

Regularized trace formula of magic Gribov operator on Bargmann space

by

Abdelkader Intissar ^(*),^(**)

^(*) *Equipe d'Analyse spectrale , Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université de Corté, 20250 Corté, France*

Tél: 00 33 (0) 4 95 45 00 33

Fax: 00 33 (0) 4 95 45 00 33

intissar@univ-corse.fr

^(**) *Le Prador, 129, rue du Commandant Rolland, 13008 Marseille, France*

Abstract

In this article, we obtain a regularized trace formula for magic Gribov operator $H = \lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$ acting on Bargmann space where

$$G = a^*a^3 \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mu,\lambda} = \mu a^*a + i\lambda a^*(a + a^*)a$$

Here a and a^ are the standard Bose annihilation and creation operators and in Reggeon field theory, the real parameters λ'' is the magic coupling of Pomeron, μ is Pomeron intercept, λ is the triple coupling of Pomeron and $i^2 = -1$.*

An exact relation is established between the degree of subordination of the perturbation operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ to the unperturbed operator G and the number of corrections necessary for the existence of finite formula of the trace.

Keywords: Gribov operator, Regularized trace formula, non self-adjoint operators , Bargmann space, Reggeon field theory.

1. Introduction and main result

As is known, the trace of a finite-dimensional matrix is the sum of all the eigenvalues. But in an infinite dimensional space, in general, ordinary differential operators do not have a finite trace. In 1953, Gelfand and Levitan considered the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -y''(x) + q(x)y(x) = \sigma y(x) \\ y'(0) = 0, y'(\pi) = 0 \\ q(x) \in C^1[0, \pi], \quad \int_0^\pi q(x)dx = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad (*)$$

and derived the formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\sigma_n - \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{4}(q(0) + q(\pi)) \quad (**)$$

where σ_n are the eigenvalues of the above operator and $\lambda_n = n^2$ are the eigenvalues of the same operator with $q(x) = 0$.

The proof of this regularized trace formula for the Sturm-Liouville operator can be found in [6].

The same regularized trace formula for the same problem was obtained with different method by Dikii [4].

For the scalar Sturm-Liouville problems, there is an enormous literature (see for example [5] or [21]) on estimates of large eigenvalues and regularized trace formulae which may often be computed

explicitly in terms of the coefficients of operators and boundary conditions.

After these studies, several mathematicians were interested in developing regularized trace formulae for different differential operators. According Sadovnichii and Podolskii, these formulae gave rise to a large and very important theory, which started from the investigation of specific operators and further embraced the analysis of regularized traces of discrete operators in general form.

Among the results of Sadovnichii and Podolskii established for abstract operators, we can recall that following :

Let A_0 be a self-adjoint positive discrete operator of domain $D(A_0)$ acting in a Hilbert space, $\{\lambda_n\}$ be its eigenvalues arranged in ascending order, $\{\phi_n\}$ be a basis formed by the eigenvectors of A_0 , B be a perturbation operator, and $\{\sigma_n\}$ be the eigenvalues of $A_0 + B$. Also, assume that A_0^{-1} is a trace class operator.

For operators A_0 and B in ([22], Theorem 1), the following theorem is proved.

Theorem 1.1. *Let the operator B be such that $D(A_0) \subset D(B)$, and let there exists a number $\delta \in [0, 1)$ such that $BA_0^{-\delta}$ has a bounded extension, and number $\omega \in [0, 1)$, $\omega + \delta < 1$ such that $A_0^{-(1-\delta-\omega)}$ is a trace class operator.*

Then, there exist a subsequence of natural numbers $\{n_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and a subsequence of contours $\Gamma_m \in \mathfrak{C}$, that for $\omega \geq \delta$ the formula

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} (\sigma_j - \lambda_j - | \langle B\phi_j, \phi_j \rangle |) = 0 \text{ is true.}$$

Remark 1.2. 1) *This theorem has been successfully applied to concrete ordinary differential operators as well as to partial differential operators, but some of its assumptions are not verified for our specific operator that we shall study.*

2) *We can found in [23] or in [24], an excellent survey dedicated by Sadovnichii and Podolskii to the history of the state of the art in the theory of regularized traces of linear differential operators with discrete spectrum and a detailed list of publications related to the present aspect. \square*

Usually, quantum Hamiltonians are constructed as self-adjoint operators; for certain situations, however, non-self-adjoint Hamiltonians are also of importance. In particular, the reggeon field theory (as invented by V. Gribov [9]) for the high energy behaviour of soft processes is governed by the magic non-self-adjoint Gribov operator

$$H_{\lambda'', \lambda', \mu, \lambda} = \lambda'' a^{*3} a^3 + \lambda' a^{*2} a^2 + \mu a^* a + i\lambda a^* (a + a^*) a \quad (1.1)$$

where a and its adjoint a^ are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[a, a^*] = I$.*

In the case $\lambda'' = 0$, Ando and Zerner in [2] and Intissar in [10 - 14] have given a complete spectral theory for the operator $H_{0, \lambda', \mu, \lambda}$.

In particular, one can consult the list of spectral properties of this operator summarized in ([15], theorem 1.2, p.671).

In the case $\lambda'' \neq 0$ and $\lambda' = 0$, Aimar et al in [1] have given some spectral properties of magic Gribov operator $H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda}$, which is more regular than $H_{0, \lambda', \mu, \lambda}$.

In this paper, we continue the spectral study of $H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda}$ to obtain a regularized trace formula of this operator.

We adopt the following notations:

$H = H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda} = \lambda'' G + H_{\mu, \lambda}$ where $G = a^{*3} a^3$ and $H_{\mu, \lambda} = \mu a^* a + i\lambda a^* (a + a^*) a$
Here a and a^ are the standard Bose annihilation and creation operators and λ'', μ, λ are real parameters and $i^2 = -1$.*

It is convenient to regard the above operators as acting on Bargmann space E [3].

E is defined as a subspace of the space $O(\mathcal{C})$ of holomorphic functions on \mathcal{C} given by

$$E = \{\phi \in O(\mathcal{C}); \langle \phi, \phi \rangle < \infty\} \quad (1.2)$$

where the pairing

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} e^{-|z|^2} dx dy \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in O(\mathcal{C}) \quad (1.3)$$

and $dx dy$ is Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{C} .

The Bargmann space E with $\|\phi\| = \sqrt{\langle \phi, \phi \rangle}$ is a Hilbert space and $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$; $n = 0, 1, \dots$ is an orthonormal basis in E .

