

A Study On ID-based Authentication Schemes for Telecare Medical Information System

Dheerendra Mishra*

Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur

Kharagpur 721302, India

Abstract

The smart card based authentication schemes are designed and developed to ensure secure and authorized communication between remote user and the server. In recent times, many smart card based authentication schemes for the telecare medical information systems (TMIS) have been presented. In this article, we briefly discuss some of the recently published smart card based authentication schemes for TMIS and try to show why efficient login and password change phases are required. In other word, the study demonstrates how inefficient password change phase leads to denial of server attack and how inefficient login phase increase the communication and computation overhead and decrease the performance of the system.

keywords: Telecare medical information system; Smart card; Password based authentication; Login phase; Password change phase.

1 Introduction

User-friendly, omnipresence and low cost of internet technology, facilitates flexible online services in E medicine in which a user can access the remote services at any instant from anywhere. One of service in C-medicine is telemedicine, which has been brought to patients' home through the telecare medical information system (TMIS). In TMIS, medical server maintains the electronic medical records (EMRs) of registered users and facilitates various services to the users, health educators, physicians, hospitals, care-givers, public health organizations and home-care service providers.

In Telecare system a user access the server via public channel while the adversary may have full control over the public channel and so he can eavesdropped, intercept, record, modify, delete, and replay the message broadcasting via public channel [19]. This cause data privacy and security threat in the deployment of TMIS.

*E-mail: dheerendra@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in

The smart card based protocols enable the health-care delivery services door to door by employing information and communication technologies [7, 8, 12]. TMIS has the capability to reduce the social and medical expenses. It also improves the quality of medical services quality and efficiency. It is regarded as an efficient, economical and time saving alternative compare to traditional clinical service [11, 6, 13]. However, the privacy and security issues are rising in TMIS that related to the security of transmitted data and the rights of patients to understand and control the use of their presentational health records. To ensure security and privacy during information transmission via public channel, the smart card based anonymous remote user authentication schemes are generally adopted. In general, smart card based authentication faces various attacks, a few are, stolen smart card attack, password guessing attack and insider attack [1].

Recently, a number of password based schemes presented for TMIS [3, 5, 8, 14, 16, 17, 18, 21, 20]. In 2010, Wu et al. [17] presented an efficient authentication scheme for TMIS. Their scheme is better than the previously proposed schemes for low computing devices by adding pre-computing phase. In pre-computing phase, user performs exponential operation and then stores the calculated values into the storage device, such that a user can extract these value from the device whenever required. However, Debiao et al. [5] demonstrated that Wu et al.'s scheme fails to resist the impersonation attack to the insider attack. They also introduced an enhanced scheme and claimed that their proposed scheme eliminates the drawbacks of Wu et al.'s scheme and performs better than Wu et al.'s scheme. They also claimed that their scheme is more appropriate for low power mobile devices for TMIS. In 2012, Wei et al. [16] identified that both Wu et al.'s and Debiao et al.'s schemes are inefficient to meet two-factor authentication. In general, an efficient smart card based password authentication scheme should support two-factor authentication. They also presented an improved smart card based authentication scheme for TMIS and claimed that the improved scheme is efficient and achieved two-factor authentication. However, Zhu [21] demonstrated that Wei et al.'s scheme is vulnerable to off-line password guessing attack using stolen smart card. He also presented an improved scheme for TMIS and claimed that his scheme could overcome the weaknesses of Wei et al.'s scheme. Recently, Lee and Liu [8] showed that Zhu's scheme does not resist parallel session attacks and introduced an improved scheme. They claimed that their scheme provides secure and efficient solution for telecare medical information system.

In recent time Chen et al. [3] also presented an efficient and secure dynamic ID-based authentication scheme for TMIS. In 2013, Lin [10] demonstrated that in Chen et al's scheme, user identity is compromised under the dictionary attack and password can be derived with the stolen smart card. Cao and Zhai [2] demonstrated that Chen et al's scheme is vulnerable to an off-line identity guessing attack, an off-line password guessing attack, and an undetectable on-line password guessing attack when user's smart card is stolen. They also presented an improved scheme for TIMS. Xie et al. [18] also pointed out the weaknesses of Chen et al.'s scheme and presented an improved scheme for TIMS. Recently, Xu et al. [20] introduced ECC based authentication scheme for Telecare Medicine Information Systems. Most of the existing schemes for TMIS have lack of input verifying condition which makes these schemes inefficient. In this article, we show that recently

presented authentication schemes [8, 10, 16, 18, 21, 20] fail to provide efficient login and password change phase.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 3 presents the brief review of Wei et al.'s scheme. Section 4 points out the flaws in login and password change phase of Wei et al.'s scheme. Section 5 & 6 briefly discuss the Zhu's scheme and show their weaknesses. Section 7 presents the brief review of Lee Liu's scheme and the analysis is presented in Section 8. Section 9 presents the brief review of Lin's scheme. Section 10 points out the drawbacks of inefficient login and password change phase of Lin's scheme. Section 11 presents the brief review of Cao and Zhai's scheme. Section 12 points out the weakness of Cao and Zhai's scheme. A brief review of the Xie et al.'s scheme is given in Section 13 and scheme loop holes are presented in Section 14. Section 15 presents the brief review of Xu et al.'s scheme. Section 10 points out the drawbacks of inefficient login and password change phase of Xu et al.'s scheme. The attribute comparison is presented in Section 17. Finally, the conclusion is drawn in Section 18.

2 Notations

Table 1: Meaning of symbols that are used in this article

Notation	Description
U	User/patient
S	A trustworthy medical server
p, q	Two large primes
N	Product of p and q
Z_N	Ring of integers modulo N
e	Public key of S
d	Master secret key of S
E	Attacker/adversary
SC	Smart Card
ID_U	Unique identity of U
PW_U	Unique password of U
E_X	Symmetric key encryption using key X
x	Secret value (master key) of S
$h(\cdot)$	A collision resistant one-way hash function
\oplus	XOR
\parallel	String concatenation operation

3 Review of Wei et al.'s Scheme

In Wei et al.'s scheme [16], the remote telecare server is responsible for generating global parameters, computing users secret information and providing smart cards to the new users. There are four phases in their scheme:

- 1.) Initial Phase
- 2.) Registration phase
- 3.) Authentication phase
- 4.) Password change phase

3.1 Initial Phase

Initially, the telecare server defines its parameters. It chooses two large primes p and q such that $p = 2q + 1$. It also selects a generator g of Z_q^* and the system master key $x \in Z_q^*$. It defines a one-way hash function $h(\cdot) : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow Z_q^*$.

3.2 Registration Phase

To access the telecare services, a patient registers with the server and achieves the personalized smart card as follows:

Step 1. U generates a random number N , selects the identity ID_U and password PW_U and computes $W = h(PW_U \parallel N)$. Then U sends ID_U and W to S .

Step 2. Upon receiving ID_U with W , S calculates $B = h(ID_U^x) + h(W) \pmod{p}$. Then, S embeds $h(\cdot), g, p, ID_U$ and B into the smart card and provides it to U .

Step 3. Upon receiving the smart card, U stores N into the smart card.

3.3 Authentication Phase

When a legal user wishes to login into TMIS, the following steps are executed.

Step 1. U inserts the smart card into a card reader and inputs PW_U . Then, SC generates a random number r_U , computes $A = g^{r_U} \pmod{p}$, $W = h(PW_U \parallel N)$, $B' = (B - h(W)) * A$ and $h_1 = h(A \parallel B' \parallel ID_U)$. Then, U sends the message $M_1 = \{ID_U, B', h_1\}$ to the server S .

Step 2. Upon receiving the message, S verifies the validity of ID_U . If ID_U is invalid, S terminates the session. Otherwise, S calculates $A' = \frac{B'}{h(ID_U^x)} \pmod{p}$ and verifies $h_1 =? h(A \parallel B' \parallel ID_U)$. If verification does not hold, S stops the session. Otherwise, it generates a random number r_S and calculates $sk = h(ID_U \parallel A' \parallel B' \parallel r_S)$ and $h_2 = h(sk \parallel r_S)$. Then, S sends the message $M_2 = \{h_2, r_S\}$ to U .

