QUIVER BIALGEBRAS AND MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

HUA-LIN HUANG (JINAN) AND BLAS TORRECILLAS (ALMERÍA)

ABSTRACT. We study the bialgebra structures on quiver coalgebras and the monoidal structures on the categories of locally nilpotent and locally finite quiver representations. It is shown that the path coalgebra of an arbitrary quiver admits natural bialgebra structures. This endows the category of locally nilpotent and locally finite representations of an arbitrary quiver with natural monoidal structures from bialgebras. We also obtain theorems of Gabriel type for pointed bialgebras and hereditary finite pointed monoidal categories.

1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of natural bialgebra structures on the path coalgebra of an arbitrary quiver and monoidal structures on the category of its locally nilpotent and locally finite representations. A further purpose is to establish a quiver setting for general pointed bialgebras and pointed monoidal categories.

Our original motivation is to extend the Hopf quiver theory [4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 25, 31] to the setting of generalized Hopf structures. As bialgebras are a fundamental generalization of Hopf algebras, we naturally initiate our study from this case. The basic problem is to determine what kind of quivers can give rise to bialgebra structures on their associated path algebras or coalgebras.

It turns out that the path coalgebra of an arbitrary quiver admits natural bialgebra structures, see Theorem 3.2. This seems a bit surprising at first sight by comparison with the Hopf case given in [8], where Cibils and Rosso showed that the path coalgebra of a quiver Q admits a Hopf algebra structure if and only if Q is a Hopf quiver which is very special. Bialgebra structures on general pointed coalgebras are also considered via quivers thanks to the Gabriel type theorem of coalgebras, see [3, 5]. Similar to the Hopf case obtained in [31], we give a Gabriel type theorem for general pointed bialgebras, see Proposition 3.3.

Our another motivation comes from finite monoidal categories which are natural generalization of finite tensor categories [10]. To the knowledge of the authors, not quite much is known for the construction and classification of finite monoidal categories which are not tensor categories, i.e., rigid monoidal categories [9]. By taking advantage of the well-developed quiver representation theory, the quiver presentation of a pointed bialgebra B can help us to investigate the monoidal category of right B-comodules. Accordingly, some classification results of pointed monoidal categories are obtained, see Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2.

Bialgebra structures on the path coalgebra of a quiver Q induce monoidal structures on the category $\operatorname{Rep}^{lnlf}Q$ of locally nilpotent and locally finite representations of Q. These monoidal structures are also expected to be useful for the studying of the category $\operatorname{Rep}^{lnlf}Q$ itself. For example, the tensor product of quiver representations naturally leads to the Clebsch-Gordan problem, i.e., the decomposition of the tensor product of any two representations into indecomposable summands, and the computation of the representation ring of $\operatorname{Rep}^{lnlf}Q$, etc. Note that the tensor product given here is different from the vertex-wise and arrow-wise tensor product used in [14, 15, 18, 19], which in general is not from bialgebra, and therefore

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16T10, 18D10, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. bialgebra, monoidal category, quiver representation.

should provide different information for the categories of quiver representations. This interesting problem is the third motivation and will be treated in the future.

We remark that a standard dual process will give rise to natural bialgebra structures on the path algebra and monoidal structures on the category of representations of a finite quiver. We prefer the path coalgebraic approach as this is more convenient for exposition and, more importantly, allows infinite quivers.

Throughout, we work over a field k. Vector spaces, algebras, coalgebras, bialgebras, linear mappings, and unadorned \otimes are over k. The readers are referred to [24, 30] for general knowledge of coalgebras and bialgebras, and to [1, 28, 29] for that of quivers and their applications to (co)algebras and representation theory.

2. Quivers, Representations and Path Coalgebras

As preparation, in this section we recall some basic notions and facts about quivers, representations and path coalgebras.

A quiver is a directed graph. More precisely, a quiver is a quadruple $Q=(Q_0,Q_1,s,t)$, where Q_0 is the set of vertices, Q_1 is the set of arrows, and $s,t:Q_1\longrightarrow Q_0$ are two maps assigning respectively the source and the target for each arrow. Note that, in this paper the sets Q_0 and Q_1 are allowed to be infinite. If Q_0 and Q_1 are finite, then we say Q is a finite quiver. For $a\in Q_1$, we write $a:s(a)\longrightarrow t(a)$. A vertex is, by convention, said to be a trivial path of length 0. We also write s(g)=g=t(g) for each s(g)=g=t(g) for s(g)=g=t(g) for each s(g)=g=t(g) for s(g)=t(g) for s(g)=t(g)

Let Q be a quiver and kQ the associated path space which is the k-span of its paths. There is a natural coalgebra structure on kQ with comultiplication as split of paths. Namely, for a trivial path g, set $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\varepsilon(g) = 1$; for a non-trivial path $p = a_n \cdots a_1$, set

$$\Delta(p) = t(a_n) \otimes p + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_n \cdots a_{i+1} \otimes a_i \cdots a_1 + p \otimes s(a_1)$$

and $\varepsilon(p) = 0$. This is the so-called path coalgebra of the quiver Q.

