

EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS WITH VANISHING CONDITION

FRIEDRICH LITTMANN AND MARK SPANIER

ABSTRACT. For a Hermite-Biehler function E of mean type τ we determine the optimal (with respect to the de Branges measure of E) majorant M_E^+ and minorant M_E^- of exponential type τ for the truncation of $x \mapsto (x^2 + a^2)^{-1}$. We prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(M_E^+(x) - M_E^-(x) \right) |E(x)|^{-2} dx = \frac{1}{a^2 K(0, 0)}$$

where K is the reproducing kernel for the de Branges space $\mathcal{H}(E)$. As an application we determine the optimal majorant and minorant for the Heaviside function that vanish at a fixed point $\alpha = ia$ on the imaginary axis. We show that the difference of majorant and minorant has integral value $(\pi a - \tanh(\pi a))^{-1} \pi a$.

1. RESULTS

Let $\delta \geq 0$. We say that an entire function F is of exponential type $\delta \geq 0$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|F(z)| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{(\delta+\varepsilon)|z|}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and we write $\mathcal{A}(\delta)$ for the class of entire functions of exponential type δ .

Let μ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . We write $\mathcal{A}_p(\delta, \mu)$ for the space of functions $F \in \mathcal{A}(\delta)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |F(x)|^p d\mu(x) < \infty,$$

and we write $\mathcal{A}_p(\delta)$ if μ is Lebesgue measure.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable and of polynomial growth, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Consider the class $\mathcal{F}_+ = \mathcal{F}_+(f, \alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\delta)$ of entire functions F satisfying

- (i) $F(x) \geq f(x)$ for all real x ,
- (ii) $F(\alpha) = 0$,
- (iii) $\|F - f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$.

Assume that $\mathcal{F}_+ \neq \emptyset$. We seek to find $F^+ \in \mathcal{F}_+$ such that the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (F(x) - F^+(x)) dx \geq 0 \tag{1.1}$$

holds for all $F \in \mathcal{F}_+$. If F^+ exists, we call it an *extremal majorant of f (of type δ) with vanishing at α* . The class $\mathcal{F}_-(f, \alpha)$ is defined analogously by reversing the inequalities in (i) and (1.1); the corresponding optimal functions are called *extremal minorants with vanishing at α* . We note that condition (ii) implies $F(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$.

Date: March 23, 2022.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A30, 41A52. Secondary 41A05, 41A44, 42A82.

Key words and phrases. Exponential type, bandlimited functions, best one-sided approximation, de Branges space, Hermite-Biehler entire function.

This extremal problem was first considered in [14] for the function

$$f(x) = \chi_{[\beta, \gamma]}(x)$$

with $\beta < \gamma$. M. Kelly found non-optimal functions in $\mathcal{F}_+(\chi_{[\beta, \gamma]}, \alpha)$ and obtained bounds for $\|F^\pm - \chi_{[\beta, \gamma]}\|_1$ as a function of δ . This choice of f is quite natural, since the extremal functions satisfying (i) and (1.1) have found frequent applications in analytic number theory [10, 23, 26] and signal processing [9].

The condition (ii) may allow applications to certain explicit formulas for L -functions. We briefly describe the setup. An L -function $s \mapsto L(\chi, s)$ is given by

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

where χ is a Dirichlet character. The generalized Riemann hypothesis states that the zeros of L in $0 < \Re s < 1$ all lie on the line $\Re s = 1/2$. An explicit formula is an identity that relates a series involving values $L(\chi, \rho)h(\rho)$ where $L(\chi, \rho) = 0$ and h is a smooth function to values of the Fourier transform of h . Under assumption of GRH and writing $f(t) = L(\chi, \frac{1}{2} + it)$ the series involves only real values t , and h is frequently chosen to be a solution to the above extremal problem. Without assumption of GRH the series will involve non-real values of t , and an extremal function satisfying (ii) may be used to eliminate the contribution of such a zero.

The results in this paper are an attempt to understand how the vanishing condition affects the construction of extremal functions. For this purpose we consider $\alpha = ia$ with $a > 0$ and approximate the cutoff function x_+^0 defined by

$$x_+^0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We find the extremal majorant and minorant $F^\pm \in \mathcal{F}_\pm(x_+^0, ia)$ of type 2π . The results, given in Theorem 2 below, are obtained by changing measure and function in such a way that condition (ii) may be dropped. This is possible since F^+ and F^- are necessarily of the form

$$F^\pm(z) = G^\pm(z)(z^2 + a^2)$$

for some entire functions $G^\pm \in \mathcal{A}(\delta)$. Hence, defining t_a by

$$t_a(x) = \begin{cases} (x^2 + a^2)^{-1} & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

we seek to find $G^+, G^- \in \mathcal{A}(\delta)$ such that $G^-(x) \leq t_a(x) \leq G^+(x)$ for all real x , and the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G^+(x) - G^-(x))(x^2 + a^2)dx$$

is minimal. This type of problem has been frequently investigated. An early result is the solution by Beurling [2] and independently by Selberg [23, Chapter 20] for the signum function, cf. the account in Vaaler [26]. Further references to recent developments can be found in [8]. For the related subject of one-sided polynomial approximation to the signum function see Bustamante *et.al.* [3], while the best one-sided approximations to characteristic functions by trigonometric polynomials were found recently by Babenko *et.al.* [1]. For the best approximation without constraints we refer to Ganzburg [11]. For weighted polynomial approximation see

Lubinsky [19, 20]. For general facts regarding best approximation we refer to the books of Korneichuk [16] and Pinkus [21].

In Theorem 1 we solve the extremal problem for t_a with respect to a large class of measures. In order to state this result we require some terminology from the theory of de Branges Hilbert spaces of entire functions. We refer to [4] and [13] for proofs of the following facts.