Step 3. Upon receiving the message M_2 , SC calculates $sk' = h(ID_U \parallel A \parallel B' \parallel r_S)$ and verifies $h_2 =? h(sk' \parallel r_S)$. If verification fails, it stops the session. Otherwise, SC computes $h_3 = h(ID_U \parallel sk')$ and sends $M_3 = \{h_3\}$ to S .

Step 4. Upon receiving M_3 , S verifies $h_3 =? h(ID_U \parallel sk)$. If verification fails, S stops the session. Otherwise, U is authenticated.

3.4 Password Change Phase

Any legal user U can change the password by using the following steps.

Step 1. U inserts his smart card into a card reader and inputs the old password PW_U and the new password PW_{new} .

Step 2. The smart card computes $W = h(PW_U \parallel N)$, $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} \parallel N)$ and $B_{new} = B - h(W) + h(W_{new}) \pmod{p}$.

Step 3. Finally, the smart card replaces B with B_{new} .

4 Analysis of Wei et al.'s Scheme

In 2012, Zhu's [21] demonstrated that Wei et al.'s scheme does not resist offline password guessing attack. We revisits the Wei et al.'s scheme and find some more flaws. In this section, we show that Wei et al.'s scheme does not provide efficient login and password change phase.

4.1 Inefficient password change phase

An efficient smart card based remote user authentication scheme should ensure efficient password change phase where incorrect input can be quickly detected and user can change his password without server assistance. However, in Wei et al.'s scheme, if a user inputs wrong old password, the smart card does not verify the correctness of password and executes the password change phase. Although a user may enter a wrong password, as human may sometime forgot the password or commit some mistake. This may cause the denial of service where a user will no longer communicate with server using the same smart card. If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* instead of PW_U , then the smart card executes the password change phase as follows:

- Compute $W^* = h(PW_U^* \parallel N)$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= B - h(W^*) \\ &= h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U \parallel N)) - h(h(PW_U^* \parallel N)) \neq h(ID_U^x), \end{aligned}$$

as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$.

- Compute

$$\begin{aligned} B_{new} &= B_1 + h(h(PW_{new} \parallel N)) \\ &= h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U \parallel N)) - h(h(PW_U^* \parallel N)) + h(h(PW_{new} \parallel N)). \end{aligned}$$

- Replace B with B_{new} .

The smart card inability of wrong password detection, may cause **denial of service** as follows:

- U inputs the current password PW_{new} into smart card. Then, SC generates a random number r_U , computes $A = g^{r_U} \pmod{p}$, $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} || N)$, then achieves B'_{new} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{new} &= (B_{new} - h(W_{new} || N)) * A \\ &= (h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U || N)) - h(h(PW_U^* || N))) * A. \end{aligned}$$

- SC also computes $h_1 = h(A || B'_{new} || ID_U)$. Then, it sends the message $M_1 = \{ID_U, B'_{new}, h_1\}$ to the server S .
- Upon receiving the message, S verifies the validity of ID_U . The verification holds, as message includes user's original identity. Then, S calculates $A' = \frac{B'_{new}}{h(ID_U^x)} \pmod{p} \neq A$, as $B'_{new} = (h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U || N)) - h(h(PW_U^* || N))) * A$ instead of $(h(ID_U^x)) * A$ where $PW_U \neq PW_U^*$.
- S verifies $h_1 =? h(A' || B'_{new} || ID_U)$. The verification does not hold, as $A' \neq A$. Then, S terminates the session, as message authentication fails.

In this case, user can never login to the server using the same smart card, which causes **denial of service attack**.

4.2 Inefficient login phase:

An efficient smart card based remote user authentication scheme should be able to identify incorrect login request so that extra communication and computation cost should not occur due to incorrect login. However, Wei et al.'s scheme does not verify the correctness of input and executes the session. If a user inputs incorrect input, then the following cases are possible:

Case 1. If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* instead of PW_U :

- SC generates a random number r_U , computes $A = g^{r_U} \pmod{p}$, $W^* = h(PW_U^* || N)$ and $B'^* = (B - h(W^*)) * A$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (B - h(W^*)) * A &= (h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U || N)) - h(h(PW_U^* || N))) * A \\ &\neq h(ID_U^x) * A, \text{ as } PW_U^* \neq PW_U \end{aligned}$$

Then, it sends the message $M_1 = \{ID_U, B'^*, h_1^*\}$ to S , where $h_1^* = h(A || B'^* || ID_U)$.

- Upon receiving the message, S verifies the validity of ID_U . The verification holds, as message includes user's original identity. Then, S calculates $A' = \frac{B'^*}{h(ID_U^x)} \pmod{p} \neq A$, as $B'^* = (h(ID_U^x) + h(h(PW_U || N)) - h(h(PW_U^* || N))) * A$ instead of $h(ID_U^x) * A$.
- When S verifies $h_1^* =? h(A' || B'^* || ID_U)$. The verification does not hold, since $A \neq A'$. Then S terminates the session as message authentication does not hold.

Case 2. An attacker can also intercept and modify the login message:

- An attacker intercepts U 's login message $M_1 = \{ID_U, B', h_1\}$, then selects a number r_E and computes $B'^* = B' * r_E$. Finally, E replace M_1 with M_1^* , where $M_1^* = \{ID_U, B'^*, h_1\}$.
- On receiving the message M_1^* , when S verifies $h_1 =? h(A' || B'^* || ID_U)$. The verification fails because $B'^* = B' * r_E$ instead of B' . As a result, S terminates the session.

In both the cases, wrong password input and message impersonation attack, session terminates. And, user may not identify the correct reason of session failure.

5 Review of Zhu's Scheme

In 2012, Zhu [21] proposed an improvement of Wei et al.'s [16]. Zhu's scheme comprises four phases similar to the Wei et al.'s scheme. The brief description of Zhu's scheme is as follows:

5.1 Initial Phase

The remote telecare server S executes the following steps to initiate the session:

Step 1. S generates two large primes p and q and computes $n = pq$.

Step 2. S chooses a prime number e and an integer d as system public and private keys such that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$ and a one-way hash function $h(\cdot) : \{0\}^* \rightarrow Z_q^*$, where e and d should be provided to the server in a safe way.

5.2 Registration Phase

Registration phase carries out the following steps:

Step 1. U generates a random number N , selects his identity ID_U and password PW_U and computes $W = h(PW_U || N)$. Then U sends ID_U and W to the telecare server S .

Step 2. Upon receiving the registration request, S computes $B = h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(W)$. Then, S stores n, e, ID_U and B into U 's smart card and issue the smart card to U .

Step 3. After receiving the smart card, U stores N into the smart card. Finally, the smart card includes the following security parameters $\{n, e, ID_U, B, N\}$.

5.3 Authentication Phase

User and server can verify the authenticity of each other by adopting the following procedure:

Step 1. U inserts his smart card into a card reader and inputs PW_U . Then SC generates a random number r_U , computes $W = h(PW_U \parallel N)$, $B' = B \oplus h(W)$, $h_1 = h(B' \parallel r_U)$ and $X = (h_1 \parallel r_U)^e \pmod{n}$. Finally, U sends the message $M_1 = \{ID_U, X\}$ to the server S .

Step 2. Upon receiving M_1 , S checks the validity of ID_U . If ID_U is not valid, S terminates the session. Otherwise, S achieves $h'_1 \parallel r_U = X^d \pmod{n}$ and verifies $h'_1 = h(h(ID_U \oplus d) \parallel r'_U)$. If it does not hold, S terminates the session. Otherwise, runs *Step 3*.

Step 3. S generates a random number r_U , calculates $h_2 = h(ID_U, r'_U, r_S)$ and transmits $M_2 = \{h_2, r_S\}$ to S .

Step 4. Upon receiving the message M_2 , SC verifies $h_2 =? h(ID_U, r_U, r_S)$. If verification does not hold, the smart card terminates the session. Otherwise, it calculates $h_3 = h(ID_U, r_S, r_U)$ and sends the message $M_3 = \{h_3\}$ to S .

Step 4. Upon receiving M_3 , S verifies $h_3 =? h(ID_U, r_S, r'_U)$. If verification fails, S terminates the session. Otherwise, U is authenticated.

5.4 Password Change Phase

Any legal user with valid smart card can change the password as follows:

Step 1. U inserts his smart card into a card reader and inputs the old password PW_U and the new password PW_{new} .