There exists on kQ an intuitive length gradation $kQ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} kQ_n$, and with which the comultiplication Δ complies perfectly. It is clear that the path coalgebra kQ is pointed, and the set of group-like elements G(kQ) is Q_0 . Moreover, the coradical filtration of kQ is

$$kQ_0 \subseteq kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1 \subseteq kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1 \oplus kQ_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

therefore it is coradically graded in the sense of Chin-Musson [5].

The path coalgebra is exactly the dual notion of the path algebra of a quiver, which is certainly more familiar. Dual to the freeness of path algebras, path coalgebras are cofree. Precisely, for an arbitrary quiver Q, the vector space kQ_0 is a subcoalgebra of kQ, and over the vector space kQ_1 there is an induced kQ_0 -bicomodule structure via

$$\delta_L(a) = t(a) \otimes a, \quad \delta_R(a) = a \otimes s(a)$$

for each $a \in Q_1$; the path coalgebra has another presentation as the so-called cotensor coalgebra (see, e.g. [31, 32])

$$\operatorname{CoT}_{\Bbbk Q_0}(\Bbbk Q_1) = \Bbbk Q_0 \oplus \Bbbk Q_1 \oplus \Bbbk Q_1 \Box \Bbbk Q_1 \oplus \cdots$$

and hence enjoys the following

Universal Mapping Property: Let $f:C\longrightarrow \Bbbk Q$ be a coalgebra map, $\pi_n: \Bbbk Q \longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_n$ the canonical projection and set $f_n:=\pi_n\circ f:C\longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_n$ for each

 $n \geq 0$. Then f_0 is a coalgebra map; f_1 is a $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -bicomodule map, where the $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -bicomodule structure on C is induced by f_0 ; and for each $n \geq 2$, f_n can be written as $f_1^{\otimes n} \circ \Delta_C^{(n-1)}$, where $\Delta_C^{(n-1)}$ is the (n-1)-iterated action of the comultiplication of C. On the contrary, given a coalgebra map $f_0: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}Q_0$ and a $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -bicomodule map $f_1: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}Q_1$, set $f_n = f_1^{\otimes n} \circ \Delta_C^{(n-1)}$ for each $n \geq 2$, then as long as $f := \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n$ is well-defined, it is the unique coalgebra map $f: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}Q$ such that $f_0 = \pi_0 \circ f$ and $f_1 = \pi_1 \circ f$.

Let Q be a quiver. A representation of Q is a collection

$$V = (V_g, V_a)_{g \in Q_0, a \in Q_1}$$

consisting of a vector space V_g for each vertex g and a linear map $V_a:V_{s(a)}\longrightarrow V_{t(a)}$ for each arrow a. A morphism of representations $\phi:V\longrightarrow W$ is a collection $\phi=(\phi_g)_{g\in Q_0}$ of linear maps $\phi_g:V_g\longrightarrow W_g$ for each vertex g such that $W_a\phi_{s(a)}=\phi_{t(a)}V_a$ for each arrow a. The category of representations of Q is denoted by $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$. Given a representation V of Q and a path p, we define V_p as follows. If p is trivial, say $p=g\in Q_0$, then put $V_p=\operatorname{Id}_{V_g}$. For a non-trivial path $p=a_n\cdots a_2a_1$, put $V_p=V_{a_n}\cdots V_{a_2}V_{a_1}$. A representation V of Q is said to be locally nilpotent if for all $g\in Q_0$ and all $x\in V_g$, there exist at most finitely many paths p with source g satisfying $V_p(x)\neq 0$. A representation is called locally finite if it is a directed union of finite-dimensional representations. We denote by $\operatorname{Rep}^{lnlf}(Q)$ the full subcategory of locally nilpotent and locally finite representations of $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$. It is well-known that the category of right kQ-comodules is equivalent to $\operatorname{Rep}^{lnlf}(Q)$, see [20].

3. Quiver Bialgebras

In this section we show that the path coalgebra of an arbitrary quiver can be endowed with natural bialgebra structures. A Gabriel type theorem for pointed bialgebras is also given. Some examples are presented.

We start with the definition of bialgebra bimodules. Let B be a bialgebra. A B-bialgebra bimodule is a vector space M which is a B-bimodule and simultaneously a B-bicomodule such that the B-bicomodule structure maps are B-bimodule maps, or equivalently, the B-bimodule structure maps are B-bicomodule maps.

Lemma 3.1. Let Q be a quiver. The associated path coalgebra kQ admits a bialgebra structure if and only if Q_0 has a monoid structure and kQ_1 can be given a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule structure. Moreover, the set of graded bialgebra structures on the path coalgebra kQ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of pairs (S,M) in which S is a monoid structure on Q_0 and M is a kS-bialgebra bimodule structure on kQ_1 .

