#64:0.03357548271098467763)#65:0.02512547816708526893)#66:0.06495655
541030564972,(((PbOr364#114:0.01658659332148169560,PbOr363FIX#113:0.0309760669323305634
6)#115:0.04973051362120155250,(PbOr362#110:0.01724928979380072058,PbOr358#111:0.032736330
07685351065)#112:0.04839231336467382516)#116:0.05496821670661840992,(((PbOr353#122:0.0175
5561445272167675,PbOr355#121:0.01877540102370938921)#123:0.02466703356076831513,PbOr357FI
X#120:0.05287947595110589760)#124:0.05451100586671155795,(PbOr350FIX#117:0.00760763869244
039052,PbOr351FIX#118:0.01051852814136977621)#119:0.10473719409150214488)#125:0.079993851
57698424287)#126:0.11838455548395866723,((LhOr310#134:0.12504429397033439697,LhOr311#135
:0.13055956301777038586)#136:0.04559889912223837050,(PbOr365FIX#138:0.1512622099207519554

8, PbOr367FIX#137:0.18034726803397235773) #139:0.03534595260178934051) #140:0.03202142179843
234931, (LhOr312#127:0.25630906241464956885, (PbOr399#128:0.19274152310197043003, (PbOr398FI
X#130:0.07779248543002517668, PbOr396FIX#129:0.08432771885045979576) #131:0.144453698651518
41404) #132:0.07354404719742239915) #133:0.03609529006921920191) #141:0.02863641799748165712
) #142:0.03916312563275579223, (((PbOr339#77:0.11847818038584242983, PbOr338#76:0.145119702
62673351550) #78:0.09283742223739958888, (LhOr304#79:0.08409850868505480692, (LhOr302#80:0.0
3130388693458873578, (LhOr303FIX#81:0.05526108674466199272, LhOr301#82:0.068205269784565258
91) #83:0.01332619600439463765) #84:0.10572793289415266527) #85:0.11616626173833383140) #86:0
.03666905085243328533, ((LhOr307#98:0.02841502658148944288, (LhOr306#99:0.0229967255363151
2337, LhOr305#100:0.02780873893601139638) #101:0.01345138432873636825) #102:0.10201054917775
405351, (LhOr309#104:0.04266656664312228758, LhOr308#103:0.04678908201318494192) #105:0.1293
9354651736395541) #106:0.08237634205785901442, (PbOr340FIX#87:0.19249885031452709794, (PbOr3
41FIX#95:0.09056935556997137982, ((PbOr347#91:0.08337634693332766911, PbOr346#92:0.09603743
825254229538) #93:0.03434354807601758958, (PbOr342#89:0.09893631615791781408, PbOr344#88:0.1
1779169599244526667) #90:0.03431678117838254938) #94:0.04370686905461610566) #96:0.079515033
04466016331) #97:0.0362558772185622643) #107:0.07476797065062266989) #108:0.027590132751346
32427, (PbOr337#67:0.21492604917155991306, (LhOr300#68:0.12316930196422916366, (LhOr297#72:0
.13858498339503541597, (LhOr298#69:0.07124126014892012693, LhOr296#70:0.1043344988842986736
9) #71:0.06120211332537308507) #73:0.03349446055839892106) #74:0.11808226352264125647) #75:0.0
11061964464731882662) #109:0.02779909024404219817) #143:0.02525186703369305605) #144:0.07299
482985036841176) #145:0.03338558849033156778) #146:0.01590629294164431010) #147:0.0329256371
8897435071) #149;

Clade 12:

