

Equivariant spectral asymptotics for h -pseudodifferential operators

Tobias Weich

April 21, 2018

We prove equivariant spectral asymptotics for h -pseudodifferential operators for compact orthogonal group actions generalizing results of El-Houakmi and Helffer [19] and Cassanas [7]. Using recent results for certain oscillatory integrals with singular critical sets [31] we can deduce a weak equivariant Weyl law. Furthermore, we can prove a complete asymptotic expansion for the Gutzwiller trace formula without any additional condition on the group action by a suitable generalization of the dynamical assumptions on the Hamilton flow.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Definitions and Notations	3
2.1	Classical Hamilton mechanics and quantization	3
2.2	Group actions and symmetry reduction	5
3	Weak equivariant Weyl asymptotics	8
4	Equivariant Gutzwiller formula	11
4.1	Spectral distribution and oscillatory integrals	12
4.2	The critical set	14
4.3	The G -non-stationary and G -non-degenerate assumption	15
4.4	Proof of the equivariant Gutzwiller formula	22

1 Introduction

Semiclassical analysis provides a rigorous way to establish a connection between quantum physics and classical mechanics [32, 35]. Mathematically, a non-relativistic quantum mechanical system is described by the spectrum of an h -pseudodifferential opera-

tor (h -PDO) \hat{H} on \mathbb{R}^n while the classical system is described by the Hamilton-flow of the associated symbol $H(x, \xi)$ on the symplectic vector space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$. From a mathematical point of view the question of connecting quantum physics to classical mechanics thus consists in proving asymptotic formulae for the spectrum of \hat{H} in terms of H in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$.

The two main theorems which have been established in this field are the Weyl law and the Gutzwiller trace formula. While the Weyl law gives an estimate for the number of eigenvalues below a certain energy in terms of the phase space volume of the corresponding classical energy shell, the Gutzwiller trace formula gives an asymptotic formula for the correlation of the eigenvalues in terms of periodic orbits of the classical flow.

The first version of Weyl's law was already proven in 1912 for special second order partial differential equations by Hermann Weyl [34], who wanted to give a rigorous foundation for the Rayleigh-Jeans law for black body radiation. This work has been generalized in various different works, among which we want to mention the work of Hörmander [25], who extended these results to elliptic pseudodifferential operators on compact manifolds, using the approach of Fourier integral operators (FIOs), and the work of Helffer and Robert [33] in the context of elliptic h -PDOs on \mathbb{R}^n .

The connection between spectral correlations and periodic orbits was first established in physics by the pioneering work of Gutzwiller [21] and Balian and Bloch [1] around 1970. Shortly later, between 1973 and 1975, similar results were rigorously proven for elliptic operators on compact manifolds by Colin de Verdière [10, 11, 13], Chazarain [9], and Duistermaat and Guillemin [17]. For h -PDOs, rigorous proofs have finally been given in the 90s by Paul and Uribe [29, 30] using a trick of Colin de Verdière [12] which allows to link the semiclassical problem to homogeneous PDOs, and by Meinrenken using a direct FIO approach [27].

Symmetries of physical systems are known to simplify their study significantly. If for example the Hamiltonian \hat{H} commutes with a compact Lie group G acting linearly on \mathbb{R}^n , then \hat{H} leaves the Peter-Weyl decomposition $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L^2_\chi$ of this group action invariant. Accordingly, one can restrict the Hamilton operator to one of the subspaces L^2_χ belonging to an irreducible representation χ of G . One can then study the spectral asymptotics of the symmetry-reduced Hamilton operator $\hat{H}_\chi := \hat{H}|_{L^2_\chi}$. This amounts to the question of counting the eigenvalues of this reduced Hamilton operator (equivariant Weyl law) and the question of correlations in the spectrum of \hat{H}_χ (equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula).

For elliptic operators on compact manifolds, the leading term of the equivariant Weyl law has been obtained for general group actions by Donnelly [16] and Brüning-Heintze [4] using heat kernel methods, which however do not allow to obtain remainder estimates. First remainder estimates were only obtained for actions with only one orbit type by Donnelly [16], Brüning-Heintze [4], Brüning [3] and Guillemin-Uribe [20] in the homogeneous setting, and by Helffer-Robert [23, 24] and El-Houakmi-Helffer [19] for h -PDOs. When trying to obtain remainder estimates for general group actions, it turns out that singularities in the critical set of the appearing phase function cause serious problems. Recently it has been possible to obtain remainder estimates for the

equivariant Weyl law for elliptic operators on compact manifolds which are invariant under general compact group actions [31] by partially resolving the singularities of the critical set (see also [8] for preliminary results).

In the derivation of equivariant Gutzwiller formulae, singularities in the critical set are a major obstruction in deriving the desired spectral asymptotics, too. There are two sources for these singularities: The group action and the Hamilton dynamics. Because of this, in all articles on equivariant Gutzwiller trace formulae (Guillemin-Urbe [20] for elliptic PDOs on compact manifolds and Cassanas [6, 7] for h -PDOs) the spectral asymptotics only hold under two conditions: The clean-flow or non-degenerate orbit condition, which are conditions on properties of the Hamilton flow (see e.g. [7, Definition 4.4]), and the condition of reduction, which is a condition on the group action (see e.g. [7, Definition 2.1]).

In the present work, equivariant spectral asymptotics of h -PDOs for general compact group actions are derived. Concerning the number of eigenvalues, we prove a weak equivariant Weyl law by reducing the problem to oscillatory integrals with singular critical sets which already appeared in [31]. Regarding the spectral correlations, we drop the condition on the group action on which the clean flow and non-degenerate orbit conditions are usually based. Instead we generalize the non-degenerate orbit condition for arbitrary group actions. Based on this new generalized condition on the Hamilton flow, we can derive a complete asymptotic expansion for the equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula without additional reduction assumptions. Furthermore we present a simple explicit example of a 3-dimensional Harmonic oscillator which illustrates that these new conditions are much less restrictive.

Acknowledgements: I like to thank Pablo Ramacher for introducing me to this topic and for the support and feedback on this work. I also acknowledge helpful discussions with Yves Colin de Verdière during my stay at Grenoble which was afforded by the ANR via the project 2009-12 METHCHAOS. This work has been made possible by a grant of the German National Academic Foundation.

2 Definitions and Notations

2.1 Classical Hamilton mechanics and quantization

We will consider Hamilton systems on the phase space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Points in phase space will commonly be denoted by $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, respectively by $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if we want to distinguish between the space variable x and momentum variable ξ . Even if the tangent space $T_z\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is canonically isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{2n} we will emphasize the difference by denoting tangent vectors by Greek letters $\alpha, \beta \in T_z\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The $2n \times 2n$ matrix $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ defines a symplectic form by $\omega(\alpha, \beta) := \langle \alpha, J\beta \rangle$ where \langle, \rangle is the standard scalar product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

A Hamiltonian on the symplectic manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ is a smooth function $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Via the symplectic structure we can associate a Hamilton vector field X_H to the Hamiltonian H by the condition $dH(\bullet) = \omega(X_H, \bullet)$. For the trivial symplectic

manifold $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ the Hamilton vector field is simply given by $J\nabla H(z)$, where ∇ denotes the gradient in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . This vector field generates the Hamilton flow $\Phi_t : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by setting

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(z) = J\nabla H(\Phi_t(z)). \quad (2.1)$$

In order to simplify notation we will sometimes write $(x_t, \xi_t) = z_t = \Phi_t(z)$.

For a given energy $E \in \mathbb{R}$, the energy shell is denoted by $\Sigma_E := H^{-1}(E)$. As ω is antisymmetric, we have $0 = \langle \nabla H(z_t), J\nabla H(z_t) \rangle = \frac{d}{dt}H(z_t)$. Thus, the energy is preserved along the flow, and the Hamilton flow leaves Σ_E invariant. Furthermore, $\nabla H(z) \perp J\nabla H(z)$, and if H is non-degenerate in z , i.e. if $\nabla H(z) \neq 0$, then Σ_E is locally smooth and $T_z\Sigma_E = (\nabla H(z))^\perp$.

Using a general formula for the Lie-derivative of a differential form we calculate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0}(\Phi_t^*\omega) = \mathcal{L}_{X_H}\omega = d(X_H \lrcorner \omega) + X_H \lrcorner d\omega = ddH = 0$$

where we used the closeness of ω and the definition of X_H . Consequently the symplectic form is preserved under the Hamilton flow and the flow consists thus of symplectomorphisms.

In order to discuss the quantization of the classical Hamiltonian, we first introduce the notion of order functions and symbol classes (see e.g. [35, Chapter 4] for a more detailed introduction).

Definition 1 (Order function). A measurable function $m : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called *order function* if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m(z) \leq C \langle z - z' \rangle^N m(z')$$

where $\langle z \rangle := \sqrt{1 + |z|^2}$.

A standard example for such an order function is e.g. $m(x, \xi) = \langle x \rangle^a \langle \xi \rangle^b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2 (Symbol class). Let m be an order function. Then the corresponding *symbol class* is defined by

$$S(m) := \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2n} \exists C_\gamma \text{ with } |\partial^\gamma a| \leq C_\gamma m\}.$$

Definition 3 (Quantization). For a symbol $H \in S(m)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the *quantization* of H is defined on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of rapidly decreasing functions as the operator

$$\left(\text{Op}_{h,t}(H)\Psi\right)(x) := (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} H(tx + (1-t)y, \xi) \Psi(y) e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} dy d\xi.$$

Two particular important special cases are the standard quantization for $t = 1$

$$\left(\text{Op}_h(H)\Psi\right)(x) := (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} H(x, \xi) \Psi(y) e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} dy d\xi$$

and the Weyl quantization for $t = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\left(\text{Op}_h^w(H)\Psi\right)(x) := (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} H\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) \Psi(y) e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} dy d\xi.$$

The Weyl quantization has the particular advantage that real symbols give rise to L^2 -symmetric operators. We will therefore mainly use the Weyl quantization, and in order to shorten the notation, we will denote the Weyl quantization of a symbol by $\hat{H} := \text{Op}_h^w(H)$. In general, such a quantized pseudodifferential operator will, however, not have purely discrete spectrum. In order to obtain a Hamilton operator with satisfactory spectral properties we suppose

Hypothesis 2.1. $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function bounded from below such that $\langle H \rangle$ is an order function and $H \in S(\langle H \rangle)$.

