

# The ground state and the character of the interaction between a colloidal particles in a liquid crystals

B. I. Lev<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, NAS of Ukraine, Metrologichna 14-b, Kyiv 03680, Ukraine*

<sup>2</sup>*Nanosystem Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 1-1-1 Umezono, Tsukuba 305-8568, Japan*

(Dated: April 6, 2019)

In this article is proposed the general approach to determination the character of the interaction between colloidal particles in a different liquid crystals. The main idea of this approach are in the presentation of the colloidal particle as sours of the possible deformation of the ground state of the director field. The ground state of liquid crystal imposes restrictions on the possible deformations and as result determine the character of the interaction between the colloidal particles. Based on this approach the Coulomb like interaction between dipole particles in a cholesteric liquid crystal and changing of the character of the interaction in a smactic liquid crystal has been predicted.

PACS numbers: 82.70.Dd, 61.30.Dk

Colloidal suspensions in liquid-crystal hosts constitute a new class of composite materials with unique physical properties [1] which are very different from usual colloids [2]. Particles, suspended in a liquid crystal host, produce the director field distortions, which, in turn, give rise to interparticle interactions that do not occur in usual colloids [3]. These long-range anisotropic interactions in liquid crystals lead to formation of different structures such as linear [5, 6] and inclined [7–9] chains. Particles at a nematic-air interface as well as quasi two-dimensional colloids in thin nematic cells form a rich variety of 2D crystals [10–12]. Recently, authors of [13] observed 3D crystal structures. The understanding of the elasticity-mediated interactions is quite important to predict and control properties and behavior of materials based on liquid crystal colloids. Such interactions is of great importance also in various processes in more complex media with orientation order, such as solutions of DNA and other biologically relevant molecules.

Colloidal particles break the continuous symmetry the distribution of the director field which may be accompanied by topological defects [4, 6, 14]. Despite such a complex director field distribution every particle can be effectively presented as a point-like source of the deformations of monopole, dipole and quadrupole type [14]-[20] with formal analogy with classical electrostatics. This fact became a starting point for a number of approaches toward the theory of nematic liquid crystal colloids [14],[15]. This paper focused on character of the interaction energy between particles immersed in the different liquid crystals. To describe the interaction between the colloidal particles can apply the method developed in [15, 16, 21] for nematic hosts and unified with general symmetry approach to determination of the possible deformation in different liquid crystals. This claim imposes restrictions on the possible deformation of initial state of a liquid crystals which contribute to the interaction of the particles.

In presented concept the interaction are result of the summation of the deformation of elastic director field which produce the surface of every particle for different boundary conditions. The character of such interaction directly depend from breaking symmetry in the distribution of the director field around particles. The possible deformation of the director field depend from shape of the particles, anchoring energy and boundary condition at its surface. Presence or absent the topological singularity around the particle depend only from the anchoring strength. The surface of particles break the initial symmetry of the director field. This symmetry of the deformation are main motive for the character of the interaction at the far distance [15],[16]. Interact the particle with as the weak as the strong anchoring conditions, but in first case this interaction are smallest as in case the strong anchoring. The interact not the immersed particle, interact the deformation area which produce the surface of the particle. The particle are grain or the source of the deformation and the character of the interaction at the far distance depend only from the symmetry of this produced deformation [16]. As example in article [22] was obtained the interaction between a colloidal particle and a focused laser beam in a nematic liquid crystal. In the article [23] was presented the experimental study of the nematic force of the interaction of a colloidal particle with a disclination line. Was show that the electrostatic analogy at large distances is basically correct but incomplete since the elastic potential of a disclination line exhibits a lower symmetry than its electrostatic analogue. The using the electrostatic analogy help only to understanding the possible form of the interaction energy but is not valid for general case. The main idea are in the presentation of interaction between particles immersed in different liquid crystal as interaction between possible deformation of ground state, which produced the surface of the particles.