(NvOr294#0:0.14159872467648079719, NvOr293#76:0.16453526157575129596, (NvOr295#74:0.1887954
9976327444916, (NvOr61#72:0.24958763999852243143, (NvOr41#1:0.30217893556259289856, ((NvOr60
#68:0.23652733065499143006, LhOr158#67:0.27441564875636526022) #69:0.07414021469656380259, ((
(LhOr146#31:0.07511238548539500570, PbOr52#2:0.08523243584458076172) #4:0.22635597006331348
302, ((LhOr147#6:0.05686967463858374344, PbOr53#5:0.07133862663659087944) #7:0.1192856941437
6273550, (PbOr54FIX#8:0.09727974230879245232, (LhOr150#10:0.04820262820932088438, PbOr56FIX#
9:0.08626163142386328309) #11:0.05332367855484879127) #12:0.10835199539931381074) #13:0.1076
9450572735153604) #14:0.06632321187153077113, (((LhOr165#33:0.05392055515893178635, PbOr67#
32:0.08305337624708959698) #34:0.06461430108938488737, ((LhOr159#41:0.07359338363496840951,
PbOr64#40:0.07795320054019029554) #42:0.02529105741648814826, (LhOr157#35:0.106080013407863
35498, (PbOr63#37:0.07850437469905570398, PbOr58#36:0.09021229354658318045) #38:0.0224704911
4323653590) #39:0.02223893393336068627) #43:0.08541845725821252400) #44:0.069596810302175862
73, ((PbOr68#29:0.04963198066419766824, PbOr69#28:0.05935082214439147547) #30:0.087810058482
17886267, (LhOr160#15:0.08717791579722818096, (LhOr170#16:0.09241660522677636047, ((LhOr163#
20:0.09822963458150404947, (LhOr162#22:0.05985464842908847644, LhOr161#21:0.086502220492316
70596) #23:0.01721394015257243781) #24:0.01337872665498743806, (LhOr168#17:0.0420557690375085
04214, LhOr167#18:0.04467310923476502244) #19:0.08150731410622685802) #25:0.0417915434452163
1564) #26:0.02561697367192869446) #27:0.04663122056440573843) #31:0.06146872476739072277) #45
:0.15273729213582673547, (LhOr156#63:0.23465795191941685172, ((PbOr66FIX#55:0.1324229880545
2040744, (LhOr151#53:0.05109357349650206492, (LhOr152#46:0.02202180928329261489, (LhOr153#47
:0.03058981877151869741, (LhOr154#49:0.01682417341099870511, LhOr155#48:0.02186217313213462
479) #50:0.01499641932871122879) #51:0.00745374082210316109) #52:0.03720477754946218546) #54:
0.08793644688847378210) #56:0.10706195495827677822, (PbOr65#60:0.08887628642564660009, (PbOr
55#57:0.08574017074322998200, LhOr149#58:0.09575566198486880343) #59:0.04270201973623232678
) #61:0.21621051630460616511) #62:0.10403253700889469879) #64:0.05018006432528555882) #65:0.0
465935999061952334) #66:0.09887478443638904324) #70:0.07683011620272019171) #71:0.098342113
56539013238) #73:0.38744395307800272299) #75:0.06825886179606041604) #77;

Clade 13:

(NvOr62#170:0.11308516969430117138, (NvOr71#167:0.06257026274467908378, NvOr72#168:0.063890
69158569699036) #169:0.12684795614847121925, (NvOr64#0:0.18129251566872758850, (NvOr65#1:0.1
4250997447183347266, ((NvOr68#3:0.13387574411152633469, NvOr69#2:0.13603483110998160210) #4
:0.04382626797347222158, (NvOr67#6:0.12646962023937188824, NvOr66#5:0.14265200463269434028)
#7:0.04352541838872611701) #8:0.12891584716701351421, ((LhOr71#9:0.07908718294446694108, PbO
r104#10:0.09686505834210758226) #11:0.12001627737667265594, ((LhOr74#12:0.05300928804888768
026, PbOr107#13:0.0895991596543858505) #14:0.14489654727400111400, ((LhOr96#16:0.0986818412
3087871129, PbOr122FIX#15:0.11151296629110694913) #17:0.11361278242293504359, (((LhOr73#95:
0.05809130994440350748, PbOr106#96:0.08838747200022109562) #97:0.13132925261560285390, (LhOr
72#99:0.07412007475434589931, PbOr105FIX#98:0.08967631849019770673) #100:0.1639850488754666
9351) #101:0.05966236963806022947, ((LhOr75#133:0.0554282555520979747, PbOr108#134:0.07162
425560291810211) #135:0.13046312156454492603, ((PbOr120#136:0.17882364961338445553, (PbOr121
#137:0.08586022449009408919, (LhOr95#138:0.02682138153199893973, LhOr94#139:0.0326541100890
0377665) #140:0.07986039741072932518) #141:0.08710389355395155297) #142:0.063965750844586291
57, ((PbOr109#152:0.07062050179915652093, LhOr76#151:0.08508418047433674580) #153:0.0283783

