LAr1-ND: Testing Neutrino Anomalies with Multiple LArTPC Detectors at Fermilab

C. Adams¹, C. Andreopoulos², J. Asaadi³, B. Baller⁴, M. Bishai⁵,

L. Camilleri⁶, F. Cavanna¹, H. Chen⁵, E. Church¹, D. Cianci⁷, G. Collin⁸, J. Conrad⁸, A. Ereditato⁹, B. Fleming^{*1}, W.M. Foreman⁷, G. Garvey¹⁰, R. Guenette¹¹, C. Ignarra⁸, B. Jones⁸, G. Karagiorgi⁶, W. Ketchum¹⁰, I. Kreslo⁹, D. Lissauer⁵, W.C. Louis¹⁰, K. Mavrokoridis², N. McCauley², G.B. Mills¹⁰, O. Palamara^{*1}, Z. Pavlovic¹⁰, X. Qian⁵, L. Qiuguang¹⁰, R. Rameika⁴, D.W. Schmitz^{*7}, M. Shaevitz⁶, M. Soderberg³, J. Spitz⁸, A.M. Szelc¹, C.E. Taylor¹⁰, K. Terao⁶, M. Thomson¹², C. Thorn⁵, M. Toups⁸, C. Touramanis², T. Strauss⁹, R.G. Van De Water¹⁰, C.R. von Rohr⁹, M. Weber⁹, B. Yu⁵, G. Zeller⁴, and J. Zennamo⁷

> Yale University, New Haven, CT 2 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL $5B$ rookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY Columbia University, Nevis Labs, Irvington, NY University of Chicago, Enrico Fermi Institute, Chicago, IL Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston, MA 9 Laboratory for High Energy Physics, University of Bern, Switzerland Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM University of Oxford, Oxford, UK University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

> > July 7, 2018

arXiv:1309.7987v3 [physics.ins-det] 5 Nov 2013 arXiv:1309.7987v3 [physics.ins-det] 5 Nov 2013

[∗]Contact persons

Contents

1 Introduction and Overview

Neutrino oscillations, for which convincing evidence has emerged only in the past fifteen years [\[1\]](#page-33-0), stand as one of the most important recent discoveries in particle physics. Through the phenomenon of oscillations, we are now presented with exciting new opportunities for continued discovery. First, large mixing between the three active flavors is now confirmed [\[2\]](#page-33-0). This opens the door to a new era of discovery in long-baseline experimental neutrino physics, including the opportunity to test for CP-violation in the neutrino sector [\[3\]](#page-33-0). Testing for CP-violation among the neutrinos is an important step in determining if leptogenesis [\[4\]](#page-33-0) is a viable explanation for the matter–antimatter asymmetry that clearly exists in the universe today. Second, there are existing experimental anomalies at short-baselines that may be hinting at exciting new physics, including the possibility of additional low-mass sterile neutrino states. Definitive evidence for sterile neutrinos would be a revolutionary discovery, with implications for particle physics as well as cosmology. The recent anomalous experimental results, briefly reviewed below, have piqued interest within the community for pursuing experiments that can provide new input to this important puzzle [\[5\]](#page-33-0).

Proposals to address these hints from reactor, accelerator, and source experiments are in the planning stages or underway worldwide. With the MicroBooNE experiment, currently under construction on the Booster Neutrino Beamline, Fermilab will be the first to explore these hints in neutrinos. This proposal aims to build upon that program and significantly extend the physics reach of the Booster Neutrino Beam facility by adding a modest-scale LArTPC near detector, LAr1-ND.

LArt-ND can serve as the next step in a phased program to build a world-class shortbaseline neutrino program at Fermilab. The combination of LAr1-ND and MicroBooNE will provide a clear interpretation of the anomalous signal observed by MiniBooNE in neutrinos and enable important additional opportunities to test for new physics through disappearance channels. The full LAr1 experiment, previously presented in an LOI [\[6\]](#page-33-0) and a Snowmass Neutrino Group white paper [\[7\]](#page-33-0), can definitively address the sterile neutrino question in both neutrinos and antineutrinos. In addition, starting with the phase-1 LAr1-ND detector the LAr1 program serves as a valuable development project for LArTPC technology toward future experiments.

1.1 Liquid Argon TPCs for Neutrino Physics

Liquid argon time project chamber detectors (LArTPCs) are particularly attractive for use in neutrino physics because of their exceptional capabilities in tracking, particle identifi-

Figure 1: Examples of simulated particle interactions in a LArTPC detector illustrating the power to dustinguish electrons from photons. The left panel shows a single 1 GeV electron shower. The right panel shows the decay of a 1 GeV π^0 to two photons. The horizontal axis is the channel number on the collection wire plane. The vertical axis is the hit time and the color indicates the amplitude of the signal on that wire on a linear scale such that dark red is approximately double the energy deposit of the green.

cation and calorimetric energy reconstruction. The idea of using such a device for neutrino detection was first proposed by Carlo Rubbia over 35 years ago [\[8\]](#page-33-0). Charged particles propagating in liquid argon will ionize nearby atoms and the freed electrons drift under the influence of an electric field (\sim 500 V/cm) applied across the detector volume. At the detector boundary planes of closely spaced sense wires (wire pitch in the 3-5 mm range) are used to collect the free charge. Signals read out on the wires are proportional to the amount of energy deposited in that spatial region.

The fine sampling and calorimetry enabled by this technology is key to its performance in neutrino physics. Cherenkov detectors, such as MiniBooNE and T2K, are not able to distinguish electrons from single photons. An important advantage of the LArTPC is the ability to separate electrons and photons by sampling the energy deposition before the buildup of an electromagnetic shower. When a photon converts to an e^+e^- pair, the resulting ionization in the first few centimeters is consistent with two minimum ionizing particles (mips), distinguishing it from the single mip deposit of electrons. Figure 1 compares a simulated single electron to a π^0 which has decayed to two photons. π^0 decays are an important source of backgrounds in searches for electron neutrinos, especially when one of the photons does not convert in the detector. In the right figure, both photons from a π^0 decay are clearly visible in the event display, but, more importantly, the ionization strength near the beginning of each shower is approximately double that of the same portion of the electron shower on the left. This double mip deposition of converted photons allows even single photons to be identified, significantly reducing backgrounds in searches for ν_e appearance relative to other technologies.

1.2 A Staged Multi-LArTPC Program at Fermilab

The use of multiple detectors at different baselines presents a significant advantage for reducing systematic uncertainties in the measurement of neutrino oscillations; MINOS and Daya Bay are recent examples of the power of this approach [\[2\]](#page-33-0). The anomalous shortbaseline results discussed here may be hinting at neutrinos oscillating with an amplitude 10 to 100 times smaller than those measured at Daya Bay and MINOS, thus emphasizing further the need for a multiple detector experiment in conducting a sensitive search for sterile neutrinos.

Fermilab has an opportunity to pursue such a multi-detector program using the superior event reconstruction capabilities of the LArTPC technology through the construction of new detectors in the existing Booster Neutrino Beam. In a first phase, a modest-scale LArTPC near detector, LAr1-ND, can determine the nature of an excess in neutrino mode, if observed by MicroBooNE. If not observed, this near detector can, in combination with MicroBooNE, rule out the low-energy excess observed by MiniBooNE [\[9,](#page-33-0) [10\]](#page-33-0) as a hint for short-baseline neutrino oscillations and fill in a crucial piece of the puzzle created by the existing anomalies. A near detector also extends the physics program in the Booster Beam by making possible a sensitive test of ν_{μ} disappearance through charged-current interactions, as well as a search for active flavor disappearance through neutral-current channels. These are critical aspects of a search for oscillations to sterile neutrinos and are only enabled with a near detector.

