

A simulation study of a dual-plate in-room PET system for dose verification in carbon ion therapy^{*}

Chen Ze^{1,2} Hu Zheng-Guo^{2;1)} Xiao Guo-Qing²
 Chen Jin-Da² Zhang Xiu-Ling² Guo Zhong-Yan²
 Sun Zhi-Yu² Huang Wen-Xue² Wang Jian-Song²

¹ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

² Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

Abstract: Carbon ion therapy have the ability to overcome the limitation of conventional radiotherapy due to its most energy deposition in selective depth, usually called Bragg peak, which results in increased biological effectiveness. During carbon ion therapy, lots positron emitters such as ^{11}C , ^{15}O , ^{10}C are generated in irradiated tissues by nuclear reactions. Immediately after patient irradiation, PET scanners can be used to measure the spatial distribution of positron emitters, which can track the carbon beam to the tissue. In this study, we designed and evaluated an dual-plate in-room PET scanner to monitor patient dose in carbon ion therapy, which is based on GATE simulation platform. A dual-plate PET is designed to avoid interference with the carbon beam line and with patient positioning. Its performance was compared with that of four-head and full-ring PET scanners. The dual-plate, four-head and full-ring PET scanners consisted of 30, 60, 60 detector modules, respectively, with a 36 cm distance between directly opposite detector modules for dose deposition measurements. Each detector module was consisted of a 24×24 array of $2 \times 2 \times 18 \text{ mm}^3$ LYSO pixels coupled to a Hamamatsu H8500 PMT. To estimate the production yield of positron emitters, a $10 \times 15 \times 15 \text{ cm}^3$ cuboid PMMA phantom was irradiated with 172, 200, 250 AMeV ^{12}C beams. 3D images of the activity distribution of the three type scanners are produced by an iterative reconstruction algorithm. By comparing the longitudinal profile of positron emitters, measured along the carbon beam path, we concluded that the development of a dual-plate PET scanner is feasible to monitor the dose distribution for carbon ion therapy.

Key words: Hadron therapy, In-room PET, GATE, Simulation

PACS: 87.57.uk, 87.53.Bn, 87.55.K-

1 Introduction

In tumor treatment, the carbon ion therapy have the ability to overcome the limitation of conventional radiotherapy due to its most energy deposition in selective depth, usually called Bragg peak, which results in increased biological effectiveness. Since in carbon ion therapy, misalignment of carbon beam, patient mispositioning or changes in the structure or density of the irradiated tissue may result in dose reduction within the tumor or overdosing in organs at risk [1]. So the correct depth of Bragg peak is crucial. Considering above situation, a tool that can monitor the treatment dose distribution in vivo and non-invasive is required. PET scanner is a feasible technique solution for this purpose because it can image 3D distribution of positron emitters produced by

nuclear fragmentation reactions of the projectiles with target nuclei [2, 3].

There three types of PET scanners [4] (in-beam, in-room, and off-line) have been confirmed the feasibility to monitor the dose deposition in radioactive therapy. Although the in-beam PET measurement is only slightly influenced by metabolic processes and blood flow, additional efforts are required for providing radiation hardness components and suppressing strong γ -ray background from the interactions of the beam and patient. The in-room PET technique is adapted as a compatible solution between the performance and cost. In order to avoid interference between PET detectors and the hadron beam line and patient positioning, a dual-plate geometry is chosen.

In this study, we use the GATE [5] simulation plat-

Received 14 March 2009

^{*} National Natural Science Foundation of China (11205222), West Light Foundation of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (210340XBO), National Major Scientific Instruments and Equipment Development Projects (2011YQ12009604), Youth Innovation Promotion Association, CAS (201330YQO)

1) E-mail: huzg@impcas.ac.cn

©2013 Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd




Fig. 1: Simplified scheme of simulated PET geometry configuration.

form to evaluate the performances of dual-plate PET, and compare with that of four-head and full-ring PET scanners. The dose distribution of the carbon beam and production yields of positron emitters were simulated for different carbon beam energies in a cuboid PMMA phan-

tom. 3D images of the activity distribution of the three type scanners are produced by an iterative reconstruction algorithm, and the longitudinal profile images of positron emitters are compared.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Description of in-room PET scanner