6879822810271, (PbOr110#148:0.08474143422998055286, LhOr77#149:0.10053277115884261261)#150:0.05498623352625489624)#154:0.03198605302960472879, (LhOr78#143:0.09033713286921089824, (PbOr111#145:0.00487808264248340909, PbOr112#144:0.01710848603673818802)#146:0.10695197218195406919)#147:0.07273266024578448063)#155:0.08365181397735474422)#156:0.02737719202712687525)#157:0.02372717990096075494, ((PbOr114#129:0.07419560971195973686, LhOr80#128:0.07449269271214631338)#130:0.03921903919144634904, LhOr79#127:0.12718465001532625047)#131:0.06988502625811028601, (LhOr85#102:0.09472014064840440783, (LhOr81#124:0.08541739873791071025, ((LhOr86#103:0.10347099915547282312, LhOr92#104:0.10921502868895371918)#105:0.01972361809992578818, LhOr89#106:0.14316241805139770515)#107:0.01351337718328749458, (LhOr87#114:0.09267553623575182364, LhOr91#113:0.09385899146089424216)#115:0.01940780280793059920, (LhOr84#108:0.09183678530815671259, (LhOr83#109:0.08652512987390398314, LhOr82#110:0.08875348768550132827)#111:0.03019407121859854146)#112:0.05617172726688340889)#116:0.01429405967660748143)#117:0.01380211947107188447, ((PbOr115#120:0.07457736270803659584, PbOr116#119:0.08245106605242023823)#121:0.02516996322333782052, PbOr118#118:0.14977511710628124697)#122:0.05767675624528537798)#123:0.01105476436127883315)#125:0.02652587890207258603)#126:0.16346990636222338833)#132:0.07034172089845409925)#158:0.05959968610369913156)#159:0.02560690686172983205, ((LhOr116#26:0.06186219443304359633, PbOr143#25:0.08439999402940603912)#27:0.11455572783041090279, (LhOr97#18:0.09407746617168268044, (PbOr125#22:0.11317593706346353033, (PbOr123FIX#19:0.00052792749707240437, PbOr124FIX#20:0.00211577659493229417)#21:0.11302048761051097792)#23:0.04236399354735360251)#24:0.15341586829756298505)#28:0.03431510710658299684, ((PbOr130#29:0.09349840906727022172, LhOr102#30:0.11266564413750410067)#31:0.12889211831318747592, ((LhOr100#39:0.07410285716280938517, PbOr128#40:0.09726396335378191538)#41:0.05907300751830724117, (LhOr99#36:0.06746218278037467708, LhOr98#35:0.07948889139348086497)#37:0.02126018486421387549, (PbOr127#33:0.06834877672387609793, PbOr126FIX#32:0.07542850278625155835)#34:0.05197608571258643256)#38:0.09191449832867318315)#42:0.09202966894303570011, ((LhOr104#83:0.11920792802578028535, PbOr131#82:0.12817766862571924880)#84:0.06394298663804967586, (LhOr103#88:0.17524911328406081457, (PbOr129JF#86:0.08769582149970496510, LhOr101#85:0.11882451800817882270)#87:0.06181342917333672304)#89:0.04250059068240088361)#90:0.05337237050467650429, (LhOr105FIX#43:0.13120048427178293560, (((LhOr114#73:0.00727591022794480281, LhOr115#72:0.00921091314941304036)#74:0.00791973296028001747, LhOr113#71:0.02033326077945010399)#75:0.10034652721435059763, (PbOr144#76:0.06801466169813279172, PbOr142FIX#77:0.08156278282146152414)#78:0.09977274572823525978)#79:0.07086573889273127536, (LhOr108#67:0.0716502950916457558, PbOr133#68:0.08725688935490120979)#69:0.07298961994870245551, (LhOr112#64:0.07032239942354323592, PbOr141#63:0.10998269966790810703)#65:0.05161353221498058558, (LhOr111#47:0.10703525084627772690, (PbOr140#45:0.04169694109027122925, PbOr139#44:0.04455852554900206069)#46:0.08181618273882200476)#48:0.07445329908624365167, (PbOr138#49:0.16010762068528244795, (LhOr109#57:0.06016172639968349334, LhOr110#58:0.10463741549305008438)#59:0.02523143543401113603, (PbOr134#50:0.04440151252159974665, (PbOr135#51:0.04573561948093250035, (PbOr136FIX#52:0.01563483488267221680, PbOr137#53:0.02335259136648434181)#54:0.06692674475298181214)#55:0.02998599504936700147)#56:0.05532047456699956678)#60:0.02080004595147163723)#61:0.03194094140267877968)#62:0.02075945193170779940)#66:0.01630126438938846178)#70:0.01663752238739617945)#80:0.04030284977806333074)#81:0.11661070090273742417)#91:0.05196845207350933582)#92:0.03079014626850738734)#93:0.04917085148764889502)#94:0.04462522219882416458)#160:0.02848824429860844290)#161:0.03795197235818736914)#162:0.08032505707183343335)#163:0.30650416408991809636)#164:0.21003987801002751978)#165:0.05710601967966152243)#166:0.07746163119346025083)#171;