LAr1-ND is a ∼40 ton fiducial volume LArTPC to be located in the existing SciBooNE enclosure. The cryostat, cryogenics system, TPC and light collection systems, high voltage configuration and electronics readout will all serve as development steps towards LBNE. The membrane cryostat will house CPAs (Cathode Plane Assemblies) and APAs (Anode Plane Assemblies) to read out ionization electron signals. The front end electronics will build on the MicroBooNE and LBNE designs with cold pre-amplifiers multiplexed within LAr. The cryogenics system and high voltage configuration will take advantage of that learned from LAPD (the Liquid Argon Purity Demonstrator), the 35 ton membrane cryostat prototype, and MicroBooNE. Overall, the design philosophy of the LAr1-ND detector is as a prototype for LBNE that functions as a physics experiment. While the present conceptual design described here is an excellent test of LBNE detector systems sited in a neutrino beam, the LAr1-ND collaboration is exploring innovations in this design and the opportunity to test them in a running experiment. LAr1-ND is an opportunity, therefore, to further the development of the LBNE detector design.

Beyond this near-term program, LAr1-ND can serve as a near detector for the full LAr1 program to definitively address the MiniBooNE antineutrino anomaly [\[11,](#page-33-0) [12\]](#page-33-0) and make precision measurements of high- Δm^2 neutrino oscillations.

Figure 2: Aerial view of the Fermilab Booster Neutrino Beam showing the locations of the MicroBooNE detector (currently under construction), the proposed LAr1-ND, and a possible future kiloton-scale LArTPC detector, LAr1.

In summer 2012, the LAr1 collaboration submitted a Letter of Intent [\[6\]](#page-33-0) to the Fermilab PAC describing the physics reach of a 1 kton LArTPC detector located at 700 m along the Booster Neutrino Beam to serve as a far detector for the MicroBooNE experiment. Figure 2 shows the locations of the MicroBooNE detector, the LAr1 detector and the LAr1-ND described here. This multiple detector combination would provide a powerful constraint of experimental uncertainties and address in a definitive way whether the observed shortbaseline anomalies are due to high Δm^2 neutrino oscillations.

Since the LAr1 LOI, the advantages of starting with LAr1-ND as a first phase both for timeliness and efficiency have become clear. LAr1-ND, in combination with MicroBooNE, will bring a compelling early physics program, decisive determination of the nature of the neutrino anomaly, and serve as a development step towards LBNE both for hardware and software. A new project at this scale also provides an avenue for expanding expertise with

LArTPC detectors within the neutrino physics community and an opportunity for building international collaboration in U.S. experimental neutrino physics.

With the existing SciBooNE enclosure at the appropriate near location for the LAr1-ND program, advanced design work from both MicroBooNE and LBNE, and relatively modest cost, the LAr1-ND can be built quickly and given the envisioned fiducial volume, have a definitive result within one year. With this schedule, the data run can be concurrent with the final year of MicroBooNE data taking, opportunistically taking advantage of the already approved MicroBooNE run. This timeliness puts Fermilab in an excellent position to not only confirm or rule out MiniBooNE's neutrino anomaly, but be able to interpret it as an oscillation signal. The full LAr1 program can then proceed to address the antineutrino results.

2 Motivation: Short-Baseline Anomalies in Neutrino Physics

In this section we very briefly review the various experimental anomalies that hint at the possibility of new physics occurring in the neutrino sector. We include this description for completeness, but refer the reader to more thorough descriptions of these results and their interpretation, including [\[5,](#page-33-0) [13\]](#page-34-0) and the references therein.

In recent years, experimental anomalies ranging in significance $(2.8-3.8\sigma)$ have been reported from a variety of experiments studying neutrinos over baselines less than 1 km. Results from the LSND and MiniBooNE short-baseline $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ appearance experiments show anomalies which cannot be described by oscillations between the three standard model neutrinos (the "LSND anomaly"). In addition, a re-analysis of the antineutrino flux produced by nuclear power reactors has led to an apparent deficit in $\bar{\nu}_e$ event rates in a number of reactor experiments (the "reactor anomaly"). Similarly, calibration runs using ${}^{51}Cr$ and ${}^{37}Ar$ radioactive sources in the Gallium solar neutrino experiments GALLEX and SAGE have shown an unexplained deficit in the electron neutrino event rate over very short distances (the "Gallium anomaly").

LSND $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$

The Liquid Scintillator Neutrino Detector experiment (LSND) was conducted at Los Alamos National Laboratory from 1993 through 1998. LSND used a decay-at-rest (DAR) pion beam to produce a beam of $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ between 20-53 MeV about 30 m from a liquid scintillatorbased detector. $\bar{\nu}_e$ were detected through inverse beta decay (IBD) on carbon, $\bar{\nu}_e p \to e^+ n$.

Figure 3: Left: Excess of electron neutrino candidate events observed by the LSND experiment. Right: Oscillation probability as a function of L/E_ν if the excess candidate events are assumed due to $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ transitions using MiniBooNE (red) and LSND (black) data.

The signature of IBD events is a prompt positron followed by a 2.2 MeV γ produced when the neutron captures on free protons in the scintillator. After 5 years of data taking, 89.7 ± 22.4 $\pm 6.0 \bar{\nu}_e$ candidate events were observed above backgrounds, corresponding to 3.8 σ evidence for $\overline{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overline{\nu}_{e}$ oscillations [\[14\]](#page-34-0) occurring at a Δm^{2} in the 1 eV² region. This signal, therefore, cannot be accommodated within the three Standard Model neutrinos, and like the other short baseline hints for oscillations at $L/E_{\nu} \sim 1 \text{ m/MeV}$, implies new physics.

MiniBooNE $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ and $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$

The MiniBooNE collaboration has recently completed an analysis of their full ten year data set including both neutrino and antineutrino running [\[12\]](#page-33-0). The MiniBooNE detector sits ∼500 m downstream of the Booster Neutrino Beam at Fermilab. Predominately muon flavor neutrinos are produced in pion decay-in-flight, yielding a broad beam with peak energy around 700 MeV. Muon and electron neutrinos are identified in charged-current interactions by the characteristic signatures of Cherenkov rings for muons and electrons.

MiniBooNE observes a 3.4 σ signal excess of ν_e candidates at low energy in neutrino mode (162.0 \pm 47.8 electromagnetic events at reconstructed E_{ν} below 475 MeV). These events, along with backgrounds, are shown in Figure [4.](#page-8-0) The excess events can be electrons or single photons since these are indistinguishable in MiniBooNE's Cherenkov imaging detector. MicroBooNE will address this question at the same baseline as MiniBooNE by applying the LArTPC technology to separate electrons and gammas. LAr1-ND at 100 m, in combination

Figure 4: The neutrino mode (left) and antineutrino mode (right) electron neutrino candidate distributions from MiniBooNE. The stacked histograms show the background expectation with systematic errors and the black points are the data with statistical errors. Plots taken from [\[12\]](#page-33-0).

with MicroBooNE, enables a powerful test of the nature of any excess found by determining if it exists intrinsically in the Booster Neutrino Beam.

MiniBooNE also observes an excess of 78.4 \pm 28.5 electron antineutrino candidates (2.8σ) at both low and somewhat higher energies than in neutrinos as shown in Figure 4. Figure [3](#page-7-0) compares the L/E_{ν} dependence of these events to the excess observed at LSND. It is this signal in antineutrino mode that the full multi-detector LAr1 experiment could address.

Reactor neutrino anomaly

A re-evaluation of the $\bar{\nu}_e$ flux produced by nuclear power reactors [\[15,](#page-34-0) [16\]](#page-34-0) has prompted a re-analysis of short baseline reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance measurements from the last several decades [\[17\]](#page-34-0). The new reference spectra takes advantage of a re-evaluation of inverse beta decay cross sections impacting the neutron lifetime, and accounts for long-lived radioisotopes accumulating in reactors. Figure [5](#page-9-0) shows this predicted flux compared to reactor measurements as a function of the baseline of each experiment. With this new prediction, the observed rates of interactions in detectors between 10 and 100 meters from the reactors are, on average, 6-7% lower than that expected in the absence of oscillations. This result can be explained through $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance due to oscillations at $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 \text{ eV}^2$, which could be consistent with the MiniBooNE and LSND appearance anomalies.