Step 2. SC computes $W = h(PW_U \parallel N)$, $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} \parallel N)$ and $B_{new} = B \oplus h(W) \oplus h(W_{new})$. Finally, it replaces B with B_{new} .

6 Analysis of Zhu's Scheme

In 2013, Lee and Liu [8] demonstrated parallel session attack on Zhu's Scheme [21]. In this section, we will show that Zhu's scheme fails to provide efficient login and password change phase.

6.1 Inefficient password change phase

User can change his password independently without server's assistance. However, the smart card does not verify the user's password. If user inputs wrong password PW^* instead of PW , then the smart card executes the password change phase as follows:

- Compute $W^* = h(PW_U^* \parallel N)$ and then B^* as:

$$\begin{aligned} B^* &= B \oplus h(W^*) \oplus h(PW_{new} \parallel N) \\ &= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_U^* \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_{new} \parallel N) \\ &\neq h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_{new} \parallel N), \text{ as } PW_U \neq PW_U^*. \end{aligned}$$

- Replace B with B^* .

The password change phase succeeds, in case of wrong password input. This may cause *denial of service*, which is clear from the following facts:

- U inputs PW_{new} into smart card. Then, smart card generates a random number r_U and computes $W = h(PW_{new} \parallel N)$, then H^* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H^* &= B^* \oplus h(W_{new}) \\ &= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_U^* \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_{new} \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_{new} \parallel N) \\ &= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U \parallel N) \oplus h(PW_U^* \parallel N) \\ &\neq h(ID_U \oplus d) = H, \text{ as } PW_U \neq PW_U^* \end{aligned}$$

Then, it achieves $h_1^* = h(H^* || r_U)$, $X^* = (h_1^* || r_U)^e \pmod n$, and sends $M_1^* = \{ID_U, X^*\}$ to S .

- Upon receiving M_1^* , S achieves $h_1^* || r_U = X^{*d} \pmod n$ and then verifies the validity of ID_U . The verification holds. However, when it computes $H = h(ID_U \oplus d)$ and checks $h_1^* = h(H || r_U)$. The verification does not hold, as $H \neq H^*$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication fails.

This is denial of service condition.

6.2 Inefficient login phase:

A user may input wrong password in login phase, as a human may commit mistake. However, in Zhu's scheme, the smart card executes the session in case of wrong password inputs.

Case 1. If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* , then smart card executes the login phase without verifying the correctness of password as follows:

- The smart card generates a random number r_U and computes $W = h(PW_U^* || N)$, then H^* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^* &= B \oplus W^* \\
&= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U || N) \oplus h(PW_U^* || N) \\
&\neq h(ID_U \oplus d) = H, \text{ as } PW_U \neq PW_U^*
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it achieves $h_1^* = h(H^* || r_U)$, $X^* = (h_1^* || r_U)^e \pmod{n}$, and sends $M_1^* = \{ID_U, X^*\}$ to S .

- Upon receiving M_1^* , S achieves $h_1^* || r_U = X^{*d} \pmod{n}$ and then verifies the validity of ID_U . The verification holds. However, when it computes $H = h(ID_U \oplus d)$ and checks $h_1^* = h(H || r_U)$. The verification does not hold, as $H \neq H^*$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication fails.

7 Review of Lee and Liu's Scheme

Lee and Liu [8] presented the enhanced authentication scheme based on the scheme of Zhu's scheme [21] to eliminate the weaknesses of Zhu's scheme. This scheme comprises of initial phase, registration, authentication and key agreement and password change phase. Since the initialization phase is same as Zhu's scheme. So, we only briefly discuss registration, authentication and key agreement and password change phase, which is as follows:

7.1 Initial Phase

This phase is similar to the Zhu's scheme [21].

7.2 Registration Phase

This phase is also similar to the Zhu's scheme, the only difference is that the smart card stores one more parameter a serial number $SN_U = 0$. Finally, the smart card stores the values $\{n, e, ID_U, B, N, SN_U\}$.

7.3 Authentication Phase

To verify the authenticity of each other the server and user perform the following steps:

Step 1. U inserts the smart card into a card reader and inputs his password PW_U . Then, SC generates a random number r_U , computes $W = h(PW_U || N)$, $SN_U = SN_{U+1}$, $H = B \oplus W$, $h_1 = h(H || r_U || SN_U)$, $X = (ID_U || h_1 || r_U || SN_U)^e \pmod{n}$, and sends $M_1 = \{X\}$ to S .

Step 2. Upon receiving M_1 , S achieves $ID_U || h'_1 || r'_U || SN'_U = X^d \pmod{n}$ and then verifies the validity of ID_U and check $SN'_U > SN$, $h'_1 = h(h(ID_U \oplus d) || r'_U || SN'_U)$, where SN is initialized as 0 and stores in S database. If verification does not hold, terminates the session. otherwise, S generates a random number r_S and computes $h_2 = h(ID_U || r'_U || r_S || SN'_U)$ and sends $M_2 = \langle h_2, r_S \oplus r'_U \rangle$ to U . S also updates SN with SN'_U and keeps it until this session is completed.

Step 3. Upon receiving M_2 , U achieves $r'_S = r_S \oplus r'_U \oplus r_U$ and verifies $h_2 =? h(ID_U || r_U || r'_S || SN_U)$. If verification fails, U stops the session. Otherwise, U calculates $sk = h(ID_U || r'_S || r_U || SN_U)$ and $h_3 = h(SK)$, then sends $M_3 = \langle h_3 \rangle$ to S .

Step 4. Upon receiving M_3 , S computes $sk' = h(ID_U || r_S || r'_U || SN'_U)$ and verifies $h_3 =? h(sk')$. If verification fails, S stops the session. Otherwise, S consider U as authorized user and $sk = sk'$ as the session key.

7.4 Password Change Phase

A user U with valid smart card a correct password can change the password as follows:

Step 1. U insert the smart in the card reader with old password PW_U and new password PW_{new} .

Step 2. The smart card computes $W = h(PW_U || N)$, $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} || N)$ and $B_{new} = B \oplus W \oplus W_{new}$.

Step 3. The smart card replaces B with B_{new} .

8 Analysis of Lee and Liu's Scheme

8.1 Inefficient login phase:

In Lee and Liu's scheme, the smart card executes the session without verifying the correctness of input. If a user inputs wrong password PW_U^* , then smart card executes the login phase without verifying the correctness of password as follows:

- The smart card generates a random number r_U and computes $W = h(PW_U^* || N)$, then H^* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H^* &= B \oplus W^* \\ &= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U || N) \oplus h(PW_U^* || N) \\ &\neq h(ID_U \oplus d) = H, \text{ as } PW_U \neq PW_U^* \end{aligned}$$

Then, it achieves $h_1^* = h(H^* || r_U || SN_U)$, $X^* = (ID_U || h_1^* || r_U || SN_U)^e \pmod{n}$, and sends $M_1^* = \{X^*\}$ to S .

- Upon receiving M_1^* , S achieves $ID_U || h_1^* || r_U || SN_U = X^{*d} \pmod{n}$ and then verifies the validity of ID_U and check $SN'_U > SN$. The verification holds. However, when it computes $H = h(ID_U \oplus d)$ and checks $h_1^* = h(H || r_U || SN_U)$. The verification does not hold, as $H \neq H^*$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication fails.

If a user will try again and again by inputting the wrong password. However, the increase number trials would flood up the network with fake requests. Additionally, it will also cause the server to indulge in unnecessary calculations causing wastage of time and resources.

8.2 Inefficient password change phase

In Lee and Liu's scheme, the user can change his password independently without server's assistance. However, the smart card does not verifies the user's password password. When a user inputs the wrong password, the Smart card does not verifies the correctness of password and process the password change request. However, a user may enter a wrong password, as human may sometime forgot the password or commit some mistake. This may cause the denial of service scenario where a user will no longer communicate with server using the same smart card. If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* instead of PW_U , then the password change phase works as follows:

- Compute $W^* = h(PW_U^* || N)$ and then $B^* = B \oplus W^* \oplus h(PW_{new} || N) = h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U || N) \oplus h(PW_U^* || N) \oplus h(PW_{new} || N) \neq h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_{new} || N)$ as $PW_U \neq PW_U^*$.
- Replace B with B^* .