Clade 14:

(NvOr298#22:0.19005564634947333391, NvOr299#21:0.33621983118868387086, (LhOr205#0:0.34813805766426531019, (PbOr146#16:0.13011079286996729554, LhOr206NTE#17:0.14620189395838065205)#18:0.19912986285970668554, (LhOr207#1:0.06763695391146691549, PbOr148#2:0.09084226673010761810)#3:0.25108356648207391659, (NvOr289#12:0.20350348734688025742, (LhOr208#10:0.06783770699301336360, PbOr149#9:0.09426446173347946544)#11:0.13416407690090606519)#13:0.09196531960174476883, (NvOr288#4:0.28429906753861922120, (NvOr292#6:0.04827160608298006195, NvOr291#5:0.09629611527230363954)#7:0.26745154641425822328)#8:0.09528218550122788399)#14:0.08020546409463776005)#15:0.07068917811591245159)#19:0.06382492637248762812)#20:0.26358828066340045382)#23;

Clade 15:

(PbOr77NTE#0:0.05792297001662759237, LhOr172NTE#142:0.08831890085221165421, (PbOr76NTE#140:0.08436100988109158927, ((LhOr203NTE#136:0.07920623091191622556, (PbOr102NTE#134:0.02533720716879305640, PbOr101NTE#133:0.02877708848023225255)#135:0.07024546795574812541)#137:0.12010280309406526356, ((LhOr173NTE#125:0.06202675725317112476, PbOr92NTE#126:0.06324091588351238302)#127:0.10176323863978498085, (LhOr199FN#128:0.01018854931993059577, LhOr201NTE#129:0.01192839100089270604)#130:0.15382707811374812801)#131:0.03047634260959491623, (LhOr202NTE#95:0.18844566573473367499, (LhOr195NTE#101:0.08142016782225212912, (LhOr200NTE#96:0.01155744880136531927, (LhOr198FN#97:0.00788657870731213073, LhOr196NTE#98:0.01101106360489123408)#99:0.01444989305812833906)#100:0.11464726208905072491)#102:0.05584584957867873983, (Lh

