

THE SPACE-FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION-ADVECTION EQUATION: ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS AND CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

Robin Stern^{1,2}, Frederic Effenberger^{1,3}, Horst Fichtner¹,
Tobias Schäfer⁴

Abstract

The present work provides a critical assessment of numerical solutions of the space-fractional diffusion-advection equation, which is of high significance for applications in various natural sciences. In view of the fact that, in contrast to the case of normal (Gaussian) diffusion, no standard methods and corresponding numerical codes for anomalous diffusion problems have been established yet, it is of importance to critically assess the accuracy and performance of existing approaches. Three numerical methods, namely a finite-difference method, the so-called matrix transfer technique, and a Monte-Carlo method based on the solution of stochastic differential equations, are analysed and compared by applying them to three selected test problems for which analytical or semi-analytical solutions were known or are newly derived. The accuracy and performance differences are critically discussed with the result that the use of stochastic differential equations appears to be advantageous.

Key Words and Phrases: space-fractional diffusion-advection equation, anomalous diffusion, Riesz fractional derivative, series representation of analytical solutions, numerical approximations

1. Introduction

In recent years the phenomenon of anomalous diffusion has attracted increasing attention, because there is growing observational evidence for the presence of such non-classical processes in numerous natural systems. Corresponding enhanced activities extend to at least three different fields,

namely (i) to the primary natural sciences biology, chemistry, and physics, (ii) to numerical analysis, and (iii) to the mathematical foundations.

Regarding the mathematical foundation of anomalous diffusion, Eliazar and Klafter [2] have recently reviewed the statistics of sub- and superdiffusion. By generalizing the Einstein-Smoluchowski diffusion model they provided a unified explanation for the prevalence of anomalous diffusion statistics. With the latter they emphasized the ubiquity of sub- and superdiffusion rather than their ‘anomalous’ nature.

The progress made with respect to observations and the application of models of anomalous diffusion in the mentioned three natural sciences has been reviewed very recently for each. First, the fact that transport processes in biological cells are ubiquitously ‘anomalous’ rather than Gaussian diffusive is by now confirmed with numerous observations as has been described very recently by Hoefling and Franosch [4], who also discuss the relevant physical models. Second, Volpert et al. [22] have summarized the knowledge about the front dynamics in anomalous diffusion-reaction systems, which are of importance for chemistry of certain systems. And, third, Perrone et al. [17] give an overview of the nonclassical sub- and superdiffusive plasma transport in fusion as well as in astrophysical plasmas.

As for the case of Gaussian diffusion, the solutions of fractional diffusion equations describing anomalous diffusion for real-world systems lacking a high degree of both homogeneity and symmetry require the application of numerical methods. However, in difference to the case of Gaussian diffusion leading to a Fokker-Planck equation, generally accepted standard methods and codes for the numerical solution of fractional Fokker-Planck equations have not emerged as yet. Nonetheless, a number of approaches have been presented. Perhaps the first publically available code to solve fractional diffusion-advection equations was that developed by Meerschaert and Tadjeran in the context of their corresponding studies [13, 14] and [21] for a second-order accurate method for the superdiffusion equation. A brief review of other approaches was recently provided by Sousa [20], who presented a second-order accurate method to solve the fractional diffusion-advection equation.

A particular difficulty to be dealt with is that of non-trivial (i.e. non-zero and non-homogeneous) boundary conditions. Besides the unclear exact physical (or intuitive) meaning of a fractional derivative (see, e.g. [19]), there is the problem of the non-locality of fractional derivatives that implies a dependence of the explicit formulation of a fractional differential equation on the chosen boundary conditions, see, for example, Krepysheva et al. [10] and Garcia-Garcia et al. [3]. Another problem is how to treat sub- and

superdiffusion simultaneously, as might be necessary in anisotropic media or in multi-process systems. The latter can be approached as follows.

Rather than solving a fractional Fokker-Planck equation by methods utilizing discretisations of (partial) fractional derivatives as mentioned above, one can also resort to a solution of the equivalent system of (ordinary) stochastic differential equations (SDEs). The necessary generalization of Ito's lemma, stating the equivalence of a Fokker-Planck equation to a system of SDEs, can be found in the papers by Jumarie [8] as well as by Magdziarz and Weron [11]. They demonstrated that a fractional Fokker-Planck equation is equivalent to a system of SDEs with one or more Levy processes instead of Wiener processes.