For any entire function E we use the notation $E^*(z) = \overline{E(\bar{z})}$. An entire function E satisfying the inequality

$$|E(z)| > |E^*(z)| \quad (1.2)$$

for all z with $\Im z > 0$ will be called a *Hermite-Biehler* (HB) function. An analytic function F defined in the upper half plane \mathbb{C}^+ is said to have bounded type, if F is the quotient of two bounded functions. The number $v(F)$ defined by

$$v(F) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow \infty} y^{-1} \log |F(iy)|$$

is called the mean type of F . If F has bounded type in \mathbb{C}^+ , then its mean type is finite.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}(E)$ the vector space of entire functions F such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)/E(x)|^2 dx < \infty$$

and the functions F/E and F^*/E have bounded type and nonpositive mean type in \mathbb{C}^+ . It is a Hilbert space with scalar product

$$\langle F, G \rangle_E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)G^*(x)|E(x)|^{-2} dx.$$

We define $A = (1/2)(E + E^*)$ and $B = (i/2)(E - E^*)$. A fundamental result of de Branges from the 1960's is the recognition that this space is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space; we briefly sketch the argument. It follows from (1.2) that E has no zeros in the open upper half plane, and it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ that F/E and F^*/E have no zeros in an open set containing the closed upper half plane. The condition that F/E and F^*/E have non-positive mean type implies that the Cauchy integral formula for the upper half plane holds for F/E and F^*/E (e.g., [4, Theorem 12], note also that Cauchy formulas for F/E^* and F^*/E^* in the lower half plane hold), and it follows with an elementary calculation that $\mathcal{H}(E)$ has the reproducing kernel K given by

$$K(w, z) = \frac{B(z)A(\bar{w}) - A(z)B(\bar{w})}{\pi(z - \bar{w})} \quad (1.3)$$

for $z \neq \bar{w}$.

The prototypical example of a de Branges space is the classical Paley-Wiener space of square integrable functions of finite exponential type at most τ . In this case $E(z) = e^{-i\tau z}$, and the condition that F/E and F^*/E have non-positive mean type implies that F and F^* grow no faster than E , i.e., this condition is essentially equivalent to the statement that F has exponential type τ . The reproducing kernel for this space is the familiar sinc function

$$(z, w) \mapsto \frac{\sin \tau(z - \bar{w})}{\pi(z - \bar{w})}.$$

The classical proof that this is a reproducing kernel uses Fourier analysis, but this can also be shown using a contour integration argument. It is the latter proof that generalizes to the setting of de Branges spaces.

We require the following conditions for E :

- (I) E is of bounded type in \mathbb{C}^+ with mean type τ ,
- (II) E has no real zeros,
- (III) $E^*(z) = E(-z)$ for all z ,
- (IV) $B \notin \mathcal{H}(E)$.

These are technical assumptions; we return to these properties in Section 3, where we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a HB-function satisfying conditions (I) – (IV). If $F^+, F^- \in \mathcal{A}(2\tau)$ with*

$$F^-(x) \leq t_a(x) \leq F^+(x) \quad (1.4)$$

for all real x , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (F^+(x) - F^-(x)) \frac{dx}{|E(x)|^2} \geq \frac{1}{a^2 K(0, 0)}, \quad (1.5)$$

and there exist functions $T_a^\pm \in \mathcal{A}(2\tau)$ satisfying (1.4) such that there is equality in (1.5) for $F^+ = T_a^+$ and $F^- = T_a^-$.

We consider now the problem of finding the extremal functions with vanishing at $\alpha = ia$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. In order to apply Theorem 1 we require a Hermite-Biehler function E_a satisfying (I) - (IV) such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{F(x)}{E_a(x)} \right|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)|^2 (x^2 + a^2) dx$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{A}_2(\pi, (x^2 + a^2)dx)$. We shall prove in Theorem 13 that E_a defined by

$$E_a(z) = \left(\frac{2}{\sinh(2\pi a)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sin \pi(z + ia)}{z + ia}. \quad (1.6)$$

has this property. Theorem 1 becomes applicable and we obtain the following result for extremal functions with vanishing at $\alpha = ia$.

Theorem 2. *Let $a > 0$. If $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$ with*

$$S(ia) = T(ia) = 0$$

and

$$S(x) \leq x_+^0 \leq T(x)$$

for all real x , then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S(x) - T(x)) dx \geq \frac{\pi a}{\pi a - \tanh(\pi a)}, \quad (1.7)$$

and there exist $S_a^+, S_a^- \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$ such that there is equality in (1.7) for $S = S_a^-$ and $T = S_a^+$.

It is instructive to consider the corresponding integral for the extremal functions of type $2\pi\delta$. To find these values, we temporarily set $a = b\delta$. Then the extremal functions $F^\pm \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$ with $F(ib\delta) = 0$ satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F^+(x) - F^-(x))dx = \frac{\pi b\delta}{\pi b\delta - \tanh(\pi b\delta)}.$$

We note that the functions F_δ^\pm given by $F_\delta^\pm(z) = F^\pm(\delta z)$ are the extremal functions of type $2\pi\delta$ with $F_\delta^\pm(ib) = 0$, and a change of variable gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_\delta^+(x) - F_\delta^-(x))dx = \frac{\pi b}{\pi b\delta - \tanh(\pi b\delta)}.$$

This implies that for fixed b and $\delta \rightarrow \infty$ the integral is $\sim \delta^{-1}$, while for $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ the integral is $\sim 3(\pi b)^{-2}\delta^{-3}$. In [26, Theorem 8] the corresponding extremal problem for the signum function without the vanishing condition is treated. The integral value is shown to be equal to δ^{-1} . This shows that the prescribed vanishing at $\alpha = ib$ substantially affects the integral value for small values of δ , but the vanishing condition leads only to a small change if δ becomes large.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give a general method to construct entire functions M^\pm that interpolate t_a at the zeros of a given Laguerre-Pólya entire function F , and we prove that

$$x \mapsto F(x) (M^\pm(x) - t_a(x))$$

is of one sign for all real x . These functions serve as candidates for the extremal functions in the approximation results of the subsequent sections. We prove Theorem 1 in Section 3. In Section 4 we investigate the Hermite-Biehler function E_a from (1.6) and prove Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions.

2. INTERPOLATION AT ZEROS OF LP-FUNCTIONS

For certain discrete subsets $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}$ we show how to construct entire functions $F \in \mathcal{A}(2\tau)$ which interpolate t_a at the elements of \mathcal{T} (with prescribed multiplicity) so that $F - t_a$ has no sign changes between consecutive elements of \mathcal{T} . This is the basis for the construction of the extremal functions in Theorems 1 and 2.