Figure 5: Ratio of the observed to predicted reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ rate for 19 different reactor neutrino experiments at baselines less that 100 m. The mean average ratio including correlations is $0.927 \pm$ 0.023, indicating a \sim 7% deficit at short baseline. The curves show fits to the data assuming standard three neutrino oscillations (red) and assuming 3+1 neutrino oscillations including one additional sterile neutrino (blue) [\[17\]](#page-34-0).

GALLEX and SAGE calibration data

Both the GALLEX and SAGE solar neutrino experiments used test sources to calibrate their detectors. In total they ran 4 test runs, 2 in GALLEX and 1 in SAGE with a ${}^{51}Cr$ source which emits a 750 keV ν_e , and 1 in SAGE with a ³⁷Ar source, an 810 keV ν_e emitter. The test data reveal a deficit of electron neutrinos relative to the predicted rate as shown in Figure [6.](#page-10-0) The best fit ratio of data to prediction is 0.86 ± 0.05 [\[18,](#page-34-0) [19\]](#page-34-0). This deficit of very low energy electron neutrinos over very short baselines could also be explained through ν_e disappearance due to oscillations at $\Delta m^2 \geq 1 \text{ eV}^2$.

Interpretation

Table 2 summarizes the results discussed above and lists their individual significance. While each of these measurements taken separately lack the significance to claim a discovery, together these signals could be hinting at important new physics. The most common interpretation is as evidence for the existence of one or more additional, mostly "sterile" neutrino states with masses at or below the few eV range. In these models, the mass states ν_1 , ν_2 and ν_3 are those responsible for the well established oscillations observed at $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ eV^2 and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV^2 and are taken to be dominated by active flavors $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ with only small contributions from sterile flavors. Additional higher mass neutrino states, ν_4 , ν_5 , ... are taken as mostly sterile with small active flavor content. The experimental

Figure 6: The measured/predicted event ratio for GALLEX and SAGE source calibration data. The average, shown by the shaded band, is 0.86 ± 0.05 .

Experiment	Type	Channel	Significance	
LSND	DAR.	$\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ CC	3.8σ	
MiniBooNE	SBL accelerator	$\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ CC	3.4σ	
MiniBooNE	SBL accelerator	$\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{e}$ CC	2.8σ	
GALLEX/SAGE	Source - e capture	ν_e disappearance	2.8σ	
Reactors	Beta-decay	$\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance	3.0σ	

Table 1: Summary of the experimental hints suggesting the possibility of high- Δm^2 neutrino oscillations.

results described above can be interpreted as indications of oscillations due to mass-squared splitting in the $\Delta m_{41}^2 \approx [0.1 - 10] \text{ eV}^2$ range.

It is clear that the existing anomalies must be explored further, both by repeating the existing measurements in an effective way, and by testing these results in new ways capable of addressing the oscillation hypothesis.

3 LAr1-ND Physics Program

Full interpretation of any anomalous excess observed by MicroBooNE, regardless of whether it is electrons or photons, will require a second detector at a near location equally sensitive to the same interactions. MicroBooNE alone can confirm the nature of the excess at the ∼500 m distance, but in either scenario the question of whether that excess appears over a distance or is intrinsic to the beam will need to be answered. LAr1-ND can address this important issue. A near detector also extends the physics program in the Booster Beam by enabling a sensitive test of ν_{μ} disappearance through charged-current interactions as well as a search for active flavor disappearance through neutral-current channels. These are critical aspects of a search for oscillations to sterile neutrinos and are only possible with a near detector.

In this section we explore the potential of augmenting the existing MicroBooNE detector at 470 m with a smaller LArTPC near detector housed in the existing (but empty) SciBooNE detector hall located at 100 m. This is the experiment we are proposing here. We present the sensitivity of several complimentary approaches to testing existing anomalies or searching for evidence of high- Δm^2 neutrino oscillations.

Section 3.1 presents the neutrino fluxes at each detector location since understanding differences in flux shape is important when making comparisons between near and far detectors. Section [3.2](#page-12-0) briefly describes the Monte Carlo used to perform the sensitivity studies. In Sec. [3.3](#page-13-0) we present the ability to confirm an excess of electron neutrinos that matches exactly the anomalous excess reported by MiniBooNE. In Sec. [3.4](#page-17-0) we focus on testing a $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ appearance scenario in the context of a 3+1 sterile neutrino model as was reported by LSND. Section [3.5](#page-20-0) shows the sensitivity reach of a two detector configuration to searching for ν_{μ} disappearance, a far less model-dependent test of $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{x}$ oscillations. A similarly important test of active to sterile oscillations can be performed using neutral-current interactions for which the sensitivity is described in Sec. [3.6.](#page-23-0) In Sec. [3.7](#page-23-0) we discuss the ability to measure the cross section for single photon production should the low-energy excess be found to be photons instead of electrons. Finally, Sec. [3.8](#page-24-0) gives the expected event rates for different types of interactions, indicating the ability to make precise neutrino-argon cross section measurements in LAr1-ND. Later, in Sec. [5,](#page-28-0) we briefly outline the physics reach of a possible future extension to this experimental program. The addition of a 1 kton-scale far detector located at 700 m, LAr1-FD, would provide a powerful opportunity to understand the antineutrino mode anomalies and potentially make precision measurements of oscillations to sterile states in neutrino mode.

3.1 Neutrino Fluxes

The primary source of neutrinos for LAr1-ND is the Booster Neutrino Beam (BNB). The same beamline has been used by the MiniBooNE experiment and will be used by MicroBooNE. In addition to the on-axis BNB flux, all detectors are also exposed to an off-axis component of the Neutrinos at the Main Injector (NuMI) beamline. While data from this off-axis beam will be studied and can improve the sensitivity to this physics, here

Figure 7: Comparison of neutrino fluxes at 100 m, 470 m and 700 m in neutrino (left) and antineutrino (right) mode. The total neutrino flux at each location has been normalized to 1 in order to compare the flux shapes.

we restrict our discussion to the on-axis flux from the BNB.

On-axis neutrino fluxes at the BNB are well known; calculations are made with a Geant4 based Monte Carlo simulation [\[21\]](#page-34-0) provided by the MiniBooNE collaboration that has been tuned using dedicated hadron production data from the HARP experiment [\[22\]](#page-34-0) as well as the world data on kaon production. Figure 7 compares the neutrino fluxes at each of the proposed detector locations in the neutrino and antineutrino beam configurations of the BNB and Fig. [8](#page-13-0) provides the ratios of the absolute fluxes as a function of neutrino energy in order to highlight differences in the shape of the neutrino spectra. The ratio Φ(MicroBooNE)/Φ(LAr1-ND) is on the left and shows clearly the effect of LAr1-ND being only 50 m from the end of the decay region. The effect is more pronounced in the ν_{μ} spectrum, but note that the ν_e flux does fall off slightly faster than $1/r^2$ between these two locations (100²/470² = 0.045). In contrast, the ratio $\Phi(LAr1-FD)/\Phi(MicroBooNE)$ on the right indicates that the neutrino flux past MicroBooNE falls off almost exactly as $1/r^2$ at all neutrino energies $(470^2/700^2 = 0.45)$.

3.2 Detector Simulations

To estimate physics sensitivities of the experiment, a full Monte Carlo simulation is used. Neutrino interactions on argon are simulated using the GENIE neutrino event generator [\[23\]](#page-34-0) (v2.8.0). GENIE includes models for all relevant neutrino-nucleon cross sections. Hadronic

Figure 8: Ratios of the muon type and electron type neutrino fluxes at different detector locations. The left panel compares the flux at MicroBooNE compared to LAr1-ND at 100 m. The right panel compares the flux at MicroBooNE to another on-axis location at 700 m.

interactions of particles as they exit the argon nucleus are simulated using the INTRANUKE package. Particles exiting the target nucleus are handed off to a Geant4 [\[24\]](#page-34-0) simulation within the LArSoft software framework [\[25\]](#page-34-0) which handles all electromagnetic and hadronic interactions within the liquid argon detector volumes. Geometry descriptions of the proper dimensions are used for each detector studied.