Proof. Assume first that the path coalgebra kQ admits a bialgebra structure. By considering its graded version induced by the coradical filtration (see, e.g. [24, 26]), we can assume further that the bialgebra structure on kQ is coradically graded. Note that the identity 1 is a group-like, so 1 lies in Q_0 which is the set of group-like elements of kQ. For any two elements $g, h \in Q_0$, we have $\Delta(gh) = \Delta(g)\Delta(h) = gh \otimes gh$ and $\varepsilon(gh) = \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h) = 1$, therefore $gh \in Q_0$. Hence the restriction of the multiplication of kQ onto Q_0 gives rise to a monoid structure. The kQ_0 -bicomodule structure on kQ_1 is given as in Subsection 2.3. The multiplication of kQ_0 provides a bimodule structure on kQ_1 . Finally note that the axioms for bialgebras guarantee that the so-defined kQ_1 is a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule.

Conversely, assume that Q_0 can be endowed a monoid structure and the vector space kQ_1 has a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule structure. By the method of Nichols [26], these data can be used to construct a graded bialgebra structure on the path coalgebra kQ by the universal mapping property. Nichols' construction was applied to quiver setting for Hopf algebras in [7, 8, 12, 13]. For completeness we include the construction in below.

The cotensor coalgebra $\operatorname{CoT}_{\Bbbk Q_0}(\Bbbk Q_1)$ is exactly the path coalgebra $\Bbbk Q$. The $\Bbbk Q_0$ -bimodule structure on $\Bbbk Q_1$ can be extended to a multiplication on $\Bbbk Q$ via the universal mapping property of $\Bbbk Q$. Let $M_0: \Bbbk Q \otimes \Bbbk Q \longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_0$ be the composition of the canonical projection $\pi_0 \otimes \pi_0: \Bbbk Q \otimes \Bbbk Q \longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_0 \otimes \Bbbk Q_0$ and the multiplication of the monoid algebra $\Bbbk Q_0$, and $M_1: \Bbbk Q \otimes \Bbbk Q \longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_1$ the composition of the canonical projection

$$\pi_0 \otimes \pi_1 \bigoplus \pi_1 \otimes \pi_0 : \ \Bbbk Q \otimes \Bbbk Q \longrightarrow \Bbbk Q_0 \otimes \Bbbk Q_1 \bigoplus \Bbbk Q_1 \otimes \Bbbk Q_0$$

and the sum of left and right module actions. Then it is clear that M_0 is a coalgebra map and M_1 is a kQ_0 -bicomodule map. Let $M_n = \Delta_2^{(n-1)} \circ M_1^{\otimes n}$, where Δ_2 is the coproduct of the tensor product coalgebra $kQ \otimes kQ$. For any path p of length n, it is easy to see that $M_l(p) = 0$ if $l \neq n$. Therefore $M = \sum_{n \geq 0} M_n$ is a well-defined coalgebra map and moreover respects the length gradation. The associativity for the map M can be deduced from the associativity of the bimodule action without difficulty by a standard application of the universal mapping property as before. The unit map is obvious. Hence we have defined an associative algebra structure and we obtain a graded bialgebra structure on kQ.

The latter one-to-one correspondence is obvious.

Now we state our first main result.

Theorem 3.2. Let Q be a quiver. The associated path coalgebra kQ always admits bialgebra structures.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it is enough to provide a monoid structure on Q_0 and a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule on kQ_1 . In the first place, we fix the kQ_0 -bicomodule structure on kQ_1 as in Subsection 2.3. If Q_0 has only one element, then let it be the unit group and take the trivial kQ_0 -bimodule structure on kQ_1 . Obviously, this defines a necessary bialgebra bimodule. Now we assume Q_0 contains at least 2 elements. Take an arbitrary element, say $e \in Q_0$, and set it to be the identity, i.e., let eg = g = ge for all $g \in Q_0$. Take any element $z \in Q_0$ other than e, and make it to be a "zero" element. That is, let gz = z = zg for any $g \in Q_0$. For any two elements $g, h \in Q_0 - \{e, z\}$, set gh = z. Here, g = h is allowed. One can verify without difficulty that this endows Q_0 with a monoid structure. For the kQ_0 -bimodule structure on kQ_1 , define

$$e.a = a = a.e, f.a = 0 = a.f$$

for all $a \in Q_1$ and all $f \in Q_0 - \{e\}$. Clearly, the bicomodule structure maps are bimodule maps and hence we have obtained a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule structure on kQ_1 .

Note that, in the proof of the previous theorem we provide only a very "trivial" example of graded bialgebra structures for the path coalgebra. The classification of all the graded bialgebra structures on kQ, or equivalently the classification of all the suitable monoid structures on Q_0 and Q_0 -bialgebra bimodule structures on Q_0 , is still not clear and is a very interesting problem of quiver combinatorics.

