

Cognitive biases and language universals

Andrea Baronchelli¹

Department of Mathematics, City University London, Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB, UK

Vittorio Loreto

Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy and ISI Foundation Turin, Italy

Andrea Puglisi

CNR-ISC Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy and Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy

Abstract

Language universals have been longly attributed to an innate Universal Grammar. An alternative explanation states that linguistic universals emerged independently in every language in response to shared cognitive, though non language-specific, biases. A computational model has recently shown how this could be the case, focusing on the paradigmatic example of the universal properties of color naming patterns, and producing results in accurate agreement with the experimental data. Here we investigate thoroughly the role of a cognitive bias in the framework of this model. We study how, and to what extent, the structure of the bias can influence the corresponding linguistic universal patterns. We show also that the cultural history of a group of speakers introduces population-specific constraints that act against the uniforming pressure of the cognitive bias, and we clarify the interplay between these two forces. We believe that our simulations can help to shed light on the possible mechanisms at work in the evolution of language, as well as to provide a reference for further theoretical investigations.

Summary of main points

- Two forces shape language: cultural interactions and cognitive biases;
- A multi-agent model accurately reproduces empirical data on color naming systems, and shows that:
- The bias is responsible for the presence and nature of universal patterns;
- Cultural history introduces frozen accidents and acts against the bias;
- Universality exists in a statistical sense and outliers are always possible.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: a.baronchelli.work@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

Different languages share a collection of structural properties, which are accordingly said to be *universal* (Croft, 2002). The origin and nature of this universality have been debated for decades in a dispute that is still far from being settled (Bickerton & Szathmáry, 2009; Smith et al., 2010). The classical view assumes that language learning requires an innate language-specific knowledge (Chomsky, 1995; Pinker, 1995). Every individual is endowed with a set of grammatical principles, defining a Universal Grammar, that are consequently found to be shared across all human languages. This view has however been questioned in various ways. For example, it has been argued that the language learning problem, a crucial argument in favor of the UG, simply vanishes when one considers that language has evolved to fit the brain, rather than vice versa (Christiansen & Chater, 2008). At the same time, different computational approaches have shown that the hypothesis of a language-specific genetic endowment would result in a series of paradoxes (Chater et al., 2009), and that cultural transmission introduces informational bottlenecks that favor the emergence of regularities (Kirby et al., 2007; Griffiths & Kalish, 2007). A systematic analysis has also shed new light on the very concept of universality (Evans & Levinson, 2009). Language universals must be intended in a statistical sense, rather than as necessary features of all human languages (Christiansen & Chater, 2008; Evans & Levinson, 2009).

All of these more recent accounts, even though heterogeneous in their turn, agree that the observed cross-linguistic regularities emerged independently in every language in response to universal communicative, cognitive, or perceptual biases that are not specific to language (Deacon, 1998). For example, color, sound, shape and spatial terms would reflect the peculiarities of our perceptual system (Abry, 1997). The structure of our vowel system is strongly affected by the way in which we produce, perceive and discriminate sounds; terms like *high* and *low* wouldn't make sense without a gravitational bias; terms like *far* and *close* reflect our ability to evaluate spatial or temporal distances; our abilities to perceive quantities are at the basis of our numeral systems and many other examples could be drawn. In addition kinship terms and pronouns would be affected by invariant social realities, and other universals would be the result of more abstract thought processes that favor singular over plural, positive over negative, present tense over past or future tense, etc. (Clark & Clark, 1978). Beyond the perceptual system, bio-physiological biases shape both our category system and the language (Johnson, 1987; Lakoff, 1987). For example, we experience our bodies either as potential containers or as immersed in external containers (e.g., rooms), and this "container" schema defines the most basic distinction between *in* and *out*, which on its turn is reflected not only in physical uses, but even in metaphorical ones such as *figure out*, *work out*, etc. Analogously, the "link" schema, which would date back to the umbilical chord and goes on to the use of ropes and strings, translates into such expressions as *make connections* and *break social ties* (Johnson, 1987). On a syntactic domain, recently it has been shown how the emergence of duality of patterning could be affected by our ability to perceive and conceptualize our environment (Tria et al., 2012).

However, also in this perspective several problems remain open. Evans & Levinson (2009) have recently listed some of the more urgent questions, among which: Which are the biases responsible for a *given* observed regularity? How do they generate a structure? Which is the relation between the strength of a bias and the amount of cross-linguistic variability? To this list we would add a question which is to us as urgent as the others: what is the origin of such a cross-linguistic variability (Baronchelli et al., 2012)? In other words, how effective can be the role of the specific history of a language in shaping its deviation from universality?

The challenge is extremely hard, but focusing on simple aspects of language has provided crucial insights. This is the reason for the huge attention that color categorization has been capturing for the last decades. As observed in the World Color Survey (WCS) (Berlin & Kay, 1969; Cook et al., 2005), indeed, any two groups of individuals develop different color naming patterns, but some universal properties can be identified by a statistical analysis over a large number of populations (Kay & Regier, 2003). Furthermore, it appears that basic color names began to be used by different cultures in a relatively fixed order (Berlin & Kay, 1969).

The universality of color naming is fully recognized as a genuine linguistic universal (Taylor, 2003), and it has profound implications. For example, Witkowski & Brown (1977) have pointed out the importance of the findings in this domain for “the lexical encoding of a wide range of phenomena in addition to color” (p. 56); Comrie (1989) has defended that the “Berlin and Kay’s work also has more far-reaching implications for work on language universals and typology, and even for descriptive linguistics”, such as the one of “providing one example of a psychological explanation of a linguistic universal” thanks to the identification of the role played by color perception (p. 38); Deacon (1998) has argued that the ‘cognitive bias’ explanation of language universals naturally accounts for both the cross-linguistic properties exhibited by color naming patterns, and the other linguistic universals, from morphology to syntax.

Color categorization is therefore considered as a prototypical example to understand language universals (Gardner, 1985; Lakoff, 1987; Murphy, 2004). With respect to most of the examples mentioned above, it has the advantage of being a well-defined problem, for which field data have been available for decades, and it continues to be the subject of intense investigation. Moreover, in the last years several computational models have contributed to shedding light on the different theoretical hypotheses put forward in this context (Steels & Belpaeme, 2005; Belpaeme & Bleys, 2005; Dowman, 2007; Komarova et al., 2007; Komarova & Jameson, 2008; Puglisi et al., 2008; Jameson & Komarova, 2009a,b; Baronchelli et al., 2010; Loreto et al., 2012; Narens et al., 2012). Even though most of these efforts have been devoted to model the emergence of shared color categorization patterns in a single population of individuals, more recent contributions have also addressed the issue of universality (Dowman, 2007; Baronchelli et al., 2010; Loreto et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2013).

