

ProtoMD: A Prototyping Toolkit for Multiscale Molecular Dynamics

Endre Somogyi^{b,c,**}, Andrew Abi Mansour^{a,c,**}, Peter J. Ortoleva^{a,c,*}

^a*Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington*

^b*Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington*

^c*Center for Theoretical and Computational Nanoscience, Indiana University, Bloomington*

Abstract

ProtoMD is a toolkit that facilitates the development of algorithms for multiscale molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. It is designed for multiscale methods which capture the dynamic transfer of information across multiple spatial scales, such as the atomic to the mesoscopic scale, via coevolving microscopic and coarse-grained (CG) variables. *ProtoMD* can be also be used to calibrate parameters needed in traditional CG-MD methods. The toolkit integrates ‘GROMACS wrapper’ to initiate MD simulations, and ‘MDAnalysis’ to analyze and manipulate trajectory files. It facilitates experimentation with a spectrum of coarse-grained variables, prototyping rare events (such as chemical reactions), or simulating nanocharacterization experiments such as terahertz spectroscopy, AFM, nanopore, and time-of-flight mass spectroscopy. *ProtoMD* is written in python and is freely available under the GNU General Public License from github.com/CTCNano/proto_md.

Keywords: python, molecular dynamics, multiscale, coarse-graining

PROGRAM SUMMARY/NEW VERSION PROGRAM SUMMARY

Manuscript Title: ProtoMD: A Prototyping Toolkit for Multiscale Molecular Dynamics

Authors: Endre Somogyi, Andrew Abi Mansour, and Peter J. Ortoleva

Program Title: ProtoMD

Journal Reference:

Catalogue identifier:

*Corresponding author: ortoleva@indiana.edu

**Both authors contributed equally to this work

Licensing provisions: GPL v3 (or above)

Programming language: python 2.7.3

Computer: x86 / x86_64

Operating system: Linux

RAM: Depends on the size of the system being simulated and duration of the simulation (few MBs to TBs)

Number of processors used: 12 - 128

Supplementary material:

Keywords: python, molecular dynamics, multiscale, coarse-graining

Classification:

Computational Methods

External routines/libraries:

GROMACS, MDAnalysis, GromacsWrapper, numpy, scipy

Subprograms used:

Nature of problem:

Prototyping multiscale coarse-grained algorithms for molecular dynamics

Solution method:

Combining the open-source GROMACS molecular dynamics package and the python-based MDAnalysis library for running, debugging, and analyzing multiscale simulations

Restrictions:

The system under study must be characterized by a clear separation of timescales; otherwise, the multiscale algorithm fails to capture the slowly-varying modes.

Unusual features:

Additional comments:

Running time:

Depending on the problem size, simulations can take few hours to months.

1. Introduction

Supramolecular assemblies of contemporary interest include viruses, vaccine nanoparticles, nanocapsules for drug delivery, nanomaterials, and light-harvesting fibers. These systems have been studied via all-atom [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

and coarse-grained (CG) [6, 7, 8, 9] molecular dynamics (MD). MD software uses physical concepts and software engineering to make simulations accurate and efficient via modern hardware (e.g., GPUs and multicore platforms). However, simulating supramillion-atom systems over long time periods still presents a challenge for MD. As a result, many multiscale coarse-grained MD methods have been proposed in the past. These methods can be generally divided into two classes. The first is based on coevolving both the atomistic and CG states simultaneously [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]; in these methods, the atomic resolution is never lost. The second class coarse-grains the atomistic structure and evolves the CG variables based on dynamical equations that depend on parameters to be determined [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. These parameters are usually calibrated from experimental or MD simulation data.

In this work, we present *ProtoMD*, a multiscale toolkit for prototyping both classes of multiscale MD algorithms. *ProtoMD* can be used as a program to run multiscale simulations or as a library to debug a multiscale algorithm or perform coarse-grained analysis. *ProtoMD* uses GROMACS [21] to perform energy minimization and run MD simulations. This is needed for completing the atomistic phase in coevolution methods and for calibrating system-specific parameters for CG-MD methods.

An overview of the theoretical methods on which *ProtoMD* is based is presented in sec. (2), a discussion on the implementation of these methods and the design of *ProtoMD* are provided in sec. (3), and a general user guide is covered in sec. (4).

2. Theoretical framework

While *ProtoMD* is a general-purpose toolkit that can be used to prototype CG-MD methods (if calibration is based on data collected from MD simula-

tions), here we emphasize the implementation of multiscale coevolution algorithms using the space-warping method [10, 22] as a coarse-graining technique.

Conventional MD is designed to solve Newton’s equations for a set of N atoms interacting via conventional or custom force fields. Coevolution methods take advantage of extensive investment made in the computational and software engineering of conventional MD codes. This is achieved by integrating MD into one complete multiscale algorithm that evolves an atomistic system via MD, followed by coarse-grained dynamics. Coevolution methods have many of the same goals as conventional MD, primarily to simulate the evolving state of the N atoms with atomic precision. However, these methods are able to achieve this with greater efficiency in two distinct ways. The first follows the evolution of an N atom system along a time course that starts with user specified initial data. The second is the analogue of ensemble MD where hundreds of MD simulations are followed to provide an assessment of the statistical significance of the simulation. These two types of simulations (single and ensemble) are achieved via two distinct algorithms implemented as two branches of the code.

Many-atom systems display cooperative behaviors ranging from mass and charge density oscillations to structural transitions between crystal phases in a polymorphic system. One challenge in many-particle physics is to develop a quantitative understanding about how such behaviors emerge from the Newtonian dynamics of many particles influencing each other via an interatomic force field such as CHARMM [23] or AMBER [24]. The standard statistical mechanical framework used to address such a challenge is the Liouville equation (LE) for the dynamics of the N -particle density, $\rho(\Gamma, t)$, for the $6N$ particle positions and momenta, Γ . The pathway to achieving this quantitative understanding considered here is to unfold the dependencies of ρ on the microstate, i.e., on both Γ and a set of coarse-grained variables, Φ . Since Φ is constructed from Γ ,

then Φ obeys the equation of motion $\dot{\Phi} = \Pi$, where Π can also be expressed in terms of Γ through Newton’s equations. With this, and the usual arguments of probability conservation used to derive the LE, one finds that $\rho(\Gamma, \Phi, t)$ satisfies the LE. Thus, an extended description of the system state is introduced such that it includes the microstate (described by Γ), and the mesostate (described by Φ). At first, this seems like a backward step in the quest for dimensionality reduction. However, this expanded representation facilitates theoretical developments and an associated conceptual picture, which ultimately imply efficient and accurate computational algorithms. This extended description introduces approximations that enter only via well-founded multiscale perturbation [25, 26, 11, 13] and Trotter factorization [14, 27] methods. Besides the computational reduction, there are several advantages to our approach. Key features of the $6N$ dimensional representation are provided, and codevelopment of a multiscale perturbation technique with the Trotter scheme yields insights into the physical meaning of the latter. Both methods enable the use of well-established interatomic force fields directly, avoiding the need to conjecture the form of CG governing equations.

