

Low-dimensional functionality of complex network dynamics: Neuro-sensory integration in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* connectome

James Kunert¹, Eli Shlizerman² and J. Nathan Kutz²

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 98195 and*

² *Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. 98195-2420*

(Dated: May 3, 2019)

We develop a biophysical model of neuro-sensory integration in the model organism *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Building on recent experimental findings of the neuron conductances and their resolved connectome, we posit the first full dynamic model of the neural voltage excitations that allows for a characterization of input stimuli to behavioral responses. Thus a clear connection between receptor cell inputs to downstream motor-responses is illustrated, showing that robust, low-dimensional bifurcation structures dominate neural pathways of activity. The underlying bifurcation structures discovered, i.e. an induced Hopf bifurcation, are critical in explaining behavioral responses such as swimming and crawling. More broadly, we demonstrate that complex dynamical networks can produce robust functionality from underlying low-dimensional bifurcations.

Complex physical systems comprised of a network of nonlinear dynamical components of voltage activity are capable of producing robust functionality and/or low-dimensional patterns of coherent activity. The coherent swing instability in power grid networks [1], for instance, is an example of these phenomena which have been observed in experiments and computational studies, yet are difficult to characterize with theoretical techniques. Other examples of interacting dynamical systems that are well-known in physics, and that produce functional behavior or coherent patterns, include coupled oscillators (e.g. the Kuramoto oscillators), analog circuits, coupled lasers, many-particle systems, etc. Biophysical systems, whose interactions are often driven by chemical reactions, voltage activity, and/or ion exchange, produce similar functionality and structured activity.

Neuro-sensory networks, which are an important subclass of biophysical systems, are ideal for characterizing the role of seemingly complex network interactions for producing robust functionality and can motivate bio-inspired engineering principles. Neuro-sensory integration, which attempts to understand the neural pathways from input stimuli to motor-neuron driven behavioral responses and low-dimensional movements, is one of the most challenging and open problems in the field of neuroscience today. The primary challenge lies in understanding how large networks of different classes of neurons (e.g. sensory-, inter- and motor-neurons) interact to produce the observed robust behavioral responses to stimuli. Ultimately, the biophysical processes produce a large, nonlinear network of electronic conductances that dynamically decode input stimulus and drive downstream neuronal function and behavior (See, for instance, Fig. 1).

The nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) is a perfect model organism to consider in the context of neuro-sensory integration as it is comprised of only 302 sensory, motor and inter-neurons whose electro-physical connections (i.e. its connectome) are known from serial section electron microscopy [4, 5]. By combining the

known connectome data [6] with a physiologically appropriate neuron model [7, 8], we are able to model the full neural network dynamics in response to time-dependent stimuli. Such efforts allow for a theoretical characterization of the network biophysics and voltage activity that drives the neuro-sensory integration process in *C. elegans* and determine its ability to elicit behavioral responses [3, 9, 10]. Our studies show that input stimuli can produce bifurcations in the neuronal network that drive low-dimensional responses associated with behavioral activity. Specifically, we show that stimulation of the PLM neurons, for instance, induces a Hopf bifurcation that leads to the onset of a robust two-mode oscillatory behavior associated with crawling. This is the first study of its kind computationally relating the sensory input with the resultant full-connectome dynamical behavior of the inter- and motor-neurons.

The *C. elegans* is an important model organism due to




FIG. 1. In our full network simulations, the neurons receive a physically realistic stimulus (input current) which varies in amplitude for different trials. For the example illustrated, PLM neurons are stimulated leading to signal propagation through a network of densely connected interneurons which activate the motoneuron subcircuits and low-dimensional neural activity patterns [2] that control forward locomotion [3].

the fact that (i) it possesses only a small number of sensory neurons, often linked to specific stimuli [11], and (ii) its range of behavioral responses are varied yet limited, confined to swimming, crawling, turning and performing chemotaxis, for instance. Thus it is reasonable to posit a complete model of its neuro-sensory integration capabilities. Aiding in this effort is the near-complete connectivity data for the gap junctions and chemical synapses connecting the sensory neurons to the inter- and motor-neurons [6]. Moreover, current experiments measure the response of various neurons to input stimuli since a description of these responses cannot be drawn from the static connectivity data alone. These studies suggest that computational modeling can assist in describing neural dynamics and their relation to the connectome.