The password change phase succeeds, in case of wrong password input. This may cause *denial of service attack*, which is clear from the following facts:

- When U inputs new password PW_{new} into smart card for login. Then, smart card generates a random number r_U and computes $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} || N)$, then H^* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^* &= B^* \oplus W_{new} \\
&= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U || N) \oplus h(PW_U^* || N) \oplus h(PW_{new} || N) \oplus h(PW_{new} || N) \\
&= h(ID_U \oplus d) \oplus h(PW_U || N) \oplus h(PW_U^* || N) \\
&\neq h(ID_U \oplus d) = H, \text{ as } PW_U \neq PW_U^*
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it achieves $h_1^* = h(H^* || r_U || SN_U)$, $X^* = (ID_U || h_1^* || r_U || SN_U)^e \pmod{n}$, and sends $M_1^* = \{X^*\}$ to S .

- Upon receiving M_1^* , S achieves $ID_U || h_1^* || r_U || SN_U = X^{*d} \pmod{n}$ and then verifies the validity of ID_U and check $SN'_U > SN$. The verification holds. However, when it computes $H = h(ID_U \oplus d)$ and checks $h_1^* = h(H || r_U || SN_U)$. The verification does not hold, as $H \neq H^*$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication fails.

In this case, user can never login to the server. This shows that denial of service attack succeeds if user inputs wrong password in password change phase.

9 Review of Lin's Dynamic ID-based Authentication Scheme for Telecare Medical Information Systems

In 2013, Lin [10] proposed an improvement of Chen et al.'s dynamic ID-based authentication scheme for TMIS [3]. Their scheme is motivated by Zhu's scheme [21] and based on RSA public-key cryptosystem [15]. In their scheme, medical server S chooses two large primes p and q then computes $N = pq$. S also chooses an integer e which is relatively prime to $(p-1)(q-1)$ and derives d such that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. Lin's scheme consists registration, login, verification and password change phases which is similar to Chen et al.'s scheme. The brief description of registration, login, verification and password change phases are given below:

9.1 Registration Phase

A user U registers his identity ID_U to the medical server S and gets the medical smart card SC with personalized security parameters as follows:

- Step 1.** User U selects a password and an integer $t \in Z_N$. U computes $W = h(PW_U \oplus t)$ and sends the message $\langle ID_U, W \rangle$ to S via secure channel.
- Step 2.** Upon receiving U 's message $\langle ID_U, W \rangle$, S computes $v = W \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U)$. Then S personalizes U 's medical smart card by embedding the parameters $\{N, v, e\}$ and returns the medical smart card to U via secure channel.
- Step 3.** Upon receiving the smart card, U stores t into the smart card, i.e., smart card stores $\{N, v, e, t\}$.

9.2 Login Phase

The user U inserts his smart card SC into the smart card reader, and inputs ID_U and PW_U . SC chooses $k \in Z_N$ and computes $W = h(PW_U \oplus t)$, $H = v \oplus W$, $CID = h(H \oplus k)$, $R = h(CID, k, ID_U, T_1)$ and $X = (CID || k || ID_U)^e \pmod{N}$ where T_1 is the current timestamp. Finally, the message $\langle X, R, T_1 \rangle$ is sent to S .

9.3 Verification Phase

In verification phase, user U and medical server S verify the authenticate each other and compute session key as follows:

Step 1. Upon receiving U 's message $\langle X, R, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies $T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta t$ where T_2 is the message receiving time. If time delay in message transmission is invalid, S denies the request. Otherwise, S computes $X^d \bmod N = (CID || k || ID_U)$, $H = h(d \oplus ID_U)$ and $R = h(h(H \oplus k), k, ID_U, T_1)$.

Step 2. Server S checks $CID \stackrel{?}{=} h(H \oplus k)$ and $R \stackrel{?}{=} R'$. If the verification does not hold, S terminates the session. Otherwise, S computes $\lambda = h(H, CID, R, T_1, T_2)$ and $V = h(\lambda, H, T_1, T_2)$ then responds with message $\langle V, T_2 \rangle$ to U .

Step 3. Upon receiving the message $\langle V, T_2 \rangle$, SC verifies $T_3 - T_4 \leq \Delta t$. If the verification fails, SC terminates the session. Otherwise, SC computes $\lambda' = h(H, CID, R, T_1, T_2)$ and $V' = h(\lambda', H, T_1, T_2)$. Then SC verifies $V \stackrel{?}{=} V'$. If condition holds, the verification is succeeded. Moreover, U and S consider λ as the session key.

9.4 Password Change Phase

Any legal user U can change the password by adopting the following steps.

Step 1. The user U inputs his current password PW_U and new password PW_{new} .

Step 2. The smart card SC computes $v_{new} = v \oplus h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus (PW_{new} \oplus t)$ and replace v with v_{new} .

10 Analysis of Lin's Scheme

This section demonstrates the inefficiency of Lin's scheme login and password change phase and their consequences.

10.1 Inefficient password change phase

If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* instead of PW_U , then the smart card executes the password change phase as follows:

- Compute $W^* = h(PW_U^* \oplus t)$ and then $v^* = v \oplus W^* \oplus h(PW_{new} \oplus t) = h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t) \oplus h(PW_{new} \oplus t)$.
- Replace v with v^* , where $v^* \neq h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_{new} \oplus t)$, as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$.

The smart card inability of wrong password detection, may cause **denial of service attack**, which is clear from the following facts:

- U inputs ID_U and PW_{new} into smart card. Then, smart card chooses $k \in Z_N$ and computes $W = h(PW_{new} \oplus t)$, then H^* is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^* &= v^* \oplus W \\
&= h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t) \oplus h(PW_{new} \oplus t) \oplus h(PW_{new} \oplus t) \\
&= h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t) \neq h(PW_U \oplus t)
\end{aligned}$$

It also computes $CID^* = h(H^* \oplus k)$, $R^* = h(CID^*, k, ID_U, T_1)$, and $X^* = (CID^* || k || ID_U)^e \bmod N$, where T_1 is the current time stamp. Finally, it sends the login message $\langle X^*, R^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .

- Upon receiving U 's message at time T_2 , S verifies $T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta t$. The validation holds, as the message is originally sent by user. Then, S computes $X^d \bmod N = (CID^* || k || ID_U)$, $H = h(d \oplus ID_U)$, $R = h(h(H \oplus k), k, ID_U, T_1)$.
- S verifies $CID^* =? h(H \oplus k)$ and $R =? R^*$. The verification fails, since $CID^* = h(h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t) \oplus k)$ as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$. Moreover, $R \neq R^*$ as $H^* \neq h(d \oplus ID_U)$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication fails.

In this case, user can never login into the server because of denial of service scenario for legal user.

10.2 Inefficient login phase:

An efficient smart card based remote user authentication scheme should be able to identify incorrect login request so that extra communication and computation cost should not occur due to incorrect login. However, in Lin's scheme, the smart card does not verify the correctness of input. And, if a patient inputs incorrect identity or password, the smart card executes the login session. In this case, the following cases are possible:

Case 1. If user inputs correct identity ID_U and incorrect password PW_U^* . Then without verifying the input, the smart card executes the login phase as follows:

- The smart card chooses $k \in Z_N$ and computes $W^* = h(PW_U^* \oplus t)$, then H^* as:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^* &= v \oplus W^* \\
&= h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t)
\end{aligned}$$

U also computes $CID^* = h(H^* \oplus k)$, $R^* = h(CID^*, k, ID_U, T_1)$ and $X^* = (CID^* || k || ID_U)^e \bmod N$, where T_1 is the current time stamp. Finally, it sends the login message $\langle X^*, R^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .

- Upon receiving the message, S verifies $T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta t$, where T_2 is S 's message receiving time. If time delay in message transmission is valid, S computes $(X^*)^d \bmod N = (CID^* || k || ID_U)$, $H = h(d \oplus ID_U)$, $R = h(h(H \oplus k), k, ID_U, T_1)$.
- S verifies $CID^* = ?h(H \oplus k)$ and $R = ?R^*$. The verification fails, since $H^* = h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t)$ instead of $h(d \oplus ID_U)$ as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$. Moreover, $R \neq R^*$, as $H^* \neq h(d \oplus ID_U)$.
- S terminates the session, as message authentication does not hold.