2.0.1. Multiscale factorization

This technique is employed for the generation of a single N -atom trajectory while an N -atom system simultaneously evolves on multiple scales. This introduces a computational challenge for a time stepping algorithm, i.e. capturing the slow modes as well as rapidly fluctuating ones restricts the timestep. The latter determine the time stepping of conventional MD integrators. However, the characteristic time of the collective modes can be orders of magnitude larger than that of atomic fluctuations. Since the collective behaviors are a result of many individual atomic motions, the collective and fast-atom modes are coupled, leading to the slowness of conventional MD. This is overcome in

multiscale factorization. Rather than attempting to untangle the collective and fast particle modes directly, a Trotter factorization strategy is used [28]. In this approach, the untangling of the collective and fast-atom modes is achieved via an alternating stepping evolution procedure [14, 27]. In each step, the collective and fast-particle modes are updated: the former via the collective integration of the momenta constructed from the MD phase, and the latter by conventional MD. This is justified via the stationarity hypothesis, which states that the time evolution of the momenta conjugate to the collective variables can be represented by a stationary process which expresses a representative ensemble of values over a period of time short relative to the characteristic CG timescale [14]. Then if the characteristic timescale of the collective behavior is Δ , and the MD duration needed to generate the representative ensemble of conjugate momenta states is δ , the theoretical efficiency over MD is expected to be Δ/δ .

2.0.2. Multiscale perturbation

This method generates an ensemble of N -atom trajectories and is therefore useful as an accelerated trajectory sampling technique, i.e. ensemble MD. Starting from the Liouville equation, Langevin type equations for a variety of CG variables are derived [25, 29, 26]. The multiscale approach also provides prescriptions for constructing all factors (such as diffusion coefficients and thermal-average forces) in these CG governing equations, criteria for completeness of the set of CG variables, and algorithms for efficient simulation of N -atom systems based on coevolution of CG variables and an ensemble of all-atom configurations evolving with them [11, 13, 30, 31]. The theoretical speedup over ensemble MD in this case is also Δ/δ , with δ being the length of the short MD runs constituting the microscopic ensemble needed to advance the mesoscopic state in time over a period of Δ .

2.1. Coarse graining and subsystems

Interatomic forces in many-atom systems induce collective (i.e., coherent) behaviors which characterize the long space-time dynamics. Identifying these collective variables is a first step in a multiscale simulation. Mesoscopic systems also manifest single atomic modes (such as collisions or vibrations) that are needed for a complete understanding of the system behavior. While a variety of CG variables have been introduced in the context of many-atom simulations [32, 33], here the focus is on slowly varying ones [10, 29, 22] since they provide an appropriate starting point for multiscale analysis. The general notion is to consider a set of CG variables ϕ , related to the N atom positions r , via a dimensionality reduction map. Complex systems such as viruses and other macromolecular assemblies can often be separated into a collection of subsystems. Therefore, it is convenient to apply this dimensionality reduction locally to each subsystem of the entire structure. In the subsystem decomposition approach, subsystem l has its own set of CG variables, denoted ϕ_l . For example, a virus capsid can often be decomposed into energy-defined capsomers, notably pentamers or hexamers of a protein (Fig. (1)).

Specifically, let the center of mass of subsystem l be denoted R_l and the subsystem in which atom i resides be $l(i)$. Let r_i be the position of atom i and s_i its position relative to $R_{l(i)}$, i.e.,

$$r_i = R_{l(i)} + s_i. \tag{1}$$

Using this notation, one can introduce CG variables describing the position, orientation, and deformation of each subsystem. For example, using the space warping method [10, 22], basis functions U_{kli} are introduced for atom i in subsystem l , where k is the order of the polynomial spatial function used in defining the CG variables. For example, if Legendre polynomials are used in constructing




Figure 1: The capsid-like structure of L1 Human Papillomavirus (HPV) proteins (left) is divided into twelve pentameric subsystems (right), each of which has its own set of CG variables when subsystem decomposition is used in *ProtoMD*.

U_{kli} , then each subsystem is embedded in a cube that contains the subsystem and within which Legendre polynomials are used to define the CG variables in terms of a reference atomic-configuration [30]. Let Φ_{kl} be the k^{th} CG variable for subsystem l , then the CG to all-atom map is taken to be a Fourier-like expansion

$$s_i = \sum_k \Phi_{kl} U_{kli} + \sigma_i. \quad (2)$$

The k -sum on the RHS describes the more coherent (i.e. collective) dynamics of the system while the residual σ_i accounts for the small scale, stochastic motion of atom i over-and-above the coherent motion. The basis functions U_{kli} are taken to be orthogonal polynomials. The specific relation between Φ_{kl} and the atomic positions is determined by maximizing the information in Φ_{kl} . This is accomplished by minimizing the mass-weighted sum of square residuals [29], restricted to atoms in the given subsystem l .

As system complexity (e.g., the number of subsystems and their internal structure) increases, one may increase the number of CG variables, e.g., the range of the sum in Eq. (2). As the number of CG variables increases, smaller

scale features are captured, but the characteristic timescale decreases. This restricts the timestep used in advancing the CG state. Too coarse a description might miss a given pathway of, e.g., self-assembly or disassembly. Thus, there is a trade-off between completeness and numerical efficiency. However, this strategy has been shown to be successful in arriving at an accurate and efficient algorithm for simulating bionanosystems [30, 22, 34] since the CG variables guide the overall dynamics, while much of the atomic-scale structure is captured by the fine-scale aspect of the coevolution.

The implementation of both multiscale algorithms and the subsystem decomposition outlined here is discussed in the next section that covers the technical aspects of the design of *ProtoMD*.

3. Design and implementation

3.1. Strategy

One of the key goals in the design of *ProtoMD* is to allow users to readily experiment and develop their own CG variable definitions and dynamical equations. The overall structure of *ProtoMD* is based on an object-oriented approach, with strict enforcement that all functions are guaranteed to be side effect free. Side effect producing functions can modify global variables or input parameters without the user being aware of it. Such side effects are eliminated with the approach adopted here, which proved to be practical when dealing with large sets of numerical data (atomic coordinates, velocities, etc., for supramillion atom systems). All state management is isolated in the top level *System* class. The *System* object contains a number of other objects. However, once these objects are initialized, they are immutable. For example, the integrators do not have any internal mutable state, i.e., they simply take an existing atomic state (from the `System.current_timestep` context) and calculate the next state.

