

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Title: Fuzzy Mating Behavior Enhances Species Coexistence and Delays Extinction in Diverse Communities

Running head: Fuzzy mating behavior enhances species coexistence

submitted by

Charles H. Cannon^{1,2*} and Manuel Lerdau^{1,3}

¹Key Lab in Tropical Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Menglun 666303, China.

²Department of Biology, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79309 USA. Email: chuck@xtbg.ac.cn

³Departments of Environmental Sciences and Biology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA USA. Email: mlderdau@virginia.edu.

*Corresponding author: Email: chuck.cannon@ttu.edu. Phone: 1-806-317-7643. fax: 1-806-742-2963.

19 **ABSTRACT**

20

21 Current theories about mechanisms promoting species co-existence in diverse communities assume that
22 species only interact ecologically. Species are treated as discrete evolutionary entities, even though
23 abundant empirical evidence indicates that patterns of gene flow such as selfing and hybridization
24 frequently occur in plant and animal groups. Here, we allow mating behavior to respond to local
25 species composition and abundance in a data-driven meta-community model of species co-existence.
26 While individuals primarily out-cross, they also maintain some small capacity for selfing and
27 hybridization. Mating choice is treated as a 'fuzzy' behavior, determined by an interaction between
28 intrinsic properties affecting mate choice and extrinsic properties of the local community, primarily the
29 density and availability of sympatric inter-fertile species. When mate choice is strongly limited, even
30 low survivorship of selfed offspring (<10%) can prevent extinction of rare species. With increasing
31 mate choice, low hybridization success rates (~20%) maintain community level diversity for
32 substantially longer periods of time. Given the low species densities and high diversity of tropical tree
33 communities, the evolutionary costs of competition among sympatric congeneric species are negligible
34 because direct competition is infrequent. In diverse communities, many species are chronically rare
35 and thus vulnerable to stochastic extinction, which occurs rapidly if species are completely
36 reproductively isolated. By incorporating fuzzy mating behavior into models of species co-existence, a
37 more realistic understanding of the extinction process can be developed. Fuzzy mating strategies,
38 potentially an important mechanism for rare species to escape extinction and gain local adaptations,
39 should be incorporated into forest management strategies.

40 /body

41 **Introduction**

42

43 “The most strange thing about fuzzy species is the way they are ignored.” [1]

44

45 Numerous ecological mechanisms, both stochastic and deterministic, contribute to species co-
46 existence in highly diverse communities [2–7]. The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and
47 biogeography [8-9] has provided a theoretical null ecological framework to test patterns of species
48 composition and abundance in these communities. In these ecological models, species act as discrete
49 evolutionary units: individuals are inter-changeable with conspecifics but completely distinct from
50 heterospecifics, even if they are inter-fertile. Speciation, in other words, is always assumed to be
51 complete, and individuals of different species are reproductively isolated from one another. Abundant
52 evidence indicates, however, that closely related and sympatric species often maintain some level of
53 inter-specific fertility [10–13]. Numerous studies of secondary contact zones have demonstrated that
54 hybrid offspring can invade new habitat [14], inter-specific gene flow can allow the introgression of
55 advantageous alleles from one species to another [11,15-16], and that genetic rescue through
56 hybridization can occur during population crashes [17]. Self-fertilization, primarily in plants, can also
57 play a significant role in reproduction [18]. Overall, mating behavior appears to be responsive to
58 extrinsic signals and reproductive isolation barriers are probably contextual and fuzzy, instead of being
59 fixed and absolute, either in the individual or population.

60 While we have achieved a relatively good understanding of ecological mechanisms for species
61 co-existence, we have little understanding of how species diversity evolves and is maintained in
62 tropical communities. These communities differ profoundly from temperate systems in many ways.
63 One unique characteristic of tropical communities is the fact that most species exist sympatrically with
64 several closely-related species. Assuming that the genus level is the taxonomic level at which
65 reproductive isolation is truly fixed, in the Pasoh Long-Term Dynamics Plot [19], only 157 out of 811

66 species (19%) were the sole representative of their genus in the local community, while another 106
67 species were sympatric with one other congeneric species. The remaining 548 species (68%) exist
68 sympatrically with at least two other congenics. This pattern is evident in numerous other locations
69 across Southeast Asia (Fig. 1). Interestingly enough, the most diverse families remain consistent across
70 a large latitudinal range.

71 In the standard allopatric model of speciation, an elaborate series of biogeographic events where
72 species become subdivided, at least parapatric, and then mix again only after achieving a significant
73 amount of reproductive isolation must be constructed. While sympatric speciation seems possible
74 under certain circumstances, the theoretical requirements are stringent [20-21] and probably not
75 generally found in highly diverse communities, particularly for long lived organisms such as rain-forest
76 trees and coral reefs. In these organisms, the demographic integration of ecological and evolutionary
77 dynamics can extend over centuries [22-24]. For the parapatric model to work in the tropical setting,
78 the selective forces driving ecological speciation would have to be quite strong, because the probability
79 of mixing gene flow with a closely related species is quite high, and the barriers to gene flow would
80 have to evolve more rapidly, during the unusual phases when a species is found in isolation.
81 Examination of species distribution patterns of forest trees across various landscape scales has
82 indicated intermediate to weak associations between species distribution and environmental measures
83 [25-26]. Obviously, a new theoretical model of diversification and diversity maintenance, given the
84 evolutionary and ecological setting in tropical forests, is necessary to explain not only how species co-
85 exist but also how they arose.

