

KAC-WAKIMOTO CHARACTER FORMULA FOR THE GENERAL LINEAR LIE SUPERALGEBRA

MICHAEL CHMUTOV, CRYSTAL HOYT, SHIFRA REIF

ABSTRACT. Character formulas for Lie superalgebras have been shown to have important applications to number theory and combinatorics. We prove the Kac-Wakimoto character formula for the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. This formula specializes to the well-known Kac-Weyl character formula when the modules are typical and to the Weyl denominator identity when the module is trivial. We also prove a determinantal character formula for KW-modules using the Kac-Wakimoto character formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that character theory for Lie superalgebras is a non-trivial generalization of the classical theory. The search for a Kac-Weyl type character formula has been a driving force in the field. The heart of the problem lies in the existence of the so called “atypical roots”. In their absence, a formula similar to the classical Weyl character formula was proven for finite dimensional typical highest weight modules by Kac in 1977 [K1, K2, K3]. For the singly atypical weights (those with only one atypical root), a closed formula was proven by Bernstein and Leites for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ in 1980 using geometrical methods [BL]. It was a long standing question to generalize this formula and many people contributed, including Van der Jeugt, Hughes, King and Thierry-Mieg who proposed a conjectural character formula for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ in 1990 [VHKT], which was later proven by Su and Zhang in 2007 [SZ1].

In 1996, Serganova introduced the generalized Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and used them to give a general character formula for finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ [S1, S2]. For each λ and μ dominant integral weights, evaluating the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ at $q = -1$ was shown to yield the multiplicity of the Kac module $\overline{L}(\mu)$ inside the finite dimensional simple module $L(\lambda)$. In 2003, Brundan gave an algorithm for computing the generalized Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ in terms of weight diagrams [B].

In 2007, Su and Zhang used Brundan’s algorithm to compute the generalized Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and to prove a Kac-Weyl type character formula for finite dimensional simple modules of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ in the standard choice of simple roots, which we refer to as the Su-Zhang character formula [SZ1, 4.43]. When the highest weight λ is “totally connected” (see Definition 17), every non-zero Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial is a monomial with coefficient 1, which drastically simplifies the Su-Zhang character formula (4.1) [SZ1, 4.46].

We use the Su-Zhang character formula to prove the Kac-Wakimoto character formula for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, which was stated by Kac and Wakimoto in 1994 [KW].

Theorem 1. *Let L be a finite dimensional simple module. For any choice of simple roots π and weight λ , such that $L = L_\pi(\lambda)$ and π contains a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic subset S_λ we have*

$$(1.1) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} w \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right)$$

where $r = |S_\lambda|$.

Date: December 10, 2021.

The second author was supported in part by an Aly Kaufman Fellowship at the Technion. The third author was partially supported by NSF RTG grant DMS 0943832.

We call a finite dimensional simple module L a *KW-module* if there exists a set of simple roots π that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1, and we call such π an *admissible* choice of simple roots for L .

Our proof goes as follows. We prove that a finite dimensional simple module is a KW-module if and only if its highest weight with respect to the standard choice of simple roots is totally connected (Theorem 20), by presenting an algorithm to move between these different bases. We generalize the arc diagrams defined in [GKMP], and we use these diagrams to define the steps of our algorithm, which are composed of a specified sequence of odd reflections. We show that each step of the algorithm preserves a generalized version of the Su-Zhang character formula, and that this generalized formula specializes to the Kac-Wakimoto character formula when the set of simple roots is admissible, thus proving that KW-modules for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ are tame.

In this way, we obtain a character formula for each admissible set of simple roots π and λ^ρ -maximal isotropic subset $S_\lambda \subset \pi$. We also obtain character formulas for the sets of simple roots encountered when applying the “shortening algorithm” to a totally connected weight, but not for all sets of simple roots. When the module is trivial, these character formulas specialize to the denominator identities obtained by Gorelik, Kac, Möseneder Frajria and Paolo-Papi in [G, GKMP].

We use the Kac-Wakimoto character formula to prove a determinantal character formula for KW-modules (Theorem 43), which is motivated by the determinantal character formula proven in [MV2] for critical modules labeled by non-intersecting composite partitions. Our determinantal character formula can be expressed using the data of the “special arc diagram” for a KW-module L (see Definition 23), and is useful for computer computations.

To make the paper self-contained, we give a simplified version of the proof of the Su-Zhang character formula in the special case that the highest weight of the module is totally connected, i.e., a KW-module. Along the way, we obtain another characterization of KW-modules in terms of their Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials (see Corollary 30), and we obtain the character formula of Theorem 35, which is motivated by the denominator identity given in [GKMP, (1.10)] for the standard choice of simple roots.

An important class of KW-modules are covariant modules. The tensor module $T(V)$ of the $(m+n)$ -dimensional natural representation V of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ is completely reducible, and its irreducible components are called *covariant modules*. These modules and their characters (super-Schur functions) were studied by Berele and Regev [BR], and Sergeev [S], who in particular gave a necessary and sufficient condition on a weight λ in terms of Young diagrams to be the highest weight of a covariant module for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ (with respect to the standard set of simple roots). Specifically, the irreducible components of the k -th tensor power of V are parametrized by Young diagrams of size k contained in the (m, n) -hook. Using this description, it is easy to show that the highest weight of a covariant module is totally connected (see Example 19). Hence, it follows from Theorem 20 that covariant modules are KW-modules, which was originally proven in [MV1].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the following people for useful and interesting discussions: Jonah Blasiak, Ivan Dimitrov, Sergey Fomin, Maria Gorelik, Thomas Lam and Ricky Liu.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The general linear Lie superalgebra. In this paper, \mathfrak{g} will always denote the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ over the complex field \mathbb{C} . As a vector space, \mathfrak{g} can be identified with the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V_0 \oplus V_1)$ of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $V_0 \oplus V_1$ with $\dim V_0 = m$ and $\dim V_1 = n$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \text{End}(V_0) \oplus \text{End}(V_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \text{Hom}(V_0, V_1) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_1, V_0).$$

A homogeneous element $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ has degree 0, denoted $\deg(x) = 0$, while $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ has degree 1, denoted $\deg(x) = 1$. We define a bilinear operation on \mathfrak{g} by letting

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\deg(x)\deg(y)}yx$$

on homogeneous elements and then extending linearly to all of \mathfrak{g} .

By fixing a basis of $V_{\bar{0}}$ and $V_{\bar{1}}$, we can realize \mathfrak{g} as the set of $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrices, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in M_{m,m}, B \in M_{n,n} \right\} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid C \in M_{m,n}, D \in M_{n,m} \right\},$$

and $M_{r,s}$ denotes the set of $r \times s$ matrices.

The Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} is the set of diagonal matrices, and it has a natural basis

$$\{E_{1,1}, \dots, E_{m,m}; E_{m+1,m+1}, \dots, E_{m+n,m+n}\},$$

where E_{ij} denotes the matrix whose ij -entry is 1 and there are 0's elsewhere. Fix the dual basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$ for \mathfrak{h}^* . We define a bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* by $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij} = -(\delta_i, \delta_j)$ and $(\varepsilon_i, \delta_j) = 0$, and use it to identify \mathfrak{h} with \mathfrak{h}^* .

Then \mathfrak{g} has a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\bar{0}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \right)$, where the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} is $\Delta = \Delta_{\bar{0}} \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\bar{0}} &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m\} \cup \{\delta_k - \delta_l \mid 1 \leq k \neq l \leq n\}, \\ \Delta_{\bar{1}} &= \{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta_k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{C}E_{ij}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\delta_k - \delta_l} = \mathbb{C}E_{m+k, m+l}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\varepsilon_i - \delta_k} = \mathbb{C}E_{i, m+k}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\delta_k - \varepsilon_i} = \mathbb{C}E_{m+k, i}$.

A set of simple roots $\pi \subset \Delta$ determines a decomposition of Δ into positive and negative roots, $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$. There is a corresponding triangular decomposition of \mathfrak{g} given by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$, where $\mathfrak{n}^{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Let $\Delta_d^+ = \Delta_{\bar{d}} \cap \Delta^+$ for $d \in \{0, 1\}$, and define $\rho_{\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \alpha$. Then for $\alpha \in \pi$, we have $(\rho_{\pi}, \alpha) = (\alpha, \alpha)/2$.

The Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} is $W = \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n$, and W acts on \mathfrak{h}^* by permuting the indices of the ε 's and by permuting the indices of the δ 's. In particular, the even reflection $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$ interchanges the i and j indices of the ε 's and fixes all other indices, while $s_{\delta_k - \delta_l}$ interchanges the k and l indices of the δ 's and fixes all other indices.

A proof of the following lemma can be found in [G, 4.1.1].

Lemma 2. *For any $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ the stabilizer of μ in W is either trivial or contains a reflection.*

Suppose $\beta \in \pi$ is an odd (isotropic) root. An odd reflection r_{β} of each $\alpha \in \pi$ is defined as follows.

$$r_{\beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -\alpha, & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \alpha, & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta) = 0 \\ \alpha + \beta, & \text{if } (\alpha, \beta) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $r_{\beta}\pi := \{r_{\beta}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \pi\}$ is also a set of simple roots for \mathfrak{g} [S3]. The corresponding root decomposition is $\Delta = \Delta'^+ \cup \Delta'^-$, where $\Delta'_0^+ = \Delta_0^+$ is unchanged, and $\Delta'_1^+ = (\Delta_1^+ \setminus \{\beta\}) \cup \{-\beta\}$. Using a sequence of even and odd reflections, one can move between any two sets of simple roots for \mathfrak{g} . Moreover, if π and π'' have the property that $\Delta''_0^+ = \Delta_0^+$, then there exists a sequence of odd reflections from π to π'' .