From these regularity conditions it follows with [22, Théorème 2.6] that \hat{H} extends to a unique self-adjoint operator on the space of square integrable functions $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, such an operator will not have discrete spectrum in an interval $[E_1, E_2]$ in general, and therefore we assume

Hypothesis 2.2. There are constants $E_1 < E_2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $H^{-1}([E_1 - \varepsilon, E_2 + \varepsilon]) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is compact.

The physical interpretation of this condition is that the system is closed, and from [22, Proposition 5.1] we obtain that there is an $h_0 > 0$ such that for $h \in]0, h_0]$ the spectrum of \hat{H} in the interval $[E_1, E_2]$ is purely discrete.

2.2 Group actions and symmetry reduction

We first recall some general facts about compact group actions. Let G be a compact Lie group acting on a smooth manifold M . For $g \in G$ and $m \in M$ we will simply denote the group action by gm . Given a point $m \in M$, we define its stabilizer subgroup as $G_m := \{g \in G, gm = m\} \subset G$. The orbit of m under the group action will be denoted by $Gm := \{gm, g \in G\} \subset M$, and it is always a smooth manifold diffeomorphic to the homogeneous space G/G_m . Furthermore, all the stabilizer subgroups $G_{m'}$ for arbitrary points on the orbit $m' \in Gm$ are conjugate to each other. In general, for points on different orbits this is not the case, which leads to

Definition 4 (Orbit types). Let $m, m' \in M$ be two points. Their orbits G/G_m and $G/G_{m'}$ are said to be *of the same type* if the stabilizer subgroups G_m and $G_{m'}$ are conjugate in G . If two orbits G/H_1 and G/H_2 (H_1 and H_2 being closed subgroups of G) are given, then one can define a *partial order* on the orbit types by defining

$$\text{type}(G/H_1) \leq \text{type}(G/H_2) \Leftrightarrow H_2 \text{ is conjugate to a subgroup of } H_1. \quad (2.2)$$

One of the most important results in the theory of compact group actions is the existence of a principal orbit type.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a compact group acting smoothly on a manifold M . Then there exists a principal orbit type G/H such that the union of all points of this orbit type $M(H) := \{m \in M, G_m \text{ is conjugate to } H\}$ is open and dense in M . Furthermore, this orbit type is maximal with respect to the order relation (2.2).*

Proof. See e.g. [2, Theorem IV.3.1]. □

Symmetry groups of a Hamilton system are always required to preserve the symplectic structure. For a Hamilton system on \mathbb{R}^{2n} a linear group G preserving the symplectic structure ω (i.e. fulfilling $\omega(g\alpha, g\beta) = \omega(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and $g \in G$) has thus to be a subgroup of the symplectic group $Sp(n)$. An important class of symplectic group actions arise from subgroups $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. For an element $g \in G$ acting linearly on \mathbb{R}^n , this action can be lifted to a symplectic action on \mathbb{R}^{2n} by defining $g(x, \xi) := (gx, (g^t)^{-1}\xi)$. In the sequel, we will only consider compact subgroups $G \subset O(n)$, so that the symplectic action simplifies to $g(x, \xi) = (gx, g\xi)$. Studying orthogonal subgroups is in fact not more restrictive than studying compact subgroups $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, because by a standard averaging argument each such group G is conjugate to an orthogonal subgroup $S_0GS_0^{-1} \subset O(n)$ for a suitable $S_0 \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [6, Lemma 4.6] for more details). Through the smooth path $e^{At}z \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, each element A of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G defines a vector in $T_z\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Identifying the tangent space with \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and considering \mathfrak{g} as a matrix Lie algebra of $n \times n$ matrices, this vector is exactly given by the matrix action $(Ax, A\xi)$. We will generally denote it by Az and write the vector space spanned by this Lie algebra action as $\mathfrak{g}z := \{Az, A \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. It is straightforward to check that $\mathfrak{g}z = T_z(Gz)$.

A Hamiltonian is said to be G -invariant if $H(gz) = H(z)$ for all $g \in G, z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In this case the flow commutes with the G -action. Indeed, under certain assumptions such Hamilton systems can be reduced, i.e. one can construct a lower dimensional Hamilton system by using constants of motion and dividing out the group action. An important object for this symplectic reduction is the so called momentum map, which encodes the constants of motion. In our setting it is given by

$$\mu : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^{2n} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^* \\ z & \mapsto & \mu(z) \end{cases} \quad \text{where } \mu(z)(A) := \langle z, JAz \rangle.$$

The zero level of the momentum map is given by

$$\Omega_0 := \mu^{-1}(0) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \langle z, JAz \rangle = 0 \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

The regularity of Ω_0 strongly depends on the properties of the G -action. If $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is an open G -invariant subset intersecting Ω_0 such that all orbits in $\Omega_0 \cap U$ are of the same type, then it is known (see e.g. [8, Proposition 2]) that $\Omega_0 \cap U$ is a smooth manifold with tangent space

$$T_z(\Omega_0 \cap U) = (J\mathfrak{g}z)^\perp.$$

Furthermore, one has the following important

Theorem 2.2 (Symplectic reduction). *If U is a set with the properties as above, then $G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U)$ carries a unique structure of a smooth symplectic manifold and the projection*

$$Pr : \begin{cases} \Omega_0 \cap U & \rightarrow G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U) \\ z & \mapsto [z] \end{cases}$$

which associates to each point z the corresponding equivalence class $[z]$ in the quotient is a smooth submersion. If $H : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a G -invariant Hamiltonian, then it reduces to a smooth Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} : G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the Hamilton flow $\tilde{\Phi}_t$ of \tilde{H} on $G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U)$ is compatible with the flow Φ_t of H on U , i.e.

$$\tilde{\Phi}_t \circ Pr = Pr \circ \Phi_t \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. see [28, Theorem 8.1.1, p. 302] □

An important example for such an G -invariant open subset is the open and dense set of all principal orbits $M(H)$ described by Proposition 2.1. We will call the intersection of Ω_0 with this set the *regular part* of Ω_0 and write $\text{Reg } \Omega_0 := \Omega_0 \cap M(H)$.

If the condition of equal G -orbits on $\Omega_0 \cap U$ is not fulfilled, a reduction to a singular stratified space is possible [28, Theorem 8.1.1, p. 302]. The reduction is however much more complicated and uses results on the stratification of G -spaces in orbit types.

On the quantum mechanical side, the symmetry reduction appears via the Peter-Weyl theorem. The orthogonal action of G on \mathbb{R}^n induces a unitary representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is the space on which we study the quantized Hamilton operator, by

$$(M(g)\Psi)(x) := \Psi(g^{-1}x) \quad \forall \Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By the Peter-Weyl theorem, this unitary representation leads to the following decomposition of our Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$: Let $\chi \in \hat{G}$ be an equivalence class of unitary irreducible G -representations given by an irreducible character of the compact group G and $d_\chi = \chi(Id)$ the dimension of the irreducible representation. Then

$$P_\chi := d_\chi \int_G \overline{\chi(g)} M(g) dg \quad (dg \text{ is the normalized Haar measure})$$

is a projector, and we denote its image by $L_\chi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then decomposes into a direct sum

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L_\chi^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As a direct consequence of the G -invariance of the Hamiltonian H , we get that the quantized Hamilton operator \hat{H} commutes with the G -action $M(g)$ so that

$$\hat{H} = M(g)\hat{H}M(g^{-1}). \quad (2.4)$$

Equation (2.4) implies that the Hamilton operator leaves $L_\chi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ invariant. We can therefore consider the restriction of \hat{H} to $L_\chi^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and denote it by \hat{H}_χ . This restricted operator is the symmetry-reduced Hamilton operator. Note that that in contrast to the symmetry reduction of the classical system, for the quantum reduction there are no additional complications if G acts with different orbit types.

3 Weak equivariant Weyl asymptotics

In this section we will prove a theorem for the asymptotic number of eigenvalues of the reduced Hamilton operator \hat{H}_χ for an arbitrary compact orthogonal group action. Suppose that \hat{H}_χ has purely discrete spectrum on $]E_1, E_2[$ and let $f \in C_0^\infty(]E_1, E_2[)$. Note that as $\hat{H} = \text{Op}_h^w(H)$ is a family of operators parametrized by $h > 0$, its spectrum will equally depend on the semiclassical parameter h . We will give the leading term in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$, plus a remainder estimate, for the expression $\sum f(\lambda_i)$, where the sum is over all eigenvalues of \hat{H}_χ in $]E_1, E_2[$ repeated according to their multiplicity. By taking a smooth function approximating the characteristic function of an interval $[a, b] \subset]E_1, E_2[$, this expression immediately gives us approximate information on the asymptotic number of eigenvalues in the interval $[a, b]$. As we are restricted to smooth functions f , and cannot take f exactly to be a characteristic function, we call these asymptotics “weak Weyl asymptotics”. The strong version of the equivariant Weyl’s law i.e. asymptotics for f being a characteristic function, have been obtained by El Houakmi-Helffer [19] via FIOs in the case of one orbit type.