Our consideration can start from the bulk elastic free energy for different liquid crystals. The elastic bulk free

energy of nematic and smectic liquid crystals within the one constant approximation can present in the following form

$$F_{\text{def}} = \frac{K}{2} \int dV [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 + (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})^2], \quad (1)$$

where  $K$  is the Frank elastic constant. In the case cholesteric liquid crystal should be supplemented an additional term,

$$F_{\text{ch}} = Kq \int dV (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{n}) \quad (2)$$

favoring molecular twist, where  $q = \frac{2\pi}{p}$  is wave number which determined through the pitch  $p$  of twisted structure of the ground state. The cholesteric elastic free energy for long-range deformation are same as in the case smectic liquid crystal with the layered structures, where the layer presented the thickness of pitch [29].

In all liquid crystal the ground state have different symmetry but have same description. Can introduce the general axis along this natural structure. In a nematic liquid crystal it is the optic axis, in a smectic liquid crystal it is the axis perpendicular to the layers and in a cholesteric liquid crystal it is the axis of the pitch. This natural axis we can note as OZ axis. The other direction are perpendicular to this axis and note as  $\perp$  direction. In all case the ground state the nonuniform director field can present as  $n^0(\mathbf{r}) = (\mathbf{n}_{\perp}^0, n_z^0)$  and exist only one component  $n^0(\mathbf{r}) = (0, 1)$  for nematic and smectic liquid crystal and  $n^0(\mathbf{r}) = (1, 0)$  for the cholesteric liquid crystal, but in last case  $\mathbf{n}_{\perp}^0 = (n_x^0, n_y^0) = (\cos qz, \sin qz)$  in usual coordinate system.

The deformations which produce a single immersed particle arise as break the symmetry of such ground state. At the short distance the deformation of ground state are impossible obtained, because the elastic matter are nonlinearity after the natural condition  $\mathbf{n}^2(\mathbf{r}) = 1$ . The topology of distribution of the director field needer the particle depend from the strength of anchoring energy. The deviation from the symmetry of the ground state can be determine at the far distance if introduce the concept of deformation coat around the particle [16]. In this concept the deformation coat include all possible nonlinearity and defect structure around the particle. At the far distance we can determine all possible small deformation of the director field which take into account the breaking symmetry of the distribution director field on the short distance from particle [15, 16]. In this approach can use only small deformation of director field which depend from the geometrical shape of particle or the deformation coat.

The every immersed particle by the shape and the boundary condition on the surface produce the deformation of the ground state  $\mathbf{n}_0$  which are small everywhere at the far distance :  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{n}_0 + \delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $|\delta\mathbf{n}| \ll 1$  and  $\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ . Can introduce the same component of the deformation of the director field as follows  $\delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = (\delta\mathbf{n}_{\perp}, \delta n_z)$ . In the case of nematic and smectic liquid crystals we have only  $\delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = (\delta\mathbf{n}_{\perp}, 0)$  and in the case cholesteric liquid crystal we have components  $\delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = (\delta\mathbf{n}_{\perp}, \delta n_z)$ . For description deformation in different liquid crystal was introduce the different additional variables. In the case nematic liquid crystal  $\delta\mathbf{n}_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) = (\delta n_x, \delta n_y)$  in the case smectic liquid crystal  $\delta\mathbf{n}_{\perp} = -\nabla_{\perp} u$ , where  $u(\mathbf{r})$  is displacement of the layer and i.e.,  $\delta n_x = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ,  $\delta n_y = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  [30]. Definition  $\nabla_{\perp}$  is the gradient operator in the  $x - y$  plane along layer. In the case cholesteric liquid crystal  $\delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = (-u \sin qz, u \cos qz, v)$  where  $u(\mathbf{r})$  and  $v(\mathbf{r})$  describe the umbrella and azimuthal deformation of ground state.