However, simulations of *C. elegans* neural dynamics are challenging since (i) it is difficult to measure electrical parameters which characterize precisely the directionality and conductance of each connection, and (ii) the single neuron dynamics do not appear to be characterized by standard spiking neuron models. Indeed, genomic sequencing and electro-physiological studies have consistently failed to observe classical Na⁺ action potentials in *C. elegans* neurons [12]. The failure to produce the stereotypical spike train dynamics normally associated with neuronal activity actually allow our model with graded electrical interaction to be more analogous with observed activity in physical systems such as power grids [1], thus broadening the scope of the work and its potential for impact in the physical sciences.

A model must therefore be constructed for the graded response of neurons. Fortunately, it has been observed that many neurons in *C. elegans* are effectively isopotential, such that we can use the membrane voltage as a state variable for network simulations [12]. The time evolution of neuron *i*'s membrane potential, V_i , is therefore given by the single-compartment membrane equation [7]:

$$C\dot{V}_i = -G^c(V_i - E_{cell}) - I_i^{Gap}(\vec{V}) - I_i^{Syn}(\vec{V}) + I_i^{Ext} \quad (1)$$

C is the whole-cell membrane capacitance, G^{cell} is the membrane leakage conductance and E_{cell} is the leakage potential. The external input current is given by I_i^{Ext} , while neural interaction via gap junctions and synapses is modeled by input currents $I_i^{Gap}(\vec{V})$ (gap) and $I_i^{Syn}(\vec{V})$ (synaptic). Their equations are:

$$I_i^{Gap} = \sum_j G_{ij}^g (V_i - V_j) \quad (2)$$

$$I_i^{Syn} = \sum_j G_{ij}^s s_j (V_i - E_j) \quad (3)$$

Gap junctions are taken as ohmic resistances connecting each neuron where G_{ij}^g is the total conductivity of the gap junctions between *i* and *j*. Synaptic current is proportional to the displacement from reversal potentials E_j . G_{ij}^s is the maximum total conductivity of synapses




FIG. 2. **A.** A square wave current input was injected into the neurons PLML/R (units are pA divided by conductance constant $g = 100\text{pS}$). Location of PLM neurons along the body of the worm are indicated by blue dots, and the location of 20 selected responding neurons are indicated by red dots. **B.** Resulting membrane voltage displacement from equilibrium in PLM when isolated (i.e. no connections). **C.** Resulting membrane voltage displacement in PLM when connected to the neuron network. Note the two order of magnitude reduction in peak voltage. **D.** Voltage responses of the 5 neurons most active in the presence of input current (in red) and the 5 neurons most active in the absence of input current (in green). The ASHR neuron is chosen so as to illustrate a ubiquitous phenomena: downstream neurons do not necessarily get entrained to the time-response of the stimulated neuron.

to *i* from *j*, modulated by the synaptic activity variable s_i , which is governed by:

$$\dot{s}_i = a_r \phi(v_i; \kappa, V_{th})(1 - s_i) - a_d s_i \quad (4)$$

where a_r and a_d correspond to the synaptic activity's rise and decay time, and ϕ is the sigmoid function $\phi(v_i; \kappa, V_{th}) = 1/(1 + \exp(-\beta(V_i - V_{th})))$.

While the precise parameter values of each connection are unknown, we assume reasonable values as previously considered in the literature [6, 7]. We assume each individual gap junction and synapse has approximately the same conductance, roughly $g = 100\text{pS}$ [6]. Each cell has a smaller membrane conductance (taken as 10pS) and a membrane capacitance of about $C_i = 1\text{pF}$ [6]. Leakage potentials are all taken as $E_c = -35\text{mV}$ [7]. Reversal potentials E_j are 0mV for excitatory synapses and -45mV for inhibitory synapses [7]. For the synaptic variable, we choose $a_r = 1$, $a_d = 5$, and define the width of the sigmoid by $\beta = 0.125\text{mV}^{-1}$ [7]. V_{th} is found by imposing that the synaptic activation $\phi = 1/2$ at equilibrium [7].

The directionality of the connections (i.e., inhibitory or excitatory) is estimated by the rough approximation that putative GABAergic neurons are inhibitory, while cholinergic and glutamatergic neurons are excitatory (as in [6]). This estimation of parameter values captures robust re-

sponses in the network dynamics and excludes from the simulation any responses which depend on more precise details of the network. The network that we simulate consists of 279 somatic neurons, where we exclude the 20 pharyngeal neurons and 3 additional neurons which make no synaptic connections, as in [6]. To validate the simulation and the choice of parameters we tested for robustness by perturbing ($\pm 20\%$) individual connection strengths and each neuron's parameters, showing that dynamic functionality persists.