In the present paper we focus on the Category Game model (Puglisi et al., 2008), describing how a group of agents manages to establish a shared set of linguistic categories when faced with a continuous aspect of environment, such as the hue channel of the color spectrum. According to the standard approach of language games (Wittgenstein, 1953; Steels, 1995), a population of individuals manages to establish a shared categorization resorting only to pairwise linguistic interactions. In every conversation one of the two agents tries to direct the attention of the other towards a specific object out of those that constitute the scene they are both facing. Depending on the success (or failure) of this operation both agents modify their internal state eventually leading to a global consensus. The model can be informed with a human psychophysiological parameter, namely the Just Noticeable Difference (JND) defining the minimum distance at which two stimuli from the same scene can be discriminated as a function of their wavelength (Bedford & Wyszecki, 1958; Long et al., 2006). Remarkably, if the individuals simulated in the Category Game are endowed with the human JND function, the statistical properties of the emerging categorization patterns turn out to quantitatively match those observed in the World Color Survey data (Baronchelli et al., 2010), and the empirically observed color hierarchy is reproduced (Loreto et al., 2012).

According to Xiao et al. (2011), the fact that the non-random properties of color-naming patterns can be accounted for by the wavelength JND, shown by Baronchelli et al. (2010),

“implies a link between the universal constraints and the functional characteristics of the retinogeniculate pathway”. Strikingly this is what the same authors find in their analysis, where they establish a direct link between a universal constraint on color naming, namely the fault line close to the “warm”-“cold” color distinction, and the cone-specific information that is represented in the primate early visual system, specifically the L- versus M-cone contrast.

The agreement between the outcome of the model and experimental data corroborates the hypothesis according to which weak perceptual or cognitive biases can be responsible for the emergence of linguistic properties shared across independent languages (Deacon, 1998; Christiansen & Chater, 2008; Evans & Levinson, 2009). However, in the model the bias does not constrain the structure of the shared categorization pattern in a deterministic way. Rather, its effect can be detected only through statistical tests run on a large number of languages. In other words, two forces shape the naming structure of a single population. The cultural history defines arbitrary consensus patterns that, once emerged, stay there as frozen accidents and affect the further evolution of the language itself (Dunn et al., 2011; Mukherjee et al., 2011), while the perceptual bias would tend to prefer some patterns over others. At the level of the single population it is not possible to predict which force will prevail and to what extent. Statistically, however, it is possible to recover the signature of the bias.

Here, we investigate the role of the cognitive bias. We clarify, in the framework of the model, what a “weak” bias is, how it works, and how it affects the cultural history of a linguistic pattern. To do so we exploit the unique possibilities offered by numerical simulations in two ways. First of all we manipulate the nature of the bias, considering artificial JND functions, and comparing the impact they have on the emerging categorization patterns. We find that the human JND belongs to a class of special functions that allow for a good agreement with the experimental data, and that this agreement is by no means assured by any arbitrary JND. Then, we analyze in details what “weak” bias means by looking at the variability of the observed patterns. In particular, we study the cross-linguistic fluctuations of the categories which allow us to estimate the relative strength between cultural and cognitive pressures in the framework of the model. We show that single languages can be driven far from universality by their own historical evolution, even though the vast majority of languages share, to some extent, universal properties. The peculiar nature of such fluctuations (characterized by *fat tails*, i.e., over-populated extreme events) suggests a relevant role for history-dependent correlations (Mukherjee et al., 2011), which - in a sense - should be considered as a kind of *cultural pressure*. Since, as mentioned above, the Category Game model is able to reproduce the statistical properties of the WCS data provided individuals agents are endowed with the human JND, we conclude that our analysis may help to shed into the actual mechanisms responsible for the emergence of language universals.

2. The Category Game model and previous results

The most remarkable features of the Category Game model are that (i) it concerns the categorization of a genuinely continuum perceptual channel (Puglisi et al., 2008) and that (ii) it generates categorization patterns whose statistical properties are in striking agreement with the ones observed in the WCS data. In this section we briefly recall the model definition and some previous results (Baronchelli et al., 2010).

2.1. The model

The Category Game involves a population of N artificial agents. Starting from scratch and without any pre-defined color categories, the model dynamically leads, through a sequence of

pair-wise interactions (games), to the emergence of a highly shared set of linguistic categories of the visible light spectrum. Despite the highly reduced set of parameters, namely the population size N and the JND function, the CG features a rich and realistic output.

For the sake of simplicity and without loss of generality (see also (De Beule & Bleys, 2010)), color perception is reduced to a single analogical continuous perceptual channel, each light stimulus being a real number in the interval $[0, 1)$, which represents a rescaled wavelength. A categorization pattern is identified with a partition of the interval $[0, 1)$ in sub-intervals, or perceptual categories. Individuals have dynamical inventories of form-meaning associations linking perceptual categories with their linguistic counterparts, basic color terms, and these inventories evolve through elementary language games. At each time step, two players (a speaker and a hearer) are randomly selected from the population and a scene of $M > 1$ stimuli is presented. Two stimuli cannot appear at a distance smaller than $JND(x)$ where x is the value of one of the two. This is the way in which the JND is implemented in the model. On the basis of the presented stimuli, the speaker discriminates the scene, refining if necessary its perceptual categorization, and utters the color term associated to one of the stimuli. The hearer tries to guess the named stimulus, and based on their success or failure, both individuals rearrange their form-meaning inventories. New color terms are invented every time a new category is created for the purpose of discrimination, and are spread through the population in successive games. A detailed description of the model is presented in the Appendix.

