Comment on "Exact Analytic Second Virial Coefficient for the Lennard-Jones Fluid" (arXiv:0909.3326v1)

Isidro Cachadiña Gutiérrez *

Departamento de Física Aplicada Universidad de Extremadura Avda. de Elvas, s/n 06006 Badajoz (Spain)

January 31, 2020

Abstract

The asymptotic expansion for $T \to 0$ from Byung Chan Eu of $B_2(T) = -16\sqrt{2\pi}v_0e^{\varepsilon\beta}(\varepsilon\beta)^{3/2}\left[1 + \frac{19}{16\epsilon\beta} + \frac{105}{512(\epsilon\beta)^2}\dots\right]$ is wrong. The correct expression is $B_2(T) = -2\sqrt{2\pi}v_0e^{\varepsilon\beta}(\varepsilon\beta)^{-1/2}\left[1 + \frac{15}{16\varepsilon\beta} + \frac{945}{512(\varepsilon\beta)^2} + \dots\right]$.

Byung Chan Eu gave an exact analytical solution for the second virial coefficient valid for the entire range of temperature which reads as:

$$-\frac{B_2(x)}{v_0\sqrt{2}x^{1/4}} = 4\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \left[6xM\left(\frac{7}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x\right) - (1+4x)M\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, x\right)\right] + \left[2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\sqrt{x}\left[\frac{10}{3}xM\left(\frac{9}{4}, \frac{5}{2}, x\right) + (1-4x)M\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, x\right)\right].$$
(1)

where $x = \varepsilon \beta = \varepsilon / kT$, $v_0 = \pi \sigma^3 / 6$, and M(a, b, x), is a confluent hypergeometric function of Krummer [2]:

$$M(a,b,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n x^n}{(b)_n n!},$$
(2)

where $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol [2]

$$\begin{array}{ll}
(a)_0 &= 1, \\
(a)_n &= a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1) & (n \ge 1).
\end{array}$$
(3)

The asymptotic behaviour for $T \to 0$ can be determined using the following asymptotic expansion of M(a,b,x) for real x [2] when $x \to \infty$:

$$M(a,b,x) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} e^x x^{a-b} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{(b-a)_n (1-a)_n}{n!} x^{-n} + O(x^{-m}) \right].$$
 (4)

^{*}Electronic address: icacha@unex.es

Byung Chan Eu claimed that the following expression

$$B_2^{Chan}(T) = -16\sqrt{2\pi}v_0e^{\varepsilon\beta}(\varepsilon\beta)^{3/2}\left[1 + \frac{19}{16\varepsilon\beta} + \frac{105}{512(\varepsilon\beta)^2} + \cdots\right]$$
 (5)

is the asymptotic behaviour of the second virial coefficient for $T \to 0$.

We can see easily that this expansion is wrong by calculating

$$\left| \frac{B_2^{Chan}(x)}{B_2(x)} - 1 \right|,\tag{6}$$

and seeing that the above expression doesn't go to zero for larger values of x. When this function is plotted (as it is done in Fig. 1) one can see that ratio between $|B_2^{Chan}(x)/B_2(x)-1|$ grows as x^2 and therefore $B_2^{Chan}(x)$ is not the asymptotic expansion of $B_2(x)$.




Figure 1: Absolute relative deviation of the asymptotic expansion proposed by B. Chan Eu for the second virial coefficient. This curve have to tend to zero for larger values of x, but on the contrary, it grows as x^2 .

With the aid of the Mathematica program [3] the asymptotic expansion can be easily calculated (see Appendix 1) to give:

$$B_2(x) = -2\sqrt{2\pi}v_0e^{\varepsilon\beta}(\varepsilon\beta)^{-1/2}\left[1 + \frac{15}{16x} + \frac{945}{512x^2} + \frac{45045}{8192x^3} + \dots\right].$$
 (7)

The factor x^2 that can be seen in Fig. 1 is due the ratio of $x^{3/2}/x^{-1/2}$ between the expression here deduced and the one from Byung Chan Eu.