In view of the various numerical methods, there is an increasing need for critical assessments of these methods, particularly with respect to the implementation of non-trivial boundary conditions. This can be achieved by comparing the results of different methods with analytical solutions and with each other regarding accuracy as well as performance, respectively. With the present study that is concentrating on space-fractional differential equations in one space dimension we provide such assessment by first presenting a new analytical solution to the superdiffusion-advection including a source term. This is preceded by a presentation of known analytical solutions for the case without sources (section 2) and followed by a brief description of related numerical methods and new extensions of them (section 3), and a comparison of the methods when applied to the same test problems (section 4). The results are summarized and critically discussed in the concluding section 5.

2. Analytical solutions to space-fractional diffusion problems

For this first assessment of methods we limit ourselves to one-dimensional space-fractional diffusion-advection equations. In order to define analytical reference solutions for the numerical methods we present in the following two subsections known solutions to the case without sources as well as a newly derived solution to the case including a source.

2.1. Space-fractional diffusion equation without sources

The one-dimensional space-fractional diffusion equation can be written with the Riesz fractional derivative ∇^α of order $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ (see, e.g. the monograph by Oldham and Spanier [16]) and a constant superdiffusion coefficient κ in the form:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \kappa \nabla^\alpha f ; -\infty < x < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

The Riesz fractional derivative is given by

$$\nabla^\alpha = -\frac{-\infty D_x^\alpha + x D_\infty^\alpha}{2 \cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})}, \quad (2.2)$$

with the left- and right-sided Riemann-Liouville derivatives in the numerator.

A standard diffusion problem is defined with the initial condition

$$f(x, 0) = \delta(x)$$

formulated with Dirac's Delta function $\delta(x)$ and the boundary conditions

$$f(-\infty, t) = f(\infty, t) = 0.$$

For the test of numerical against analytical solutions (see below) we consider two representations of the latter.

2.1.1. Solution in terms of Fox's H -function.

In this form the solution of 2.1 reads [7, 12]

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha|x|} H_{2,2}^{1,1} \left[\frac{|x|}{(\kappa t)^{1/\alpha}} \left| \begin{matrix} (1, 1/\alpha), (1, 1/2) \\ (1, 1), (1, 1/2) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad (2.3)$$

which can be expressed as a computable series [15]. For that purpose we use the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} C_{j,h} &= \prod_{j=1, j \neq h}^m \Gamma(b_j - B_j(b_h + v)/B_h) \\ D_{j,h} &= \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + B_j(b_h + v)/B_h) \\ E_{j,h} &= \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j(b_h + v)/B_h) \\ F_{j,j} &= \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - A_j(b_h + v)/B_h), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \sum_{h=1}^m \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{j,h} E_{j,h}}{D_{j,h} F_{j,h}} \frac{(-1)^v z^{(b_h+v)/B_h}}{v! B_h} \quad (2.4)$$

and obtain

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha|x|} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+v))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1+v))\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{2}(1+v))} \frac{(-1)^v (\frac{|x|}{(\kappa t)^{1/\alpha}})^{1+v}}{v!}. \quad (2.5)$$

For odd v the denominator yields $\pm\infty$, since $\Gamma(1/2 - v/2) = \pm\infty$, thus we carry out the summation over even $v = 2n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which leads to

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha(\kappa t)^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+2n))}{(2n!) \Gamma(1/2+n) \Gamma(1/2-n)} \left(\frac{x^{2n}}{(\kappa t)^{2n/\alpha}} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Expression 2.6 can be simplified by exploiting that

$$\Gamma(1/2+n) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!4^n} \sqrt{\pi}$$

and

$$\Gamma(1/2-n) = \frac{n!(-4)^n}{(2n)!} \sqrt{\pi}$$

resulting in

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha\pi(\kappa t)^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha}(1+2n))}{\Gamma(1+2n)} (-1)^n \left(\frac{x^2}{(\kappa t)^{2/\alpha}} \right)^n. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that for the case $\alpha = 2$ the expected fundamental solution of the (Gaussian) diffusion equation is obtained, since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi(\kappa t)^{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1+2n))}{\Gamma(1+2n)} (-1)^n \left(\frac{x^2}{\kappa t} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\kappa t}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (-1)^n \left(\frac{x^2}{4\kappa t} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\kappa t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4\kappa t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

While equation 2.7 is the exact solution of the space-fractional diffusion equation its actual computation faces computational difficulties arising from the fraction involving the Γ -function.