We denote by π_{st} the standard choice of simple roots.

$$\pi_{st} = \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1} - \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_m - \delta_1, \delta_1 - \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n-1} - \delta_n\}$$

The corresponding decomposition $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_0^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \cup \{\delta_k - \delta_l\}_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_1^+ = \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_k\}_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n}.$$

The standard choice π_{st} has the unique property that W fixes Δ_1^+ . Moreover, π_{st} contains a basis for Δ_0^+ , which we denote by $\pi_{\bar{0}}$.

The root lattice $Q = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ is independent of the choice of π . Let $Q_{\pi}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \pi} \mathbb{N}\alpha$, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and define a partial order on \mathfrak{h}^* by $\mu > \nu$ when $\mu - \nu \in Q_{st}^+$.

Remark 3. For convenience, we fix Δ_0^+ as in (2.1). This choice is arbitrary since we can relabel the indices of the ε 's and δ 's. We let $l(w)$ denote the length of $w \in W$ with respect to the set of simple reflections $s_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}, \dots, s_{\varepsilon_{m-1} - \varepsilon_m}; s_{\delta_1 - \delta_2}, \dots, s_{\delta_{n-1} - \delta_n}$ which generate W .

2.2. Finite dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. For each set of simple roots π and weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the Verma module of highest weight λ is the induced module

$$M_\pi(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda,$$

where \mathbb{C}_λ is the one-dimensional module such that $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ acts by scalar multiplication of $\lambda(h)$ and \mathfrak{n}^+ acts trivially. The Verma module $M_\pi(\lambda)$ has a unique simple quotient, which we denote by $L_\pi(\lambda)$ or simply by $L(\lambda)$. Given π and λ , we denote by λ^ρ or λ_π^ρ

$$\lambda^\rho := \lambda + \rho_\pi.$$

If $L_\pi(\lambda) \cong L_{\pi'}(\lambda')$ for some $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\pi' = r_\beta \pi$ for an odd reflection r_β with $\beta \in \pi$, then

$$(\lambda')^\rho = \begin{cases} \lambda^\rho, & \text{if } (\lambda, \beta) \neq 0 \\ \lambda^\rho + \beta, & \text{if } (\lambda, \beta) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $L_{\bar{0}}(\lambda)$ denote the simple highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module with respect to $\pi_{\bar{0}}$. The Kac module of highest weight λ with respect to π_{st} is the induced module

$$\bar{L}(\lambda) := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1^+}^{\mathfrak{g}} L_{\bar{0}}(\lambda)$$

defined by letting $\mathfrak{n}_1^+ := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ act trivially on the $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module $L_{\bar{0}}(\lambda)$. The unique simple quotient of $\bar{L}(\lambda)$ is $L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$.

A weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is called *dominant* if $\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^+$, *strictly dominant* if $\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^+$ and *integral* if $\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^+$. It is sufficient to check dominance and integrality on the set of simple roots $\pi_{\bar{0}} \subset \Delta_0^+$.

The proof of following lemma is straight-forward when viewing $L_\pi(\lambda)$ as a $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module.

Lemma 4. *If the simple module $L_\pi(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional, then λ is a dominant integral weight.*

For a proof of the following proposition see for example [M, 14.1.1].

Proposition 5. *For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) the simple highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module $L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional;
- (2) the Kac module $\bar{L}(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional;
- (3) the simple highest weight $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ -module $L_{\bar{0}}(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional;
- (4) λ is a dominant integral weight;
- (5) λ_{st}^ρ is a strictly dominant integral weight.

2.3. Atypical modules. The *atypicality* of $L_\pi(\lambda)$ is the maximal number of linearly independent roots β_1, \dots, β_r such that $(\beta_i, \beta_j) = 0$ and $(\lambda^\rho, \beta_i) = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. Such a set $S = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ is called a λ^ρ -*maximal isotropic set*. The module $L_\pi(\lambda)$ is called *typical* if this set is empty, and *atypical* otherwise. The atypicality of a simple finite dimensional module is independent of the choice of simple roots.

Definition 6. We call a simple finite dimensional module L a *KW-module* if $L \cong L_\pi(\lambda)$ for some choice of simple roots π which contains a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set $S \subset \pi$. In this case, we call such π an *admissible* choice of simple roots for L .

Let P denote the set of integral weights, P^+ the set of dominant integral weights, and define

$$\mathbb{P}^+ = \{\mu \in P^+ \mid (\mu_\pi^\rho, \varepsilon_i) \in \mathbb{Z}, (\mu_\pi^\rho, \delta_j) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Note that the definition of \mathbb{P}^+ is independent of the choice of π , since changing the set of simple roots by an odd reflection, only changes the entries of λ_π^ρ by integer values.

Remark 7. When studying the character of a simple finite dimensional atypical module, we may restrict to the case that $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$. Indeed, let $\lambda \in P^+$, then the module $L_\pi(\lambda)$ is atypical if and only if $(\lambda_\pi^\rho, \varepsilon_i) = (\lambda_\pi^\rho, \delta_j)$ for some $(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j) \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}$. So, by tensoring $L_\pi(\lambda)$ with a 1-dimensional module with character $e^{c(\sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j)}$ for appropriate $c \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain a module $L_\pi(\lambda')$ with $\lambda' \in \mathbb{P}^+$.

Fix a set of simple roots π , a weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ and a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set $S_\lambda \subset \Delta_{\bar{1}}^+$. Write

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_\pi^\rho = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \varepsilon_i - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \delta_j.$$

We refer to the coefficient a_i (resp. b_j) as the ε_i -entry (resp. δ_j -entry). If $\pm(\varepsilon_k - \delta_l) \in S_\lambda$, then we call the ε_k and δ_l entries *atypical*. Otherwise, an entry is called *typical*.

We denote by $(\lambda_\pi^\rho)^\uparrow$ the element obtained from λ_π^ρ by replacing all its atypical entries by the maximal atypical entry. Note that this can depend on choice of S_λ and not only on λ_π^ρ . However, for π_{st} and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$, there is a unique $S_\lambda \subset \Delta^+$. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ can be written as $\nu = \sum_{\alpha \in S_\lambda} k_\alpha \alpha$, then we define

$$|\nu|_{S_\lambda} := \sum_{\alpha \in S_\lambda} k_\alpha.$$

Observe that $|(\lambda_\pi^\rho)^\uparrow - \lambda_\pi^\rho|_{S_\lambda}$ is non-negative integer.

2.4. Arc diagrams. We generalize the arc diagrams defined in [GKMP]. Let L be a finite dimensional atypical module. For each set of simple roots π , weight $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ such that $L = L_\pi(\lambda)$ and λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set $S_\lambda \subset \Delta_{\bar{1}}^+$, there is an arc diagram that encodes the data: π , λ_π^ρ and S_λ .

In order to define the arc diagram corresponding to the data $(\pi, \lambda_\pi^\rho, S_\lambda)$, we first define a total order on the set $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m\} \cup \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$ determined by Δ^+ . In particular, $\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon_{i+1}$, $\delta_j < \delta_{j+1}$ and for each i and j we let


$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j < \varepsilon_i, & \text{ if } (\delta_j - \varepsilon_i) \in \Delta^+, \\ \varepsilon_i < \delta_j, & \text{ if } (\varepsilon_i - \delta_j) \in \Delta^+. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m+n}\}$ be this totally ordered set, and express λ_π^ρ as in (2.2). The *nodes* and *entries* of the diagram are determined from left to right by $\gamma_k \in T$, $k = 1, \dots, m+n$, by putting the node \bullet labeled with the entry a_i if $\gamma_k = \varepsilon_i$, and by putting the node \times labeled with the entry b_j if $\gamma_k = \delta_j$. The set S_λ determines an *arc arrangement* as follows. The ε_i -node \bullet and the δ_j -node \times are connected by an arc when $\pm(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j) \in S_\lambda$, and in this case $a_i = b_j$. A node and its entry are called *atypical* if the node is connected by some arc, and *typical* otherwise.

Example 8. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &= \{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 - \delta_1, \delta_1 - \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3 - \delta_2, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3 - \varepsilon_4\} \\ \lambda_\pi^\rho &= 7\varepsilon_1 + 5\varepsilon_2 + 5\varepsilon_3 + 2\varepsilon_4 - 5\delta_1 - 6\delta_2 - 7\delta_3 \\ S_\lambda &= \{\varepsilon_1 - \delta_3, \delta_1 - \varepsilon_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the corresponding arc diagram will be:



We can recover the data $(\pi, \lambda_\pi^\rho, S_\lambda)$ from an arc diagram as follows. Label the \bullet -nodes from left to right by $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m$, and the \times -nodes by $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$. Let $T = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m+n}\}$ be the ordered set determined by this labeling. Then $\pi = \{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, \gamma_2 - \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_{m+n-1} - \gamma_{m+n}\}$, λ_π^ρ is given by (2.2), where a_i is the ε_i -entry and b_j is the δ_j -entry, and $S_\lambda = \{\gamma_i - \gamma_j \mid i < j \text{ and } \gamma_i \text{ is connected by an arc to } \gamma_j\}$.

Remark 9. All entries of an arc diagram are integers, and adjacent \bullet entries are strictly decreasing, while adjacent \times entries are strictly increasing, because $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ and $\lambda_\pi^\rho = \lambda + \rho_\pi$ (see Remark 7).

Remark 10. We call the arc diagram for the standard choice of simple roots the *standard arc diagram*. For the standard arc diagram, there is only one possible arc arrangement and all arcs are “nested”. See for example Diagram (3.3).

