

Stochastic quantization and holographic Wilsonian renormalization group of massless fermions in AdS

Jae-Hyuk Oh¹

Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

Abstract

We have studied holographic Wilsonian renormalization group (HWRG) of free massless fermionic fields in AdS space and its stochastic quantization(SQ) by identifying the Euclidean action with its boundary on-shell action. The natural extension of the relation between stochastic 2-point correlation function and double trace coupling obtained from HWRG computation conjectured in arXiv:1209.2242 to fermionic fields is established. We have confirmed that the stochastic 2-point function precisely captures the radial flow of the double trace coupling via this relation.

¹e-mail:jack.jaehyuk.oh@gmail.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Holographic Wilsonian renormalization group of massless fermions in AdS_{d+1}	3
2.1	Holographic set up of the bulk fermions	3
2.2	Radial evolution of double trace operator for the bulk massless fermions	6
3	Stochastic quantization of massless fermions in AdS_{d+1}	8
3.1	Langevin approach	9
3.2	Fokker-Planck approach	12

1 Introduction

A year ago, one of the authors in this paper and Dileep P. Jatkar published several papers[1, 2], in which they discussed concrete mathematical relationships between stochastic quantization (SQ)[3, 4, 5] and holographic Wilsonian renormalization group(HWRG)[6, 7] ². They have pointed out that the double trace deformations in the radial evolution of the boundary effective action, a solution of Hamilton-Jacobi type equations derived from certain free theories defined in AdS space can be completely captured by stochastic 2-point correlations.

HWRG is a process to compute radial evolutions of boundary effective theories, whose dual is certain bulk gravity theories in AdS space. The boundary theory is defined on $r = \epsilon$ hyper surface, where r is radial coordinate of AdS space and ϵ is its arbitrary cut-off. By requesting that total theory which contains both the bulk gravity action and the boundary effective action does not depend on the cut-off ϵ , a Schroedinger type equation is obtained which describes the radial evolution of the boundary effective action, and especially in classical limit, it is reduced to Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

On the other hand, SQ describes stochastic time evolution of a non-equilibrium thermodynamic system contacting with its large thermal reservoir(with definite temperature). We start with a certain theory S_c defined in Euclidean spacetime at $t = t_0$, where S_c is called Euclidean action, t is stochastic time and t_0 is the initial value of it. Then, the system is evolving into the thermal equilibrium with its surrounding as the stochastic time goes by. One can recognize that the thermodynamic partition function in this equilibrium state is (at least mathematically) the same with Euclidean partition function with S_c . This relaxation process is described by a diffusion equation called Langevin equation as well as it is done by a Schroedinger type equation called Fokker-Planck equation.

In [1, 2], the authors argued that the two different Schroedinger type equations appearing in SQ and HWRG can be identified in the case of certain bulk theories once the stochastic time t is identified to the radial coordinate of AdS space r and the Euclidean action S_c is given by $S_c = -2I_{os}$, where I_{os} is called on-shell action, which is obtained from the bulk action by substituting regular solutions of bulk equations of motion into it.

²There are some of studies to relate SQ and AdS/CFT[8, 9] in different directions from ours.

Such tries were quite successful in that they have provided several explicit examples such as massless scalar field theory in AdS_2 , $U(1)$ gauge theory in AdS_4 and conformally coupled scalar field theory in AdS_4 and all the radial evolutions of their double trace deformations in the boundary effective actions are completely captured by stochastic two point correlation functions via the following relation:

$$\langle \phi_i(k, t) \phi_j(-k, t) \rangle_H^{-1} = \langle \phi_i(k, t) \phi_j(-k, t) \rangle_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 S_c}{\delta \phi_i(k, t) \delta \phi_j(-k, t)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where ϕ_i represent any stochastic(bosonic) fields and the indices, i and j denote collections of all the indices that the fields ϕ carry.

Fokker-Planck approach also yields the same result with what Langevin equation gives. The authors in [1, 2] have found that the double trace deformation parts of the boundary effective actions for the examples that they provided are precisely obtained from Fokker-Planck Lagrangian density through the relation as

$$S_B = \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int d^d k \mathcal{L}_{FP}(\phi(k, t')_i), \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{FP} is Fokker-Planck Lagrangian density³.

In this paper, we extend these studies to massless bulk fermions defined in AdS_{d+1} . Massless fermions in $d + 1$ -dimensional AdS spacetime have two different merits as follows. Firstly, it allows both standard and alternative quantizations [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In the mass range of bulk fermions as $0 \leq m < \frac{1}{2}$ in $d + 1$ dimensional AdS space, it allows alternative quantization. Therefore, it shows two different fixed points which correspond to standard and alternative quantization schemes in the boundary CFT's and present diverse renormalization group flows from one fixed point to another and many other curves which connect various points which are not fixed points. Secondly, by using an appropriate field redefinition, it maps to fermionic action effectively defined in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} , a half of flat, $d + 1$ -dimensional spacetime, (since r is semi-infinite) with zero mass. This makes HWRG and SQ computations much simpler.

In section 2, we review HWRG of massless bulk fermion in AdS_{d+1} . This computation is nicely performed in [19, 20] already and various renormalization group curves are studied therein. Especially, the authors in [19] performed the appropriate redefinition of bulk fermionic fields as $\psi = (\sqrt{g}g^{rr})^{1/4}\Phi$, where g is bulk metric determinant, g^{rr} is inverse of g_{rr} , rr -component of the bulk metric, ψ is fermionic field defined in AdS space and Φ is the fermionic in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} with r dependent mass term. If one requests $m = 0$, then the theory becomes massless fermion in \mathbb{R}_+^{d+1} . Thanks to this fact, one can easily compute the radial evolution of its boundary effective action, especially its double trace deformation term, which is given by

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon, k) = -\frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\sinh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_\alpha \cosh(|k|\epsilon)}{\cosh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_\alpha \sinh(|k|\epsilon)} \quad (1.3)$$

where α, β are spinor indices of fermionic field $\chi_+(\chi_-)$ and these indices are not summed in the above expression. $\chi_+(\chi_-)$ is upper(lower) half components of the fermionic field(spinor) Φ

³The Fokker-Planck Lagrangian density is derived from stochastic partition function, which will be discussed in section 3 in detail.

when d is odd, i.e.