2.1.2. Solution in terms of a Fourier series.

From an application of the Fourier transformation (see, e.g., Podlubny [18]) $\mathcal{F}\{\nabla^\alpha h(x)\} = -|k|^\alpha \tilde{h}(k)$ it follows that $\nabla^\alpha \cos(ax) = -a^\alpha \cos(ax)$ and, thus, that this transformation can be exploited for space-fractional diffusion equations, too. In particular, the solution to the given problem 2.1 can be expressed in terms of a Fourier series with $f(x, t) = f(x+2L, t)$, where the initial condition $f(x, 0) = \delta(x)$ is being approximated by the

rectangular function

$$f(x, 0) = \begin{cases} 1/b, & \text{for } -b/2 < x < b/2 \\ 0, & \text{for } b/2 < x < L - b/2 \\ -1/b, & \text{for } L - b/2 < x < L + b/2 \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where $b, L \in \mathbb{R}$. With a sink at $x = L$ the boundary condition $f(x \rightarrow \pm\infty, t) = 0$ is fulfilled for $L \rightarrow \infty$. The Fourier series representation of the initial condition 2.8 is

$$f(x, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2[1 + (-1)^{n+1}]}{n\pi b} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi b}{2L}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \quad (2.9)$$

For the limiting case $b \rightarrow 0$, representing the δ -function, it follows:

$$g(x) := \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} f(x, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{n+1}]}{L} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

Using equation 2.10 together with the assumption $f(x, t) = g(x)T(t)$ in the space-fractional diffusion equation 2.1 yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x)T(t) = \kappa \nabla^\alpha g(x)T(t) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Rightarrow g(x)T'(t) = -\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^\alpha \kappa g(x)T(t) \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{T} dT = -\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^\alpha \kappa dt \quad (2.13)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(t) = C \exp\left[-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^\alpha \kappa t\right], \quad C = 1 \text{ w.l.o.g.} \quad (2.14)$$

Hence, the solution to the space fractional diffusion equation can be given in terms of a Fourier series representation

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{n+1}]}{L} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right) \exp\left[-\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^\alpha \kappa t\right]. \quad (2.15)$$

For $L \rightarrow \infty$ this series representation coincides with the exact solution to equation 2.1 given in 2.3.

2.2. Space-fractional diffusion-advection equation with source

The one-dimensional space-fractional diffusion-advection equation with a point source can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \kappa \nabla^\alpha f + a \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \delta(x) \quad (2.16)$$




FIGURE 1. Using the Fourier series representation yields a periodic solution to the space-fractional diffusion equation, which converges to the exact solution for $L \rightarrow \infty$. The four lines indicate four different times.

where, as above, ∇^α denotes the Riesz fractional derivative, κ is a constant superdiffusion coefficient, and a a constant advection speed.

With the initial condition

$$f(x, 0) = \delta(x) \quad (2.17)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$f(-\infty, t) = f(\infty, t) = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

this fractional differential equation can be solved analytically by integrating the fundamental solution to this problem with respect to t .

While the series representation resulting from Fox's H -function (see equation 2.7) cannot be successfully employed due to computational convergence problems for $t \rightarrow 0$, it is possible to integrate the Fourier representation (section 2.2.2), which can be deduced from the previous problem and reads for the case without source as follows

$$f_{\text{no source}}(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{n+1}]}{L} \cos \left[\frac{n\pi}{L}(x + at) \right] \exp \left[- \left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa t \right]. \quad (2.19)$$

Integrating expression 2.19 with respect to t gives the solution to the space fractional diffusion-advection equation with source:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, t) &= \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + (-1)^{n+1}]}{L} \cos \left[\frac{n\pi}{L} (x + at') \right] \exp \left[- \left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa t' \right] dt' \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ [1 + (-1)^{n+1}] \left[\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa L \cos \left(\frac{n\pi x}{L} \right) - n\pi a \sin \left(\frac{n\pi x}{L} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa L \cos \left[\frac{n\pi}{L} (x + at) \right] \exp \left[- \left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa t \right] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + n\pi a \sin \left[\frac{n\pi}{L} (x + at) \right] \exp \left[- \left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^\alpha \kappa t \right] \right] / \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left[\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \right)^{2\alpha} \kappa^2 L^2 + n^2 \pi^2 a^2 \right] \right\} \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

As a result of the periodicity, the solution $f(x, t)$ does not converge to the exact solution for $t \rightarrow \infty$, since, in the course of time, the advection a leads to an overlap of the upwind and downwind interval. Thus, for application purposes L has to be chosen properly with regard to the time interval of interest. This proves to result in a very good approximation to the solution of equation 2.16 that does not suffer from convergence problems (see sections 4.1 and 4.2). Furthermore, with a glance at the actual computation, a user might take advantage from the parallelisation capability of the series representation. To the best of our knowledge this representation of the solution of equation 2.16 has not been published before.