However, if Q_0 can be afforded a group structure and further $\mathbb{k}Q_1$ can be afforded a corresponding bialgebra bimodule structure over $\mathbb{k}Q_0$, then the situation is much clearer. Indeed, in this case $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ becomes a Hopf algebra and $\mathbb{k}Q_1$ becomes a $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -Hopf bimodule [26]. Now the the fundamental theorem of Hopf modules of Sweedler [30, Theorem 4.1.1] can be applied, and the category of $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -Hopf bimodules is proved to be equivalent to the direct product of the representation categories of a class of subgroups of Q_0 by Cibils and Rosso [7]. Note that, a quiver Q with Q_0 having a group structure and $\mathbb{k}Q_1$ having a $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -Hopf bimodule structure is far from arbitrary. Such quivers are called covering quivers in [13] and Hopf quivers in [8]. It would be of interest to generalize the classification of Hopf bimodules over groups to that of bialgebra bimodules over monoids.

For later use, we record the multiplication formula of paths, given by Rosso's quantum shuffle product [27], for quiver bialgebras. Given a quiver Q, take a suitable monoid structure on Q_0 and a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule structure on kQ_1 . Let p be a path of length l. An n-thin split of it is a sequence (p_1, \dots, p_n) of vertices and arrows such that the concatenation $p_n \cdots p_1$ is exactly p. These n-thin splits of p are in one-to-one correspondence with the n-sequences of (n-l) 0's and l 1's. Denote the set of such sequences by D_l^n . Clearly $|D_l^n| = \binom{n}{l}$. For $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in D_l^n$, the corresponding n-thin split is written as $dp = ((dp)_1, \dots, (dp)_n)$, in which $(dp)_i$ is a vertex if $d_i = 0$ and an arrow if $d_i = 1$.

Let $\alpha = a_m \cdots a_1$ and $\beta = b_n \cdots b_1$ be a pair of paths of length m and n respectively. Let $d \in D_m^{m+n}$ and $\bar{d} \in D_n^{m+n}$ the complement sequence which is obtained from d by replacing each 0 by 1 and each 1 by 0. Define an element in $\mathbb{k}Q_1^{\square_{m+n-1}}$, or equivalently in $\mathbb{k}Q_{m+n}$,

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)_d = [(d\alpha)_{m+n} \cdot (\bar{d}\beta)_{m+n}] \cdots [(d\alpha)_1 \cdot (\bar{d}\beta)_1],$$

where $[(d\alpha)_i.(\bar{d}\beta)_i]$ is understood as the action of $\mathbb{k}Q_0$ -bimodule on $\mathbb{k}Q_1$ and these terms in different brackets are put together by cotensor product, or equivalently concatenation. In these notations, the formula of the product of α and β is given as follows:

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = \sum_{d \in D_m^{m+n}} (\alpha \cdot \beta)_d .$$

Now we give a Gabriel type theorem for general pointed bialgebras, which is in the same vein as the results of Chin and Montgomery [5] for pointed coalgebras and of Van Oystaeyen and Zhang [31] for pointed Hopf algebras. Let B be a pointed bialgebra and $\{B_i\}_{i\geq 0}$ be its coradical filtration. Let $\operatorname{gr} B=B_0\bigoplus B_1/B_0\bigoplus B_2/B_1\bigoplus\cdots$ be the associated coradically graded bialgebra induced by the coradical filtration.

Proposition 3.3. Let B be a pointed bialgebra and gr B be its coradically graded version. There exist a unique quiver Q and a unique graded bialgebra structure on kQ such that gr B can be realized as a sub-bialgebra of kQ with $kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1 \subseteq \operatorname{gr} B$.

Proof. Note that gr B is still pointed and its coradical is B_0 . Let Q_0 be the set of group-like elements of gr B. Then clearly Q_0 is a monoid and $B_0 = \Bbbk Q_0$ as bialgebras, where the latter is the usual monoid bialgebra. Induced from the graded bialgebra structure, B_1/B_0 is a $B_0 = \Bbbk Q_0$ -bialgebra bimodule. The bicomodule structure maps are denoted as δ_L and δ_R . As a $\Bbbk Q_0$ -bicomodule, B_1/B_0 is in fact a Q_0 -bigraded space. Namely, write $M = B_1/B_0$, then