86

87 **Fuzzy mating behavior**

88 Here, we use the term 'fuzzy' mating behavior to indicate that an individual's mating behavior is
89 contextual and responds to both intrinsic and extrinsic factors. In our model, the individual's tendency

90 to out-cross with a con-specific, hybridize with an hetero-specific, or self-fertilize depends on both
91 genetic constraints and the composition of the local community. Following the principles of fuzzy
92 logic, we assume that the determinants of mating behavior are the quantity and quality of mate choices
93 (the number of crosses attempted and the relative proportion of self, conspecific, and heterospecific
94 pollen present) and the probability of successful outcomes from those different kinds of crosses.
95 Because tropical trees form the basis for some of the most diverse communities in the world [27-28]
96 and their sessile growth form simplifies spatial analyses of ecological interactions, we focus our model
97 on these organisms, although many aspects of our model apply to most plants and animals.
98 Additionally, fuzzy mating behaviors seem to play an important role for tropical trees, as selfing rates
99 have been shown to be inversely related to population densities [29], particularly in disturbed
100 landscapes [30], while sympatric and closely-related species typically can and do hybridize in natural
101 communities [31-32].

102 The most diverse genera across Southeast Asia (Fig. 1) exhibit a wide range of reproductive
103 characteristics. The genus *Syzygium* is often the most diverse genus in these forests. Their flowers are
104 large, generally white, open, and showy, while the fruits primarily have dispersal characteristics for
105 bats and birds. Despite being among the most prominent floristic elements, no formal taxonomic
106 treatment exists for the genus [33]. The genus is part of the Myrtaceae family, which also contains the
107 genus *Eucalyptus*, a group well-known for frequent interspecific introgression [34]. Studies indicate
108 that the genomes of eucalypt species are largely co-linear [35], indicating that genomic structure is
109 conserved, which would facilitate fuzzy mating behaviors. Genome size in tropical trees also appears
110 to be largely stable in tropical trees. Polyploidization is generally considered rare, and most genera and
111 even families have consistent ploidy levels, again consistent with the type of flexible behavior
112 proposed here.

113 Even among genera with clearly divergent floral morphologies, hybridization still occurs [36].

114 Flowering time has been shown to be slightly staggered among sympatric *Shorea* species [37], but
115 considerable overlap in floral receptivity exists [38]. Self-fertilization, of course, could potentially
116 affect all species, even those with no sympatric close relatives. Although selfing rates are generally
117 poorly known in tropical trees, recent findings suggests selfing may be more frequent than expected
118 [39], particularly for endemic species [40]. For convenience sake, in the following discussion, we refer
119 to hetero-specifics that retain partial inter-fertility as 'near-species'. This idea corresponds to the genic
120 model of speciation [41], where speciation never reaches completion but instead near-species remain
121 partially inter-fertile, experiencing divergent selection on some portion of the genome and low levels of
122 neutral to adaptive gene flow across other parts of the genome.

123 Highly diverse communities are therefore composed of numerous sets of near-species, where
124 individuals predominantly out-cross but retain some diminished capacity for self-fertilization or inter-
125 specific hybridization. Fuzzy mating behavior in these individuals, instead of being determined solely
126 by genotype, responds to the strong environmental signal contained in the quantity and quality of
127 pollen rain. The quantity of a particular type of pollen, either from conspecifics or near-specifics,
128 could act as a proxy for 'success' in the community of that particular genotype, either because of its
129 capture of the pollination service or its physiological success in that particular location. Pollen
130 precedence would control this behavior, where conspecific pollen is more vigorous [42] and, if present,
131 will fertilize most ovules [43]. On the other hand, if an individual primarily receives hetero-specific
132 pollen, despite reduced vigor and lower fertilization rates, the probability of hybridization
133 correspondingly increases. This type of density-dependent, unidirectional gene flow between
134 sympatric near-species has been reported in oaks [44]. While some plants exhibit some level of self-
135 incompatibility, the selective advantage of self-fertilization typically remains high, despite potential
136 costs of inbreeding depression [45]. In situations where conspecific pollen is rare, possible mentor
137 pollen effects could also facilitate hybridization, as mixtures of self and hetero-specific pollen on the

138 stigma have been found to make the pistil more receptive to hybridization.

139 Our model incorporates a fuzzy framework of conditions that determine species behavior based on
140 the interaction of several probabilistic realities. While this type of fuzzy reasoning remains somewhat
141 controversial in the scientific literature [46], such conditional logic [47] is widely used by industry and
142 business and have also been usefully applied in other types of ecological modeling of animal behavior
143 [48]. These principles are particularly advantageous when uncertainty plays a significant role in the
144 decision process. Given the general complexity of these highly diverse forest communities,
145 particularly given their slow macro-evolutionary processes [23], we argue that uncertainty plays a
146 central role in the evolutionary and ecological behavior of tropical organisms, particularly in relation to
147 the current selective pressures and the quality and quantity of mates. In these conditions, fuzzy mating
148 behavior, where the strength of the reproductive barriers are contingent upon the environmental signal
149 in the pollen rain, should be an appropriate model.

150

151 **Resisting the vortex**

152 Because a large proportion of species in highly diverse communities are rare [49], most are
153 chronically vulnerable to stochastic extinction [50]. This vulnerability implies either that extinction
154 (and the concomitant immigration and/or speciation) rates are high in such systems or that rare species
155 have coping mechanisms to avoid local extinction. Fuzzy mating behaviors would be a potential
156 coping mechanism because isolated individuals, suffering from an absence of conspecifics and poor
157 pollination service in the local neighborhood, could expand their effective population sizes.
158 Unidirectional gene flow into the rare species could be disadvantageous to individuals of the dominant
159 species if the two species were in direct ecological competition. In highly diverse communities,
160 however, most species form only a small fraction of the entire community. This general feature of
161 highly diverse communities could ameliorate the potential cost of being a genetic donor to a rare

162 species, because direct competition with hybrid offspring clustered around the rare genetic recipient
163 would be correspondingly rare. If direct competition with hybrid offspring is rare, then the genetic
164 costs to the pollen donor are minimal. Previous analysis of density dependent competition at the
165 seedling stage in lowland tropical forest on Barro Colorado Island demonstrated that the individuals
166 were affected by conspecific but not heterospecific competitors [51], but because the majority of
167 species are sympatric with closely-related species, these results underestimate the potential competition
168 among near-species. To explore the potential costs of unidirectional hybridization to common species
169 through direct competition with hybrid offspring of rare species, we examine conspecific and
170 congeneric encounter rates within local neighborhoods in the Pasoh Long-Term Dynamics plot, a
171 lowland rainforest in peninsular Malaysia.