3. Numerical solution methods for space-fractional diffusion problems

We discuss three methods to solve space-fractional differential equations numerically. The first two belong to the class of grid-based schemes, the third is a Monte-Carlo approach based on stochastic differential equations.

3.1. Grid-based Schemes

3.1.1. A Grünwald-Letnikov-based finite-difference approach by Meerschaert and Tadjeran.

Meerschaert and Tadjeran [14] presented a finite-difference approximation for space-fractional partial differential equations, which we summarize and extend here for the case of the fractional Fokker-Planck equation. Starting from the space-fractional diffusion equation given in (2.1) the fractional

derivatives are expressed in terms of the so-called Grünwald-Letnikov representation [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(x, t)}{\partial t} = & \kappa_+(x, t) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_+^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\Gamma(j - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(j + 1)} f(x - jh_+, t) \\ & + \kappa_-(x, t) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_-^\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{\Gamma(j - \alpha)}{\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(j + 1)} f(x + jh_-, t) + q(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_+ = (x - L)/N$ and $h_- = (R - x)/N$ with N the number of steps, and $x \in [L, R]$. The superdiffusion coefficients κ_\pm might, in general, depend on x and t . The discretization considering $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ reads for the case $\kappa_+(x, t) = \kappa_-(x, t) = \kappa = \text{const}$, which is of interest here (see equation 2.16), as follows

$$\frac{f_i^{n+1} - f_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{\kappa}{h^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i+1} g_j f_{i-j+1}^{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-i+1} g_j f_{i+j-1}^{n+1} \right) + q_i^{n+1},$$

where $h = (R - L)/N$, $f_i^n = f(x(i), t(n))$ and $g_j = \Gamma(j - \alpha)/[\Gamma(-\alpha)\Gamma(j + 1)]$. This implicit Euler method can be extended by including an advection term $a\partial f/\partial x$ in order to solve particular cases (here $a = \text{const}$) of the space-fractional Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_i^{n+1} - f_i^n}{\Delta t} = & \frac{\kappa}{h^\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i+1} g_j f_{i-j+1}^{n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{K-i+1} g_j f_{i+j-1}^{n+1} \right) \\ & - \frac{a}{2h} (f_{i+1}^{n+1} - f_{i-1}^{n+1}) + q_i^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

This way one obtains a system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}^{n+1} &= \underline{A}\mathbf{f}^{n+1} + \underline{B}\mathbf{f}^{n+1} + \mathbf{f}^n + \Delta t\mathbf{q}^{n+1} \\ \underbrace{[\underline{I} - (\underline{A} + \underline{B})]}_{=\underline{M}} \mathbf{f}^{n+1} &= \Delta t\mathbf{q}^{n+1} + \mathbf{f}^n \\ \mathbf{f}^{n+1} &= \underline{M}^{-1} (\Delta t\mathbf{q}^{n+1} + \mathbf{f}^n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The resulting matrix \underline{A} corresponding to space-fractional diffusion reads

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma(g_2 + g_0) & 2\sigma g_1 & \dots & \sigma g_{K-2} & \sigma g_{K-1} & \sigma g_K \\ \sigma g_3 & \sigma(g_2 + g_0) & \dots & \sigma g_{K-3} & \sigma g_{K-2} & \sigma g_{K-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma g_{K-1} & \sigma g_{K-2} & \dots & \sigma(g_2 + g_0) & 2\sigma g_1 & \sigma(g_0 + g_2) \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma = \kappa\Delta t/h^\alpha$, while the matrix corresponding to advection is

$$\underline{B} = \frac{-a\Delta t}{2h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solution of this system of linear equations requires an initial condition $f(x, 0)$ and boundary conditions $f(L, t)$ and $f(R, t)$. Inverting the matrix \underline{M} can be done, for example, by Gaussian elimination. According to Meerschaert and Tadjeran [14] this method is unconditionally stable and of the order $O(\Delta t) + O(\Delta x)$.