To avoid the interference with beam line, the in-room PET is based on two plate heads, which are made of 3×5 detector modules, respectively. Each detector module is consisted of a 24×24 array of $2 \times 2 \times 18$ mm³ LYSO pixels coupled to a Hamamatsu H8500 PMT. Fig. 1 shows the configurations of all three simulated PET geometries. The dual-plate, four-head and full-ring PET scanners consist of 30, 60 and 60 detector modules, respectively, with a 36 cm distance between directly opposite detector modules for dose deposition measurements.

2.2 Performance of in-room PET scanners

Before radiation therapy simulation, we evaluated the spatial resolution of the reconstructed images of three kind PET scanners. The measurement is carried out by positioning 9 ²²Na point sources (0.5 mm diameter) along the X-axis, ranging from -8 to 8 cm with 2 cm interval between each source. The spatial resolution in the radial and tangential directions is measured by fitting Gaussian functions to the respective profiles of the reconstructed images of the point sources. We use the iterative reconstruction algorithm, which is based on maximum likelihood expectation maximization (MLEM) algorithm, to produce the 3D image of the activity distribution.

2.3 Production yield of positron emitters

GATE V6.2, which provides lots of useful tools to collect information during simulation, is used in this study. We use the "ProductionAndStoppingActor" to estimate

the distributions of ¹¹C, ¹⁵O, ¹⁰C, and "DoseActor" to calculate the dose distributions. In the simulation, the carbon ion beam irradiated on a PMMA phantom with dimensions of $10 \times 15 \times 15$ cm³ for estimating the production yield and distribution of positron emitter. The carbon beam is delivered along Z-axis to the smallest cross-section (10×15 cm²) of the phantom. Three beam energies are selected: 172, 200, 250 AMeV, according to treatment plan. The beam profile in the transverse direction is assumed to be a Gaussian shape with a FWHM of 8 mm. The intensity of the beam is 1×10^8 pps. Positron emitters such as ¹¹C, ¹⁵O, ¹⁰C generated in irradiated tissues by nuclear reactions are analyzed.

The phantom images are reconstructed by the MLEM algorithm, and the longitudinal profiles of the reconstructed images are calculated by ROOT software. Last, the dose verification is evaluated by comparing the distribution of the positron emitter from "ProductionAndStoppingActor" and image profile measured by PET scanner.

3 Results

3.1 Performance of 3 kinds PET scanner

Fig.2 shows reconstructed images of points sources at different positions measured by the three PET scanners. With the dual-head, image of the point sources in the near-peripheral region of FOV is blurred, while the full-ring PET scanner shows relative uniform imaging characteristics over the entire FOV. The radial and tangential spatial resolutions are illustrated in Fig.3 for different position along the X-axis across the FOV.




Fig. 2: Reconstructed images of point sources at different positions




Fig. 3: Spatial resolution in radial and tangential directions for radial locations in the FOV. Resolutions are based on a 1.0 MBq ^{22}Na point source measured in air and reconstructed with MLEM.




Fig. 4: The sensitivities were measured with a 1.0 MBq ^{22}Na point source, stepped at 2-cm increments in radial direction and with an energy window (350 - 650 keV).

The sensitivities of 3 kinds of PET scanner, measured with a 1.0 MBq ^{22}Na point source, stepped at 2-cm increments in radial direction and with an energy window (350 - 650 keV), are shown in Fig. 4. The sensitivity of ring is nearly three times higher than that of the dual-plate.

3.2 Yields and distributions of positron emitters

The yields of ^{11}C , ^{15}O and ^{10}C produced by 172, 200, 250 AMeV carbon beams, respectively, in the PMMA cuboid phantom are simulated, and listed in Table.1. The yield of ^{11}C , which almost dominates the contribution of positron emitters, is 6 times higher than those of ^{15}O and ^{10}C .

Table 1: Calculated yields of positron-emitting nuclei produced by 172, 200 and 250 AMeV ^{12}C ions.