172 Here, we incorporate fuzzy mating behavior into a meta-community model of species co-
173 existence using a data-based simulation with a simple decision tree based on the quantity and
174 composition of pollen received by an individual. We assume that among near-species, pollination is a
175 stochastic process and the composition of the pollen rain is determined by the relative population
176 densities of inter-fertile individuals in the meta-community. Additionally, we assume that conspecific
177 out-crossing is substantially more likely to produce viable offspring than selfing or hybridization but if
178 a cross is successful, the offspring are ecologically equivalent. This neutrality assumption is central to
179 Hubbell's theory of biogeography and biodiversity. While controversial and often incorrect for
180 ecological time frames, the null model provides a mechanism to examine the outcomes generated by
181 the processes themselves. In our case, over evolutionary time, neutrality is probably a more accurate
182 assumption and even if hybrids and selfed offspring have lowered fitness, the alternative is extinction,
183 which is always more costly. We believe that modeling reproductive output as a fuzzy behavior,
184 controlled by the relativistic local community composition of inter-fertile species and the probability of
185 successful outcomes from various types of crosses, can provide further insight into extinction in highly

186 diverse communities and generate numerous testable hypotheses about the evolution of rare species and
187 has major implications for the management of these species.

188

189 **Results**

190 Given stochastic mortality among individuals, we find that hybridization success, self-
191 fertilization success, degree of pollination limitation, and level of fecundity all have significant effects
192 on species co-existence (Fig. 2). Inter-specific hybridization had the most pervasive effect, as even
193 relatively low probabilities of success (~20%), maintained the original community diversity over a
194 substantial number (500x) of reproductive events, regardless of species diversity, pollination limitation,
195 or fecundity. The effect is slightly stronger in more diverse sets of near-species. When pollination
196 limitation is severe ($\leq 10\%$) and fecundity is high (> 10 offspring), self-fertilization strongly promotes
197 species co-existence, even at very low levels of success (10%). When self-fertilization and
198 hybridization are not allowed, the communities quickly lose diversity through stochastic extinction of
199 rare species.

200 Self-fertilization became the dominant mode of reproduction when pollination limitation is
201 strong (Fig. 3), as almost all of the remaining individuals are a product of selfing at the end of the
202 simulation, even when the success of selfed crosses is only 10%. On the other hand, when pollen
203 limitation is not a factor and individuals can cross with half of the meta-population, selfed individuals
204 never occur in the community (Fig. 3). The degree of pollen limitation does not affect the rate of inter-
205 specific hybridization (the blue line remains the same in the top row of each panel, Fig. 3), due to the
206 fact that the pollen limitation affects the arrival of both conspecific and heterospecific pollen equally.
207 Finally, the proportion of outcrossed individuals gradually increase with decreasing pollination
208 limitation through the simulation, with the proportion of hybrid offspring reaching a peak and slowly

209 tapering off (Fig. 3). This pattern indicates the while fuzzy mating strategies delays extinction of rare
210 species, they do not prevent extinction.

211 In terms of possible costs to the common species caused by direct competition with hybrid
212 offspring, we found that closely-related species rarely exist within the same neighborhood in highly
213 diverse forests simply because of the general low densities of species. In the Pasoh forest, the most
214 common tree species accounts for less than 3% of the stems, while species with median levels of
215 abundance contribute less than 0.04% to the entire community. Within a local neighborhood of 25 m
216 radius, a focal individual encounters an average of 197 individuals, composed of an average of 104
217 species. The observed local neighborhood species diversity is significantly lower than the mean
218 species diversity predicted by a null spatial model (134 spp., $p < 0.05$), agreeing with previous reports of
219 clumped species distribution patterns [52]. Overall, the number of stems > 1 cm DBH of both
220 conspecifics and congeners represents a very small fraction of the local neighborhood, and focal
221 individuals are more likely to encounter conspecific individuals than congeners (Fig. 4), although as
222 congeneric diversity increases, the encounter rate becomes roughly equivalent for conspecifics and
223 congeners. Many individuals in genera with 2-3 sympatric species almost never encounter
224 congeneric individuals. Given that individuals almost never directly compete with congeners,
225 unidirectional inter-specific hybridization, from dominant to rare species, cannot cause significant
226 genetic costs.

227

228 **Discussion**

229 Our model demonstrates that allowing individual mating behavior to respond to the composition of
230 the pollen rain arriving from the local community of near-species can delay stochastic extinction of rare
231 species. Individuals of rare species, as their populations crash, shift more of their reproductive effort

232 towards hybridization and selfing because they no longer receive sufficient conspecific pollen. This
233 shift in mating strategy effectively expands the local effective population size of each individual and
234 greatly increases their potential genetic diversification, particularly of potentially adaptive genes
235 present in the dominant species. As the local population approaches the extinction vortex, the fitness
236 costs of fuzzy mating behavior will always be less than the cost of extinction. Additionally, seed
237 dispersal limitation and clumped species distributions are a general characteristic of tropical tree
238 communities [52], which limits the recolonization of a community by rare species and creates spatially
239 clumped “families”. The offspring of a rare species, including hybrids, will form a spatially clumped
240 grove. The proximity of these mixed offspring could effectively allow them to interbreed, increasing
241 mate choice with family members and potentially re-establish the local population [17], returning the
242 species to being predominantly out-crossed. During these near extinction events, predominantly out-
243 crossing species could modify their mating behavior to become selfing or hybridizing simply in
244 response to the relative abundance of self, conspecific, and near-specific pollen types that arrive on the
245 plant's stigmas.