Case 2. If a user inputs incorrect identity ID_U and correct password PW_U . However, the smart card does not verify the correctness of input identity and executes the session, which works as follows:

- Smart card chooses $k \in Z_N$. It computes $W = h(PW_U \oplus t)$, $H = v \oplus W$, $CID = h(H \oplus k)$, $R^* = h(CID, k, ID_U^*, T_1)$ and $X^* = (CID || k || ID_U^*)^e \bmod N$, where T_1 is the current time stamp. Finally, it sends login message $\langle X^*, R^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle X^*, R^*, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies $T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta t$, where T_2 is the message receiving time. If time delay in message transmission is valid, S computes $X^d \bmod N = (CID || k || ID_U^*)$, $H' = h(d \oplus ID_U^*)$, $R' = h(h(H' \oplus k), k, ID_U^*, T_1)$.
- When, S verifies $CID = ?h(H' \oplus k)$, the verification does not hold, since $H = h(d \oplus ID_U)$ while $H' = h(d \oplus ID_U^*)$ where $ID_U^* \neq ID_U$. Moreover, the condition $R = ?R'$ is not satisfied.
- Finally, S terminates the session.

Case 3. If User inputs incorrect identity and password, then also the smart card executes the login session as follows:

- The smart card chooses $k \in Z_N$ and computes $W^* = h(PW_U^* \oplus t)$, then H^* as:

$$\begin{aligned}
H^* &= v \oplus W^* \\
&= h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t) \\
&= h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t)
\end{aligned}$$

U also computes $CID^* = h(H^* \oplus k)$, $R^* = h(CID^*, k, ID_U^*, T_1)$ and $X^* = (CID^* || k || ID_U^*)^e \bmod N$, where T_1 is the current time stamp. Finally, U sends the login message $\langle X^*, R^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .

- Upon receiving the message, S verifies $T_2 - T_1 \leq \Delta t$, where T_2 is the message receiving time. If time delay in message transmission is valid, S computes $(X^*)^d \bmod N = (CID^* || k || ID_U^*)$, $H' = h(d \oplus ID_U^*)$, $R' = h(h(H' \oplus k), k, ID_U^*, T_1)$.

- S verifies $CID^* = ?h(H' \oplus k)$, the verification fails because $H^* = h(PW_U \oplus t) \oplus h(d \oplus ID_U) \oplus h(PW_U^* \oplus t)$ while $H' = h(d \oplus ID_U^*)$. Moreover, $R = ?R^*$ also does not hold. So, the verification fails.
- S terminates the session, as message verification does not hold.

Case 4. An attacker can also intercept and modify the login message, which is justified as follows:

- An attacker intercepts U 's login message $\langle X, R, T_1 \rangle$.
- Replace the message with $\langle X, R^*, T_1 \rangle$, where $R^* = h(R||T_1)$.
- On receiving the message, when S verifies $R = ?R^*$. The verification fails because $R = h(h(H \oplus k), k, ID_U, T_1)$ while $R^* = h(R||T_1)$. Thus, S terminates the session.

In both the cases, wrong password input and message impersonation attack, session terminates. And, user may not identify the correct reason of session failure.

11 Review of Cao and Zhai's Scheme

In 2013, Cao et al. [2] proposed an improvement of Chen et al.'s dynamic ID-based authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems [3]. In their scheme, the server initially chooses two large prime numbers p and q , where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The server keeps p and q secret and makes $n = pq$ public. The Cao and Zhai's Scheme comprises the following phases:

- (i) Registration.
- (ii) Authentication and key agreement.
- (iii) Password change
- (iv) Lost smart card revocation

11.1 Registration Phase

Step 1. U selects an appropriate identity ID_U , password PW_U and chooses a random number b . Then, he calculates $W = h(b||PW_U)$ and submits $\{ID_U, W\}$ to S .

Step 2. S verifies ID_U and the user account database. If the patient is unregistered, S stores ID_U and $N = 0$ into the U 's account database.

Step 3. S calculates $J = h(p||q||ID_U||N)$ and $L = J \oplus W$. Finally, it embeds the parameters $(L, n, h(\cdot))$ into smart card and issues the smart card to U .

Step 4. Upon receiving the smart card, U stores b into the smart card.

11.2 Authentication and key agreement phase

U inserts his smart card into the card reader and inputs his identity and password to execute the login.

Step 1. SC chooses a random number r_u and calculates $J = L \oplus h(b||PW_U)$ and $AID = (ID_U||J||r_u)^2 \pmod n$. Then, it sends the login message $\{AID\}$ to S .

Step 2. Upon receiving the login message, S decrypts $\{AID\}$ using its private keys p and q and get $(ID'_U||J'||r')$. S verifies the validity of ID'_U . If verification holds, S extracts the corresponding value N from its database. Then, it achieves $J = h(p||q||ID'_U||N)$ and verifies $J =? J'$. If verification holds, S chooses a random number r_s and computes $K_s = h(r_u||r_s)$ and $C_s = h(K_s||r_s)$. Finally, it sends $\{r_s, C_s\}$ to U .

Step 3. Upon receiving $\{r_s, C_s\}$, U achieves $K_u = h(r_u||r_s)$ and verifies $C_s =? h(K_u||r_s)$. If condition does not hold, U terminates the session. On the contrary, U identifies K_u as the session key and sends $C_u = h(r_s||K_u)$ to S .

Step 4. Upon receiving C_u , S verifies $C_u =? h(r_s||K_s)$. If condition does not hold, S stops the session. On the contrary, S decides K_s as the session key.

11.3 Password Change Phase

A legal user U can change the password by inputting the identity ID_U , the old password PW_U and the new password PW_{new} as follows.

Step 1 This step follows *Step 1 & 2 of Authentication and key agreement phase*.

Step 2. Upon achieving $\{r_s, C_s\}$, U computes $K_u = h(r_u||r_s)$ and verifies $C_s =? h(K_u||r_s)$. If condition does not hold, SC terminates the session. Otherwise, it computes $L_{new} = L \oplus h(b||PW_U) \oplus h(b||PW_{new})$ and updates L with L_{new} .

11.4 Lost Smart Card Revocation

If a legal user lost his smart card, he can achieve a new smart card from server as follows:

Step 1. U selects a password PW_U and a random number b . U computes $W = h(b||PW_U)$ and sends $\{ID_U, W\}$ to S .

Step 2. Let $N = N + 1$, then S achieves $J = h(p||q||ID_U||N)$ and $L = J \oplus W$. Finally, S embeds $(L, n, h(\cdot))$ into the smart card and issues the card to U while U stores b into the smart card.

12 Analysis of Cao and Zhai's Scheme

In this section, we will show that Cao and Zhai's [2] scheme does not provide efficient login and user-friendly password change phase. Additionally, the scheme is vulnerable to known session specific temporary information attack and replay attack.

12.1 Inefficient login phase:

A smart card based authentication scheme should be able to detect incorrect login so that unnecessary computation can be avoided [4]. However, Cao and Zhai's scheme, smart card do not verify the correctness of input parameters (identity and password). A user can input wrong input, as human may forget or use one account identity into another account or by mistake he may enter a wrong input. In case of incorrect login (wrong identity and password input), smart card executes the session in Cao and Zhai's scheme. Then, the following cases take place:

Case 1. If a user inputs the correct identity and wrong password, then login session executes as follows:

- U inputs correct identity ID_U and wrong password PW_U^* into the smart card. Without verifying the correctness of input parameters, the smart card selects a random number r_u and achieves $J^* = L \oplus h(b||PW_U^*) = h(p||q||ID_U||N) \oplus h(b||PW_U) \oplus h(b||PW_U^*)$.
- Smart card also computes $AID^* = (ID_U||J^*||r_u)^2 \pmod{n}$, then sends the login message $\langle AID^* \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle AID^* \rangle$, S decrypts AID^* and achieves $(ID_U||J^*||r_u)$. S verifies the correctness of ID_U . The verification holds, as ID_U is correctly entered. Then S retrieves the corresponding value N . It computes $J = h(p||q||ID_U||N)$ and verifies $J = ? J^*$.
- The verification does not hold, since $J^* = h(p||q||ID_U||N) \oplus h(b||PW_U) \oplus h(b||PW_U^*)$. Then, S terminates the session.

Case 2. If the user enters incorrect identity and correct password. In this case, there are two possibilities:

1. Input incorrect identity may match with some other legitimate user identity, as a mistaken identity can be the legitimate identity of any valid user.
2. Input incorrect identity may not match with any user identity.