In this representation, the standard Bose annihilation and creation operators are defined by

$$\begin{cases} a\phi(z) = \phi'(z) \\ \text{with maximal domain} \\ D(a) = \{\phi \in E \text{ such that } a\phi \in E\} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} a^*\phi(z) = z\phi(z) \\ \text{with maximal domain} \\ D(a^*) = \{\phi \in E \text{ such that } a^*\phi \in E\} \end{cases}$$

Accordingly, for the operator $H := H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda}$ we have

$$\begin{cases} H\phi(z) = \lambda'' z^3 \phi'''(z) + i\lambda z \phi''(z) + (i\lambda z^2 + \mu z) \phi'(z) \\ \text{with maximal domain} \\ D(H_{max}) = \{\phi \in E; H\phi \in E\} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.3. Tobin, in an interesting article [28], have derived several formulas of Gelfand-Levitan type for the first regularized trace of discrete operators under various conditions convenient for verification. But, for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ the operator $H_{\mu, \lambda} = H_{0, 0, \mu, \lambda}$ is not in the classes of perturbing linear operators considered by him.

Notice that in this case the operator $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ is very far from normal and not only its self-adjoint and skew-adjoint parts do not commute but there is no inclusion in either way between their domains or with the domain of their commutator.

It may be noted also that (see [13]) if $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ the spectrum of $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ is $\sigma(H_{\mu, \lambda}) = \mathcal{C}$. \square

Let us begin by reviewing the most important properties of the $H := H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda}$

i) Let **Pol** be space of polynomials, then **Pol** is dense in E .

ii) We define H_{min} as the closure of restriction operator $H|_{\mathbf{Pol}}$ on the polynomials acting in Bargmann space, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} H_{min}\phi = \lambda'' z^3 \phi'''(z) + i\lambda z \phi'' + (i\lambda z^2 + \mu z) \phi' \\ \text{with domain} \\ D(H_{min}) = \{\phi \in E \text{ such that } \exists p_n \in \mathbf{Pol} \text{ and } \psi \in E \text{ such that } p_n \rightarrow \phi \text{ and } Hp_n \rightarrow \psi\} \end{cases}$$

iii) $D(H_{min}) = D(H_{max}) = D(G)$

In Bargmann space, it may be noted also that

iv) The operator $G = a^{*3}a^3$ is positive, self adjoint operator.

v) The functions $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$ are the orthonormal eigenvectors of G corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ for $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda_n = 0$ for $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$

vi) Let $\tilde{G} = G + I$ then $\langle \tilde{G}\phi, \phi \rangle \geq \langle \phi, \phi \rangle \quad \forall \phi \in D(G)$

vii) G could be replaced by \tilde{G} or $G + \beta I$ with a scalar β without changing the nature of the problem that we will study.

viii) in [1] (see theorem 3.3, p: 595), Aymar et al have shown that the spectrum of the magic Gribov operator is discrete and that the system of generalized eigenvectors of this operator is an unconditional basis in Bargmann space E . \square

The goal of this article consists in establishing news spectral properties of this operator, in particular to establish an exact relation between the degree of subordination of the non-self-adjoint perturbation operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ to the unperturbed operator G and the number of corrections necessary for the existence of finite formula of the regularized trace.

Then the main results to which is aimed this paper can be stated as follows for the magic Gribov operator

Theorem 1.4. *Let E be the Bargmann space, $H = \lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$ acting on E where $G = a^*a^3$ and $H_{\mu,\lambda} = \mu a^*a + i\lambda a^*(a + a^*)a$, a and a^* are the standard Bose annihilation and creation operators*

Then there exists an increasing sequence of radius r_n such that $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (\sigma_k - \lambda''\lambda_k) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_n} \text{trace} \left[\sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} [H_{\mu,\lambda}(\lambda''G - \sigma I)^{-1}]^j \right] d\sigma = 0$$

Where

- σ_k are the eigenvalues of the operator $H = \lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$
- $\lambda_k = k(k-1)(k-2)$ are the eigenvalues of the operator G
- $(\lambda''G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of the operator $\lambda''G$
- and
- γ_n is the circle of radius r_n centered at zero in complex plane.

We try to be self-contained and elementary as far as possible in this paper which is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some properties of subordination of the perturbation operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ to the unperturbed operator G . In Section 3, we recall introduce the regularized determinant of the perturbation [7] and we give the proof of main results stated in Section 1.

2. On the degree of subordination of the perturbation operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ to the unperturbed operator G

We begin this section by improving some basic results of [1] on the operator G and by recalling some useful definitions.

For the discreteness of spectrum of the operator $\tilde{G} = G + I$, it suffices to use the following Rellich's theorem (see [19], p. 386)

Theorem 2.1. *Let B be a self-adjoint operator in E satisfying $\langle B\phi, \phi \rangle \geq \langle \phi, \phi \rangle$, $\phi \in D(B)$, where $D(B)$ is a domain of B . Then, the spectrum of B is discrete if and only if the set of all vectors $\phi \in D(B)$, satisfying $\langle B\phi, \phi \rangle \leq 1$ is a precompact set.*

In [1], it was shown the following basic spectral properties on the operator G

Lemma 2.2.1) *The operator G has a compact resolvent.*

2) Let $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ for $n > 0$ the eigenvalues of G associated to eigenvectors $e_n(z) = \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$. Then for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sigma \neq \lambda_n$, we have

$$(G - \sigma I)^{-1}\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma} \langle \phi, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Moreover, if $\text{Im}\sigma \neq 0$ and if σ belongs to a ray with origin zero and of angle θ with $\theta \neq 0$ and $\theta \neq \pi$, we have

$$\| (G - \sigma I)^{-1} \| \leq \frac{1}{\text{Im}\sigma} = \frac{c(\theta)}{|\sigma|}$$

3) There exists a sequence of circles $C(0, r_n), n = 1, 2, \dots$, with radii r_n going to infinity such that

$$\|(\tilde{G} - \sigma I)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{2}{|\sigma|^{2/\beta}} \text{ for any } \beta \geq 3 \text{ and } |\sigma| = r_n \text{ where } r_n = \frac{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}}{2}$$

Proof

1) It is well known that the injection from $D(a)$ into the Bargmann space E is compact and as the injection from $D(a^*3a^3)$ into $D(a)$ is continuous, then the injection from $D(a^*3a^3)$ into Bargmann space is also compact. Classically the operators of the form $(a^*3a^3 + I)$ are invertible then the resolvent set of G is not void. Consequently the self-adjoint operator G has compact resolvent and this proves again the discreteness of its spectrum.

For the properties 2) and 3) of this lemma see the lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [1]. □

Now, we recall the definition of operators of Carleman's class and some of their properties and we give a few others spectral properties of the resolvent of the operator G .

Definition 2.3. A compact operator K belongs to Carleman's class \mathbf{C}_p of order p if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^p < \infty$,

where s_n are s -numbers of operator K i.e. the eigenvalues of the operator $\sqrt{K^*K}$. In particular, the operator K is called nuclear operator if $K \in \mathbf{C}_1$ and Hilbert-Schmidt operator if $K \in \mathbf{C}_2$.

For $p \geq 1$ the value $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is a norm on \mathbf{C}_p denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$ and for $p = 1$ it is called the trace norm or nuclear norm.