246 These behaviors are probably infrequent, local in spatial scale, and highly episodic, caused by
247 either stochastic or deterministic population decline of one species in the local community of inter-
248 fertile near-species. During these episodes, individuals of an increasingly rare species will primarily
249 receive either hetero-specific or self pollen, greatly increasing the probability and advantage of
250 producing offspring through these alternative reproductive pathways. As would be expected, selfing
251 primarily benefits individuals when pollination limitation is extreme. Even if the average viability of
252 selfed or hybrid offspring is low, a small proportion of individual offspring might have equal or even
253 greater fitness than the mother tree, particularly if environmental factors are changing and creating
254 novel habitats [14]. During these periods of local population decline, inter-specific hybridization can

255 also provide selective advantages to rare species by allowing them to capture advantageous alleles and
256 traits from successful species [16]. If a population is crashing due to deterministic processes, such as
257 susceptibility to fungal infection, then capturing genetic variation and alleles from resistant near-
258 species will be advantageous [53].

259 Diverse tree communities, exemplified by those in tropical Southeast Asia, exist in highly dynamic
260 biogeographic, climatic, and ecological landscapes [54–56], punctuated by brief periods of rapid
261 change and strong selection that may dominate evolution [57]. High biological diversity itself lends a
262 significant element of ecological complexity to the community, as the local composition of predators,
263 herbivores, pollinators, and other inter-acting species at different trophic levels is variable spatially and
264 temporally. Some studies indicate that known hybrid zones promote biodiversity in other parts of the
265 community [58-59]. Ultimately, given the complexity of these communities, both in their current
266 setting and in their historical dynamics, a high element of uncertainty exists in the reproductive success
267 of an individual genotype, particularly given the general pollination limitation in these highly diverse
268 systems [40], which limits mate choice.

269 One simple aspect of the model is clear: given stochastic population dynamics and complete
270 reproductive isolation among sympatric species, local extinction of rare species happens fairly rapidly
271 if they are assumed to be reproductively isolated from other near-species. Mitigating processes *must*
272 exist that allow rare species to escape extinction. Little is known about the stability of population size
273 of species in highly diverse communities through long periods of time, but given the longer millennial
274 dynamics, common species in today's forests have probably been rare at times in the past and will
275 certainly be rare in some portion of their range, as tree species are frequently found growing outside
276 their 'preferred' habitat. The benefits of maintaining the capacity for fuzzy mating behavior has
277 probably affected most species in these communities, as no one species truly dominates or probably

278 remains common over millennial periods of time.

279 Our results have profound implications for the general understanding of species co-existence and
280 the management of rare species in highly diverse communities. Previous work indicates that the
281 processes of competitive exclusion in diverse communities are inefficient and protracted [60]. One
282 fundamental aspect of the neutral theory of biogeography and biodiversity that remains unresolved is
283 the balance between speciation and extinction rates. Recently, a simple insight into the speciation
284 process – that it is not instantaneous - provides realistic estimates of species age and abundance of rare
285 species [61]. The assumption that reproductive isolation between different species ultimately provides
286 a long-term selective advantage to individuals, the basic premise of the Biological Species concept, has
287 been demonstrated in simple scenarios that assume consistent selection pressures in relation to life
288 history strategy, but this basic assumption has *never* been proven for long-lived species in highly
289 diverse communities dominated by high degrees of uncertainty in the selective environment. We argue
290 that while a unique and complex suite of phenotypic traits may provide an 'instantaneous' competitive
291 advantage in a particular ecological community [62], the advantage gained by a particular phenotype
292 over long periods of time is unpredictable and frequently, what was advantageous becomes
293 disadvantageous. Instead, species identity, as determined by its genealogy, may be more fluid and
294 dynamic through time and space, particularly as the rate of change and spatial heterogeneity in the
295 environment increases in relation to the demographic turn-over in the community, particularly if the
296 suite of phenotypic traits are not tightly linked genetically with traits that also promote assortative
297 mating among phenotypes.

298 Our model applies primarily to species in highly diverse communities, where numerous sets of
299 near-species are embedded within a much larger and diverse community and each population
300 contributes a relatively small proportion to the entire community. Below a certain level of diversity in

301 the community, these mechanisms likely play a minor role, only affecting the small number of species
302 Other major tree genera in Southeast Asian forests include: 1) *Litsea*, in the laurel family, with small,
303 frequently unisexual, and primitive flowers producing largely bird-dispersed fruits; 2) *Aglaia* or
304 *Dysoxylum*, both in the Meliaceae family, which produce profuse displays of minute flowers and
305 produce a wide variety of fruit types; 3) *Ficus*, in the Moraceae, with its highly specialized
306 inflorescence and obligate symbiosis with its pollinating wasps; and 4) *Diospyros*, in the Ebenaceae, in
307 which individuals are mostly unisexual (species are dioecious), flowers are large, open, and showy, and
308 most trees exist in the understory. Several of these groups are also poorly known taxonomically and
309 species identification is quite difficult. Few traits seem to link these diverse plant groups except
310 substantial potential for hybridization in mixed communities, where a large fraction of the species are
311 rare [63], live sympatrically with congeneric species [26-27], and mate choice is frequently limited [64-
312 65]. Most detailed pollination studies in these forests also indicate that generalist pollinators are
313 dominant [66-67]. In less diverse communities, such as temperate forests, successful species truly
314 dominate the community and can gain an advantage through reproductive isolation to prevent the
315 donation of pollen or advantageous alleles to rare species. Examples of persistent inter-specific
316 hybridization in such communities, e.g. oaks, may reflect the influences of other, possibly
317 phylogenetic, factors and may play a smaller role in the maintenance of community diversity [43,68].

318 Our model of fuzzy mating behavior, based upon relative abundance of conspecifics and near-
319 species, demonstrates that even a small capacity for self-fertilization or hetero-specific hybridization
320 can greatly enhance the ability of rare species to persist in communities where more than two near-
321 species co-exist. The initial number of near-species in the community has relatively little effect on the
322 overall outcome, although richer communities did maintain slightly greater levels of diversity. Given
323 the structure and composition of such communities, the majority of rare species, each interacting with

324 small subsets of inter-fertile congeners could delay local extinction through inter-specific hybridization.