The dynamics proceeds as follows (Puglisi et al., 2008). At the beginning all individuals have only the perceptual category $[0, 1)$ with no associated name. During a first phase of the evolution, the pressure of discrimination makes the number of perceptual categories increase, making at the same time the synonymy grow due to the many different words used by different agents for similar categories. This kind of synonymy reaches a peak and then dries out, in a similar way as in the Naming Game (Steels, 1995; Baronchelli et al., 2006). When on average only one word is shared by the whole population for each perceptual category, a second phase of the evolution intervenes. During this phase, words expand their dominion across adjacent perceptual categories, merging several perceptual categories giving rise to a new type of categories, namely the “linguistic categories”. The structure of the linguistic categories evolves through a domain growth process, known in statistical physics as coarsening (Bray, 1994). Their number is progressively reduced till the system undergoes a dynamical arrest, featuring a slowing down of the domain growth, much along the same lines of the physical processes by which supercooled liquids approach the glass transition (Cavagna, 2009). In this long-living, almost stable, phase, usually after 10^4 games per player, the linguistic categorization pattern features a degree of sharing between 90% and 100%. The success rate and the similarity of category patterns across different agents both remain stable for a time of the order of 10^6 games per player, and this pattern is considered as the final categorization pattern generated by the model, to be compared with human color categories (see below). Note that, at the level of the Category Game, categories can be equivalently described in terms of boundaries or prototypes. Slow diffusion of boundaries ultimately takes place due to small size effects. Recent investigations have demonstrated that this phase can occur on very long time-scale, with autocorrelation properties typical of an aging material, such as a glass. The shared pattern in the long stable phase between 10^4 and 10^6 games per player is the main subject of the experiment described in the following section. It is remarkable, as already observed in (Puglisi et al., 2008) that the number of linguistic color categories achieved in this phase is of the order of 15 ± 10 , even though discrimination allows for the existence of hundreds of categories.

2.2. Comparison with real-world data

A large amount of data on color categorization was gathered in the World Color Survey (Berlin & Kay, 1969; Kay & Regier, 2003), in which individuals belonging to different cultures had to name a set of colors. The main finding is that color systems across language, far from being random, exhibit instead certain statistical regularities. In this section, we describe how the Category Game model has been used to run a *Numerical* World Color Survey that, remarkably, produced results in quantitative agreement with the experimental ones (Baronchelli et al., 2010).

2.2.1. The World Color Survey

P. Kay and B. Berlin (Berlin & Kay, 1969) ran a first survey on 20 languages in 1969. From 1976 to 1980, the enlarged World Color Survey was conducted by the same researchers along with W. Merrifield and the data have been publicly available since 2003 on the website <http://www.icsi.berkeley.edu/wcs>. These data concern the basic color categories in 110 languages without written forms and spoken in small-scale, non-industrialized societies. On average, 24 native speakers of each language were interviewed. Each informant had to name each of 330 color chips produced by the Munsell Color Company that represent 40 gradations of hue and maximal saturation, plus 10 neutral color chips (black-gray-white) at 10 levels of value. The chips were presented in a predefined, fixed random order, to the informant who had to tag each of them with a “basic color term” in her language (for more details see (Berlin & Kay, 1969)).

After two decades of intense debate (Gardner, 1985), Kay and Regier (Kay & Regier, 2003) performed a quantitative analysis proving that the color naming systems obtained in different cultures and language are in fact not random. Through a suitable transformation, they identified the most representative chip for each color name in each language and projected it into a suitable metric color space (namely, the CIEL*a*b color space). To investigate whether these points are more clustered across languages than would be expected by chance, they defined a dispersion measure on this set of languages S_0

$$D_{S_0} = \sum_{l, l^* \in S_0} \sum_{c \in l} \min_{c^* \in l^*} \text{distance}(c, c^*), \quad (1)$$

where l and l^* are two different languages, c and c^* are two basic color terms respectively from these two languages, and $\text{distance}(c, c^*)$ is the distance between the points in color space in which the colors are represented. To give a meaning to the measured dispersion D_{S_0} , Kay and Regier created “new” datasets S_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 1000$) obtained through random rotations of the original set S_0 , and measured the dispersion of each new set D_{S_i} .

The human dispersion appears to be distinct from the histogram of the “random” dispersions with a probability larger than 99.9%. As shown in Figure 3a of (Kay & Regier, 2003), the average dispersion of the random datasets, D_{neutral} , is 1.14 times larger than the dispersion of human languages. Thus, human languages are more clustered, i.e., less dispersed, than their random counterparts, confirming the existence of some kind of universality.

2.2.2. The Numerical World Color Survey

The core of the analysis described above is the comparison of the clustering properties of a set of *true* human languages against the ones exhibited by a certain number of randomized sets. In replicating the experiment it is therefore necessary to obtain two sets of synthetic data, one of which must have some human ingredient in its generation. The idea put forth in




Figure 1: **The human Just Noticeable Difference (JND) function** describes the wavelength change in a monochromatic stimulus needed to elicit a particular JND in the hue space, both as a function of the wavelength of the incident light (measured in nanometers) and on the rescaled interval $[0, 1]$. For convenience we display also the spectrum of the incident light. For the purpose of the Category Game we rescale the monochromatic stimulus in the visible spectrum (measured in nanometers) in the range $[0, 1]$ for the Topic x . In the same way the JND function (in nanometers in the left y-axis) is rescaled into a $JND(x)$ function (right y-axis).

(Baronchelli et al., 2010) is to act on the JND function. In fact human beings are endowed with a JND that is a function of the wavelength of the incident light (see Fig. 1)². This is the only parameter of the model encoding the finite resolution power of any perception, or equivalently the human Just Noticeable Difference

Starting from the human JND, different artificial sets can be created:

- “Human” categorization patterns are obtained from populations whose individuals are endowed with the rescaled human JND;
- *Neutral* categorization patterns are obtained from populations in which the individuals have constant JND ($JND = 0.0143$), which is the average value of the human JND (as it is projected on the $[0, 1]$ interval).

In analogy to the WCS experiment, the randomness hypothesis in the NWCS for the neutral test-cases is supported by symmetry arguments: in neutral simulations there is no breakdown of translational symmetry in the color space, which is the main bias in the “human” simulations.

Thus, the difference between “human” and neutral data originates from the perceptive architecture of the individuals of the corresponding populations. A collection of “human” individuals form a “human” population, and will produce a corresponding “human” categorization pattern. In a hierarchical fashion, finally, a collection of populations is called a *world*, which in (Baronchelli et al., 2010) is formed either by all “human” or by all non-“human” populations. To each world a value of the dispersion D , defined in Eq. (1), is associated to

²The attention is here on the human Just Noticeable Difference for the hue, see (Baronchelli et al., 2010).

quantify the amount of dispersion of the languages (or categorization patterns) belonging to it. In the actual WCS there is of course only one human World (i.e., the collection of 110 experimental languages), while in (Baronchelli et al., 2010) several (i.e., 1,500) worlds have been generated to gather statistics both for the “human” and non-“human” cases.