The interpolation theorems of this section are based on the representation

$$\frac{a}{z^2 + a^2} = \int_0^\infty e^{-z\lambda} \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda,$$

valid for all z with $\Re z > 0$. The analogues of the crucial inequalities in Theorems 9 and 10 below for the function $x \mapsto e^{-x\lambda} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(x)$ are known for every $\lambda > 0$ (cf. [7]), but the fact that $\sin(a\lambda) d\lambda$ is a signed measure introduces additional difficulties.

Definition 3. The Laguerre-Pólya class LP consists of all entire functions of the form

$$F(z) = C e^{-\gamma z^2 + bz} z^\kappa \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_k}\right) e^{z/a_k} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_0$, b, C, a_k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) are real, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_k^2} < \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

We denote by \mathcal{T}_F the set of zeros of F . If the set of zeros is bounded above, we include $\infty \in \mathcal{T}_F$, if the set is bounded below, we include $-\infty \in \mathcal{T}_F$.

For $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{T}_F$ we define

$$g_c(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{e^{st}}{F(s)} ds, \quad (2.3)$$

if this integral converges absolutely. (This is the case if $\gamma > 0$ or if F has at least two zeros.) Let τ_1 and τ_2 be the consecutive elements from \mathcal{T}_F that satisfy $\tau_1 < c < \tau_2$. A Fourier inversion shows that

$$\frac{1}{F(z)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-zt} g_c(t) dt \quad (2.4)$$

in the strip $\tau_1 < \Re z < \tau_2$. An application of the residue theorem shows that $g_c = g_d$ for $c, d \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$.

As part of a series of papers on total positivity, I.J. Schoenberg [24] gave an intrinsic characterization of the functions g that may occur as Laplace inverse transformations of LP functions. We require estimates that can be found in [12].

Lemma 4. *Let $F \in LP$ have at least $n + 2$ zeros counted with multiplicity, and let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}_F$ with $0 \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$. Then $g_0^{(k)}$ exists for $k \leq n$ and has at most k sign changes on the real line.*

Proof. This is [12, Chapter IV, Theorem 5.1]. \square

Lemma 5. *Let $F \in LP$ have at least two zeros. Let $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ be two consecutive elements in \mathcal{T}_F , and let $c \in (\tau_1, \tau_2)$. Then there exist polynomials P_n and Q_n such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |g_c^{(n)}(t)| &\leq |P_n(t)| e^{\tau_1 t} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \\ |g_c^{(n)}(t)| &\leq |Q_n(t)| e^{\tau_2 t} \text{ as } t \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Let $t > 0$. The estimate follows from (2.3) by moving the integration path to $\Re s = d$ with $d < \tau_1$ using the residue theorem, see, e.g., [12, Chapter V, Theorem 2.1]. For $t < 0$ the integration path is moved to $\Re s = d$ with $d > \tau_2$. \square

Lemma 6. *Let F be an even LP-function with a double zero at the origin and at least one positive zero τ . Assume that F is positive in $(0, \tau)$, and define $g = g_{\tau/2}$ by (2.3).*

- (1) *The derivatives g' and g'' exist and are nonnegative on the real line.*
- (2) *The function g'' is even.*
- (3) *If $-\tau < \Im \zeta < \tau$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda) \cos(\zeta \lambda) d\lambda = -\frac{\zeta^2}{F(i\zeta)}. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, F is real-valued on the imaginary axis.

(4) If $-\tau < \Im \zeta < \tau$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda + w) \sin(\zeta \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\zeta^2 \sin(\zeta w)}{F(i\zeta)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Since F has at least four zeros counted with multiplicity, (2.3) may be differentiated twice under the integral sign, which shows that g' and g'' exist. Two integration by parts show that

$$\frac{z^j}{F(z)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-zt} g^{(j)}(t) dt \quad (j \in \{0, 1, 2\}) \quad (2.8)$$

for all z with $0 < \Re z < \tau$. Since $z^{-j}F(z)$ is in LP for $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, Lemma 4 with $k = 0$ implies that $g^{(j)}$ has no sign changes on the real line. Evaluation of (2.8) at $z = \tau/2$ shows that these derivatives are nonnegative on the real line. Since $z^{-2}F(z)$ has no zero at the origin, (2.8) extends to $-\tau < \Re z < \tau$ for $j = 2$. Since $z^{-2}F(z)$ is an even function of z , (2.3) with $c = 0$ gives

$$g''(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(iu)^2 e^{iut}}{F(iu)} du$$

which implies that g'' is even.

Let ζ such that $-\tau < \Im \zeta < \tau$. Since (2.8) extends to $-\tau < \Re z < \tau$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda) \cos(\zeta \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(i\zeta)^2}{F(i\zeta)} + \frac{(-i\zeta)^2}{F(-i\zeta)} \right),$$

and (2.6) follows since F is even. Since $\lambda \mapsto g''(\lambda) \sin \zeta \lambda$ is odd, the trigonometric identity $\sin \zeta(\lambda - w) = \cos \zeta w \sin \zeta \lambda - \cos \zeta \lambda \sin \zeta w$ implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda) \sin \zeta(\lambda - w) d\lambda = -\sin \zeta w \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda) \cos \zeta \lambda d\lambda.$$

An application of (2.6) gives (2.7). \square

Define for positive a the function $h_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h_a(w) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 g(\lambda + w) \sin a\lambda d\lambda, \quad (2.9)$$

and note that (2.5) with $\tau_2 = \tau$ implies that $g(t)$ and $g'(t)$ decay exponentially as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Hence $h_a(w)$ is finite for every $w \in \mathbb{R}$, and an integration by parts gives for all real w

$$h_a(w) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 g'(\lambda + w)(1 - \cos a\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (2.10)$$

We require bounds for the derivatives of h_a and evaluations for special values.

Lemma 7. *Let $a > 0$. Let $F \in LP$ be even with a double zero at the origin, at least one positive zero τ , and at least five zeros (counted with multiplicity). Assume that F is positive in $(0, \tau)$, and define $g = g_{\tau/2}$ by (2.3).*

(1) *The inequalities*

$$0 \leq h_a^{(n)}(w) \leq \frac{2}{a} g^{(n)}(w) \quad (2.11)$$

hold for $n \in \{0, 1\}$ and all real w , and for $n = 2$ and $w \leq 0$.