3.3 Sensitivity to MiniBooNE Low-Energy ν_e Excess

In this section we set out to estimate the ability of LAr1-ND to test the nature of the MiniBooNE neutrino anomaly in a way independent of any specific oscillation model. With this analysis, we are addressing the straightforward question: Does the anomalous excess of electromagnetic events reported by MiniBooNE, whether electrons or photons, appear over a distance or exist intrinsically in the beam? To answer this we need to make a measurement at a near location in the beam that has good sensitivity to a MiniBooNE-like excess. We set out, therefore, to determine the significance with which LAr1-ND would observe an event excess of the same size as that reported by MiniBooNE.

Starting from the event distribution in the left panel of Fig. [4](#page-8-0) and reported in $[10]$, we directly scale (up or down) the exact event rates observed by MiniBooNE, accounting for anticipated differences in event selection efficiencies due to the LArTPC technology as well as fiducial masses, beam exposures and detector locations. The approach taken in this study does not use a full Monte Carlo simulation (but does rely on MC studies for efficiencies), but the procedure is easy to follow and it is informative to see directly how the technology impacts aspects of the measurement. In later sections we will use a full simulation to assess sensitivities to ν_e appearance and ν_μ disappearance with LAr1-ND.

Tables [2](#page-15-0) and [3](#page-16-0) illustrate this exercise for the LAr1-ND and MicroBooNE detector configuration. The ν_e charged-current quasi-elastic (CCQE) selection efficiency in MiniBooNE was ∼30%; we conservatively assume a factor 2 increase with LArTPC detectors. Neutralcurrent events with single photons in the final state present an irreducible background in MiniBooNE since photons look identical to electrons in a Cherenkov detector, but in a LArTPC we estimate a 94% rejection rate using the dE/dx tag in the first few centimeters of the electromagnetic shower.

We also scale for differences in detector mass and beam exposure. For MicroBooNE we assume a 61.4 ton fiducial mass and the approved 6.6×10^{20} protons on target (POT). These are to be compared to the 450 ton fiducial mass of the MiniBooNE detector and the 6.46×10^{20} POT exposure of the final MiniBooNE neutrino mode sample. Also, the MiniBooNE detector was located 541 m from the BNB target while the MicroBooNE detector is a little closer at 470 m. Simulation confirms that the flux falls off as $1/r^2$ between these two positions. This gives us the following relative scale factors for electron and single photon final states in MicroBooNE relative to MiniBooNE:

$$
f_{\mu}^{e} = 2 \times (61.4/450) \times (541^{2}/470^{2}) \times (6.6/6.46) = 0.369
$$

\n
$$
f_{\mu}^{\gamma} = (0.06/0.30) \times (61.4/450) \times (541^{2}/470^{2}) \times (6.6/6.46) = 0.037
$$
\n(1)

For LAr1-ND, these scale factors are reduced for the smaller fiducial mass and shorter exposure. We assume one year of concurrent running with MicroBooNE, or 2.2×10^{20} POT. The neutrino flux, however, is higher at the 100 m location compared to the MicroBooNE location at 470 m. Figure [8](#page-13-0) shows the LAr1-ND/MicroBooNE flux ratio as a function of neutrino energy according to the beam simulation. For neutrino energies below ∼1 GeV, there is about $30\times$ more flux in the near detector. We use this factor 30 to estimate the number of events in LAr1-ND by scaling from our estimates for MicroBooNE:

$$
f_{ND}^{e} = f_{\mu}^{e} \times (40/61.4) \times (2.2/6.6) \times 30 = 2.41
$$

\n
$$
f_{ND}^{\gamma} = f_{\mu}^{\gamma} \times (40/61.4) \times (2.2/6.6) \times 30 = 0.24
$$
\n(2)

In Table [2](#page-15-0) we estimate the number of events in nine different background categories by scaling from the MiniBooNE neutrino mode results in the energy region below 475 MeV. Backgrounds include both intrinsic sources of ν_e as well as single photon final state ν_μ interactions. We also scale the excess event counts reported by MiniBooNE as if they are

Process	$200 - 300$	$300 - 475$	Total	Scaling	Total	Scaling	Total
	MeV (mB)	MeV (mB)	(mB)	(μB)	(μB)	$(LAr1-ND)$	$(LAr1-ND)$
	Background from intrinsic ν_e						
$\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$	13.6	44.5	58.1	.369	21.5	2.40	139.8
$K^+ \rightarrow \nu_e$	3.6	13.8	17.4	.369	6.4	2.40	41.9
$K^0 \rightarrow \nu_e$	1.6	3.4	5.0	.369	1.8	2.40	12.0
Background from ν_{μ} misidentification							
ν_{μ} CC	9.0	17.4	26.4	.185	4.9	1.20	31.8
$\nu_{\mu} e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} e$	6.1	4.3	10.4	.369	3.8	2.40	25.0
NC π^0	103.5	77.8	181.3	.037	6.7	.241	43.6
Dirt	11.5	12.3	23.5	.037	0.9	.241	5.7
$\Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$	19.5	47.5	67.0	.037	2.5	.241	16.1
Other	18.4	7.3	25.7	.037	0.9	.241	6.2
Background	187	228	415		49.4		322.1
Excess	45.2	83.7	128.9	.369	47.6	2.40	310.2

Table 2: Estimated event rates in MicroBooNE and LAr1-ND with reconstructed neutrino energy 200-475 MeV determined by scaling from MiniBooNE event rates [\[10\]](#page-33-0) and accounting for differences in fiducial masses, beam exposures and selection efficiencies between the detector technologies (see text).

electrons and we refer to this as the "Excess" or the "Signal". We estimate 49 background events and 47 signal events in MicroBooNE. In LAr1-ND, with 40 ton fiducial mass and 2.2×10^{20} POT exposure, we expect 310 signal events on top of 322 intrinsic ν_e and single photon background events.

To estimate the significance of a MiniBooNE-like signal in LAr1-ND, we apply the fractional systematic uncertainties reported by MiniBooNE. We include an additional 10% uncertainty on the efficiency of the dE/dx cut applied to separate e/γ final states in a LArTPC. The results of this analysis are shown in Table [3.](#page-16-0) We find that the 310 signal events expected correspond to a 6.7 σ excess over the expected background of 322 \pm 18.0(stat) \pm 42.6(syst), demonstrating that LAr1-ND can verify a MiniBooNE-like excess at 100 m with high significance in a very short time.