Since $S_\lambda \subset \Delta_1^+$, an arc is always connected to a \bullet -node and a \times -node. We call an arc *short* if the \bullet -node and \times -node are adjacent. We say that an arc has $\bullet - \times$ type if the \bullet precedes the \times , and $\times - \bullet$ type if the \times precedes the \bullet . Note that no two arcs can share an endpoint, since S_λ is a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set. Moreover, an arc diagram by definition has a maximal arc arrangement, that is, it is not possible to add an arc to the diagram between typical \bullet and \times nodes with equal entries.

Adjacent \bullet and \times nodes correspond to an odd simple root β , and applying the odd reflection r_β swaps these nodes. In terms of the diagram it means that if $a \neq b$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \bullet & \times & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{r_\beta} & \cdots & \times & \bullet & \cdots \\ & a & b & & & & b & a & \\ \cdots & \bullet & \times & \cdots & \xleftrightarrow{r_\beta} & \cdots & \times & \bullet & \cdots \\ & a & a & & & & a+1 & a+1 & \end{array}$$

while all other nodes and entries are unchanged.

2.5. Weight diagrams. Weight diagrams are a convenient way to work with the highest weight of a module with respect to the standard choice of simple roots. They were introduced by Brundan and Stroppel in [BS] and were used to give algorithmic character formulas for basic classical Lie superalgebras in [GS] (see also [SZ2, 5.1]).

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ and write λ_{st}^ρ as in (2.2). On the \mathbb{Z} -lattice, put \times above t if $t \in \{a_i\} \cap \{b_j\}$, put $>$ above t if $t \in \{a_i\} \setminus \{b_j\}$, and put $<$ above t if $t \in \{b_i\} \setminus \{a_j\}$. If $t \notin \{a_i\} \cup \{b_j\}$, then we refer to the place holder above t as an *empty spot*.

Example 11. If

$$\lambda_{st}^\rho = 10\varepsilon_1 + 9\varepsilon_2 + 7\varepsilon_3 + 5\varepsilon_4 + 4\varepsilon_5 - \delta_1 - 4\delta_2 - 6\delta_3 - 7\delta_4$$

then the corresponding weight diagram D_λ is

$$(2.4) \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad \overset{<}{1} \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \overset{\times}{4} \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad \overset{<}{6} \quad \overset{\times}{7} \quad 8 \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad 11 \quad 12.$$

2.6. Characters for Category \mathcal{O} . Let M be a module from the BGG category \mathcal{O} [M, 8.2.3]. Then M has a weight space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\mu$, where $M_\mu = \{x \in M \mid h.x = \mu(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}^*\}$, and the *character* of M is by definition $\text{ch } M = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim M_\mu e^\mu$.

Denote by \mathcal{E} the algebra of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(e^\nu, \nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$. The group W acts on \mathcal{E} by mapping e^ν to $e^{w(\nu)}$. Corresponding to a choice of positive roots Δ^+ , the *Weyl denominator* of \mathfrak{g} is defined to be

$$R = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} (1 + e^{-\alpha})}.$$

Then $e^\rho R$ is W -skew-invariant, i.e. $w(e^\rho R) = (-1)^{l(w)} e^\rho R$, and $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$ is W -invariant for $\lambda \in P^+$. The character of a Verma module is $\text{ch } M(\lambda) = e^\lambda R$. The character of the Kac module for $\lambda \in P^+$ is

$$(2.5) \quad \text{ch } \bar{L}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{e^\rho R} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} w(e^{\lambda^\rho})$$

For $X \in \mathcal{E}$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_W(X) := \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} w(X).$$

Lemma 12. *Let $X \in \mathcal{E}$. If $\sigma(X) = X$ for some reflection $\sigma \in W$, then $F_W(X) = 0$.*

Proof. $2\mathcal{F}_W(X) = \mathcal{F}_W(X) + \mathcal{F}_W(\sigma(X)) = \mathcal{F}_W(X) + (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \mathcal{F}_W(X) = 0$. \square

3. HIGHEST WEIGHTS OF KW-MODULES

We describe the highest weights and arc diagrams of KW-modules with respect to different choices of simple roots.

3.1. An admissible choice of simple roots. Let us characterize the highest weight λ of a KW-module L with respect to an admissible choice of simple roots π and a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic subset $S \subset \pi$.

Lemma 13. *Consider two arcs in the arc diagram of λ^ρ with no arcs between them. Up to a reflection along these arcs, the corresponding subdiagram has one of the following forms.*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \bullet & \text{---} & \times & & \bullet & & \bullet & \text{---} & \times \\ a+k & & a+k & & a+k & a+k-1 & \cdots & a+1 & a & & a \end{array}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & \text{---} & & & & & & \text{---} & \\ & & \times & & \times & & \cdots & & \times & & \times \\ \bullet & & a & & a+1 & a+2 & \cdots & a+k & a+k & & a+k \end{array}$$

Equivalently, the neighboring \bullet and \times entries are equal, and the entries between the two arcs are either all of \bullet -type and are increasing consecutive integers or are all of \times -type and are decreasing consecutive integers. Moreover, diagrams (3.1) and (3.2) can not both be a subdiagram of the same admissible diagram.

Proof. We shall analyze the entries of λ and ρ separately.

Let us show that all the entries of λ in the range of the subdiagram are equal. We write $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \varepsilon_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \delta_i$ and suppose that the arcs in diagram correspond to the simple isotropic roots $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \delta_{i'}$ and $\beta = \varepsilon_j - \delta_{j'}$, with $i < j$, $i' < j'$. Since α and β are simple and isotropic, they are orthogonal to ρ and hence to λ . This implies that $a_i = b_{i'}$ and $a_j = b_{j'}$. Since λ is dominant, $a_i \geq a_{i+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_j$ and $b_{i'} \leq b_{i'+1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{j'}$. Hence, $a_i = \cdots = a_j = b_{i'} = \cdots = b_{j'}$.

It follows that two entries in the subdiagram are equal if and only if they are equal in ρ . Since ρ is orthogonal to all simple isotropic roots, the \bullet and \times entries are equal when adjacent. Hence, at least one of them must be connected with an arc, since otherwise we contradict the maximality property of the arc arrangement. Therefore, the entries between the two arcs are either all of \bullet -type or all of \times -type.


The difference between two consecutive entries of the subdiagram of λ^ρ will be as in ρ , since all such entries of λ are equal. In particular, they should decrease (resp. increase) by 1 whenever we have consecutive \bullet -entries (resp. \times -entries). \square

The following definition was introduced in [MV2, Prop. 1] for the standard choice of simple roots.

Definition 14. Let π be any set of simple roots and let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Write $\lambda^\rho = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \varepsilon_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \delta_i$. Suppose $S_\lambda = \{\varepsilon_{m_i} - \delta_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^r$ is a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set ordered so that $a_{m_1} \leq a_{m_2} \leq \cdots \leq a_{m_r}$. We say that λ^ρ satisfies the *interval property* if all the integers between a_{m_1} and a_{m_r} (equivalently, between b_{n_1} and b_{n_r}) are contained in the set $\{a_i, b_j\}_{i=1, \dots, m; j=1, \dots, n}$.

Corollary 15. *Let L be a KW-module and let λ be its highest weight with respect to an admissible choice of simple roots. Then λ^ρ satisfies the interval property.*

Remark 16. Note that the interval property is not a property of a module. In particular, if π_1, π_2 are two choices of simple roots and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ are such that $L_{\pi_1}(\lambda_{\pi_1}) = L_{\pi_2}(\lambda_{\pi_2})$, we can have that $\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho$ satisfies the interval property but $\lambda_{\pi_2}^\rho$ doesn't. For example,



3.2. Totally connected weights in the standard choice. We prove a criterion for a module to be a KW-module given its highest weight λ_{st} with respect to the standard choice of simple roots π_{st} . The following definition is equivalent to the one given in [SZ1], which was first observed in [MV2].

Definition 17. Let $\lambda_{st} \in \mathbb{P}^+$. We say that λ_{st} is *totally connected* if λ_{st}^ρ satisfies the interval property with respect to π_{st} .

Remark 18. In terms of weight diagrams, this is equivalent to the condition that there are no empty spots between the \times 's. For example, diagram (2.4) is totally connected whereas diagram (4.3) is not.

Example 19. The highest weight of a covariant module is totally connected. Indeed, such a module corresponds to a partition μ of k that lies in the (m, n) -hook, i.e. $\mu_{m+1} \leq n$ [BR, S]. The corresponding covariant module is $L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$, where

$$\lambda = \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \mu_m \varepsilon_m + \tau_1 \delta_1 + \dots + \tau_n \delta_n$$

$\tau_j = \max\{0, \mu'_j - m\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and μ'_j is the length of the j -th column (see for example [VHKT, Section V]). Then $\tau_j = 0$ for $j > \mu_m$ and the arc diagram of λ^ρ is

$$\bullet_{\mu_1+m} \quad \cdots \quad \bullet_{\mu_m+1} \quad \times_{-\tau_1+1} \quad \cdots \quad \times_{-\tau_{\mu_m}+\mu_m} \quad \times_{\mu_m+1} \quad \times_{\mu_m+1} \quad \cdots \quad \times_n$$

Since $\mu_m + 1 > -\tau_{\mu_m} + \mu_m$, there are no arcs connected to the first μ_m \times -nodes. Since the rest of the \times -entries are consecutive integers, λ^ρ satisfies the interval property and hence λ is totally connected.