(2) We have the representation

$$h'_a(0) = \frac{g'(0)}{a} + \frac{a}{2F(ia)}. \quad (2.12)$$

(3) For all real w we have

$$h''_a(w) - h''_a(-w) = -\sin(aw) \frac{a^2}{F(ia)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. Equation (2.10) implies for all n and all real w

$$h_a^{(n)}(w) = \int_{-\infty}^0 g^{(n+1)}(\lambda + w) \frac{1 - \cos(a\lambda)}{a} d\lambda.$$

The functions g , g' , and g'' are nonnegative on \mathbb{R} by Lemma 6, and g''' has exactly one change of sign on \mathbb{R} by Lemma 4 applied to g'' . Since g'' is even, the sign change is located at the origin. It follows that for all real w and $n \in \{0, 1\}$, as well as for $n = 2$ and $w \leq 0$,

$$0 \leq h_a^{(n)}(w) \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 g^{(n+1)}(\lambda + w) \frac{2}{a} d\lambda = \frac{2}{a} g^{(n)}(w),$$

which implies inequality (2.11).

To prove (2.12) we differentiate (2.9) and set $w = 0$ to get

$$h'_a(0) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 g'(\lambda) \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda.$$

We perform an integration by parts, apply that g'' is even, and use (2.6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h'_a(0) &= \frac{g'(0)}{a} - \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 g''(\lambda) \cos(a\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{g'(0)}{a} - \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda) \cos(a\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{g'(0)}{a} + \frac{a}{2F(ia)} \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of (2.12). Equations (2.9) and (2.7) give

$$\begin{aligned} h''_a(w) &= - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(\lambda + w) \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda + \int_0^{\infty} g''(\lambda + w) \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= -\sin(aw) \frac{a^2}{F(ia)} + h''_a(-w), \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.13). □

The next proposition investigates two interpolations of t_a in $\Re z \geq 0$ and $\Re z \leq \tau$, respectively, and shows that they are representations of a single entire function $z \mapsto A(F, a, z)$ which interpolates $x \mapsto t_a(x-)$ at the zeros of F . See [7] for a similar construction for the cutoff of an exponential function.

Proposition 8. *Let $a > 0$. Let $F \in LP$ be even with a double zero at the origin and at least one positive zero τ . Assume that F is positive in $(0, \tau)$, and define $g = g_{\tau/2}$ by (2.3) and h_a by (2.9). Define*

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(F, a, z) &= \frac{F(z)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 h_a(w) e^{-zw} dw \text{ for } \Re z < \tau \\ A_2(F, a, z) &= \frac{1}{z^2 + a^2} - \frac{F(z)}{a} \int_0^{\infty} h_a(w) e^{-zw} dw \text{ for } \Re z > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Then $z \mapsto A_1(F, a, z)$ is analytic in $\Re z < \tau$, $z \mapsto A_2(F, a, z)$ is analytic in $\Re z > 0$, and these functions are restrictions of an entire function $z \mapsto A(F, a, z)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ so that

$$|A(F, a, z)| \leq c(1 + |F(z)|) \quad (2.15)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Inequality (2.5) with $\tau_2 = \tau$ implies that $g'(t)$ decays exponentially as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Hence it follows from (2.10) that

$$0 \leq h_a(w) \leq \frac{2}{a} g(w) \quad (2.16)$$

for all real w , and (2.5) with $\tau_2 = \tau$ applied to g for $t \rightarrow -\infty$ implies that the integral defining $A_1(F, a, z)$ converges absolutely in $\Re z < \tau$. Inequality (2.5) implies with $\tau_1 = 0$ that g has polynomial growth on the positive real axis, hence the integral in the definition of $A_2(F, a, z)$ converges absolutely for $\Re z > 0$. It follows that A_1 and A_2 are analytic functions in $\Re z > \tau$ and $0 < \Re z$, respectively.

To prove that A_1 and A_2 are analytic continuations of each other it suffices to prove that they are equal in the strip $0 < \Re z < \tau$. Starting point is the identity

$$\frac{a}{z^2 + a^2} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-z\lambda} \sin a\lambda d\lambda, \quad (2.17)$$

valid for $\Re z > 0$. Combining this with (2.4) gives for $0 < \Re z < \tau$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z^2 + a^2} &= \frac{F(z)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-z(w+\lambda)} g(w) \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda dw \\ &= \frac{F(z)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zw} g(w-\lambda) \sin(a\lambda) d\lambda dw \\ &= \frac{F(z)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_a(w) e^{-zw} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this in (2.14) shows that $A_1(F, a, z) = A_2(F, a, z)$ for $0 < \Re z < \tau$. To prove (2.15) we note that inequality (2.16) implies in $\Re z \leq \tau/2$

$$|A(F, a, z)| \leq \frac{|F(z)|}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 |h_a(w) e^{-zw}| dw \leq \frac{2|F(z)|}{a^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 g(w) e^{-\tau w/2} dw, \quad (2.18)$$

and an analogous calculation holds in $\Re z \geq \tau/2$. \square

Starting with the function $A(F, a, z)$, we construct interpolations M^{\pm} of t_a that interpolate t_a at the zeros of F (with correct multiplicity) so that $M^{\pm} - t_a$ has no sign changes between two consecutive zeros of F . This is accomplished by selecting the value at the origin appropriately. We assume that $a > 0$, and that $F \in LP$ and

$\tau > 0$ satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 8. We define $z \mapsto M^+(F, a, z)$ and $z \mapsto M^-(F, a, z)$ by

$$M^-(F, a, z) = A(F, a, z) + \frac{h_a(0)}{a} \frac{F(z)}{z}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$M^+(F, a, z) = A(F, a, z) + \frac{h_a(0)}{a} \frac{F(z)}{z} + \frac{2g'(0)}{a^2} \frac{F(z)}{z^2} \quad (2.20)$$

where $A(F, a, z)$ is defined in (2.14). Evidently M^+ and M^- are entire functions. Recall that $\mathcal{T}_F \cap \mathbb{R}$ is the zero set of F . It is evident from the definitions that $M^\pm(F, a, \xi) = t_a(\xi)$ for all real $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_F \setminus \{0\}$. Since F has a double zero at the origin, we see that $M^-(F, a, 0) = 0$.