In this notation of the deformation of the ground state can present the bulk free energy in the case nematic liquid crystal in the simple form

$$F_{N\text{bulk}} = \frac{K}{2} \int dV (\nabla \delta n_{\perp})^2 \quad (3)$$

Phenomenologically the free energy of a smectic liquid crystal within the continuous approximation can be written in the following harmonic representation [30]

$$F_{S\text{bulk}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} [B(\nabla_z u)^2 + K(\nabla_{\perp}^2 u)^2], \quad (4)$$

where  $B$  is a compression modulus and  $K$  is the splay elastic constant. Between compression modulus and elastic constant can introduce the relation  $B = \frac{K}{\lambda^2}$  where  $\lambda$  is characteristic length, typically of order of the layer spacing. The second part this free energy came from Frank splay elastic energy which same as nematic liquid crystal and first part take into account only possible compression layers. The nonlinear part of the free energy, neglected in bulk free energy for small deformation [30]. In the terms of small  $u(\mathbf{r})$  and  $v(\mathbf{r})$  the bulk free energy of cholesteric liquid crystal can be present as:

$$F_{Ch\text{bulk}} = \frac{K}{2} \int dV \left[ (\nabla v)^2 + (\nabla u)^2 + q^2 v^2 + q \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} u \right) \cos qz + q \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} u \right) \sin qz \right], \quad (5)$$

which for  $q = 0$  completely reproduces the bulk free energy of a nematic liquid crystals [21, 25]. If neglect [25] the influence of the off-diagonal part which can not change the character of the interaction between particles, the bulk free energy of cholesteric liquid crystal can present in the simples form

$$F_{Chbulk} = \frac{K}{2} \int dV [(\nabla v)^2 + (\nabla u)^2 + q^2 v^2], \quad (6)$$

The possible deformation of the elastic director field produce the surface of every particle. The surface free energy independence from type of liquid crystal and is given by the well-known Rapini-Popular form

$$F_s = \sum_p \oint ds W(\mathbf{s})(\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}))^2. \quad (7)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is the unit normal at the point  $\mathbf{s}$  on the surface of the  $p$ -th particle. In the case of homeotropic anchoring, the anchoring strength  $W(s)$  is negative and is positive for planar anchoring. Due to the anchoring on its surface every particle gives rise to the distortions of the liquid crystal ordering. These distortions, in turn, depend on the particle shape as well as on the anchoring strength. We restrict ourselves to the case of weak anchoring  $\frac{WR}{K} \ll 1$ , where  $R$  is the characteristic size of the particle. In the case of strong anchoring can use the same representation of a source of the deformations if take into account the director distribution around a single particle [19, 21, 24, 25]. Therefore, hereinafter we suppose that the director deviations from its ground state  $\mathbf{n}_0$  are small everywhere. Under these conditions the director field  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$  is defined and continuous through out the system, even within the particles. Then the relevant terms in  $F_s$  are first order in  $\delta\mathbf{n}$  and can rewrite in the form

$$F_s \simeq 2 \sum_p \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \{ \boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_0(\mathbf{s}) \} \{ \boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}) \} = 2 \sum_p \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \{ \boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{n}_0(\mathbf{r}_p) \} \{ \boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) \delta\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}_p) \} \quad (8)$$

where operator  $\hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) = [1 + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla) + (1/2)(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla)(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla)]$  determine slowly spatial dependence as ground state as the deformation of director field,  $\boldsymbol{\rho}$  present the radius vector to surface point from spatial point of particle position  $\mathbf{r}_p$  [15, 16]. In the case of nematic and smectic liquid crystals the  $\mathbf{n}_0(\mathbf{s})$  are not depend from spatial position of the particle and we can take the director field in nonuniform form, but in the case cholesteric liquid crystal the ground state is depend from spatial position and only in this case we should be take into account the presented expression for determination director field on the surface of the single particle. In all case can represent  $F_s$  in the following compact form

$$F_s = \sum_p \hat{A}_p^i \delta\mathbf{n}_i(\mathbf{r}_p) \quad (9)$$

where operator  $\hat{A}_p^i$  is determined by the particle shape [15, 16] and the director ground state  $\hat{A}_p^i = \oint ds W(\mathbf{s})(\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) \mathbf{n}_0(\mathbf{r}_p) \boldsymbol{\nu}_i \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}))$ . Such a treatment of the surface energy represents a powerful technique as it allows us to extract the far-field interaction the colloidal particle of the arbitrary shape.