There are many ways to test the validity of the *C. elegans* model. Given the numerous stimuli response experiments [3, 9, 10], we can simply select a neuron of interest and interrogate the downstream neuronal response. For instance, the PLM neurons (PLML/R) are posterior touch mechanoreceptors. Activation of PLM by tail-touch causes a worm to move forward or, if already moving forward, to accelerate [13]. Thus stimulating these neurons should produce a downstream time-dependent neural-response resulting in a motorneuron response consistent with forward motion. Figure 1 illustrates a schematic for this neuro-sensory cascade from sensory activation by stimulation of the sensory neuron PLM that excites the motor-neurons associated with forward motion [10]. Characterizing such neural pathways are the key objective in this study.

An example of simulated full-network dynamics can be seen in Figure 2, in which the polymodal nociceptive neuron ASHR[11] is stimulated with a constant periodic input current. The system starts out in equilibrium before the excitation, and the voltages plotted are neuronal displacements from their equilibrium values. Panel B shows the response an unconnected neuron, whereas panel C shows the response of ASHR when connected to the network. Note that the characteristic timescale of the response changes due to the presence of connections. In panel D, the voltage responses are plotted for the 5 neurons which respond most strongly when input current is present, and for the 5 which respond most strongly when it is not. This illustrates that downstream neuron responses are not necessarily entrained to the stimulus, but may respond through different temporal modes.

Behaviorally, crawling is known to be dominated by a two-mode stroke motion [2], i.e. the so-called eigenworm motion. Thus the motor-neuron response to the PLM stimulation should produce a two-mode dominance in accordance with the eigenworm behavior given that the motor responses control muscle contraction [10]. We therefore intuitively anticipate that a constant input of sufficient strength, corresponding to sensory stimulus, should be able to drive two-mode oscillatory behavior in the forward motion motorneurons. To test if this is qualitatively captured by our model, we first seek oscillatory solutions by calculating the Jacobian matrix at equilibrium and looking for eigenvalues with positive real parts.

With zero external input, all Jacobian eigenvalues have




FIG. 3. Jacobian eigenvalue spectrum as a function of PLM input amplitude. Input units are current normalized by conductance constant g . At inputs around 1×10^4 , the system goes through a Hopf bifurcation and oscillatory motion results. Traces of average sensory, inter- and motor neuron voltage displacements from equilibrium are shown to illustrate this.

a negative real part and the system is stable. However, eigenvalues with a positive real part are seen to exist for sufficiently high constant input amplitudes. Figure 3 shows the Jacobian spectrum as a function of PLM input amplitude. At certain threshold values, the system goes through Hopf bifurcations and oscillatory modes arise. The average voltage displacement within each neuron class is shown on the right, illustrating this.

Figure 4 shows the time-dynamics of the motor neurons given constant PLM stimulation consistent with tail-touch at input amplitudes above the Hopf bifurcation level. As shown, there are two dominant modes that produce a periodic, laterally out-of-phase, voltage activity. To obtain the modes that the motor neurons exhibit we collected time snapshots of motor-neuron voltages into a matrix and computed the singular value decomposition:

$$\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{V}(t_0) \ \mathbf{V}(t_1) \ \dots] = \mathbf{P} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \mathbf{Q}^T \quad (5)$$

The elements in the matrix \mathbf{P} are principal orthogonal modes, weighted by the diagonal elements in Σ . Decomposition of the voltage onto the modes provides the dynamical coefficients $a_k(t)$:

$$\vec{\mathbf{V}}(t) = \sum_{i=k}^M a_k(t) \vec{\mathbf{P}}_k$$




FIG. 4. Resultant dynamics for a constant input 2×10^4 such that the system is within the oscillatory regime. Raster plots of the voltage responses within the forward motoneurons are shown on left. The time evolution of the SVD modes along with their singular values are shown on right, establishing that the system response is indeed dominated by two modes

of each mode shown in Fig. 4. The analysis confirms that the motor activity is dominated by two time-dependent modes (with the first and second modes possessing 61.86% and 37.36% of the energy respectively). Their dynamics is periodic and similar to physiological [2] and behavioral [9] studies that find low-number of modes that determine the motion. Furthermore, simulated ablation of the AVB interneurons destroyed this forward motion response (while simulated ablation of the similarly densely-connected AVA interneurons preserved it), in agreement with experimental ablation studies [13]. Thus the model produces a proxy for this behavior through analyzing motor responses, although it does not produce *directly* the behavioral response.