$$M = \bigoplus_{g,h \in Q_0} {}^g M^h,$$

where ${}^gM^h = \{m \in M | \delta_L(m) = g \otimes m, \ \delta_R(m) = m \otimes h\}$. We attach to these data a quiver Q as follows. Let the set of vertices be Q_0 . For all $g, h \in Q_0$, let the number arrows with source h and target g be equal to the k-dimension of the isotypic space ${}^gM^h$. Now we have obtained a quiver Q and moreover kQ_1 has a kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule structure which is identical to the B_0 -bialgebra bimodule B_1/B_0 . The kQ_0 -bialgebra bimodule kQ_1 gives rise to a unique graded bialgebra structure on kQ. By the universal mapping property, the coalgebra map $gr B \xrightarrow{\pi_0} B_0 \simeq kQ_0$ and the kQ_0 -bicomodule map $gr B \xrightarrow{\pi_1} B_1/B_0 \simeq kQ_1$ determine a unique coalgebra map $\Theta : gr B \longrightarrow kQ$. Here, by π_i we denote the canonical projection $gr B \longrightarrow B_i/B_{i-1}$. By a theorem of Heyneman and Radford, see e.g. [24, Theorem 5.3.1], the coalgebra map Θ is injective since its restriction to the first term of the coradical filtration is injective. Again, by the universal mapping property, one can show that the map Θ is also an algebra map. Therefore, Θ is actually an embedding of bialgebras. The last condition $kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1 \subseteq gr B$ guarantees that the quiver Q is unique.

In the following we give some examples of bialgebras on the path coalgebras of quivers.

Example 3.4. Let \mathcal{K}_n be the *n*-Kronecker quiver, i.e. a quiver of the form

Denote the arrows as a_1, \dots, a_n . Let the source vertex be e and the target vertex be e as in Theorem 3.2. Then there is a bimodule structure on the space spanned by $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ over the monoid $\{e, z\}$ defined by

$$e.a_i = a_i = a_i.e, \quad z.a_i = 0 = a_i.z$$

for all i. We have the following multiplication formulas for the quiver bialgebra $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{K}_n$:

$$a_i \cdot a_j = 0, \quad \forall \ 1 \le i, j \le n.$$

Example 3.5. Let S_n be the *n*-subspace quiver, i.e.



Denote the target vertex by e, the source vertices by f_1, \dots, f_n , and the corresponding arrows by a_1, \dots, a_n . Assign e to be the identity, f_1 to be the "zero" element, we get a monoid structure on the set of vertices as in Theorem 3.2. The bimodule structure is defined similarly

$$e.a_i = a_i = a_i.e, \quad f_i.a_j = 0 = a_j.f_i$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The multiplication of the quiver bialgebra $\mathbb{k}S_n$ is similar: $a_i \cdot a_j = 0, \ \forall \ i, j$.

Example 3.6. Let \mathcal{A}_{∞} be the quiver



Index the vertices g_i , from left to right, by the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots \}$ and consider the additive monoid structure, i.e. $g_i g_j = g_{i+j}$. Denote the arrow $g_i \longrightarrow g_{i+1}$ by a_i . Define a bialgebra bimodule structure on the space of arrows by

$$g_i.a_j = a_{i+j}, \quad a_j.g_i = q^i a_{i+j}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $q \in \mathbb{k} - \{0\}$ is a parameter. Assume further that q is not a root of unity. By a routine verification one can show that the axioms of bialgebra bimodules are satisfied. Let p_i^l denote the path $a_{i+l-1} \cdots a_{i+1} a_i$ if $l \geq 1$, or g_i if l = 0. Apparently, $\{p_i^l\}_{i,l\geq 0}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{k} \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$. Then using Subsection 3.4 as well as induction we have the following multiplication formula

$$p_i^l \cdot p_j^m = q^{jl} \binom{l+m}{m}_q p_{i+j}^{l+m}$$

for all $i, j, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we use the quantum binomial coefficients as in [17]. For completeness, we recall their definition. For any $q \in \mathbb{k}$, integers $l, m \geq 0$, define

$$l_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{l-1}, \quad l!_q = 1_q \dots l_q, \text{ and } \binom{l+m}{l}_q = \frac{(l+m)!_q}{l!_q m!_q}.$$

Clearly the quiver bialgebra $\mathbb{k} \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ is generated as an algebra by g_1 and a_0 with relation $a_0g_1 = qg_1a_0$. Therefore, $\mathbb{k} \mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ is exactly the quantum plane of Manin [23] and the bialgebra structure given here is identical to that in [17, P118].

We remark that the quivers in the previous examples admit no Hopf algebra structures, as they are certainly not Hopf quivers. For simple quivers as in Examples 3.4 and 3.5, it is not difficult to classify all the possible graded bialgebra structures on the path coalgebras. The bialgebra structure given in Example 3.6 is different from the "trivial" one as given in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Though theoretically any graded pointed bialgebra can be obtained as a large sub-bialgebra of a quiver bialgebra, there seems no chance to present a general method for such construction. However, in the following we will show that a large class of pointed bialgebras whose coradical filtration has length 2 can be constructed systematically.

Proposition 3.7. Let Q be a quiver. Assume that in Q_0 there is a sink (i.e., admitting only incoming arrows) or a source (i.e., admitting only outgoing arrows). Then there exists on $\mathbb{k}Q$ a bialgebra structure such that $\mathbb{k}Q_0 \oplus \mathbb{k}Q_1$ becomes its subbialgebra.