We will prove the “weak Weyl asymptotics” for an arbitrary orbit structure by reducing the problem via functional calculus to oscillatory integrals with singular critical sets, and use results of [31] for these integrals. A generalization of the strong version of Weyl’s law to arbitrary orbit structures seems not possible by reducing the problem to the integrals studied in [31], but would require to resolve a much more complicated phase function.

We can now state and prove our first main result

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a compact subgroup of $O(n)$ and $H : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth G -invariant Hamiltonian which is bounded from below, and satisfies the regularity conditions of Hypothesis 2.1. Let $E_1 < E_2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $H^{-1}([E_1 - \epsilon, E_2 + \epsilon])$ is compact, and let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and compactly supported in $]E_1, E_2[$. For a given character $\chi \in \hat{G}$ and small h , $f(\hat{H}_\chi)$ is of trace class, and its trace is asymptotically given by*

$$\text{Tr}(f(\hat{H}_\chi)) = (2\pi h)^{-n+\kappa} d_\chi \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{O}(h^{-n+\kappa+1} \log(h^{-1})^\Lambda) \quad (3.1)$$

where κ is the dimension of a principal orbit in \mathbb{R}^n , Λ the maximal number of elements of a totally ordered subset of the set of orbit types, and

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = [\pi_{\chi|_{H_{\text{prin}}}} : 1] \int_{\text{Reg } \Omega_0} \frac{f(H(z))}{\text{Vol}(Gz)} d(\text{Reg } \Omega_0)(z). \quad (3.2)$$

Here $\text{Vol}(Gz)$ denotes the induced volume of the principal G -orbit through z as a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n , and $d(\text{Reg } \Omega_0)$ the induced measure on $\text{Reg } \Omega_0$. $[\pi_{\chi|_{H_{\text{prin}}}} : 1]$ is the Frobenius factor which is given by the multiplicity with which the trivial representation occurs in the restriction of the irreducible G -representation π_χ to the isotropy subgroup of the principal orbits $H_{\text{prin}} \subset G$.

Remark 3.1. As mentioned in Section 2.2, the case of a compact symmetry group $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ can be reduced to the case of an orthogonal subgroup, treated in the theorem, by a standard averaging argument.

Remark 3.2. As G acts with only one orbit type G/H_{prin} on $\text{Reg } \Omega_0$, the quotient $G \backslash \text{Reg } \Omega_0$ has the structure of a symplectic manifold (see Theorem 2.2) and $\text{Reg } \Omega_0$ is a fiber bundle over this quotient

$$Pr : \begin{cases} \text{Reg } \Omega_0 & \rightarrow & G \backslash \text{Reg } \Omega_0 \\ z & \mapsto & [z] \end{cases}$$

with the principal orbit G/H_{prin} as the fiber. By its G -invariance, the Hamiltonian $H(z)$ takes constant values along the fibers equal to the value of the reduced Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}([z])$. Consequently, using a fiberwise integration we can rewrite (3.2) as

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = [\pi_{\chi|H_{\text{prin}}} : 1] \int_{G \backslash \text{Reg } \Omega_0} f(\tilde{H}([z])) d(G \backslash \text{Reg } \Omega_0)([z]). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. In a first step we show that $f(\hat{H}_\chi)$ is trace class, and express the trace as an oscillatory integral. Afterwards we will derive the asymptotic behavior for this integral from a result in [31].

From the compactness of $H^{-1}(E_1 - \epsilon, E_2 + \epsilon)$ we conclude that \hat{H} has purely discrete spectrum on the interval $[E_1, E_2]$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ and eigenstates Ψ_1, \dots, Ψ_r [22, Proposition 5.1]. The expression $f(\hat{H})$ is thus defined by

$$f(\hat{H})\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^r f(\lambda_i) \langle \Psi_i, \Psi \rangle \Psi_i, \text{ for } \Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As $H(z)$ is G -invariant, \hat{H} commutes with the left regular representation of G and the eigenstate Ψ_i can be chosen such that each Ψ_i belongs precisely to one G -representation L_χ^2 . Consequently, if we denote by σ_χ the set of all eigenvalues belonging to $\chi \in \hat{G}$ we can write

$$f(\hat{H})\Psi = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_\chi} f(\lambda_i) \langle \Psi_i, \Psi \rangle \Psi_i = \left(\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} f(\hat{H}_\chi) \right) \Psi$$

with

$$f(\hat{H}_\chi)\Psi = \sum_{\lambda_i \in \sigma_\chi} f(\lambda_i) \langle \Psi_i, \Psi \rangle \Psi_i.$$

Thus we conclude

$$f(\hat{H}_\chi) = f(\hat{H}) \hat{P}_\chi. \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore $f(\hat{H}_\chi)$ is of finite rank and thus trace class. Now, for $f(\hat{H})$ we can use the Helffer-Robert functional calculus [22, Théorème 4.1] and get for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the expression

$$f(\hat{H}) = \sum_{j=0}^N h^j \text{Op}_h^w(a_j) + h^{N+1} \hat{R}_{N+1}(h), \quad (3.5)$$

where the a_j are symbols with $\text{supp}(a_j) \subset H^{-1}([E_1 - \epsilon, E_2 + \epsilon])$ and $a_0 = f \circ H$. Furthermore, from the proof of Théorème 5.1 in [22] it follows that \hat{R}_N is of trace class for h sufficiently small and that its trace norm is bounded by $\|\hat{R}_{N+1}\|_{\text{Tr}} \leq Ch^{-n}$.

In order to express $f(\hat{H}_\chi)$ as an oscillatory integral we first prove the following

Lemma 3.2. *Let $Op_h(a_j)$ be the standard quantization. Then $Op_h(a_j)\hat{P}_\chi$ can be written as an integral operator with smooth kernel*

$$K(x, y) = (2\pi h)^{-n} d_\chi \int_G \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_j(x, \xi) \overline{\chi(g)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-g^{-1}y)\xi} d\xi dg.$$

Proof. For $\Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we calculate with Fubini

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y) \Psi(y) dy &= (2\pi h)^{-n} d_\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\int_G \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_j(x, \xi) \overline{\chi(g)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-g^{-1}y)\xi} d\xi dg \right] \Psi(y) dy \\ &= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_j(x, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} \left[\int_G d_\chi \overline{\chi(g)} \Psi(gy) dg \right] d\xi dy \\ &= [Op_h(a_j) P_\chi \Psi](x), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that all integrands are compactly supported. \square

In order to calculate the desired trace by the above lemma we need to change the quantization in (3.5).

Lemma 3.3. *If $b \in S(m)$ is a G -invariant, compactly supported symbol, then*

$$Op_h^w(b) = Op_h \left(\sum_{j=0}^N h^j b_j(x, \xi) + h^{N+1} R_N(x, \xi) \right) \quad (3.6)$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b_j \in S(m)$ are compactly supported and G -invariant. Furthermore, $b_0 = b$ and $\|Op_h(R_N)\|_{\text{Tr}} < Ch^{-n}$.

Proof. By [35, Theorem 4.13] one has (3.6) with $b_j = \frac{(-ih\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^j}{j!} b$, so that the compactness property follows immediately. From the G -invariance of b we conclude for arbitrary $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{(-ih\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^j}{j!} b \right) (x) &= \left(\frac{(-ih\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^j}{j!} (b \circ g) \right) (x) \\ &= \left(\frac{(-ih\langle gD_x, gD_\xi \rangle)^j}{j!} b \right) (gx) \\ &= \left(\frac{(-ih\langle D_x, D_\xi \rangle)^j}{j!} b \right) (gx) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the G -invariance of the b_j . Finally, as b is compactly supported, b and consequently also R_N belong to $S(\langle z \rangle^{-k})$ for all k . Thus, R_N is a Schwartz function and $\text{Tr}[Op_h(R_N)] = (2\pi h)^{-n} \iint R_N(x, \xi) dx d\xi$. \square

Equation (3.4) and (3.5), together with Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 finally yield

Corollary 3.4. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the trace of $f(\hat{H}_\chi)$ can be written as*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(\hat{H}_\chi)) = (2\pi h)^{-n} d_\chi \sum_{j=0}^N h^j \int_G \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{a}_j(x, \xi) \overline{\chi(g)} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-gx)\xi} dx d\xi dg + \mathcal{O}(h^{-n+N}), \quad (3.7)$$

where the \tilde{a}_j are compactly supported, G -invariant symbols and $\tilde{a}_0 = f \circ H$.