The main results of the NWCS is that the Category Game, informed with the human $JDN(x)$ curve, produces a class of “worlds” featuring a dispersion lower than and well distinct from that of the class of “worlds” endowed with a non-human, i.e., uniform, $JND(x)$. Strikingly, moreover, the ratio observed in the NWCS between the average dispersion of the “neutral worlds” and the average dispersion of the “human worlds” is $D_{neutral}/D_{human} \sim 1.14$, very similar to the one observed between the randomized datasets and the original experimental dataset in the WCS. Crucially, these findings are robust against changes in such parameters as the population size N , the distribution of the stimuli, the number of objects in a scene M , the time of measurement (as long as measures are taken in the temporal region in which a categorization pattern exists) etc. (Baronchelli et al., 2010).

These findings are important for a series of reasons. First of all, for the first time the outcome of a numerical experiments in this field is comparable at any level with true experimental data. Second, as discussed above, the results of the NWCS are not only in qualitative, but also in quantitative agreement with the results of the WCS. Third, the very design of the model suggests a possible mechanisms lying at the roots of the observed universality. Human beings share certain perceptual biases that, even though not so strong to deterministically affect the outcome of the categorization process, are anyway capable of influencing category patterns. This can be made evident through a statistical analysis performed over a large number of languages. This explanation for the observed universality had already been put forth based on theoretical analysis (see, for instance (Deacon, 1998; Christiansen & Chater, 2008)), but the NWCS represents the first numerical evidence supporting it.

2.3. The role of dimensionality of the perceptual space

The previous sections provide all of the background necessary to understand the original results presented in Sec. 3. However, the Category Game, despite its simplifying assumption of a one-dimensional perceptual color space, is able to reproduce further non-trivial features observed in actual languages, even beyond the statistical matching of the data discussed above. For example, the model reproduces also the hierarchy defining the order in which different basic color terms appear in different cultures (Berlin & Kay, 1969). It turns out, in fact, that the time needed for a population to reach consensus on a color name depends on the region of the hue color spectrum, and the order found in simulations accurately matches the one observed in the analysis of the WCS (Loreto et al., 2012).

Another interesting model is the one introduced by Dowman (2007). It replicates the frequency of color terms observed in the WCS by adopting an evolutionary perspective, along with a Bayesian scheme of term acquisition. Also this model deals with a one-dimensional color space relative to hue dimension. In addition this hue space is discrete, featuring only 40 possible hue values. It further assumes (i) that the universal foci are predefined and unevenly spaced in the color space and (ii) that color terms denote a contiguous range of colors. In the Category Game, on the contrary, the hue space is continuous and the two founding hypotheses above are not necessary. In particular, the universality of hypothesis (i) is a byproduct of the fact that the individuals are endowed with the human JND function, while (ii) the fact that color names refer to contiguous frequencies is an important emerging property of the dynamics (Puglisi et al., 2008).

Taken as a whole, all of these results indicate that at least some of the crucial properties of the WCS data can be accounted for by considering uniquely the hue channel. Specifically, this is true for properties concerning basic color terms, as we have discussed above, while it has not to be the case for the naming of composite or derived colors (Kay, 1999). Interestingly, the crucial role played by the hue has very recently found a biological rationalization (Xiao et al., 2011), as discussed in the introduction. However, it is important to stress that the Category Game has in fact been extended to more dimensions in order to describe the evolution from a mostly brightness-based to a mostly hue-based color term system on the basis of a change in the environment comparable to what happened in English during the Middle English period in response to the rise of dyeing and textile manufacturing (De Beule & Bleys, 2010). The 2D model is obviously more complex and requires further specifications on the rules determining the individual categorization process. The outcome is much richer than the one of its one-dimensional counterpart, but the unavoidable consequence is that it is less transparent to interpretation. Given that in this paper we aim to clarify the complex issue of the interplay between a cognitive bias and the universality properties of the generated languages, and that the latter happens to appear already in the 1D model, we stick to the simplest case (see (Jaeger et al., 2009) for a deeper discussion on why choosing simplicity when confronted with such a choice).

3. Role of the bias and statistical meaning of universality

In this section we investigate the role of the perceptual bias. First, we check to what extent the very good agreement between the outcome of the model and the experimental data can be related to the specific structure of the human JND. In doing this we address the possible criticism according to which any kind of bias would lead to the same kind of universality in the model. We rule out this hypothesis by testing the effect of different JND functions, and by showing that different instantiations of the bias alter the agreement between simulations and true data. We point out that a single feature of the JND function, namely its roughness, is able to determine the strength of the emerging universality³. Then, we compare the categorizations of different simulated populations, and we measure the dispersion of their color naming patterns. This allow us to quantify the relative strength of the bias as compared to the intrinsic stochasticity introduced by the underlying cultural process. Once stochastically generated configurations appear with high consensus during the dynamics, they can affect the ensuing evolution as a sort of frozen accidents, effectively competing, in this way, with the ordering tendency due to the bias. From this perspective it is easy to explain why, even adopting the human JND function, single populations can deviate considerably from the observed universal patterns. We argue that our results allow to better understand what a “weak” bias is, and how it may determine the emergence of the universal properties of language.

3.1. Artificial JNDs

As described above, only two JND functions have been tested in previous works, namely the human and the flat uniform JNDs. The realistic case had in fact to be contrasted with an unbiased

³Generally, one refers to the term roughness to quantify the vertical deviations of a generic function (in our case the JND function) with respect to an ideal curve (in our case its constant average value). Here, we do not enter in further details and avoid to make the argument quantitative because this would go beyond the aim of this paper. When we refer to the term roughness we shall mean a qualitative notion of roughness.