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.4)$$

When d is even,

$$\chi_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \Gamma^{\hat{r}}}{2} \Phi, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\Gamma^{\hat{r}} \equiv \gamma^{\hat{0}} \gamma^{\hat{1}} \dots \gamma^{\hat{d-1}} = \frac{1}{d!} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\dots} \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \gamma^{\hat{\rho}} \dots$, $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\dots}$ is fully antisymmetric tensor and $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ is γ -matrices on the boundary of (Euclidean) AdS_{d+1} , which are labeled by the spinor indices α and β . $E_{\alpha}(k)$ are Eigen values⁴ of hermitian matrix $k_{\mu} \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ and Δ_{α} is a constant. k_{μ} is d -dimensional momenta along AdS boundary directions.

It turns out that this result is precisely captured by stochastic quantization of Euclidean action S_c , which is given by the boundary on-shell action I_{os} of the bulk fermions χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$, evaluated at $r = \epsilon$ hyper surface via the suggested relation $S_c = -2I_{os}$ in [1, 2]. We have discussed the exact process of this in section 3 and have found that the double trace coupling(1.3) is obtained from the fermionic extension of the relation(1.1), which is given by

$$\langle \chi_{\alpha}(k, t) \bar{\chi}_{\beta}(k, t) \rangle_H^{-1} = \langle \chi_{\alpha}(k, t) \bar{\chi}_{\beta}(k, t) \rangle_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\chi}_{\alpha}(-k, t)} S_c \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \chi_{\beta}(-k, t)}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\langle \chi_{\alpha}(k, t) \bar{\chi}_{\beta}(k, t) \rangle_H$ is double trace coupling and $\langle \chi_{\alpha}(k, t) \bar{\chi}_{\beta}(k, t) \rangle_S$ is stochastic 2-point correlator of the fermion χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$. The arrows on the functional differentiations indicate the directions that the variations act on.

We also evaluate Fokker-Planck action in classical limit and get a boundary effective action via the relation(1.2). It turns out that the double trace deformation part of the boundary effective action is precisely recovered via the relation.

2 Holographic Wilsonian renormalization group of massless fermions in AdS_{d+1}

In this section, we will review holographic Wilsonian renormalization group for massless fermions defined in AdS_{d+1} ⁵.

2.1 Holographic set up of the bulk fermions

We start with the action

$$S_{bulk} = -i \int dr d^d x \sqrt{g} (\bar{\psi} \Gamma^M \nabla_M \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\nabla_N = \partial_N + \frac{1}{4} \omega_N^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} \Gamma_{\hat{A}\hat{B}}. \quad (2.8)$$

⁴For example, the Eigen values of hermitian matrix $k_{\mu} \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ in 4-dimensional Euclidean spacetime are given in Eq(3.61).

⁵Detailed discussion about boundary effective action for fermions appear in [19, 20, 21].

M and N are spacetime indices whereas \hat{A} and \hat{B} are tangent space indices all of which run from 1 to $d + 1$ and the spacetime metric represents Euclidean $d + 1$ dimensional AdS space, given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(dr^2 + \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^d \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where μ and ν are boundary spacetime indices, which run from 1 to d . m is mass of the bulk fermions and we set it to be zero in the following discussion. $\omega_N^{\hat{A}\hat{B}} = e_M^{\hat{A}} \nabla_N e^{\hat{B}M}$ is connection 1-form and $e_M^{\hat{A}}$ is vielbein.

In our further discussion, we restrict ourselves in massless fermionic fields in the bulk. Massless bulk fermions in AdS space contain some of merits. Firstly, if their masses are in the range of $0 \leq m < \frac{1}{2}$, then all the classical solutions of the bulk fermions become normalizable and both standard and alternative quantizations in dual CFT are allowed. Since these two different quantization schemes are possible, we have two different UV and IR fixed points in dual CFT defined on the conformal boundary and various RG flows connecting those UV and IR fixed points exist. Another interesting observation on these fermions[19] is that if one defines a new fermionic field Φ and $\bar{\Phi}$ such as $\Phi = (gg^{rr})^{1/4}\psi$ and $\bar{\Phi} = (gg^{rr})^{1/4}\bar{\psi}$, then the primitive action(2.7) is able to be given by

$$S_{bulk} = -i \int dr d^d x \left(\bar{\Phi} \Gamma^{\hat{r}} \partial_r \Phi + \bar{\Phi} \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \partial_\mu \Phi - \sqrt{g^{rr}} m \bar{\Phi} \Phi \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where $g^{rr} = r^2$, the inverse of rr -component metric and $g = \det(g_{MN})$.

If dimensionality of the boundary spacetime is odd, the gamma-matrices Γ^M are given by

$$\Gamma^{\hat{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \\ \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$ are the gamma-matrices in d -dimensional boundary spacetime and \mathbb{I} is the identity operator being the same size with the boundary gamma-matrices, $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$. χ_+ and χ_- are Dirac spinors in the sense of the boundary but they are two Weyl fermions in the bulk. $\bar{\Phi}$ is related to Φ by imposing hermicity of the bulk action, then it is given by

$$\bar{\Phi} = \Phi^\dagger \Gamma^{\hat{0}} = (\bar{\chi}_- \quad \bar{\chi}_+), \quad (2.12)$$

where $\bar{\chi}_\pm = \chi_\pm^\dagger \gamma^{\hat{0}}$.