3.1.2. The Matrix Transfer Technique by Ilic et al.

An alternative numerical method to solve the space-fractional diffusion equation was presented by Ilic et al. [5, 6]. These authors considered the standard approximation of the normal diffusion equation leading to the expressions

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{\kappa}{h^2}(-f_{i+1} + 2f_i - f_{i-1}) \quad (3.3)$$

$$f_0 = g_1(t), \quad f_N = g_2(t) \quad (3.4)$$

$$f(x, 0) = u(x), \quad (3.5)$$

where h is the step size in space, which can be expressed as a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\eta\mathbf{A}\Phi + \mathbf{b}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here, \mathbf{A} denotes the usual tridiagonal matrix resulting from the discretization of the Laplace operator.

For $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e. homogeneous boundary conditions, Ilic et al. found that the space-fractional diffusion equation can be approximated by

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -\tilde{\eta}\mathbf{A}^{\alpha/2}\Phi, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = \kappa/h^\alpha$ and α is the order of the fractional derivative. For further information on this numerical method the reader is referred to [5, 6].

For fractional differential equations involving an advection and source term, such as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \kappa\nabla^\alpha f + a\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + q(x), \quad (3.8)$$

this method can be extended. Analogously to the procedure in [5, 6], we obtain the equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\Phi + \mathbf{Q}, \quad (3.9)$$

with $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \tilde{\eta}\mathbf{A}^{\alpha/2} + a\mathbf{C}$. \mathbf{C} is the discrete representation of the advection term. This equation has the solution

$$\Phi(t) = e^{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(t-t_0)}\Phi_0 + e^{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}t} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}s} \mathbf{Q} ds \quad (3.10)$$

$$= e^{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}t} \left(\Phi_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - e^{-\tilde{\mathbf{A}}t} \right) \mathbf{Q} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

The second equality holds if (w.l.o.g.) $t_0 = 0$ is chosen.

3.2. Monte-Carlo Method

To solve a space-fractional diffusion-advection equation like equation (2.16), it is also possible to recast the problem into a stochastic differential equation (SDE), which is then solved for a large number of trajectories of pseudo-particles to yield the solution by an appropriate average over the positions of the latter. For standard diffusion, this is a well-known approach, see e.g. Kopp et al. [9] for a discussion of the numerical requirements and details, which are partly applied in this work as well. The extension to the superdiffusive case is a more recent development, and the basic idea and some numerical aspects are discussed in [11]. There, also the case of competing super- and subdiffusion is included, while we restrict ourselves here to pure superdiffusion. Consequently, the SDE for the stochastic process $X(t)$ considered is of the general form:

$$dX(t) = -a(X(t))dt + \kappa^{1/\mu} dL_\mu(t) \quad (3.12)$$

where $L_\mu(t)$ is a symmetric μ -stable Lévy motion, which has the Fourier transform property

$$\mathcal{F}\{e^{ikL_\mu(t)}\} = e^{-t|k|^\mu}. \quad (3.13)$$

Numerically, this Lévy process is represented by drawing the pseudo-random numbers from the respective distribution, which can be calculated for example with the Chambers-Mallows-Stuck method [1]. In the case of constant advection and superdiffusion coefficients a and κ in the SDE, the pseudo-particles can be traced forward or backward in time, resulting in the same solutions to the corresponding diffusion-advection equation. For the discussion on how to treat initial conditions, sources and boundaries with the SDE approach, the reader is referred again to [9].




FIGURE 2. Numerical and analytical solution to the fractional diffusion equation 2.1 with $\kappa = 1$ and $\alpha = 3/2$ at $t = 1$. Black solid line: Fourier series solution 2.15 ($N_{max} = 10^5, L = 10^3$). Black dots: Power series 2.3 ($N_{max} = 190$), note the convergence problem for $x > 5.7$, see text. Yellow triangles: Matrix transfer technique ($\Delta x \approx 0.03$). Red crosses: Finite-difference method ($\Delta x = 0.05, \Delta t = 0.01$). Green crosses: SDE ($n_{particles} = 10^6, \Delta t = 0.001$).

4. Comparisons of numerical approximations with analytical solutions

In the following we discuss the accuracy and performance of the three numerical methods presented in section 3, namely the finite-difference method developed by Meerschaert and Tadjeran [14], the matrix transfer technique introduced by Ilic et al. [5, 6], and the SDE-based Monte-Carlo method by Magdziarz and Weron [11], to three selected test problems for which one can obtain analytical solutions as described in section 2.

4.1. Space-fractional diffusion equation

At first we compare the numerical approximations to the exact solution of the space-fractional diffusion equation 2.1 with $\kappa = 1$ and $\alpha = 3/2$. The result is presented in Figure 2.