Theorem 20. *The finite dimensional simple module L is a KW-module if and only if its highest weight with respect to the standard choice of simple roots is totally connected.*

Proof of Theorem 20 “ \Rightarrow ”. We start with an arc diagram that corresponds to an admissible choice of simple roots, that is, all arcs are short, and we move to the standard arc diagram by applying a sequence of odd reflections which preserve the interval property. We begin with reflecting along all the arcs which are of $\times - \bullet$ type, and get another admissible choice of simple roots, for which the interval property holds by Corollary 15.

Now we push all the \times -entries to the right one at a time, starting with the right most \times -entry. All of our reflections are along consecutive \times and \bullet entries, and at each reflection there are several cases. If the entries below the \times and the \bullet are not equal, then the reflection does change them and the interval property is clearly preserved.

If the \times and \bullet entries are equal then at least one of them is connected to an arc, since otherwise the number of arcs could be increased contradicting the maximality property of the arc arrangement. So there are three possibilities, namely, either the \bullet -entry or the \times -entry is connected to an arc, or both. In each case, we reflect at the consecutive $\times - \bullet$ entries and then arrange the arcs to be of $\bullet - \times$ type as


follows.




In each case, an atypical entry of $a - 1$ was added, but the interval property is preserved since we still have an a in the diagram. The maximality property is also preserved since the new diagram has the same number of arcs as the old diagram. Moreover, the arcs of the new diagram are again non-intersecting and of $\bullet - \times$ type. We proceed to the next \times , and continue until each \times is to the right of every \bullet . \square

To prove the other direction, we introduce an algorithm to move from a totally connected weight in the standard choice of simple roots to an admissible choice of simple roots, which we call the *shortening algorithm*. Let's first illustrate our algorithm with an example.


Example 21. Let us take the following totally connected weight and show a sequence of odd reflections that bring it to an admissible choice of simple roots.




Since the innermost entries 1 and 2 are different from all the other entries, using the odd reflection defined in (2.3) we can move them outside the arcs to the right and left, respectively.



Next, we apply the odd reflection $s_{\varepsilon_4 - \delta_2}$, and then choose the arc arrangement to be of $\bullet - \times$ type so that we can move the extra 6 outside of the arcs.



Then we move the 6 \bullet -entry to the right outside of the arcs.




Finally, we apply $s_{\varepsilon_3 - \delta_2}$, and then arrange the arcs to be short, obtaining an admissible choice of simple roots.



Proof of Theorem 20 " \Leftarrow ". We give an algorithm to move from a totally connected weight λ_{st} of a finite dimensional simple module to an admissible choice of simple roots using a sequence of odd reflections. The main idea is to push all the typical entries which are below the arcs to the side, making all the atypical entries the same. This will allow us to choose an arc arrangement with only short arcs.


We have from Remark 9 that adjacent \bullet -entries of λ_π^ρ are strictly decreasing, while adjacent \times -entries of λ_π^ρ are strictly increasing. Hence, in the standard arc diagram all equalities between entries correspond to arcs, and the arcs are nested in each other. Moreover, all of the entries below the innermost arc are typical and are different from the rest of the entries. Before applying the algorithm, we move these entries outside of the arcs by pushing the \times 's to the left and the \bullet 's to the right, which makes the innermost arc short and of $\bullet - \times$ type.

We begin the algorithm by reflecting along the innermost arc and then we arrange the arcs to be of $\bullet - \times$ type. Due to the interval property there are three possibilities: either there is an $a + 1$ \bullet -entry on the left, an $a + 1$ \times -entry on the right, or both and in which case they must be connected by an arc.




After the reflection, in the first case we push the unmatched $a + 1$ \bullet -entry to the right outside of the arcs, and in the second case we push the unmatched $a + 1$ \times -entry to the left outside of the arcs.

This will be the base of our induction. Suppose that after k steps, all the atypical entries below $a + k + 1$ are now equal to $a + k$ and are paired by short arcs, and all other entries which are below an arc remained as in the original diagram of λ_{st}^ρ . Due to the interval property there are now three possibilities, namely, either there is an $a + k + 1$ \bullet -entry on the left, or an $a + k + 1$ \times -entry on the right, or both and in which case they must be connected by an arc.



We reflect along the short arcs and arrange the arcs to get the following three diagrams, respectively.




In the first case we push the unmatched $a + k + 1$ \bullet -entry to the right outside of the arcs, and in the second case we push the unmatched $a + k + 1$ \times -entry to the left outside of the arcs.


We continue this procedure until we reach the outermost arc. After doing the last step, all of the arcs become short and we get an admissible choice of simple roots. Moreover, all the atypical entries are now

adjacent and equal to the largest atypical entry of λ_{st}^ρ . The typical \bullet -entries (resp. \times -entries) which were under an arc of λ_{st}^ρ were pushed to the right (resp. left). \square

Remark 22. For each λ_{st}^ρ which corresponds to a totally connected λ in π_{st} , one can immediately determine the arc diagram given by shortening algorithm. For example, if λ_{st}^ρ corresponds to the arc diagram



then the shortening algorithm gives



where the typical \bullet -entries under an arc of λ_{st}^ρ are pushed to the right outside the arcs, the typical \times -entries under an arc of λ_{st}^ρ are pushed to left outside the arcs, the atypical entries are set equal to the maximal atypical entry of λ_{st}^ρ and then all arcs are chosen to be short.

Definition 23. We call an arc diagram for L the *special arc diagram* if

- (1) all arcs are short, of $\bullet - \times$ type and are adjacent;
- (2) all atypical entries are equal;
- (3) the typical nodes at each end of the diagram are organized so that the \bullet 's precede the \times 's;
- (4) the \bullet -entries are strictly decreasing left to right, except for atypical entries which are all equal;
- (5) the \times -entries are strictly increasing left to right, except for atypical entries which are all equal.

Remark 24. The arc diagram obtained in the last step of the shortening algorithm is a special arc diagram for L , since it satisfies (1)-(5). Hence, every KW-module has a special set of simple roots, since the highest weight of a KW-module with respect to π_{st} is totally connected. Moreover, it is unique since we can apply the reverse of the shortening algorithm to a special arc diagram to obtain the standard arc diagram for a totally connected weight λ of a finite dimensional module.

4. SU-ZHANG CHARACTER FORMULA FOR THE TOTALLY CONNECTED CASE

We use Brundan's algorithm to characterize KW-modules in terms of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and to prove the Su-Zhang character formula for finite dimensional simple modules with a totally connected highest weight in the standard choice of simple roots π_{st} . Recall the notation $(\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow$ and $|\nu|_{S_\lambda}$ from Section 2.3.

Theorem 25 (Su, Zhang, [SZ1, 4.13]). *Let λ_{st} be a totally connected weight with a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set S_λ such that $|S_\lambda| = r$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda_{st}) = \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda_{st}^\rho|_{S_\lambda}}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right).$$

To prove this theorem, we extend the ring \mathcal{E} by adding expansions of the elements $\frac{1}{1+e^{-\beta}}$ with $\beta \in \Delta_{\bar{1}}^+$ with respect to π_{st} as geometric series in the domain $|e^{-\beta}| < 1$. Since $\Delta_{\bar{1}}^+$ is fixed by W , expanding commutes with the action of W .

4.1. Brundan's algorithm. In [S1], Serganova introduced the generalized Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials to give a character formula for finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. For each λ and μ dominant integral, the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ was shown to yield the multiplicity of Kac module $\bar{L}(\mu)$ inside the simple module $L_{st}(\lambda)$ in the following sense:

$$(4.2) \quad \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} K_{\lambda,\mu}(-1) \text{ch } \bar{L}(\mu).$$

In this section we recall the algorithm of Brundan [B] to compute $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$ in terms of weight diagrams.

We define a *right move* map from the set of (labeled) weight diagrams to itself in two steps. Let D_μ be a weight diagram for $\mu \in \mathbb{P}^+$, and choose a labeling of the \times 's with indexing set $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Then for each \times , starting with the rightmost \times , "mark" the next empty spot to the right of it (which is unmarked). The right move R_i is then defined by moving \times_i to the empty spot it marked.

Example 26. Let D_μ be

$$(4.3) \quad \dots -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \overset{<}{2} \quad 3 \quad \overset{\times}{4} \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad \overset{\times}{6} \quad 7 \quad \overset{\times}{8} \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad 11 \quad 12 \dots$$

The rightmost \times is at 8 and we mark 11 for it. The next \times is at 6 and so we mark 7. Finally for the leftmost \times we mark 12. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(D_\mu) &= \dots -1 \quad 0 \quad \overset{<}{1} \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad \overset{\times}{6} \quad 7 \quad \overset{\times}{8} \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad 11 \quad \overset{\times}{12} \dots \\ R_2(D_\mu) &= \dots -1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \overset{<}{2} \quad 3 \quad \overset{\times}{4} \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad 6 \quad \overset{\times}{7} \quad \overset{\times}{8} \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad 11 \quad 12 \dots \\ R_3(D_\mu) &= \dots -1 \quad 0 \quad \overset{<}{1} \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad \overset{\times}{4} \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad \overset{\times}{6} \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad \overset{\times}{11} \quad 12 \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note that the weight μ_i^ρ corresponding to $R_i(D_\mu)$ does not only differ from μ^ρ by atypical roots. It also has a different atypical set. In the previous example

$$\begin{aligned} S_\mu &= \{\varepsilon_3 - \delta_2, \varepsilon_4 - \delta_3, \varepsilon_6 - \delta_4\} \\ S_{\mu_1} &= \{\varepsilon_1 - \delta_2, \varepsilon_4 - \delta_3, \varepsilon_5 - \delta_4\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 27. Let $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$, and label the \times 's in the diagram D_μ from left to right with $1, \dots, r$. A *right path* from D_μ to D_λ is a sequence of right moves $\theta = R_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ R_{i_k}$ where $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ and $\theta(D_\mu) = D_\lambda$. The length of the path is $l(\theta) := k$.