325 Additionally, our model has implications for the entire community when mate choice is strongly
326 limited because self-fertilization can occur in singleton species without any sympatric relatives.
327 Because no species dominates the community and each species is dispersal limited, little direct
328 competition occurs among near-species, thus minimizing the ecologically competitive costs of
329 introgression and inter-fertility. The episodic nature of these fuzzy mating behaviors could interact
330 simultaneously with other ecological and evolutionary processes and should be incorporated into future
331 models of species co-existence. This evidence strongly suggests that this evolutionary behavior may be
332 an important force in maintaining rare species locally and the management of endangered species
333 should actively encourage gene flow among near-species, to both expand population size and to
334 increase genetic variance in the offspring. Our future is certainly unpredictable given many global
335 trends.

336

337 **Material and methods**

338 **Model parameters**

339 We included six variable parameters in our model of fuzzy reproductive behavior and species
340 co-existence. We performed three replicates of all possible combinations of the variable parameters.
341 The variable parameters were:

342

- 343 1. **Species diversity:** the initial diversity of near-species that occur within the larger matrix of the
344 community. The values included 3, 5, and 10. These values capture the majority of the
345 observed range of local diversity of congeneric taxa in the Pasoh 50 ha plot (see below).

- 346 2. **Community size:** the numbers of individuals in the community of near-species at the three
347 diversity levels. The relative abundances of each species are based on the patterns observed in
348 Pasoh forest plot data for groups of congeners. 3spp = {195,75,30}; 5spp = {300, 200, 150,
349 100, 50}; 10spp = {220, 200, 125, 110, 100, 90, 75, 50, 20, 10};
- 350 3. **Pollen limitation coefficient (PLC):** proportion of the community of near-species that act as
351 pollen donors in any one reproductive event. For example, in the three species community
352 simulation where the total population is 300, with a PLC=0.1, a single individual receives
353 pollen from thirty other individuals ($0.1 * 300$); in the five species community simulation where
354 the total population is 800, with a PLC=0.01, a single individual receives pollen from eight
355 other individuals ($0.01 * 800$). This parameter had a large impact on reproductive success and
356 was examined across coefficient values ranging from 0.01 to 0.5 {0.01, 0.015, 0.02, 0.025, 0.1,
357 0.15, 0.25, 0.5}.
- 358 4. **Self-fertilization success:** the probability of producing a viable offspring from a self-
359 fertilization event. Conspecific crosses were always successful. A value of 0 signifies
360 complete self incompatibility. These values are derived from empirical studies across tropical
361 tree taxa. {0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4};
- 362 5. **Hybridization success:** the probability of producing a viable offspring from an inter-specific
363 pollination event. A value of 0 signifies complete hybrid incompatibility. These values are
364 derived from empirical studies across tropical tree taxa. {0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.4};
- 365 6. **Individual fecundity:** the number of potential progeny that could be produced by an
366 individual in each reproductive event {10, 50, 250}, less the unsuccessful self-fertilization and
367 inter-specific crosses.

368

369 Several parameters were not allowed to vary in our simulations. We assumed that all crosses
370 between conspecific individuals were successful and that all viable progeny were equally fit and
371 ecological equivalent, whether they were of self, hybrid, or out-crossed origin. All individuals were
372 bisexual and species identity of the progeny was determined by the mother. The size of the modeled
373 community was fixed through the simulation (see parameter 2). Stochastic mortality was fixed at
374 1.5%. Note that individual reproductive success and the type of offspring produced can only be
375 determined by the interaction of several probabilistic parameters and community composition and not
376 inherent to the individual.

377

378 **Simulation procedure**

379 We established the initial community for each of three replicates for all unique combination of
380 variable parameters (see above). At the outset of the model, a community of a fixed population size
381 was established (3 spp = 300 individuals; 5 spp = 800 inds., and 10 spp = 1000 inds), composed of
382 'common' and 'rare' species (see parameter 2). For every individual in the initial community, three
383 values were recorded and tracked through each reproductive event for the entire simulation. These
384 values were: 1) individual identity, 2) species identity, and 3) age.

385 Each simulation was conducted in an iterative process of three steps (see below). Reproduction
386 was simultaneous for all individuals over 500 events and no spatial effects were incorporated into the
387 model. During each reproductive event, each individual was crossed with a random selection of
388 individuals in the community, irrespective of species, given the values of the pollination limitation
389 coefficient and fecundity parameters. Recruitment was random from the entire pool of viable progeny
390 and equal to the number of stochastic deaths. We did not attempt to model the entire community of

391 nested sets of inter-fertile species but each small subset of sympatric and inter-fertile species was
392 modeled separately. The mean number of species remaining in the community at the end of the
393 simulation from the three replicates for all possible combinations of parameter values was used to
394 generate a response surface to examine the sensitivity of the community at each parameter value across
395 its range of variation.

396

397 **Step One**

398 Generate the progeny for each individual, based upon the particular set of parameter values used
399 in each run of the simulation. Progeny for each individual were generated during each reproductive
400 event in the following way:

401

402 A random subset of individuals in the community were chosen as pollen donors, based upon the
403 pollination limitation coefficient (parameter 3).

- 404 1. All crosses between conspecifics produce a viable progeny.
- 405 2. A subset of inter-specific crosses produce viable progeny, based upon the probabilistic success
406 of inter-specific crosses (parameter 5).
- 407 3. The total number of attempted crosses from #1 and #2 are subtracted from the individual
408 fecundity (parameter 6), to obtain the number of remaining ovules for which pollination failed.
409 Self-fertilization was then attempted for this remainder with the number of viable selfed
410 offspring determined by the probabilistic success of self-fertilization (parameter 4).
- 411 4. The viable progeny produced by each individual in each reproductive event is therefore the sum
412 of #1, 2, and 3, which will be some fraction of parameter 6.