Each of the summands in (3.7) is thus an oscillatory integral with phase function $\Phi(x, \xi) = (x - gx)\xi$. The critical set of such an oscillatory integral is defined by $\mathcal{C} := \{(z, g) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times G, d\Phi_{z,g} = 0\}$. For this phase function a straightforward computation yields

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(z, g) \in \Omega_0 \times G, gz = z\}.$$

If G acts with different orbit types, this set is not smooth and one cannot obtain the asymptotics directly by the stationary phase theorem. However, it has been shown [31] that by iteratively blowing up the critical set, the leading order term for integrals of this type can be obtained together with a remainder estimate. As all symbols of the oscillatory integral are compactly supported we can use [31, Theorem 9.3] and obtain in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f(\hat{H}_\chi)) = (2\pi h)^{-n+\kappa} d_\chi \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{O}(h^{-n+\kappa+1} \log(h^{-1})^\Lambda),$$

where κ is the dimension of a principal orbit in \mathbb{R}^n , Λ the maximal number of elements of a totally ordered subset of the set of orbit types, and

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \int_{\mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C}} \frac{\overline{\chi(g)} f(H(x, \xi))}{|\det(\mathrm{Hess} \Phi(x, \xi, g)|_{N_{x, \xi, g} \mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C}})|^{1/2}} d(\mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C})(x, \xi, g),$$

where the set $\mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C} \cap (\mathrm{Reg} \Omega_0 \times G)$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times G$ and $\mathrm{Hess} \Phi(x, \xi, g)|_{N_{x, \xi, g} \mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C}}$ the restriction of the Hessian to the normal-bundle of $\mathrm{Reg} \mathcal{C}$. Applying [8, Lemma 7] this expression can be simplified to

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = [\pi_{\chi|H_{\mathrm{prin}}} : 1] \int_{\mathrm{Reg} \Omega_0} \frac{f(H(z))}{\mathrm{Vol}(Gz)} d(\mathrm{Reg} \Omega_0)(z).$$

This finally proves Theorem 3.1. \square

4 Equivariant Gutzwiller formula

While the previous section treated a result on the number of eigenvalues of the symmetry-reduced operator \hat{H}_χ , this section will be dedicated to the correlations in the spectrum of \hat{H}_χ which will be described by an equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula. In Section 4.1 we will introduce the equivariant spectral distribution which is

the well known quantity to study asymptotic spectral correlations. This spectral distribution will be written as an oscillatory integral using results of Cassanas [7]. The phase analysis and a discussion of the possible singularities of the critical set will be the subject of Section 4.2. We will see that, in general, the singularities can result from the group action as well as from the Hamilton dynamics, and we will review the assumptions which were imposed by Guillemin-Urbe [20] and Cassanas [7] in order to avoid these singularities. In Section 4.3 we introduce a generalization of the former assumptions which makes no special hypothesis on the group action anymore. Under these assumptions we finally prove the equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula in Section 4.4.

4.1 Spectral distribution and oscillatory integrals

Throughout this section we will assume that H is a Hamiltonian fulfilling Hypothesis 2.1 and 2.2 and that $G \subset O(n)$ is a compact Lie group acting on \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore we assume that H is G -invariant. As discussed above, for an arbitrary equivalence class of irreducible unitary representation $\chi \in \hat{G}$ one can study the symmetry-reduced Hamilton operator \hat{H}_χ which has purely discrete spectrum in $[E_1, E_2]$ for $h \in]0, h_0]$. Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty([E_1, E_2])$ be a smooth cut-off function and $h \in]0, h_0]$. We can then define for each $E \in]E_1, E_2[$ the spectral distribution $\rho_{E,h}^\chi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ by its action on $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$\rho_{E,h}^\chi(f) := \text{Tr} \left(\zeta(\hat{H}_\chi) f \left(\frac{E - \hat{H}_\chi}{h} \right) \right). \quad (4.1)$$

This expression can be reformulated using the Fourier inversion formula, and one obtains

$$\rho_{E,h}^\chi(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Tr} \left(\zeta(\hat{H}_\chi) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\frac{i}{h}Et} e^{-\frac{i}{h}\hat{H}_\chi t} \hat{f}(t) dt \right) \quad (4.2)$$

with $\hat{f}(t)$ being the Fourier transform $\hat{f}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iEt} f(E) dE$.

In order to understand the significance of this spectral distribution, consider the following heuristics: Assume, that $\hat{f}(t)$ is compactly supported and that this support is very close to $t_0 \neq 0$ such that it approximates the delta distribution at t_0 . One then obtains

$$\rho_{E,h}^\chi(f) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{i}{h}Et_0} \sum_{E_j \in \sigma(\hat{H}_\chi) \cap [E_1, E_2]} \zeta(E_j) e^{-\frac{i}{h}E_j t_0}$$

where $\sigma(\hat{H}_\chi)$ denotes the spectrum of \hat{H}_χ . From the Weyl law we know that the number of eigenvalues E_j of \hat{H}_χ in $[E_1, E_2]$ diverges as $h \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, if they are randomly distributed in $[E_1, E_2]$, the spectral distribution will be very small for small h due to phase cancellation. The contrary extreme would be a totally correlated spectrum, respectively an equidistant spectrum

$$E_{j+1} = E_j + \frac{2\pi h}{t_0}$$

In this case the spectral distribution gives

$$\rho_{E,h}^\chi(f) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{i}{h}(E-E_0)t_0} \sum_{E_j \in \sigma(\hat{H}_\chi) \cap [E_1, E_2]} \zeta(E_j),$$

which constitutes for small h a large quantity according to the weak asymptotics. Of course such an equidistant spectrum is only possible for very special dimensions of phase space and G -orbits in order to be in accordance with the equivariant Weyl law, and also the approximation of the delta distribution by \hat{f} strictly speaking does not hold anymore in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$. This heuristic discussion however shows that contributions of the spectral distribution at $t_0 \neq 0$ measure the correlation of the spectrum with a correlation length $\frac{2\pi h}{t_0}$, motivating its study. The equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula will be an asymptotic expansion of the spectral distribution $\rho_{E,h}^\chi$ evaluated at a Schwartz function f with \hat{f} compactly supported away from zero.

The standard way to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the spectral distribution is to rewrite it as an oscillatory integral, and apply the stationary phase approximation. This transformation of the spectral distribution to an oscillatory integral can be performed in different ways. The most common way is to use Fourier integral operator theory in order to study the operator $e^{\frac{i}{h}\hat{H}t}$ in (4.2). An alternative approach has been proposed by Combescure-Ralston-Robert [14] using their previous results on the propagation of coherent states [15]. This coherent state approach has been elaborated in detail by Cassanas [6, 7] in exactly the same setting which we study in this work, i.e. for symmetry-reduced h -PDOs. We will thus use the following result of those works:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that \hat{f} is compactly supported. Then*

$$\rho_{E,h}^\chi(f) = d_\chi \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} dz \int_G dg \ a_g(z, h) \hat{f}(t) e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi_E(z, t, g)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the complex phase function is given by $\phi_E = \phi_E^1 + i\phi_E^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_E^1(z, t, g) &= (E - H(z))t + \frac{1}{2}\langle g^{-1}z, Jz \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle (z_t - g^{-1}z), J\dot{z}_s \rangle ds, \\ \phi_E^2(z, t, g) &= \frac{1}{4} \langle (\mathbb{1} - \hat{W}_t)(gz_t - z), (gz_t - z) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here \hat{W}_t is a complex valued $2n \times 2n$ -matrix such that $(\mathbb{1} - \hat{W}_t)$ defines a non-degenerate quadratic form. More precisely, $\hat{W}_t := \begin{pmatrix} W_t & -iW_t \\ -iW_t & -W_t \end{pmatrix}$ where the $n \times n$ matrix W_t is given by the equation

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{1} + W_t) := (\mathbb{1} - ig(C + iD)(A + iB)^{-1}g^{-1})^{-1}$$

with A, B, C, D being the real t - and z -dependent $n \times n$ matrices such that the linearized flow is given by $(\Phi_t)_{*,z} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. The integrand $a_g(\bullet, h) : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is supported

in $H^{-1}([E - \delta E, E + \delta E])$ and is given by an asymptotic expansion in h with leading term

$$a_g(z, h) \sim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(2\pi h)^{-d}}{2\pi} \overline{\chi(g)} \chi_2(z) \zeta(H(z)) \det_*^{-1/2} \left(\frac{A + iB - i(C + iD)}{2} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Here χ_2 is a smooth cut-off function compactly supported around Σ_E and equal to one in a neighborhood of $\Sigma_E = H^{-1}(E)$ and $\det_*^{-1/2}(M)$ is defined as the product of the reciprocal square roots of eigenvalues of the matrix M with real parts chosen to be positive.

Proof. See [7], Section 4, Equation (4.1). For the non degeneracy of $\mathbb{1} - \hat{W}_t$ see the discussion in [6] on page 10 (Section IV A). \square

By this proposition we have thus written the spectral distribution as an oscillatory integral with complex phase function. The next section will be dedicated to its phase analysis.

4.2 The critical set

For a complex valued phase function $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{Im}(\Phi) \geq 0$, the critical set is defined as (c.f. [26] Theorem 7.7.1)

$$\mathcal{C}_\Phi := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{Im}(\Phi(x)) = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \Phi(x) = 0\}.$$

Using the fact that $(\mathbb{1} - \hat{W}_t)$ is non-degenerate, a straightforward but slightly tedious calculation leads to

Proposition 4.2. *For the complex phase function ϕ_E of Proposition 4.1, the critical set is given by*

$$\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} = \{(z, t, g) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \times G, \quad z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E, \quad g\Phi_t(z) = z\} \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. See [7, Proposition 4.1]. \square

This critical set \mathcal{C}_{ϕ_E} is in general a singular set. There are two different sources for these singularities: First of all, they can purely result from the Hamilton flow even if there is no symmetry group acting. For example, an isolated fixed point or a singular family of periodic orbits would lead to such singularities. A second source of singularities are, as in the case of the weak asymptotics, different orbit types of the group action. The same critical set with the same sources of singularities also appears in the work of Guillemin-Urbe [20]. In order to make sure that the critical set is smooth, and to prove the asymptotic expansion for the equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula, both works [20, 7] impose the following three conditions (H1)-(H3) which we now recall, using the notation of [7].

Hypothesis (H1). For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, the *hypothesis of reduction* is fulfilled if there exists some $\delta E > 0$ such that for $U = H^{-1}([E - \delta E, E + \delta E])$

- $\Omega_0 \cap U \neq \emptyset$,
- all G -orbits in $\Omega_0 \cap U$ are of the same type.

This hypothesis prevents the possible singularities coming from the group action. If it is fulfilled one can consider the reduced Hamiltonian $\tilde{H} : G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by Theorem 2.2. In order to avoid the singularities coming from the Hamilton dynamics, one further needs the following two Hypothesis.