Or192NTE#103:0.15342175725314391443, ((LhOr194NTE#117:0.11216330998241010042, LhOr193NTE#118:0.11858685155244778897) #119:0.02214520708711763264, ((LhOr186NTE#104:0.06309147449120475204, (LhOr191FN#105:0.07888803371774559536, LhOr187FN#106:0.0933967587749404415) #107:0.02275249471401205592) #108:0.02243849619062475084, (PbOr84NTE#109:0.08120630733951163249, (PbOr85NTE#113:0.06263616653284509450, (PbOr80FN#110:0.02835579794729253811, PbOr79FN#111:0.03611525327605047408) #112:0.04682744770212756563) #114:0.03774606610645048210) #115:0.02068461892972643656) #116:0.04808170289192485042) #120:0.01925132639367344023) #121:0.0242835752056597871) #122:0.07881453910134704477) #123:0.03551252408190237048, (LhOr185NTE#70:0.09139706390677478087, ((LhOr174NTE#72:0.06829172086054735580, PbOr93NTE#71:0.07662869697183456730) #73:0.03058035805285200942, ((LhOr184NTE#74:0.02129006047789142736, LhOr182NTE#75:0.04301899179902504006) #76:0.05143766439955559794, (LhOr175NTE#77:0.07743341949279816672, ((LhOr183NTE#79:0.01302354425898463557, LhOr181NTE#78:0.01412470150454097489) #80:0.06140486081792014689, (LhOr178FN#81:0.03884714859482228666, ((LhOr179JFN#82:0.01536367019108257279, LhOr180FN#83:0.02937284834987576032) #84:0.00592681081934937765, (LhOr177NTE#86:0.01981522384058501812, LhOr176NTE#85:0.02338223339995399580) #87:0.01672992978119570637) #88:0.00923383562965691441) #89:0.05941621020005746767) #90:0.02262815874446749698) #91:0.05464577280890953875) #92:0.03714402562833796911) #93:0.02315989874077650085) #94:0.11490282662264766911) #124:0.02716821428162348451) #132:0.04420259291847369182) #138:0.03629049243171369316, ((NvOr38#1:0.20739241305848030161, (NvOr35#2:0.10832925329628927125, (NvOr36#4:0.06707780883058439558, NvOr37#3:0.09081931816972528548) #5:0.11963934994928725197) #6:0.08156466618730506823) #7:0.16306197039386788328, ((LhOr69NTE#62:0.04380242946878087212, (PbOr74NTE#63:0.01582453184718538020, PbOr73NTE#64:0.0336873587443377255) #65:0.06569675606659634848) #66:0.19287630274327322022, ((LhOr70NTE#58:0.07481559641465927724, PbOr75NTE#59:0.09424529179350511765) #60:0.17186182715593129045, (PbOr71FN#43:0.09999037454687921544, (PbOr72NTE#44:0.10518945295009879404, (LhOr60NTE#54:0.06949955131787961815, ((LhOr63NTE#49:0.00066584938776774179, LhOr61NTE#48:0.00478923693590505278) #50:0.04659730374775940959, LhOr64NTE#51:0.05217582697562132138) #52:0.01590733510045756749, (LhOr66#46:0.03150059981692060473, LhOr68NTE#45:0.04425337510975680538) #47:0.05359429243030915807) #53:0.01483901860763635686) #55:0.01978056233601944991) #56:0.03239700547461106528) #57:0.18122043218066283266) #61:0.06068723902466284514) #67:0.05992023490827278615, ((NvOr28#31:0.13779720453288551996, (NvOr29#33:0.10412671617023804005, NvOr31#32:0.17105629829895693805) #34:0.05728191686640998065) #35:0.05480260841115020681, (NvOr24#36:0.20305478061915027688, (NvOr25#37:0.1115305563249457352, NvOr26#38:0.16539615485547878859) #39:0.08645929485014544613) #40:0.04453638456705404786) #41:0.13431476507017212074, (((NvOr20#16:0.12139099475971937281, NvOr19#17:0.13046920734375108686) #18:0.07999536278948386758, NvOr15#15:0.21518329776552777566) #19:0.09710074560235230912, ((NvOr14#25:0.1843226307362261187, NvOr13#26:0.25094508544430504937) #27:0.04261331116284578641, (NvOr17#23:0.12272015361578621218, (NvOr12#20:0.06676677696807342688, NvOr16#21:0.07721536288778936552) #22:0.15159912533232741683) #24:0.06824105316534746779) #28:0.04817395590085615797) #29:0.04284908398860182155, ((NvOr27#8:0.12242018717984047560, NvOr23#9:0.14559718422681347527) #10:0.15046427246899291008, (NvOr22#12:0.17747042591341760653, NvOr21#11:0.25132512758363595440) #13:0.05771800262819634653) #14:0.10033134107355362596) #30:0.05458266841251473850) #42:0.06047533236321143285) #68:0.07008321273266669704) #69:0.14014988224512736625) #139:0.07745700581612842417) #141:0.06898845141172013640) #143;