Several things are worth noting about this analysis. First, the MiniBooNE analysis selected charged-current quasi-elastic events in order to be able to make a reasonable estimate of the neutrino energy in the event by assuming quasi-elastic kinematics in the interaction. This scaling procedure would, therefore, correspond to only utilizing the ν_e CCQE interactions in LAr1-ND. In a tracking calorimeter detector like a LArTPC it is possible to use the

Process	Events	Events	MiniBooNE	dE/dx	Total	Error	Error
	(μB)	$(LAr1-ND)$	unc.	unc.	unc.	(μB)	$(LAr1-ND)$
$\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$	21.5	139.8	0.26	0.1	0.28	6.0	39.0
$K^+ \rightarrow \nu_e$	6.4	41.9	0.22	0.1	0.24	1.55	10.1
$K^0 \rightarrow \nu_e$	1.8	12.0	0.38	0.1	0.39	0.73	4.73
ν_{μ} CC	4.9	31.8	0.26	0.0	0.26	1.27	8.26
$\nu_{\mu}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e$	3.8	25.0	0.25	0.1	0.27	1.03	6.74
NC π^0	6.7	43.6	0.13	0.1	0.16	1.10	7.16
Dirt	0.9	5.7	0.16	0.1	0.19	0.16	1.07
$\Delta \rightarrow N\gamma$	2.5	16.1	0.14	0.1	0.17	0.43	2.77
Other	0.9	6.2	0.25	0.1	0.27	0.26	1.67
Total	49.4	322.1				6.55	42.6
				MicroBooNE		LAr1-ND	
Total Events		97		632			
"Low-energy Excess"		47.6		310.2			
Background			49.4		322.1		
Statistical Error			7.0		18.0		
Systematic Error			6.6		42.6		
Total Error		9.6		46.3			
Statistical Significance of Excess		6.8 σ		17.3 σ			
Total Significance of Excess			5.0σ		6.7 σ		

Table 3: Anticipated event rate and errors in MicroBooNE and LAr1-ND with reconstructed neutrino energy 200-475 MeV determined by scaling from the observed events at MiniBooNE [\[10\]](#page-33-0). Estimated significance to the MiniBooNE "low-energy anomaly" at each location is determined by assuming the MiniBooNE excess is not dependent on the neutrino propagation length.

inclusive charged-current event sample, thus increasing further the signal to background ratio in the case of an excess of ν_e events. The analysis presented in this section is conservative in that it only scales the quasi-elastic event rate reported by MiniBooNE. Second, because this analysis does not use a full Monte Carlo simulation, it captures the general features of the expected event rates and their uncertainties but certain details such as the difference in detector volume shapes or the differences between neutrino interactions on carbon vs. argon are ignored. Nonetheless, the exercise is valuable for estimating the experiment's sensitivity to the exact anomalous event excess reported by MiniBooNE, an important aspect of the physics program of LAr1-ND. In the following sections we will turn to utilizing a full simulation to assess the sensitivity to various searches for oscillations with the combination of LAr1-ND and MicroBooNE.

3.4 $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ Appearance

In this section we present the sensitivity of an experimental search for sterile neutrinos in the context of a 3 active $+1$ sterile neutrino model $(3+1)$. The signal is taken to be the appearance of electron neutrinos through $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ transitions according to the two neutrino oscillation probability formula

$$
P_{\nu_{\mu}\to\nu_{e}}^{3+1} = \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{41}^2 L}{4E}
$$
 (3)

where Δm_{41}^2 is the mass splitting between the known mass states and a new state with $\Delta m_{41}^2 >> \Delta m_{31}^2$. Sin²2 $\theta_{\mu e}$ is an effective mixing amplitude that combines the amount of mixing of ν_{μ} and ν_{e} with mass state ν_{4}

$$
\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e} \equiv 4|U_{\mu 4} U_{e 4}|^2\tag{4}
$$

To assess the sensitivity to appearance signals, we calculate a simple χ^2 between the expected background events and the total event rate predicted for a combinations of oscillation parameters Δm_{41}^2 and $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$.

The observed ν_e candidate event rate in LAr1-ND at 100 m is used to predict the expected rate (in the absence of oscillations) in MicroBooNE at 470 m. A near detector of the same technology allows cancelations of systematic uncertainties associated with electron identification efficiencies, background mis-identification rates, neutrino fluxes and neutrinonucleus cross sections. The lower limit in the systematic uncertainties on the far detector background prediction is determined by the statistical power of the near detector sample, so a large ND sample is important. To determine the size and spectrum of expected ν_e candidate event samples in LAr1-ND and MicroBooNE, we apply the following selections to simulated events in both detectors:

- ν_e CC : Electron neutrino charged-current interactions occurring within the fiducial volume are accepted with an 80% identification efficiency.
- NC π^0 production : For neutral-current interactions with any number of π^0 in the final state, the decay photons are analyzed. If more than one photon converts within the fiducial volume, the event is rejected. For events where only one photon converts within the fiducial volume, a 94% photon rejection rate is applied. This is the assumed efficiency of the dE/dx cut to separate e/γ in the LArTPC detector. Note that further rejection of this class of events is likely possible by identifying the low-energy hadronic debri near the vertex of the neutral-current interaction. The electromagnetic shower

Figure 9: Electron neutrino charged-current candidate distributions in LAr1-ND (left) and MicroBooNE (right) shown as a function of reconstructed neutrino energy. Oscillation signal events for parameter values near the LSND best-fit value are indicated by the dashed blue histograms.

produced by the photon will be separated from, but point back to, this vertex. An observed gap between the photon shower and the interaction vertex would allow further rejection of single photon neutral-current events.

- NC γ production : Neutral-current interactions resulting in photons in the final state (not from π^0 decays) are analyzed to determine if the photon converts within the fiducial volume. Again, 6% of these events are accepted into the ν_e candidate sample, corresponding to the expected efficiency of applying dE/dx separation of e/γ showers in the LArTPC. Just as in the photon production from π^0 described above, there is the additional possibility to reject these events through the identification of a separated interaction vertex which is not being utilized here.
- ν_{μ} CC : For ν_{μ} charged-current interactions within the fiducial volumes, we assume 0.1% are mis-identified as electron neutrino interactions. Such events can enter into the sample only if there is an identified electromagnetic shower and the muon is not identified. The presence of the muon, of course, tags the event as ν_{μ} CC.

By analyzing the conversion points of photons instead of the true neutrino interaction vertex, we accurately account for acceptance effects in the differently shaped detectors. Because the e/γ separation is performed entirely with the first few centimeters of a shower, differences in total shower containment do not affect the assumption that the photon identification efficiency is the same in each detector.

Figure 9 shows the expected ν_e candidate event distributions in LAr1-ND and MicroBooNE

for exposures of 2.2×10^{20} and 6.6×10^{20} protons-on-target, respectively. To simulate a calorimetric energy reconstruction, the neutrino energy in each Monte Carlo event is estimated by summing the energy of the electron candidate and all charged hadronic particles above observation thresholds. It should be noted that this method is one possible approach to estimating the neutrino energy in ν_e charged-current event candidates. The liquid argon TPC technology enables a full calorimetric reconstruction, but other methods can be used as well, such as isolating charged-current quasi-elastic events and assuming QE kinematics. The ability to apply complimentary approaches to event identification and energy reconstruction will provide valuable cross checks of the measurements performed.

An example signal is included in the event distributions of Fig. [9.](#page-18-0) The high statistics event sample in LAr1-ND constrains the expected background event rate in MicroBooNE, reducing significantly the systematic uncertainties on the background. Figure 10 compares the sensitivity to a $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e}$ oscillation signal under the 3+1 model of MicroBooNE alone (left) and MicroBooNE + LAr1-ND (right). The sensitivity is strengthened through the reduction of systematic errors, covering the best-fit point to the LSND data at $\sim 4\sigma$.

Figure 10: (Left) Sensitivity to ν_e appearance in neutrino mode with 6.6×10^{20} protons on target exposure for MicroBooNE alone and assuming 20% systematic uncertainties on ν_e backgrounds. (Right) The sensitivity with the same MicroBooNE exposure and including 2.2×10^{20} protons on target exposure for LAr1-ND. The systematics in the far detector (MicroBooNE) are taken to be the statistical uncertainties in LAr1-ND.

Figure 11: A $\Delta m^2 = 1.0 \text{ eV}^2 \nu_\mu$ disappearance signal in LAr1-ND (left) and MicroBooNE (right). Flux and cross section errors of 15-20% would hide this signal in MicroBooNE alone, but using the observed LAr1-ND spectrum to normalize the expected rate at MicroBooNE makes it observable.

3.5 ν_{μ} Disappearance

The disappearance of active flavor interactions over a distance would be a clear signature of oscillations into sterile neutrinos. Further, an observation of ν_e appearance that is to be interpreted as due to an oscillation must be accompanied by a disappearance of muon neutrinos with greater or equal probability. Therefore, sensitive searches for ν_{μ} disappearance are an important component of the test for low-mass sterile neutrinos. This is a significant element of the physics brought by this proposal because only with a near detector is there the possibility of a sensitive search for ν_{μ} disappearance.