413

414 **Step Two**

415 A proportion of the existing individuals, chosen randomly, in the model die, given our rate of
416 stochastic mortality (fixed at 1.5%). All viable progeny produced by all individuals in step one are
417 pooled into a 'seed bank'.

418

419 **Step Three**

420 Individuals are chosen from the seed bank as recruits to replace the dead individuals. These
421 progeny are immediately able to reproduce. One 'year' is added to each living individual's age.

422

423 **Community composition of closely-related species**

424 To estimate the potential impact of inter-specific hybridization at the community level, we
425 calculated the number of tree species that live sympatrically in the Pasoh Long-Term Dynamics Plot
426 with congeneric species, assuming that congeneric species are at least partially inter-fertile and
427 represent 'near-species'. To estimate the potential cost of unidirectional gene flow to dominant species,
428 we counted the number of conspecific and congeneric individuals present in the 25 m radius
429 neighbourhood surrounding 601 focal trees, representing fifteen individuals from the ten most common
430 species from four categories of congeneric diversity: 3 species/genus, 3 species/genus, 5-6
431 species/genus, 10-14 species/genus, plus the most common species in the most diverse genus (45
432 *Eugenia* spp.). We tested empirical patterns against a null spatial model for local neighborhoods, using
433 1000 replicates. Simulations and analyses were written in Mathematica 7 [69].

434

435 **Acknowledgements.** CHC received funding from the Yunnan Province Science and Technology
436 Talent Project (云南省高端科技人才引进计划 #O9SK051B01) and Xishuangbanna Tropical
437 Botanical Garden of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. MTL received funding from a Senior Foreign
438 Scientist Fellowship from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (中国科学院国际合作局 外国专家特聘
439 研究员计划 #2060299). We thank Simon Levin and Ben Blackman for comments on earlier versions.
440 We also thank Cam Webb, Ferry Slik, Sean Rice, and Rob Dorit for helpful conversations.

441

442

443 References

444

1. Hey J (2001) Genes, categories, and species : the evolutionary and cognitive causes of the species problem. Oxford ;New York: Oxford University Press. p.
2. Connell JH (1961) The influence of interspecific competition and other factors on the distribution of the barnacle *Chthamalus stellatus*. *Ecology* 42: 710–723.
3. Janzen DH (1970) Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. *American Naturalist* 104: 501–528.
4. Hubbell SP (1997) A unified theory of biogeography and relative species abundance and its application to tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* 16: S9–21.
5. Webb CO, Peart DR (1999) Seedling density dependence promotes coexistence of Bornean rain forest trees. *Ecology* 80: 2006–2017.
6. Wright SJ (2002) Plant diversity in tropical forests: a review of mechanisms of species coexistence. *Oecologia* 130: 1–14.
7. Volkov I, Banavar JR, He FL, Hubbell SP, Maritan A (2005) Density dependence explains tree species abundance and diversity in tropical forests. *NATURE* 438: 658–661. doi:10.1038/nature04030.
8. Hubbell SP (2001) *The Unified Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton University Press. p.
9. Rosindell J, Hubbell SP, Etienne RS (2011) The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography at Age Ten. *TRENDS IN ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION* 26: 340–348. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.024.
10. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species (2012). *Nature advance online publication*. Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11041>.
11. Arnold ML, Sapir Y, Martin NH (2008) Genetic exchange and the origin of adaptations: prokaryotes to primates. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-biological Sciences* 363: 2813–2820. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0021>.
12. Morjan CL, Rieseberg LH (2004) How species evolve collectively: implications of gene flow and selection for the spread of advantageous alleles. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 1341–1356.
13. Anderson E (1953) Introgressive Hybridization. *Biol Rev* 28: 280–307.
14. Donovan LA, Rosenthal DM, Sanchez-Velenosi M, Rieseberg LH, Ludwig F (2010) Are hybrid species more fit than ancestral parent species in the current hybrid species habitats? *Journal of*

Evolutionary Biology 23: 805–816.

15. Arnold ML, Cornman RS, Martin NH (2008) Hybridization, hybrid fitness and the evolution of adaptations. *Plant Biosystems* 142: 166–171. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/11263500701873018>.
16. Fitzpatrick BM, Johnson JR, Kump DK, Smith JJ, Voss SR, et al. (2010) Rapid spread of invasive genes into a threatened native species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107: 3606–3610. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911802107>.
17. Baskett ML, Gomulkiewicz R (2011) Introgressive hybridization as a mechanism for species rescue. *Theoretical Ecology* 4: 223–239. doi:10.1007/s12080-011-0118-0.
18. Porcher E, Lande R (2005) The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding depression under pollen discounting and pollen limitation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18: 497–508. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2005.00905.x.
19. Kochummen KM, LaFrankie JV (1990) Floristic composition of Pasoh Forest reserve, a lowland rain forest in peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 3: 1–13.
20. Gavrillets S, Vose A (2007) Case studies and mathematical models of ecological speciation. 2. palms on an oceanic island. *Molecular Ecology* 16: 2910–2921.
21. Fitzpatrick BM, Fordyce JA, Gavrillets S (2009) Pattern, process and geographic modes of speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 22: 2342–2347. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01833.x.
22. Laurance WF, Nascimento HEM, Laurance SG, Condit R, Dâ€™Angelo S, et al. (2004) Inferred longevity of Amazonian rainforest trees based on a long-term demographic study. *Forest Ecology and Management* 190: 131–143.
23. Petit RJ, Hampe A (2006) Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Annual Review Of Ecology Evolution And Systematics* 37: 187–214.
24. Issartel J, Coiffard C (2011) Extreme longevity in trees: live slow, die old? *Oecologia* 165: 1–5. doi:10.1007/s00442-010-1807-x.
25. Baillie IC, Ashton PS, Court MN, Anderson JAR, Fitzpatrick EA, et al. (1987) Site characteristics and the distribution of tree species in Mixed Dipterocarp Forest on Tertiary sediments in central Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 3: 201–220.
26. Cannon CH, Leighton M (2004) Tree species distributions across five habitats in a Bornean rain forest. *J Veg Sci* 15: 257–266.
27. LaFrankie JV, Tan S, Ashton PS (1995) Species list for the 52-ha forest dynamics research plot: Lambir Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia.
28. Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853–858.