Clade 16:

(PbOr19#177:0.08508821311292545653, LhOr21#178:0.10063793004694067401, ((PbOr24#0:0.04481280819102938934, PbOr25#1:0.04510290668744612597) #2:0.12855287846272672736, ((LhOr23#3:0.00813712391689357280, LhOr25#4:0.02617908056292785057) #5:0.06757516088380703667, (PbOr20#6:0.007748283326879806809, PbOr23#7:0.14527149778917111678) #8:0.02953499989983601748) #9:0.03544911292868741653) #10:0.09321653530086784767, (((LhOr39#16:0.07657415574521615753, PbOr37#17:0.10484838326185097479) #18:0.10417583963013607451, (LhOr40FIX#11:0.09948344930305391687, (PbOr40#12:0.09148817912418338860, PbOr38#13:0.11569181255943312980) #14:0.04999572736083818941) #15:0.08531661711502125378) #19:0.08810747008850033923, ((LhOr26#56:0.08201269057550951425, PbOr21#57:0.09215383056055889699) #58:0.12212935793440195253, ((PbOr33#44:0.17154312574357366561, (LhOr30#42:0.07637282295844541324, PbOr28#41:0.08903695198267193300) #43:0.08984449621834446342) #45:0.03987241359933949014, ((PbOr27#46:0.07435022368403622350, LhOr29#47:0.09349161851610551799) #48:0.11727030772057756014, (PbOr26#49:0.08817037784434593461, (PbOr22#51:0.06512356345620586018, LhOr27#50:0.09184549735982269758) #52:0.03060903293736276856) #53:0.10977216223273164597) #54:0.03351513905986234360) #55:0.0230100706868235219) #59:0.02655648129105813229, ((PbOr32#37:0.06622185832675753225, LhOr34#36:0.07424687176349335782) #38:0.02571159148265235450, (PbOr31FIX#34:0.05058108661904277270, PbOr30#33:0.05961835551604051375) #35:0.06800306102379093109) #39:0.03720166405456085817, ((LhOr37#21:0.08078326550446562893, PbOr36FIX#20:0.12079896285405630763) #22:0.05647754509199947454, (LhOr38#23:0.13367630609929329055, (PbOr34#24:0.09341616859804252604, (LhOr35#28:0.04259684184365548909, (LhOr32#26:0.05195927381773565029, LhOr33#25:0.05906474025792859267) #27:0.14425526218644663112) #29:0.04505704416038316257) #30:0.04310138895706434187) #31:0.03733830205488337939) #32:0.04704729549068876332) #40:0.10209397317331087018) #60:0.04517549334518947829) #61:0.08235765592966295956, (((LhOr43#127:0.02513733332597735404, PbOr42#126:0.04597657872554086061) #128:0.08855806899112746156, (PbOr44#129:0.08253972340198312274, LhOr42#130:0.08732389083209488034) #131:0.05559707400862894111) #132:0.09137932689044288292, (LhOr43#133:0.128306097900784649