Unlike the search for ν_e appearance, which will be limited by small statistics, the search for ν_{μ} disappearance in MicroBooNE will be a systematics limited measurement. Overall normalization errors of 15-20% impact the ν_{μ} charged-current event rate due to uncertainties in both the neutrino flux and interaction cross sections. LAr1-ND, by providing a high statistics measurement of the ν_{μ} interaction rate in the beam (flux \times cross section) before the on-set of any oscillation, enables a search for ν_{μ} disappearance at the MicroBooNE location. Figure 11 shows an example oscillation signal for $\Delta m^2 = 1.0 \text{ eV}^2$. Due to its near location, the effects of the oscillation are barely noticeable in LAr1-ND and only at very low neutrino energies. At the MicroBooNE location, however, a significant distortion is visible.

The constraint on the predicted rate provided by LAr1-ND enables the observation of this effect.

Figure [12](#page-22-0) demonstrates the effectiveness of this constraint. The left panel shows the estimated sensitivity to ν_{μ} disappearance using MicroBooNE alone. One can see that MicroBooNE alone is not competitive. The center and right panels illustrate the improvement with LAr1-ND serving as a near detector. The measurement in LAr1-ND is used to normalize the far detector spectrum in the absence of oscillations and the statistical uncertainties in the near detector determine the systematics in the far detector (MicroBooNE). The center panel shows the result of a *shape only* analysis in the far detector. The integrated rate observed in LAr1-ND is used to normalize the predicted spectrum at MicroBooNE. In this way, any oscillation signal at the near detector is normalized out of the data. This leads to the reduction in sensitivity at very high Δm^2 where rapid oscillations result in a significant reduction in the ν_{μ} event rate even at the near detector. If instead, we assume some sensitivity (15% normalization uncertainty) also to the reduced rate in the near detector, then a *rate* + *shape analysis* of the data in both detectors improves the high- Δm^2 sensitivity as shown in the right panel of Fig. [12.](#page-22-0)

Figure 12: Sensitivity to ν_{μ} disappearance of MicroBooNE alone (upper) and including one year of running of LAr1-ND (lower). In each case, the dotted line shows the limit set by the SciBooNE + MiniBooNE combined search for ν_{μ} disappearance [\[20\]](#page-34-0) for comparison. With MicroBooNE alone a 15% systematic uncertainty on the absolute ν_{μ} event rate is assumed. The lower left panel shows the result of a shape only analysis. The right panel uses both rate and shape information in the two detectors.

3.6 Probing Active to Sterile Oscillations With Neutral-Currents

A unique probe of sterile neutrino oscillations, directly sensitive to any "sterile" flavor content, is available through neutral-current (NC) neutrino interactions. In this type of search, one looks for an overall depletion of the flavor-summed flux \times cross section event rate. As with ν_{μ} disappearance, this type of search is significantly less sensitive in a single-detector experiment due to large systematic uncertainties in flux and cross section. A two-detector experiment provides superior sensitivity by removing these systematic errors.

In an NC-based search, neutrino energy reconstruction is impossible because the surviving neutrino carries off an unknown amount of energy. Similarly, Flavor identification is also not possible. Therefore, one relies on looking for an overall deficit of the flavor-summed event rate. For simplicity, we have considered here the NC π^0 channel, due to its characteristic event topology and kinematics. Unlike other NC channels, the presence of the two photons from the π^0 decay pointing back to a common vertex, with an invariant mass corresponding to $m_{\pi0}$, should provide a powerful discriminant against potential backgrounds. This yields a better understood event sample that is less susceptible to systematic errors.

We expect around 30,000 NC π^0 interactions in the LAr1-ND with 40 tons fiducial volume and 2.2×10^{20} proton on target exposure. Assuming a 50% selection efficiency this corresponds to an approximately 1% statistical uncertainty on a measurement of the unoscillated NC π^0 event rate. Similar LArTPC detectors at both locations means that systematic uncertainties will largely cancel in predicting the rate at MicroBooNE, making neutral-current disappearance an excellent and complementary way to test for new physics with LAr1-ND.

3.7 Anomalous Single Photon Production

It is possible the event excess observed by MiniBooNE is not due to $\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}$ oscillations but is instead comprised of an as-yet unknown source of neutral-current interactions producing single photons in the final state. Cherenkov detectors, such as MiniBooNE, are not able to distinguish electromagnetic showers initiated by photons versus by electrons. MicroBooNE, on the other hand, by applying the LArTPC technology, will determine if the excess at low reconstructed energies in the Booster Neutrino Beam at ∼500 m is coming from ν_e 's or photons produced in (mostly ν_μ) NC interactions. In the case of photons, this becomes an important realization since a new source of single photon final states has implications for other Cherenkov detector neutrino experiments in the same energy range, such as T2K.

In confirming that the observed MiniBooNE excess is photons, MicroBooNE would see a few dozen events above expected backgrounds at low-energy. In this scenario, LAr1-ND at

100 m will allow us to immediately confirm that the excess is intrinsic in the beam (i.e. that it is some standard, but un-modeled neutral-current interaction), and, importantly, LAr1-ND will have hundreds of events per year instead of ten, as shown in Table [2.](#page-15-0) Such a sample will enable a measurement of this unknown reaction with much greater precision and inform the development of cross section models in this energy range to include this process with the correct rate. This will be important input for some accelerator-based neutrino experiments studying oscillations at the atmospheric Δm^2 that observe signals in the few hundred MeV energy range.

3.8 Neutrino Cross Sections

Precise cross section measurements are considered a fundamental prerequisite for every neutrino oscillation study. In the energy range of interest, as a result of competitive physical processes and complicated nuclear effects, neutrino interactions on argon include a variety of final states. These can range from the emission of multiple nucleons to even more complex topologies with multiple pions, all in addition to the leading lepton.

Liquid argon TPC technology is particularly well suited to this purpose because of its excellent particle identification capability and calorimetric energy reconstruction down to very low thresholds. In addition, LAr1-ND will obtain good muon energy reconstruction from the downstream muon range detector.

LAr1-ND provides an ideal venue to conduct precision cross section measurements in the critical 1 GeV range. A novel approach based on the event categorization in terms of exclusive topologies can be used to analyze data and provide precise cross section measurements. Due to its location near the neutrino source $(20-30\times$ the flux at MicroBooNE) and relatively large mass $(0.65\times$ the MicroBooNE mass), LAr1-ND will make measurements of neutrino interactions with high statistics, as shown in Table [4.](#page-25-0) In the table, we show the expected rate of events in their main experimental topologies. Included for reference, we also show the classification by physical process from Monte Carlo truth information. An exposure of one year $(2.2 \times 10^{20}$ POT) with LAr1-ND will provide an event sample 5-6× larger than will be available in MicroBooNE alone.

