

Spectral Formulation of the Elastodynamic Boundary Integral Equations for Bi-material Interfaces

K. Ranjith

SRM Research Institute, SRM University, Kattankulathur 603203, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail: ranjith.k@res.srmuniv.ac.in, Phone:+91-44-27417906, Fax:+91-44-27456702

Abstract

A spectral formulation of the boundary integral equation method applied to an interface between dissimilar elastic solids is presented. The boundary integral equations can be written in two equivalent forms: (a) The tractions can be written as a space-time convolution of the displacement continuities at the interface (Budiansky and Rice [1]) (b) The displacement discontinuities can be written as a space-time convolution of the tractions at the interface (Kostrov [2]). Prior work on spectral formulation of the boundary integral equations has adopted the former as the starting point. The present work has for its basis the latter form based on a space-time convolution of the tractions. The radiation damping term is then explicitly extracted to avoid singularities in the convolution kernels. Tractions and displacement components are given a spectral representation as finite Fourier series in the spatial coordinate along the interface. With the spectral forms introduced, the space-time convolutions are converted to convolutions in time for each Fourier mode. Due to continuity of tractions across the interface, this leads to a simpler formulation and form of the convolution kernels in comparison to the formulation involving convolutions over the slip and opening history at the bi-material interface. When coupled with a cohesive law or a friction law, the formulation is of wide applicability for studying spontaneous crack or slip rupture propagation.

Keywords: Elastic, Waves, Interface, Crack, Slip

1. Introduction:

Consider a planar interface between two identical isotropic, homogeneous elastic half-spaces. A Cartesian coordinate system is located such that the interface is at $x_2 = 0$ and the x_1 coordinate is along the interface. It is assumed that the stresses and displacements are independent of the x_3 coordinate. Let τ_{ij} ($i, j = 1, 2$) denote the in-plane stresses and u_j ($j = 1, 2$) denote the in-plane displacements. The traction components of stress at the interface are $\tau_j = \tau_{j2}$ ($j = 1, 2$). The displacement discontinuities are given by

$$\delta_j(x_1, t) = u_j(x_1, x_2^{0+}, t) - u_j(x_1, x_2^{0-}, t) \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (1)$$

where t denotes time.

Let λ and μ be the Lamé constants of the solids and let c_s and c_d be their shear and dilatational wave speeds, respectively. For an interface between identical solids, the boundary integral equations which relate the tractions and displacement discontinuities at the interface can be written in the form (Cochard and Madariaga [3]):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \tau_1^o - \frac{\mu}{2c_s} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial t} + f_1(x_1, t) \\ \tau_2 &= \tau_2^o - \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2c_d} \frac{\partial \delta_2}{\partial t} + f_2(x_1, t), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where τ_j^0 ($j=1,2$) are the tractions that will be present in the absence of displacement discontinuities at the interface and $f_j(x_1,t)$ ($j=1,2$) involve space-time convolutions over the displacement discontinuities. The second term on the right hand side of the two equations above are the radiation damping terms that have been explicitly extracted to avoid singularities in the convolution kernels.

The spectral form of Eqn. (2) was introduced by Geubelle and Rice [4]. They wrote the tractions and displacement continuities in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_j(x_1,t) &= D_j(t) \exp(ikx_1) \\ \tau_j(x_1,t) &= T_j(t) \exp(ikx_1)\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where k is the wavenumber and $D_j(t)$ and $T_j(t)$ are the amplitudes of the displacement discontinuities and the tractions, respectively, for that wavenumber. The convolution terms $f_j(x_1,t)$ ($j=1,2$) in Eqn. (2) then take the form

$$f_j(x_1,t) = F_j(t) \exp(ikx_1)\tag{4}$$

where the functions $F_j(t)$ involve convolution over the time history of $D_j(t)$. The convolution kernels for the case of identical solids were derived by Geubelle and Rice [4].

In the present work, the more general case of an interface between dissimilar elastic solids is considered. A prior attempt has been made by Breitenfeld and Geubelle [5] to generalize the spectral formulation to the bi-material case. In their approach, the elastodynamic convolutions

are performed over the displacement history at the interface. Here, an alternative method is presented where the elastodynamic convolutions are performed over the history of tractions at the interface. Due to material dissimilarity, both components of the rate of displacement discontinuity depend on both components of the tractions. In view of continuity of tractions at the interface, it becomes easier to obtain a relation between the rates of displacement discontinuity and the tractions as compared to the approach presented by Breitenfeld and Geubelle [5]. The convolution kernels for the bi-material case are derived in this paper.