3.2. Functions and classes

A system is a set of atoms that can be delineated in a number of ways. We specify subsystem delineation with an MDAnalysis selection string. MDAnalysis provides a full atomic selection language comparable to VMD [35] or CHARMM [1]. Subsystem CG variables are handled with the *proto-md.subsystems.SubSystem* class. A *SubSystem* references a specific set of atoms, calculates its associated CG variables, or conversely it can take new CG variables and construct the micro state, or an ensemble thereof, consistent with the new CG state. SubSystems are notified by the System of various events such as when the energy of the atomic state is minimized, the system is equilibrated, or connectivity has changed. The atoms contained in a *SubSystem* are stored in an MDAnalysis AtomGroup object, i.e. each *SubSystem* contains an *AtomGroup*.

SubSystems are created by a factory function. The factory creates objects without specifying the exact class of object that will be created. The essence of this pattern is to define an interface for creating an object, and let the classes that implement the interface decide which object to instantiate. Therefore, the factory method lets a class defer instantiation to subclasses.

A *SubSystem* factory function must have a signature of *def SubsystemFactory(system, selects, *args)*, where *system* is a reference to the System object, *selects* is a list of MDAnalysis *Atomselect* statements, and *args* is a list of arbitrary user specified data. The full textual name of the subsystem factory function is stored in the database, e.g. *proto-md.subsystems.RigidSubsystemFactory*. The System object's initializer dynamically instantiates an instance of the named factory function and calls it to create a list of *SubSystems*. This approach to object creation maximizes the flexibility and the ease with which one can extend *ProtoMD*. All one needs to do is provide the textual name of a factory function. This named function does not need to be part of *ProtoMD*. The named factory

function simply needs to be reference-able in the python path. So this approach allows one to extend *ProtoMD* without having to change any *ProtoMD* source code. This could prove to be very useful in a situation where one is developing some new type of CG variable and one does not have administrator privileges, and *ProtoMD* is installed in a system path. Users can develop a new *SubSystem* object, store it in their home directory, and simply specify the name of their subsystem factory in the *ProtoMD* database; *ProtoMD* will automatically use their new *SubSystem* object.

A *SubSystem* derived object must implement the *SubSystem* interface. Because the implementation is in python, it is technically not a requirement for subsystems to be derived from the *SubSystem* class; they simply must define and implement all the methods defined by the *SubSystem* class. The *proto_md.subsystems.SubSystem* class only defines the interface that subsystem classes must implement.

- *def universe_changed(self, universe)*: changes the universe. This occurs when the number of atoms has changed (on startup, in the event of solvation or chemical reactions). So, `__init__` will be called when a new *SubSystem* derived object is created, then after the universe is created, it will call `universe_changed`.
- *def frame(self)*: notifies the subsystem that a new frame is ready. It should return a tuple (position, velocity, force) of CG variables for the current frame. If the CG positions are stored in a multi-dimensional tensor (e.g., $3 \times 3 \times 3$), then they need to be unfolded and returned as a 1×27 row vector, and similarly for the CG velocity and force variables.
- *def translate(self, values)*: translates all atomic positions given a coarse grained variable. For example, if the CG variable is the center of mass of a macromolecule, then `translate` would be given a 1×3 vector which

represents how much to shift the center of mass. Next, the subsystem would simply add this value to all atomic positions. The *values* argument is the difference in CG variable space between the current configuration and a deformed configuration. This is given as an unfolded $1 \times n$ column vector, and if the CG variables are tensorial, then *values* needs to be folded.

- *def minimized(self)*: is called after the atomic system is minimized; no return value is required. This method is provided if the CG variables must be modified in the event of minimization.
- *def equilibrated(self)*: is called after the atomic system is relaxed (thermalized in isothermal simulations); no return value is required.
- *def md(self)*: is called after the MD trajectories are processed; no return value is required.

ProtoMD currently provides two types of SubSystems. The first uses the center of mass as the CG variable and is denoted *proto-md.subsystems.RigidSubsystem*. This subsystem serves as a demonstration, because it is probably not useful beyond spherically symmetric systems. A more sophisticated and useful subsystem is *proto-md.subsystems.SpaceWarpingSubSystem*, which constructs a set of CG variables based on an orthogonal polynomial basis set [10, 22]. In principle, any set of orthogonal polynomials may be used, but currently only Legendre polynomials are implemented.

3.3. Coevolution integration

The *Integrator* class performs the time evolution of the system with the application of the classical propagator $\Gamma(t_{n+1}) = e^{i\mathcal{L}t}\Gamma(t_n)$. The *Integrator* currently has two concrete implementations actualizing the physical ideas presented in sec. (2): the *LangevinIntegrator* implements the concepts presented

in sec. (2.0.1) while the FactorizationIntegrator implements those presented in sec. (2.0.2).

3.4. Key objects and packages

ProtoMD consists of a number of objects, the key constituents are discussed here, and are displayed in Fig. (2).

The principle object is conveniently called the *System*. This object manages the state of the simulation and is roughly analogous to OpenGL context (Fig. (3)). All state variables are contained entirely in the System. Additionally, all other objects are contained within the System.

system.System `system.System` is the top level class, it is a container class for all the other classes. It is also the single place where *ProtoMD* handles data persistence. The System class can be used either for loading and simulation, or loading and analysis. The System constructor takes the path to a database file, and a flag indicating the mode to open the file, defaults to “r” - read only. For running a simulation, it should be “a”. This flag is relevant in that a simulation can be running in one process (in read / write mode), and another process can safely open the database in read only mode and not interfere with a running simulation. This is useful for checking the state of a running simulation.

All simulation data is stored in a single database file, and the System class provides a programmatic interface. All completed timesteps are accessible via the `timesteps` property.

3.4.1. State management and data persistence

The present state of a running simulation is contained wholly within the `System.current_timestep` and `System.universe` objects.

Molecular simulation programs have typically stored their data in a variety of proprietary, non-standardized, and incompatible file formats. For example,




Figure 2: A UML diagram of the key objects and their key attributes in *ProtoMD*.

GROMACS [2] uses 31 different file formats, some of which are deprecated or used only as input. Even though most MD file formats are documented, accessing them entails developing a parser for each format. Rather than invent yet another format, *ProtoMD* used the standardized and widely supported hierarchical data format version 5 (HDF). HDF is a self-describing file format designed for the general exchange of numerical data.

All information relevant to a *ProtoMD* simulation is stored in a single HDF5 database. This approach allows preparation of a database on a completely different computer than the one on which the simulation is intended to be run. All molecular dynamics topologies, force field parameters, simulation parameters, coordinates, etc., are stored in the HDF5 database. One could even start a simulation on one compute cluster, run for a while, and restart the simulation on a different cluster by simply copying a single file.

Considering the environment in which MD simulations are run, a compute cluster is sometimes unreliable. Compute nodes and data storage frequently crash or are rebooted. Programs are often terminated by the job scheduler. Flaws or faults in external programs become evident, and countless other environmental conditions can cause termination of executing programs. Molecular dynamics simulations produce a considerable amount of data. *ProtoMD* also produces and uses a good deal of disparate data, ranging from simulation parameters, archived molecular dynamics input files, and atomic trajectory time series. Therefore, an efficient, fault-tolerant data storage system which readily allows restarts is a key, if not the core, requirement.