29. Ward M, Dick CW, Gribel R, Lowe AJ (2005) To self, or not to self ... A review of outcrossing and pollen-mediated gene flow in neotropical trees. *Heredity* 95: 246–254. doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800712.
30. Eckert CG, Kalisz S, Geber MA, Sargent R, Elle E, et al. (2010) Plant mating systems in a changing world. *TRENDS IN ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION* 25: 35–43. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.013.
31. Kamiya K, Gan YY, Lum SKY, Khoo MS, Chua SC, et al. (2011) Morphological and molecular evidence of natural hybridization in *Shorea* (Dipterocarpaceae). *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 7: 297–306. doi:10.1007/s11295-010-0332-8.
32. Duminil J, Kenfack D, Viscosi V, Grumiau L, Hardy OJ (2012) Testing species delimitation in sympatric species complexes: The case of an African tropical tree, *Carapa* spp. (Meliaceae). *MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION* 62: 275–285. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2011.09.020.
33. Rijksherbarium (Netherlands); Lembaga Biologi Nasional. (1983) *Flora malesiana*. Series 1. Spermatophyta flowering plants. Steenis CGGJ, editor The Hague ;; London: Published by Nijhoff under the auspices of Lembaga Biologi Nasional Botanic Gardens of Indonesia Bogor Java and of the Rijksherbarium Leyden Netherlands.
34. Jackson HD, Steane DA, Potts BM, Vaillancourt RE (1999) Chloroplast DNA evidence for reticulate evolution in *Eucalyptus* (Myrtaceae). *Mol Ecol* 8: 739–751.
35. Hudson CJ, Kullán ARK, Freeman JS, Faria DA, Grattapaglia D, et al. (2012) High synteny and colinearity among *Eucalyptus* genomes revealed by high-density comparative genetic mapping. *TREE GENETICS & GENOMES* 8: 339–352. doi:10.1007/s11295-011-0444-9.
36. Zjhra ML (2008) Facilitating sympatric species coexistence via pollinator partitioning in endemic tropical trees of Madagascar. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 271: 157–176.
37. Ashton PS (1988) Dipterocarp biology as a window to the understanding of tropical forest structure. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 19: 347–370.
38. Kettle CJ, Maycock CR, Ghazoul J, Hollingsworth PM, Khoo E, et al. (2011) Ecological Implications of a Flower Size/Number Trade-Off in Tropical Forest Trees. *PLOS ONE* 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016111.
39. Kondo T, Nishimura S, Naito Y, Tsumura Y, Okuda T, et al. (2011) Can Tiny Thrips Provide Sufficient Pollination Service During a General Flowering Period in Tropical Rainforest? In: Isagi Y, Suyama Y, editors. *SINGLE-POLLEN GENOTYPING*. Springer. pp. 63–81. doi:10.1007/978-4-431-53901-8_6.
40. Alonso C, Vamosi JC, Knight TM, Steets JA, Ashman TL (2010) Is reproduction of endemic plant species particularly pollen limited in biodiversity hotspots? *Oikos* 119: 1192–1200.
41. Wu, C-I. 2001. The genic view of the process of speciation. *J Evol Biol* 14:851-865.

42. Williams JH, Friedman WE, Arnold ML (1999) Developmental selection within the angiosperm style: Using gamete DNA to visualize interspecific pollen competition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96: 9201–9206.
43. Howard DJ, Gregory PG, Chu JM, Cain ML (1998) Conspecific sperm precedence is an effective barrier to hybridization between closely related species. *Evolution* 52: 511–516.
44. Lepais O, Petit RJ, Guichoux E, Lavabre JE, Alberto F, et al. (2009) Species relative abundance and direction of introgression in oaks. *Molecular Ecology* 18: 2228–2242.
45. Steinbachs JE, Holsinger KE (1999) Pollen transfer dynamics and the evolution of gametophytic self-incompatibility. *J Evol Biol* 12: 770–778.
46. Zadeh LA (2008) Is there a need for fuzzy logic? *INFORMATION SCIENCES* 178: 2751–2779. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2008.02.012.
47. Zadeh LA (1973) Outline of a new approach to the analysis of complex systems and decision processes. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics SMC-1*: 28–45.
48. Inglis IR, Langton S (2006) How an animal's behavioural repertoire changes in response to a changing environment: a stochastic model. *BEHAVIOUR* 143: 1563–1596. doi:10.1163/156853906779367044.
49. He FL, Legendre P, LaFrankie JV (1997) Distribution patterns of tree species in a Malaysian tropical rain forest. *JOURNAL OF VEGETATION SCIENCE* 8: 105–114.
50. Ovaskainen O, Meerson B (2010) Stochastic models of population extinction. *TRENDS IN ECOLOGY & EVOLUTION* 25: 643–652. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2010.07.009.
51. Comita LS, Muller-Landau HC, Aguilar S, Hubbell SP (2010) Asymmetric Density Dependence Shapes Species Abundances in a Tropical Tree Community. *SCIENCE* 329: 330–332. doi:10.1126/science.1190772.
52. Condit R, Ashton PS, Baker P, Bunyavejchewin S, Gunatilleke S, et al. (2000) Spatial patterns in the distribution of tropical tree species. *Science* 288: 1414–1418.
53. Barton N (2001) The role of hybridization in evolution. *MOLECULAR ECOLOGY* 10: 551–568. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2001.01216.x.
54. Cannon CH, Morley RJ, Bush ABG (2009) The current refugial rainforests of Sundaland are unrepresentative of their biogeographic past and highly vulnerable to disturbance. *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America* 106: 11188–11193.
55. Slik JWF, Aiba S-I, Bastian M, Brearley FQ, Cannon CH, et al. (2011) Soils on exposed Sunda Shelf shaped biogeographic patterns in the equatorial forests of Southeast Asia. *PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA* 108: 12343–12347. doi:10.1073/pnas.1103353108.