16,PbOr46#134:0.13988913535371566566)#135:0.17352211289944577399)#136:0.05195228151944623
896,((LhOr45#113:0.07655178410787882859,(PbOr48#115:0.08202022362306579661,PbOr47#114:0.0
9176354098068321974)#116:0.03177288929239235199)#117:0.04410158276656572784,(LhOr46#123:0
.06676908653034788199,(PbOr49#118:0.03344750404966601726,(PbOr50#119:0.048147689055786299
65,PbOr51#120:0.05679572002408159875)#121:0.02013075301400072889)#122:0.06937336371672113
422)#124:0.04905241991583712824)#125:0.20339850181996121936)#137:0.06506606342715653968,(
(NvOr5#139:0.28142229248756600590,(LhOr41#140:0.06087896018391263792,(PbOr39#141:0.05720
210240613457509,PbOr41#142:0.06528012647148941083)#143:0.04035444330254587403)#144:0.1942
1920286149263468)#145:0.06721372180865968493,NvOr3#138:0.42809469895142482354)#146:0.0600
9428302056648435,((PbOr4#150:0.19077026179876477308,(PbOr5#147:0.09783206334010499661,LhO
r4#148:0.10739142251667260775)#149:0.11093814064127889563)#151:0.21323563928487523911,(N
vOr2#168:0.16787110639274116508,((PbOr2FIX#164:0.05443396364234111273,LhOr2#165:0.0633731
4153777132142)#166:0.04017598829601903149,(LhOr3#162:0.07232988277713264802,PbOr3#161:0.0
8602351370771615935)#163:0.06814392222737826466)#167:0.08753927382062862139)#169:0.203057
74174619203865,((NvOr9#155:0.12371413697905783713,(NvOr6#157:0.11737171799965644137,NvOr7
#156:0.13284277567039823320)#158:0.08921062594623745123)#159:0.06942658138578709781,(NvOr
10#153:0.14492872262006373241,NvOr8#152:0.29254275794174022796)#154:0.0690374935578650511
5)#160:0.11096708038035126009)#170:0.05460790252378437681)#171:0.04993216767483663748)#17
2:0.04500294221236822434)#173:0.02030095158002284683,((PbOr18#101:0.07574720500667271594
,LhOr20#102:0.10511811238254548106)#103:0.19520067423868453504,(PbOr17#108:0.01895432632
271175713,PbOr16#107:0.04234428644201301134)#109:0.06811362611180034332,(LhOr18#105:0.025
68499786934699478,LhOr19#104:0.06195732050815315473)#106:0.06592397291281737459)#110:0.20
977853097591570020)#111:0.04852146996529031753,(LhOr17#62:0.24082056080189864766,(((LhOr
7#64:0.06662165203526676516,LhOr9#63:0.07513777636342115762)#65:0.04778352298798591719,(L
hOr8#66:0.08000074194485634616,(PbOr10#68:0.03072644635476671354,PbOr12#67:0.03119077980
448724946)#69:0.04752137142825769989,PbOr8#70:0.08228274056465445518)#71:0.03849859517475
068271)#72:0.02219404335170786779)#73:0.05027204377061512269,(LhOr15#74:0.102682767610528
09454,(LhOr14FIX#75:0.07061141793481469364,LhOr13#76:0.11573813641680856523)#77:0.0682437
2696336825084)#78:0.07861903298466643708)#79:0.11899465963063966811,((PbOr6#92:0.0843622
6407436024644,LhOr5#91:0.10784044864167434408)#93:0.10801798029439961535,(PbOr13#94:0.071
58154943854977414,LhOr12#95:0.10251194464489998825)#96:0.14006092415763940484)#97:0.06070
836373421854837,((LhOr16#81:0.08698791141147087236,PbOr14#80:0.09179266124837502649)#82:0
.08335904310634681402,(LhOr6#88:0.14632383585327285447,(PbOr9#86:0.12741008332801964653,(
LhOr10#83:0.05207559580666604182,LhOr11#84:0.06845796861281486234)#85:0.08592211832516460
923)#87:0.09902873777138196387)#89:0.03836447326544246972)#90:0.09935846517202116812)#98:
0.04494903189312279140)#99:0.10599604270141928242)#100:0.04841211025913769173)#112:0.0654
9422589417622231)#174:0.04300868066299180781)#175:0.09192643181901923033)#176:0.136847857
78034441739)#179;

Figure S1

See separate file *Sup_Figure_1.pdf*

Positive selection in the olfactory receptors gene family. This tree is similar to Figure 3, with Sequence IDs and bootstrap values displayed.

Figure S2

Density plots of expression levels in *Solenopsis invicta* of 313 genes with mitochondrial activity in queens (blue), males (red) and workers (green), at the pupal stage (top) and the adult stage (bottom). Expression was obtained from previously published microarray data [16]. Paired *t*-test indicated that the expression of mitochondrial genes was higher in workers compared to queens at the larval stage ($p=2.6e-05$), and not significantly different at the adult stage ($p=0.11$). The expression of mitochondrial genes was the lowest in males at both developmental stages (all p -values were below 10^{-6}).




Figure S3

Phylogeny of the five ant species and the four outgroup species used in the ant mitochondrial dataset. Branch lengths are arbitrary. The 11 different branches where positive selection was tested are labeled and highlighted in red. Legend as in Figure 1.