- *Analytical solutions:*

The representation of the analytical solution using Fox's H -function 2.7 and that given by the Fourier series 2.15 are in good agreement in the interval from $x \in [0, 5.7]$. In this particular example the power series cannot be evaluated for $x > 5.7$ due to computational accuracy issues related to the evaluation of the Γ -function. In contrast, the Fourier series solution can be evaluated for an arbitrary x , demonstrating the power of this representation compared to that given with 2.7. A serious drawback of the Fourier series solution is, however, the periodicity of the approximation of the δ -function. For large x and t one has to make sure that the period $2L$ has been chosen sufficiently large to avoid an interference with the sink at L (see section 2.1.2).

- *Numerical solutions:*

The numerical solutions prove to be a good approximation to the exact solution up to about $x = 20$, where the boundary conditions $f(-20, t) = f(20, t) = 0$ were chosen (to limit the required computational time). The latter start to corrupt the solution for the unbounded interval beyond $x = 15$. This 'power-law decay' is problematic for grid-based methods, whose accuracy can, however, be improved by extending the x -range. This, of course, is on the expense of computational time.

In contrast to these grid-based numerical methods, the SDE solution is not influenced by any boundary conditions, since there is no particular finite computational domain (like the grid) on which the solution has to be determined. Instead, only the number of particles limits the accuracy and reproduction of the expected power law, which can thus easily extend over a much wider range.

4.2. Space-fractional diffusion-advection equation

Second, we compare the different numerical methods to the problem introduced in section 2.2, i.e. the space-fractional diffusion-advection equation 2.16 with $\kappa = 1$, $\alpha = 3/2$, and $a = 1$. The solution to this problem can be approximated by the formula 2.20.

From Figure 3(a) we can see that the numerical methods compare well with the semi-analytic solution 2.20, to the effect that all methods reproduce the correct shape of the solution. Note, however, that the solution obtained with the matrix transfer technique has to be corrected by a constant factor, depending on the resolution of the grid; in this particular case by approximately 0.03. Furthermore, both grid-based methods have, for growing t , increasing problems at the boundaries, because a finite grid together with a power-law decay of the solution does not fit the given boundary conditions very well.

In case of the solution derived with the SDE, these problems can be avoided, since again a boundary condition has not to be provided explicitly for this method. For $t \rightarrow \infty$ the solution to this problem evolves into a steady state, which is numerically best approximated by the SDE method, due to the given reasons.

4.3. Space-fractional diffusion on a finite domain

Finally, we compare the numerical methods to a problem given in Ilic et al. [5], that was used to demonstrate the good performance of the matrix transfer technique. This problem is defined by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \kappa \nabla^\alpha f, \quad 0 < x < \pi \quad (4.1)$$

$$f(0, t) = f(\pi, t) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$f(x, 0) = x^2(\pi - x). \quad (4.3)$$

The solution to this problem was given in [5], under the assumption that the initial condition can be given in terms of a half-range Fourier sine series as

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{8(-1)^{n+1} - 4}{n^3} \right) \sin(nx) \exp(-n^\alpha \kappa t). \quad (4.4)$$

Implicitly, the initial condition becomes periodic with period $L = \pi$, which is of importance as discussed in the following.


In Figure 4(a) we present the solutions obtained with the numerical methods for $\kappa = 1$ and $\alpha = 3/2$ under the assumptions given in (4.1) to (4.3). Obviously, the finite-difference method and the SDE solution deviate by the same amount from the exact solution given in (4.4), whereas the matrix method compares perfectly well.

We remark that the finite-difference method was not designed for the solution of the space-fractional diffusion equation with the Riesz operator, which contains an infinite x -axis (see equation 2.2). This method is, due to the grid, limited to a finite x -axis and is in fact solving the space-fractional diffusion equation


$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \kappa \left(-\frac{{}_0D_x^\alpha + {}_xD_\pi^\alpha}{2 \cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})} \right) f \quad (4.5)$$

and so does the SDE solution, when boundary values are introduced. However, the finite-difference method returns reasonable approximations, even for problems on unbounded domains, if we extend the x -axis sufficiently (see previous examples).

In Figure 4(b) we demonstrate the effect of an extended x -axis for all mentioned numerical methods and the assumption that the initial condition is periodically continued. In the interval $x \in [0, \pi]$ all numerical methods are



(a) All methods produce the same shape of the solution. The matrix transfer technique result is corrected by a factor of about 0.03 to fit the other solutions, see text.



