

# Onsager reciprocity principle for kinetic models and kinetic schemes

Ajit Kumar Mahendra  
*Homi Bhabha National Institute,  
 Anushaktinagar, Mumbai-400094,  
 India.*

Ram Kumar Singh  
*Reactor Safety Division, BARC,  
 Mumbai-400085,  
 India.*

## Abstract

Boltzmann equation requires some alternative simpler kinetic model like BGK to replace the collision term. Such a kinetic model which replaces the Boltzmann collision integral should preserve the basic properties and characteristics of the Boltzmann equation and comply with the requirements of non equilibrium thermodynamics. Most of the research in development of kinetic theory based methods have focused more on entropy conditions, stability and ignored the crucial aspect of non equilibrium thermodynamics. The paper presents a new kinetic model formulated based on the principles of non equilibrium thermodynamics. The new kinetic model yields correct transport coefficients and satisfies Onsager's reciprocity relationship. The present work also describes a novel kinetic particle method and gas kinetic scheme based on this linkage of non-equilibrium thermodynamics and kinetic theory. The work also presents derivation of kinetic theory based wall boundary condition which complies with the principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, and can simulate both continuum and rarefied slip flow in order to avoid extremely costly multi-scale simulation.

Presented in *The Tenth International Conference for Mesoscopic Methods in Engineering and Science* (ICMMES-2013), 22-26 July 2013, Oxford.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Kinetic theory and non-equilibrium thermodynamics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Onsager's variational principle based kinetic model</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Non-equilibrium thermodynamics based Kinetic Scheme</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Kinetic wall boundary condition</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Results and Discussions</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Recommendations</b>	<b>40</b>

<b>A</b>	<b>Extending Onsager-BGK model for gas-mixture</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Expressions of split macroscopic tensors</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Non-equilibrium split fluxes and extended thermodynamics</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Onsager-BGK model for the Knudsen layer</b>	<b>44</b>

## 1 Introduction

All the research in the development of upwind scheme based on macroscopic theories can be seen in terms of inclusion of physically consistent amount of entropy. In many case, a single solver operating from rarefied flow to hypersonic continuum flow requires corrections and tuning, as most of the time it is not known what is the correct amount of entropy generation for a particular regime and the correct distribution of entropy generation for each thermodynamic force. Figure 1 shows schematic of entropy generation as physical state evolves from time  $t$  to time  $t + \Delta t$ . The components of entropy due to thermodynamic forces associated with stress tensor and thermal gradient vector differ in magnitude and vary with locations in the flow domain. *Genuine upwind scheme should resolve these different components of entropy generation due to its conjugate thermodynamic force in order to satisfy thermodynamics while the state update happens.* Most of the upwind schemes basically aim to add the correct dissipation or entropy but fail to resolve and ensure the correct distribution of the entropy associated with its conjugate thermodynamic force. If the solver follows and mimics the physics then we can have a single monolithic solver serving the entire range from rarefied flow to continuum flow, creeping flow to flow with shocks. The entropy generation observed at the macroscopic level is a consequence of molecular collisions at the microscopic level. Mesoscopic method based on kinetic theory uses statistical description of a system of molecules and provides model for molecular collisions leading to non-equilibrium phenomena. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics (NET) being a phenomenological theory describes this non-equilibrium phenomena and provides linkage with kinetic theory (KT) based coefficients of transport and relaxation. The molecular description is provided by the kinetic theory while the relationship between the entropy generation due to thermodynamic forces associated with stress tensor and thermal gradient vector is a feature of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Kinetic theory and non-equilibrium thermodynamics together become a powerful tool to model non-equilibrium processes of compressible gas.

This work introduces maximum entropy production principle and investigates its relationship with Onsager's reciprocity principle and Boltzmann equation. A new kinetic model based on Onsager's principle is proposed, which gives correct Prandtl number and also complies with the requirements of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The paper describes kinetic flux vector splitting and kinetic particle method based on the new kinetic model which incorporates features of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. It also gives derivation of kinetic theory based wall boundary condition which complies with the principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, and can simulate both continuum and rarefied slip flow as an efficient and economical alternative to extremely costly multi-scale simulation. Finally, simulation and validation of continuum, and rarefied slip flow test cases are




Figure 1: Two components of entropy as physical state evolves from time  $t$  to time  $t + \Delta t$ .

presented to illustrate the present formulation.