For on disk file storage, the HDF5 file format was chosen. HDF stands for “hierarchical data format.” HDF5 is an open standard file format for the high performance storage and retrieval of an unlimited variety of datatypes. HDF5 provides a library that allows access in a variety of languages. In this sense, it can be thought of as similar to XML. However, XML is plain text (though it can be compressed), it is nonetheless inefficient for storage of large amounts of numerical data. HDF5 can be accessed natively in a variety of different mathematical and analysis packages such as Mathematica, MATLAB, and R.

A data-persistence model was chosen that is similar to that of most graphics libraries such as Cairo, OpenGL, Cocoa, or Windows. All of these libraries function essentially the same way. One creates or obtains a graphics context for an appropriate output device, be it the screen, a printer, or an image buffer. The graphics context is a data structure which maintains information about the current drawing state. Then there are drawing functions, such as drawRect, drawPoly, and so forth, which add graphics primitives to the context. In the case of OpenGL, one adds a sequence of 3D information to the context. The key point here is that all of these drawing operations operate on the data structure,

```

void display() {
    glBegin(GL_LINES);
    glVertex3f(1.0, 1.0, 0.0);
    glVertex3f(-1.0, -1.0, 0.0);
    glEnd();
    glFlush();
}

```

Figure 3: A sample OpenGL code that displays a line on the screen. Objects in *ProtoMD* manage the state of the simulation in a similar way to that employed in OpenGL.

and not the output device directly. Once all of the drawing operations are complete, then the graphics context is passed to the output device in a single atomic operation. In contrast, if one were to output each drawing operation immediately **to** the output device, it would be very cost prohibitive as that output device must be locked, data written to it, and then the device must be unlocked again. It would be a similar case if every function that produced some relevant output information wrote that information directly to disk and flushed the IO buffers. Therefore, all operations that affect the state of a `TimeStep` write to the `TimeStep` data structure, which is a memory resident object. The `TimeStep` object is created by the `System.begin_timestep()`, accessed with the `System.current_timestep`, and atomically persisted to disk and deleted from memory via `System.end_timestep()`.

The `TimeStep` object behaves as a data structure, however, it is actually implemented as an HDF5 data set. The reason for this is that the data stored in a `TimeStep` object can potentially be huge. Therefore, the underlying HDF5 implementation determines how to best store this data, e.g., all data directly in memory, or some portions stored on disk.

3.5. Simulation

The command-line interface of *ProtoMD* can be accessed via `python -m proto_md`. This front end program is intended for initial database generation

and running the simulation. There is an extensive built in help system accessible via: `python -m proto_md -help`. Available options will likely change in the future, so it is best to consult the help system for the latest complete up-to-date information.

This subsection will describe how a simulation is performed from both implementation and user perspectives.

3.5.1. Initial database creation

The first step in setting up a simulation is the creation of a database. We have provided a command line program that front ends the `config.create_sim(...)` function. This function (program) reads a set of parameters such as simulation parameters, subsystem definition and atomic structure files. It can optionally generate a topology (using `pdb2gmx`), perform a series of validations, and finally package everything up into a *ProtoMD* database file, from which a *ProtoMD* simulation can be run.

3.5.2. Stand-alone programs and libraries

ProtoMD can be used either as a stand alone program, or as a library. There are many instances where it is more convenient to use *ProtoMD* as a program, e.g. submission of simulations to a queuing system, or quick and simple analysis tasks. The stand alone program interface to *ProtoMD* is made possible by python's module mechanism, i.e. when a package is installed with a `__main__.py` file.

3.5.3. Interfacing with molecular dynamics programs

ProtoMD requires external MD programs to perform a number of calculations, and it interfaces with external MD programs using a very transparent approach; *ProtoMD* handles all interaction with external programs through *context*

managers. All of the MD related functionality is contained in the `proto_md.md` package.

Programmatically, interfacing with external MD programs is frequently fraught with error primarily due to the large number of input files that these MD programs require to be present on the file system. Most MD packages do not provide an application program interface (API), and are intended to be user-invoked programs. This presents difficulty to calling programs, as they must determine the current state of the file system, copy and convert large portions of internal state into MD input files, call the MD program, parse the output, and finally clean up after the MD program is run. Significant sources of error arise when the MD program reads state from locations that the calling program is unaware of, for example, external configuration files, and force field parameter files. Furthermore, a more common source of error is the MD program reading previous input files that perhaps were not deleted properly. In effect, most of these errors arise from the fact that input state required by MD can be scattered throughout the file system. This can be analogous to a programming language with no variable scoping, i.e. all variables are global: in such situations, one loses the concept of functions, and all subroutine calls consist purely of side-effects. Yet another error occurs when concurrent copies of the calling program are run: if these programs have to use the file system to pass state to an MD program, they will overwrite each others' file system state.

To circumvent or sequester these errors, *ProtoMD* generates temporary, randomly named directories into which the requisite MD input state variables are serialized, the necessary files are copied, and various GROMACS programs are run via *GROMACS wrapper* [36]. The use of temporary randomly named directories to store MD state minimizes the possibility of using other files or input that the calling program is unaware of. These temporary directories only ex-

ist for the lifetime of the MD program call. In effect, we have created *stack frame*, the set of information required for, and existing only for the lifetime of procedure call.

The lifetime management of these temporary file system based variables is implemented using a Resource Acquisition Is Initialization (RAII) idiom [37]. This is a programming idiom, widely attributed to Bjarne Stroustrup, which states that a class with a constructor that acquires the resource (either by creating it, or obtaining it via a parameter) has a destructor that always releases the allocated resource. During the object lifetime, the resource may be accessed via instance variables, and is guaranteed to be freed when the object is either deleted or goes out of scope. RAII is a widely used pattern in C++: it is a safe way to deal with resources and also makes the code much cleaner as it eliminates the need to mix error handling code with functionality. This idiom was traditionally not used in non-deterministic, garbage collected languages like python. In C++, the object is destroyed when it either goes out of scope (if stack allocated), or deleted (if heap allocated). In python, however, there is no explicit control over when the object is destroyed. Therefore, if it contained a resource, that resource may potentially exist for the lifetime of the program.

This limitation was overcome with PEP343, where *context managers*, provide `__enter__()` and `__exit__()` methods that are explicitly invoked on entry to and exit from the body of the `with` statement. For instance, the `open` statement returns a context manager containing an open file handle, it is kept open as long as the execution is in the context of the `with` statement where it is used, and closed when execution leaves the block, irrespective of whether the exit was because of an exception or during regular control flow. The `with` statement can thus be used in ways similar to the RAII pattern in C++: some resource is acquired by the `with` statement and released when the `with` block is left.