Case 2.1. When user U inputs incorrect identity ID_U^* and correct password PW_U into the smart card. If incorrect identity matches with some legitimate user identity in the system the process executes as follows:

- Without verifying the correctness of input parameters, the smart card selects a random number r_u and achieves $J = L \oplus h(b||PW_U) = h(p||q||ID_U||N) \oplus h(b||PW_U) \oplus h(b||PW_U) = h(p||q||ID_U||N)$.
- Smart card also computes $AID^* = (ID_U^*||J||r_u)^2 \pmod{n}$, then sends the login message $\langle AID^* \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle AID^* \rangle$, S decrypts AID^* and achieves $(ID_U^*||J||r_u)$. S verifies the correctness of ID_U . If ID_U^* matches with some legitimate user identity, the verification holds. Then, S retrieves the corresponding value N^* and computes $J^* = h(p||q||ID_U^*||N^*)$ and verifies $J =? J^*$. The verification does not hold, as $ID_U \neq ID_U^*$. So, S terminates the session.

Case 2.2. If input incorrect identity does not match with any legitimate user identity.

- U inputs incorrect identity ID_U^* into the smart card. Then, the smart card selects a random number r_u and achieves $J = L \oplus h(b||PW_U) = h(p||q||ID_U||N) \oplus h(b||PW_U) \oplus h(b||PW_U) = h(p||q||ID_U||N)$.
- Smart card also computes $AID^* = (ID_U^*||J||r_u)^2 \pmod{n}$, then sends the login message $\langle AID^* \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle AID^* \rangle$, S decrypts AID^* and achieves $(ID_U^*||J||r_u)$. S verifies the correctness of ID_U^* . The verification does not hold, as the identity does not match with any legitimate user identity.

Case 3. If both input parameters (identity and password) are incorrect, then *Case 1* or *Case 2.2* will repeat.

12.2 Unfriendly password change phase

A user should be allowed to change the password without server assistance [9]. However, in Cao and Zhai's scheme, a user cannot change the password independently without server's assistance. To change the password, the user has to communicate with the server each time. This makes the password change phase unfriendly.

13 Review of Xie et al.'s Scheme

In 2013, Xie et al. [10] proposed an improvement of Chen et al.'s dynamic ID-based authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems [3]. Their scheme is based on RSA public-key cryptosystem [15]. In which, the medical server S chooses two large primes p and q , then computes $n = pq$. It also chooses an integer e , which is relatively prime to $(p-1)(q-1)$ and derives X such that $eX \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. Xie et al.'s scheme also has the similar phases as Cao and Zhai's scheme. Xie et al.'s Scheme comprises the following phases:

- (i) Registration.
- (ii) Login.
- (iii) Verification.
- (iv) Password change.
- (iv) Smart card revocation.

13.1 Registration Phase

A patient can registers his identity with the server and gets the smart card as follows:

Step 1. U selects his identity ID_U and password PW_U , then submits ID_U with registration request to the medical server.

Step 2. Upon receiving the U 's message, S checks the legitimacy of ID_U . If this is invalid, it terminates the session. Otherwise, it assigns a card number SC , then computes $J = h(X||ID_U||N||SC)$. It also keeps the account table, which includes $(ID_U, RID) = (ID_U, E_X(ID_U, N, SC))$ to maintain the record of patient registration identity ID_U , card number SC , registration time N (If patient is newly registered $N=0$, otherwise, $N = N+1$).

Step 3. S embeds the values $\{ID_U, SC, N, J, n, e, h(\cdot)\}$ into the smart card and then returns the medical smart card to U via secure channel.

Step 3. U computes $L = J \oplus h(PW_U)$ and then replace J with L .

13.2 Login Phase

When a patient wishes to login into TMIS server, he inserts his smart card into the card reader, then login session executes as follows:

Step 1. The smart card generates a random number a and achieves $J = L \oplus h(PW_U)$, $A = J^a \pmod{n}$, $C_1 = h(T_u||J||A)$ and $AID = (ID_U||N||SC||C_1||A)^e \pmod{n}$, where T_u is the current timestamp.

Step 2. Smart card sends the login message $\langle AID, T_u \rangle$ to S .

13.3 Verification Phase

Smart card and server performs the following steps to authenticate each other:

Step 1. Upon receiving the message $\langle AID, T_u \rangle$ at time T , S Verify $T - T_u \leq \Delta t$, where Δt is the valid time delay in message transmission. If time delay is invalid, terminate the session. Otherwise, S computes $AID^X \pmod n = (ID_U || N || SC || C_1 || A)$ and then $J = h(X || ID_U || N || SC)$ and $C'_1 = h(T_u || J || A)$. It also decrypts RID and achieves (ID_U, N, SC) .

Step 2. S verifies the validity of ID_U , SC and C'_1 . If verification does not hold, terminate the session. Otherwise, S generates a random number b and then computes $B = J^b \pmod n$, $C = A^b \pmod n = J^{ab} \pmod n$ and $C_2 = h(C_1 || C || T_s || B)$. Finally, S sends the message $\{C_2, T_s, B\}$ to U , where T_s is the current timestamp.

Step 3. Upon receiving S 's message $\{C_2, T_s, B\}$ at time T' , smart card verifies $T' - T_s \leq \Delta t$. If verification fails, terminate the session. Otherwise, it computes $C = B^a \pmod n = J^{ab} \pmod n$ and $C'_2 = h(C_1 || C || T_s || B)$. Then, it verifies $C_2 = ? C'_2$. If it holds, U computes $sk = h(T_u || C || T_s)$.

13.4 Password Change Phase

Any legal user U can change the password by using the following steps.

Step 1. U inputs his old password PW_U and new password PW_{new} into his smart card.

Step 2. Smart card computes $L_{new} = L \oplus h(PW_U) \oplus h(PW_{new})$, then replaces L with L_{new} .

13.5 Smart Card Revocation

If a legal user lost his smart card, then user can get a new smart card from server as follows:

Step 1. U submits its new smart card request with ID_U to S .

Step 2. S verifies the validity of U 's ID card. Then, server adopts the same procedure as in registration phase. Additionally, it replaces N with $N + 1$ and then $RID = E_X(ID_U, N, SC)$ with $RID' = E_X(ID_U, N, SC')$ in account table, where SC' is a new smart card number.

14 Analysis of Xie et al.'s Scheme

In this section, we will show that Xie et al.'s scheme does not provide efficient login and password change phase.

14.1 Inefficient login phase:

In Xie et al.'s scheme, smart card does not verify the correctness of input. However, a human may sometimes input wrong password due to mistake. If user inputs incorrect password even then the smart card executes the login phase, which is as follows:

- U inputs incorrect password PW_U^* into smart card. Then, the smart card generates a random number a and achieves $J^* = L \oplus h(PW_U^*) = h(X || ID_U || N || SC) \oplus h(PW_U) \oplus h(PW_U^*)$.
- Smart card also computes $A^* = J^{*a} \pmod{n}$, $C_1^* = h(T_u || J^* || A^*)$ and $AID^* = (ID_U || N || SC || C_1^* || A^*)^e \pmod{n}$, where T_u is the current time stamp.
- Finally, smart card sends the login message $\langle AID^*, T_u \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle AID^*, T_u \rangle$ at time T , S verifies $T - T_u \leq \Delta t$. The verification succeeds, as T_u is the valid timestamp. Then, S computes $AID^{*X} \pmod{n} = (ID_U || N || SC || C_1^* || A^*)$ and then $J = h(X || ID_U || N || SC)$ and $C_1 = h(T_u || J || A^*)$. It also decrypts RID and achieves (ID_U, N, SC) .
- S verifies the validity of ID_U , SC and $C_1 = ? C_1^*$. The verification does not hold, as $C_1 \neq C_1^*$ since $J \neq J^*$.
- S terminates the session as message authentication does not hold.

An attacker can track, intercept, and record the messages. Let the attacker has achieved an previously transmitted message $\langle AID, T_u \rangle$. An attacker can also modify and replace the messages that transmit via public channel.