Example 28. Let D_μ be

$$\dots -1 \quad 0 \quad \overset{\times}{1} \quad \overset{<}{2} \quad 3 \quad \boxed{\overset{\times}{4}} \quad \overset{>}{5} \quad \boxed{\overset{\times}{6}} \quad 7 \quad \boxed{} \quad \overset{>}{8} \quad \overset{>}{9} \quad \overset{>}{10} \quad 11 \quad 12 \dots$$

and D_λ be as in Example 26. The boxes in the diagram represent the locations of the \times 's in λ . Then there are two paths from D_μ to D_λ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda &= R_1 \circ R_1 \circ R_2 \circ R_3 \circ R_3(D_\mu) \\ D_\lambda &= R_1 \circ R_1 \circ R_2(D_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

The first path sends the i -th \times of μ to the i -th box whereas the second path permutes the order. Not all such permutations are valid, for example the third \times in μ can not be moved to the left. Also suppose the second and third \times 's will remain in the first and second boxes, respectively. Then the first \times will never reach the box at 8 since it is marked by the \times at 4, and hence such a path would be invalid.

If there exist paths from D_μ to D_λ , then one of them sends the i -th \times of μ^ρ to the location of the i -th \times of λ^ρ . This path is unique because the \times 's are moved in order. We call it the *trivial path* from D_μ to D_λ and denote its length by $l_{\lambda,\mu}$ (this was denoted as $l(\lambda, \mu)$ in [SZ1, (3.15)]).

The trivial path is strictly longer than the rest of the paths. Indeed, in other paths, there is at least one \times which is not moved as far as possible. This implies that another \times will jump over it, making the move longer. So in this case one needs less moves to fill all of the boxes.

By [B, Corollary 3.39], we have

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{\theta \in P} q^{l(\theta)}$$

where P is the set of paths from D_μ to D_λ . In the previous example $K_{\lambda,\mu} = q^5 + q^3$.

4.2. Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and the Su-Zhang character formula. First we show that λ being totally connected is equivalent to all paths to D_λ being trivial. This yields a new characterization of KW-modules in terms of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. Then we use Brundan's algorithm to give a closed formula for $e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$, which was originally proven in [SZ1, 4.13].

Lemma 29. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$. Then λ is totally connected if and only if for every $\mu \in \mathbb{P}^+$, there is at most one path from D_μ to D_λ .*

Proof. We will refer to the locations of the \times 's of the weight diagram D_λ as boxes and the paths will send the \times 's in D_μ to boxes.

The weight λ is totally connected when there are no empty spots between the boxes. This implies that a path from D_μ to D_λ must send the i -th \times of μ to the i -th box. Indeed, if the i -th \times of μ is sent to the j -th box, $j < i$, then the next empty box to the right of the j -th box will be marked by the i -th \times , and so no other \times can be sent there. This implies that the path must be unique.

Suppose that there exists μ for which there is a non trivial path to D_λ . In this path there is an \times of μ , say the i -th, which is sent to the j -th box where $j < i$. Then the next empty spot after this box, can not have a box in it. So λ is not totally connected. \square

Corollary 30. *A module $L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$ is a KW-module if and only if all its Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials are monomials. In this case, $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = q^{l^{\lambda,\mu}}$.*

From (4.2) and (2.5) we also obtain the following, which is a special case of [SZ1, Thm. 4.1].

Corollary 31. *Suppose λ is totally connected. Since the unique path from D_μ to D_λ is the trivial one, we get*

$$e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in P_\lambda} (-1)^{l^{\lambda,\mu}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\mu^\rho})$$

where $P_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^+$ is the set of $\mu \in \mathbb{P}^+$ for which there is a path from D_μ to D_λ .

Note that for each $\mu \in P_\lambda$, the W orbit of μ^ρ intersects $(\lambda^\rho - \mathbb{N}S_\lambda)$. We denote by $\bar{\mu}$ the unique maximal element of this intersection with respect to the standard order on \mathfrak{h}^* . We define

$$C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}} := \{\bar{\mu} \mid \mu \in P_\lambda\}.$$

Since $P_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^+$, this defines a bijection between the sets P_λ and C_λ^{Lexi} .

For $\mu \in P_\lambda$, we can realize $\bar{\mu}$ more explicitly as follows. If ε_i and δ_j are typical nodes of λ^ρ , then $(\bar{\mu}, \varepsilon_i) := (\lambda^\rho, \varepsilon_i)$ and $(\bar{\mu}, \delta_j) := (\lambda^\rho, \delta_j)$. The location of the atypical entries for $\bar{\mu}$ is determined by locations in λ^ρ . The set of atypical entries of $\bar{\mu}$ correspond to the set of atypical entries of μ^ρ , ordered such that the ε -atypical entries are decreasing and the δ -atypical entries are increasing.

Example 32. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^\rho &= 10\varepsilon_1 + 9\varepsilon_2 + \underline{8\varepsilon_3} + \underline{6\varepsilon_4} + 5\varepsilon_5 + \underline{4\varepsilon_6} - 2\delta_1 - \underline{4\delta_2} - \underline{6\delta_3} - \underline{8\delta_4} \\ \mu^\rho &= 10\varepsilon_1 + 9\varepsilon_2 + \underline{6\varepsilon_3} + 5\varepsilon_4 + \underline{4\varepsilon_5} + \underline{\varepsilon_6} - \underline{\delta_1} - 2\delta_2 - \underline{4\delta_3} - \underline{6\delta_4} \\ \bar{\mu} &= 10\varepsilon_1 + 9\varepsilon_2 + \underline{6\varepsilon_3} + \underline{4\varepsilon_4} + 5\varepsilon_5 + \underline{\varepsilon_6} - 2\delta_1 - \underline{\delta_2} - \underline{4\delta_3} - \underline{6\delta_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $S_\lambda = \{\varepsilon_6 - \delta_2, \varepsilon_4 - \delta_3, \varepsilon_3 - \delta_4\}$ and $\lambda^\rho = \bar{\mu} + 3(\varepsilon_6 - \delta_2) + 2(\varepsilon_4 - \delta_3) + 2(\varepsilon_3 - \delta_4)$.

Remark 33. The element $w \in W$ for which $w(\mu^\rho) = \bar{\mu}$ can be described explicitly in terms of the trivial path θ . Denote $\theta = R_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ R_{i_N}$, then $w = w_1 \cdots w_N$ where each w_j is defined as follows. Suppose that the move R_{i_j} moved the \times at n_j to an empty spot at $n_j + k_j$, namely, it skipped over $k_j - 1$ atypical spots with $>$'s and $<$'s. Then $w_j = s_1 \cdots s_{k_j-1}$ where s_i is of the form $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}}$ if the i -th skip is over the $>$ of ε_i and is of the form $s_{\delta_i - \delta_{i+1}}$ if it is over the $<$ of δ_i . In particular, $l(w_j) = k_j - 1$. Moreover $l(w) = \sum l(w_i)$.

The following lemma is the main step of the proof in which we move from an algorithmic formula to a closed one, and it is a special case of [SZ1, Thm. 4.2].

Lemma 34. *One has*

$$e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda) = \sum_{\bar{\mu} \in C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\bar{\mu}}).$$

Proof. Let us show that for each $\mu \in P_\lambda$,

$$(4.4) \quad (-1)^{l_{\lambda, \mu}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\mu^\rho}) = (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\bar{\mu}}).$$

Let $w \in W$ such that $w(\mu^\rho) = \bar{\mu}$. We claim that $|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda} = l_{\lambda, \mu} + l(w)$ which proves (4.4). Indeed, the number $|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda}$ is the sum of the differences between the atypical entries of λ^ρ and $\bar{\mu}$. This is equal to the number of moves in the path $l_{\lambda, \mu}$ plus the number of spots being skipped. By Remark 33, $l(w)$ is exactly the number of atypical spots skipped. \square

Proof of Theorem 25. Our proof goes as follows. First we express C_λ^{Lexi} in terms of $(\lambda^\rho_{st})^\uparrow$. Then we add more summands to the expression

$$\sum_{\bar{\mu} \in C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\bar{\mu}})$$

which are annihilated by \mathcal{F}_W but that allow us to write the sum in a nicer way.

Denote the λ^ρ -maximal atypical set by $S_\lambda = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$, where the elements $\beta_i = \varepsilon_{s_i} - \delta_{t_i}$ are ordered such that $t_i < t_{i+1}$. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\lambda^\rho = (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \beta_i$. Then $k_1 > \dots > k_r = 0$. Let $a_1 < \dots < a_r$ be the atypical entries of λ^ρ . Then $a_i + k_i = a_j + k_j$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}} &= \left\{ \lambda^\rho - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \beta_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}, a_1 - n_1 < a_2 - n_2 < \dots < a_r - n_r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda^\rho - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \beta_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}, k_1 + n_1 > k_2 + n_2 > \dots > k_r + n_r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r (k_i + n_i) \beta_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}, k_1 + n_1 > k_2 + n_2 > \dots > k_r + n_r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \beta_i \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq k_i}, m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_r \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us enlarge C_λ^{Lexi} , namely, we define

$$\overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}} = \left\{ (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \beta_i \mid m_i \in \mathbb{N}, m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_r \right\}$$

and show that

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{\bar{\mu} \in C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \bar{\mu}|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^{\bar{\mu}}) = \sum_{\nu \in \overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \nu|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W(e^\nu)$$

In particular, we claim that $\mathcal{F}_W(e^\nu) = 0$ if ν is of the form $\nu = (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \beta_i \in \overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}$ and $m_i < k_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Indeed, let j be such that $m_j < k_j$ and $m_i \geq k_i$ for all $i > j$. Note that since λ is totally connected, all the integers between a_r and $a_j + 1$ are entries of λ^ρ . The typical entries of ν are the same as of λ^ρ and there are $r - j + 1$ atypical entries which are strictly greater than a_j . This implies that there must be equal entries of the same type, that is ν has a stabilizer in W . Hence, by Lemma 12 and Lemma 2 we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_W(e^\nu) = 0$.