ProtoMD wraps all external MD calculations into a set of functions which return a `proto_md.md.MDManager` object. This is a context manager which holds all of the file system objects required for, and returned by, MD programs in the file system directory. Calling functions can access any of the MD results through a dictionary interface. For example, the function `md.solvate(...)` accepts an `MDAnalysis.Universe` object, along with other parameters, creates a random temporary directory, write the contents of the universe object, performs a solvation using external GROMACS programs, and finally returns a context manager containing this directory with the solvated structure and topology files. Calling functions can access these files with the “struct” and “top” keys, i.e.

with `md.solvate(...)` as `sol`:

```
do_something(sol["struct"], sol["top"])
```

As soon as the `with` block is exited, the context manager deletes the temporary directory, and all its contents. Therefore, we have eliminated the chance that subsequent calls to external MD programs could pick up input files that were left in this directory. Furthermore, as each call to an MD function creates a unique and random directory, multiple copies of *ProtoMD* may be concurrently executed.

3.5.4. Solvation

The CG variables used in *ProtoMD* are functions of atomic coordinates of the macromolecule of interest (although in principle, one could define the solvent as a subsystem). In MD simulations, the solvent atoms typically account for at least 50% to 75% by particle count of the total system. After the end of each CG timestep, *ProtoMD* extracts the macromolecule, which is then resolvated using Gromacs, and counter-ions (by default NaCl) are added to the system. The reason resolvation is done is to avoid bad atom contacts between

the macromolecule and the solvent. In the case of simulations done in vacuum or using implicit solvent models, solvation is omitted.

4. User guide

The purpose of this section is to describe how users can launch and analyze multiscale simulations via the *ProtoMD* platform. *ProtoMD* can be used as an executable module, i.e. a program with command-line arguments. In this mode, a GROMACS compatible structure input file can be used to initiate a multiscale simulation. The user must also supply GROMACS-specific parameters for running MD simulations (used for the microscopic phase), and *ProtoMD*-specific parameters needed for the mesoscopic phase wherein the CG variables are advanced in time and new microstates are generated. The output is an hdf file that contains information including the trajectory at user-specified CG time steps. In the second mode, *ProtoMD* can be used as a library containing modules that can be assembled into programs; this mode facilitates debugging runs and analyzing results.

4.1. General concepts

ProtoMD is built on the concept that everything related to a simulation (including configuration parameters and output) is stored in a single database file. Most traditional MD programs rely on a large number of configuration and parameter files. Even though having a number of files in different locations makes it relatively easy for users to modify these files, it is more convenient to reproduce a single input file when rerunning a simulation at either a later date or on another computer.

Since *ProtoMD* is a wrapper around GROMACS, it requires GROMACS-specific files. When a simulation is first prepared, all these external GROMACS configuration files are collected and stored in the *ProtoMD* database file. These

files are automatically extracted and passed to GROMACS when the simulation is run. This ensures that results are always reproducible because there are no external dependencies. Furthermore, this approach facilitates moving or restarting simulations on different computers. Subsection 4.3 shows how to use the *ProtoMD* command line interface to prepare an initial database.

One can iterate through the output trajectory frames in a very similar manner to that used in MDAnalysis [38]. Details of how one can programmatically access the *ProtoMD* database including trajectory analysis is covered in section 4.4.

Nearly all *ProtoMD* objects and methods have descriptive docstrings. These strings form the contents of the interactive python help system, and it can be accessed by typing the name of the class or method followed by a “?” in the interactive python interpreter.

4.2. Selections

A key concept in *ProtoMD* is atomic selections. Atomic selections are a group or subset of atoms selected from the whole system based on some criteria. They are used throughout *ProtoMD*, particularly in defining subsystems (sec. 2.1). MDAnalysis [38] provides a selection language with a syntax similar to that of CHARMM [1] or VMD [35]. One can think of this atomic selection language as “SQL for atoms”. The atomic selection language provides a way to select atoms based on a variety of criteria such as boolean combinations of simple keywords, geometric or connectivity measures, index, name, residue ID, or segment names. Examples on selection statements using MDAnalysis are as follows:

```
# select all DMPC lipid atoms
universe.selectAtoms("segid DMPC")
# select all atoms within 5.0 Angstroms from (0,0,0)
```

```
universe.selectAtoms("point 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0")
# select all atoms belonging to residues 1 to 10
universe.selectAtoms("resid 1:10")
```

In *ProtoMD*, the user creates a list of selections to define the subsystems. These selections must not overlap, however, because each subsystem must constitute one or more segment(s). For example, it is natural to define the subsystems at the pentamer or hexamer level for a virus-like particle (Fig. (1)).

4.3. Preparing a system

ProtoMD can be run from the command line as follows: `python -m proto_md command [args]`, where `command` can be one of the following:

- `config`: creates a database from which a multiscale simulation can be run
- `top`: auto-generates a topology file
- `solvate`: attempts auto-solvation
- `run`: runs or resumes a simulation
- `runsol`: like `run`, but performs only the auto-solvation step
- `mn`: performs energy minimization
- `mneq`: performs energy minimization followed by equilibration
- `mneqmd`: performs energy minimization, equilibration, and molecular dynamics
- `eq`: performs equilibration
- `atomistic_step`: performs a full atomistic step (microphase)
- `step`: performs a single complete coarse-grained step (mesophase)

- md: performs only an MD step with the starting structure
- cg_step: performs only the coarse grained portion of the time step

4.3.1. Configuring the system

The first step in running a multiscale simulation is to create the initial database. This can be accomplished by using the following commands: `python -m proto.md config [args]` where `args` can be one of the following:

- `-o fid` FID name of the simulation file to be created.
- `-struct STRUCT` starting structure name.
- `-box BOX BOX BOX x,y,z` values of the system box in Angstroms. If box is not given, the system size is read from the CRYST line in the structure `pdb`.
- `-top TOP` name of the topology file. If this is not given, *ProtoMD* attempts to generate a topology file using `pdb2gmx`.
- `-posres POSRES` name of a position restraints file, optional.
- `-I INCLUDE_DIRS` name of the directories to search for topology file includes. There can be many additional include directories, just like `gcc`, but UNLIKE `GCC`, there must be a space between the `-I` and the dir, for example `-I /home/foo -I /home/foo/bar`.
- `-temperature TEMPERATURE` is the temperature (in Kelvin) at which to run the simulation. The default temperature is 300 K.
- `-subsystem_factory SUBSYSTEM_FACTORY` is a fully qualified function name which can create a list of subsystems. The function defaults to `proto.md.subsystems.SpaceWarpingSubsystemFactory` which uses the space

warping method for constructing the CG variables for each subsystem. Currently, only Legendre polynomials are supported.