	172 AMeV	200 AMeV	250 AMeV
^{11}C	6.88 %	8.99 %	12.03 %
^{10}C	0.96 %	1.10 %	1.59 %
^{15}O	1.21 %	1.45 %	2.23 %

Fig.5 shows the spatial distribution of positron emitters with the corresponding depth-dose distribution of carbon beam. The distance to 50% distal falloff of Bragg peak is 56, 72, 106 mm for the 172, 200, 250 AMeV carbon beams, respectively, and the relative distances between 50% distal falloff of Bragg peak and that of positron activity peak are 1.2%, 1.4% and 1.0%.




Fig. 5: Simulated depth distribution of the deposited energy (diamond) and positron activity (circle) for (a) 172, (b) 200, (c) 250 AMeV ^{12}C nuclei in the PMMA phantom. The distribution of ^{11}C , ^{10}C and ^{15}O are shown by square, triangle and star, respectively.




Fig. 6: Reconstructed PET images and their longitudinal profiles for (a) 172, (b) 200, (c) 250 AMeV ^{12}C nuclei in the PMMA phantom. The simulated dose and β^+ activity distributions are also shown for comparison.

Although the two kind of peaks are not overlapping together, there is a correlation of the dose and positron activity falloff at the distal edge [4]. Parodi and Bortfeld [6] demonstrated a feasibility of dose recovery from the positron distribution, which indicates the possibility of using PET to monitor the carbon beam therapy.

3.3 PET images

We use the 3D MLEM algorithm to reconstruct the activity distribution images for the three kinds PET scanner, and use the ROOT software to analyze the images. In order to compare the performance of the scanners, the longitudinal profiles of reconstructed images, positron activity distribution and dose distribution are show together in Fig.6.

The location of 172, 200 and 250 AMeV carbon beam was at left, center and right of the FOV, respectively. In all these three cases, there was no significant difference between the image obtained by the dual-plate, four-head and full-ring scanners. Consequently, the dual-plate PET scanner is feasible to monitor the dose distribution for carbon ion therapy.

4 Conclusions and future work

We proposed a dual-plate PET scanner for the dose verification in carbon ion therapy. In the simulation

study, the dual-plate scanner could avoid interference with the beam line and showed its feasibility to monitor the dose distribution. Although the image performance of the dual-plate is worse than that of the four-head and full-ring scanner, especially at the periphery of the FOV, which is due to the planar nature of the data.

The gap between the Bragg peak and the profile of the reconstructed image is caused by the different physical processes that the dose distribution and the produced distribution of positron emitters undergo. So, it is not possible to directly evaluate the dose distribution using the reconstructed image. But, there does exist a correlation of the dose and positron activity falloff at the distal edge. By using special filter function for phantoms in one dimension within the area of the distal falloff of the dose, the dose distribution can be recovered from positron activity distribution [6].

With the dual-plate scanner, the maximum yield position of positron emitters is successfully measured, and the longitudinal profiles of reconstructed images, positron activity distribution match well.

In conclusion, the results of this simulation indicate that the dual-plate PET scanner is feasible to monitor the carbon ion therapy.

References

- 1 Enghardt W, Crespo P, Fiedler F, Hinz R, Parodi K, Pawelke J and Pnisch F 2004 *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **525** 284 – 288
- 2 Pshenichnov I, Mishustin I and Greiner W 2006 *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **51** 6099
- 3 Lazzeroni M and Brahme A 2011 *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **56** 1585
- 4 Shakirin G, Braess H, Fiedler F, Kunath D, Laube K, Parodi K, Priegnitz M and Enghardt W 2011 *Phys. Med. Biol.* **56** 1281
- 5 Jan S, Benoit D, Becheva E, Carlier T, Cassol F, Descourt P, Frisson T, Grevillot L, Guigues L, Maigne L, Morel C, Perrot Y, Rehfeld N, Sarrut D, Schaart D R, Stute S, Pietrzyk U, Visvikis D, Zahra N and Buvat I 2011 *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **56** 881
- 6 Parodi K and Bortfeld T 2006 *Physics in Medicine and Biology* **51** 1991