When the boundary spacetime has even dimensionality, $\Gamma^{\hat{r}}$ is $\gamma^{\hat{d}+1} \equiv \gamma^{\hat{0}} \gamma^{\hat{1}} \dots \gamma^{\hat{d}-1}$ and $\Gamma^{\hat{\mu}} = \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}$. In this case, the bulk fermion, Φ is Dirac fermion and since the boundary spacetime is even, it can be decomposed into two Weyl fermions on the boundary as

$$\chi_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \Gamma^{\hat{r}}}{2} \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_\pm = \bar{\Phi} \frac{1 \mp \Gamma^{\hat{r}}}{2}. \quad (2.13)$$

The action(2.10) can be written in terms of χ_\pm as

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -i \int dr d^d k \left[-\bar{\chi}_+(k) \partial_r \chi_-(-k) + \bar{\chi}_-(k) \partial_r \chi_+(-k) + \bar{\chi}_+(k) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu) \chi_+(-k) \right. \\ & \left. + \bar{\chi}_-(k) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu) \chi_-(-k) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Equations of motion and their solutions Varying the action(2.14) provides the following set of equations:

$$0 = \partial_r \chi_+(-k) + \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu) \chi_-(-k), \quad (2.15)$$

$$0 = -\partial_r \chi_-(-k) + \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu) \chi_+(-k), \quad (2.16)$$

$$0 = -\partial_r \bar{\chi}_-(k) + \bar{\chi}_+(k) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu), \quad (2.17)$$

$$0 = \partial_r \bar{\chi}_+(k) + \bar{\chi}_-(k) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(-ik_\mu), \quad (2.18)$$

The solutions χ_\pm are obtained by combining (2.15) and (2.16). A little manipulation on (2.15) provides

$$\chi_-(-k) = \frac{-ik_\nu \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}}{k^2} \partial_r \chi_+(-k), \quad (2.19)$$

where we have used $\gamma^{\hat{\mu}} \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} k_\mu k_\nu = k^2 \mathbb{I}$. We plug this into (2.16) and get an equation in terms of χ_+ only as

$$\partial_r^2 \chi_{\alpha,+}(k) - k^2 \chi_{\alpha,+}(k) = 0, \quad (2.20)$$

where the subscript α is spinor index. The most general solution of (2.20) is given by

$$\chi_{\alpha,+}(k, r) = \chi_{\alpha,+}^{(0)}(k) \cosh(|k|r) + \chi_{\alpha,+}^{(1)}(k) \sinh(|k|r), \quad (2.21)$$

where $\chi_{\alpha,+}^{(0)}(k)$ and $\chi_{\alpha,+}^{(1)}(k)$ are arbitrary spinors depending on momentum k_μ only.

We note that the spinor index α runs from 1 to $2^{\frac{d}{2}}$ when d is even whereas it runs from 1 to $2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$ when d is odd. $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ is hermitian matrix since all the γ -matrices are defined in Euclidean spacetime, so it can be diagonalized. Then, the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ can have a form of

$$[k_\mu \gamma^\mu]_{\alpha\beta} = E_\alpha(k) \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.22)$$

when $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ is diagonalized and $E_\alpha(k)$ are its Eigen values. Once it is diagonalized, Eigen kets of this matrix form a complete orthogonal basis. Therefore, another way to represent the solution(2.21) is as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\alpha,+}(k, r) &= N_{\alpha,+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \dots \\ \cosh(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}(k) \sinh(|k|r) \\ \dots 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \alpha\text{th row}, \\ &\equiv N_{\alpha,+} [\cosh(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,+}(k) \sinh(|k|r)] |\alpha\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

which denotes that its α th row is non zero only. $|\alpha\rangle$ is given by

$$|\alpha\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \dots \\ 1 \\ \dots 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \alpha\text{th row} \quad (2.24)$$

and this ket is Eigen-vector providing Eigen value $E_\alpha(k)$. $N_{\alpha,+}$ is a normalization factor and $\Delta_{\alpha,+}(k)$ is an arbitrary momentum dependent constant. We will use this basis to construct the radial evolution of double trace coupling of bulk massless fermion.

The field χ_- satisfies the same Klein-Gordon type equation and has its solution with different coefficients as

$$\chi_{\alpha,-}(k, r) = \chi_{\alpha,-}^{(0)}(k) \cosh(|k|r) + \chi_{\alpha,-}^{(1)}(k) \sinh(|k|r), \quad (2.25)$$

or by using the above basis, it is given by

$$\chi_{\alpha,-}(k, r) = N_{\alpha,-}(\cosh(|k|r) + \Delta_{\alpha,-}(k) \sinh(|k|r))|\alpha\rangle \quad (2.26)$$

where $\chi_{\alpha,-}^{(0)}$ and $\chi_{\alpha,-}^{(1)}$ are arbitrary boundary momentum dependent spinors. $N_{\alpha,-}$ is a normalization factor and $\Delta_{\alpha,-}(k)$ is an arbitrary momentum dependent constant. One can apply the same technique to solve (2.17) and (2.18). We just list their solutions as

$$\bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}(k, r) = \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}^{(0)}(k) \cosh(|k|r) + \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,+}^{(1)}(k) \sinh(|k|r) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,-}(k, r) = \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,-}^{(0)}(k) \cosh(|k|r) + \bar{\chi}_{\alpha,-}^{(1)}(k) \sinh(|k|r), \quad (2.28)$$

where again the spinors $\bar{\chi}_+^{(0)}(k)$, $\bar{\chi}_+^{(1)}(k)$ and $\bar{\chi}_-^{(0)}(k)$, $\bar{\chi}_-^{(1)}(k)$ are related one another as

$$\bar{\chi}_+^{(0)}(k) = -\frac{ik_\nu}{|k|} \bar{\chi}_-^{(1)}(k) \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_+^{(1)}(k) = -\frac{ik_\nu}{|k|} \bar{\chi}_-^{(0)}(k) \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}, \quad (2.29)$$

where the spinor indices are suppressed.