Hypothesis (H2). Assume that (H1) is fulfilled. Then E is a *non critical value* of \tilde{H} , i.e. $d\tilde{H} \neq 0$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_E := \tilde{H}^{-1}(E)$.

Hypothesis (H3). Assume that (H1) and (H2) are fulfilled. Let $\gamma \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_E$ be an arbitrary closed orbit of the reduced Hamiltonian \tilde{H} , $[z] \in \gamma$ an arbitrary point on this orbit, and $T_\gamma > 0$ the period of the orbit so that $\tilde{\Phi}_{T_\gamma}([z]) = [z]$. Let furthermore $\tilde{F}_t := \partial_z \tilde{\Phi}_t$ be the linearized flow. Then we assume that γ is a *non-degenerate orbit* i.e. the eigenvalue 1 of $\tilde{F}_{T_\gamma}([z])$ has algebraic multiplicity 2.

More generally, the non-degenerate orbit condition can be weakened replacing it by the *clean-flow condition*. However, under this assumption, the resulting spectral asymptotics can not be written as a sum over periodic orbits anymore, and are much less explicit.

In the following we shall drop the Hypothesis (H1) and study the Gutzwiller trace formula for general compact group actions. As the formulation of (H2) and (H3) is usually based on (H1), these two conditions have to be replaced by appropriate generalizations which will be formulated in the next subsection.

4.3 The G -non-stationary and G -non-degenerate assumption

Let (H1) be fulfilled. Then assumption (H2) is equivalent to the fact that the Hamilton flow of \tilde{H} on the reduced phase space has no stationary points at the given energy level E . Suppose that $[z] \in \tilde{\Sigma}_E$ is such a stationary point, i.e. $\tilde{\Phi}_t([z]) = [z]$ for all t , then we infer from (2.3), that $\Phi_t(z) \subset Pr^{-1}([z]) = Gz \subset \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ for all t . In particular we have $\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(z)|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{g}z$. If, on the other hand, we have for some $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ that $\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(z)|_{t=0} = Az$ for some $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we obtain that $\Phi_t(z) = e^{At}z$ because we check that it fulfills the Hamilton equations of motions (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}e^{At}z|_{t=t_0} &= e^{At_0}Az \\
&= e^{At_0}\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(z)|_{t=0} \\
&= e^{At_0}J\nabla H(z) \\
&= J\nabla H(e^{At_0}z).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used in the last equality that the group action is symplectic and that the Hamiltonian is G -invariant. Consequently a stationary point on the symmetry-reduced system in $\tilde{\Sigma}_E$ is equivalent to an orbit of the Hamilton flow of H which is tangent to a

G -orbit in at least one point in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$. Let now (H1) be dropped. Hypothesis (H2) can then be easily reformulated for general compact group actions and we arrive at

Hypothesis (H2'). The given Hamilton dynamics is called G -non-stationary for a given energy $E \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $J\nabla H(z) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{g}z$ for all $z \in \Sigma_E \cap \Omega_0$.

The generalization of (H3) requires a little bit more work. We assume that the Hamilton dynamics is G -non-stationary, so that in particular $\nabla H(z) \neq 0$ on $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ and Σ_E is a smooth submanifold in some neighborhood of Ω_0 . From the G -invariance of the Hamiltonian we obtain that the G -orbit Gz of a point $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ is completely contained in Σ_E thus the same inclusion holds for their tangent spaces $\mathfrak{g}z \subset T_z \Sigma_E$. Consequently $\nabla H(z) \perp Az$ for all $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. For $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$, we obtain thus the decomposition

$$T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n} = (\mathbb{R}\nabla H(z) \oplus J\mathfrak{g}z) \oplus (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z) \oplus \mathcal{R}, \quad (4.6)$$

where \mathcal{R} is simply the orthogonal complement of $(\mathbb{R}\nabla H(z) \oplus J\mathfrak{g}z) \oplus (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z)$ in $T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In order to obtain a clearer interpretation of (4.6) we introduce a notation which will turn out to be convenient at several other points in the sequel. We define the Lie group $F := \mathbb{R} \times G$ and its action for $f = (t, g) \in F$ on $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by

$$fz := g\Phi_t(z).$$

The fact that the G -action commutes with Φ_t assures that this is really a Lie group action. We will denote its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{f} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ and an Lie-algebra element by (τ, A) . Its infinitesimal Lie-algebra action is given by $(\tau, A)z = \tau J\nabla H(z) + Az$ and for the subspace of $T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ spanned by the \mathfrak{f} -action we write

$$\mathfrak{f}z := \{\tau J\nabla H(z) + Az, (\tau, A) \in \mathfrak{f}\} = T_z(Fz).$$

With this notation (4.6) becomes

$$T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n} = J\mathfrak{f}z \oplus \mathfrak{f}z \oplus \mathcal{R}. \quad (4.7)$$

Consider now a point $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ which fulfills $fz = z$ for some $f \in F$. We can then study the differential $f_{*,z} = (g\Phi_T)_{*,z} : T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Lemma 4.3. Assume that (H2') is fulfilled and consider for a fixed $f = (T, g) \in F$ a point $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ such that $fz = g\Phi_T(z) = z$. Then the differential of f has the form

$$f_{*,z} = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{\mathcal{A}} & 0 & 0 \\ * & \boxed{\mathcal{B}} & * \\ * & 0 & \boxed{\mathcal{P}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

where the block form is with respect to the decomposition (4.7) of the tangent space, i.e. \mathcal{A} acts on $J\mathfrak{f}z$, \mathcal{B} on $\mathfrak{f}z$, and \mathcal{P} on \mathcal{R} . Furthermore, \mathcal{B} is given on $\mathfrak{f}z = \mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z$ by

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Ad}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. As the F -action leaves the corresponding F -orbits invariant, $\mathfrak{f}z$ is invariant under $f_{*,z}$ which explains the second column in (4.8). As the Hamilton flow and the G action are both symplectic, the F action is symplectic as well, which implies that the symplectic complement $(J\mathfrak{f}z)^\perp = \mathfrak{f}z \oplus \mathcal{R}$ of $\mathfrak{f}z$ is an invariant subspace under $f_{*,z}$ and consequently we obtain the first row in (4.8). For the refined form of \mathcal{B} we consider the vector $J\nabla H(z) = \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(z)|_{t=0}$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}g\Phi_T(\Phi_t(z))|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}g\Phi_t(\Phi_T(z))|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}\Phi_t(g\Phi_T(z))|_{t=0} = J\nabla H(z),$$

$J\nabla H(z)$ is eigenvector of $(g\Phi_T)_{*,z}$ with eigenvalue 1. On the other hand, if $v \in \mathfrak{g}z$ i.e. $v = \frac{d}{dt}e^{At}z|_{t=0}$, one computes

$$(g\Phi_T)_{*,z}(v) = \frac{d}{dt}g\Phi_T(e^{At}z)|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt}ge^{At}g^{-1}g\Phi_T(z)|_{t=0} = (\text{Ad}(g)A)z.$$

□

With this general form of the differential $(g\Phi_T)_*$ one can now reformulate (H3) to a new assumption (H3') which we call *G-non-degenerate assumption*. In order to formulate it we first introduce some further notations.

Definition 5. By a *relative periodic point* $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ we will denote a point for which there are $T \neq 0$ and $g \in G$ such that $g\Phi_T(z) = z$. For a relative periodic point z_0 the set $\gamma = \{g\Phi_t(z_0), g \in G \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is then called *relative periodic orbit*. For a given relative periodic orbit γ , its *primitive period length* T_γ is defined as the smallest $T > 0$ such that there exists $g \in G$ with $g\Phi_T(z) = z$ for some $z \in \gamma$.

Remark 4.1. Relative periodic points are thus simply fixed points under some element $f = (T, g) \in F$ with $T \neq 0$ and the relative periodic orbits are the F -orbits of a relative periodic point.

Definition 6. Let $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ be a relative periodic point with $g\Phi_T(z) = z$ for some $g \in G$ and $T > 0$. Then this point, as well as the corresponding relative periodic orbit γ , are called *G-non-degenerate* if \mathcal{P} in (4.8) has no eigenvalue equal to 1.

Hypothesis (H3'). We assume that all relative periodic points in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ are *G-non-degenerate*.

This condition assumes assumption (H2') but not assumption (H1). If however the condition of reduction (H1) is fulfilled the following equivalence holds.

Lemma 4.4. *If the hypothesis of reduction (H1) is fulfilled, then an orbit is G-non-degenerate if and only if this orbit is non-degenerate in the sense of (H3).*

Proof. If the condition of reduction is satisfied for $E \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain a smooth symplectic manifold $\Omega_{\text{red}} = G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap U)$ with a reduced Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}([z]) = H(z)$ and the reduced Hamilton flow given by $\tilde{\Phi}_t([z]) := [\Phi_t(z)]$ for $[z] \in \Omega_{\text{red}}$. The condition that

$[z]$ is a periodic point under $\tilde{\Phi}_t$ is equivalent to the fact that z is a relative periodic point, i.e. that there is a $g \in G$ and $T > 0$ such that $g\Phi_T(z) = z$.

As all Hamilton flows preserve the energy, the Hamilton vector field \tilde{H} of the reduced Hamiltonian is tangential to $\tilde{\Sigma}_E = \tilde{H}^{-1}(E)$. We therefore have

$$T_{[z]}(\Omega_{\text{red}}) = X_{\tilde{H}}([z]) \oplus \nabla \tilde{H}([z]) \oplus \mathcal{R}', \quad [z] \in \tilde{\Sigma}_E. \quad (4.9)$$

As the direction of the flow is always an eigenvector of the linearized flow with eigenvalue 1, we obtain for a relative periodic point $[z]$ the block form

$$(\tilde{\Phi}_T)_{*,[z]} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * & \boxed{P} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.10)$$

with respect to the decomposition (4.9). This block form immediately implies that the characteristic polynomial of the linearized flow is

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{1} - (\tilde{\Phi}_T)_{*,[z]}) = (\lambda - 1)^2 \det(\lambda \mathbf{1} - P).$$

The ordinary hypothesis of non-degenerate orbits (H3), demanding that the algebraic multiplicity of 1 is at most 2, is thus equivalent to the fact that P has no eigenvalue equal to 1.