Remark 2.4. a) For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we have the following inequality

$$\|K\|_p^p \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Ke_n\|^p. \tag{2.1}$$

Here e_n is an arbitrary orthogonal basis of the space on which K acting.

b) The sum of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ke_n, e_n \rangle$ is said to be matrix trace and denoted by TrK and

the sum of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$ is said to be spectral trace of the operator K .

c) The operators of class \mathbf{C}_1 are called operators with trace because following Lidskii theorem [18] these and only these operators have a finite sum of diagonal elements of matrix representation in a certain orthonormal basis and coincides with the spectral trace.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle Ke_n, e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.5. 1) The resolvent of the operator G belongs to the class Carlemann \mathbf{C}_p , $\forall p > \frac{1}{3}$. In particular the operator G belongs to class of operators with trace resolvent.

2) Let $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ the eigenvalues of the operator G then

a) There exists an infinite sequence of positive integers n_m such that:

$$\lambda_{n_{m+1}} - \lambda_{n_m} \geq cn_m^2, c \text{ is independent of } n_m. \tag{2.3}$$

b) If $|n - n_m| \geq \epsilon n_m$, where ϵ is a fixed, arbitrarily small, positive number, then $|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n_m}| \geq c_\epsilon \inf\{n_m^3, n^3\} > 0$ (2.4)

3) Let $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ for $n = 3, 4, \dots$ and $\sigma_m = \frac{\lambda_m + \lambda_{m+1}}{2}$. Then $\{\sigma_m\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\sigma_m\}$ such that $\sigma_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and we have

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} \leq C \text{ where } C \text{ is a positive number.} \quad (2.5)$$

4) On the circles $C(0, r_{n_m}) = \{\sigma; \sigma = r_{n_m} = \frac{\lambda_{n_m} + \lambda_{n_m+1}}{2}\}$ the following upper bound for the trace norm of the resolvent of the operator G is valid:

$$\| (G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1 \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_\epsilon}{n_m} \quad (2.6)$$

where \tilde{c}_ϵ is independent of n_m .

Proof

1) As $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ then there exist $c_1 \in]0, 1[$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that for large n we have $c_1 n^3 \leq \lambda_n \leq c_1 n^3$, in particular $\frac{1}{\lambda_n^p} \leq \frac{c_1^p}{n^{3p}}$, it follows that the series of term general $\frac{1}{\lambda_n^p}$ converges for all $p > \frac{1}{3}$, i.e. the resolvent of the operator G belongs to Carleman's class \mathbf{C}_p , $\forall p > \frac{1}{3}$.

In particular the operator G belongs to class of operators with trace resolvent. Note that this elementary proof is valid if $\lambda_n \sim n^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 0$.

2) a) If we suppose the opposite of result of the property a), i.e. for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number n_ϵ such that for all $n \geq n_\epsilon$ we have $\lambda_{n+k} - \lambda_n \leq \epsilon n^2$ then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and k , we deduce that

$$\lambda_{n_\epsilon+k} - \lambda_{n_\epsilon} \leq \epsilon(n_\epsilon + k)^2 < \epsilon k(n_\epsilon + k)^2 < \epsilon(n_\epsilon + k)^3 \quad (2.7)$$

Dividing both sides of obtained inequality by $(n_\epsilon + k)^3$ we get :

$$\frac{\lambda_{n_\epsilon+k}}{(n_\epsilon+k)^3} - \frac{\lambda_{n_\epsilon}}{(n_\epsilon+k)^3} \leq \epsilon$$

In particular

$$\frac{c_1(n_\epsilon+k)^3}{(n_\epsilon+k)^3} - \frac{c_2(n_\epsilon)^3}{(n_\epsilon+k)^3} \leq \epsilon$$

Hence, passing to the limit under $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $c_1 \leq \epsilon$, which contradicts the arbitrariness of ϵ . Thus, $\lambda_{n_m+1} - \lambda_{n_m} \geq c n_m^2$ holds for some $c > 0$ and some sequence of numbers n_m where c is independent of n_m .

b) If $|n - n_m| \geq \epsilon n_m$, i.e. $n - n_m \geq \epsilon n_m$ or $n - n_m \leq -\epsilon n_m$, we deduce that If $n \geq n_m$, we have $n^3 - n_m^3 \geq C_\epsilon n_m^3 \geq C_\epsilon \inf\{n^3, n_m^3\}$ where $C_\epsilon = \epsilon(\epsilon^3 + 3\epsilon + 3)$. Hence

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_{n_m} \geq C_\epsilon \inf\{n^3, n_m^3\}. \quad (2.8)$$

If $n \leq n_m$, we have $n^3 - n_m^3 \leq -\tilde{C}_\epsilon n_m^3 \leq -\tilde{C}_\epsilon \inf\{n^3, n_m^3\}$ where $C_\epsilon = \epsilon(\epsilon^3 - 3\epsilon + 3)$. Hence

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_{n_m} \leq -C_\epsilon \inf\{n^3, n_m^3\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we put $C = \inf\{C_\epsilon, \tilde{C}_\epsilon\}$ to get

$$|\lambda_n - \lambda_{n_m}| \geq C \inf\{n^3, n_m^3\}. \quad (2.10)$$

3) Let $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ for $n = 3, 4, \dots$ and $\sigma_m = \frac{\lambda_m + \lambda_{m+1}}{2}$. Then

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} = \sum_{n=3}^m \frac{1}{\sigma_m - \lambda_n - \sigma_m} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} \quad (2.11)$$

Let us estimate $\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m}$, if we put $n - m = k$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+k} - \sigma_m} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_m + \lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_{m+1}} \quad (2.12)$$

Let us estimate $\lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_m$ for sufficiently large m .

Consider the sequence

$$f(m) = \lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_m = (m+k)(m+k-1)(m+k-2) - m(m-1)(m-2) \text{ for } m \geq 3.$$

Then we have

$$f'(m) = (m+k-1)(m+k-2) + (m+k)(m+k-2) + (m+k)(m+k-1) - (m-1)(m-2) - m(m-2) - m(m-1)$$

and

$$f''(m) = 6k > 0 \text{ for } k \geq 1$$

It follows that $f'(m)$ increases and as $f'(3) = 3k^2 + 11k - 2$ takes positive values then it also follows that $f(m)$ increases.

As $f(3) = (k+3)(k+2)(k+1) - 6 = k^3 + 6k^2 + 11k$ then there exists $m_0 = 3$ such that for $m > m_0$ we have

$$\lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_m \geq \lambda_{m_0+k} - \lambda_{m_0} \sim k^3 \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore for sufficiently large m , we have

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_m} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k} - \lambda_{m+1}} \leq M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} = C \quad (2.13)$$

(C does not depend on m).