2.2 Radial evolution of double trace operator for the bulk massless fermions

Adding boundary terms for well posed variational problem[21] The bulk solutions, χ_+ , χ_- and $\bar{\chi}_+$, $\bar{\chi}_-$ are not completely independent but they are related respectively each other via their equations of motion (2.15)-(2.18). It turns out that such constraints raise sickness in the bulk action(2.7). If the bulk action, S_{bulk} is varied with respect to the bulk fields, then it becomes

$$\delta S_{bulk} = i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \left[\bar{\chi}_+(k) \delta \chi_-(-k) + \bar{\chi}_-(k) \delta \chi_+(-k) \right], \quad (2.30)$$

provided that the bulk fermions satisfy their equations of motion. These boundary terms vanish when one imposes Dirichlet boundary conditions on χ_+ and χ_- as $\delta \chi_+(r = \epsilon) = \delta \chi_-(r = \epsilon) = 0$. However, imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions on them simultaneously is clearly impossible since they are related by equations of motion(e.g. (2.19)). In fact, requesting Dirichlet boundary condition to χ_+ is consistent with doing Neumann boundary condition to χ_- and vice versa. To resolve this problem, one can add a boundary term as

$$S_b = -i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \bar{\chi}_+(k) \chi_-(-k), \quad (2.31)$$

to the bulk action. Then,

$$\delta(\hat{S}) = -i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \left[\delta \bar{\chi}_+(k) \chi_-(-k) - \bar{\chi}_-(k) \delta \chi_+(-k) \right], \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$\hat{S} = S_{bulk} + S_b. \quad (2.33)$$

Now, it is manifest that one can impose Dirichlet boundary conditions on the fields, both χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ consistently, since $\bar{\chi}_+ = \chi_+^\dagger \gamma^0$. For further discussion, we will demand Dirichlet boundary conditions on χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ and do Neumann boundary condition on χ_- and $\bar{\chi}_-$. \hat{S} is our starting point and then the total action for HWRG computation is

$$S_{tot} = \hat{S} + S_B(\chi_+, \bar{\chi}_+), \quad (2.34)$$

where S_B is boundary effective action.

S_B depends only on the boundary values of χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ only. The reason is the following. Genuine on-shell degrees of freedom are either χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ or χ_- and $\bar{\chi}_-$ because they are related one another by their equations of motion respectively. Since we impose Dirichlet boundary condition on χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ on AdS boundary, those become source terms in the generating functional for the boundary CFT. The boundary effective action is a generating functional evaluated at $r = \epsilon$ hypersurface and then it becomes the functional of the boundary sources: χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$.

By the same token, one can integrate out χ_- and $\bar{\chi}_-$ in the bulk action, S_{bulk} to obtain an action only with genuine degrees of freedom: χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ as

$$S_{bulk} = - \int dr d^d k \left[\partial_r \bar{\chi}_+(k, r) \frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu}{|k|^2} \partial_r \chi_+(-k, r) + \bar{\chi}_+(k, r) \gamma^\mu k_\mu \chi_+(-k, r) \right], \quad (2.35)$$

For this, we have used the equations of motion(2.15) and (2.18). This bulk action provides the Klein-Gordon type equations of motion for χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ and as we will see, this form of the bulk action has the same formal form with Fokker-Planck action evaluated in section 3.

Hamilton-Jacobi equation The condition that the total action S_{tot} does not depend on the radial cut-off ϵ , by taking derivative of it with respect to that cut-off, provides a Hamilton-Jacobi type equation as

$$\partial_\epsilon S_B = \int d^d k k_\mu \left[\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} S_B}{\delta \chi_+(-k)} \right) \gamma^\mu \left(\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} S_B}{\delta \bar{\chi}_+(k)} \right) - \bar{\chi}_+(k) \gamma^\mu \chi_+(-k) \right], \quad (2.36)$$

where $\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\chi}}$ denotes that the variational operation acts from left and $\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\chi}}$ does that the variational operation acts from right. To derive the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, we have used definitions of canonical momenta of χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_+(k) &\equiv \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \hat{S}}{\delta \partial_r \bar{\chi}_+(-k)} = -i \chi_-(-k) = \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} S_B}{\delta \bar{\chi}_+(-k)}, \\ \bar{\Pi}_+(k) &\equiv \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \hat{S}}{\delta \partial_r \chi_+(-k)} = -i \bar{\chi}_-(k) = \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} S_B}{\delta \chi_+(-k)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

To solve this equation, we assume that the boundary effective action, S_B has a form of

$$S_B = \Lambda(\epsilon) + \int d^d k \left[\bar{J}(k, \epsilon) \chi_+(-k) + \bar{\chi}_+(k) J(-k, \epsilon) + \bar{\chi}_+(k) D(k, \epsilon) \chi_+(-k) \right], \quad (2.38)$$

where Λ is boundary cosmological constant, \bar{J} and J are boundary source terms and D is double trace deformation term. Substitution of the above ansatz to (2.36) leads the following set of equations:

$$\partial_\epsilon \Lambda(\epsilon) = \bar{J}(k, \epsilon) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} k_\mu J(-k, \epsilon), \quad (2.39)$$

$$\partial_\epsilon \bar{J}(k, \epsilon) = \bar{J}(k, \epsilon) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} k_\mu D(k, \epsilon), \quad (2.40)$$

$$\partial_\epsilon J(-k, \epsilon) = D(k, \epsilon) \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} k_\mu J(-k, \epsilon), \quad (2.41)$$

$$\text{and } \partial_\epsilon D(k, \epsilon) = -k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} + D(k, \epsilon) k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D(k, \epsilon). \quad (2.42)$$