We finally show, that P corresponds to \mathcal{P} in (4.8). In order to see this we first consider the restriction of the reduced flow to the reduced energy shell $\tilde{\Sigma}_E = \tilde{H}^{-1}(0)$. As hypothesis (H2') implies that $\nabla \tilde{H}([z]) \neq 0$ for all $[z] \in \tilde{\Sigma}_E$ the reduced energy shell is again a smooth manifold. Equation (4.10) then reduces to

$$((\tilde{\Phi}_T)_{|\tilde{\Sigma}_E})_{*,[z]} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \boxed{P} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the decomposition in block form is with respect to the decomposition

$$T_{[z]}(\tilde{\Sigma}_E) = X_{\tilde{H}}([z]) \oplus \mathcal{R}'. \quad (4.11)$$

As (H3') implies (H2') which is under (H1) equivalent to (H2) we conclude from [7, Lemma 4.5] that $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ is again a smooth manifold. We can therefor consider a similar restriction of $g\Phi_T$ to $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$. Using the fact that $T_z(\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E) = (J\mathfrak{f}z)^\perp$ we obtain from Lemma 4.3 the expression

$$((g\Phi_T)_{|\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E})_{*,z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * \\ 0 & \boxed{Ad(g)} & * \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{P'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the decomposition in block form is with respect to the decomposition

$$T_z(\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E) = (J\nabla H(z) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z) \oplus \mathcal{R}. \quad (4.12)$$

From the compatibility of the reduced flow with the projection

$$Pr : \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E \rightarrow G \backslash (\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E) = \tilde{\Sigma}_E$$

we get

$$Pr \circ ((g\Phi_T)|_{\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E}) = ((\tilde{\Phi}_T)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_E}) \circ Pr$$

and consequently

$$Pr_{*,z} \circ ((g\Phi_T)|_{\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E})_{*,z} = ((\tilde{\Phi}_T)|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_E})_{*,[z]} \circ Pr_{*,z}. \quad (4.13)$$

With respect to the decomposition (4.11) and (4.12) the differential of the projection $Pr_{*,z} : T_z(\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E) \rightarrow T_{[z]}\tilde{\Sigma}_E$ can be written as

$$Pr_{*,z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inserting this expression in (4.13) and comparing both sides finally yields $P = \mathcal{P}$ and finishes the proof of this Lemma. \square

The following simple example shows that in systems with different orbit types it is quite likely that the G -non-degenerate assumption holds, and that there is a large class of systems where (H2') and (H3') hold, but not (H1), (H2) and (H3).

Example 4.1. Let us consider the Hamiltonian of a 3-dimensional harmonic oscillator with two different frequencies $\omega_1 = 2\pi$ and $\omega_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$

$$H(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left((2\pi)^2 \cdot (x_1^2 + x_2^2) + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 x_3^2 + |\xi|^2 \right), \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The group $SO(2)$ acts on the phase space \mathbb{R}^6 symplectically as a symmetry group by acting canonically on the variables x_1, x_2 and ξ_1, ξ_2 , respectively. As this action stabilizes the points $(0, 0, x_3, 0, 0, \xi_3)$ it acts with different orbit types on Σ_E for any $E > 0$. In particular (H1) is not satisfied. The zero level of the momentum map consists of the set of points with zero angular momentum in x_3 direction

$$\Omega_0 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda \cdot x_1, \lambda \cdot x_2, \xi_3) : x_i, \xi_3, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The general solutions $(x(t), \xi(t)) = \Phi_t(x_1, x_2, x_3, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \left(x_1 \cos(2\pi t) + \frac{\xi_1}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi t), x_2 \cos(2\pi t) + \frac{\xi_2}{2\pi} \sin(2\pi t), x_3 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}t\right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\sqrt{2}\xi_3}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}t\right) \right), \\ \xi(t) &= \left(\xi_1 \cos(2\pi t) - 2\pi x_1 \sin(2\pi t), \xi_2 \cos(2\pi t) - 2\pi x_2 \sin(2\pi t), \xi_3 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}t\right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}x_3 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}t\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all points with $x_3 = \xi_3 = 0$ are periodic with primitive period length 1, whereas all points with $x_1 = x_2 = \xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$ have primitive period length $\sqrt{2}$. All other points are not relative periodic at all, as the two frequencies have irrational ratio. Comparing

$$J\nabla H(z) = \left(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, -(2\pi)^2 x_1, -(2\pi)^2 x_2, -\frac{(2\pi)^2}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}z = \mathbb{R}(x_2, -x_1, 0, \xi_2, -\xi_1, 0)$$

we see that all points in $\Sigma_E \cap \Omega_0$ with $E > 0$ are G -non-stationary thus condition (H2') is fulfilled (note that there are however points $z \in \Sigma_E$ with $J\nabla H(z) \in \mathfrak{g}z$). Looking at the solutions $(x(t), \xi(t))$ one sees that the points $z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E \cap \{x_3 = \xi_3 = 0\}$ have a smaller primitive period equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ as relative periodic orbits. Besides there is only one other relative periodic orbit, the periodic orbit with period $\sqrt{2}$ in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E \cap \{x_1 = x_2 = \xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0\}$.

Both relative periodic orbits are G -non-degenerate, which follows directly from the fact that the Hamilton flow $\Phi_t(x, \xi)$ is linear with respect to (x, ξ) and the ratio of the frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 are irrational. To illustrate this, we take the relative periodic point $z_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_{2\pi^2}$ of the relative periodic orbit with primitive period 1/2. It fulfills $g\Phi_{1/2}(z_0) = z_0$ for $g = -1 \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The decomposition (4.6) is given by

$$T_{z_0}\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{span}(e_1, e_5) \oplus \text{span}(e_2, e_4) \oplus \mathcal{R}$$

with $\mathcal{R} = \text{span}(e_3, e_6)$ and e_i being the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^6 \cong T_{z_0}\mathbb{R}^6$. Then the restriction of the differential $(g\Phi_{k/2})_{*, z_0}$ to $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{P} = ((g\Phi_{k/2})_{*, z_0})|_{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\sqrt{2}}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ -\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\sqrt{2}}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

This linear transformation has however no eigenvalue 1 for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. The arguments for the other relative periodic orbit are completely analogous.

Similarly to the case of non-degenerate orbits, the hypothesis of G -non-degenerate orbits implies that the relative periodic orbits are discrete. This will be an important property for the proof of the equivariant Gutzwiller trace formula.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $T > 0$ and denote by $(\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T$ the set of all relative periodic orbits γ in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ with $0 \leq |T_\gamma| \leq T$. If all $\gamma \in (\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T$ are non-degenerate, then they are discrete, i.e. for each $z \in \gamma$ there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that the only relative periodic points in $B_\epsilon(z) \cap \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ with primitive period length smaller than T are the points on the orbit γ .*

Proof. Suppose that $(\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T$ is not discrete, so that there exists a relative periodic point $z_0 \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ with $g_0\Phi_{T_0}(z_0) = z_0$ and a sequence $z_n \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$, $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, of relative periodic points belonging to mutually disjoint relative periodic orbits which fulfill $g_n\Phi_{T_n}(z_n) = z_n$ with $|T_n| \leq T$. At the point $z = z_0$ we will now construct an

eigenvector of the matrix \mathcal{P} in (4.8) with eigenvalue 1 leading to a contradiction to the G -non-degenerate assumption.

Step 1: As $[-T, T] \times G$ is compact we can assure after going to a subsequence, that $f_n := (T_n, g_n) \in [-T, T] \times G \subset F$ converges to the element $f_\infty = (T_\infty, g_\infty) \in [-T, T] \times G$.

Step 2: From

$$z_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n z_n = f_\infty z_0 \quad (4.14)$$

we deduce that z_0 is relative periodic with period length T_∞ .

Step 3: By definition of the vector space $\mathfrak{g}z_0$, for each normed vector $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}z_0$ there is $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle Az_0, e_i \rangle \neq 0$. From the continuity of the G -action it follows that there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of z_0 such that for all $z \in U$ we still have $\langle Az, e_i \rangle \neq 0$. From the continuity of $J\nabla H$ follows that U can be chosen such that additionally $\langle J\nabla H(z), J\nabla H(z_0) \rangle \neq 0$. We can thus choose a neighborhood of z_0 such that all relative periodic orbits (F -orbits) intersect the affine vector space tangent to $(\mathfrak{f}z_0)^\perp = (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z_0)^\perp \subset T_{z_0}$ transversally. Thus, for sufficiently large n we can assume that z_n is in such a neighborhood, and consequently we can choose a different point \tilde{z}_n on the same relative periodic orbit with $\tilde{z}_n - z_0 \in (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z_0)^\perp$. Consequently we can suppose without loss of generality that our sequence of points z_n fulfills $z_n - z_0 \in (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z_0)^\perp$.