The distortion profile that minimizes the elastic energy in the presence of the particles can be determined from the following Euler-Lagrange equations  $\frac{\delta(F_{bulk} + F_s)}{\delta(\delta\mathbf{n}_\perp)} = \frac{\delta(F_{bulk} + F_s)}{\delta(\delta\mathbf{n}_z)} = 0$ . Solutions to these equations can be written via appropriate the Green functions  $\delta\mathbf{n}_\perp = -\frac{1}{4\pi K} \sum_p \int d\mathbf{r}' \hat{A}_p^\perp \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') G_\perp(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$   $\delta\mathbf{n}_z = -\frac{1}{4\pi K} \sum_p \int d\mathbf{r}' \hat{A}_p^z \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') G_z(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$  where  $G_\perp$  and  $G_z$  is Green function the Euler-Lagrange equation for respective deformation director field. Due to the linearity of the Euler-Lagrange equations we can use the superposition principle for the system of many colloidal particles. That is, the director field distortions are the sum of distortions caused by every single particle. Substituting solutions into the free energy and implying the superposition principle we come to the fact that  $F_{bulk} + F_s = \sum_{p>p'} U_{p,p'} + \sum_p U_p$ , where  $U_p$  is the self-energy of the  $p$ -th particle and  $U_{p,p'}$  is the energy of the interaction between the  $p$ -th and  $p'$ -th particles [15, 16, 21, 25]

$$U_{p,p'} = -\frac{1}{4\pi K} \left[ \hat{A}_p^\perp \hat{A}_{p'}^\perp G_\perp(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') + \hat{A}_p^z \hat{A}_{p'}^z G_z(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \right] \quad (10)$$

This expression is the most general representation of the pair interaction energy in different liquid crystal colloids. Next can consider of the particles interaction in different liquid crystal.

In the case nematic liquid crystal we have only one component of deformation director field  $\delta\mathbf{n}_\perp$ . The surface energy take the form  $F_s = \sum_p A_\perp^p \delta\mathbf{n}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_p)$  where  $A_\perp^p = 2 \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \boldsymbol{\nu}_z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) = 2 \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \boldsymbol{\nu}_z \boldsymbol{\nu}_\perp (1 + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla) + (1/2)(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla)(\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \nabla))$  which can be presented in the form multiple expansion  $A_\perp^p = (q_{z,\perp} + p_{z,z,\perp} \cdot \nabla z + (1/2)Q_{z,z,z,\perp} \nabla^2 z)$ . Every

introducing multiple coefficient presented through the integral over surface of particle :  $q_{z,\perp} = 2 \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \nu_z \nu_\perp$  ,  $p_{z,z,\perp} = 2 \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \nu_z \nu_\perp \rho_z$  and  $Q_{z,z,z,\perp} = \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \nu_z \nu_\perp \rho_z \rho_z$  and independence from any other condition, only from its shape. If take into account the Euler-Lagrange equation in the form  $\Delta n_\perp = \sum_p A_\perp^p$  , as well as in classical electrostatics, solutions of these equations can be expanded in presented multiples  $n_\perp(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q_{z,\perp}}{r} + \frac{p_{z,z,\perp}}{r^3} + \frac{Q_{z,z,z,\perp}}{r^5} + \dots$  which is valid at large distances. Hence, the long-range interactions in NLC colloids are always controlled by multiple coefficients. This presentation take place that the Euler-Lagrange equation have the same form as equation for electrostatic potential. From this presentation can obtain the interaction energy for particles. The considered ground state of nematic liquid crystal let make the next conclusion, that the Coulomb like interaction can be exist if the surface breaking the all elements of symmetry of continuous distribution director field [16]. The dipole moment appear if break the horizontal elements of symmetry and quadrupole moment exist for the presence as horizontal as vertical elements of symmetry on the deformation area which produce the surface of the particles or the deformation coat [16]. We have only one dipole moment, which can be presented as two component which related to usual coordinate system. The real dipole moment are parallel to director field of the ground state.