## 2 Kinetic theory and non-equilibrium thermodynamics

The kinetic theory of gases is a very vast field which successfully explains the irreversible laws of fluid mechanics through a statistical description of a system composed of large number of particles. Kinetic theory based method should preserve the basic properties and characteristics of the Boltzmann equation and also comply with the principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics like i) positive entropy production, ii) satisfaction of Onsager's relation and maximum entropy production principle. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics being a phenomenological theory gives the symmetry relationship between kinetic coefficients as well as general structure of equations describing the non-equilibrium phenomenon. The Onsager's symmetry relationship is a consequence of microscopic reversibility condition due to the equality of the differential cross sections for direct and time reversed collision processes. For a prescribed irreversible force the actual flux which satisfies Onsager's theory also maximizes the entropy production. Maximum entropy production principle is an additional statement over the second law of thermodynamics telling us that the entropy production is not just positive, but tends to a maximum. Research in this area is yet to enter the domain of computational fluid dynamics, publications concerning maximum entropy principle is still in the realm of physics<sup>1</sup>. The solution of the Boltzmann equation is in accordance with the principle of maximum entropy production (MEP). Non-equilibrium thermodynamics provides a tool for checking the correctness of the kinetic theory based solutions [82]. Distribution function derived using kinetic theory has to comply with requirements of non-equilibrium thermodynamics like following Onsager's principle and maximization of entropy production under constraint imposed due to conservation laws. This section introduces kinetic theory and describes Boltzmann equation and its moments. The section also presents maximum entropy production (MEP) principle and brings out relationship between Onsager's variational principle and linearized Boltzmann equation.

<sup>1</sup> Refer to publication of Martyushev and Seleznev [50], and Beretta [6] for more details.

## 2.1 Boltzmann equation

The Boltzmann equation in Bogoliubov's generalized form is expressed as follows

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{v}} f + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f) = J(f, f) + K(f, f, f) + L(f, f, f, f) + \dots \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{x}$ , the position vector,  $\vec{a}$  is the acceleration vector and  $\vec{v}$  is the velocity vector of molecules given in  $\mathbb{R}^D$ , here  $D$  is number of directions a molecule is allowed to move. The left hand side describes the streaming operation as  $\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ , thus it expresses advection of molecules written in conservative form. On the right hand side factor  $J(f, f)$  is binary or two particle collision,  $K(f, f, f)$  being the ternary or three particle collision and  $L(f, f, f, f)$  is quaternary or four particle collision. Here in  $J, K, L$  the difference in position between the colliding particles is taken into account.

Consider dilute polyatomic gas with binary collisions, the Boltzmann transport equation in such a case describes the transient single particle molecular distribution  $f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  where  $D$  is the degree of freedom. An additional internal energy variable  $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is added as polyatomic gas consists of particles with additional degree of freedom [56] required for conservation of total energy instead of translational energy alone. Thus a molecule of a polyatomic gas is characterized by a  $(2D + 1)$  dimensional space given by its position  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ , molecular velocity vector  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and internal energy  $\mathbb{I} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Distribution function expresses the probability of finding the molecules in the differential volume  $d^D x d^D v d\mathbb{I}^2$  of the phase space. The equilibrium or Maxwellian distribution function for the polyatomic gas is given by

$$f_0 = \frac{\rho}{\mathbb{I}_o} \left( \frac{\beta}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{D}{2}} \exp \left( -\beta(\vec{v} - \vec{u})^2 - \frac{\mathbb{I}}{\mathbb{I}_o} \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $\beta = 1/2RT$  with  $R$  as the specific gas constant and  $\mathbb{I}_o$  is given as

$$\mathbb{I}_o = \frac{\langle \mathbb{I}, f_0 \rangle}{\langle f_0 \rangle} = \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \mathbb{I} f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = \frac{2 - (\gamma - 1)D}{4(\gamma - 1)\beta} \quad (3)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the specific heat ratio. Many polyatomic gases are calorically imperfect i.e. specific heat varies with temperature and in most of the engineering applications translational, rotational and vibrational partition functions contribute to the thermodynamic properties. For such a case distribution function can be represented as the probability of dominant macrostate with specific heat ratio,  $\gamma$  as

$$\gamma = 1 + \left[ 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n_v} \left\{ g_i \left( \frac{\theta_i}{T} \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\theta_i}{T}\right) \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\theta_i}{T}\right) \right)^{-2} \right\} \right]^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where  $g_i$  is the degeneracy of the  $i^{th}$  vibrational mode,  $\theta_i$  is the characteristic temperature and  $n_v$  is number of vibrational modes.