We are interested in solving the last equation to get the radial evolution of the double trace operator and its solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(k, \epsilon) &= i \sum_\alpha \chi_{\alpha,-}(k, \epsilon) \chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon) = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{[k_\nu \gamma^{\hat{\nu}}]_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2} \partial_\epsilon \chi_{\beta,+}(k, \epsilon) \chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon), \quad (2.43) \\ &= - \sum_\alpha \frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|^2} \partial_\epsilon \chi_{\alpha,+}(k, \epsilon) \chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\alpha,+}(k, \epsilon)$ is given in Eq(2.23), the inverse of the fermionic field $\chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon)$ is defined by $\chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon) \chi_{\alpha,+}(k, \epsilon) = 1$ (the spinor index α is not summed), i.e.

$$\chi_{\alpha,+}^{-1}(k, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha,+}(\cosh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+} \sinh(|k|\epsilon))} \langle \alpha |. \quad (2.44)$$

Explicit evaluation of $D(\epsilon, k)$ The explicit form of the solution of χ_+ is obtained by using the explicit form of the solution(2.23). The form of $D(\epsilon, k)$ is given by

$$-k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}} D(\epsilon, k) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |k| \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\sinh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+} \cosh(|k|\epsilon)}{\cosh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+} \sinh(|k|\epsilon)} |\alpha\rangle \langle \beta|, \quad (2.45)$$

or by using Eigen value, $E_\alpha(k)$,

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon, k) = -\frac{E_\alpha(k)}{|k|} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\sinh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+} \cosh(|k|\epsilon)}{\cosh(|k|\epsilon) + \Delta_{\alpha,+} \sinh(|k|\epsilon)}, \quad (2.46)$$

3 Stochastic quantization of massless fermions in AdS $_{d+1}$

Boundary on-shell action We start with the modified bulk action, \hat{S} defined in (2.33) to obtain the boundary on-shell action. By using the bulk equations of motion, \hat{S} becomes a form of

$$\hat{S} = -i \int_{r=\epsilon} d^d k \bar{\chi}_+(k, r) \chi_-(-k, r), \quad (3.47)$$

which is called on-shell action, I_{os} . This on-shell action need to be evaluated from the regular solution of the bulk equations of motion. The solutions (2.21), (2.25), (2.27) and (2.28) are not the regular solutions since they are divergent in the interior of AdS space. To remove the divergences, we restrict these solutions by imposing

$$\chi_{\pm}^{(0)} + \chi_{\pm}^{(1)} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_{\pm}^{(0)} + \bar{\chi}_{\pm}^{(1)} = 0. \quad (3.48)$$

Then, the regular solutions are given by

$$\chi_+(k, r) = \chi_+^{(0)}(k)e^{-|k|r} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_-(k, r) = -\chi_-^{(1)}(k)e^{-|k|r}, \quad (3.49)$$

and

$$\bar{\chi}_+(k, r) = \bar{\chi}_+^{(0)}(k)e^{-|k|r} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_-(k, r) = -\bar{\chi}_-^{(1)}(k)e^{-|k|r}, \quad (3.50)$$

where again we impose Dirichlet B.C to $\chi_+(\bar{\chi}_+)$ solutions and do Neumann B.C to $\chi_-(\bar{\chi}_-)$. By substituting of the above solutions into the on-shell action(3.47), we get

$$I_{os} = \int d^d k \bar{\chi}_+(k, \epsilon) \left(\frac{k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|} \right) \chi_+(-k, \epsilon), \quad (3.51)$$

where $\chi_+(k, \epsilon) = \chi_+(k)e^{-|k|\epsilon}$ and $\bar{\chi}_+(k, \epsilon) = \bar{\chi}_+(k)e^{-|k|\epsilon}$. We note that I_{os} is written in terms of the boundary value of χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ since we impose Dirichlet B.C for them.

As discussed in [1, 2], the classical action S_c in stochastic quantization is obtained from the bulk on-shell action with identification as $S_c = -2I_{os}$. Then, the classical action is

$$S_c = -2 \int d^d k \bar{\chi}_+(k, \epsilon) \left(\frac{k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}}{|k|} \right) \chi_+(-k, \epsilon), \quad (3.52)$$

which becomes the starting point of the stochastic process of the fermionic fields.