In the case of smectic liquid crystal in the term introducing new variable which determine the displacement of the layer the surface energy in general it can be done in the following form  $F_s = \sum_p \hat{A}_p(\mathbf{r}) u(\mathbf{r}_p)$  where operator  $\hat{A}_p(\mathbf{r})$  is determined by the shape of the  $p$ -th particle. In the case of particles with arbitrary shape and weak anchoring  $F_s = - \sum_p (q_{z,\perp} + p_{z,z,\perp} \cdot \nabla z + (1/2) Q_{z,z,z,\perp} \nabla^2 z) \nabla_\perp u$  [19, 21, 24, 25]. where deformation charge  $q_{z,\perp}$  dipole  $p_{z,z,\perp}$  and quadrupole  $Q_{z,z,z,\perp} \nabla^2 z$  have the same presentation as in the case of nematic liquid crystal. From this presentation we can conclude that the particle which produce the deformation charge will be interact as dipole particle and particle which have the dipole moment have only quadrupole interaction between such particle. For asymmetric particle the deformation charge absent and first multiple expansion will be start from dipole-dipole interaction, but in smectic liquid crystal this interaction have the quadrupole type.

The distortion profile that minimizes the elastic energy in the presence of the particles can be determined from the Euler-Lagrange equation can determine Fourier presentation of displacement  $u(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{2}{B} \sum_p \frac{\hat{A}_p^*(\mathbf{q})}{[q_z^2 + \lambda^2 q_\perp^4]}$  where  $\hat{A}_p(\mathbf{q})$  is the Fourier representation of operator  $\hat{A}_p(\mathbf{r})$ . Substituting the solution into the free energy of the system one can easily find the interaction energy between  $p$ -th and  $p'$ -th particles in the form  $U_{pp'}^0 = \frac{1}{\pi^3 B} \hat{A}_p \hat{A}_{p'} \partial_\perp \partial'_\perp \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}}}{q_z^2 + \lambda^2 q_\perp^4}$ , where  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_p - \mathbf{r}_{p'}$  denotes the interparticle distance. From this presentation can conclude that the interaction energy through the introducing moment of electrostatic analogy but the Green function in this case have the other presentation and not related with this analogy.

We can note about the principal moment of motivation general character of interaction between particles in different liquid crystal with presented structure of ground state of the distribution of elastic director field. In this case cholesteric liquid crystal the Green functions for both introducing variable have the analogy with electrostatic, usual Coulomb Green function for  $u(\mathbf{r})$  variable  $G_u(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}$ , and screening Coulomb Green function  $G_v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \exp(q|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)$  for variable  $v(\mathbf{r})$ . But in this case we have problem with interpretation the source free energy, which can be presented only in the combination of introducing constant as deformation charge, dipole and quadrupole moment. In the case of cholesteric liquid crystal this moments are not constant and depended from spatial point. In the case of bigger particles, when take into account the distribution director field on the surface of every particle should be consider the next presentation of the surface free energy  $F_s = 2 \sum_p \oint ds W(\mathbf{s}) \nu_\perp \mathbf{n}_\perp^0(\mathbf{r}_p) \{ \nu_\perp \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) \delta \mathbf{n}_\perp(\mathbf{r}_p) + \nu_z \hat{L}(\mathbf{s}) \delta n_z(\mathbf{r}_p) \}$  from which can see that in the cholesteric liquid crystal can exist two deformation charge and exist the Coulomb like interaction for bigger particle with dipolar configuration of the ground state. For small particle this effect absent.

Two particles suspended in a liquid crystals attract or repel each other due to an overlapping of the director field deformations they produce. Within the continuous description of liquid crystal ordering only the distortions which produce the symmetry of ground state of distribution of the director field can exist. Using this suggestion we derived the interaction potential valid for colloidal particles of different shapes. In presented approach can determine a character of the interaction energy for different symmetry of ground state. The range of the potential matches with the decay length of the elastic deformations and the interaction is sufficient for the formation of various structures, which can be observed experimentally. The effective pair potentials based on the linear theory of elasticity are restricted to the case of small deviations from a ground state of the liquid crystal but have the general presentation and prediction.