Proof. By assumption, there is a sink or a source in Q_0 . Now fix such a vertex to be the identity e of the monoid on Q_0 and take the graded bialgebra structure on kQ as given in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $B = kQ_0 \oplus kQ_1$. We claim that B is a sub-bialgebra of kQ. In fact, we only need to verify that the multiplication of arrows is closed in B. Given an arbitrary pair of arrows $a: g \longrightarrow h$ and $b: u \longrightarrow v$, by Subsection 3.4 the multiplication is

$$a \cdot b = [a.v][g.b] + [h.b][a.u].$$

Clearly the term [a.v][g.b] survives, namely not =0, only if v=g=e, but this contradicts with the assumption that e is a sink or a source. Similarly we have [h.b][a.u] = 0. This shows that the multiplication of kQ is indeed closed in B.

Remark 3.8. Coalgebras with coradical filtration having length 2 are studied by Kosakowska and Simson in [20], where a reduction to hereditary coalgebras is presented and the Gabriel quiver is discussed in term of irreducible morphisms. The dual of such a coalgebra is an algebra of radical square zero. The class of radical square zero algebras is very important in the representation theory of Artin algebras (see e.g. [1, 2]). The dual of the previous proposition asserts that every elementary radical square zero algebra with condition $\operatorname{Ext}^1(S,-)=0$, or $\operatorname{Ext}^1(-,S)=0$ for some simple module S has a bialgebra structure, therefore its module category has natural tensor product.

4. Monoidal Structures over Quiver Representations

In this section we consider the natural monoidal structures on the categories of locally nilpotent representations of quivers arising from bialgebra structures.

Recall that a monoidal categories is a sextuple $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, where \mathcal{C} is a category, $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a functor, $\mathbf{1}$ an object, $\alpha : \otimes \circ (\otimes \times \operatorname{Id}) \to \otimes \circ (\operatorname{Id} \times \otimes), \lambda : \mathbf{1} \otimes - \to \operatorname{Id}, \rho : - \otimes \mathbf{1} \to \operatorname{Id}$ are natural isomorphisms such that the associativity and unitarity constrains hold, or equivalently the pentagon and the triangle diagrams are commutative, see e.g. [21] for detail.

Natural examples of monoidal structures are the categories of B-modules and B-comodules with B a bialgebra, see e.g. [17, 24]. Recall that, if U and V are right B-comodules and $U \otimes V$ the usual tensor product of \mathbb{k} -spaces, then the comodule structure of $U \otimes V$ is given by $u \otimes v \mapsto u_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes u_1 v_1$, where we use the Sweedler notation $u \mapsto u_0 \otimes u_1$ for comodule structure maps. The unit object is the trivial comodule \mathbb{k} with comodule structure map $k \mapsto k \otimes 1$. On the other hand, by the reconstruction formalism, monoidal categories with fiber functors are coming in this manner, see e.g. [9, 22].

The monoidal categories arising from quiver bialgebras (as their right comodule categories) share a common property, i.e., their simple objects all have k-dimension 1 and consist of a monoid. Stimulated by this and the notion of pointed tensor

categories introduced in [9], we call a k-linear monoidal category pointed if the iso-classes of simple objects constitute a monoid (under tensor product).

From now on, the field k is assumed to be algebraically closed and the monoidal categories under consideration are k-linear abelian. A monoidal category is said to be finite, if the underlying category is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional comodules over a finite-dimensional coalgebra. This is a natural generalization of the notion of finite tensor categories of Etingof and Ostrik [10].

Classification of finite monoidal categories is a fundamental problem. Our results in Section 3 indicate that even finite pointed monoidal categories are "over" pervasive, it is necessary to impose proper condition before considering the classification problem. In the following, by taking advantage of the theory of quivers and their representations, we give some classification results for some classes of finite pointed monoidal categories.

An abelian category is said to be hereditary, if the extension bifunctor Ext^n vanishes at each degree $n \geq 2$. Next we consider hereditary finite pointed monoidal categories. Though the following results are direct consequences from some well-known theorems of quivers and representations, we feel it is of interest to include them here.

Proposition 4.1. A hereditary finite pointed monoidal category with a fiber functor is equivalent to $(\operatorname{Rep} Q, \mathcal{F})$ with Q a finite acyclic quiver and \mathcal{F} the forgetful functor from $\operatorname{Rep} Q$ to the category $\operatorname{Vec}_{\Bbbk}$ of vector spaces.

Proof. By the standard reconstruction process, see e.g. [9, 22], a finite monoidal category with fiber functor is equivalent to $(B-\operatorname{comod},\mathcal{F})$ in which $B-\operatorname{comod}$ is the category of finite-dimensional right comodules over a finite-dimensional bialgebra B and \mathcal{F} is the forgetful functor. Note that, the category of right comodules over a finite-dimensional bialgebra B is pointed if and only if B is pointed. Now by the Gabriel type theorem for pointed coalgebras [5], there exists a unique quiver Q such that B is isomorphic to a large subcoalgebra, i.e. includes the space spanned by the set of vertices and arrows, of the path coalgebra kQ. The hereditary condition of the category $B-\operatorname{comod}$ forces B to be hereditary as a coalgebra. This indicates that B is isomorphic to kQ as a coalgebra. Since $B \cong kQ$ is finite-dimensional, the quiver Q must be finite and acyclic. Obviously, any representation of Q is automatically locally nilpotent, hence $B-\operatorname{comod}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{Rep} Q$.