3.1 Langevin approach

We start with the classical action(3.52) to study stochastic quantization of it. Before we start, we note that there is a problem for the *naive* Langevin equations of fermionic fields. The Langevin equations for the fermions may be given by

$$\frac{\partial \chi(k, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} S_c}{\delta \bar{\chi}(-k, t)} + \eta(k, t) \quad (3.53)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\chi}(k, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} S_c}{\delta \chi(-k, t)} + \bar{\eta}(k, t), \quad (3.54)$$

where $\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\chi}}$ denotes the variational operation acts from left and $\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \chi}$ does the variation acts from right. We also skip the subscript $+$ for the fermionic fields since we will deal with χ_+ and $\bar{\chi}_+$ only in this section. The stochastic expectation values of the noise fields η and $\bar{\eta}$ will be given by

$$\langle \eta \rangle = \langle \bar{\eta} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\eta}_\beta(k', t') \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(k + k') \delta(t - t') \quad (3.55)$$

and N-point correlation functions can be constructed in the similar fashion with the scalar and vector fields cases[1, 2, 4] by taking into account the anti commuting nature of the Grassmann variables. In fact, the correlations of η and $\bar{\eta}$ come from the Gaussian type partition function for the noise fields as

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \mathcal{D}\bar{\eta} \exp \left(- \int dt d^d k \delta^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\eta}_\alpha(k, t') \eta_\beta(-k, t') \right). \quad (3.56)$$

Let us evaluate the Langevin equations explicitly by using (3.52), which are given by

$$\frac{\partial \chi(k, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu}{|k|} \chi(k, t) + \eta(k, t) \quad (3.57)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\chi}(k, t)}{\partial t} = \bar{\chi}(k, t) \frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu}{|k|} + \bar{\eta}(k, t). \quad (3.58)$$

We will discuss the solutions of (3.57) first. For the solution of Eq(3.58), the similar argument with the case of (3.57) will apply. The formal solution of (3.57) has a form of

$$\chi(k, t) = \int^t \exp \left(\frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu (t - t')}{|k|} \right) \eta(k, t') dt', \quad (3.59)$$

where again we point out that it is a formal solution since γ -matrices cannot be defined as the argument of the exponential function. It will be well posed when the exponential factor is expanded.

Now, we discuss the convergence of this solution. Since stochastic process will be required to produce its correlation functions correctly as it approaches thermal equilibrium, the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ in the exponent in Eq(3.59) should have negative definite Eigen values for the exponential factor to sufficiently decay as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the solution converges. However, in general it is not ensured that all the Eigen values of the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ are negative definite.

For example, let us evaluate the Eigen values of the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ in 4-d Euclidean flat spacetime. We use the following representation of γ -matrices:

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ \mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^i = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.60)$$

It turns out that diagonalization of the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ has a form of

$$k_\mu \gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{k^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{k^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{k^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{k^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.61)$$

where $k^2 = k_0^2 + k_1^2 + k_2^2 + k_3^2$. The half of the Eigen values are positive definite and they will give divergent solution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The cure of this problem is suggested in [4]. The Langevin equation can be generalized with non trivial kernel as

$$\frac{\partial \chi(k, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}V(k)\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} S_c}{\delta \bar{\chi}(-k, t)} + \eta(k, t), \quad (3.62)$$

where $V(k)$ is the momentum dependent kernel. Definition of stochastic correlation functions are also modified to be consistent with the Langevin equation as

$$\langle \eta \rangle = \langle \bar{\eta} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\eta}_\beta(k', t') \rangle = V(k)_{\alpha\beta} \delta(k + k') \delta(t - t'), \quad (3.63)$$

which are derived from a Gaussian form of the partition function with the non-trivial kernel as

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\eta \mathcal{D}\bar{\eta} \exp \left(- \int dt d^d k \bar{\eta}_\alpha(k, t') [V^{-1}]^{\alpha\beta}(k) \eta_\beta(-k, t') \right). \quad (3.64)$$

$V^{-1}(k)$ is inverse of the kernel $V(k)$, defined by $V(k)V^{-1}(k) = V^{-1}(k)V(k) = \mathbb{I}$.

Thanks to this kernel, the formal solution of (3.62) is given by

$$\chi(k, t) = \int^t \exp \left(\frac{V(k)k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}(t - t')}{|k|} \right) \eta(k, t') dt'. \quad (3.65)$$

Now, one can choose $V(k)$ to be

$$V(k) = -k_\nu \gamma^{\hat{\nu}} f(|k|), \quad (3.66)$$

where $f(k)$ is a positive definite function of momentum $|k|$ and this ensures the convergence of the solution.

Fixing the form of $f(|k|)$ is another issue and we will make a choice of it with a certain scaling argument which is consistent with AdS isometry. We have chosen

$$f(|k|) = 1, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad V(|k|) = -k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}, \quad (3.67)$$

and once we have done this, it turns out that the generalized Langevin equation(3.62) and stochastic 2-point correlation function(3.63) are invariant under a global scaling symmetry as

$$t \rightarrow \lambda t, k \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}k, \chi \rightarrow \lambda^{d/2} \chi \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \rightarrow \lambda^{d/2-1} \eta, \quad (3.68)$$

where λ is a constant. We claim that this is the right choice of the kernel. The reason is the following. In the end, we want identify the stochastic time ‘ t ’ with the radial variable of AdS space, ‘ r ’ and the momenta along boundary direction k_μ to those along d -dimensional momenta appearing in Langevin equation(or Fokker-Planck action) according to the prescription in [1, 2]. The natural expectation is that the above scaling symmetry is that of bulk fermionic action and when we turn off the fermionic fields($\chi = \eta = 0$), then this becomes scaling isometry of AdS space, given by

$$r \rightarrow \lambda r \quad \text{and} \quad x^\mu \rightarrow \lambda x^\mu, \quad (3.69)$$

where again we note that k_μ is momenta along x^μ direction and they scale inversely.