Author is grateful to the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) for the financial support to stay at National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) and to carry out this work there.

[1] H. Stark, Phys. Rep. **351**, 387 (2001).

[2] W. B. Russel, D. A. Saville and W. R. Schowalter, *Colloidal Dispersions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989

- [3] Jun-ichi Fukuda, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan, **78**, 041003 (2009)
- [4] H. Stark, Eur. Phys. J.B. **10**, 311 (1999)
- [5] P. Poulin, H. Stark, T. C. Lubensky and D. A. Weitz, Science **275**, 1770 (1997).
- [6] P. Poulin and D. A. Weitz, Phys.Rev. E **57**, 626 (1998).
- [7] I. I. Smalyukh, O. D. Lavrentovich, A. N. Kuzmin, A. V. Kachynski and P. N. Prasad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 157801 (2005)
- [8] I. I. Smalyukh, A. N. Kuzmin, A. V. Kachynski, P. N. Prasad and O. D. Lavrentovich, Appl. Phys. Lett. **86**, 021913 (2005).
- [9] J. Kotar, M. Vilfan, N. Osterman, D. Babič, M. Čopič and I. Poberaj, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 207801 (2006)
- [10] V. Nazarenko, A. Nych and B. Lev, Phys.Rev.Lett. **87**, 075504 (2001).
- [11] I. I. Smalyukh, S. Chernyshuk, B. I. Lev, A. B. Nych, U.Ognysta, V.G. Nazarenko, and O. D. Lavrentovich, Phys. Rev. Lett.**93**, 117801 (2004).
- [12] I. Mušević, M. Škarabot, U.Tkalec, M.Ravnik and S.Žumer, Science **313**, 954 (2006).
- [13] A. Nych, U. Ognysta, M. Skarabot, M.Ravnik, S.Zumer and I. Musevic, Nature Communications **4**, 1489 (2013).
- [14] T. C. Lubensky, D. Pettey, N. Currier and H. Stark, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 610 (1998).
- [15] B. I. Lev and P. M. Tomchuk, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 591 (1999).
- [16] B. I. Lev, S. B. Chernyshuk, P. M. Tomchuk and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 021709 (2002).
- [17] K. Aoki, B.I. Lev and H. Yokoyama. Journal Phys. Condense matter,**12**, 041703 (2004).
- [18] J. Fukuda, B. I. Lev and H. Yokoyama, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, 3841 (2003).
- [19] J. Fukuda, K. Aoki, B. I. Lev and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 051711 (2002).
- [20] J. Fukuda, B. I. Lev and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 031710 (2002)
- [21] S. B. Chernyshuk and B. I. Lev, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 041701 (2010).
- [22] B. Lev, A. Nych, U. Ognysta, S.B. Chernyshuk, V. Nazarenko, M. M. Škarabot, I. Poberaj, D. Babič. N. Osterman, and I. Mušević, Eur. Phys. J. E **20**, 215 (2006)
- [23] D. Pires, J-B. Fleury, and Y. Galerne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 247801 (2007)
- [24] J. Fukuda and S. Žumer, Phys. Rev. E **79**, 041703 (2009).
- [25] S. B. Chernyshuk and B. I. Lev, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 011707 (2011).
- [26] B. I. Lev, Jun-ichi Fukuda, O. M. Tovkach and S. B. Chernyshuk, Phys. Rev. E **to be publish** (2013).
- [27] O. M. Tovkach, S. B. Chernyshuk, and B. I. Lev, Phys. Rev.E **86**, 061703 (2012).
- [28] M. Vilfan, N. Osterman, M. Čopič, M. Ravnik , S. Žumer, J. Kotar, D. Babič and I. Poberaj, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 237801 (2008).
- [29] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost. The Physics of Liquid Crystals (2ed.,Clarendon Press, Oxford,1993).
- [30] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, Principles of condensed matter physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,1995).