- `-subsystem_selects SUBSYSTEM_SELECTS [SUBSYSTEM_SELECTS ...]` is a list of MDAnalysis select statements, one for each subsystem. For example, if the system is divided into 10 subsystems, `subsystem_selects` takes a list of length 10, with each entry in the list defining a given subsystem.
- `-anion anion name to use for ionization (if system is not neutral)`. The default anion is Cl^- .
- `-cation cation name to use for ionization (if system is not neutral)`. The default cation is Na^+ .
- `-concentration concentration (in mol/L) of ions to use for ionization`. The default is 0.15 M.
- `-subsystem_args SUBSYSTEM_ARGS [SUBSYSTEM_ARGS ...]` is a list of additional arguments passed to the subsystem factory, the second item of the list may be the string *resid unique*, which creates a separate subsystem for each residue. The most commonly used subsystem is *SpaceWarpingSubsystemFactory*. The args for this subsystem are [kmax, OPTIONAL(“resid unique”)]. kmax provides the upper bound on the sum of the three Legendre indices, and the last argument is the optional string “resid unique” to make a unique subsystem for each residue.
- `-integrator INTEGRATOR` is a fully qualified name of the integrator function, defaults to *proto_md.integrators.LangevinIntegrator*, and the other integrator provided is *proto_md.integrators.FactorizationIntegrator*.
- `-integrator_args INTEGRATOR_ARGS` are additional arguments passed to the integrator function.

- `-cg_steps CG_STEPS` is the number of coarse grained time steps taken over the duration of a multiscale simulation.
- `-dt DT` is the size of the coarse grained time step in picoseconds.
- `-mn_steps MN_STEPS` is the number of MD steps used in performing energy minimization.
- `-md_steps MD_STEPS` is the number of MD steps used for performing the MD run of the microphase
- `-multi MULTI` is the number of parallel MD runs used to construct microstate ensembles for the Langevin integrator.
- `-mn_args MN_ARGS` is a dictionary of GROMACS-specific (mdp) parameters used in minimization.
- `-md_args MD_ARGS` is a dictionary of GROMACS-specific (mdp) parameters used in MD.
- `-ndx NDX` is the name of GROMACS-specific index file.
- `-solvate` is a boolean flag. If it is set and the system is to be auto-solvated, the initial structure must not contain any solvent. This flag default to False. To enable solvation, add `'-solvate'` with no args.
- `-debug` enables debug mode (all simulation directories are not deleted).
- `-mainselection MAINSELECTION` is the name of `make_ndx` group which is used for the main selection. This should be a group that consists of the non solvent molecules. “Protein” usually works when simulating protein. However, another selection command is required when simulating lipids. To find which selection to make, either run *ProtoMD* config, and an error

will pop up informing the user of the available groups, or run `make_ndx` to get a list of possible selections.

4.3.2. Examples

Algorithms on which *ProtoMD* is based has been demonstrated for a number of systems [11, 14, 34, 27, 39]. The following example illustrates the use of the *ProtoMD* command line interface to generate a simulation database. In the following example, a database file named “1LFG-open.hdf” is created using the starting structure of Lactoferrin, “1LFG-open.gro”. *ProtoMD* generates a single-trajectory simulation (multi 1) for a series of 30 CG time steps, each of length 1 ps (dt 1). The order of Legendre polynomials to be used is less than or equal to 1 (subsystem_args 1), which implies a total of 4×3 CG variables are used to coarse-grain the protein. Furthermore, this example generates a single subsystem which is specified by the “not segid SOL” selection statement. This statement specifies that the subsystem should consist of all the atoms present in the system which are not solvent atoms (i.e., protein atoms). Note that here no topology file is specified; in this case, *ProtoMD* uses the ‘pdb2gmx” program to generate a topology file, which is stored in the hdf database.

4.4. Accessing the database and analyzing simulations

proto_md.System object is the main class in *ProtoMD* responsible for loading and accessing the underlying hdf5 database, and for orchestrating the various MD programs used to advance the simulation. The System object allows one to open the underlying hdf5 database and access all pertinent data as native python objects. One can create a System object in either read/write or read-only mode. One typically creates a System object in read-only mode to analyze and access simulation results, and only the actual running simulation creates the System object in read/write mode. There can be multiple instances of the

```

#!/bin/sh
python -m proto_md config \
    -o 1LFG-open.hdf \
    -struct 1LFG-open.gro \
    -temperature 300.0 \
    -subsystem_selects "not segid SOL" \
    -cg_steps 30 \
    -dt 1 \
    -mn_steps 5000 \
    -md_steps 500 \
    -multi 1 \
    -integrator \
    proto_md.integrators.FactorizationIntegrator \
    -subsystem_factory \
    proto_md.subsystems.SpaceWarpingSubsystemFactory \
    -subsystem_args 1

```

System object being open in the same database. For example, a simulation can be currently running (on another process or even another node in a compute cluster), and a user can create a System object to access the simulation. Provided the user creates the System object in read-only mode, it will not interfere with the currently running simulation.

The System object has a number of important properties and methods. However, from an analysis perspective the most important is the System.timesteps property. This is stored in the database and a series of hdf5 groups, but is presented to the user as a list of Timestep objects. The Timestep object provides access to all of the calculated variables in a CG step as Numpy arrays, and the Timestep object can even create an MDAnalysis Universe object from the CG timestep data.

The Timestep object provides the following properties:

- atomic_minimized_positions
- atomic_equilibrated_positions

- `atomic_starting_positions`
- `atomic_final_positions`
- `timestep_begin`
- `timestep_end`
- `cg_coords`
- `cg_velocities`
- `cg_forces`
- `cg_translate`

The Timestep object is also capable of writing itself to the hdf5 database. This is accomplished with the `Timestep.flush()` method. Writing to the database is normally only performed by a simulation, and will fail if the System was opened in read-only mode.

For analysis, the Timestep object provides a useful “`create_universe()`” method, which creates an MDAnalysis Universe from the timestep object. Once the Universe is created, it can be loaded with the atomic positions listed above. Syntactically, it would have been simpler if each timestep possessed a universe property; however, the implementation of such a system would have entailed either creating a new universe each time the universe property is called (computationally expensive), or create a caching system. It is relatively simple to first obtain a universe object then set the atomic properties during the course of iterating over the timesteps.

4.4.1. *Examples*

This section provides an example on analyzing a *ProtoMD* trajectory using the universe object and the timesteps.