Now let us estimate $\sum_{n=3}^m \frac{1}{\sigma_m - \lambda_n}$. We put $n-3 = k$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_m - \lambda_n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \frac{1}{\sigma_m - \lambda_{m-k}} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \frac{2}{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-k} + \lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_{m-k}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-3} \frac{2}{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_{m-k}} \end{aligned}$$

Consider the term $\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-k} = \lambda_{m-k+k} - \lambda_{m-k}$ for $m-k \geq 3$, then we have

$$\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-k} \geq \lambda_{3+k} - \lambda_3 \sim k^3.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-3} \frac{2}{\lambda_m - \lambda_{m-k}} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3} \quad (2.14)$$

where C is a constant does not depend on m .

Similarly, the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_{m-k}}$ is bounded.

This completes the proof of existence of sequence of positive numbers $\{\sigma_m\}$ which increases $\sigma_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \sigma_m} \leq C \quad (2.15)$$

where C is a positive number.

4) For $\sigma \in C(0, r_{n_m})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(G - \sigma I)^{-1}\|_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\sigma - \lambda_n|} = \sum_{n=1}^{n_m - [\epsilon n_m]} \frac{1}{|\sigma - \lambda_n|} + \sum_{n=n_m - [\epsilon n_m] + 1}^{n_m + [\epsilon n_m]} \frac{1}{|\sigma - \lambda_n|} + \sum_{n=n_m + [\epsilon n_m] + 1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\sigma - \lambda_n|} \\ &= \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2 + \mathbf{I}_3 \end{aligned}$$

where $[\epsilon n_m]$ represents the greatest integer less than or equal to ϵn_m .

With the help of property 2) of this lemma, by a) we deduce that

$$\mathbf{I}_2 \leq \frac{C_2}{n_m} \quad (2.16)$$

and by the property b), we find that

$$\mathbf{I}_1 \leq \frac{C_1}{n_m^2} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\mathbf{I}_3 \leq \frac{C_3}{n_m^2} \quad (2.18)$$

where $C_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are independent of n_m . \square

Before giving a generalization of the property 3) of the above proposition to sequence $\lambda_n = n^\beta (n-1)^\beta (n-2)^\beta$ where β will be the degree of subordination of the perturbation operator $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ to the unperturbed operator G , we have need to recall the notion of subordination of an perturbation operator B to the unperturbed operator L where L and B are linear operators acting on a Hilbert space E and some usual spectral properties of $H = H_{\lambda', 0, \mu, \lambda}$ under lemmas form

Definition 2.6. Let L and B be linear operators in a Hilbert space E .

We say that B is p -subordinate ($p \in [0, 1]$) to L if $D(L) \subset D(B)$ and there exists a strictly positive constant C such that $\|B\phi\| \leq C \|L\phi\|^p \|\phi\|^{1-p}$ for every $\phi \in D(L)$

For $p = 1$, we say that B is subordinate to L .

Definition 2.7. Let L be a linear operator in a Hilbert space E . The operator B is said to be L -compact with order $p \in [0, 1]$ if $D(L) \subset D(B)$ and for any for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|B\phi\| \leq \epsilon \|L\phi\|^p \|\phi\|^{1-p} + C_\epsilon \|\phi\|$ for every $\phi \in D(L)$. The operator B is called L -compact, if B is L -compact with unit order.

From these definitions, we deduce the following results:

1) Let L be an operator in E with a dense domain $D(L)$ and at least one regular point σ .

We suppose that:

(α) $D(L) \subset D(B)$.

(β) For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|B\phi\| \leq \epsilon \|L\phi\|^p \|\phi\|^{1-p} + C_\epsilon \|\phi\|$ for every $\phi \in D(L)$.

(γ) $(L - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is compact.

Then $B(L - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is a compact operator.

2) Let L be an operator in E with a dense domain $D(L)$ and at least one regular point σ .

We suppose that:

(α) $D(L) \subset D(B)$.

(β) $B(L - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is compact.

Then for any for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\| B\phi \| \leq \epsilon \| L\phi \|^p \| \phi \|^{1-p} + C_\epsilon \| \phi \| \text{ for every } \phi \in D(L).$$

Now we recall some usual spectral properties of $H = H_{\lambda'', 0, \mu, \lambda}$ under lemma form

Lemma 2.8. Let $G = a^*a^3$, $H_{\mu, \lambda} = \mu a^*a + i\lambda a^*(a + a^*)a$, $\tilde{G} = G + I$ and $H = \lambda'' \tilde{G} + H_{\mu, \lambda}$ then

$$1) \operatorname{Re} \langle H\phi, \phi \rangle = \lambda'' \| a^3\phi \|^2 + \lambda'' \| \phi \|^2 + \mu \| a\phi \|, \forall \phi \in D(H).$$

In particular, for $\lambda'' > 0$ we have

$$(\lambda'' + \mu) \| \phi \| \leq \| H\phi \|, \forall \phi \in D(G).$$

2) For $\mu > 0$, $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -subordinate to \tilde{G} (see lemma 3.1 [1]) i.e. $\exists C_{\mu, \lambda} > 0$; $\| H_{\mu, \lambda}\phi \| \leq C_{\mu, \lambda} \| \tilde{G}\phi \|^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \phi \|^{\frac{1}{2}} \forall \phi \in D(G)$.

In particular

$$\exists c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0; \| H_{\mu, \lambda}\phi \| \leq c_1 \| \tilde{G}\phi \| + c_2 \| \phi \| \forall \phi \in D(G).$$

3) If $\mu > 0$, the eigenvalues of the operator $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ are reals.

4) Let $3 \leq \beta < 4$, then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\| H_{\mu, \lambda}\phi \| \leq \epsilon \| (G + I)\phi \|^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \| \phi \|^{1 - \frac{2}{\beta}} + C_\epsilon \| \phi \| \forall \phi \in D(G) \quad (2.19)$$

Proof

1) In Bargmann representation, although the operator $a^*(a + a^*)a$ is non-self-adjoint, it easy to see it is symmetric that implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle H\phi, \phi \rangle = \lambda'' \| a^3\phi \|^2 + \lambda'' \| \phi \|^2 + \mu \| a\phi \|, \forall \phi \in D(H)$$

By using the well known inequality

$$\| \phi \| \leq \| a\phi \| \forall \phi \in D(a) \cap E_0$$

where $E_0 = \{ \phi \in E; \phi(0) = 0 \}$

and the Cauchy-inequality to get

$$(\lambda'' + \mu) \| \phi \| \leq \| H\phi \| \forall \phi \in D(G)$$

2) It be shown in [1] (see lemma 3.1).

3) Although the operator $H_{\mu, \lambda}$ is non-self-adjoint, it is shown in [10] that its spectrum is real.

4) This property is shown in [1] (see lemma 3.4). \square

Lemma 2.9. The operator $H_{\mu, \lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is nuclear on Bargmann space where σ belongs a resolvent set of the operator G .