Let W_r be the subgroup of W that permutes S_λ . This subgroup is generated by elements of the form $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} s_{\delta_{i'} - \delta_{j'}}$ where $\varepsilon_i - \delta_{i'}, \varepsilon_j - \delta_{j'} \in S_\lambda$ so $|W_r| = r!$ and all $w \in W_r$ have positive sign. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}_W \left(\sum_{w \in W_r} w e^\nu \right) = r! \mathcal{F}_W (e^\nu)$$

for any $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $W_r(\overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}) = \{w(\nu) \mid w \in W_r, \nu \in \overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}\}$. Then

$$W_r(\overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}) = \left\{ (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \beta_i \mid m_i \in \mathbb{N}, m_i \neq m_j \text{ for } i \neq j \right\},$$

and so elements from $((\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \mathbb{N}S_\lambda) \setminus W_r(\overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}})$ have a stabilizer in W . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} r! (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \lambda^\rho|_{S_\lambda}} \sum_{\nu \in \overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \nu|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W (e^\nu) &= \sum_{\nu \in \overline{C_\lambda^{\text{Lexi}}}} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \nu|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\sum_{w \in W_r} e^{w(\nu)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \mathbb{N}S_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \nu|_{S_\lambda}} \mathcal{F}_W (e^\nu) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\sum_{\nu \in (\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow - \mathbb{N}S_\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda^\rho - \nu|_{S_\lambda}} e^\nu \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

The character formula in the following theorem is motivated by the denominator identity given in [GKMP, (1.10)] for π_{st} , and can be proven using Lemma 34, Formula (4.5) and the methods above.

Theorem 35. *Let λ_{st} be a totally connected weight with a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic set β_1, \dots, β_r ordered such that $\beta_i < \beta_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Then*

$$e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda^\rho)^\uparrow}}{(1 + e^{-\beta_1})(1 - e^{-\beta_1 - \beta_2}) \dots (1 - (-1)^r e^{-\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i})} \right).$$

5. KAC-WAKIMOTO CHARACTER FORMULA FOR KW-MODULES

5.1. The special case. Let us show that Theorem 25 generalizes to other sets of simple roots by proving that the character formula is preserved under the steps of the shortening algorithm given in the proof of Theorem 20. This will prove the Kac-Wakimoto character formula for the special set of simple roots.

For a totally connected highest weight λ of a finite dimensional simple module $L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$, we let π_k denote the set of simple roots obtained after k steps of the shortening algorithm applied to λ^ρ . Let $\lambda_{\pi_k} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be such that $L = L_{\pi_k}(\lambda_{\pi_k})$. Set $S_0 = S_\lambda$ and let S_k be the $\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho$ -maximal isotropic set corresponding to the arc arrangement obtained by the k -th step of the algorithm. Then we have the following:

Theorem 36. *Let λ be a totally connected weight and let $L = L_{\pi_{st}}(\lambda)$. Then*

$$(5.1) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L = \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho - \lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho|_{S_k}}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_k} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right).$$

Our proof is by induction on the steps of the shortening algorithm. Let us first see an example.

Example 37. Given λ_{st}^ρ corresponding to Diagram (3.3) from Example 21, we show that Formula (5.1) holds after one step of the shortening algorithm.

To obtain $(\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow = \lambda_{st}^\rho + 2(\varepsilon_4 - \delta_2)$ from the λ_{st}^ρ diagram, each entry labeled with a 5 should be replaced by a 7. To start the algorithm, we first push the entries 1 and 2 outside of the arcs, which does not change λ_{st}^ρ and $S_{\lambda_{st}^\rho}$ so the formula is clearly preserved.

Next we apply $r_{\varepsilon_4 - \delta_2}$ and obtain $\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho$ corresponding to Diagram (3.4). Then $\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho = \lambda_{st}^\rho + (\varepsilon_4 - \delta_2)$ and $S_1 = s_{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4} S_{\lambda_{st}^\rho}$. So $(\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho)^\uparrow = \lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho + (\varepsilon_3 - \delta_2)$ and $(\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho)^\uparrow = s_{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4} (\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L &= \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \mathcal{F}_W \left((-1) \cdot s_{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4} \frac{e^{(\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{\lambda_{st}^\rho}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho| - \lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho|_{S_1}}}{2} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_1} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the formula is preserved. Finally, we move the 6 out to obtain Diagram (3.5). Since this does not change $\lambda_{\pi_1}^\rho$ and S_{π_1} the formula is preserved.

Proof of Theorem 36. Our proof is by induction on the steps of the shortening algorithm from the proof of Theorem 20. After k steps, we obtain new data: $\pi_k, \lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho, \lambda_{\pi_k}, S_k$. We express the RHS of Formula (5.1) in terms of this new data, and prove that it is equal to $e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L$ using the formula obtained after $k - 1$ steps.

Before applying the algorithm we start by pushing the entries located below the innermost arc outside of the arcs. Since this corresponds to reflections with respect to roots which are not orthogonal to $\lambda_{\pi_{st}}^\rho$, this changes π_{st} but not $\lambda_{\pi_{st}}^\rho$ or $S_{\lambda_{st}^\rho}$. So Formula (5.1) is unchanged.

Now suppose that the formula holds after $k - 1$ steps of the algorithm, that is,

$$(5.2) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L = \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho| - \lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho|_{S_{k-1}}}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{k-1}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right).$$

Let us apply one more step and show that (5.2) implies (5.1). There are three cases, depending on the location of the $b + 1$ entry (see proof of Theorem 20 “ \Leftarrow ”). In each case, we reflect at all of the short arcs, and then arrange the arcs to be of $\bullet - \times$ type.

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_s} \end{array} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_{s-1}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \cdots \\ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_{s+1}} \end{array} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_2} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_{s+1}} \end{array} \cdots \\ \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_{n_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_{s+1}} \end{array} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_1} \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ b+1 \\ \varepsilon_{m_s} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b+1 \\ \delta_{n_{s+1}} \end{array} \cdots \end{array}$$

This does not change the typical entries or the maximal atypical entry, so $(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho)^\uparrow$ only differs $(\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho)^\uparrow$ by a permutation of the entries. Moreover, $\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho$ is “closer” to $(\lambda_{st}^\rho)^\uparrow$ than in the previous step because some

entries were increased. Indeed, the sequence of odd reflections is with respect to the simple atypical odd roots $S_{k-1} \cap \pi_{k-1}$, so we have

$$\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho = \lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho + \sum_{\beta \in S_{k-1} \cap \pi_{k-1}} \beta.$$

For each diagram from (5.3), there exists $w \in W$ such that

$$wS_{k-1} = S_k, \quad w \left(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho \right)^\uparrow = \left(\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho \right)^\uparrow, \quad \text{and} \quad l(w) = |S_{k-1} \cap \pi_{k-1}|.$$

Indeed, we have that $S_{k-1} \cap \pi_{k-1} = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\}$, where each $\beta_i = \varepsilon_{m_i} - \delta_{n_i}$ is a simple atypical root corresponding to a diagram of (5.3). For the first and third case, we take $w \in \text{Sym}_m$ such that $w(\varepsilon_{m_i}) = \varepsilon_{m_{i-1}}$, $w(\varepsilon_{m_0}) = \varepsilon_{m_s}$ and all other elements are fixed, and in the second case, we take $w \in \text{Sym}_n$ such that $w(\delta_i) = \delta_{i+1}$, $w(\delta_{s+1}) = \delta_1$ and all other elements are fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L &= \frac{(-1)^{|\left(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho\right)^\uparrow - \lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho|_{S_{k-1}}|}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\left(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho\right)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{k-1}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{|\left(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho\right)^\uparrow - \lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho|_{S_{k-1}} + |S_{\lambda_{k-1} \cap \pi_{k-1}}|}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left((-1)^{l(w)} w \frac{e^{\left(\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho\right)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{k-1}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{|\left(\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho\right)^\uparrow - \lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho|_{S_k}}}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\left(\lambda_{\pi_k}^\rho\right)^\uparrow}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_k} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we push the smallest unmatched entry outside of the arcs. Since this corresponds to reflections with respect to roots which are not orthogonal to $\lambda_{\pi_{k-1}}^\rho$, this does not change formula (5.1). \square

Since for the special set of simple roots all atypical entries are equal, we conclude the following.

Corollary 38. *Let L be a KW-module with an admissible choice of simple roots π , a corresponding highest weight λ and a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic subset $S_\lambda \subset \pi$, and let $r = |S_\lambda|$. If π is the special set, then*

$$(5.4) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r!} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right).$$

5.2. The general case. Let us prove the Kac-Wakimoto character formula for an arbitrary admissible choice of simple roots by showing that we can move from any admissible choice of simple roots to the special set in a way that preserves the formula in (5.4).