2. Elastodynamic Relations:

We derive the elastodynamic relation between the tractions and the slip and opening velocity at the interface between the two half-spaces. Let λ and μ denote the Lamé constants and ρ be the density. Field quantities and material properties relevant to the top half-space will be denoted by the superscript + while those relevant to the lower half-space will be denoted by the superscript -. In the development below, the superscripts will be dropped when it is clear from the context of the discussion which half-space is being referred to. First, consider the upper half-space. Let the tractions acting on the upper half-space at the plane $x_2 = 0^+$ be of the form

$$\tau_j = T_j(k, p) \exp(ikx_1 + pt) \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (5)$$

where k is the wavenumber, p is the time response and T_j is the traction amplitude. The corresponding displacements are of the form

$$u_j = U_j(k, p) \exp(ikx_1 + pt) \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad (6)$$

where U_j denote the displacement amplitudes.

Following Geubelle and Rice [4], the elastodynamic relations between the amplitudes of the tractions and the displacements can be written in the form

$$\begin{Bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{Bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}(k, p) &= -\mu |k| \frac{\alpha_d(1-\alpha_s^2)}{1-\alpha_s\alpha_d} \\ G_{22}(k, p) &= -\mu |k| \frac{\alpha_s(1-\alpha_s^2)}{1-\alpha_s\alpha_d} \\ G_{12}(k, p) &= ik\mu \left(2 - \frac{1-\alpha_s^2}{1-\alpha_s\alpha_d}\right) = -G_{21}(k, p) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_s &= \sqrt{1 + p^2 / k^2 c_s^2} \\ \alpha_d &= \sqrt{1 + p^2 / k^2 c_d^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the above equations, $c_s = \sqrt{\mu / \rho}$ is the shear wave speed and $c_d = \sqrt{(\lambda + 2\mu) / \rho}$ is the dilatational wave speed. Eqn. (7) can be inverted in the form

$$\begin{Bmatrix} U_1 \\ U_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{Bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{11}(k, p) &= -\frac{1}{\mu |k|} \frac{\alpha_s(1-\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} \\
C_{22}(k, p) &= -\frac{1}{\mu |k|} \frac{\alpha_d(1-\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} \\
C_{12}(k, p) &= \frac{1}{ik\mu} \frac{2\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} = -C_{21}(k, p).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Multiplying Eqn. (10) by p and extracting the radiation damping term, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{c_s}{\mu} T_1 + pU_1 \\ \frac{c_d}{\lambda + 2\mu} T_2 + pU_2 \end{array} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{array} \right\} \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(k, p) &= \frac{c_s}{\mu} - \frac{p}{\mu |k|} \frac{\alpha_s(1-\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} \\
M_{22}(k, p) &= \frac{c_d}{\lambda + 2\mu} - \frac{p}{\mu |k|} \frac{\alpha_d(1-\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} \\
M_{12}(k, p) &= \frac{p}{ik\mu} \frac{2\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} = -M_{21}(k, p).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The corresponding equations for the lower half space are obtained by replacing U_2 by $-U_2$ and T_1 by $-T_1$ and by taking all field quantities and material properties relevant to the lower half-space. Thus

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{c_s}{\mu} T_1 - pU_1 \\ \frac{c_d}{\lambda + 2\mu} T_2 - pU_2 \end{array} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & -M_{12} \\ -M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{array} \right\} \tag{14}$$

Adding the equations for the upper and lower half-spaces, Eqn. (12) and Eqn. (14), and invoking traction continuity, we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{c_s^+}{\mu^+} + \frac{c_s^-}{\mu^-} \right) T_1 + p(U_1^+ - U_1^-) \\ \left(\frac{c_d^+}{\lambda^+ + 2\mu^+} + \frac{c_d^-}{\lambda^- + 2\mu^-} \right) T_2 + p(U_2^+ - U_2^-) \end{array} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{11}^+ + M_{11}^- & M_{12}^+ - M_{12}^- \\ M_{21}^+ - M_{21}^- & M_{22}^+ + M_{22}^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{Bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, we have arrived at an elastodynamic relation between the tractions and the time derivatives of the displacement discontinuities at the interface.

3. Inversion of Kernels:

In this section, we obtain the inverse Laplace transforms of the convolution kernels $M_{ij}(k, p)$ in Eqn. (13).