- Radius Of Gyration: This example first obtains a universe object, then sets the atomic positions with the values stored in each timestep. Finally, it performs the calculation and appends the value to a list.

```
# import the proto_md package
import proto_md

# create a system from the file 'my_simulation.hdf'
sys = proto_md.System('my_simulation.hdf')

# create a new universe object, this is now
# set to the initial coordinates
u = s.universe

# create a new empty list
l = list()

# iterate over the timesteps
for ts in sys.timesteps:
    # set the universe atomic positions
    # to the values stored in the database timestep.
    u.atoms.positions = ts.atomic_final_positions

    # calculate the radius of gyration
    rog = u.atoms.radiusOfGyrations()

    # append rog to the list
    l.append(rog)
```

- Radius Of Gyration (alternative version): perhaps a more ‘pythonic’ method of calculating the radius of gyration (or any other metric) would be to create a higher order function once the universe is obtained and populate it with the atomic positions and return it. In this approach, list comprehension can be used to create a list of values using a single list comprehension statement:

```
# import the proto_md package
import proto_md
```

```

# create a system from the file 'my_simulation.hdf'
sys = proto_md.System('my_simulation.hdf')

# create a new universe object, this is now
# set to the initial coordinates
u = sys.universe

# function which takes an array of atomic positions,
# sets the universe atomic state and returns the
# universe object.

def uf(pos):
    # set the universe's atomic positions
    u.atoms.positions = pos
    return u

# calculate the value in a single list statement.
rog = [uf(ts.atomic_final_positions).atoms.radiusOfGyration() for ts in sys.timesteps]

```

- Distance Between Proteins: This example is taken directly from the MDAnalysis website, but here MDAnalysis is used to analyze a coarse grained time series. In this example, the end to end distance of a protein and the radius of gyration are calculated. This is assuming that the protein in question has the segment identifier "S4AKE". This example uses MDAnalysis atom groups. Note, any analysis of *ProtoMD* timesteps performed using MDAnalysis virtually identical to conventional MD analysis, the only difference being that one must to set the atomic positions from the *ProtoMD* timestep values.

```

# import the proto_md package
import proto_md

# create a system from the file 'mySim.hdf'
sys = proto_md.System('mySim.hdf')

# create a new universe object, this is now
# set to the initial coordinates
u = s.universe

```

```

# the first nitrogen atom in the 4AKE segment.
nterm = u.s4AKE.N[0]

# last carbon atom in this segment
cterm = u.s4AKE.C[-1]

# a selection (a AtomGroup)
bb = u.selectAtoms('protein and backbone')

# iterate through all frames
for ts in sys.timesteps:
    # set the universe atomic positions
    # this is the only different aspect from
    # conventional MD time series analysis.

    u.atoms.positions = ts.atomic_final_positions

    # end-to-end vector from atom positions
    r = cterm.pos - nterm.pos

    # end-to-end distance
    d = numpy.linalg.norm(r)

    # AtomGroup is a 'live' object, it is updated
    # each time new coordinates are loaded.
    rgyr = bb.radiusOfGyration()

    print "frame = %d: d = %f Angstrom, Rgyr = " \
          "%f Angstrom" % (ts.frame, d, rgyr)

```

4.5. Running simulations

Once an hdf file is created, a multiscale simulation is initiated by invoking: `python -m proto_md run file.hdf [-debug]`. If the user supplies the optional parameter `-debug`, *ProtoMD* will not delete any of the directories created to run MD. This is useful for debugging and tracking problems with unstable simulations.

As an example on running a multiscale simulation using the Trotter integrator, pertussis toxin (PDB ID: 1PRT), a protein-based AB5-type exotoxin [40]

was simulated under NVT conditions at 300K. The CHARMM27 force field [23] was used in explicit solvent using the TIP3P model [41]. NaCl counter-ions of concentration 0.15 M were added for charge neutrality. The system consisted of 603,775 atoms in a box of dimensions $16nm \times 16nm \times 24nm$. The CG timestep was set to 0.5 ps with the micro (MD) phase taken to be 100 fs and the equilibration time 50 fs. To prepare the system, the following script was run:

```
#!/bin/sh
python -m proto_md config \
    -o 1PRT.hdf \
    -struct 1PRT.gro \
    -temperature 300.0 \
    -subsystem_selects "protein" \
    -cg_steps 7400 \
    -dt 0.5 \
    -mn_steps 0 \
    -eq_steps 50 \
    -md_steps 100 \
    -top_args {'ff': 'charmm27'} \
    -multi 1 \
    -integrator \
        proto_md.integrators.FactorizationIntegrator \
    -solvate \
    -subsystem_factory \
        proto_md.subsystems.SpaceWarpingSubsystemFactory \
    -subsystem_args 1
```

The last argument ‘subsystem_args 1’ signifies that only first order Legendre

polynomials are used in constructing a total of 4×3 space-warping CG variables (sec. 2.1). Once the hdf file 1PRT.hdf was created, a multiscale simulation was initiated with the following command:

```
python -m proto_md run 1PRT.hdf
```

The simulation performs a series of 7,400 CG steps, writing the minimized, equilibrated, and extrapolated coordinates of all protein atoms at the end of each CG timestep. The protein undergoes a conformational change over this time period, which is visualized by plotting the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of the protein atomic positions with the reference configuration set to the protein positions at $t = 0$ ns. For comparison, two MD runs were also done (starting from the same initial conditions) at the same thermodynamic conditions.

```
import proto_md
import numpy as np
from MDAnalysis.analysis.align import *

sys = proto_md.System('1PRT.hdf')

# create a new universe object, this is now
# set to the initial coordinates
mob = s.universe

# create a reference
ref = proto_md.System('1PRT.hdf')

for ts in sys.timesteps:
    # align the mobile to the reference structure
```

```

alignto(mob, ref, select="protein", mass_weighted=True)
# save rmsd to file
rmsd_list.append(rmsd(mob, ref))

# write rmsd to file
rmsd_list = np.array(rmsd_list)
np.savetxt('rmsd.dat', rmsd_list)

```




Figure 4: Variation in the RMSD of pertussis toxin protein as a function of time, using multiscale factorization (MF) and molecular dynamics (MD1 and MD2).

5. Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by the following: the NSF INSPIRE program (grant 1344263), the NSF division of material science research (grant

1533988), and the Indiana University information technology services (UITS) for high performance computing resources.

References

- [1] Bernard R. Brooks, Robert E. Bruccoleri, Barry D. Olafson, David J. States, S. Swaminathan, and Martin Karplus. Charmm: A program for macromolecular energy, minimization, and dynamics calculation. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 4:187–217, 1983.
- [2] David van Der Spoel, Erik Lindahl, Berk Hess, Gerrit Groenhof, Alan E. Mark, and Herman J. C. Berendsen. Gromacs: Fast, flexible, and free. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26:1701–1718, 2005.
- [3] James C. Phillips, Rosemary Braun, Wei Wang, James Gumbart, Emad Tajkhorshid, Elizabeth Villa, Christophe Chipot, Robert D. Skeel, Laxmikant Kale, and Klaus Schulten. Scalable molecular dynamics with namd. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26:1781–1802, 2005.
- [4] S. Plimpton. Fast parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics rigid bodies. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 117:1–19, 1995.
- [5] David A. Pearlman, David A. Case, James W. Caldwell, Wilson S. Ross, Thomas E. Cheatham III, Steve DeBolt, David Ferguson, George Seibel, and Peter Kollman. Amber, a package of computer programs for applying molecular mechanics, normal mode analysis, molecular dynamics and free energy calculations to simulate the structural and energetic properties of molecules. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 91:1–41, 1995.
- [6] Robert E. Rudd and Jeremy Q. Broughton. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics and the atomic limit of finite elements. *Phys. Rev. B*, 58:5893–5896, 1998.