Since g'' is nonnegative and integrable on \mathbb{R} , (2.8) implies

$$\frac{2}{F''(0)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(w) dw.$$

As g'' is even and $g'(w)$ decays exponentially as $w \rightarrow -\infty$, we also have that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g''(w) dw = 2g'(0)$. Hence, $z^{-2}F(z) \rightarrow 1/(2g'(0))$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ and $M^+(F, a, 0) = 1/a^2$. This means that

$$M^\pm(F, a, \xi) = t_a(\xi \pm) \quad (2.21)$$

for all real $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_F$, where $t_a(\xi \pm)$ denotes the one sided limits at ξ .

Theorem 9. *Let $a > 0$. Let $F \in LP$ be even with a double zero at the origin and at least one positive zero τ . Assume that F is positive in $(0, \tau)$, and define $g = g_{\tau/2}$ by (2.3) and h_a by (2.9). If*

$$F(ia) < 0,$$

then

$$F(x) \{M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x)\} \geq 0 \quad (2.22)$$

for all real x .

Proof. Consider first $x < 0$. An expansion of the second term in (2.20) in a Laplace transform together with (2.14) gives

$$M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x) = \frac{F(x)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 (h_a(w) - h_a(0)) e^{-xw} dw + \frac{F(x)}{x^2} \frac{2g'(0)}{a^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

Two integration by parts and (2.12) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 (h_a(w) - h_a(0)) e^{-xw} dw &= \frac{1}{x^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 h_a''(w) e^{-wx} dx - \frac{h_a'(0)}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 h_a''(w) e^{-xw} dw - \frac{g'(0)}{ax^2} - \frac{a}{2x^2 F(ia)}, \end{aligned}$$

and inserting this in (2.23) gives

$$M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x) = \frac{F(x)}{x^2} \left(\frac{g'(0)}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2F(ia)} + \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 h_a''(w) e^{-xw} dw \right). \quad (2.24)$$

By assumption $-F(ia) > 0$, and (2.11) implies $h_a''(w) \geq 0$. Since by assumption $z^{-1}F(z)$ is a LP-function that is positive in $(0, \tau)$, it follows from Lemma 6 that $g'(0) > 0$. Hence (2.22) is shown for $x < 0$.

Let $x > 0$. From (2.14), (2.9), and (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x) &= -\frac{F(x)}{a} \int_0^\infty h_a(w) e^{-xw} dw + \frac{F(x)}{a} \frac{h_a(0)}{x} + \frac{F(x)}{x^2} \frac{2g'(0)}{a^2} \\ &= -\frac{F(x)}{a} \int_0^\infty (h_a(w) - h_a(0)) e^{-xw} dw + \frac{F(x)}{x^2} \frac{2g'(0)}{a^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously to (2.24), we obtain the representation

$$M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x) = \frac{F(x)}{x^2} \left(\frac{g'(0)}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2F(ia)} - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty h_a''(w) e^{-xw} dw \right) \quad (2.25)$$

for $x > 0$. In order to investigate the sign of the right hand side, we multiply (2.13) by e^{-xw} and integrate w over $[0, \infty)$ to get with (2.17)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (h_a''(w) - h_a''(-w)) e^{-xw} dw &= -\frac{a^2}{F(ia)} \int_0^\infty e^{-xw} \sin(aw) dw \\ &= -\frac{a^2}{F(ia)} \frac{a}{x^2 + a^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$-\frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty h_a''(w) e^{-xw} dw = \frac{a^2}{F(ia)} \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} - \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty h_a''(-w) e^{-xw} dw. \quad (2.26)$$

Since $h_a''(-w) \geq 0$ for $w \geq 0$, we have from (2.12)

$$\int_0^\infty h_a''(-w) e^{-xw} dw \leq \int_0^\infty h_a''(-w) dw = \frac{g'(0)}{a} + \frac{a}{2F(ia)}. \quad (2.27)$$

We multiply (2.27) by $-a^{-1}$ and insert the resulting inequality into (2.26) to get

$$-\frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty h_a''(w) e^{-xw} dw \geq \frac{a^2}{F(ia)} \frac{1}{x^2 + a^2} - \frac{g'(0)}{a^2} - \frac{1}{2F(ia)}.$$

Inserting this into (2.25) gives

$$\frac{M^+(F, a, x) - t_a(x)}{F(x)} \geq \frac{1}{F(ia)} \frac{1}{x^2} \left(\frac{1}{(x/a)^2 + 1} - 1 \right),$$

which is nonnegative since $F(ia) < 0$. This proves (2.22) for $x > 0$. \square

Theorem 10. *Let $a > 0$. Let $F \in LP$ be even with a double zero at the origin and at least one positive zero τ . Assume that F is positive in $(0, \tau)$, and define $g = g_{\tau/2}$ by (2.3) and h_a by (2.9). Then*

$$F(x) \{M^-(F, a, x) - t_a(x)\} \leq 0 \quad (2.28)$$

holds for all real x .

Proof. We note that the integral representations for M^+ are valid even if $F(ia)$ is not negative. From the definition of M^- and (2.23) we obtain for $x < 0$ the representation

$$M^-(F, a, x) - t_a(x) = \frac{F(x)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 (h_a(w) - h_a(0)) e^{-xw} dw, \quad (2.29)$$

and since $h'_a(w) \geq 0$ for real w , it follows that $h_a(w) - h_a(0) \leq 0$ for $w \leq 0$ which shows (2.28) for $x < 0$. Analogously, for $x > 0$

$$M^-(F, a, x) - t_a(x) = -\frac{F(x)}{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 (h_a(w) - h_a(0)) e^{-xw} dw, \quad (2.30)$$

which gives (2.28) in this range. \square

Proposition 11. *The functions M^+ and M^- from Theorems 9 and 10 satisfy*

$$|M^\pm(F, a, x) - t_a(x)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{F(x)}{1+x^2}\right) \quad (2.31)$$

for all real x .