We will use the following four moves on arc diagrams.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \cdots \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ b \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \cdots \\ (2) \quad & \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ a \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \cdots \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ a+1 \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a+1 \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \cdots \\ (3) \quad & \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ a \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \end{array} \quad \cdots \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cdots \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a \\ \varepsilon_i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ a-1 \\ \varepsilon_{i+1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \times \\ a-1 \\ \delta_j \end{array} \quad \cdots \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \cdots \quad \times_{\delta_{j-1}}^a \quad \overset{\bullet}{\varepsilon_i} \quad \times_{\delta_j}^a \quad \cdots \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cdots \quad \overset{\bullet}{\varepsilon_i} \quad \times_{\delta_{j-1}}^{a-1} \quad \times_{\delta_j}^a \quad \cdots$$

Each move is achieved by applying an odd reflection to the set of simple roots $\pi \leftrightarrow \pi'$ followed by a choice of the arc arrangement. Let us first show that each of these moves preserves the Kac-Wakimoto character formula.

Proposition 39. *Suppose that $(\pi, \lambda, S_\lambda)$ and $(\pi', \lambda', S_{\lambda'})$ differ by one of the above moves. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) = \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda'^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{\lambda'}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right).$$

Proof. We prove the claim for each move defined above. We take λ^ρ to correspond to the LHS diagram and λ'^ρ to correspond to the RHS diagram.

The claim is obvious for move (1), since in this case $\lambda'^\rho = \lambda^\rho$ and $S_{\lambda'} = S_\lambda$.

In move (2), we have $\lambda'^\rho = \lambda^\rho + (\varepsilon_i - \delta_j)$, and

$$S_{\lambda'} = (S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}) \cup \{\delta_j - \varepsilon_i\},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda'^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{\lambda'}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho + \varepsilon_i - \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}} (1 + e^{-\beta}) (1 + e^{-(\delta_j - \varepsilon_i)})} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

In move (3), we have $\lambda'^\rho = \lambda^\rho + (\delta_j - \varepsilon_{i+1})$, and

$$S_{\lambda'} = (S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}) \cup \{\varepsilon_{i+1} - \delta_j\},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda'^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_{\lambda'}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho - \varepsilon_{i+1} + \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}} (1 + e^{-\beta}) (1 + e^{-(\varepsilon_{i+1} - \delta_j)})} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho - \varepsilon_i + \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \right) \\ &= -\mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho - \varepsilon_i + \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$-\mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho - \varepsilon_i + \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) = \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right),$$

which follows from the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} + \frac{e^{\lambda^\rho - \varepsilon_i + \delta_j}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(e^{\lambda^\rho} \frac{1 + e^{-(\varepsilon_i - \delta_j)}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_W \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds by Lemma 12, since the argument of \mathcal{F}_W is preserved by the simple reflection $s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i-1}}$, which completes the proof for the third move.

In move (4), we have $\lambda^{\rho'} = \lambda^\rho + (\delta_{j-1} - \varepsilon_i)$, and

$$S_{\lambda'} = (S_\lambda \setminus \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_j\}) \cup \{\varepsilon_i - \delta_{j-1}\}.$$

The proof in this case is analogous to the third move except that the roles of the ε 's and δ 's are interchanged.

Recall the definition of the special set of simple roots given in Definition 23.

Proposition 40. *One can move from any admissible choice of simple roots to the special set of simple roots in a way that preserves the character formula (5.4).*

First we give an example.

Example 41. Start with the following arc diagram corresponding to an admissible choice of simple roots.



Use move (2) twice to arrange the arcs to be of $\bullet - \times$ type.



Use move (4) three times to take all the intermediate \times 's outside the arcs.



Use move (1) on each side of the arcs to organize the typical entries to have the \bullet 's precede the \times 's.



Use moves (4) and (1) to move the typical \times -entry 6 to the right of the arcs.



Use moves (4) and (1) to move the typical \times -entry 5 to the right of the arcs.



Use moves (3) and (1) to move the typical \times -entry 4 to the left of the arcs.



The resulting diagram is the special arc diagram.

Proof of Proposition 40. We show that we can move from an arbitrary admissible choice of simple roots to the special set in a way that uses only moves (1) - (4) defined above. Since each of these moves was shown to preserve the character formula in Proposition 39, the result then follows.

Consider an arc diagram corresponding to an arbitrary admissible choice of simple roots. Since all arcs are short, we can use move (2) to arrange all of the arcs to be of $\bullet - \times$ type. Then we use move (3) or

move (4) to place all the arcs next to each other, which is possible due to Lemma 13. Then by Lemma 13, all of the atypical entries are equal, and we denote them by a . Then, on each side of the arcs, we use move (1) to organize the typical entries so that the \bullet 's precede the \times 's.

Since the diagram corresponds to a finite dimensional module, we have at each end, that the typical \times -entries are strictly increasing, while the typical \bullet -entries are strictly decreasing (see Remark 9). Moreover, we can show that on the left end, the \times -entries are $\leq a$ while the \bullet -entries are $> a$. Indeed, if the biggest \times -entry is greater than a , then the node can be moved inside the first arc by using move (1), and we reach a contradiction on the value of the adjacent \times entries. Also, if the smallest \bullet -entry is not smaller than a , the node can be moved next to the first arc by using move (1), and the same problem arises. Similarly, on the right end the \times -entries are $> a$ while the \bullet -entries are $\leq a$. Together with the fact that no additional arcs are possible by the maximality property, this implies that all typical entries are distinct.

The only way that the resulting diagram is not the special arc diagram is if one of the two inequalities above is an equality, and in particular, if one of the typical entries equals a . If it is the first one, then we use move (4) to transfer the relevant \times to the right of the arcs and then move (1) to transfer it to the right of all \bullet 's. If it is the second one, then we use move (3) to transfer the relevant \bullet to the left of the arcs and then move (1) to transfer it to the left of all \times 's. The resulting diagram satisfies the properties of the previous paragraph with the atypical entries now labeled by $a - 1$.

We repeat the above step until we cannot do it anymore. After each step the atypical entries are decreased by 1, while the labeling set for the typical entries remains the same. Hence the process must terminate, and the resulting diagram will be the special arc diagram. \square

When combined with Corollary 38, this concludes the proof of the Kac-Wakimoto character formula for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and we have the following theorem.

Theorem 42. *Let L be a finite dimensional simple module. For any choice of simple roots π and weight λ , such that $L = L_\pi(\lambda)$ and π contains a λ^ρ -maximal isotropic subset S_λ we have that*

$$e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L_\pi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} w \left(\frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \right)$$


where $r = |S_\lambda|$.

6. A DETERMINANTAL CHARACTER FORMULA FOR KW-MODULES OF $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.

In this section, we use the Kac-Wakimoto character formula to prove a determinantal character formula for KW-modules for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$, which is motivated by the determinantal character formula proven in [MV2] for critical modules labeled by non-intersecting composite partitions. Our determinantal character formula can be expressed using the data of the special arc diagram for a KW-module L (recall Definition 23).

6.1. A determinantal character formula. Consider the special arc diagram of a KW-module L .

(6.1)



Note that $p + t = m - r$ and $q + s = n - r$. We set $x_i = e^{\varepsilon_i}$ and $y_j = e^{-\delta_j}$, and we let

$$X = \left(x_i^{a_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m-r}}, \quad Y = \left(y_j^{b_i} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-r \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}, \quad Z = \left(\frac{x_i^z y_j^z}{1 + (x_i y_j)^{-1}} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}.$$

Then, X encodes the typical \bullet -entries, Y encodes the typical \times -entries, and Z encodes atypical entries.

Theorem 43. *Let L be a KW-module with special arc diagram (6.1). Then one has*

$$(6.2) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L = (-1)^{r(t+q)} \begin{vmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{vmatrix}.$$

Remark 44. The data defining (6.2) can also be determined from the standard arc diagram of a KW-module L . In particular, the exponents a_j are the typical \bullet -entries, the exponents b_i are the typical \times -entries, the exponent z is the maximal atypical entry, r is the number of arcs, t is the number of typical \bullet -nodes below an arc, and q is the number of typical \times -nodes below an arc. See Remark 22 to recall the relationship between the standard arc diagram and the special arc diagram.

Example 45. If the special arc diagram of L is



then

$$e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L = - \begin{vmatrix} x_1^8 & x_1^6 & x_1 & \frac{x_1^7 y_1^7}{1+(x_1 y_1)^{-1}} & \frac{x_1^7 y_2^7}{1+(x_1 y_2)^{-1}} & \frac{x_1^7 y_3^7}{1+(x_1 y_3)^{-1}} & \frac{x_1^7 y_4^7}{1+(x_1 y_4)^{-1}} & \frac{x_1^7 y_5^7}{1+(x_1 y_5)^{-1}} \\ x_2^8 & x_2^6 & x_2 & \frac{x_2^7 y_1^7}{1+(x_2 y_1)^{-1}} & \frac{x_2^7 y_2^7}{1+(x_2 y_2)^{-1}} & \frac{x_2^7 y_3^7}{1+(x_2 y_3)^{-1}} & \frac{x_2^7 y_4^7}{1+(x_2 y_4)^{-1}} & \frac{x_2^7 y_5^7}{1+(x_2 y_5)^{-1}} \\ x_3^8 & x_3^6 & x_3 & \frac{x_3^7 y_1^7}{1+(x_3 y_1)^{-1}} & \frac{x_3^7 y_2^7}{1+(x_3 y_2)^{-1}} & \frac{x_3^7 y_3^7}{1+(x_3 y_3)^{-1}} & \frac{x_3^7 y_4^7}{1+(x_3 y_4)^{-1}} & \frac{x_3^7 y_5^7}{1+(x_3 y_5)^{-1}} \\ x_4^8 & x_4^6 & x_4 & \frac{x_4^7 y_1^7}{1+(x_4 y_1)^{-1}} & \frac{x_4^7 y_2^7}{1+(x_4 y_2)^{-1}} & \frac{x_4^7 y_3^7}{1+(x_4 y_3)^{-1}} & \frac{x_4^7 y_4^7}{1+(x_4 y_4)^{-1}} & \frac{x_4^7 y_5^7}{1+(x_4 y_5)^{-1}} \\ x_5^8 & x_5^6 & x_5 & \frac{x_5^7 y_1^7}{1+(x_5 y_1)^{-1}} & \frac{x_5^7 y_2^7}{1+(x_5 y_2)^{-1}} & \frac{x_5^7 y_3^7}{1+(x_5 y_3)^{-1}} & \frac{x_5^7 y_4^7}{1+(x_5 y_4)^{-1}} & \frac{x_5^7 y_5^7}{1+(x_5 y_5)^{-1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^2 & y_2^2 & y_3^2 & y_4^2 & y_5^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^{11} & y_2^{11} & y_3^{11} & y_4^{11} & y_5^{11} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1^{14} & y_2^{14} & y_3^{14} & y_4^{14} & y_5^{14} \end{vmatrix}.$$