---

<sup>2</sup>For example when  $D=3$  the polyatomic gas is characterized by a 7 dimensional space and the differential volume in phase space is  $d^3 x d^3 v d\mathbb{I}$  where  $d^3 x$  is  $dx dy dz$  and  $d^3 v$  is  $dv_x dv_y dv_z$ .

## 2.2 Moments and hyperbolic conservation equations

The moment of a function,  $\Psi = \Psi(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as Hilbert space of functions generated by the inner product

$$\bar{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) = \langle \Psi, f \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (5)$$

The five moments function defined as  $\Psi = [1, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2}v^2]^T$  gives the macroscopic mass, momentum, and energy densities i.e.  $\langle \Psi, f \rangle = [\rho, \rho\vec{u}, \rho E]^T$ , where  $E = RT/(\gamma - 1) + \frac{1}{2}u^2$ ,  $\vec{u}$  is the fluid velocity vector. When we take moments of the Boltzmann equation we get the hyperbolic conservation equation. For example with  $f = f_0$  we get Euler equations that are set of inviscid compressible coupled hyperbolic conservation equations written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_0) = 0 \right) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GX}_I}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GY}_I}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GZ}_I}{\partial z} = 0 \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{U} = [\rho, \rho\vec{u}, \rho E]^T = \langle \Psi, f_0 \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I}$  is the vector of conserved variable and  $(\mathbf{GX}_I, \mathbf{GY}_I, \mathbf{GZ}_I)$  are the Cartesian components of the inviscid flux vector defined as

$$\mathbf{GX}_I = \langle \Psi v_x f_0 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi v_x f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_x \\ p + \rho u_x^2 \\ \rho u_x u_y \\ \rho u_x u_z \\ (\rho E + p) u_x \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{GY}_I = \langle \Psi v_y f_0 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi v_y f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_y \\ \rho u_y u_x \\ p + \rho u_y^2 \\ \rho u_y u_z \\ (\rho E + p) u_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{GZ}_I = \langle \Psi v_z f_0 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi v_z f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_z \\ \rho u_z u_x \\ \rho u_z u_y \\ p + \rho u_z^2 \\ (\rho E + p) u_z \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

For an ideal law we have  $p = \rho RT$  where  $R$  is the specific gas constant and  $T$  is the absolute temperature. No real gas follows the ideal gas law for all temperatures and pressures, in such a case a good engineering approach is to take the equation of state of the thermally imperfect gas.

The distribution function  $f$  can be expressed as the Chapman-Enskog expansion[14] in terms Knudsen number,  $\text{Kn}$  as follows

$$f = f_0 + \text{Kn} \bar{f}_1 + \text{Kn}^2 \bar{f}_2 + \dots \quad (10)$$

The perturbation terms satisfies the additive invariants property  $\langle \Psi, \text{Kn}^k \bar{f}_k \rangle_{\forall k \geq 1} = 0$ . Using the non-dimensionless Boltzmann equation and Chapman-Enskog expansion, higher

order distribution is generated by virtue of iterative refinement as follows:

$$\bar{f}_k = -\frac{t_R}{\text{Kn}} \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{f}_{k-1}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot (\bar{v} \bar{f}_{k-1}) \right] \quad (11)$$

where Kn is the Knudsen number with  $\bar{f}_0 = f_0$ . With first order expansion  $f = f_0 + \text{Kn} \bar{f}_1$  we get Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations, and with second order expansion  $f = f_0 + \text{Kn} \bar{f}_1 + \text{Kn}^2 \bar{f}_2$  we get a set of Burnett equations. It is evident that Navier-Stokes-Fourier and Burnett equations are all obtained using the five moments and hence they do not have equations for evolution of shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector. The moments of the Boltzmann equation satisfy an infinite hierarchy of balance laws such that from the continuum mechanics perspective the flux in an equation becomes the density at the next hierarchical level [57]. There can be different set of moment equations based on entropy based closure or closure due to equilibrium solution.