In case if user inputs correct password. Although, the attacker intercepts the message $\langle AID, T_u \rangle$ and replaces with old transmitted message $\langle AID', T_E \rangle$ as an attacker can intercept, modify, record, and replace the message that transmit via public channel, where AID' is the old transmitted value and T_E is current timestamp. Then, on receiving the message $\langle AID', T_E \rangle$, server performs the following steps:

- S verifies $T - T_E \leq \Delta t$, where T is the message receiving time. The verification succeeds, as attacker usage its current timestamp.
- S computes $AID'^X \pmod{n} = (ID_U || N || SC || C_1' || A')$, where $A' = J^a \pmod{n}$ and $C_1 = h(T_u' || J || A')$ for old timestamp T_u' . Then, compute $J = h(X || ID_U || N || SC)$ and $C_1 = h(T_E || J || A)$. It also decrypts RID and achieves (ID_U, N, SC) .
- S verifies the validity of ID_U , SC and $C_1 = ? C_1'$. The verification of $C_1 = ? C_1'$ does not hold, as $T_E' \neq T_u$.
- Finally, S terminates the session as message authentication does not hold.

In **case 1**, in spite of wrong password the smart card executes the login session. However, server terminates the session. Moreover, in case of message impersonation attack (**case 2**), the server also terminates the session in same step. Therefore, if the session terminates, it will be difficult for user to identify that exactly why the session has terminated.

14.2 Inefficient password change phase

User can change his password independently without server's assistance. However, the smart card does not verify the user's password. When a user inputs the wrong password, the smart card does not verify the correctness of password and processes the password change request. However, a user may enter a wrong password, as human may sometimes forget the password or commit some mistake. This may cause the denial of service scenario where a user will no longer communicate with server using the same smart card.

If user inputs wrong password PW_U^* instead of PW_U , then the smart card executes the password change phase as follows:

- Compute $h(PW_U^*)$ and then achieve $J^* = L \oplus h(PW_U^*) = J \oplus h(PW_U) \oplus h(PW_U^*)$, as $PW_U \neq PW_U^*$.
- Compute $L_{new} = J^* \oplus h(PW_{new}) = J \oplus h(PW_U) \oplus h(PW_U^*) \oplus h(PW_{new})$ and then replace L with L_{new} .

The smart card inability of wrong password detection, may cause **denial of service attack**, which is clear from the following facts:

- U inputs PW_{new} into smart card. Then, the smart card generates a random number a and computes $J' = L_{new} \oplus h(PW_{new}) = J \oplus h(PW_U) \oplus h(PW_U^*) \neq J = h(X||ID_U||N||SC)$.
- Smart card also computes $A' = J'^a \pmod{n}$, $C'_1 = h(T_u||J'||A')$ and $AID' = (ID_U||N||SC||C'_1||A')^e \pmod{n}$ with current timestamp T_u . Then, it sends the login message $\langle AID', T_u \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle AID', T_u \rangle$ at time T , S verifies $T - T_u \leq \Delta t$, which holds, as T_u is the valid timestamp. Then, S computes $AID^X \pmod{n} = (ID_U||N||SC||C_1^*||A^*)$ and then $J = h(X||ID_U||N||SC)$ and $C_1 = h(T_u||J||A')$. It also decrypts RID and achieves (ID_U, N, SC) .
- S verifies the validity of ID_U , SC and $C_1 = ?C_1^*$. The verification of $C_1 = ?C_1^*$ does not hold, as $J \neq J'$. Then, it terminates the session.

The above discussion indicates that user can never login to the server after inputting the wrong password in password change phase. This causes **denial of service attack** for legal user. Moreover, user can not track the mistake, as the message also terminates because of inefficient login phase.

15 Review of Xu et al.'s Authentication and Key Agreement Scheme Based on ECC for Telecare Medicine Information Systems

In 2014, Xu et al. [20] presented a two-factor authenticated key agreement Scheme based on ECC for TMIS. Their scheme comprises four phases, namely, registration phase, login phase, verification phase and password update phase.

Before initiating the registration phase, the server S opts an elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}$ and generates a n th order group $E_p(a, b)$ over the prime field Z_p^* , where n is a large prime number. S chooses a base point $P = (x_0, y_0)$ such that $n \cdot P = O$. S selects a random number $s \in Z_p^*$ and compute $Y = s \cdot P$. S also chooses two one-way hash functions $h(\cdot) : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow Z_p^*$ and $h_1(\cdot) : G_p \times G_p \rightarrow Z_p^*$, where G_p is a additive elliptic curve group. S keeps s secret.

15.1 Registration Phase

A user U registers his identity ID_U to the medical server S and gets the medical smart card SC with personalized security parameters as follows:

Step 1. User U selects a password PW_U and a random number r . U computes $W = h(PW_U || r)$ and sends the message $\langle ID_U, W \rangle$ to S via secure channel.

Step 2. Upon receiving U 's request, S computes $H = h(s \oplus ID_U)$ and $B = H \oplus W$. S personalizes U 's smart card by embedding the parameters $\{E_p, P, Y, B, h(\cdot), h_1(\cdot)\}$, and sends the smart card to U via secure channel.

Step 3. Upon receiving the smart card, U stores r into the smart card.

15.2 Login Phase

The user U inserts his smart card SC into the card reader, and inputs ID_U and PW_U . SC computes $W = h(PW_U || r)$, $H = B \oplus W$, $C_1 = a \cdot P$, $C_2 = a \cdot Y$, $CID = ID_U \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $F = h(ID_U || H || T_1)$ for secret nonce a selected by U and T_1 is the current timestamp. Finally, SC sends the login message $\langle C_1, CID, F, T_1 \rangle$ to S .

15.3 Verification Phase

User U and server S verify the authenticate each other and compute session key as follows:

Step 1. Upon receiving the message $\langle C_1, CID, F, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies T_1 . If T_1 is a null timestamp, S terminates the session. Otherwise, S computes $C'_2 = s \cdot C_1$, $ID'_U = CID \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $H' = h(s \oplus ID'_U)$. Then S verifies $F \stackrel{?}{=} h(ID'_U || H' || T_1)$. If verification does not hold, the session is terminated. Otherwise, S considers U as a legitimate user.

Step 2. Server S chooses a nonce C , and computes $D_1 = c \cdot P$, $D_2 = c \cdot C_1$, $sk = h(ID_U || h_1(D_2) || H')$ and $G = h(sk || H' || T_2)$ and then responds with message $\langle D_1, G, T_2 \rangle$ to U , where T_2 is the current timestamp.

Step 3. Upon receiving the message $\langle D_1, G, T_2 \rangle$, SC verifies the validity of T_2 . If the verification holds, SC computes $D'_2 = a \cdot D_1$ and $sk' = h(ID_U || h_1(D'_2) || H)$. Then SC verifies $G \stackrel{?}{=} h(sk' || H || T_2)$. If the verification succeeds, U considers S as an authorized server. Moreover, U and S consider sk as the session key.

15.4 Password Change Phase

Any user U can change the password by adopting the following steps.

Step 1. U inputs PW_U and ID_U . Then SC computes $W = h(PW || r)$ and $H = B \oplus W$.

Step 2. U is asked to enter a new password PW_{new} . Upon receiving PW_{new} , SC computes $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} || r)$ and $B_{new} = H \oplus W_{new}$, and replaces B with B_{new} .

16 Flaws in Xu et al.'s Scheme

This section demonstrates the inefficiency of login and password change phases of Xu et al.'s scheme and their disadvantages.

16.1 Inefficient password change phase

Xu et al.'s scheme executes the password change phase without verify the correctness of input. If a user commits some mistake in entering current password, outcome will be denial of service attack. The following discussion justified the claim:

- A user U inputs identity ID_U and incorrect current password PW_U^* .
- The smart card computes $W^* = h(PW_U^* || r)$ and then $H^* = B \oplus W^* = H \oplus h(PW_U || r) \oplus h(PW_U^* || r) \neq H$ as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$.
- U inputs a new password PW_{new} and smart card computes $W_{new} = h(PW_{new} || r)$ and $B_{new}^* = H^* \oplus W_{new}$.

The inability of incorrect input detection, leads to denial of service scenario for an authorized user as follows:

The user U inserts his smart card SC into the card reader, and inputs ID_U and PW_U . SC computes $W = h(PW_U || r)$, $H = B \oplus W$, $C_1 = a \cdot P$, $C_2 = a \cdot Y$, $CID = ID_U \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $F = h(ID_U || H || T_1)$ for secret nonce a and current timestamp T_1 . Finally, SC sends the login message $\langle C_1, CID, F, T_1 \rangle$ to S .