Proof

Consider $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n e_n(z)$ in Bargmann space E , The matrix of the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ in basis $e_n(z)$ has the form

$$(H_{\mu,\lambda}\phi)_n = \alpha_{n-1}\phi_{n-1} + q_n\phi_n + \alpha_n\phi_{n+1}, n \geq 2 \quad (2.20)$$

with the initial condition

$$(H\phi)_1 = q_1\phi_1 + \alpha_1\phi_2 \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$q_n = \mu n, \text{ and } \alpha_n = i\lambda n\sqrt{n+1}, (\mu \text{ and } \lambda \text{ are real numbers and } i^2 = -1).$$

It is complex symmetric tri-diagonale matrix (but not Hermitian!) of the form

$$- \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_{\mu,\lambda} = (h_{m,n})_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \text{ with the elements} \\ h_{nn} = \mu n \\ h_{n,n+1} = h_{n+1,n} = i\lambda n\sqrt{n+1}; n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{and} \\ h_{mn} = 0 \text{ for } |m-n| > 1 \end{array} \right. \quad (2.22)$$

Then

All elements of the matrix $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ have order $O(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and as all elements of the matrix $(G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ have order $O(n^{-3})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then the elements of the matrix $H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ have order $O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ therefore $H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is a nuclear operator. \square

In the following, we look for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the operators $H_{\mu,\lambda}\tilde{G}^{-\delta}$ and $\tilde{G}^{\delta}(\tilde{G} - \sigma I)^{-1}$ are bounded and one of them is nuclear. This choice prevents us from working with operators belonging to some Carlemann (Schattenvon Neumann) class of finite order $p > 1$.

For this objective, we establish the following lemma

Corollary 2.10. 1) Let be $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{2}{3}$ then the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}\tilde{G}^{-\delta}$ is bounded and the operator $\tilde{G}^{\delta}(\tilde{G} - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is nuclear ; $\sigma \in \rho(\tilde{G})$.
2) Let be $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{5}{6} < \delta \leq 1$, the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}\tilde{G}^{-\delta}$ is nuclear and the operator $\tilde{G}^{\delta}(\tilde{G} - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is bounded ; $\sigma \in \rho(\tilde{G})$.

Proof

The matrix of the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}\tilde{G}^{-\delta}$ in the base $e_n(z)$ is tridiaoagonal and its elements have order $O(n^{\frac{3}{2}-3\delta})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then it easy to see that,if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta$ then we obtain the property 1) and if $\frac{5}{6} < \delta \leq 1$, the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}\tilde{G}^{-\delta}$ is nuclear and the operator $\tilde{G}^{\delta}(\tilde{G} - \sigma I)^{-1}$ is bounded where σ belongs to $\rho(\tilde{G})$. \square

In the following, we estimate $\| H_{\mu,\lambda}(\tilde{G} - \sigma)^{-1} \|_1$. We first give the following lemma we will use.

Lemma 2.11. i) The inequality

$$\left| \frac{a^{\delta} b^{\epsilon} (a^{1-\delta-\epsilon} - b^{1-\delta-\epsilon})}{a-b} \right| \leq 1$$

holds for any different numbers $a, b > 0$ and any $\epsilon, \delta \in [0, 1]$

ii) Let $\lambda_n = n(n-1)(n-2)$ for $n = 3, 4, \dots$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$. Then there exists an increasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\gamma_m\}$ such that $\gamma_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n^{\beta} - \gamma_m|} \leq C$$

where C is a positive number.

Proof

i) This inequality is classically well-known. Note that for $\epsilon = 0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{a^\delta(a^{1-\delta}-b^{1-\delta})}{a-b} \right| \leq 1 \quad (2.23)$$

holds for any different numbers $a, b > 0$ and any $\delta \in [0, 1]$.

ii) For $\beta = 1$ this property is the property 3) of proposition 2.5.

Now let $m \geq 3$ and $\gamma_m = \frac{\lambda_m^\beta + \lambda_{m+1}^\beta}{2}$ with $\lambda_m^\beta = m^\beta(m-1)^\beta(m-2)^\beta$ and $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$

$$\text{Then} \\ \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n^\beta - \gamma_m|} = \sum_{n=3}^m \frac{1}{-\gamma_m - \lambda_n^\beta} + \sum_{n=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\beta - \gamma_m}$$

Let $n - m = k$ then

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\beta - \gamma_m} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \gamma_m} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{2\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta}$$

Now to estimate $\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta$ we consider the function

$$f_\beta(x) = x^\beta(x-1)^\beta(x-2)^\beta \text{ for } x \geq 3 \quad (2.24)$$

It follows that

$$f'_\beta(x) = \beta f_{\beta-1}(x)(3x^2 - 3x + 2)$$

and

$$f''_\beta(x) = f_{\beta-2}(x)[(9\beta(\beta-1)+6\beta)x^4 - (18\beta(\beta-1)-21\beta)x^3 + 21\beta^2x^2 - (12\beta(\beta-1)+6\beta)x + 4\beta(\beta-1)]$$

For $9\beta(\beta-1) + 6\beta \geq 0$ in particular for $\beta > \frac{1}{3}$ and sufficiently large x , it follows that $f''_\beta(x)$ take positive values for sufficiently large x ($x > x_{min} \geq 3$) then it follows that $f'_\beta(x)$ increases and in particular $f'_\beta(x) < f'_\beta(x+h)$ for all $h > 0$ and for $x > x_{min}$ therefore $f_\beta(x_{min}+h) - f_\beta(x_{min}) \leq f_\beta(x+h) - f_\beta(x)$ for $x \geq x_{min}$.

Thus there exists a number m_0 depending only on β such that, for $m > m_0 \geq 3$ we have :

$$\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta \geq \lambda_{m_0+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m_0}^\beta$$

By noting that $\lambda_{m_0+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m_0}^\beta \sim k^{3\beta}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{2\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta} &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta + \lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta} = \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+1}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta + \lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+1}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_m^\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{2}{\lambda_{m+k}^\beta - \lambda_{m+1}^\beta} \\ &\leq C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k^{3\beta}} = C \quad (C_1 \text{ does not depend on } m). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

Theorem 2.12. (estimation of $\|H_{\mu,\lambda}(\tilde{G} - \sigma)^{-1}\|_1$)

For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{2}{3}$, then for all α ; $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3} - \delta$ there exists a sequence of numbers η_m such that $\lambda_m < \eta_m < \lambda_{m+1}$ and for $|\sigma| = \eta_m$ we have $\|H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1}\|_1 = o(\frac{1}{\eta_m^\alpha})$ where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the

nuclear norm.

Proof

To estimate $\| H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1 &\leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \| \cdot \| G^\delta(G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1 = \| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^\delta}{|\lambda_n - \sigma|} \\ &\leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^\delta}{|\lambda_n - \sigma|} \end{aligned}$$

By using the inequality

$$\left| \frac{a^\delta b^\alpha (a^{1-\delta-\alpha} - b^{1-\delta-\alpha})}{a - b} \right| \leq 1$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1 &\leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^\delta}{\lambda_n^\delta |\sigma|^\alpha |\lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha} - |\sigma|^{1-\delta-\alpha}|} \\ &= \frac{\| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \|}{|\sigma|^\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha} - |\sigma|^{1-\delta-\alpha}|} \end{aligned}$$

From the relation $\lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha} \sim n^{3(1-\delta-\alpha)}$ then for $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3} - \delta$ the operator $G^{-(1-\delta-\alpha)}$ is nuclear and the series converges.