Now we can use Gabriel's famous classification theorem [11] on quivers of finite-representation type, i.e. admitting only finitely many indecomposable representations up to isomorphism, to describe hereditary pointed monoidal categories in which there are only finitely many iso-classes of indecomposable objects. Follow the terminology of [16], a finite monoidal category is said to be of finite type if it has only finitely many iso-classes of indecomposable objects.

Corollary 4.2. A hereditary pointed monoidal category of finite type with a fiber functor is of the form Rep Q where Q is a finite disjoint union of quivers of ADE type.

Finally we give two examples of quiver monoidal categories. We also work out their Clebsch-Gordan formula and representation ring respectively.

Example 4.3. Let A_n be the quiver



with $n \geq 2$ vertices v_1, \dots, v_n and n-1 arrows a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} where $a_i : v_i \longrightarrow v_{i+1}$. Set v_1 to be the identity, v_2 to be the zero element, take the monoid structure on $\{v_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ as in Theorem 3.2 and consider the corresponding bialgebra structure with multiplication given by

$$v_1 \cdot a_i = a_i = a_i \cdot v_1, \ v_i \cdot a_i = 0 = a_i \cdot v_i \ (j \ge 2), \ a_i \cdot a_i = 0.$$

For a pair of integers i, j satisfying $1 \le i \le j \le n$, define a representation V(i, j) of \mathcal{A}_n by

$$V(i,j)_{v_k} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbbm{k}, & i \leq k \leq j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{and} \quad V(i,j)_{\mathbf{a_k}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & i \leq k \leq j-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right..$$

It is well-known that the set $\{V(i,j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ is a complete list of indecomposable representations of \mathcal{A}_n . For the Clebsch-Gordan problem of the category Rep \mathcal{A}_n , it is enough to consider the decomposition rule of $V(i,j) \otimes V(k,l)$ thanks to the Krull-Schmidt theorem. Given a representation V(i,j), let $e_s(i \leq s \leq j)$ denote a basis element of the vector space k assigned to the s-th vertex. Recall that the associated comodule structure map for V(i,j) is given by

$$\delta(e_s) = \sum_{x=s}^{j} e_x \otimes p_s^{x-s},$$

where by p_x^y we denote the path of length y starting at the vertex x. Now for $e_s \otimes e_t \in V(i,j) \otimes V(k,l)$, we have

$$\delta(e_s \otimes e_t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x=s}^{j} e_x \otimes e_t \otimes p_s^{x-s} + \sum_{y=t}^{l} e_s \otimes e_y \otimes p_t^{y-t}, & s = t = 1; \\ \sum_{y=t}^{l} e_s \otimes e_y \otimes p_t^{y-t}, & s = 1, t \ge 2; \\ \sum_{x=s}^{j} e_x \otimes e_t \otimes p_s^{x-s}, & s \ge 2, t = 1; \\ e_s \otimes e_t \otimes v_2, & s \ge 2, t \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it is clear that

$$V(i,j)\otimes V(k,l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} V(i,j)\oplus V(k,l)\oplus V(2,2)^{(j-i)(l-k)+1}, & i=k=1;\\ V(k,l)\oplus V(2,2)^{(j-i)(l-k+1)}, & i=1,k\geq 2;\\ V(i,j)\oplus V(2,2)^{(j-i+1)(l-k)}, & i\geq 2,k=1;\\ V(2,2)^{(j-i+1)(l-k+1)}, & i\geq 2,k\geq 2. \end{array} \right.$$

Example 4.4. Consider the infinite quiver \mathcal{A}_{∞} . Take the bialgebra structure on $\mathbb{k}\mathcal{A}_{\infty}$ as in Example 3.6 and keep the notations therein. For any pair of integers i,j with $0 \le i \le j$, define a representation V(i,j) of \mathcal{A}_{∞} as the previous example. Clearly, $\{V(i,j) \mid 0 \le i \le j\}$ is a complete set of locally nilpotent and locally finite indecomposable representations of \mathcal{A}_{∞} . As in Example 4.3, take a basis element e_s for the vector space \mathbb{k} in V(i,j) attached to the s-th vertex g_s . The corresponding comodule structure map of V(i,j) is

$$\delta(e_s) = \sum_{x=s}^{J} e_x \otimes p_s^{x-s}.$$

Consider the tensor product $V(i,j) \otimes V(k,l)$. For $e_s \otimes e_t \in V(i,j) \otimes V(k,l)$, we have

$$\delta(e_s \otimes e_t) = \sum_{x=s}^{j} \sum_{y=t}^{l} \binom{x-s+y-t}{y-t}_q e_x \otimes e_y \otimes p_{s+t}^{x-s+y-t}.$$

With this, it is not hard to see that

$$V(0,1) \otimes V(0,n) = V(0,n+1) \oplus V(1,n)$$

and

$$V(i,j) \otimes V(1,1) = V(i+1,j+1) = V(1,1) \otimes V(i,j).$$

This implies that the representation ring of Rep^{lnlf} \mathcal{A}_{∞} is generated by V(0,1) and V(1,1) and is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in two variables $\mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$.