Step 4: Consider the sequence $\frac{z_n - z_0}{\|z_n - z_0\|} \in \mathcal{S}^{2n-1}$. After restricting once more to a subsequence, we can assume, that $\frac{z_n - z_0}{\|z_n - z_0\|} \rightarrow v$ with $\|v\| = 1$. As $z_n \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$, we get $v \in (\mathbb{R}\nabla H(z_0) \oplus J\mathfrak{g}z_0)^\perp$. From Step 3 we furthermore obtain

$$v \in \left((\mathbb{R}\nabla H(z_0) \oplus J\mathfrak{g}z_0) \oplus (\mathbb{R}J\nabla H(z_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}z_0) \right)^\perp.$$

So v belongs to the subspace \mathcal{R} of (4.6) and the aim of the remaining steps in this proof is to show that it is an eigenvector of the matrix \mathcal{P} .

Step 5: Setting $t_n := \|z_n - z_0\|$ we can choose a smooth curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma_E$ such that $\gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\gamma(t_n) = z_n$. For this curve we calculate $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma(t_n) - \gamma(0)}{t_n} = v$.

Step 6: With this smooth path and (4.14) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\infty)_{*, z_0}(v) &= \frac{d}{dt} f_\infty \gamma(t)|_{t=0} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_\infty \gamma(t_n) - f_\infty \gamma(0)}{t_n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_\infty z_n - f_n z_n + z_n - z_0}{t_n} \\ &= v + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_\infty z_n - f_n z_n}{t_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact that the F -action is smooth, Taylor expansion yields for any $f \in F$

$$f z_n = f z_0 + f_{*, z_0}(z_n - z_0) + O(t_n^2).$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f_\infty)_{*,z_0}(v) &= v + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{f_\infty z_0 - f_n z_0}{t_n} + ((f_\infty)_{*,z_0} - (f_n)_{*,z_0}) \left(\frac{z_n - z_0}{t_n} \right) \right] \\ &= v + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{f_\infty z_0 - f_n z_0}{t_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since obviously

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{f_\infty z_0 - f_n z_0}{t_n} \right] \in \mathfrak{f}z_0,$$

and \mathcal{P} was the restriction of $(f_\infty)_{*,z_0}$ to $(J\mathfrak{f}z_0 \oplus \mathfrak{f}z_0)^\perp$, v is an eigenvector of \mathcal{P} with eigenvalue 1 which finishes the proof. \square

4.4 Proof of the equivariant Gutzwiller formula

We are now ready to prove the equivariant Gutzwiller formula under the assumption of G -non-degenerate orbits. According to Section 4.1 the Gutzwiller terms are given by the asymptotic expansion of the spectral distribution $\rho_{E,h}^X(f)$ for a Schwartz function f with $0 \notin \text{supp} \hat{f}$. In order to apply Proposition 4.5 and to avoid problems concerning the Ehrenfest time, we will additionally need to assume that \hat{f} is compactly supported.

As a first step we show that already under the new conditions (H2') and (H3') and without any conditions on the group action, the critical set in (4.3) is smooth.

Proposition 4.6. *Let f be a Schwartz function with $\text{supp} \hat{f} \subset [-T, T] \setminus \{0\}$. If for $E \in \mathbb{R}$ the Hamilton dynamics is G -non-stationary, the energy shell $\Sigma_E \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is compact, and all relative periodic orbits in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ having a relative period contained in $\text{supp} \hat{f}$ are G -non-degenerate, then the set $\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \text{supp} \hat{f} \times G)$ is a disjoint finite union of smooth submanifolds of dimension $\dim F$.*

To prove this proposition, recall from Proposition 4.2 that the critical set is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} = \{(z, t, g) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \times G, \ z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E, \ g\Phi_t(z) = z\}$$

which can be written in terms of the F -action as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} = \{(z, f) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times F, \ z \in \Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E, \ f \in F_z\}$$

with F_z being the stabilizer group of z for the F -action. We are especially interested in the set of all t -values in this stabilizer group. In particular, we need the following

Lemma 4.7. $\mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}} := \{t \in \mathbb{R}, \exists g \in G \text{ such that } (t, g) \in F_z\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a closed subgroup.

Proof. The subgroup property is clear from the definition, so that it remains to prove the closeness. Suppose that there is a sequence $t_n \in \mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow t_\infty$. For each t_n there is a $g_n \in G$ such that $(t_n, g_n) \in F_z$. After restricting to a subsequence one can assume that g_n also converges since G is compact. Consequently (t_n, g_n) converges in F and from the closeness of F_z one concludes that $t_\infty \in \mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}}$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *If z is a G -non-stationary, relative periodic point, then there is a $T_z > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}} = T_z \cdot \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. All closed subgroups of \mathbb{R} are either empty, \mathbb{R} or of the type $k\mathbb{Z}$ for some $k > 0$. As z is relative periodic, we can exclude the empty set. As z is G -non-stationary, the trajectory of the Hamilton flow cannot be contained in the G -orbit of z , so we can exclude \mathbb{R} . \square

If z is an arbitrary relative periodic point, the corresponding relative periodic orbit γ_0 is exactly its orbit under the F -action and there is an injective immersion $i : F/F_z \rightarrow \gamma_0$. Note that in general an F -orbit does not have to be an embedded submanifold in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . However, if z is relative periodic, $\mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}} = T_z \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathcal{L}_z^{\text{rel}} = \mathbb{R}$, and consequently F/F_z is compact. The immersion i is thus additionally closed, and γ_0 is diffeomorphic to F/F_z as an embedded submanifold.

Next, note that the critical set \mathcal{C}_{Φ_E} is essentially a union of the isotropy bundles of certain relative periodic orbits γ , which are defined as

$$\text{Iso}(\gamma) := \{(z, f) \in \gamma \times F, f \in F_z\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times F.$$

For those isotropy bundles we have the following

Proposition 4.9. *Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a G -non-stationary, relative periodic point and γ its relative orbit. Then $\text{Iso}(\gamma)$ can be written as*

$$\text{Iso}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{k, \gamma}, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$M_{k, \gamma} := \{(z, kT_\gamma, g) \in \gamma \times \mathbb{R} \times G : g\Phi_{kT_\gamma}(z) = z\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times F \quad (4.16)$$

are smooth disjoint submanifolds.

Proof. The disjoint decomposition (4.15) follows directly from Corollary 4.8. It only remains to prove the submanifold property of $M_{k, \gamma}$.

We will again construct a closed injective immersion, and first recall that for general homogeneous spaces, the isotropy bundle can be written as a quotient (see e.g. [18, Section 1.11 and 2.4]). Consider therefore F_z acting from the right on $F \times F_z$ by right multiplication on the first factor F and by conjugation on the second factor F_z . This action is proper and free as the action in the first component is the invertible right multiplication in F . Consequently, the quotient $(F \times F_z)/F_z$ carries the structure of a smooth manifold. Furthermore, there is an injective immersion on $\text{Iso}(\gamma_0)$ which is explicitly given by

$$\mathcal{I} : \begin{cases} (F \times F_z)/F_z & \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\gamma_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times F \\ (f, f_z)F_z & \mapsto (fz, f f_z f^{-1}). \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the compact sets $F_z^{(k)} := \{(kT_\gamma, g) \in \mathbb{R} \times G : g\Phi_{kT_\gamma}(z) = z\} \subset F_z$. As $F = \mathbb{R} \times G$ is commutative in its \mathbb{R} component, conjugation with F_z leaves $F_z^{(k)}$

invariant, and $(F \times F_z^{(k)})/F_z$ is a compact smooth manifold. It is now straightforward to check that $\mathcal{I}((F \times F_z^{(k)})/F_z) = M_{k,\gamma}$. So the sets $M_{k,\gamma}$ are images of a compact manifold under an injective immersion and consequently embedded submanifolds. \square

We are now able to prove Proposition 4.6.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Proposition 4.5 implies that the relative periodic orbits are discrete. Together with the assumption that Σ_E is compact, this implies that there are only finitely many relative periodic orbits in $(\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T$, so that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \text{supp} \hat{f} \times G) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in (\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T} \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}: kT_\gamma \in \text{supp} \hat{f}} M_{k,\gamma} \right).$$

Proposition 4.9 assures that the $M_{k,\gamma}$ are disjoint, smooth, submanifolds of dimension $\dim F$, and as $\text{supp} \hat{f}$ is compact, for each $\gamma \in (\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T$ there are also only finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $kT_\gamma \in \text{supp} \hat{f}$. \square

In order to apply the generalized stationary phase theorem to (4.3) it only remains to show the following proposition.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $(z, t, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\phi_E} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \text{supp} \hat{f} \times G)$, and assume that the dynamics is G -non-stationary and that all relative periodic orbits having relative period contained in $\text{supp} \hat{f}$ are G -non-degenerate. Then $(\text{Hess } \phi_E)|_{N_{(z,t,g)}\mathcal{C}_E}$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form.*

Proof. Recall that on a d -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) the Hessian of a complex valued function ϕ on its critical set is a complex valued symmetric 2-form given by

$$\text{Hess } \phi := \nabla d\phi.$$

The Hessian is said to be non-degenerate on a subspace $V \subset T_x M$ if for $v \in V$ and $\text{Hess } \phi(v, w) = 0$ for all $w \in V$ we have $v = 0$. By the metric g we can identify $\text{Hess } \phi$ with a complex valued $d \times d$ matrix $B = \Re(B) + i\Im(B)$. We denote the real kernel of the Hessian by $\ker_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Hess } \phi(x) := \ker \Re(B) \cap \ker \Im(B)$, and recall that by [5, Lemma 4.3.5] we have for $(z, t, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}$ the equivalence

$$(\text{Hess } \phi_E)|_{N_{(z,t,g)}\mathcal{C}_E} \text{ is non-degenerate} \Leftrightarrow \ker_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Hess } \phi_E(z, t, g)) = T_{(z,t,g)}\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}.$$