- To login, U inputs identity ID_U and new changed password PW_{new} . SC computes $W_{new} = h(PW_{new}||r)$ and then $H^* = B_{new}^* \oplus W_{new}$.
- SC also computes $C_1 = a \cdot P$, $C_2 = a \cdot Y$, $CID = ID_U \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $F^* = h(ID_U || H^* || T_1)$ for secret nonce a and current timestamp T_1 . Finally, SC sends the login message $\langle C_1, CID, F^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle C_1, CID, F^*, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies T_1 . The verification holds as U usage current timestamp. Then S computes $C'_2 = s \cdot C_1$, $ID'_U = CID \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $H' = h(s \oplus ID'_U)$. Then S verifies $F^* \stackrel{?}{=} h(ID'_U || H' || T_1)$. The verification does not hold as $H^* \neq H$. The session is terminated.

The above discussion shows that user cannot login to the medical server using the same smart card due to flaws in password change phase. This shows that inefficient password change phase can lead to denial of service attack for a legitimate user.

16.2 Inefficient login phase:

Xu et al.'s scheme does not check the correctness of input before executing the login session. Therefore, the login session can be executed in case of incorrect input. This causes extra communication and computation overhead which is justified as follows:

Case 1. User U inputs correct identity ID_U , but incorrect password PW_U^* due to mistake.

- SC computes $W^* = h(PW_U^*||r)$ and $H^* = B \oplus W^* = H \oplus h(PW_U||r) \oplus h(PW_U^*||r) \neq H$ as $PW_U^* \neq PW_U$
- SC also computes $C_1 = a \cdot P$, $C_2 = a \cdot Y$, $CID = ID_U \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $F^* = h(ID_U || H^* || T_1)$ for secret nonce a selected by U and T_1 is the current timestamp. SC sends the login message $\langle C_1, CID, F^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle C_1, CID, F^*, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies T_1 . If T_1 verification hold, S computes $C'_2 = s \cdot C_1$, $ID'_U = CID \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $H' = h(s \oplus ID'_U)$. Then S verifies $F^* \stackrel{?}{=} h(ID'_U || H' || T_1)$. The verification does not hold as $H^* \neq H'$. The session is terminated.

Case 2. User U inputs correct password PW_U and incorrect identity ID_U^* by mistake.

- SC computes $W = h(PW_U||r)$, $H = B \oplus W$, $C_1 = a \cdot P$, $C_2 = a \cdot Y$, $CID = ID_U^* \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $F'^* = h(ID_U^* || H || T_1)$ for secret nonce a selected by U and T_1 is the current timestamp. Finally, SC sends the login message $\langle C_1, CID^*, F^*, T_1 \rangle$ to S .
- Upon receiving the message $\langle C_1, CID, F'^*, T_1 \rangle$, S verifies T_1 . If T_1 verification hold, S computes $C'_2 = s \cdot C_1$, $ID'_U = CID^* \oplus h_1(C_2)$ and $H'^* = h(s \oplus ID'_U)$. Then S verifies $F'^* \stackrel{?}{=} h(ID'_U || H'^* || T_1)$. The verification does not hold as $H'^* \neq H$. The session is terminated.

17 Comparison

We compare some of the recently published password based schemes for TMIS [2, 3, 8, 10, 16, 21, 18] in Table 2. If the scheme prevents attack or satisfies the property, the symbol '√' is used and if it fails to prevent attack or does not satisfy the attribute, the symbol × is used.

Table 2: Security attributes comparison with some password based schemes for TMIS

Schemes	[16]	[21]	[8]	[3]	[2]	[18]	[10]	[20]
User anonymity	×	×	√	√	√	√	√	√
Insider Attack	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
Replay attack	√	√	√	√	×	√	√	√
Session key agreement	√	×	√	√	√	√	√	√
Session key verification	√	–	√	×	√	×	×	√
Efficient password change	×	×	×	√	√	×	×	×
User-friendly password change	×	×	×	√	×	√	√	√
Efficient login	×	×	×	√	×	×	×	×

18 Conclusion

It is clear from the discussion that inefficient login phase increases the number attempts to login into the server, which would flood up the network with fake requests. As a result, the server may busy in indulging unnecessary calculations, which may cause wastage of time and resources. The findings also print out the drawback of inefficient login and password change phase. The study of login and password phase of authentication schemes for TMIS shows that a scalable scheme should maintain efficient login and password change phase to avoid unnecessary trouble.

References

- [1] Boyd, C., Mathuria, A.: Protocols for authentication and key establishment. Springer (2003)
- [2] Cao, T., Zhai, J. (2013). Improved dynamic id-based authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(2), 1–7
- [3] Chen, H.M., Lo, J.W., Yeh, C.K. (2012). An efficient and secure dynamic id-based authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(6), 3907–3915

- [4] Das, A.K., Goswami, A. (2013). A secure and efficient uniqueness-and-anonymity-preserving remote user authentication scheme for connected health care. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(3), 1–16
- [5] Debiao, H., Jianhua, C., Rui, Z. (2012). A more secure authentication scheme for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of Medical Systems* **36**(3), 1989–1995
- [6] Kukafka, R., Ancker, J.S., Chan, C., Chelico, J., Khan, S., Mortoti, S., Natarajan, K., Presley, K., Stephens, K. (2007). Redesigning electronic health record systems to support public health. *Journal of biomedical informatics* **40**(4), 398–409
- [7] Kumari, S., Khan, M.K., Kumar, R. (2012). Cryptanalysis and improvement of a privacy enhanced scheme for telecare medical information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(4), 1–11
- [8] Lee, T.F., Chang, I.P., Lin, T.H., Wang, C.C. (2013). A secure and efficient password-based user authentication scheme using smart cards for the integrated epr information system. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(3), 1–7
- [9] Li, X., Niu, J., Khurram Khan, M., Liao, J. (2013). An enhanced smart card based remote user password authentication scheme. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*
- [10] Lin, H.Y. (2013). On the security of a dynamic id-based authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(2), 1–5
- [11] Lin, S.S., Hung, M.H., Tsai, C.L., Chou, L.P. (2012). Development of an ease-of-use remote healthcare system architecture using rfid and networking technologies. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(6), 3605–3619
- [12] Martin-Sanchez, F., Iakovidis, I., Nørager, S., Maojo, V., De Groen, P., Van der Lei, J., Jones, T., Abraham-Fuchs, K., Apweiler, R., Babic, A., et al. (2004). Synergy between medical informatics and bioinformatics: facilitating genomic medicine for future health care. *Journal of biomedical informatics* **37**(1), 30–42
- [13] Pizziferri, L., Kittler, A.F., Volk, L.A., Honour, M.M., Gupta, S., Wang, S., Wang, T., Lippincott, M., Li, Q., Bates, D.W. (2005). Primary care physician time utilization before and after implementation of an electronic health record: a time-motion study. *Journal of biomedical informatics* **38**(3), 176–188
- [14] Pu, Q., Wang, J., Zhao, R. (2012). Strong authentication scheme for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(4), 2609–2619
- [15] Rivest, R.L., Shamir, A., Adleman, L. (1978). A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. *Communications of the ACM* **21**(2), 120–126

- [16] Wei, J., Hu, X., Liu, W. (2012). An improved authentication scheme for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(6), 3597–3604
- [17] Wu, Z.Y., Lee, Y.C., Lai, F., Lee, H.C., Chung, Y. (2012). A secure authentication scheme for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(3), 1529–1535
- [18] Xie, Q., Zhang, J., Dong, N. (2013). Robust anonymous authentication scheme for telecare medical information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **37**(2), 1–8
- [19] Xu, J., Zhu, W.T., Feng, D.G. (2009). An improved smart card based password authentication scheme with provable security. *Computer Standards & Interfaces* **31**(4), 723–728
- [20] Xu, X., Zhu, P., Wen, Q., Jin, Z., Zhang, H., He, L.: A secure and efficient authentication and key agreement scheme based on ecc for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **38**(1) (2014) 1–7
- [21] Zhu, Z. (2012). An efficient authentication scheme for telecare medicine information systems. *Journal of medical systems* **36**(6), 3833–3838