In order to check the convergence Eq. (7) I plotted in Fig. 2 this expansion taking different number of terms in the polynomial of x^{-1} . Thus, calling:

$$B_2(x,n) = -2\sqrt{2\pi}v_0e^{\varepsilon\beta}(\varepsilon\beta)^{-1/2}\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{a_i}{x^i}$$
(8)

where:

$$\begin{array}{lll} a_0 &= 1 \\ a_1 &= 15/16 \\ a_2 &= 945/512 \\ a_3 &= 45045/8192 \\ a_4 &= 11486475/524288 \\ a_5 &= 916620705/8388608 \\ a_6 &= 175685635125/268435456 \\ a_7 &= 19651693186125/4294967296 \\ a_8 &= 20103682129405875/549755813888 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

we can check how many terms are needed to achieve the desired accuracy. For example, taking n=6 the expansion of Eq. (8 gives 6 exact digits when x>25.




Figure 2: Absolute relative deviation of the asymptotic expansion, $B_2(x, n)$ (Eq. (8)), as a function of the number of terms n included.

Appendix

This is the Mathematica [3] notebook I used to calculate the asymptotic expansions.

```
In[1]:= (* True value of -B2/v0 *)

B2[x_] := Sqrt[2]*x^(1/4)*(4*Gamma[3/4]*(6*x*Hypergeometric1F1[7/4, 3/2, x] - (1 + 4*x)*Hypergeometric1F1[3/4, 1/2, x]) + 2*Gamma[1/4]*
Sqrt[x]*(10/3*x*Hypergeometric1F1[9/4, 5/2, x] + (1 - 4*x)*
Hypergeometric1F1[5/4, 3/2, x]))

(* Check if TB^-1 = 0.2925 *)

In[2]:= FindRoot[B2[x] == 0, {x, 0.3}]

Out[2]= {x -> 0.292575}
```

```
In[3]:= (* Asymptotic expansion of Krummer functions up to n *)
M[a_{-}, b_{-}, x_{-}, n_{-}] :=
  Gamma[b]/Gamma[a]*Exp[x]*x^(a - b)*
 Sum[Pochhammer[b - a, k]*Pochhammer[1 - a, k]*x^-k/k!, \{k, 0, n\}]
In[5] := (* The value of <math>-B2/v0 using the asymptotic expansion up to term n *)
F[x_{-}, n_{-}] := Sqrt[2]*x^{(1/4)}*(4*
    Gamma[3/4]*(6*x*M[7/4, 3/2, x, n] - (1 + 4*x)*M[3/4, 1/2, x, n]) +
    2*Gamma[1/4]*Sqrt[x]*(10/3*x*M[9/4, 5/2, x, n])
    + (1 - 4*x)*M[5/4, 3/2, x, n])
In[6]:= (* The two first approximations of F[x,n] are zero *)
FullSimplify[F[x, 0]]
Out[6] = 0
In[7]:= FullSimplify[F[x, 1]]
Out[7] = 0
In[8]:=Ap2[x]=FullSimplify[F[x, 2]]
Out[8] = (2 E^x Sqrt[2 [Pi]])/Sqrt[x]
In[9] := Apn[x_-, n_-] :=
Expand[FullSimplify[F[x, n]/F[x, 2]]]*FullSimplify[F[x, 2]]
In[10] := Apn[x, 7]
Out[10] = (2 E^x Sqrt[
2 [Pi] (1 + 916620705/(8388608 x^5) + 11486475/(524288 x^4) +
   45045/(8192 \text{ x}^3) + 945/(512 \text{ x}^2) + 15/(16 \text{ x}))/\text{Sqrt}[x]
In[11]:= CoefficientList[Expand[FullSimplify[F[x, 10]/F[x, 2]]], 1/x]
Out[11]= \{1, 15/16, 945/512, 45045/8192, 11486475/524288, \setminus \}
916620705/8388608, 175685635125/268435456, 19651693186125/4294967296, 
20103682129405875/549755813888}
```

References

- [1] Byung Chan Eu, arXiv:0909.3326v1 (2009)
- [2] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Pub. Inc, Washington, D.C, 1964.
- [3] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 8.0, Champaign, IL (2010).