(b) The logarithmic scaling reveals, that all methods produce the same power-law behaviour. The grid-based methods have boundary values of 0 at $x = 100$ and $x = 1000$, respectively.

FIGURE 3. Comparison of numerical methods to the Fourier series solution. Black solid line: Fourier series ($N_{max} = 10^7$, $L = 10^5$). Yellow triangles: Matrix transfer technique ($\Delta x = 1$). Red crosses: Finite-difference method ($\Delta x = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.1$). Green crosses: SDE ($n_{particles} = 10^5$, $\Delta t = 0.001$).

now in good agreement with the exact solution. This illustrates, that the exact solution 4.4 is only valid using the space-fractional Riesz operator, together with an initial condition given on the whole x -axis. In that sense, boundary value problems are problematic if the Riesz operator is involved.


5. Discussion and conclusions

The present work provides a critical assessment of numerical solutions of the space-fractional diffusion-advection equation, which is of high significance for applications in various natural sciences. In view of the fact that, in contrast to the case of normal (Gaussian) diffusion, no standard methods and corresponding numerical codes have been established yet, it is of importance to critically assess the accuracy and performance of existing approaches.


We have investigated and partly extended three numerical methods, namely a finite-difference method developed by Meerschaert and Tadjeran [14], the matrix transfer technique introduced by Ilic et al. [5, 6], and the SDE-based Monte-Carlo method employed by Magdziarz and Weron [11], by applying them to three selected test problems for which analytical or semi-analytical solutions were known or have been derived in the present work.

After having demonstrated that a Fourier series representation of the exact solutions to the space-fractional diffusion and diffusion-advection equation is, in practice, superior to a representation based on Fox's H -function, comparisons of the numerical approximations with the former has revealed:

- The finite-difference and the SDE method are suitable for a numerical solution of the space-fractional diffusion-advection equation;
- The matrix transfer technique appears to be limited to the case of vanishing advection, if grid-dependent correction factors are to be avoided;
- The finite-difference method is generally computationally expensive as compared to both the matrix transfer technique and the SDE-based Monte-Carlo method, particularly depending on the given boundary conditions;
- The finite-difference method is performing better if the Riesz fractional derivative is avoided and instead finite intervals are used in a Riemann-Liouville representation;
- The SDE method appears to have similar problems if non-trivial boundary conditions have to be considered;



(a) Introducing boundary conditions in the finite-difference method and for the SDE leads to a deviation from the Fourier series solution in the approximations. The matrix transfer technique is in good agreement with the analytical solution.



(b) Extending the x -axis and introducing a periodically continued initial condition leads to a better agreement of the three methods to the Fourier series solution.

FIGURE 4. Black solid line: Fourier series solution. Yellow triangles: Matrix transfer technique (a: $\Delta x = \pi/20$, b: $\Delta x = \pi/100$). Red crosses: Finite-difference method (a: $\Delta x = \pi/300$, $\Delta t = 1/500$, b: $\Delta x = \pi/800$, $\Delta t = 1/500$). Green crosses: SDE (a,b: $n_{particles} = 10^5$, $\Delta t = 0.001$)

- Extending the interval of interest allows to detour the latter two limitations, but obviously makes both methods computationally more expensive.

Despite the fact that none of the tested methods is optimal for all space-fractional diffusion-advection problems, i.e. that all have certain drawbacks, we would, based on our numerical studies, give preference to the SDE method that eventually, although with some effort, could deal successfully with all considered test cases. Beyond the assessment made in this paper, this is motivated by the fact that the SDE method is not only attractive in view of its rather simple solution algorithm but also from an application-point of view: It is often not required to compute a solution everywhere in a considered system but only at those locations where measurements have been made. While with the finite-difference method and the matrix transfer technique one is forced to compute the solution in the whole system, the SDE method is computationally advantageous because its ability to have the solution computed for selected locations only. Nonetheless, having several solution methods at one's disposal is beneficial, at the least for testing purposes.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to M.M. Meerschaert for providing the code “2D_CN_ADI.f90” and to G. Zimbardo for extended discussions on anomalous transport. Furthermore, we acknowledge partial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via the two projects FI 706/8-2 and FI 706/9-1, and via the DFG Research Unit FOR 1048 “Instabilities, Turbulence and Transport in Cosmic Magnetic Fields”. T.S. was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1108780.