Figure 2: **Artificial JNDs.** Each panel depicts one of the artificial JNDs used in the experiments (continuous lines). Case α is the empirical fit of the human JND (dashed line, all panels) obtained according to the expression $JND(x) = c_1 + c_2 \cos(ax + c_3) + c_4(x - 0.5)^2$, where c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and a are fitting constants. Cases β, γ and δ are obtained by constant increases of the a parameter. Curve ϵ is a Gaussian-like JND, while case ζ is a reflection of the JND around the average value (with a further prescription avoiding negative values of the JND).

one. Here, we exploit the opportunity offered by numerical simulations to test different, artificial, JNDs. The aim of this new experiment is to understand whether the agreement with data is related to the specific shape of the human JND or rather it can be achieved, in the framework of the model, with any JND. Of course, any bijective function defined in $[0, 1)$ could play the role of a JND, and a systematic exploration is therefore unfeasible, nor would it be useful. Rather, we start from the human JND, fit it empirically, and then modify the fitted function so as to progressively diverge from the original one. In particular, we insert local extreme points (minima and maxima) to increase the roughness of the JND. Furthermore, we consider also a Gauss-shaped JND as well as an inverted human JND. Figure 2 sketches the adopted functions. The key quantity studied here is, again, the dispersion D defined in Eq. (1) among languages, which is the natural measurement (complementary) of the degree of similarity, as already discussed in the literature Kay & Regier (2003).

Figure 3 shows the outcome of the numerical experiments ran with several artificial JNDs. It is clear that the human JND, along with slight variations of it, performs well, while more irregular functions (cases β, γ, δ) weaken the agreement with the empirical data. The Gaussian-like function and the inverse JND (cases ϵ and ζ), perform worse than the human JND even though not dramatically bad. It is worth stressing that the relative error between the simulated values and the experimental one is plotted in logarithmic scale (Figure 3, bottom) and that an error below 10% can very well be considered as noticeably small given the simplicity of the Category Game model.

Overall, it is clear that smooth JNDs produce a weaker clustering of the color naming patterns across different populations, and vice versa rough JNDs force a larger uniformity. This allows us to conclude that roughness is a parameter determining the strength of the bias. The amount of universality observed in a set of languages depends therefore (also) on a specific property of the cognitive bias. Speculatively, this result suggests that, had the human JND been less smooth,




Figure 3: **Numerical World Color Survey with artificial JNDs.** Top: the dispersion of the JND case normalized by the neutral one is plotted for populations of size $N = 100$. Bottom: the relative error between the average dispersions and the experimental result, $e = |sim - exp|/exp$ is plotted (in %). Different JNDs are named after Figure 2. The horizontal line indicates the experimental value 1.14 obtained by the analysis of WCS data in (Kay & Regier, 2003). Vertical bars refer to the variation of values in the late stage of the simulation, in the range $1.5 \times 10^6 - 2 \times 10^6$ games per agent.

we would have observed a greater regularity in color naming patterns across different languages. It is also important to highlight that the human JND happens to produce a very good agreement with experimental data, and *this is not a trivial finding, since different JNDs can perform much worse*. Said in other words, the model is in fact sensitive to the shape of the JND, and that the human JND happens to produce a small discrepancy between the simulations and the WCS data⁴.

3.2. Fluctuations

In the previous section we focused on the question: how sensitive is the result of our model to the particular form of JND curve? It turned out that the form of the JND function matters, i.e., choices different from the “human” one do not agree, in statistical terms (clustering of languages), with the empirical WCS data. However we cannot underestimate the *dynamical* and *stochastic* nature of our model: indeed, it does not simply optimizes some sort of energy landscape associated to the external constraint (e.g. the JND curve). On the contrary, it goes through a sequence of trials, errors, and successes that are not easily forgotten and affect the successive evolution of the category pattern, as pointed out by a recent study based on time-dependent statistical correlation functions (Mukherjee et al., 2011). Such a history-dependent “cultural” process leads to a final state not necessarily optimal with respect to the JND function, implying that constraint is only a *weak bias* to the category formation.

Let us now address this problem in a quantitative way, focusing on the structure of categories, as represented by their centroids. To this extent, we simulate 600 independent populations each one with $N = 200$ agents: all the simulations start from a blank slate and are stopped at 10^6 games per agent, when a quasi-stationary state with high communicative success has been reached. The

⁴See also (Baronchelli et al., 2010) for the robustness of these results against changes in the other parameters of the model (such as population size, time of measurement, environment the populations are exposed to, etc.).




Figure 4: **Numerical World Color Survey with human and neutral JNDs: structure of categories.** The two solid curves represent the histograms of position of category-centroids obtained in simulations with the human (black curve) and neutral JND (blue curve). As a reference, the inverse of the human JND, rescaled by a constant factor, is displayed (red dashed curve). In the central region strong correlation is seen between the centroid distribution from “human-like” simulations and the inverse of the human JND, while the outcome of unbiased simulations is flat in the same region. Strong oscillations near the two extrema (0 and 1) are appreciated in both models, typical of “hard boundaries”. The solid circles displayed at the bottom of the graph represent the “average pattern” of the ~ 150 populations which display 14 categories at the end of “human-like” simulations.

m_j categories shared by the N agents are identified in the j -th population and their centroids are averaged across the N agents, giving rise to the population category pattern $s_j = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m_j}\}$ where $x_i \in (0, 1)$ is the average centroid of the i -th category.

First, we verify that some correlation exists between the structure of categories and the JND curve used in the simulation. For this purpose we compute the histogram of all centroid positions x_i from all populations, displayed in the main graph of Figure 4. The black curve is the distribution of centroid positions in populations simulated with the human JND, the blue one is obtained with a neutral (flat) JND. The red dashed curve is the inverse of the human JND curve, rescaled by a constant factor (for the purpose of displaying it on the same scale). It is clear that - in the central region $\sim [0.2, 0.8]$ of the light spectra - a strong correlation exists between the distribution of centroids and the inverse of the JND curve. Centroids are more easily found where the JND is smaller, i.e., where the perceptual resolution power of agents is higher. More interesting is the presence of large oscillations near the two extremes (0 and 1) in both human and neutral simulations: indeed such oscillations are due to the presence of hard boundaries, i.e., to the fact that no centroids can appear too close to 1 or 0 (precisely at distance smaller than the JND in the extrema, which is ~ 0.02 for humans and ~ 0.01 in the neutral model). Such effect strongly resembles the oscillations of density for liquids of hard spheres near a wall (Hansen & McDonald, 2006). It is expected that simulations with periodic boundary conditions do not display those oscillations.