6.2. Two linear-algebraic lemmas. For the proof of Theorem 43, we will need the following lemmas. Suppose $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m, n \geq r$, and let \mathcal{M} be the set of matrices of the form

$$(6.3) \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc} z_{11} & \cdots & z_{1,m-r} & z_{1,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{1,m} & z_{1,m+1} & \cdots & z_{1,m+n-r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m-r,1} & \cdots & z_{m-r,m-r} & z_{m-r,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{m-r,m} & z_{m-r,m+1} & \cdots & z_{m-r,m+n-r} \\ \hline z_{m-r+1,1} & \cdots & z_{m-r+1,m-r} & z_{m-r+1,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{m-r+1,m} & z_{m-r+1,m+1} & \cdots & z_{m-r+1,m+n-r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m,1} & \cdots & z_{m,m-r} & z_{m,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{m,m} & z_{m,m+1} & \cdots & z_{m,m+n-r} \\ \hline & & 0 & z_{m+1,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{m+1,m} & z_{m+1,m+1} & \cdots & z_{m+1,m+n-r} \\ & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & z_{m+n-r,m-r+1} & \cdots & z_{m+n-r,m} & z_{m+n-r,m+1} & \cdots & z_{m+n-r,m+n-r} \end{array} \right).$$

Lemma 46. *There exists a unique polynomial $d(z_{ij})$, $d : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that*

- (1) d is antisymmetric with respect to interchanges of the *first* m rows and of the *last* n columns;
- (2) d is linear with respect to row operations on the first m rows and column operations on the last n columns;

(3) d specializes to 1 on all matrices of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} I_{m-r} & 0 & Z \\ \hline 0 & I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{n-r} \end{array} \right),$$

where I denotes the identity matrix and Z is arbitrary.

Proof. The determinant satisfies all of the required conditions, proving existence. The proof of uniqueness is the same as the standard proof of the uniqueness of the determinant. Using row and column operations we can reduce any matrix in \mathcal{M} to the form in condition (3). \square

Remark 47. Observe that a permutation of the first m rows of a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponds to a permutation of the subset $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of the first indices of the elements $z_{i,j}$, and a permutation of the last n columns of a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponds to a permutation of the subset $\{m+1-r, \dots, m+n-r\}$ of the second indices of the elements $z_{i,j}$.

Lemma 48. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$ be a matrix of the form (6.3). Then*

$$\det M = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^{l(w)} w(z_{1,1} z_{2,2} \dots z_{m+n-r, m+n-r}),$$

where Sym_m permutes the subset $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of the first indices of the elements $z_{i,j}$, and Sym_n permutes the subset $\{m+1-r, \dots, m+n-r\}$ of the second indices of the elements $z_{i,j}$.

Proof. By the Lemma 46, we only need to check that the polynomial on the right hand side satisfies the three conditions. The first two are clear. For the third, we need to count the number of elements $(u, v) \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n$ that give a nonzero contribution to the alternating sum. It is easily seen that $u|_{\{1, \dots, m-r\}}$ and $v|_{\{m+1, \dots, m+n-r\}}$ must be identities. So to get a nonzero contribution (which must be 1) from the central block we need that $u|_{\{m+1-r, \dots, m\}} = v|_{\{m+1-r, \dots, m\}}$. These elements are all even, and there are $r!$ of them. \square

6.3. Proof of Theorem 43. Let L be a KW-module with special arc diagram (6.1). We define

$$\begin{aligned} t_\lambda &= \frac{e^{\lambda^\rho}}{\prod_{\beta \in S_\lambda} (1 + e^{-\beta})} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{a_i} \prod_{i=p+1}^{m-r} x_{r+i}^{a_i} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{(x_{p+k} y_{q+k})^z}{1 + (x_{p+k} y_{q+k})^{-1}} \prod_{j=1}^q y_j^{b_j} \prod_{j=q+1}^{n-r} y_{r+j}^{b_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Kac-Wakimoto character formula implies that

$$(6.4) \quad e^\rho R \cdot \text{ch } L = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^{l(w)} w(t_\lambda),$$

Now by applying Lemma 48 to the matrix in question we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{vmatrix} &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^{l(w)} w \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-r} x_i^{a_i} \prod_{k=1}^r \frac{(x_{m-r+k} y_k)^z}{1 + (x_{m-r+k} y_k)^{-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-r} y_{r+j}^{b_{r+j}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{w \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^{l(w)} w((u^{-1}, v^{-1}) \cdot t_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where u is the permutation sending (x_1, \dots, x_m) to $(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+r+1}, \dots, x_m, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+r})$, and v is the permutation sending (y_1, \dots, y_n) to $(y_{q+1}, \dots, y_{q+r}, y_1, \dots, y_q, y_{q+r+1}, \dots, y_n)$. Then since $l(u) = rt$ and $l(v) = rq$, we have

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{vmatrix} X & Z \\ 0 & Y \end{vmatrix} = \frac{(-1)^{r(t+q)}}{r!} \sum_{w \in \text{Sym}_m \times \text{Sym}_n} (-1)^{l(w)} w(t_\lambda).$$

Combining (6.4) with (6.5) concludes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [BR] A. Berele, A. Regev, *Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math., 64 (1987), 118–175.
- [BL] I.N. Bernstein, D.A. Leites, *A formula for the characters of the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of Lie superalgebras of series \mathfrak{gl} and \mathfrak{sl}* , C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 33 (1980) 1049–1051.
- [B] J. Brundan, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), no. 1, 185–231.
- [BS] J. Brundan, C. Stroppel, *Highest weight categories arising from Khovanov's diagram algebra I: Cellularity*, to appear in Moscow Math. J. (2011).
- [G] M. Gorelik, *Weyl denominator identity for finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras*, Highlights in Lie algebraic methods. New York: Birkhäuser/Springer; Progr. Math. 295, (2012) 167–188.
- [GKMP] M. Gorelik, V.G. Kac, P. Möseneder Frajria, P. Papi, *Denominator identities for finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras and Howe duality for compact dual pairs*, Jpn. J. Math. 7 (2012), no. 1, 41–134.
- [GS] C. Gruson, V. Serganova, *Cohomology of generalized supergrassmannians and character formulae for basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 101 (2010), no. 3, 852–892.
- [K1] V.G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. 26 (1977) 8–96.
- [K2] V.G. Kac, *Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra 5 (1977) 889–897.
- [K3] V.G. Kac, *Representations of classical Lie superalgebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 676, (1978) 597–626.
- [KW] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Integrable highest weight modules over affine superalgebras and number theory*, Lie theory and geometry, edited by J.-L. Brylinski et al., Progr. Math. 123, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, (1994) 415–456
- [MV1] E.M. Moens, J. Van der Jeugt, *A Determinantal Formula for Supersymmetric Schur Polynomials*, J. Algebraic Combin. 17 (2003), no. 3, 283–307.
- [MV2] E.M. Moens, J. Van der Jeugt, *A character formula for atypical critical $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ representations labelled by composite partitions*, J. Phys. A 37 (2004), no. 50, 12019–12039.
- [M] I. Musson, *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 131, 2012.
- [S1] V. Serganova, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formula for the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$* , Selecta Math. (N.S.) 2 (1996), no. 4, 607–651.
- [S2] V. Serganova, *Characters of irreducible representations of simple Lie superalgebras*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, vol. II, 1998, Berlin, Doc. Math., J. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. (1998) 583–593.
- [S3] V. Serganova, *Kac-Moody superalgebras and integrability*, in Developments and Trends in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 288, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2011, 169–218.
- [S] A.N. Sergeev, *Tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $Gl(n, m)$ and $Q(n)$ (Russian)*, Matematicheskii Sbornik 123 (1984), 422–430.
- [SZ1] Y. Su, R.B. Zhang, *Character and dimension formulae for general linear superalgebra*, Advances in Mathematics 211 (2007) 1–33.
- [SZ2] Y. Su, R.B. Zhang, *Generalized Jantzen filtration of Lie superalgebras*, I. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 14 (2012), no. 4, 1103–1133.
- [VHKT] J. Van der Jeugt, J.W.B. Hughes, R.C. King, J. Thierry-Mieg, *Character formulas for irreducible modules of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$* , J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 2278–2304.

M.C.: Dept. of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; mchmutov@umich.edu

C.H.: Dept. of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology; hoyt@tx.technion.ac.il

S.R.: Dept. of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor; shifi@umich.edu