Once we have chosen the kernel $V(|k|) = -k_\mu \gamma^\mu$, the solution(3.65) becomes

$$\chi(k, t) = \int^t \exp(-|k|(t-t')) \eta(k, t') dt'. \quad (3.70)$$

and it shows definite convergence as $t \rightarrow \infty$. $\bar{\chi}$ satisfies the similar equations as

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\chi}(k, t)}{\partial t} = -\bar{\chi}(k, t)|k| + \bar{\eta}(k, t) \quad (3.71)$$

and its solution is given by

$$\bar{\chi}(k, t) = \int^t \exp(-|k|(t-t')) \bar{\eta}(k, t') dt'. \quad (3.72)$$

Now, we compute stochastic(equal-time) 2-point correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\chi}_\beta(k', t) \rangle &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^t \exp[-|k|(t-\tilde{t}) - |k'|(t-\tilde{t}')] \langle \eta_{k,\alpha}(\tilde{t}) \bar{\eta}_{k',\beta}(\tilde{t}') \rangle d\tilde{t} d\tilde{t}' \quad (3.73) \\ &= k_\mu \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \delta(k+k') \int_{t_0}^t d\tilde{t} \exp[-2|k|(t-\tilde{t})] \\ &= \frac{k_\mu \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu}{2|k|} \delta(k+k') (1 - e^{-2|k|(t-t_0)}) \\ &= \frac{E_\alpha(k) \delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2|k|} \delta(k+k') \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha - 1}{\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha + 1} e^{-2|k|t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality, we have used Eigen values of the matrix $k_\mu \gamma^\mu$ and chosen the initial time as $t_0 = -\frac{1}{|k|} \coth^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$ to match this result with the HWRG computation. Finally, it turns out that the stochastic 2-point correlation function correctly reproduces the double trace coupling of the boundary effective action from the bulk fermionic fields via the following relation

$$\langle \chi_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\chi}_\beta(k, t) \rangle_H^{-1} = \langle \chi_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\chi}_\beta(k, t) \rangle_S^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\chi}_\alpha(-k, t)} S_c \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \chi_\beta(-k, t)}, \quad (3.74)$$

which is the fermionic extension of the relation suggested in [1, 2], once the stochastic time t is identified to the AdS radial coordinate r and $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha = \Delta_{\alpha,+}$.

3.2 Fokker-Planck approach

To evaluate the form of the Fokker-Planck action, we just start from the stochastic partition function for fermions, given by

$$Z = \int [D\eta D\bar{\eta}] \exp \left(- \int dt' d^d k \bar{\eta}_\alpha(k, t') [V^{-1}]^{\alpha\beta} \eta_\beta(-k, t') \right). \quad (3.75)$$

To obtain the partition function in terms of the fermionic fields χ and $\bar{\chi}$, we replace η and $\bar{\eta}$ with them by using Langevin equations given in Eq(3.62). For the manipulation of measure part, we use Jacobian factor as

$$\det\left(\frac{\delta\eta}{\delta\chi}\right)\det\left(\frac{\delta\bar{\eta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}}\right) = \exp\left(\int dt' d^d k \frac{\vec{\delta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}(k)} S_c \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}(-k)}\right). \quad (3.76)$$

Then, the partition function becomes

$$Z = \int [D\chi D\bar{\chi}] \exp\left[-S_{FP} - \frac{1}{2}S_c(\chi, \bar{\chi})\right]. \quad (3.77)$$

S_{FP} is called Fokker-Planck action, which is defined by

$$S_{FP} = \int dt' d^d k \mathcal{L}_{FP}(\chi, \bar{\chi}; t'), \quad (3.78)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{FP} is Fokker-Planck Lagrangian density, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{FP} = \frac{\partial\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t)}{\partial t} [V^{-1}]^{\alpha\beta}(k) \frac{\partial\chi_\beta(-k, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} S_c}{\delta\chi_\alpha} V_{\alpha\beta}(k) \frac{\vec{\delta} S_c}{\delta\bar{\chi}_\beta} - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\vec{\delta}}{\delta\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k)} S_c \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\chi_\beta(-k)} \quad (3.79)$$

The last term in \mathcal{L}_{FP} has no field dependences at all since we will deal with quadratic Lagrangian only. Therefore, this term will be dropped out.

Once one plugs right forms of the kernel $V(|k|)$ chosen in Eq(3.67) and S_c given in Eq(3.52), then S_{FP} becomes

$$S_{FP} = - \int dt \left[\frac{\partial\bar{\chi}(k, t)}{\partial t} \left(\frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu}{k^2} \right) \frac{\partial\chi(-k, t)}{\partial t} + \bar{\chi}(k, t) k_\mu \gamma^\mu \chi(-k, t) \right], \quad (3.80)$$

where the spinor indices are suppressed. We note that this Lagrangian density is the same form with the bulk Lagrangian density(2.35).

Without considering quantum effects, we will just obtain on-shell Fokker-Planck action. The equation of motion obtained from Eq(3.80) is a Klein-Gordon form of equation, which is given by

$$\partial_t^2 \chi_\alpha(k, t) - k^2 \chi_\alpha(k, t) = 0. \quad (3.81)$$

In fact, the total derivative term is only left over in the action when we plug the equation of motion into Eq(3.80). Then, S_{FP} becomes

$$S_{FP} = - \int d^d k \left(\bar{\chi}(k, t') \frac{k_\mu \gamma^\mu}{k^2} \frac{\partial\chi(-k, t')}{\partial t'} \right) \Bigg|_{t'=t_0}^{t'=t}. \quad (3.82)$$