For the purpose of estimating how large is the influence of the JND on the structure of categories, we focus only on simulations with the human JND and with a final number of categories $m = 14$. We sampled 5000 different populations. Populations with the human JND




Figure 5: **Numerical World Color Survey with human JNDs: weakness of the bias.** The histogram of the squared distance $d = \sum_{i=1}^{14} (x_i - \bar{x}_i)^2$ between the position of the i -th centroid and its average (“typical”) value. Black data represent the statistics of those populations displaying 14 linguistic categories at the end of Category Game simulations with the human JND, which were roughly ~ 1300 over the total 5000 considered populations. The inset displays the actual values of d for each population. Green and red data come from a “Random” model where each “language” is produced by a uniform random distribution of 14 category centroids. A random case with a very large number of languages (red curve) represents the “ideal” statistics of such a model. The distances d from the average pattern (shown in the inset) appear to have a larger average $\bar{d}_{rand}$ than the Category Game model $\bar{d}_{CG}$ (where by $\bar{d}$ we refer to the mean value of the random and the CG cases, respectively), but since we are interested in the fluctuations we have rescaled the random model data, dividing them by $\bar{d}_{rand}/\bar{d}_{CG}$, in order to compare the histograms. A power law fit $\sim x^{-3}$ is also shown as a guide for the eye.

happen to have a number of categories between 9 and 19 with a bell-shaped distribution, whose maximum (~ 1300 populations out of the 5000 simulated) is at $m = 14$. So the choice $m = 14$ corresponds to single out the largest available group of populations ending up with a given m . We computed the average, or “typical”, pattern of categories for this sub-group, $\bar{s} = \{\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_{14}\}$. The 14 average positions of typical centroids are displayed at the bottom of Figure 4. We can now ask how far the pattern s_j of each population j (in the group of those with 14 categories) is from the typical one $\bar{s}$. The simplest tool to this end is the Euclidean (squared) distance $d_j = |s_j - \bar{s}|^2 \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{14} (x_i^{(j)} - \bar{x}_i)^2$ of the j -th population from the typical pattern. The ~ 1300 values of d_j obtained are shown in the inset graph of Figure 5. While it is intuitively clear that such a distance can take values distinctly larger than zero, a quantitative assessment of this observation can be obtained by computing the distribution of the values of d_j , which we report in the main graph of Figure 5. It appears that on a decade of large values (in between $\sim 0.01 \pm 0.1$) the distribution is quite broad: a possible power-law decay $P(d) \sim d^{-\alpha}$ (with $\alpha \simeq 3$) could approximate the fat tail, nevertheless this cannot be guaranteed with our available statistics. More compelling is the comparison between the Category Game results and those from a “Random model” where the 14 centroids are independently and uniformly distributed on the segment $[0, 1]$. On one hand the red dashed curve in Figure 5, coming from a simulation of 10^5 random languages, illustrates the “ideal” distribution of such a model, which has an exponential cut-off for large distances. On the other hand the green curve allows to evaluate also finite size

effects, by taking into account only 1300 random languages: in such a case the histogram is noisy but close to the ideal one and it is not as broad as the one related to the Category Game.

In conclusion we find that in the Category Game - with a non-negligible probability - a population may display a category pattern quite far from the typical one. Thus, “typical” does not mean “certain”. The bias induced by the external constraint (the human JND) is not strong enough to attract all patterns to a typical configuration, and the history-dependent dynamics may lead a population into states only weakly affected by the JND. Repeating such an analysis with different choices of $m \neq 14$ leads to similar results with compatible power-law exponents, signaling the robustness of the result. It might be interesting to repeat the study of these fluctuations of “distances” from the typical pattern with the actual data of the WCS. However the number of available languages is too small, and restricting the analysis to a given number of color terms would reduce the statistics even more. For these reasons we did not pursue this idea.

4. Discussion and conclusion

Our analysis clarifies the mechanism through which a weak cognitive bias ends up influencing the structure of a language. We have focused on the prototypical case of color categorization and we have investigated the Category Game model. Previous studies showed that in this framework the presence of the JND bias triggers the emergence of universal color naming patterns whose statistical properties are in striking agreement with the ones observed in the WCS (Baronchelli et al., 2010). Here we have further shown that:

1. The particular form assumed by the cognitive bias (i.e., the shape and roughness of the JND function) does affect the properties of the universality patterns generated by the model. The fact that the human JND produces such a good agreement with the WCS data is therefore genuinely remarkable.
2. A further source of biases is given by the evolutionary dynamics. While the JND is universal and would tend to uniform the category patterns over different languages, the specific evolution of each language acts in the opposite direction by introducing frozen accidents and randomness in the process. Quantitatively, the distribution of the distances from the typical pattern of the various languages exhibits a fat tail, which indicates the possibility of significant, “culture”-dependent, deviations.

These conclusions arise from a series of numerical experiments in which the agents were informed with artificially manipulated JNDs. It is worth stressing that, at least to our knowledge, there are no previous attempts to connect experimental data (i.e., the ones of the WCS) to a single characteristic (i.e., the roughness) of a *specific* human cognitive feature (i.e., the JND) through a quantitative test of a computational model. In this respect, a remark is in order on the agreement with the data obtained when the human JND is considered. Whether this is an (extremely, actually) fortunate coincidence or has more profound reasons can not be “proved” in this context. The task of simulations is that of testing theoretical hypothesis, showing whether they are realistic, and checking for the implications (Jaeger et al., 2009).

What we have proven is that a cognitive bias *can* in fact induce universality, to be intended in a statistical sense, and that the shape of this bias can influence the degree of universality (i.e., regularity across different languages). The quite large cross-linguistic variability of the color naming patterns emergent in population endowed with the human JND indicates that strong deviations from the most common patterns are possible. The broadness of the fluctuations

distribution suggests that its origin could be dynamical, i.e., related to a single population's history, which was already proven to be highly correlated (Mukherjee et al., 2011). This clarifies that universality has to be intended in a statistical sense, since the history of a language can shield the uniforming effect of a given bias.

It is worth noting that weak biases have been treated also in relation to their impact on cultural transmission (Boyd & Richerson, 1988). In particular, Kirby et al. (2007) have investigated how a biological bias can translate into universal linguistic properties in the context of the Iterated Learning framework (Kirby, 2001), assuming that learners apply the principles of Bayesian inference (Bayes, 1763). The central result is that cultural transmission can magnify weak biases into strong universals, while strong biases could be shielded by transmission bottleneck. In the same framework, Griffiths & Kalish (2007) showed that linguistic structure can emerge when language learners use learning algorithms only slightly biased towards structured languages. In our view, our work nicely complements these important results by showing that the history of each language can introduce non-biological constraints as further biases shaping the language itself. While the Iterated Learning approach has shed light on the interplay between biology and cultural transmission in a formal way, we have tackled, from a different perspective, the relationship between biology and cultural evolution.