### 2.3 Maximum entropy production and Onsager's principle

Any fluid flow moving from one conserved non-equilibrium state to another conserved non-equilibrium state will generate entropy  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$  due to its thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  and associated conjugate thermodynamic flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$ . From Onsager's point of view thermodynamic force is defined as derivative of entropy density  $\sigma$  with respect to thermodynamic variable  $\mathbf{a}_i$

$$\mathbf{X}_i = \left( \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \mathbf{a}_i} \right)_{\mathbf{a}_j \neq \mathbf{a}_i} \quad (12)$$

The subscript "i" is not the index notation of the tensor, it signifies the type of thermodynamic force e.g  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  or  $\mathbf{X}_q$  associated due to stress tensor or thermal gradient vector. *Maximum entropy production principle states that this entropy is not only positive it is also maximum.* The maximization of entropy takes place for a prescribed irreversible force under constraint imposed on entropy production due to conservation laws [84] written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize} && \sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) \\ &\text{subject to} && \sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) - \sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$  is the entropy production density based on conservation law and  $\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$  is the entropy production density in terms of fluxes. Operator  $\odot$  denotes full tensor contraction of forces and fluxes, which are of the same tensorial order following Curie principle. As described earlier, the term  $\mathbf{J}_i$  signifies flux and the term  $\mathbf{X}_i$  signifies the thermodynamic force. Expansion of the entropy production density  $\sigma_J$  in terms of  $N$  number of fluxes for a system close to equilibrium state gives

$$\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \mathbf{J}_i + \sum_{i,k=1}^N \alpha_{ik} \odot \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{J}_k + \dots \quad (14)$$

where coefficients  $\alpha, \alpha_i, \alpha_{ik}, \dots$ , are properties of the system in equilibrium state. The first term on the right hand side vanishes since there is no entropy production in the equilibrium state. Coefficients associated with odd power of fluxes also vanish i.e.  $\alpha_i = 0$  as entropy production is independent of the direction of flux flow. For linear irreversible

thermodynamics (LIT) higher terms can be neglected and the entropy production density  $\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$  for LIT can be approximated as a bilinear function of fluxes as follows

$$\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) \approx \sum_{i,k=1}^N \alpha_{ik} \odot \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{J}_k \quad (15)$$

The coefficients  $\alpha_{rs}$ ,  $\alpha_{sr}$  vanish only if flux  $\mathbf{J}_r$  and  $\mathbf{J}_s$  do not couple. The constrained maximization of  $\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$  leads to Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}, \lambda)$  with  $\lambda$  as a Lagrangian multiplier. The optimality conditions leads to KKT (Kharush-Kuhn-Tucker) equations  $[\nabla_{\mathbf{J}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}, \lambda)]_{\mathbf{X}, \lambda} = 0$  and  $[\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}, \lambda)]_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}} = 0$ . The first KKT condition  $[\nabla_{\mathbf{J}_i} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}, \lambda)]_{\mathbf{X}, \lambda} = 0$  gives  $\mathbf{X}_i = \frac{2(\lambda-1)}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{ik} \odot \mathbf{J}_k$ . Using the second KKT condition we obtain  $\lambda = 2$  and the Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}) = \left[ \frac{\partial \left( \sum_i^N \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^N \alpha_{ik} \odot \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{J}_k \right)}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \right]_{\mathbf{X}} = 0 \quad (16)$$

This Lagrangian can be recast in a form similar to Onsager's variational principle

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{J}) = \left[ \frac{\partial (\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) - \sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k))}{\partial \mathbf{J}} \right]_{\mathbf{X}} = 0 \quad (17)$$

where derived entropy production term  $\sigma_J(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^N \alpha_{ik} \odot \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{J}_k$  for linear irreversible thermodynamics (LIT) is similar to Onsager's dissipative function density  $\Phi(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$  for domain  $\Omega$  in the flux space

$$\Phi(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,k=1}^N \mathbf{R}_{ik}^J \odot \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{J}_k d\Omega \quad (18)$$

and coefficients  $\alpha_{ik}$  is equivalent of Onsager's phenomenological symmetric tensor  $\mathbf{R}_{ik}^J$ . Onsager variational principle [58, 59] is one of the corner stone of linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics. It states that each flux is a linear homogeneous function of all the forces of the same tensorial order following Curie principle such that flux  $\mathbf{J}_i = \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j$ . In isotropic media  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}$  vanish if forces couple with fluxes of different tensor types. *For a prescribed irreversible force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  the actual flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  which satisfies Onsager's principle also maximizes the entropy production,  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$ .*

An alternative Gyarmati [28] formulation in force space can be written as

$$\left[ \frac{\partial (\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) - \sigma_X(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k))}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right]_{\mathbf{J}} = 0 \quad (19)$$

The derived entropy in terms of thermodynamic force,  $\sigma_X(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k)$  is similar to dissipation function density  $\phi_X(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k)$  in the force space expressed as

$$\phi_X(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,k=1}^N \mathbf{R}_{ik}^X \odot \mathbf{X}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_k d\Omega \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{ik}^X$  is the phenomenological symmetric tensor in the force space. Gyarmati formulation also leads to the same conclusion that for a prescribed thermodynamic fluxes  $\mathbf{J}_i$  the actual irreversible forces  $\mathbf{X}_i$  maximize entropy production.