- [7] Amy Y. Shiha, Anton Arkhipov, Peter L. Freddolino, and Klaus Schulten. Coarse grained protein-lipid model with application to lipoprotein particles. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 110:3674–3684, 2006.
- [8] Amy Y. Shiha, Peter L. Freddolino, Anton Arkhipova, and Klaus Schulten. Assembly of lipoprotein particles revealed by coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Struct. Biol.*, 157:579–592, 2007.
- [9] Peter J. Bond, John Holyoake, Anthony Ivetac, Syma Khalid, and Mark S.P. Sansom. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations of membrane proteins and peptides. *J. Struct. Biol.*, 157:593–605, 2007.
- [10] Khuloud Jaqaman and Peter J. Ortoleva. New space warping method for the simulation of large-scale macromolecular conformational changes. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 23:484–491, 2002.
- [11] Srinath Cheluvaraja and Peter J. Ortoleva. Thermal nanostructure: An order parameter multiscale ensemble approach. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 132:75102–75110, 2010.
- [12] Yinglong Miao, J. E. Johnson, and Peter J. Ortoleva. All-atom multiscale simulation of ccmv capsid swelling. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 114:11181–11195, 2010.
- [13] Harshad Joshi, Abhishek Singharoy, Yuriy V. Sereda, Srinath C. Cheluvaraja, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Multiscale simulation of microbe structure and dynamics. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.*, 107:200–217, 2011.
- [14] Andrew Abi Mansour and Peter Ortoleva. Multiscale factorization method for simulating mesoscopic systems with atomic precision. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 10:518–523, 2014.

- [15] I. Bahar, R. A. Atilgan, and B. Erman. Direct evaluation of thermal fluctuations in protein using a single parameter harmonic potential. *Folding and Design*, 2:173–181, 1997.
- [16] T. Haliloglu, I. Bahar, and B. Erman. Gaussian dynamics of folded proteins. *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 79:3090–3093, 1997.
- [17] M. K. Kim, R. L. Jernigan, and G. S. Chirikjian. Rigid-cluster models of conformational transitions in macromolecular machines and assemblies. *J. Biophys.*, 89:43–55, 2005.
- [18] Siewert J. Marrink, Alex H. de Vries, and Alan E. Mark. Coarse grained model for semiquantitative lipid simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 108:750–760, 2004.
- [19] Teemu Murtola, Emma Falck, Mikko Karttunen, and Ilpo Vattulainen. Coarse-grained model for phospholipid/cholesterol bilayer employing inverse monte carlo with thermodynamic constraints. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 126:75101–75114, 2007.
- [20] W. G. Noid, Jih-Wei Chu, Gary S. Ayton, Vinod Krishna, Sergei Izvekov, Gregory A. Voth, Avisek Das, and Hans C. Andersen. The multiscale coarse-graining method. i. a rigorous bridge between atomistic and coarse-grained models. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 128:244114–244124, 2008.
- [21] J. C. Berendsen, David van der Spoel, and R. van Drunen. Gromacs: A message-passing parallel molecular dynamics implementation. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 91:43–56, 2013.
- [22] Abhishek Singharoy, Harshad Joshi, Yinglong Miao, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Space warping order parameters and symmetry: Application to multiscale

- simulation of macromolecular assemblies. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 116:8423–8434, 2012.
- [23] Jr. AD MacKerell, N. Banavali N, and N. Foloppe. Development and current status of the charmm force field for nucleic acids. *Biopolymers*, 56:257–265, 2001.
- [24] Wendy D. Cornell, Piotr Cieplak P, Christopher I. Bayly, Ian R. Gould, Kenneth M. Merz Jr., David M. Ferguson, David C. Spellmeyer, Thomas Fox, James W. Caldwell, and Peter A. Kollman. A second generation force field for the simulation of proteins, nucleic acids, and organic molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 117:5179–5197, 1995.
- [25] Peter J. Ortoleva. Nanoparticle dynamics: A multiscale analysis of the liouville equation. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 109:2770–2771, 2005.
- [26] Stephen Pankavich, Zeina Shreif, Yinglong Miao, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Self-assembly of nanocomponents into composite structures: Derivation and simulation of langevin equations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 130:194115–194124, 2009.
- [27] Yuriy V. Sereda, John M. Espinosa-Duran, , and Peter J. Ortoleva. Energy transfer between a nanosystem and its host fluid: A multiscale factorization approach. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 140:074102, 2014.
- [28] H. F. Trotter. On the product of semi-groups of operators. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 10:545–551, 1959.
- [29] Stephen Pankavich, YingLong Miao, Jamil Ortoleva, Zeina Shreif, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Stochastic dynamics of bionanosystems: Multiscale analysis and specialized ensembles. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 128:234908–234921, 2008.

- [30] Abhishek Singharoy, Srinad Cheluvareja, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Order parameters for macromolecules: Application to multiscale simulation. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 134:44104–44120, 2011.
- [31] A. Singharoy, H. Joshi, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Multiscale macromolecular simulation: role of evolving ensembles. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 52:2638–2686, 2012.
- [32] Mary A. Rohrdanz, Wenwei Zheng, Mauro Maggioni, and Cecilia Clementi. Determination of reaction coordinates via locally scaled diffusion map. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 134:124116–244124, 2011.
- [33] F Muller-Plathe. Coarse-graining in polymer simulation: From the atomistic to the mesoscopic scale and back. *ChemPhysChem.*, 3:754–769, 2002.
- [34] Jing Yang, Abhishek Singharoy, Yuriy V. Sereda, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Quasiequivalence of multiscale coevolution and ensemble md simulations: A demonstration with lactoferrin. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 616-617:154–160, 2014.
- [35] William Humphrey, Andrew Dalke, and Klaus Schulten. Vmd: Visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.*, 14:33–38, 1996.
- [36] Oliver Beckstein. Gromacswrapper. @ONLINE.
- [37] Bjarne Stroustrup. The design and evolution of c++. In *The Design and Evolution of C++*. Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [38] N. Michaud-Agrawal, E. J. Denning, T. B. Woolf, and O. Beckstein. Md-analysis: A toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 32:2319–2327, 2011.

- [39] Andrew Abi Mansour, Yuriy V. Sereda, Jing Yang, and Peter J. Ortoleva. Prospective on multiscale simulation of virus-like particles: Application to computer-aided vaccine design. *Vaccine*, 33:5890–5896, 2015.
- [40] Penelope E. Stein, Amechand Boodhoo, Glen D. Armstrong, Stephen A. Cockle, Michel H. Klein, and Randy J. Read. The crystal structure of pertussis toxin. *Structure*, 2:45–57, 1994.
- [41] W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey, and M. L. Klein. Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 79:926–935, 1983.