56. Woodruff DS (2010) Biogeography and conservation in Southeast Asia: how 2.7 million years of repeated environmental fluctuations affect today's patterns and the future of the remaining refugial-phase biodiversity. *BIODIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION* 19: 919–941. doi:10.1007/s10531-010-9783-3.
57. Gutschick VP, BassiriRad H (2003) Extreme events as shaping physiology, ecology, and evolution of plants: toward a unified definition and evaluation of their consequences. *NEW PHYTOLOGIST* 160: 21–42. doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00866.x.
58. Whitham TG, Morrow PA, Potts BM (1994) Plant hybrid zones as centers of biodiversity: The herbivore community of two endemic Tasmanian eucalypts. *Oecologia* 97: 481–490.
59. Adams RI, Goldberry S, Whitham TG, Zinkgraf MS, Dirzo R (2011) HYBRIDIZATION AMONG DOMINANT TREE SPECIES CORRELATES POSITIVELY WITH UNDERSTORY PLANT DIVERSITY. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF BOTANY* 98: 1623–1632. doi:10.3732/ajb.1100137.
60. Hubbell SP (2006) Neutral theory and the evolution of ecological equivalence. *ECOLOGY* 87: 1387–1398. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1387:NTATEO]2.0.CO;2.
61. Rosindell J, Cornell SJ, Hubbell SP, Etienne RS (2010) Protracted speciation revitalizes the neutral theory of biodiversity. *ECOLOGY LETTERS* 13: 716–727. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01463.x.
62. Davies SJ, Palmiotto PA, Ashton PS, Lee HS, Lafrankie JV (1998) Comparative ecology of 11 sympatric species of *Macaranga* in Borneo: tree distribution in relation to horizontal and vertical resource heterogeneity. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 662–673.
63. Ashton PS (1988) Systematics and ecology of rain forest trees. *Taxon* 37: 622–629.
64. Knight TM, Steets JA, Vamosi JC, Mazer SJ, Burd M, et al. (2005) Pollen limitation of plant reproduction: Pattern and process. *ANNUAL REVIEW OF ECOLOGY EVOLUTION AND SYSTEMATICS* 36: 467–497.
65. Vamosi JC, Knight TM, Steets JA, Mazer SJ, Burd M, et al. (2006) Pollination decays in biodiversity hotspots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103: 956–961.
66. Momose K, Yumoto T, Nagamitsu T, Kato M, Nagamasu H, et al. (1998) Pollination biology in a lowland dipterocarp forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. I. Characteristics of the plant-pollinator community in a lowland dipterocarp forest. *Am J Bot* 85: 1477–1501.
67. Sharma MV, Shivanna KR (2011) Pollinators, pollination efficiency and fruiting success in a wild nutmeg, *Myristica dactyloides*. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 27: 405–412.

68. de Casas RR, Cano E, Balaguer L, Perez-Corona E, Manrique E, et al. (2007) Taxonomic identity of *Quercus coccifera* L. in the Iberian Peninsula is maintained in spite of widespread hybridisation, as revealed by morphological, ISSR and ITS sequence data. *FLORA* 202: 488–499. doi:10.1016/j.flora.2006.10.006.
69. Wolfram Research, Inc. (2008) *Mathematica Edition: Version 7.0*. Champaign, Illinois: Wolfram Research, Inc. p.

445

446

447

448 **Figure Legends**

449

450 **Figure 1.** Species sympatry and diversity of tropical tree communities in four different locations in
451 tropical Asia. “1 sp.” illustrates the number of species with a single representative in the community;
452 “2 spp.” illustrates the number with species with at least two sympatric congeners; and “>2 spp.”
453 illustrates the number of species with at least three sympatric congeners. The five most diverse
454 genera in each location are listed below the solid line, with the total number of species observed
455 indicated.

456

457 **Figure 2.** Number of species persisting after 500 reproductive events, given different levels of
458 community diversity, fecundity, pollination success, selfing success and hybridization success (see
459 Methods and Supplemental Information for more details). Each contour line represents 0.5 species and
460 darker shades indicate lower species diversity. Contour lines in each graph are interpolated from
461 selfing and hybridization success rates of 0, 0.1, 0.25, and 0.4.

462

463 **Figure 3.** Proportion of community recruitment derived from different types of mating, starting with
464 ten species and large reproductive capacity. A) results of model assuming 0% inter-specific
465 hybridization success; B) 10% success; C) 25% success; and D) 40% success. The green line indicates
466 out-crossed offspring, the red line indicates selfed offspring, and the blue line indicates hybrid
467 offspring. The gray line indicates fraction of remaining species richness, beginning with 10 species.
468 Each small graph represents three replicates for each set of mating parameters through 500 generations.
469 The initial population is completely replaced by new individuals before 200 generations in each
470 simulation. Four values for the success of hybrid crosses are shown in the four larger panels. Four

471 values for the success of self crosses are shown on a set of four rows in each large panel. Three values
472 for pollination success are shown in each set of three columns in each large panel.

473

474 **Figure 4.** The relationship between the number of conspecific and congeneric individuals in a local
475 neighborhood with 25m radius around focal trees. Focal trees represent species with different numbers
476 of sympatric congeners in the Pasoh 50-ha plot. Each circle represents the mean values for fifteen
477 individuals from 61 of the most abundant species in each suite of sympatric congeners. The diameter
478 of each circle indicates the number of congeneric species present in the community for each species.
479 Several circles are labeled to indicate scale. The solid line illustrates a 1:1 ratio. Mean neighbourhood
480 size was 197 individuals composed of an average of 104 species.

481


482

483

484

485


486




487 Figure 1

488


489



491 Figure 2



492 Figure 3
 493



494 Figure 4