Process		No. Events
ν_μ	Events (By Final State Topology)	
CC Inclusive		449,959
CC 0 π	$\nu_\mu N \to \mu + Np$	307,441
	$\cdot \nu_\mu N \rightarrow \mu + 0p$	73,863
	$\cdot \nu_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu + 1p$	173,830
	$\cdot \nu_\mu N \rightarrow \mu + 2p$	29,894
	$\cdot \nu_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu + \geq 3p$	29,854
CC 1 π^{\pm}	$\nu_\mu N \to \mu + \text{nucleons} + 1\pi^{\pm}$	99,446
$CC \geq 2\pi^{\pm}$	$\nu_{\mu}N \rightarrow \mu + \text{nucleons} + \geq 2\pi^{\pm}$	8,433
$CC \geq 1\pi^0$	$\nu_{\mu} N \rightarrow$ nucleons $+ \geq 1\pi^0$	43,048
NC Inclusive		171,869
NC 0 π	$\nu_\mu N \rightarrow$ nucleons	118,787
NC 1 π^{\pm}	$\nu_{\mu} N \rightarrow$ nucleons + $1\pi^{\pm}$	22,407
NC $\geq 2\pi^{\pm}$	$\nu_{\mu}N \rightarrow \text{nucleons} + \geq 2\pi^{\pm}$	2,788
NC $\geq 1\pi^0$	$\nu_{\mu}N \rightarrow$ nucleons $+ \geq 1\pi^0$	30,910
	ν_e Events	
CC Inclusive		3,465
NC Inclusive		1,195
Total ν_{μ} and ν_e Events		626,488
	ν_{μ} Events (By Physical Process)	
CC QE	$\nu_\mu n \to \mu^- p$	270,623
CC RES	$\nu_{\mu} N \to \mu^- N$	124,417
CC DIS	$\nu_{\mu} N \to \mu^- X$	46,563
CC Coherent	$\nu_{\mu}Ar \rightarrow \mu Ar + \pi$	1,664

Table 4: Estimated event rates using GENIE in a 2.2×10^{20} POT exposure of LAr1-ND. In enumerating proton multiplicity, we assume an energy threshold on protons of 21 MeV. The 0π topologies include any number of neutrons in the event.

4 LAr1-ND Detector Concept

The LAr1-ND detector, in addition to bringing the physics program outlined above, will serve as a valuable R&D project for the continued development of the LArTPC technology toward LBNE. All major systems of the detector can provide important opportunities to test new designs, construction techniques, and physics performance. The basic concept for LAr1-ND is a membrane-style cryostat to be constructed in the experimental enclosure previously constructed for the SciBooNE experiment. Located on-axis at 100 m from the Booster Neutrino Beam target, this existing structure provides a perfect location for a BNB near detector. The SciBooNE enclosure, shown in Fig. [13,](#page-27-0) has the following interior dimensions:

- Length (beam direction) $= 4.9$ m
- Width $= 7.0 \text{ m}$
- Depth: floor-grade $= 8.5$ m, floor-ceiling $= 11.6$ m.

Figures [15](#page-29-0) and [17](#page-30-0) present a schematic design for the LAr1-ND detector. A corrugated steel membrane cryostat is built up against three of the outer concrete walls of the enclosure. Downstream of the TPC, a 1.0 m space is left for the installation of a muon detector. Figure [14](#page-28-0) shows a photo of the 35 ton membrane cryostat prototype that has been constructed at Fermilab. This successful test has verified this technology for use in detectors of this scale and is the intended technology for use in LBNE.

The details of the muon detector are still under development, but the SciBooNE experiment included a downstream muon range detector (MRD) constructed of 2 inch thick steel plates interleaved with segmented scintillator planes. The total thickness of the SciBooNE MRD was 69 cm. The detector used in LAr1-ND will have the additional requirement of being structurally capable of supporting the hydrostatic pressure of the downstream wall of the membrane cryostat. In the conceptual layout shown in Fig. [15](#page-29-0) a 1 m \times 1 m well is allocated next to the muon detector to house an argon pump needed near the bottom of the cryostat floor and to allow it to be serviced. The cryostat is raised by 1m using shielding blocks to allow the pump to be installed under the cryostat as shown in Fig. [17.](#page-30-0) The total active volume of the configuration shown is 2.3 m \times (2.7 $*$ 2) m \times 4.5 m \sim 55 m³, or \sim 77 tons of liquid argon.

The conceptual design for the TPC and light collection systems follow the LBNE design of wrapped Anode Plane Assemblies (APAs) with embedded photon detectors (PDs). The APAs can be smaller versions of the LBNE APA design (2.3 m vs. 2.5 m in width, 4.5 m vs. 7 m in height). Each PD assembly is comprised of roughly 1 m long, 10 cm wide plastic bars with SiPMs at one end. The number of PD units required in each APA will be determined

Figure 13: (Left) The empty SciBooNE detector hall located at 100 m along the Booster Neutrino Beam. (Right) Side view of the SciBooNE enclosure. The neutrino beam enters from the right.

by their light collection efficiency. Shown in the schematics are 18 PD assembles. With the exception of the frame, all parts of the LAr1-ND APAs could be identical to that of the LBNE design.

Depending on the wire pitch used, the number of channels would be in the range 7,000- 10,000. The large number of readout channels required to instrument the LAr1-ND TPC motivates the use of CMOS ASICs for the electronics. Both analog FE ASIC and ADC ASIC, to a large extent, have already been developed for LBNE, and analog FE ASIC is being used in MicroBooNE. The entire front end electronics chain would be immersed in the LAr and operate at 89 K to achieve the optimum signal to noise ratio.

The basic conceptual design briefly outlined here demonstrates that a detector capable of fitting in the existing enclosure along the BNB would be capable of achieving valuable physics goals while implementing key techniques planned for the LBNE far detectors.

5 Phase II: Three LArTPC Detector Configuration

LAr1-ND presents a compelling physics program and an excellent opportunity to continue the development of LArTPC technology in a running neutrino experiment. The near detector can be constructed quickly and at modest cost, and, in combination with MicroBooNE, will be able to make important statements regarding existing anomalies seen in neutrinos and record more than 600k neutrino interactions per year for studying neutrino-argon interactions.

LAr1-ND can also be thought of as the next phase in the development of a world-class program of short-baseline accelerator-based neutrino physics at Fermilab. The addition of a large (kton-scale) detector at a longer baseline (∼700 m) would address oscillations in antineutrinos and make precision measurements of sterile neutrino oscillations if they are discovered. This three detector configuration, depicted in Fig. [2,](#page-5-0) is extremely powerful for addressing this physics with LAr1-ND measuring the unoscillated neutrino fluxes to constrain systematic errors, a large-scale far detector measuring an oscillation signal with excellent significance and high statistics, and a signal in MicroBooNE in the middle to confirm the interpretation as new physics.

Figure 15: Top view schematic drawing of the LAr1-ND detector concept. A membrane cryostat construction is built to fill the existing enclosure. 0.5 m of foam insulation surrounds the corrugated steel membrane. The neutrino beam enters from the bottom in this graphic.

Figure 16: This rendering shows a partially assembled APA for the LAr1-ND TPC with 18 photon detector modules. The APA is a smaller version of the LBNE APA design: $2.3m(W) \times 4.5m(H)$.

Figure 17: Side view schematic drawing of the LAr1-ND detector concept. A membrane cryostat construction is built to fill the existing enclosure. 0.5 m of foam insulation surrounds the corrugated steel membrane. The cryostat is raised by 1m using shielding blocks to allow the LAr pump to be installed under the cryostat. The TPC is comprised of anode plane assemblies with embedded photon detectors.

The LAr1 program will definitively address the short baseline anomalies observed by MiniBooNE in both neutrino and antineutrino mode. Detailed studies combined with complete conceptual design of the detector are underway and will be described in a full proposal to be submitted later this year. As an example of the reach of the detector, Fig. 18 provides an example of the strength of the full LAr1 program. Shown is the sensitivity to ν_{μ} disappearance through charged-current interactions using LAr1-ND, MicroBooNE and a 1 kton fiducial volume detector at 700 m. This is the shape-only sensitivity to be compared to the lower left plot in Fig. [12.](#page-22-0) This configuration enables 5σ sensitivity down to a few percent ν_{μ} disappearance in the $\Delta m^2 = 1$ eV² region.

Figure 18: Sensitivity to ν_{μ} disappearance with the full LAr1 experiment, a program of three LArTPC detectors on the Booster Neutrino Beamline at Fermilab (left). ν_{μ} disappearance probability at $E_{\nu} = 700$ MeV as a function of distance in a sterile neutrino model with $\Delta m^2 = 1$ eV² and $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\mu} = 0.1$ (right). The vertical colored lines indicate the proposed locations of LAr1-ND, MicroBooNE and LAr1-FD.