By choosing $\eta_m = \left[\frac{\lambda_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} + \lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha}}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\delta-\alpha}}$ and applying the above lemma we deduce that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \eta_m^{1-\delta-\alpha}|} \leq C \tag{2.25}$$

where C does not depend on m

The arbitrariness of the choice of α allows us to deduce that for $|\sigma| = \eta_m$ we have

$$\| H_{\mu,\lambda}(G - \sigma I)^{-1} \|_1 = o\left(\frac{1}{\eta_m^\alpha}\right) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.26}$$

3. Explicit calculating of regularized trace of magic Gribov operator

To determine the number of corrections necessary for the existence of finite formula of the regularized trace of our operator, we have need to recall some results on the infinite determinants and some relations of the theory of perturbation determinants.

A) Some Reviews on the infinite determinants and some relations of the theory of perturbation determinants.

Given a trace class operator K acting on a Hilbert space i.e. $K \in C_1$, then its trace is given by Lidskii's theorem

$$Tr(K) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$$

Now the Fredholm determinant of the operator $I + K$ is defined correctly by

$$\det(1 + K) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_n) \tag{3.1}$$

where I is the identity operator and the numbers λ_n are the eigenvalues of K , repeated the times indicated by their corresponding multiplicities.

There are several equivalent definitions for $\det(I + K)$ for $K \in C_1$. For example for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\det(1 + zK) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + z\lambda_n) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\det(1 + zK) = e^{\text{Tr} \text{Log}(I+zK)} \quad (3.3)$$

The latter definition is only determined modulo $2i\pi$ and it leads to the small z expansion known as Plemelj's formula:

$$\det(1 + zK) = e^{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m z^m \frac{\text{Tr} K^m}{m}} \quad (3.4)$$

which converges if $\text{Tr} \sqrt{K^* K} < 1$. The equivalence of these three definitions is established through Lidskii's theorem

There are two important properties of the determinant so defined.
First the multiplication formula

$$\det(I + K_1 + K_2 + K_1 K_2) = \det(I + K_1) \cdot \det(I + K_2) \quad (3.5)$$

holds for all $K_1; K_2 \in C_1$.

Second, the characterization of invertibility:

$\det(I + K) \neq 0$ if and only if $(I + K)^{-1}$ exists.

Also we can recall the the integral representation of the Fredholm determinant which is given by

$$\det(1 + K) = e^{\int_{\gamma} \text{Tr}[K(I+\sigma K)^{-1}] d\sigma} \quad (3.6)$$

with

$\gamma : [0; 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a continuous path such that $\gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = 1$ and that the operator $(I + \sigma K)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded for all σ in γ

Some properties connected with the differentiability of the Fredholm determinants are recalled now.

Let Ω an open subset of \mathcal{C} and $F(z)$ a holomorphic application over Ω taking values on the ideal of the trace class operators such that the trace norm $\|F(z)\|_1$ of $F(z)$ is bounded over each compact subset of Ω then we recall some properties of $F(z)$ under lemma form. The corresponding proofs can be found in (Gohberg Krein [8], Ch IV, p.156-171).

Lemma 3.1. Under the above hypotheses, we have

- 1) The function $\det(I + F(z)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is holomorphic.
- 2) The derivative of $F(z)$ is a trace class operator for all $z \in \Omega$.
- 3) The function $\text{Tr}(F(z))$ is holomorphic on Ω .
- 4) $\frac{d}{dz} \text{Tr}(F(z)) = \text{Tr}[\frac{d}{dz}(F(z))]$.
- 5) $\frac{d}{dz} \text{Log}(\det(I + F(z))) = \text{Tr}[(I + F(z))^{-1} \frac{d}{dz}(F(z))]$.

Remark 3.2. For a pair of operators A_0 and $A = A_0 + B$ where $R(\lambda)$ denotes the resolvent of A and $R_0(\lambda)$ denotes the resolvent of A_0 . If $BR_0(\lambda)$ is a nuclear operator, it is possible to introduce the so-called perturbation determinant:

$$D_{A/A_0}(\lambda) = \det[(A - \lambda I)(A_0 - \lambda I)^{-1}] = \det[I + BR_0(\lambda)]. \quad (3.7)$$

We will need two relations for this determinant (Gohberg-Krein [8], Ch IV, p.171-173):

$$\text{Tr}(R_0(\lambda) - R(\lambda)) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\text{Ln} D_{A/A_0}(\lambda)) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\text{Ln} D_{A/A_0}(\lambda) = \text{Tr} \text{Ln}(I + BR_0(\lambda)) \quad (3.9)$$

Most of the results on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators can be founded in ([7], [20], [26] or [27]).

B) Proof of main theorem

In the following, we will find some relations about the eigenvalues and resolvent of the operators $\lambda''G$ and $H = \lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$. These operators have purely-discrete spectrum.

Moreover the resolvent $R_\sigma^0 = (\lambda''G - \sigma I)^{-1}$ and $R_\sigma = (\lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda} - \sigma I)^{-1}$ are connected by the relation

$$R_\sigma = R_\sigma^0 + R_\sigma^0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k [H_{\mu,\lambda} R_\sigma^0]^k \quad (3.10)$$

where the convergence of the series in the right-hand side of above formula is understood in the sense of convergence in the norm of the ring of bounded operators.

Remark 3.3. To use the equality (3.9) in the form of a power series for the logarithm at our operator $H = \lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$, we choose $A_0 = \lambda''G$, $B = H_{\mu,\lambda}$ and σ belongs to the circles γ_m in complex plane of radii $\{\eta_m\}$ centered at zero and for m sufficiently large, one hand, it is well known (see theorem 3.3 [1]) that

$$\| H_{\mu,\lambda}(\lambda''G - \sigma I)^{-1} \| < 1.$$

and the other, following the properties possessed by the operators $\lambda''\tilde{G}$ and $H_{\mu,\lambda}$ allow one to assert that the family of operators $\lambda''\tilde{G} + \tau H_{\mu,\lambda}$ is a holomorphic family of type (A) [17, Ch. VII], and results from the analytical theory of perturbations [17] guarantee that eigenvalues of the family of operators $\lambda''\tilde{G} + \tau H_{\mu,\lambda}$ are in any case continuous functions of the parameter τ .

The above argument is also valid for the perturbation $\tau H_{\mu,\lambda}$ for $\tau \in [0, 1]$, hence all σ belonging to the circles γ_m remain in the resolvent set of this family for all $\tau \in [0, 1]$, so eigenvalues of operators $\lambda''\tilde{G} + \tau H_{\mu,\lambda}$ do not intersect the contour γ_m for $\tau \in [0, 1]$.