Acknowledgements: The research of H.-L. Huang was partially supported by SDNSF (grant no. 2009ZRA01128) and IIFSDU (grant no. 2010TS021). The paper was written while Huang was visiting the Universidad de Almería and he acknowledges its hospitality. Both authors are very grateful to the referees for their useful suggestions.

References

- [1] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowronski, Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of Representation Theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S.O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [3] X. Chen, H.-L. Huang, P. Zhang, Dual Gabriel theorem with applications, Sci. China Ser. A 49 (2006), no. 1, 9-26.
- [4] X. Chen, P. Zhang, Comodules of $U_q(sl_2)$ and modules of $SL_q(2)$ via quiver methods, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 211 (2007), no. 3, 862-876.
- [5] W. Chin, S. Montgomery, Basic coalgebras, Modular interfaces (Riverside, CA, 1995), 41-47, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [6] W. Chin, I.M. Musson, The coradical filtration for quantized enveloping algebras, J. London Math. soc. (2) 53 (1996) 50-62.
- [7] C. Cibils, M. Rosso, Algèbres des chemins quantiques, Adv. Math. 125 (1997) 171-199.
- [8] C. Cibils, M. Rosso, Hopf quivers, J. Algebra 254 (2002) 241-251.
- [9] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, Tensor categories, lecture note for the MIT course 18.769, 2009. available at: www-math.mit.edu/etingof/tenscat.pdf
- [10] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, Finite tensor categories, Moscow Math. J. 4 (2004) 627-654.
- [11] P. Gabriel, Unzerlegbare Darstellungen I, Manuscripta Math. 6 (1972) 71-103.
- [12] E.L. Green, Constructing quantum groups and Hopf algebras from coverings, J. Algebra 176 (1995) 12-33.
- [13] E.L. Green, Ø. Solberg, Basic Hopf algebras and quantum groups, Math. Z. 229 (1998) 45-76.
- [14] M. Herschend, Tensor products on quiver representations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008) 452-469.
- [15] M. Herschend, On the representation ring of a quiver, Algebr. Represent. Theory, 12 (2009) 513-541.
- [16] H.-L. Huang, G. Liu, Y. Ye, Quviers, quasi-quantum groups and finite tensor categories, Comm. Math. Phys. 303 (2011) 595-612.
- [17] C. Kassel, Quantum Group, Graduate Texts in Math. 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [18] R. Kinser, The rank of a quiver representation, J. Algebra 320(6) (2008) 2363-2387.
- [19] R. Kinser, Rank functions on rooted tree quivers, Duke Math. J. 152(1) (2010) 27-92.
- [20] J. Kosakowska, D. Simson, Bipartite coalgebras and a reduction functor for coradical square complete coalgebras, Colloq. Math. 112 (2008), no. 1, 89-129.
- [21] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematicians, 3rd Edition, Graduate Texts in Math. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [22] S. Majid, Foundations of Quantum Group Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [23] Yu.I. Manin, Some remarks on Koszul algebras and quantum groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier. (Grenoble) 37(4) (1987) 191-205.
- [24] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math. 82, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [25] S. Montgomery, Indecomposable coalgebras, simple comodules and pointed Hopf algebras, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 123 (1995) 2343-2351.
- [26] W.D. Nichols, Bialgebras of type one, Communications in Algebra 6(15) (1978) 1521-1552.
- [27] M. Rosso, Quantum groups and quantum shuffles, Invent. Math. 133 (1998) 399-416.
- [28] D. Simson, Coalgebras, comodules, psseudocompact algebras and tame comodule type, Colloq. Math. 90 (2001) 101-150.
- [29] D. Simson, Coalgebras of tame comodule type, comodule categories, and a tame-wild dichotomy problem, in: Representations of algebras and related topics, 561-660, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.
- [30] M. Sweedler, Hopf algebras, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [31] F. Van Oystaeyen, P. Zhang, Quiver Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 280(2) (2004) 577-589.
- [32] D. Woodcock, Some categorical remarks on the representation theory of coalgebras, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997) 2775-2794.

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China E-mail address: hualin@sdu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND ANALYSIS, UNIVERSIDAD DE ALMERÍA, 04071 ALMERÍA, SPAIN E-mail address: btorreci@ual.es