Very recently, finally, Xu et al. (2013) have shown that cultural transmission produces schemes of color categorization similar to those observed in the WCS in a laboratory experiment in which chain of individuals simulated the Iterated Learning scheme with a pre-determined number of terms. In particular, the authors borrow tools from information theory to show a significant match between their experiments and the WCS data. Also in this case, we believe that the Category Game represents a valuable complementary approach offering (i) a possible mechanism determining the cultural evolution of the small number of color names observed in all the languages of the WCS, and (ii) suggesting with quantitative evidence that the underlying cognitive bias, magnified by cultural transmission in the (Xu et al., 2013) experiment, can in fact be the human JND function.

In conclusion, we believe that our analysis has potentially far reaching consequences, even beyond the debate on linguistic universals. It shows that computational models are nowadays able to substantiate the *Gedankenexperiment* approach in those cases in which the latter has so far been the only tool in the hands of Cognitive Scientists.

5. Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Animesh Mukherjee and Francesca Tria for helpful discussions. V.L. acknowledges support from the EveryAware European project nr. 265432 under FP7-ICT-2009-C and DRUST project funded by the European Science Foundation under EuroUnderstanding Collaborative Research Projects.

6. Bibliography

References

- Abry, C. (1997). Major trends in vowel system inventories. *Journal of Phonetics*, 25, 233–253.
- Baronchelli, A., Chater, N., Pastor-Satorras, R., & Christiansen, M. H. (2012). The biological origin of linguistic diversity. *PloS one*, 7, e48029.
- Baronchelli, A., Felici, M., Caglioti, E., Loreto, V., & Steels, L. (2006). Sharp transition towards shared vocabularies in multi-agent systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics*, P06014.
- Baronchelli, A., Gong, T., Puglisi, A., & Loreto, V. (2010). Modeling the emergence of universality in color naming patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107, 2403.
- Bayes, T. (1763). An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. *Philosophical Transactions (1683-1775)*, (pp. 370–418).
- Bedford, R., & Wyszecki, G. (1958). Wavelength discrimination for point sources. *JOSA*, 48, 129–130.
- Belpaeme, T., & Bleys, J. (2005). Explaining universal color categories through a constrained acquisition process. *Adap. Behv.*, 13, 293–310.
- Berlin, B., & Kay, P. (1969). *Basic Color Terms*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Bickerton, D., & Szathmáry, E. (2009). *Biological Foundations and Origin of Syntax*. The MIT Press.
- Boyd, R., & Richerson, P. J. (1988). *Culture and the evolutionary process*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bray, A. J. (1994). Theory of phase ordering kinetics. *Advances in Physics*, 43, 357.
- Cavagna, A. (2009). Supercooled liquids for pedestrians. *Physics Reports*, 476, 51–124.
- Chater, N., Real, F., & Christiansen, M. (2009). Restrictions on biological adaptation in language evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 1015.
- Chomsky, N. (1995). *Rules and representations*. Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Christiansen, M., & Chater, N. (2008). Language as shaped by the brain. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 31, 489–509.
- Clark, E., & Clark, H. (1978). Universals, relativity, and language processing. In J. H. Greenberg, C. H. Ferguson, & M. E. A. (Eds.), *The practice turn in contemporary theory* (pp. 225–277). Stanford University Press: Stanford USA.
- Comrie, B. (1989). *Language universals and linguistic typology: Syntax and morphology*. University of Chicago press.
- Cook, R., Kay, P., & Regier, T. (2005). The World Color Survey database: history and use. *Handbook of Categorisation in the Cognitive Sciences*. Amsterdam and London: Elsevier, .
- Croft, W. (2002). *Typology and universals*. Cambridge Univ Pr.
- De Beule, J., & Bleys, J. (2010). Self organization and emergence in language: a case study for color. In *The evolution of language: proceedings of the 8th International Conference (EVLANG8), Utrecht, Netherlands, 14-17 April 2010* (p. 83). World Scientific Pub Co Inc.
- Deacon, T. (1998). *The symbolic species: The co-evolution of language and the brain*. New York, USA: Norton & Company.
- Dowman, M. (2007). Explaining Color Term Typology With an Evolutionary Model. *Cog. Sci.*, 31, 99–132.
- Dunn, M., Greenhill, S., Levinson, S., & Gray, R. (2011). Evolved structure of language shows lineage-specific trends in word-order universals. *Nature*, 473, 79–82.
- Evans, N., & Levinson, S. (2009). The myth of language universals: Language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 32, 429–448.
- Gardner, H. (1985). *The Mind's New Science: A History of the Cognitive Revolution*. New York: Basic Books.
- Griffiths, T. L., & Kalish, M. L. (2007). Language evolution by iterated learning with bayesian agents. *Cognitive Science*, 31, 441–480.
- Hansen, J. P., & McDonald, I. R. (2006). *Theory of Simple Liquids*. Academic Press.
- Jaeger, H., Baronchelli, A., Briscoe, E., H., C. M., T., G., Jaeger, Kirby, G. S., Komarova, N., Richerson, P. J., Steels, L., & Triesch, J. (2009). What can mathematical, computational and robotic models tell us about the origins of syntax? In D. Bickerton, & E. Szathmáry (Eds.), *Biological Foundations and Origin of Syntax*. Strüngmann Forum Reports, vol. 3. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Jameson, K., & Komarova, N. (2009a). Evolutionary models of color categorization. i. population categorization systems based on normal and dichromat observers. *JOSA A*, 26, 1414–1423.
- Jameson, K., & Komarova, N. (2009b). Evolutionary models of color categorization. ii. realistic observer models and population heterogeneity. *JOSA A*, 26, 1424–1436.
- Johnson, M. (1987). *The body in the mind: The bodily basis of meaning, imagination, and reason..* University of Chicago Press.
- Kay, P. (1999). Asymmetries in the distribution of composite and derived basic color categories. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22, 957–958.
- Kay, P., & Regier, T. (2003). Resolving the question of color naming universals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 100, 9085–9089.