Proof. Inequalities (2.23) and (2.25) yield (2.31) for M^+ , while (2.29) and (2.30) imply (2.31) for M^- . \square

3. EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS FOR t_a IN DE BRANGES SPACES

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Recall that E is an HB function that satisfies (I) E is of bounded type in \mathbb{C}^+ with mean type τ , (II) E has no real zeros, (III) $E^*(z) = E(-z)$ for all z , (IV) $B \notin \mathcal{H}(E)$. These conditions imply that E has certain properties that we collect in the following lemma. We define the positive Borel measure μ_E by

$$\mu_E(A) = \int_A \frac{dx}{|E(x)|^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 12. *If E is an HB function satisfying (I) - (IV), then*

- (1) E has exponential type τ ,
- (2) Every nonnegative $F \in \mathcal{A}_1(2\tau, \mu_E)$ can be factored as $F = UU^*$ with $U \in \mathcal{H}(E)$,
- (3) $A = (1/2)(E + E^*)$ is even and $B = (i/2)(E - E^*)$ is odd,
- (4) For every $U \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{U(x)}{E(x)} \right|^2 dx = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{T}_B} \frac{|U(\xi)|^2}{K(\xi, \xi)} \quad (3.2)$$

is valid. (Recall that \mathcal{T}_B is the set of zeros of B .)

Proof. Since E is of bounded type with mean type τ in \mathbb{C}^+ , it follows from (1.2) that E^* is of bounded type with mean type $\leq \tau$ in \mathbb{C}^+ . It follows from Krein's theorem [22, Theorems 6.17 and 6.18] that E has exponential type τ . The second property follows from [17, Appendix V] together with the observation that $F \in \mathcal{A}_1(2\tau, \mu_E)$ implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log^+ |F(x)|}{1+x^2} dx < \infty.$$

This follows from Jensen's inequality, see, e.g., the proof of [13, Lemma 12]. The third property is evident, and (3.2) is [4, Theorem 22]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that E satisfies (I) - (IV). Inequality (1.2) implies that A and B have only real zeros, and since E has no real zeros, it follows that A and B have no common zeros. By [4, Problem 48] there exists a continuous increasing function φ such that $E(x) \exp(i\varphi(x))$ is real valued for all real x . The

zeros of A are the values x where $\varphi(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the zeros of B are the values x where $\varphi(x) = k\pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the zeros interlace. It follows from [4, Problem 47] applied to $f = A/B$ that the zeros of B are simple (see also the proof of Theorem 22 in [4]). Similarly, the zeros of A are simple.

Since E has exponential type τ , it follows that A and B are also entire functions of exponential type τ . Hence they, and their squares, are Laguerre-Pólya entire functions. Evidently, B^2 is an even LP function that has a double zero at the origin, and the results of Section 2 are applicable.

We define the entire functions T_a^+ and T_a^- by

$$\begin{aligned} T_a^+(z) &= M^+(B^2, a, z) \\ T_a^-(z) &= M^-(B^2, a, z) \end{aligned}$$

with M^- and M^+ as in (2.19) and (2.20).

We note that $E^*(z) = E(-z)$ implies in particular that $\overline{B(ix)} = -B(ix)$ for real x , i.e., $\Re B(ix) = 0$ for real x . It follows then that

$$(B(ix))^2 < 0$$

for every real x , hence $F = B^2$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 9. Since $B^2 \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R} , inequality (2.22) implies

$$T_a^+(x) \geq t_a(x)$$

for all real x , and (2.21) implies that

$$T_a^+(\xi) = t_a(\xi)$$

for all ξ with $B(\xi) = 0$. Since B^2/E^2 is bounded on \mathbb{R} , it follows from (2.31) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{T_a^+(x) - t_a(x)}{|E(x)|^2} dx < \infty.$$

A similar argument gives the same statement for $t_a - T_a^-$. Since $T_a^- \leq t_a \leq T_a^+$ we obtain that $|T_a^+ - T_a^-|$ is integrable with respect to $\mu_E = |E(x)|^{-2} dx$. It follows from Lemma 12 that there exists $U \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ such that

$$T_a^+ - T_a^- = UU^*. \quad (3.3)$$

We prove next the optimality of T_a^+ . Let F be a function of type 2τ with $F \geq t_a$ on \mathbb{R} . We may assume that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(x) - t_a(x)}{|E(x)|^2} dx < \infty,$$

(since otherwise there is nothing to show). The inequality $T_a^- \leq t_a \leq F$ gives

$$|F(x) - T_a^-(x)| \leq (F(x) - t_a(x)) + (t_a(x) - T_a^-(x))$$

and hence $F - T_a^-$ is an entire function of exponential type 2τ that is integrable with respect to μ_E . Evidently, $F - T_a^- \geq F - t_a \geq 0$. Applying Lemma 12 again implies that there exists $V \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ such that

$$F - T_a^- = VV^*, \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$F - T_a^+ = VV^* - UU^*.$$

An application of Lemma 12 (4) to U and V together with $T_a^+(\xi) = t_a(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $B(\xi) = 0$ implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(x) - T_a^+(x)}{|E(x)|^2} dx = \sum_{B(\xi)=0} \frac{F(\xi) - t_a(\xi)}{K(\xi, \xi)} \geq 0,$$

hence T_a^+ is extremal.

An analogous calculation (which we omit) shows that T_a^- is an extremal minorant. It remains to prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (T_a^+(x) - T_a^-(x)) \frac{dx}{|E(x)|^2} = \frac{1}{a^2 K(0, 0)}.$$

It follows from (3.3) and Lemma 12 (4) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (T_a^+(x) - T_a^-(x)) \frac{dx}{|E(x)|^2} = \sum_{B(\xi)=0} \frac{T_a^+(\xi) - T_a^-(\xi)}{K(\xi, \xi)}.$$

The only non-zero summand is the term for $\xi = 0$. Since $T_a^+(0) - T_a^-(0) = t_a(0) - t_a(0-) = 1/a^2$, the proof is complete. \square

4. DE BRANGES SPACE AND OPTIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR THE VANISHING CONDITION

Define the Borel measure μ_a by

$$\mu_a(B) = \int_B (x^2 + a^2) dx.$$

Let $a > 0$ and recall that E_a is given by

$$E_a(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sinh(2\pi a)} \frac{\sin \pi(z + ia)}{(z + ia)}}.$$

We prove that E_a is a Hermite-Biehler function whose associated de Branges space is isometrically equal to $\mathcal{A}_2(\pi, \mu_a)$.