Then for sufficiently large m , inside the contours γ_m there is an identical number of eigenvalues of the operators $\lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda}$ and $\lambda''G$.

We denote the eigenvalues of H by $\{\sigma_n\}$ and we continue to denote the eigenvalues of $\lambda''G$ by $\{\lambda_n\}$

Now, let $\mu > 0$ and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|\sigma| = \frac{\lambda_{n_m+1} + \lambda_{n_m}}{2} = r_m$, we note that

i) For large value of m the inequalities $\sigma_{n_m} < r_m < \sigma_{n_m+1}$ and $\lambda_{n_m} < r_m < \lambda_{n_m+1}$ are satisfied.

ii) The series $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma}{\tilde{\sigma}_n - \sigma}$ and $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma}{\lambda_n - \sigma}$ are uniform convergent on the circle $|\sigma| = r_m$

And by Cauchy's integral formula for a disk, we have

$$\sigma_n = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_n} \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_n - \sigma} d\sigma \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_n} \frac{\sigma}{\lambda_n - \sigma} d\sigma \quad (3.12)$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^m (\sigma_n - \lambda_n) = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \sigma \text{Tr}([\lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda} - \sigma I]^{-1} - [\lambda''G - \sigma I]^{-1}) d\sigma \quad (3.13)$$

Now, we can proceed to proving the aim theorem 1.4 given in introduction.

We will investigate the right-hand side of the above equality (3.13) following the reasoning and the techniques used by Sadovnichii and Podolskii in [25].

From the above inequality (3.13), integrating by parts and taking into account of the formulas (3.8) (the perturbation determinant) and (3.9), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \sigma \text{Tr}([\lambda''G + H_{\mu,\lambda} - \sigma I]^{-1} - [\lambda''G - \sigma I]^{-1}) d\sigma = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}[\text{Log}(I + H_{\mu,\lambda}(\lambda''G - \sigma I)^{-1})] d\sigma =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} [\text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0) + \text{Tr}(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k)] d\sigma.$$

Consider the term with separately. Since the elements of the matrix $H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0$ are $O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we know that $H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0$ is a nuclear operator then its trace can be calculated as the matrix trace in the orthonormal basis $\{e_n(z)\}$.

Note also that in Bargmann representation, we have

$$\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_n \rangle = n\mu; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.14)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\mu}{\lambda_n - \sigma} d\sigma \quad (3.15)$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^m (\tilde{\sigma}_n - \lambda_n - n\mu) = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k) d\sigma. \quad (3.16)$$

Now, let us estimate the terms of this series for $k \geq 2$ by writing it in the following form:

$$-\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k) d\sigma =$$

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}((H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^2) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k) d\sigma. \quad (3.17)$$

We begin by given an estimation of second series for $k \geq l$ where $l \geq 3$.

Now the following estimates are valid.

1) Since the s -numbers of the trace class operator R_σ^0 are $\{\frac{1}{|\lambda_n - \sigma|}\}$ then the norm of the operator $H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0$ is estimated as

$$\text{Max}_{\sigma \in \gamma_m} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \| \leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta} \| \text{Max}_{\sigma \in \gamma_m} (\text{Max}_n \frac{\lambda_n^\delta}{|\lambda_n - \sigma|}) \leq \text{const.} \text{Max}_n \frac{\lambda_n^\delta}{|\lambda_n - a_m|}.$$

$$\leq \text{const.} \text{Max}_n \frac{1}{|\lambda_n^{1-\delta} - a_m^{1-\delta}|} \text{ where } \lambda_m < a_m < \lambda_{m+1} \quad (3.18)$$

2) Let be K_1 is bounded operator and $K_2 \in C_1$ then the estimate $\| K_1 K_2 \|_1 \leq \| K_1 \| \cdot \| K_2 \|_1$ is valid.

By applying this estimate we get $|\text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k| \leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|^{k-1} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|_1$ and by last inequality we deduce that

$$\int_{\gamma_m} |\text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k| d\sigma \leq \text{Max}_{\sigma \in \gamma_m} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|_1 \int_{\gamma_m} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|^{k-1} d\sigma. \quad (3.19)$$

3) To estimate the integral $\int_{\gamma_m} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|^{k-1} d\sigma$ we use the techniques of Sadovnichii-Podolskii in [25] to get

$$\int_{\gamma_m} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|^{k-1} d\sigma \leq \frac{c^k \lambda_m^\delta}{|\lambda_m^{1-\delta} - a_m^{1-\delta}|^{k-2}} \quad (3.20)$$

Then the remainder of the series for $l \geq 3$ satisfies the relation:

$$| -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(\sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^k) d\sigma | \leq \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_{a_m}^0 \|_1 \cdot \int_{\gamma_m} \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \| H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 \|^{k-1} d\sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|H_{\mu,\lambda}R_{a_m}^0\|_1 \lambda_m^\delta \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c}{a_m^{1-\delta} - \lambda_m^{1-\delta}}\right)^{k-2} \\
&\leq C \frac{a_m^\delta \|H_{\mu,\lambda}R_{a_m}^0\|_1}{(a_m^{1-\delta} - \lambda_m^{1-\delta})^{l-2}} \\
&\leq C \frac{a_m^{\delta-\alpha(l-2)} \|H_{\mu,\lambda}R_{a_m}^0\|_1}{(a_m^{1-\delta} - \lambda_m^{1-\delta})^{l-2}} = o(a_m^{\delta-\alpha(l-1)})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

4) To estimate the integral $\int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^2 d\sigma$ it convenient to write the second-order correction in the form

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}((H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^2) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0 H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_n \rangle}{\lambda_n - \sigma} d\sigma$$

Let $H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_k \rangle e_k$ then by Cauchy theorem we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}((H_{\mu,\lambda}R_\sigma^0)^2) d\sigma = \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_k, e_n \rangle}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n} \tag{3.22}$$

Now we consider the inner series $\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n}$ and it convenient to write it in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n} \\
&= \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^\delta \lambda_k^\delta \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n}
\end{aligned}$$

We choose $\epsilon = 0$ in the inequality $|\frac{a^\delta b^\epsilon (a^{1-\delta-\epsilon} - b^{1-\delta-\epsilon})}{a-b}| \leq 1$ to get

$$\frac{\lambda_k^\delta}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\delta} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta}} \tag{3.23}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k - \lambda_n} \\
&\leq \lambda_n^\delta \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k^{1-\delta} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

We will use the Abel transformation to investigate (converging) series by taking

$$a_k = \sum_{l=m+1}^k |\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_n, e_l \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}e_l, e_n \rangle| \text{ this sequence is bounded}$$

and

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\delta} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta}} \text{ this sequence decreases to 0.}$$

Then have

$$\lambda_n^\delta \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda}G^{-\delta}e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k^{1-\delta} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta}} = \lambda_n^\delta \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k (b_k - b_{k+1})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_n^\delta \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta} e_n, e_k \rangle \langle H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta} e_k, e_n \rangle|}{\lambda_k^{1-\delta} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta}} \\ & \leq \lambda_n^\delta \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2 (b_k - b_{k+1}) \leq \frac{\lambda_n^\delta \|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{b_{m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda} R_\sigma^0)^2 d\sigma \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_n^\delta \|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{b_{m+1}} \\ & \leq \lambda_m^{\delta-\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{\lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