- Kirby, S. (2001). Spontaneous evolution of linguistic structure-an iterated learning model of the emergence of regularity and irregularity. *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on*, 5, 102–110.
- Kirby, S., Dowman, M., & Griffiths, T. (2007). Innateness and culture in the evolution of language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 5241.
- Komarova, N., & Jameson, K. (2008). Population heterogeneity and color stimulus heterogeneity in agent-based color categorization. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 253, 680–700.
- Komarova, N., Jameson, K., & Narens, L. (2007). Evolutionary models of color categorization based on discrimination. *J. Math. Psych.*, 51, 359–382.
- Lakoff, G. (1987). *Women, fire, and dangerous things: What categories reveal about the mind*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Long, F., Yang, Z., & Purves, D. (2006). Spectral statistics in natural scenes predict hue, saturation, and brightness. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 103, 6013–6018.
- Loreto, V., Mukherjee, A., & Tria, F. (2012). On the origin of the hierarchy of color names. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 6819–6824.
- Mukherjee, A., Tria, F., Baronchelli, A., Puglisi, A., & Loreto, V. (2011). Aging in language dynamics. *PLoS ONE*, 6, e16677.
- Murphy, G. (2004). *The big book of concepts*. Bradford Book.
- Narens, L., Jameson, K. A., Komarova, N. L., & Tauber, S. (2012). Language, categorization, and convention. *Advances in Complex Systems*, 15.
- Pinker, S. (1995). *The language instinct*. Harper Collins, New York, USA.
- Puglisi, A., Baronchelli, A., & Loreto, V. (2008). Cultural route to the emergence of linguistic categories. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 105, 7936.
- Smith, A., De Boer, B., & Schouwstra, M. (2010). *The Evolution of Language: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference (EVOlang8)*. World Scientific Publishing Company.
- Steels, L. (1995). A self-organizing spatial vocabulary. *Artificial Life*, 2, 319–332.
- Steels, L., & Belpaeme, T. (2005). Coordinating perceptually grounded categories through language: A case study for colour. *Behav. Brain Sci.*, 28, 469–489.
- Taylor, J. (2003). *Linguistic categorization*. Oxford University Press New York.
- Tria, F., Galantucci, B., & Loreto, V. (2012). Naming a structured world: A cultural route to duality of patterning. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e37744.
- Witkowski, S., & Brown, C. (1977). An explanation of color nomenclature universals. *American Anthropologist*, 79, 50–57.
- Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical Investigations. (Translated by Anscombe, G.E.M.)*. Oxford, UK: Basil Blackwell.
- Xiao, Y., Kavanau, C., Bertin, L., & Kaplan, E. (2011). The biological basis of a universal constraint on color naming: Cone contrasts and the two-way categorization of colors. *PLoS one*, 6, e24994.
- Xu, J., Dowman, M., & Griffiths, T. L. (2013). Cultural transmission results in convergence towards colour term universals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280.

Appendix: The Category Game model

For convenience, we reproduce the pseudo-code of the Category Game model from the Supplementary Information of (Puglisi et al., 2008).

The game is played by a population of N individuals. Each individual is characterized by its partition of the $[0, 1)$ perceptual channel in non-overlapping contiguous segments, henceforth called (*perceptual*) *categories*. Each category has an associated inventory of words, which constitutes its linguistic counterpart. Individuals are endowed with the capability of transmitting words to each other and interacting non-linguistically via pointing at stimuli from the environment.

At each time step $t = 1, 2, \dots$, two individuals (one as the speaker and the other as the hearer) are randomly chosen to interact. They face a *scene* of M *stimuli*, i.e. M real numbers randomly chosen from the interval $[0, 1)$, with $M \geq 2$, where each pair x, y cannot be closer than a minimal distance set by $JND(x)$. One of the stimuli is the topic h for the speaker to communicate to the hearer. Results in this paper are obtained with $M = 2$.

The interaction involves the following steps:

Discrimination

The speaker perceives the scene, i.e., assigns each stimulus $i \in [0, 1)$ in the scene to one of its categories. The category associated with a stimulus i is the unique segment $[l, r)$ of the individual perceptual channel in which it holds $l \leq i < r$. A stimulus k is said to be *discriminated* by category c , if k is the only stimulus of the scene to be associated to c . In other words, if k is discriminated by c , then given any stimulus j in the scene it holds $j \in c \Leftrightarrow j \equiv k$.

There are two possibilities for the topic h :

either h is already discriminated by a category c ;

or h and a non-empty set O of different stimuli i fall in the same category c .

In the latter case, the speaker refines the category partition of its perceptual channel to discriminate the topic. Given the two stimuli a, b for which it holds $a \equiv \max_{i \in O} \{i : i < h\}$ and $b \equiv \min_{i \in O} \{i : i > h\}$, category c is split into new categories by new boundaries at $(a + h)/2$ and $(h + b)/2$.⁵ Each new category inherits the linguistic inventory of c , plus a new word.

Word transmission

After discrimination, the speaker transmits a word that identifies the topic to the hearer.

If a previous successful communication event has occurred with the discriminating category, the speaker transmits the word that yielded that success;

else the speaker transmits the new word added to the discriminating category when it was created.

⁵If $h > i$, $\forall i (h < i, \forall i)$ then $a(b)$ is not defined, and of course the corresponding new boundary is not created.

Word reception

The hearer receives the transmitted word, and by looking at its repertoire, identifies the set of all categories

- (i) whose inventories contain the transmitted word and
- (ii) that are associated to at least one stimulus in the scene.

Guessing and outcomes of the game

There are now several mutually exclusive possibilities for the hearer:

- a** The set is empty;
- b** The set contains only one category, corresponding to a single stimulus in the scene;
- c** The set contains only one category, corresponding to more than one stimulus in the scene;
- d** The set contains more than one category.

Then:

- if a** The hearer cannot infer which is the topic, and communicates its perplexity to the speaker;⁶
- if b** There is only a candidate stimulus for the hearer, who points at it;
- if c or d** The hearer points randomly at one of its candidate stimuli.

At this point, the speaker unveils the topic via pointing, and both individuals become aware of the result of their interaction, that is

success if the stimulus pointed by the hearer corresponds to the topic or

failure in all the other cases.

Updating

Independent of the outcome of the game, the hearer checks whether the topic is discriminated by one of its categories. If not, she discriminates the topic following the same discrimination procedure described above.

Then:

- in case of failure** the hearer adds the transmitted word to the category discriminating the topic;
- in case of success** both agents delete all the other words but the transmitted one from the inventories of the categories that discriminate the topic.

⁶We can imagine that individuals have a built-in conventionalized way of doing this, for instance pointing at the sky.