Let us evaluate Eq(3.82) more in detail by substituting the precise form of the solution of the equation of motion(3.81) into it. The most general solution of the equation of motion will be comprised of linear combination of $\sinh(|k|t)$ and $\cosh(|k|t)$. For the boundary condition

at $t' = t$, we want $\chi(k, t' = t) = \chi(k, t)$. The form of the solution that satisfies this boundary condition is

$$\chi_\alpha(k, t') = \zeta_\alpha^{-1}(k, t) \zeta_\alpha(k, t') \chi_\alpha(k, t) \quad (3.83)$$

where the spinor index α is not summed and

$$\zeta_\alpha(k, t) = \tilde{N}_\alpha [\cosh(|k|t) + \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(k) \sinh(|k|t)] |\alpha\rangle, \quad (3.84)$$

where \tilde{N}_α is normalization constant and $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(k)$ is an arbitrary momentum dependent function. We can obtain the solution for $\bar{\chi}$ in the similar fashion as

$$\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t') = \bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\zeta}_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\zeta}_\alpha^{-1}(k, t'), \quad (3.85)$$

where the index α is not summed, $\bar{\zeta}_\alpha$ is the solution of the equation of motion derived from the Fokker-Planck Lagrangian, which is given by

$$\bar{\zeta}_\alpha(k, t) = \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,0}(k) \cosh(|k|t) + \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,1}(k) \sinh(|k|t), \quad (3.86)$$

where $\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,0}(k)$ and $\bar{\zeta}_{\alpha,1}(k)$ are arbitrary momentum dependent spinors. $\bar{\zeta}^{-1}(k, t)$ is a spinor satisfying the condition as $\bar{\zeta}_\alpha(k, t) \bar{\zeta}_\alpha^{-1}(k, t) = 1$, where the spinor index α is not summed.

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{FP} &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int d^d k \left[\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t) \left(\frac{-[k_\mu \gamma^{\hat{\mu}}]_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2} \right) \zeta_\beta^{-1}(-k, t) \frac{\partial \zeta_\beta(-k, t)}{\partial t} \chi_\beta(-k, t) \right], \quad (3.87) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \int d^d k \left[\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t) \left(\frac{-E(k) \delta_{\alpha\beta}}{|k|^2} \zeta_\beta^{-1}(-k, t) \frac{\partial \zeta_\beta(-k, t)}{\partial t} \right) \chi_\beta(-k, t) \right], \\ &= \sum_\alpha \int d^d k \left[\bar{\chi}_\alpha(k, t) \left(\frac{-E(k) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sinh(|k|t) + \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha \cosh(|k|t)}{|k| \cosh(|k|t) + \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha \sinh(|k|t)} \right) \chi_\beta(-k, t) \right], \\ &\equiv \int d^d k \bar{\chi}(k, t) \mathcal{D}(k, t) \chi(-k, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(k, t)$ is precisely the same with the double trace coupling $D(k, r)$, given in Eq(2.46) once the AdS radial coordinate r is identified to the stochastic time t and $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(k)$ is done to $\Delta_{\alpha,+}(k)$.

We point out that we have used the same initial boundary condition that we have requested to the solutions of Langevin equation. For the boundary condition for the initial time t_0 ,

$$t_0 = -\frac{1}{|k|} \coth^{-1} \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha, \quad (3.88)$$

which removes any contributions at $t = t_0$ in S_{FP} .

Acknowledgement

J.H.O would like to thank his $\mathcal{W}.J$. He also thank Dileep P. Jatkar for many useful discussions. This work is supported by the research fund of Hanyang University(HY-2013) only.

References

- [1] J. -H. Oh and D. P. Jatkar, JHEP **1211** (2012) 144 [arXiv:1209.2242 [hep-th]].
- [2] D. P. Jatkar and J. -H. Oh, arXiv:1305.2008 [hep-th].
- [3] G. Parisi and Y.-S. Wu, Sci. Sinica 24 (1981) 483.
- [4] Poul H. Damgaard and Helmuth Huffel, PHYSICS REPORTS (Review Section of Physics Letters) 152, Nos. 5 and 6 (1987) 227398.
- [5] Robbert Dijkgraaf, Domenico Orlando, Susanne Reffert, Nucl.Phys.B824:365-386(2010), arXiv:0903.0732
- [6] Idse Heemskerk, Joseph Polchinski, JHEP06(2011)031, [arXiv:1010.1264 [hep-th]].
- [7] Thomas Faulkner, Hong Liu, Mukund Rangamani, JHEP08(2011)051, [arXiv:1010.4036 [hep-th]].
- [8] Diego S. Mansi, Andrea Mauri, Anastasios C. Petkou, Phys.Lett.B685:215-221 (2010), arXiv:0912.2105.
- [9] D. Minic and M. Pleimling, Phys. Lett. B **700**, 277 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3970 [hep-th]].
- [10] Peter Breitenlohner, Daniel Z Freedman, Phys. Lett. B115 (1982), 197, Peter Breitenlohner, Daniel Z Freedman, Ann. Phys. 144 (1982) 249.
- [11] Igor R. Klebanov, Edward Witten, Nucl.Phys.B556:89-114 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9905104.
- [12] I. R. Klebanov and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **556**, 89 (1999) [hep-th/9905104].
- [13] E. Witten, hep-th/0112258.
- [14] D. P. Jatkar and J. -H. Oh, JHEP **1208**, 077 (2012) [arXiv:1203.2106 [hep-th]].
- [15] Ioannis Papadimitriou, JHEP 0705:075 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0703152.
- [16] Sebastian de Haro, Peng Gao, Phys.Rev.D76:106008 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0701144.
- [17] Sebastian de Haro, Ioannis Papadimitriou, Anastasios C. Petkou, Phys.Rev.Lett.98:231601 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0611315.
- [18] Sebastian de Haro, Anastasios C. Petkou, JHEP 0612:076 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0606276.
- [19] D. Elander, H. Isono and G. Mandal, JHEP **1111**, 155 (2011) [arXiv:1109.3366 [hep-th]].
- [20] J. N. Laia and D. Tong, JHEP **1111**, 131 (2011) [arXiv:1108.2216 [hep-th]].
- [21] N. Iqbal and H. Liu, Fortsch. Phys. **57**, 367 (2009) [arXiv:0903.2596 [hep-th]].