3.1. Inversion of $M_{11}(k, p)$:

From Eqn. (13),

$$M_{11}(k, p) = \frac{c_s}{\mu} \left[1 - \frac{p}{|k|c_s} \frac{\alpha_s(1-\alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s\alpha_d - (1+\alpha_s^2)^2} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Define $s = p/|k|c_s$ and $a = c_d/c_s$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(k, p) &= M_{11}(s) \\
&= \frac{c_s}{\mu} \left[1 + \frac{s^3 \sqrt{1+s^2}}{4\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{1+s^2/a^2} - (2+s^2)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{c_s}{\mu} s \left[\frac{1}{s} + \frac{s^2 \sqrt{1+s^2}}{4\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{1+s^2/a^2} - (2+s^2)^2} \right] \\
&= \frac{c_s}{\mu} s \left[\frac{1}{s} + I(s) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The last equation above define $I(s)$. The Laplace inverse of $M_{11}(k, p)$ may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(k, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B M_{11}(k, p) e^{pt} dp \\
&= c_s |k| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B M_{11}(s) e^{sT} ds
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where B is the Bromwich contour shown in Fig. 1 and $T = c_s |k| t$. Using Eqn. (17) in the above and observing that the Laplace inverse of $1/s$ is $H(T)$, the unit step function, we can write

$$M_{11}(k, t) = c_s |k| \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{dI}{dT} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I(T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B I(s) e^{sT} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{s^2 \sqrt{1+s^2}}{4\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{1+s^2/a^2} - (2+s^2)^2} e^{sT} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{s^2 \sqrt{1+s^2} (4\sqrt{1+s^2} \sqrt{1+s^2/a^2} + (2+s^2)^2)}{-s^2 (s^2 + y_R^2)(s^2 + y_1^2)(s^2 + y_2^2)} e^{sT} ds \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{4(1+s^2) \sqrt{1+s^2/a^2} + (2+s^2)^2 \sqrt{1+s^2}}{(s^2 + y_R^2)(s^2 + y_1^2)(s^2 + y_2^2)} e^{sT} ds
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

To ensure single valuedness of the integrand in the above equation, a branch cut is taken in the complex s -plane from $s = -ia$ to $s = ia$. The integrand has poles at $s = \pm iy_R$, where $y_R = c_R / c_s$ and c_R is the Rayleigh wave speed. The branch cuts defined above and the poles at $s = \pm iy_R$ are the only singularities of the integrand in the s -plane. The other zeroes of the denominator at $s = \pm iy_1$ and $s = \pm iy_2$ do not constitute poles since the numerators have zeroes at the same locations. It follows from Cauchy's theorem that the contour of integration in Eqn. (20) above can be shrunk onto the branch cut. To treat properly the poles at $s = \pm iy_R$ that lie on the branch cut, we deform the contour in the vicinity of the poles into semi-circular arcs of radius ρ (not to be confused with the notation for the density) as shown in Fig. 1 and take the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Noting that the value of the integrand on the left bank of the branch cut is the negative of its value on the right bank (for the same value of y), it can be shown that as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, the contribution to the integral from the arc on the left bank of the cut exactly cancels that from the right bank of the cut. Hence, as $\rho \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I(T) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{4(1-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y - y_1^2)(y - y_2^2)} \cos yT dy \\
&+ \frac{2}{\pi} PV \int_0^1 \frac{4(1-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2} + (2-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y - y_1^2)(y - y_2^2)} \cos yT dy
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

As $T \rightarrow \infty$, the first integral above vanishes, according to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. However, the second integral has a non-vanishing long-term component. To see this, consider the principal value integral

$$J(T) = PV \int_0^1 \frac{g(y)}{y - y_R} e^{iyT} dy, \quad 0 < y_R < 1 \quad (22)$$

where $g(y)$ has no singularities in the domain of integration. Now,

$$J(T) = J_o(T) + g(y_R)J_1(T) \quad (23)$$

where

$$J_o(T) = \int_0^1 \frac{g(y) - g(y_R)}{y - y_R} e^{iyT} dy, \quad J_1(T) = PV \int_0^1 \frac{e^{iyT}}{y - y_R} dy \quad (24)$$