Theorem 13. *Let $a > 0$. The function E_a satisfies (1.2) and properties (I) - (IV). Moreover, the space $\mathcal{A}_2(\pi, \mu_a)$ is isometrically equal to $\mathcal{H}(E_a)$.*

Proof. Since $z \mapsto \sin \pi z$ is LP and hence of Pólya class, we have that $z \mapsto \sin(\pi(z + ia))$ is also of Pólya class. By [4, Section 7, Lemma 1] it follows that E_a is of Pólya class. This implies

$$|E_a(z)| \geq |E_a^*(z)|$$

for all z with $\Im z > 0$. Since E_a has no zeros in the upper half plane, the function E_a^*/E_a is analytic in the upper half plane and has modulus bounded by 1. Since this quotient is not constant, the modulus is never equal to 1 by the maximum principle, hence E_a satisfies (1.2).

It can be checked directly that E_a has bounded type π (or apply the reverse direction of Krein's theorem). Evidently E_a has no real zeros and $E_a^*(z) = E_a(-z)$

for all z . A direct calculation gives

$$A_a(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sinh(2\pi a)} \frac{z \cosh(\pi a) \sin(\pi z) + a \sinh(\pi a) \cos(\pi z)}{z^2 + a^2}},$$

$$B_a(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sinh(2\pi a)} \frac{a \cosh(\pi a) \sin(\pi z) - z \sinh(\pi a) \cos(\pi z)}{z^2 + a^2}},$$

and in particular $B_a \notin \mathcal{H}(E_a)$. Hence E_a satisfies (I) - (IV).

Taking limits in (1.3) leads to the representation

$$K_a(x, x) = \frac{\pi(a^2 + x^2) - a \coth(2\pi a) + a \cos(2\pi x) \operatorname{csch}(2\pi a)}{\pi(a^2 + x^2)^2} \quad (4.1)$$

for all real x . Recall that $\mu_{E_a}(A) = \int_A |E_a(x)|^{-2} dx$. It is straightforward to check that $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_a)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_{E_a})$ are equal as sets with equivalent norms. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_2(\pi, \mu_a)$ and $H(E_a)$ are equal as sets and have equivalent norms. The main statement to prove is the fact that the two norms are equal on the smaller spaces.

We note first that

$$\frac{(z + ia)(z - ia)}{\sin(\pi(z + ia)) \sin(\pi(z - ia))} = \frac{2(z^2 + a^2)}{\cosh(2\pi a) - \cos(2\pi z)} \quad (4.2)$$

holds, in particular, the right hand side is 1-periodic after division by $z^2 + a^2$. Furthermore,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\cosh(2\pi a) - \cos(2\pi x)} dx = \frac{1}{\sinh(2\pi a)}.$$

This means that p_a defined by $p_a(x) = \sinh(2\pi a)(\cosh(2\pi a) - \cos(2\pi x))^{-1} - 1$ is 1-periodic and has mean value zero. Since $a > 0$, this function is infinitely differentiable on the real line. It follows that the Fourier series of p_a converges absolutely and uniformly, and that it represents the function, i.e., there exists a sequence a_n so that

$$p_a(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} \quad (4.3)$$

for all real x .

Let $H \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be an entire function of exponential type 2π . Since $H \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by [6, Theorem 6.7.1], the Paley-Wiener theorem [25, Theorem 4.1] implies that the Fourier transform \widehat{H} defined by

$$\widehat{H}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi i x t} H(x) dx$$

satisfies $\widehat{H}(t) = 0$ for $|t| > 1$. Since $H \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that \widehat{H} is continuous, hence $\widehat{H}(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. This implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N \\ n \neq 0}} a_n e^{2\pi i n x} dx = \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq N \\ n \neq 0}} a_n \widehat{H}(-n) = 0.$$

Since the partial sums of the series in (4.3) converge uniformly, we obtain with an application of Lebesgue dominated convergence that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) \left(\frac{\sinh(2\pi a)}{\cosh(2\pi a) - \cos(2\pi x)} - 1 \right) dx = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}_2(\pi, \mu_a)$ and define H by $H(z) = F(z)G^*(z)(z^2 + a^2)$. It follows from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(E_a)} - \langle F, G \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_a)} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)G^*(x) \{ |E_a(x)|^{-2} - (x^2 + a^2) \} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) \left(\frac{\sinh(2\pi a)}{\cosh(2\pi a) - \cos(2\pi x)} - 1 \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and since H is a Lebesgue integrable entire function of exponential type 2π , it follows from (4.4) that

$$\langle F, G \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(E_a)} = \langle F, G \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu_a)}$$

as claimed. \square

Sketch of an alternate proof. Define for $a > 0$ the meromorphic function W_a by

$$W_a(z) = -e^{-2\pi a} \frac{a + iz}{a - iz}$$

and note that W_a is analytic and has modulus ≤ 1 in the upper half plane. The identity

$$\frac{E_a(z) + E_a^*(z)W_a(z)}{E_a(z) - E_a^*(z)W_a(z)} = \coth(2\pi a) - e^{2\pi iz} \operatorname{csch}(2\pi a)$$

is valid for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and for real x and $y > 0$

$$\frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(t^2 + a^2) |E_a(t)|^2 dt}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} = \coth(2\pi a) - e^{-2\pi y} \cos(2\pi x) \operatorname{csch}(2\pi a)$$

holds. Theorem V.A of [5] with $d\mu(t) = (t^2 + a^2) |E_a(t)|^2 dt$ implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 (x^2 + a^2) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(x)}{E_a(x)} \right|^2 dx$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(E_a)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We define S_a^+ and S_a^- by