References

- [1] Chambers, J.M., Mallows, C.L., Stuck, B.W.: A method for simulating stable random variables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **71**(354), 340–344 (1976)
- [2] Eliazar, I., Klafter, J.: Anomalous is ubiquitous. *Annals of Physics* **326**, 2517–2531 (2011). DOI 10.1016/j.aop.2011.07.006
- [3] García-García, R., Rosso, A., Schehr, G.: Lévy flights on the half line. *Phys. Rev. E* **86**(1), 011101 (2012). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.86.011101
- [4] Höfling, F., Franosch, T.: Anomalous transport in the crowded world of biological cells. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **76**(4), 046602 (2013). DOI 10.1088/0034-4885/76/4/046602
- [5] Ilic, M., Liu, F., Turner, I., Anh, V.: Numerical approximation of a fractional-in-space diffusion equation (i). *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **8**(3), 323–341 (2005)

- [6] Ilic, M., Liu, F., Turner, I., Anh, V.: Numerical approximation of a fractional-in-space diffusion equation (ii) - with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **9**(4), 333–349 (2006)
- [7] Jespersen, S., Metzler, R., Fogedby, H.C.: Lévy flights in external force fields: Langevin and fractional Fokker-Planck equations and their solutions. *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 2736–2745 (1999). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.59.2736
- [8] Jumarie, G.: On the solution of the stochastic differential equation of exponential growth driven by fractional brownian motion. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18**(7), 817–826 (2005). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2004.09.012>
- [9] Kopp, A., Büsching, I., Strauss, R.D., Potgieter, M.S.: A stochastic differential equation code for multidimensional Fokker-Planck type problems. *Computer Physics Communications* **183**, 530–542 (2012). DOI 10.1016/j.cpc.2011.11.014
- [10] Krepysheva, N., di Pietro, L., Néel, M.C.: Space-fractional advection-diffusion and reflective boundary condition. *Phys. Rev. E* **73**(2), 021104 (2006). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.73.021104
- [11] Magdziarz, M., Weron, A.: Competition between subdiffusion and Lévy flights: A Monte Carlo approach. *Phys. Rev. E* **75**(5), 056702 (2007). DOI 10.1103/PhysRevE.75.056702
- [12] Mainardi, F., Pagnini, G., Saxena, R.K.: Fox H functions in fractional diffusion. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **178**, 321–331 (2005)
- [13] Meerschaert, M., Tadjeran, C.: Finite difference approximations for fractional advection-dispersion flow equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **172**, 65–77 (2004)
- [14] Meerschaert, M., Tadjeran, C.: Finite difference approximations for two-sided space-fractional partial differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **56**(1), 80–90 (2006). DOI 10.1016/j.apnum.2005.02.008
- [15] Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The random walk’s guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.* **339**, 1–77 (2000). DOI 10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00070-3
- [16] Oldham, K., Spanier, J.: *The fractional calculus; theory and applications of differentiation and integration to arbitrary order.* Academic Press New York (1974)
- [17] Perrone, D., Dendy, R.O., Furno, I., Sanchez, R., Zimbardo, G., Bovet, A., Fasoli, A., Gustafson, K., Perri, S., Ricci, P., Valentini, F.: Nonclassical Transport and Particle-Field Coupling: from Laboratory Plasmas to the Solar Wind. *Space Sci. Rev.* (2013). DOI 10.1007/s11214-013-9966-9
- [18] Podlubny, I.: *Fractional Differential Equations.* Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Elsevier Science (1998)
- [19] Podlubny, I.: Geometric and physical interpretation of fractional integration and fractional differentiation. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **5**(4), 367–386 (2002)
- [20] Sousa, E.: A second order explicit finite difference method for the fractional advection diffusion equation. *Comp. Math. Appl.* **64**(10), 3141 – 3152 (2012). DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2012.03.002>. ̑ce:title_2 Advances in FDE, ̑ce:title_2
- [21] Tadjeran, C., Meerschaert, M.M.: A second-order accurate numerical method for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion equation. *Journal of Computational Physics* **220**, 813–823 (2007). DOI 10.1016/j.jcp.2006.05.030
- [22] Volpert, V., Nec, Y., Nepomnyashchy, A.: Fronts in anomalous diffusion-reaction systems. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **371**(1982), 20120,179 (2013)

¹ *Institut für Theoretische Physik IV, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
e-mail: hf@tp4.rub.de*

² *Interdisciplinary Centre for Advanced Materials Simulation (ICAMS), Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, 44780 Bochum, Germany*

³ *Department of Mathematics, University of Waikato, PB 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand*

⁴ *Department of Mathematics, College of Staten Island, CUNY, NY, USA*