Clearly $J_o(T)$ is well-defined and $J_o(T) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. We can write $J_1(T)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(T) &= e^{iy_R T} PV \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i(y-y_R)T}}{y - y_R} dy \\ &= e^{iy_R T} PV \int_{-y_R}^{1-y_R} \frac{e^{iuT}}{u} du \\ &= e^{iy_R T} \left[PV \int_{-y_R}^{1-y_R} \frac{\cos uT}{u} du + i \int_{-y_R}^{1-y_R} \frac{\sin uT}{u} du \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Observing that $PV \int_{-X}^X (\cos uT / u) du = 0$ for all X , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(T) &= e^{iy_R T} \left[- \int_{1-y_R}^{y_R} \frac{\cos uT}{u} du + i \int_{-y_R}^{1-y_R} \frac{\sin uT}{u} du \right] \\ &= e^{iy_R T} \left[- \int_{(1-y_R)T}^{y_R T} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta} d\theta + i \int_{-y_R T}^{(1-y_R)T} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} d\theta \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Using these results, we can rewrite Eqn. (20) as

$$\begin{aligned}
I(T) = & \int_0^1 \frac{g(y) - g(y_R)}{y - y_R} \cos yT dy - g(y_R) \left[\cos y_R T \int_{(1-y_R)T}^{y_R T} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta} d\theta + \sin y_R T \int_{-y_R T}^{(1-y_R)T} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} d\theta \right] \\
& + \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{4(1-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y^2 - y_1^2)(y^2 - y_2^2)} \cos yT dy
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where

$$g(y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{4(1-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2} + (2-y^2)^2\sqrt{1-y^2}}{(y+y_R)(y^2-y_1^2)(y^2-y_2^2)}. \tag{28}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dI}{dT} = & - \int_0^1 \frac{g(y) - g(y_R)}{y - y_R} y \sin yT dy \\
& + g(y_R) \left[y_R \sin y_R T \int_{(1-y_R)T}^{y_R T} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta} d\theta - y_R \cos y_R T \int_{-y_R T}^{(1-y_R)T} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} d\theta \right] \\
& + g(y_R) \frac{\cos T - 1}{T} - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{4(1-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y^2 - y_1^2)(y^2 - y_2^2)} y \sin yT dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Using this in Eqn. (19) and writing $|k|c_s t$ for T , we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{11}(k,t) &= c_s |k| \frac{c_s}{\mu} \\
& \left\{ \begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^1 \frac{g(y) - g(y_R)}{y - y_R} y \sin(y |k| c_s t) dy \\
& + g(y_R) \left[y_R \sin(|k| c_R t) \int_{(|k| c_s t - |k| c_R t)}^{|k| c_R t} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta} d\theta - y_R \cos(|k| c_R t) \int_{-|k| c_R t}^{(|k| c_s t - |k| c_R t)} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} d\theta \right] \\
& + g(y_R) \frac{\cos(|k| c_s t) - 1}{|k| c_s t} \\
& - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{4(1-y^2) \sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y^2 - y_1^2)(y^2 - y_2^2)} y \sin(y |k| c_s t) dy
\end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

The long-time response is

$$M_{11}(k, t \rightarrow \infty) = -c_s |k| \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{4(1-y_R^2) \sqrt{1-y_R^2/a^2} + (2-y_R^2) \sqrt{1-y_R^2}}{(y_R^2 - y_1^2)(y_R^2 - y_2^2)} \cos(|k| c_R t). \quad (31)$$

3.2. Inversion of $M_{22}(k, p)$:

The inversion of $M_{22}(k, p)$ follows a similar procedure to that of $M_{11}(k, p)$. The integration contour is the same as that shown in Fig. 1. We finally obtain:

$$M_{22}(k, t) = c_s |k| \frac{c_s}{\mu} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & - \int_0^1 \frac{f(y) - f(y_R)}{y - y_R} y \sin(y |k| c_s t) dy \\ & + f(y_R) \left[y_R \sin(|k| c_R t) \int_{(|k| c_s t - |k| c_R t)}^{|k| c_R t} \frac{\cos \theta}{\theta} d\theta - y_R \cos(|k| c_R t) \int_{-|k| c_R t}^{(|k| c_s t - |k| c_R t)} \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} d\theta \right] \\ & + f(y_R) \frac{\cos(|k| c_s t) - 1}{|k| c_s t} \\ & - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{(2 - y^2)^2 \sqrt{1 - y^2 / a^2}}{(y^2 - y_R^2)(y^2 - y_1^2)(y^2 - y_2^2)} y \sin(y |k| c_s t) dy \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (32)$$

where

$$f(y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{4(1 - y^2 / a^2) \sqrt{1 - y^2} + (2 - y^2)^2 \sqrt{1 - y^2 / a^2}}{(y + y_R)(y^2 - y_1^2)(y^2 - y_2^2)}. \quad (33)$$