In conclusion, we have developed a neuro-sensory integration model of the *C. elegans* nematode which describes the nonlinear, time-dependent, network voltage conductances. In our computational model, the entire 302 neuron network of sensory-, inter- and motor-neurons are dynamically coupled with the best available biophysical connectome data to date. In the specific application of the tail mechanosensory neuron PLM stimulation, a complete neuro-sensory integration of this specific stimulus pathway is discovered whereby sensory information translates to downstream motor responses that are responsible for behavioral actions, in this case a two-mode swimmer dynamics. In theoretical terms, the input stimulus robustly induces a Hopf bifurcation in the network. Thus a low-dimensional bifurcation, which is ultimately responsible for behavior, is inscribed in the underlying network structure.

With the abundant current and on-going biophysical experiments on individual neuron stimulation in *C. elegans*, through opto-genetics, for instance, the cur-

rent model presents a significant step forward in providing a theoretical platform to more accurately understand neuro-sensory encoding, processing, and integration. Specifically, we construct a full, biophysically inspired computational model and demonstrate that the underlying low-dimensional bifurcations of the network drive neural pathways responsible for low-dimensional movements and behavior. It further allows one to study the robustness of networks of voltage conductances for producing prescribed responses. More broadly, understanding the *C. elegans* model organism may help produce and promote bio-inspired network designs in other fields of scientific applications given the observed robust nature of such architectures. This study promotes a viewpoint of the broader potential for understanding what can be gained in modeling physical systems whose dynamics are driven by network connectivity and nonlinear dynamical systems. This can lead to bio-inspired design, quantification and engineering principles capable of producing robust functionality.

We are especially indebted to Sharad Ramanathan and Aravi Samuel for insight concerning the role of sensory and inter-neurons and *C. elegans* behavior. J. N. Kutz acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (DMS-1007621).

-
- [1] Y. Susuki, I. Mezic, and T. Hikiyama, *Journal of Nonlinear Science* **3**, 403 (2011).
 - [2] G. J. Stephens, B. Johnson-Kerner, W. Bialek, and W. S. Ryu, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **4**(4), e1000028 (2008).
 - [3] Q. Wen, M. Po, E. Hulme, S. Chen, X. Liu, S. Kwok, M. Gershow, A. Leifer, V. Butler, C. Fang-Yen, T. Kawano, W. Schafer, G. Whitesides, M. Wyart, D. Chlivoskii, M. Zhen, and A. Samuel, *Neuron* **76**, 750 (2012).
 - [4] J. G. White, E. Southgate, J. Thomson, and S. Brenner, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* **314**, 1 (1986).
 - [5] B. L. Chen, D. H. Hall, and D. B. Chlivoskii, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 4723 (2006).
 - [6] L. R. Varshney, B. L. Chen, E. Paniagua, D. H. Hall, and D. B. Chlivoski, *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **7**(2), e1001066 (2011).
 - [7] S. Wicks, C. Roehrig, and C. Rankin, *J. Neurosci.* **16**(12), 4017 (1996).
 - [8] E. Shlizerman, K. Schroder, and J. N. Kutz, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **72**(4), 1260 (2012).
 - [9] A. Kocabas, C. Chen, E. Paniagua, D. H. Hall, D. B. Chlivoskii, and S. Ramanathan, *Nature* **490**, 273 (2012).
 - [10] P. Sengupta and A. Samuel, *Current Opin. Neuro.* **19**, 1 (2009).
 - [11] Z. Altun, L. Herndon, C. Crocker, and D. H. Hall, <http://www.wormatlas.org> (2002-2012).
 - [12] M. B. Goodman, D. H. Hall, L. Avery, and S. R. Lockery, *Neuron* **20**, 763 (1998).
 - [13] M. Chalfie, J. E. Sulston, J. G. White, E. Southgate, J. N. Thomson, and S. Brenner, *J. Neurosci.* **5**(4), 956 (1985).