By the definition of the critical set, $d\phi_E$ vanishes on \mathcal{C}_{ϕ_E} . Consequently for $v \in T_{(z,t,g)}\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}$ the one form $\nabla_v d\phi_E$ equals zero, which implies that $\ker_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Hess } \phi_E) \supset T_{(z,t,g)}\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}$. Thus, it suffices to show that in each point (z, t, g) the dimensions of these two linear subspaces of $T_{(z,t,g)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times F)$ coincide. Furthermore, we can directly use the calculations in [7, Prop 4.3] by which

$$\ker_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Hess } \phi_E) = \{(\alpha, \tau, A) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathfrak{g} : \alpha \perp \nabla H(z), \alpha \perp J\mathfrak{g}z, \tau J \nabla H(z) + Az + ((g\Phi_t)_{*,z} - Id)\alpha = 0\}. \quad (4.17)$$

Recall that under the G -non-stationary hypothesis we have from (4.6) the decomposition

$$T_z \mathbb{R}^{2n} = J\mathfrak{f}z \oplus \mathfrak{f}z \oplus \mathcal{R},$$

where $J\mathfrak{f}z$ and $\mathfrak{f}z$ both have dimensions equal to $\dim F - \dim F_z$. According to this decomposition we write $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_R)$. The first two conditions $\alpha \perp \nabla H(z), \alpha \perp J\mathfrak{g}z$ in (4.17) immediately imply $\alpha_1 = 0$. As $\tau J\nabla H(z) + Az \in \mathfrak{f}z$, the third condition in (4.17) implies, after using the general form (4.8) of $(g\Phi_t)_{*,z}$, that

$$(\mathcal{P} - 1)\alpha_R = 0,$$

and from the G -non-degenerate orbit property we directly conclude that $\alpha_R = 0$. The third condition therefore reduces to

$$\tau J\nabla H(z) + Az + (\mathcal{B} - 1)\alpha_2 = 0.$$

It forms a system of $\dim F - \dim F_z$ linear equations with $2 \dim F - \dim F_z$ variables. From the G -non-stationary condition it follows that this system of equations has full rank. Consequently we obtain $\dim \ker_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{Hess } \phi_E) = \dim F = \dim \mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}$ which finishes the proof of Proposition 4.10. \square

Taking everything together we finally arrive at

Theorem 4.11. *Let H be a Hamiltonian fulfilling Hypothesis 2.1 and 2.2 which is invariant under the compact symmetry group $G \subset O(n)$. Let furthermore $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-T, T] \setminus \{0\}$ for some $T > 0$. If the Hamilton dynamics is G -non-stationary (Hypothesis (H2')) for a given energy $E \in [E_1, E_2]$ and all relative periodic orbits in $\Omega_0 \cap \Sigma_E$ having a relative period contained in $\text{supp } \hat{f}$ are G -non-degenerate (Hypothesis (H3')), then $\rho_{E,h}^{\chi}(f)$ has a complete asymptotic expansion in powers of h given by*

$$\rho_{E,h}^{\chi}(f) = \frac{d_{\chi}}{2\pi} \sum_{\gamma \in (\Gamma_E^{\text{rel}})_T} \left[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\phi_{k,\gamma}} \hat{f}(kT_{\gamma}) \left(\int_{M_{k,\gamma}} \overline{\chi(g)} d(z, t, g) d\sigma_{M_{k,\gamma}}(z, t, g) \right) + \sum_j h^j a_{j,\gamma,k} \right].$$

Here $M_{k,\gamma}$ was defined in (4.16), $d\sigma_{M_{k,\gamma}}$ is the induced measure on this smooth sub-manifold, $\phi_{k,\gamma} = \int_0^{kT_{\gamma}} p_s \dot{q}_s ds$ is the constant value the phase function takes on $M_{k,\gamma}$, and the density which has to be integrated is given by

$$d(z, t, g) = \det_*^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\text{Hess } (\phi_E)|_{N\mathcal{C}_{\phi_E}}}{i} \right) \det_*^{-1/2} \left(\frac{A + iB - i(C + iD)}{i} \right)$$

where the matrices A, B, C, D are as in Proposition 4.1.

All the lower order coefficients $a_{j,\gamma,k}$ are tempered distributions with support in kT_γ applied to \hat{f} , which can in principle be calculated from the stationary phase approximation.

Remark 4.2. Again, the case of a compact symmetry group $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ can be treated by conjugating to an orthogonal subgroup (see the discussion in Section 2.2).

Proof. Proposition 4.1 allows us to write the spectral distribution as an oscillating integral. Proposition 4.6 together with Proposition 4.10 assure that the critical set is smooth and that the transversal Hessian is non-degenerate. We can therefore apply the generalized stationary phase theorem (see e.g. [14, Theorem 3.3]) to (4.3) finishing the proof of Theorem 4.11. \square

References

- [1] R. Balian and C. Bloch. Distribution of eigenfrequencies for the wave equation in a finite domain: III. Eigenfrequency density oscillations. *Annals of physics*, 69(1):76–160, 1972.
- [2] G.E. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, volume 46. Academic Press, 1972.
- [3] J. Brüning. Zur Eigenwertverteilung invarianter elliptischer Operatoren. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 339:82–96, 1983.
- [4] J. Brüning and E. Heintze. Representations of compact Lie groups and elliptic operators. *Invent. Math.*, 50:169–203, 1979.
- [5] R. Cassanas. *Hamiltoniens quantiques et symétries*. PhD thesis, Université de Nantes, 2005.
- [6] R. Cassanas. Reduced Gutzwiller formula with symmetry: case of a finite group. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 47(4):042102–042102, 2006.
- [7] R. Cassanas. Reduced Gutzwiller formula with symmetry: Case of a Lie group. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 85(6):719–742, 2006.
- [8] R. Cassanas and P. Ramacher. Reduced Weyl asymptotics for pseudodifferential operators on bounded domains. II: The compact group case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(1):91–128, 2009.
- [9] J. Chazarain. Formule de Poisson pour les variétés Riemanniennes. *Invent. Math.*, 24:65–82, 1974.
- [10] Y. Colin de Verdière. Spectre du Laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques. I. *Compositio Mathematica*, 27(1):83–106, 1973.
- [11] Y. Colin de Verdière. Spectre du Laplacien et longueurs des géodésiques périodiques. II. *Compositio Mathematica*, 27(2):159–184, 1973.

- [12] Y. Colin de Verdière. Spectre conjoint d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels qui commutent. I: Le cas non intégrable. *Duke Math. J.*, 46:169–182, 1979.
- [13] Yves Colin de Verdière. Spectrum of the Laplace operator and periodic geodesics: thirty years after. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 57(7):2429–2463, 2007.
- [14] M. Combes, J. Ralston, and D. Robert. A proof of the Gutzwiller semiclassical trace formula using coherent states decomposition. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 202(2):463–480, 1999.
- [15] M. Combes and D. Robert. Semiclassical spreading of quantum wave packets and applications near unstable fixed points of the classical flow. *Asymptotic Anal.*, 14(4):377–404, 1997.
- [16] H. Donnelly. G-spaces, the asymptotic splitting of $L^2(M)$ into irreducibles. *Mathematische Annalen*, 237(1):23–40, 1978.
- [17] J.J. Duistermaat and V.W. Guillemin. The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 29(1):39–79, 1975.
- [18] J.J. Duistermaat and J.A.C. Kolk. *Lie groups*. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [19] Z. El Houakmi and B. Helffer. Comportement semi-classique en présence de symétries. Action d'un groupe de Lie compact. *Asymptotic analysis*, 5(2):91–113, 1991.
- [20] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe. Reduction and the trace formula. *J. Differential Geom.*, 32(2):315–347, 1990.
- [21] M.C. Gutzwiller. Periodic orbits and classical quantization conditions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 12:343, 1971.
- [22] B. Helffer and D. Robert. Calcul fonctionnel par la transformation de Mellin et opérateurs admissibles. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 53(3):246–268, 1983.
- [23] B. Helffer and D. Robert. Étude du spectre pour un opérateur globalement elliptique dont le symbole de Weyl présente des symétries: I. Action des groupes finis. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 106(5):1199–1236, 1984.
- [24] B. Helffer and D. Robert. Étude du spectre pour un opérateur globalement elliptique dont le symbole de Weyl présente des symétries II. Action des groupes de Lie compacts. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 108(4):973–1000, 1986.
- [25] L. Hörmander. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. *Acta mathematica*, 121(1):193–218, 1968.
- [26] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. Reprint of the second (1990) edition*. Springer, Berlin, 2003.

- [27] E. Meinrenken. Semiclassical principal symbols and Gutzwiller's trace formula. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 31(3):279–295, 1992.
- [28] J.P. Ortega and T.S. Ratiu. *Momentum maps and Hamiltonian reduction*, volume 222. Birkhauser, 2004.
- [29] T. Paul and A. Uribe. Sur la formule semi-classique des traces. (On the semi-classical trace formula). *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I*, 313(5):217–222, 1991.
- [30] T. Paul and A. Uribe. The semi-classical trace formula and propagation of wave packets. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 132(1):192–249, 1995.
- [31] P. Ramacher. Singular equivariant asymptotics and Weyl's law. On the distribution of eigenvalues of an invariant elliptic operator. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, DOI 10.1515/crelle-2014-0008.
- [32] D. Robert. Autour de l'approximation semi-classique. (Around semiclassical approximation). Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 68. Boston-Basel-Stuttgart: Birkhäuser. IX, 329 p.; DM 94.00 (1987)., 1987.
- [33] D. Robert and B. Helffer. Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques elliptiques. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 31(3):169–223, 1981.
- [34] H. Weyl. Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung). *Mathematische Annalen*, 71(4):441–479, 1912.
- [35] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical analysis*, volume 138. American Mathematical Society, 2012.