The right panel in Fig. 18 depicts the evolution of the oscillation probability with distance for oscillations in a 3+1 model occurring at $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 1$ eV². The probability is shown for the peak neutrino energy, 700 MeV. The vertical lines indicate the locations of the detectors and illustrate the ability to measure the changing oscillation with three detectors. This will provide a powerful method for the interpretation of any observed signals within an oscillation model. Two additional things to note. First, for Δm^2 less than a few eV², the location of LAr1-ND at 100 m is ideal for sampling the neutrino flux before the onset of any significant oscillation. This, and the factor 15 higher event rate compared to MicroBooNE, makes LAr1-ND a critical aspect of the strength of the overall program. Second, significant sensitivity is regained for large values of Δm^2 ($\geq 10 \text{ eV}^2$) in this shape-only analysis relative to the 2-detector configuration. Sensitivities for electron neutrino appearance in neutrino and antineutrino mode will be presented in the full proposal.

6 Conclusions

The hints for new physics at short baselines are being explored by new experiments in the planning stages and near running worldwide. Whether or not we find new physics, the anomalies must be resolved definitively. Fermilab will have the first opportunity to address these with MicroBooNE. Beyond this, the LAr1 program will confirm or rule out the anomalies in both neutrino and anti-neutrino mode to 5 sigma. LAr1-ND, the first phase in this full program beyond MicroBooNE, will both interpret and characterize a MicroBooNE signal, be it electrons or photons, and serve as the first step for measurements in anti-neutrino mode as a near detector for the LAr1 far detector.

This white paper describes LAr1-ND and the compelling physics it brings first in Phase 1 and next towards the full LAr1 program. In addition, LAr1-ND serves as a key step in the development toward large-scale LArTPC detectors. Its development goals will encompass testing existing and possibly innovative designs for LBNE while at the same time providing a training ground for teams working towards LBNE combining timely neutrino physics with experience in detector development.

This white paper is being developed into a proposal to be submitted to the Fermilab Director in time for the fall 2013 Fermilab PAC.

References

- [1] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 [\(1998\) 1562-1567;](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v81/i8/p1562_1) SNO Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v89/i1/e011301) 89 (2002) 011301; KamLAND Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v94/i8/e081801) 94 [\(2005\) 081801;](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v94/i8/e081801) MINOS Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v101/i13/e131802) 101 (2008) 131802.
- [2] Double Chooz Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D86 [\(2012\) 052008;](http://prd.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v86/i5/e052008) RENO Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v108/i19/e191802) 108 (2012) 191802; Daya Bay Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v108/i17/e171803) 108 [\(2012\) 171803;](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v108/i17/e171803) T2K Collaboration, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v107/i4/e041801) 107 (2011) 041801; MINOS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D82 [\(2011\) 051102.](http://prd.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v82/i5/e051102)
- [3] LBNE Collaboration, "Scientific Opportunities with the Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment", [hep-ex/1307.7335,](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.7335) (2013).
- [4] S. Davidson, E. Nardi, Y. Nir, "Leptogenesis", Physics Reports, [Volume 466, Issues 4-5,](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157308001889) [105-177](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157308001889) (2008).
- [5] K. N. Abazajian et al., "Light Sterile Neutrinos: A White Paper", [hep-ph/1204.5379](http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.5379) (2012).
- [6] LAr1 Letter of Intent. [http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/program_planning/](http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/program_planning/June2012Public/P-1030_LAR1_LoI_wAuthors.pdf) [June2012Public/P-1030_LAR1_LoI_wAuthors.pdf](http://www.fnal.gov/directorate/program_planning/June2012Public/P-1030_LAR1_LoI_wAuthors.pdf)
- [7] R. Guenette for the LAr1 Collaboration, "LAr1: Addressing the Short-Baseline Anomalies". <http://if-neutrino.fnal.gov/whitepapers/lar1.pdf>.
- [8] C. Rubbia, "The Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber: A New Concept for Neutrino Detectors", CERN-EP/77-08 (1977).
- [9] MiniBooNE Collaboration, A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "A Search for Electron Neutrino Appearance at the $\Delta m^2 \sim 1 \text{ eV}^2$ Scale," [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v98/i23/e231801) 98 (2007) 231801.
- [10] MiniBooNE Collaboration, A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "Unexplained Excess of Electron-Like Events From a 1 GeV Neutrino Beam." [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v102/i10/e101802) 102 (2009) [101802.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v102/i10/e101802)
- [11] MiniBooNE Collaboration, A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "A Search for Electron Anti-Neutrino Appearance at the $\Delta m^2 \sim 1eV^2$ Scale", [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 \(2009\) 111801.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v103/i11/e111801)
- [12] MiniBooNE Collaboration, A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "Improved Search for $\bar{\nu}_{\mu} \to \bar{\nu}_{e}$ Oscillations in the MiniBooNE Experiment", [Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 \(2013\) 161801.](http://prl.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v110/i16/e161801)
- [13] J. Kopp et al., "Sterile Neutrino Oscillations: The Global Picture". [hep-ph/1303.3011](http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3011) (2013).
- [14] LSND Collaboration, A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "Evidence for neutrino oscillations from the observation of anti-neutrino(electron) appearance in an anti-neutrino(muon) beam", Phys. Rev. D64 [\(2001\) 112007.](http://prd.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v64/i11/e112007)
- [15] T. A. Mueller et al., "Improved Predictions of Reactor Antineutrino Spectra", [Phys.](http://prc.aps.org/abstract/PRC/v83/i5/e054615) Rev. C83 [\(2011\) 054615.](http://prc.aps.org/abstract/PRC/v83/i5/e054615)
- [16] P. Huber, "On the Determination of Antineutrino Spectra From Nuclear Reactors", Phys. Rev. C84 [\(2011\) 024617.](http://prc.aps.org/abstract/PRC/v84/i2/e024617)
- [17] G. Mention et al., "The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly", [Phys. Rev.](http://prd.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v83/i7/e073006) D83 (2011) [073006.](http://prd.aps.org/abstract/PRD/v83/i7/e073006)
- [18] GALLEX Collaboration, W. Hampel et al., "Final results of the Cr-51 neutrino source experiments in GALLEX," Phys. Lett. B 420, 114 (1998).
- [19] SAGE Collaboration, J. N. Abdurashitov et al., "Measurement of the response of the Russian-American gallium experiment to neutrinos from a Cr-51 source," Phys. Rev. C 59, 2246 (1999)
- [20] SciBooNE and MiniBooNE Collaborations, K.B.M Mahn et al., "Dual Baseline Search for Muon Neutrino Disappearance at $0.5 \text{eV}^2 < \Delta m^2 < 40 \text{eV}^{2v}$, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 032007, [hep-ex/1106.5685.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5685)
- [21] MiniBooNE Collaboration, A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., "The Neutrino Flux Prediction at MiniBooNE", Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 072002, arXiv:0806.1449 [hep-ex].
- [22] HARP Collaboration, M.G. Catanesi et al., "Measurement of the Production Cross Sec-tion of Positive Pions in the Collision of 8.9 GeV/c Protons on Beryllium", [Eur. Phys. J.](http://epjc.epj.org/articles/epjc/abs/2007/13/10052_2007_Article_382/10052_2007_Article_382.html) C52 [29-53 \(2007\).](http://epjc.epj.org/articles/epjc/abs/2007/13/10052_2007_Article_382/10052_2007_Article_382.html)
- [23] C.Andreopoulos et al., "The GENIE Neutrino Monte Carlo Generator", [Nucl. Instrum.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900209023043) Meth. A614 [\(2010\) 87-104.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900209023043)
- [24] S. Agostinelli et al., "Geant4 A Simulation Toolkit", [Nucl. Instrum. Meth.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900203013688) A506 [\(2003\) 250-303.](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900203013688)
- [25] LArSoft Wiki : <https://cdcvs.fnal.gov/redmine/projects/larsoftsvn/wiki>