$$\begin{aligned} S_a^+(z) &= M^+(B_a^2, a, z)(z^2 + a^2), \\ S_a^-(z) &= M^-(B_a^2, a, z)(z^2 + a^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since B_a is odd, we have $B_a(0) = 0$. Since E_a is Hermite-Biehler and is of bounded type in the upper half plane, it follows that B_a is of bounded type in the upper half plane. Since B_a has only real zeros, [4, Problem 34] implies that B_a is of Pólya class, and [4, Theorem 7] implies that $B_a \in LP$. Hence $F = B_a^2$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 8. Furthermore, it can be checked directly that $B_a^2(ia) < 0$. For the remainder of the proof we set $M^\pm(z) = M^\pm(B_a^2, a, z)$. Since $B_a^2 \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$ we obtain that $M^+, M^- \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$. Theorems 9 and 10 imply

$$M^-(x) \leq t_a(x) \leq M^+(x)$$

for all real x . The proof of Theorem 1 shows that $M^+ = T_a^+$ and $M^- = T_a^-$ with respect to the measure $d\mu_{E_a}$. It follows from (1.5) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (M^+(x) - M^-(x)) \frac{dx}{|E_a(x)|^2} = \frac{1}{a^2 K_a(0, 0)} = \frac{\pi a}{\pi a - \tanh(\pi a)}. \quad (4.5)$$

By definition of S_a^\pm we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S_a^+(z) - S_a^-(z)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (M^+(x) - M^-(x))(x^2 + a^2) dx. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $M^+ - M^- \in \mathcal{A}_1(2\pi, \mu_a)$, it follows that $M^+ - M^- \in \mathcal{A}_1(2\pi, \mu_{E_a})$. Lemma 12 (2) implies that $M^+ - M^- = UU^*$ with $U \in \mathcal{H}(E_a)$. Theorem 13, (4.5), and (4.6) imply

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S_a^+(x) - S_a^-(x)) dx = \frac{\pi a}{\pi a - \tanh(\pi a)},$$

which gives the case of equality in (1.7).

Let now $S, T \in \mathcal{A}(2\pi)$ such that $S(ia) = T(ia) = 0$ and $S(x) \leq t_a(x) \leq T(x)$ on the real line. We may assume that $S - M^-$ and $T - M^+$ are integrable with respect to $(x^2 + a^2)dx$. Since S and T are real entire, it follows that $S(-ia) = T(-ia) = 0$, hence

$$S(z) = (z^2 + a^2)\sigma(z)$$

and

$$T(z) = (z^2 + a^2)\tau(z)$$

where σ, τ are entire and have exponential type 2π . Furthermore, $\sigma - t_a$ and $\tau - t_a$ are integrable and

$$\sigma(x) \leq t_a(x) \leq \tau(x)$$

for all real x . It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma(x) - \tau(x))(x^2 + a^2) dx \geq \frac{1}{a^2 K_a(0, 0)},$$

which is (1.7). □

REFERENCES

- [1] A.G. Babenko, Yu.V. Kryakin, V.A. Yudin, *One-sided approximation in L of the characteristic function of an interval by trigonometric polynomials*, Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **280** (2013), S39 – S52.
- [2] A. Beurling, *On functions with a spectral gap*, Seminar on Harmonic Analysis, Univ. of Uppsala. March 24, 1942. Published in *Collected Works of Arne Beurling: Vol. II, Harmonic Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1989, 359–364.
- [3] J. Bustamante, J.M. Quesada, R. Martinez-Cruz, *Best one-sided L_1 approximation to the Heaviside and sign functions*, J. Approx. Theory **164** (2012), 791 – 802.
- [4] L. de Branges, *Hilbert spaces of entire functions*, Prentice-Hall.
- [5] L. de Branges, *Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **96**, (1960), 259-295.
- [6] R.P.B. Boas, *Entire functions*, Academic Press, New York 1954.
- [7] E. Carneiro and F. Littmann, *Extremal functions in de Branges and Euclidean spaces*. Preprint.
- [8] E. Carneiro, F. Littmann, J.D. Vaaler, *Gaussian subordination and the Beurling-Selberg extremal problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), 3493-3534.
- [9] D.L. Donoho, B.F. Logan, *Signal recovery and the large sieve*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **52** no. 2 (1992), 577 – 591.
- [10] P.X. Gallagher, *Pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **362** (1985), 72 – 86.

- [11] M. Ganzburg, *L-approximation to non-periodic functions*, J. Concr. Appl. Math. **8** (2010), no. 2, 208 – 215.
- [12] I.I. Hirschman and D.V. Widdder, *The convolution transform*, Dover.
- [13] J. Holt and J. D. Vaaler, *The Beurling-Selberg extremal functions for a ball in the Euclidean space*, Duke Mathematical Journal **83** (1996), 203–247.
- [14] M. Kelly, *A variation on Selberg’s approximation problem*. Mathematika (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0025579314000199>)
- [15] M.G. Krein, *A contribution to the theory of entire functions of exponential type*, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. Sér. Math. **11** (1947), 309 – 326
- [16] N. Korneichuk, *Exact constants in approximation theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [17] B.Ja. Levin, *Distribution of zeros of entire functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 5, American Mathematical Society 1980.
- [18] F. Littmann, *Quadrature and extremal bandlimited functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **45** (2013), 732 – 747.
- [19] D.S. Lubinsky, *Best approximation and interpolation of $(1 + (ax)^2)^{-1}$ and its transforms*, J. Approx. Theory **125** (2003), 106 – 115.
- [20] D.S. Lubinsky, *A survey of weighted polynomial approximation with exponential weights*, Surveys in Approximation Theory **3** (2007), 1 – 106.
- [21] A. Pinkus, *On L^1 -approximation*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [22] M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, *Topics in Hardy classes and univalent functions*, Birkh’auser Verlag, Basel 1994.
- [23] A. Selberg, *Lectures on sieves*, Collected works Vol. 2., 65 – 249.
- [24] I.J. Schoenberg, *On Pólya frequency functions. I. The totally positive functions and their Laplace transforms*, J. Analyse Math. **1**, (1951), 331 – 374.
- [25] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1971.
- [26] J.D. Vaaler, *Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **12**, no. 2 (1985), 183 – 215.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGO, ND 58105.

E-mail address: Friedrich.Littmann@ndsu.edu, Mark.Spanier@ndsu.edu