Figure S4

Phylogeny of the twelve *Drosophila* species dataset. Branch lengths are arbitrary. All branches were tested for positive selection and branch labels are shown. Legend as in Figure 1.




Figure S5

Phylogeny of the ten bee species dataset. Branch lengths are arbitrary. All branches were tested for positive selection and branch labels are shown. Legend as in Figure 1.




Figure S6

Density plots of SUMSTAT scores of random gene sets calculated on 10,000 randomized gene sets of size $n=11$ (minimum set size used in our study; left), $n=25$ (middle) and $n=100$ (right) are shown in black. The distribution of these scores closely approximates a normal distribution (shown in red) of mean equals n times the mean score of all genes and variance equals n times the variance of scores of all genes.





Figure S7

Distribution of scores in Gene Ontology functional categories enriched for positively selected genes. Density plots of scores of genes belonging to individual GO categories are plotted in red and are compared to density plots of scores of all genes analyzed in blue.



References

1. Yang Z, dos Reis M (2011) Statistical Properties of the Branch-Site Test of Positive Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 1217-1228.
2. Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium (2007) Evolution of genes and genomes on the *Drosophila* phylogeny. *Nature* 450: 203-218.
3. Simola DF, Wissler L, Donahue G, Waterhouse RM, Helmkampf M, et al. (2013) Social insect genomes exhibit dramatic evolution in gene composition and regulation while preserving regulatory features linked to sociality. *Genome Res* 23: 1235-1247.
4. Schneider A, Souvorov A, Sabath N, Landan G, Gonnet GH, et al. (2009) Estimates of Positive Darwinian Selection Are Inflated by Errors in Sequencing, Annotation, and Alignment. *Genome Biol Evol* 1: 114-118.
5. Studer RA, Robinson-Rechavi M (2009) How confident can we be that orthologs are similar, but paralogs differ? *Trends Genet* 25: 210-216.
6. Fletcher W, Yang Z (2010) The Effect of Insertions, Deletions, and Alignment Errors on the Branch-Site Test of Positive Selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 27: 2257-2267.
7. Gharib WH, Robinson-Rechavi M (2013) The branch-site test of positive selection is surprisingly robust but lacks power under synonymous substitution saturation and variation in GC. *Mol Biol Evol* 30: 1675-1686.
8. Mallick S, Gnerre S, Muller P, Reich D (2009) The difficulty of avoiding false positives in genome scans for natural selection. *Genome Res* 19: 922-933.
9. Luo C, Tsementzi D, Kyrpides N, Read T, Konstantinidis KT (2012) Direct Comparisons of Illumina vs. Roche 454 Sequencing Technologies on the Same Microbial Community DNA Sample. *PLoS ONE* 7: e30087.
10. Ratnakumar A, Mousset S, Glémin S, Berglund J, Galtier N, et al. (2010) Detecting positive selection within genomes: the problem of biased gene conversion. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 365: 2571-2580.
11. Galtier N, Duret L, Glémin S, Ranwez V (2009) GC-biased gene conversion promotes the fixation of deleterious amino acid changes in primates. *Trends Genet* 25: 1-5.
12. Duret L, Galtier N (2009) Biased Gene Conversion and the Evolution of Mammalian Genomic Landscapes. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 10: 285-311.
13. Yang Z, Nielsen R, Goldman N, Pedersen A-MK (2000) Codon-Substitution Models for Heterogeneous Selection Pressure at Amino Acid Sites. *Genetics* 155: 431-449.
14. Markova-Raina P, Petrov D (2011) High sensitivity to aligner and high rate of false positives in the estimates of positive selection in the 12 *Drosophila* genomes. *Genome Res* 21: 863-874.
15. Woodard SH, Fischman BJ, Venkat A, Hudson ME, Varala K, et al. (2011) Genes involved in convergent evolution of eusociality in bees. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 7472-7477.

16. Ometto L, Shoemaker D, Ross KG, Keller L (2011) Evolution of Gene Expression in Fire Ants: The Effects of Developmental Stage, Caste, and Species. *Mol Biol Evol* 28: 1381-1392.