We choose α such that the resolvent of $G^{1-\delta-\alpha}$ is nuclear operator. i.e $G^{-(1-\delta-\alpha)}$ is nuclear then $3(1-\delta-\alpha) > 1$, i.e $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3} - \delta$

Remark 3.4 As $\lambda_m < \omega_m < \lambda_{m+1}$ Then

- i) $\lambda_m^{\delta-\alpha} < \omega_m^{\delta-\alpha} < \lambda_{m+1}^{\delta-\alpha}$
- ii) $\omega_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} < \lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha}$ and $\omega_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha} < \lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}$
- iii) $\frac{1}{\lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\omega_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}}$
- iv) $\lambda_m^{\delta-\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{\lambda_{m+1}^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}} \leq \omega_m^{\delta-\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{\omega_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}}$.

With the aid of (2.25) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|H_{\mu,\lambda} G^{-\delta}\|^2}{\omega_m^{1-\delta-\alpha} - \lambda_n^{1-\delta-\alpha}} \leq \text{Const.}$

then

$$v) \left| \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\gamma_m} \text{Tr}(H_{\mu,\lambda} R_\sigma^0)^2 d\sigma \right| = o(\omega_m^{\delta-\alpha}) \quad (3.25)$$

vi) The condition on the number l of corrections necessary for the existence of finite formula of the trace of our operator is $\delta - \alpha(l-1) \leq 0$

i.e.

$$l \geq \frac{\delta}{\alpha} + 1. \quad (3.26)$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{2}{3}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{2}{3} - \delta$

vii) The minimal value of l is obtained as follows:

As $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{2}{3}$ then the condition (3.26) involves $l \geq \frac{1}{2\alpha} + 1$ and $\alpha < \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}$ i.e. $6 < \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and by (3.26) we deduce that $l > 3 + 1 = 4$, therefore $l = 5$.

Conclusion

In [16], we study the trace of the semigroup e^{-tH} where

$$H = H_{\lambda'',0,\mu,\lambda} = \lambda'' a^{*3} a^3 + \mu a^* a + i\lambda a^*(a + a^*)a$$

We use the estimates obtained in [15] which give an approximation of this semigroup by the unperturbed semigroup $e^{-t\lambda'' a^{*3} a^3}$ in nuclear norm. In particular to give an asymptotic expansion of this trace as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

This work is concluded by noting that, if $\lambda' \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, the existence of finite formula of the trace for the generalized Gribov operator $H_{\lambda'',\lambda',\mu,\lambda} = \lambda'' a^{*3} a^3 + \lambda' a^{*2} a^2 + \mu a^* a + i\lambda a^*(a + a^*)a$ is an open problem.

References

- [1] M.T. Aïmar, A. Intissar and A. Jeribi , *On an Unconditional Basis of Generalized Eigenvectors of the Nonself-adjoint Gribov Operator in Bargmann Space*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 231, (1999), 588-602.
- [2] T. Ando and M. Zerner, *Sur une valeur propre d'un opérateur*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 93 (1984), 123-139
- [3] V. Bargmann, *On a Hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform I*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 14 (1962)
- [4] L.A. Dikii, *About a formula of Gelfand-Levitan*, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 8(2), (1953), 119-123.
- [5] L.A. Dikii, *New method of computing approximate eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problem*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 116, (1957), 12-14.
- [6] I.M. Gelfand and B.M. Levitan, *On a Simple Identity for the Characteristic Values of a Differential Operator of Second Order*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, vol. 88, (1953), pp. 593-596
- [7] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and N. Krupnik, *Traces and determinants of linear operators*, Birkhauser, 2000.
- [8] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-Self Adjoint Operators*, Vol. 18, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1969).
- [9] V. Gribov, *A reggeon diagram technique*, *Soviet Phys. JETP* 26, no. 2, (1968), 414-423
- [10] A. Intissar, *Etude spectrale d'une famille d'opérateurs non-symétriques intervenant dans la théorie des champs de reggeons*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 113 (1987), 263-297.
- [11] A. Intissar, *Quelques nouvelles propriétés spectrales de l'hamiltonien de la théorie des champs de reggeons*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 308, Sr. I* (1989), 209-214
- [12] A. Intissar, *Analyse Fonctionnelle et Théorie Spectrale pour les Opérateurs Compacts Non Auto-Adjointes*, Editions Cepadues, Toulouse, (1997).
- [13] A. Intissar, *Analyse de Scattering d'un opérateur cubique de Heun dans l'espace de Bargmann*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 199 ,(1998), 243-256.
- [14] A. Intissar, M. Le Bellac, M. Zerner, *Properties of the Hamiltonian of Reggeon field theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* 113 (1982) 487-489.
- [15] A. Intissar, *Approximation of the semigroup generated by the Hamiltonian of Reggeon field theory in Bargmann space*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 305, no. 2, , (2005), pp. 669-689
- [16] A. Intissar, *On regularized trace formula of Gribov semigroup generated by the Hamiltonian of Reggeon field theory in Bargmann representation*, (2013) e-print.
- [17] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Springer-Verlag, New York, (1976).
- [18] V. B. Lidskii, "Non-self-adjoint operators with a trace," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 125, No. 3, (1959), 485-487
- [19] Naymark, MA: *Linear Differential Operators*. Nauka, M. 528 (1969)
- [20] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics I: Functional analysis*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [21] V.A. Sadovnichii, V.E. Podolskii, *On the class of Sturm-Liouville operators and approximate calculation of first eigenvalues*, *Mat Sbornik.* 189(1), (1998), 133-148
- [22] V.A. Sadovnichii and V.E. Podolskii, *Traces of operators with relatively compact perturbations*. *Mat. Sb.* 193 (2), (2002) 129-152
- [23] V.A. Sadovnichii, V.E. Podolskii, *Trace of operators*. *Uspech Math Nauk.* 61(5), (2006), 89-156

- [24] V. A. Sadovnichii and V. E. Podolskii, *Traces of Differential Operators, Differential Equations*, Vol. 45, No. 4, (2009), pp. 477-493.
- [25] V. A. Sadovnichii and V. E. Podolskii, *Regularized Traces of Discrete Operators, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Pleiades Publishing, Inc. Suppl. 2*, (2006), pp. 161-177.
- [26] B. Simon, *Notes on infinite determinants of Hilbert space operators, Advances in Mathematics* 24 (1977), pp. 244-273.
- [27] B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 120, AMS, 2nd Ed.* , (2005).
- [28] N. G. Tomin, *Several Formulas for the First Regularized Trace of Discrete Operators, Mathematical Notes*, vol. 70, no. 1, (2001), pp. 97-109.