The long-time response is

$$M_{22}(k, t \rightarrow \infty) = -\pi c_s |k| \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{4(1 - y_R^2 / a^2) \sqrt{1 - y_R^2} + (2 - y_R^2)^2 \sqrt{1 - y_R^2 / a^2}}{(y_R^2 - y_1^2)(y_R^2 - y_2^2)} \cos(|k| c_R t). \quad (34)$$

3.3. Inversion of $M_{12}(k, p)$:

From, Eqn. (13), we have

$$M_{12}(k, p) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(k) \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{p}{|k| c_s} \frac{2\alpha_s \alpha_d - (1 + \alpha_s^2)}{4\alpha_s \alpha_d - (1 + \alpha_s^2)^2}. \quad (35)$$

Writing $s = p / |k| c_s$ and $a = c_d / c_s$ as before,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{12}(k, p) &= i \operatorname{sgn}(k) \frac{c_s}{\mu} s \left[\frac{8s^2/a^2 + 8/a^2 - s^4 - 4 - 6s^2 + 2\sqrt{1+s^2}\sqrt{1+s^2/a^2}(2+s^2)}{(s^2 + y_R^2)(s^2 + y_1^2)(s^2 + y_2^2)} \right] \\
&= i \operatorname{sgn}(k) \frac{c_s}{\mu} s I(s).
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The last equation above defines $I(s)$. It follows that

$$M_{12}(k, t) = i \operatorname{sgn}(k) |k| c_s \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B s I(s) e^{sT} ds = i k c_s \frac{c_s}{\mu} \frac{dI(T)}{dT} \tag{37}$$

where B is the Bromwich contour shown in Fig. 2. On performing the inversion, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I(T) &= \left[\frac{-8y_R^2/a^2 + 8/a^2 - y_R^4 - 4 + 6y_R^2 + 2\sqrt{1-y_R^2}\sqrt{1-y_R^2/a^2}(2-y_R^2)}{(y_1^2 - y_R^2)(y_2^2 - y_R^2)} \right] \frac{\sin y_R T}{y_R} \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{2\sqrt{y^2-1}\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}(2-y^2)}{(y_R^2 - y^2)(y_1^2 - y^2)(y_2^2 - y^2)} \sin y T dy
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{12}(k, t) &= i k c_s \frac{c_s}{\mu} \\
&\quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^a \frac{2\sqrt{y^2-1}\sqrt{1-y^2/a^2}(2-y^2)}{(y_R^2 - y^2)(y_1^2 - y^2)(y_2^2 - y^2)} \cos(y |k| c_s t) dy \\ & + \left[\frac{-8y_R^2/a^2 + 8/a^2 - y_R^4 - 4 + 6y_R^2 + 2\sqrt{1-y_R^2}\sqrt{1-y_R^2/a^2}(2-y_R^2)}{(y_1^2 - y_R^2)(y_2^2 - y_R^2)} \right] \cos(|k| c_R t) \end{aligned} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The last term above clearly gives the long-time response.

4. Conclusions:

A spectral formulation of the boundary integral equation method has been proposed. It can be used for studying spontaneous propagation of dynamic cracks and slip ruptures at an interface between dissimilar elastic half-spaces. The method involves performing elastodynamic convolutions over the history of tractions at the interface between the two half-spaces. Traction continuity at the interface leads to a simple formulation and form for the convolution kernels.

5. Acknowledgement:

This work was supported by Department of Science and Technology, India through Science and Engineering Research Board project SR/S3/MERC/0076/2012. Discussions with Prof. J. R. Rice of Harvard University are acknowledged.

6. References:

- [1] B. Budiansky B, J.R. Rice, An integral equation for dynamic elastic response of an isolated 3-D crack, *Wave Motion* 1 (1979) 187-92.
- [2] B.V. Kostrov, Unsteady propagation of longitudinal shear cracks, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.* 30 (1966) 1241-1248.
- [3] A. Cochard, R. Madariaga, Dynamic faulting under rate-dependent friction, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 142 (1994) 419-445.

[4] P.H. Geubelle, J.R. Rice, A spectral method for three-dimensional elastodynamic fracture problems, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids* 43 (1995) 1791-1824.

[5] M.S. Breitenfeld, P.H. Geubelle, Numerical analysis of dynamic debonding under 2D in-plane loading and 3D loading, *Int. J. Fract.* 93 (1998) 13-38.




Fig. 1 Integration contour for inverting $M_{11}(k, p)$ and $M_{22}(k, p)$




Fig. 2 Integration contour for inverting $M_{12}(k, p)$