## 2.4 Onsager's principle and linearized Boltzmann equation

Consider linearized distribution  $f_1 = f_0[1 + \Phi]$  with the further assumption that  $|\Phi| \ll 1$  and both Maxwellian,  $f_0$  and unknown  $\Phi$  vary slowly in space and time. With this assumption we can neglect the product of  $\Phi$  with derivatives of Maxwellian  $f_0$  as well as derivatives of  $\Phi$ . The linearized Boltzmann equation in terms of linearized collision operator  $\mathbb{J}\Phi$  can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{f_0} \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v}f_0) \right) = -\mathbb{J}\Phi \quad (21)$$

Consider another trial linearized distribution  $f_{1,T} = f_0[1 + \Phi_T]$  which is not the solution of Boltzmann equation but it satisfies additive invariants property and produces entropy. Martyushev and Seleznev [50] proved that the distribution  $f_1 = f_0[1 + \Phi]$  which is the solution of Boltzmann equation also maximizes the entropy production

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} f_0 \Phi \mathbb{J}\Phi d\vec{v}d\mathbb{I} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} f_0 \Phi_T \mathbb{J}\Phi_T d\vec{v}d\mathbb{I} \quad (22)$$

Thus the *solution of the Boltzmann equation is in accordance with the principle of maximum entropy production (MEP)*. To carry the investigation further on the subject it is essential to analyze linearized Boltzmann equation. Wang Chang and Uhlenbeck [13], Grad[24], Ikenberry and Truesdell [30], Gross and Jackson [26], and others have done extensive investigations on linearized Boltzmann equation. Researchers have tried to interpret the linear collision operator by i) either considering its spectrum that includes eigenvalues for which  $\mathbb{J}\Phi = \lambda\Phi$  has eigensolutions within the Hilbert space, ii) or decomposing it in terms of fluid dynamic gradients, iii) or expanding it in terms of thermodynamic forces. For example Wang Chang and Uhlenbeck [13] interpreted  $\Phi$  in terms of orthonormalized set of eigenfunctions written in separable form as tensor spherical harmonic and radial eigenfunctions, these eigenfunctions for Maxwell molecules can be written in terms of Laguerre-Sonine polynomials. Grad [24] interpreted  $\Phi$  in terms of Hermitian tensor polynomials whereas Gross and Jackson [26] used eigenvalue-theory of Wang Chang and Uhlenbeck [13] to construct kinetic model by replacing higher order eigenvalues by a suitable constant at each lower order approximation. Loyalka [43] used linearized Boltzmann equation with perturbation based on pressure and temperature gradients to investigate the Onsager reciprocal relationship for slip flows. Lang [34] decomposed the perturbation into three parts and made use of variational technique to calculate symmetric Onsager's matrix for slip flows. Zhdanov and Roldughin [82] have investigated linkage between kinetic theory and non-equilibrium thermodynamics by expanding  $\Phi$  in terms of tensor spherical harmonic and Sonine polynomials while McCourt *et al.* [52] interpreted  $\Phi$  in term of flux and its conjugate thermodynamic force. Sharipov[67] investigated Onsager's reciprocal relationship for nonlinear irreversible phenomena by expanding Boltzmann equation in power series with respect to thermodynamic force.

The present research investigates the linkage between kinetic theory and non equilibrium thermodynamics by expanding unknown  $\Phi = \sum_i \Phi_i$  as a sum of component of perturbation  $\Phi_i = (\Phi)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i}$  appearing due to thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  such that all other thermodynamic forces are absent i.e.  $\mathbf{X}_j = 0, \forall j \neq i$ . The linearized Boltzmann



equation corresponding to thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  becomes

$$\frac{1}{f_0} \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_0) \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} = -\mathbb{J}_i \Phi_i \quad (23)$$

The collision operator  $\mathbb{J}_i \Phi_i$  will exist only when the system is disturbed from the state of equilibrium due to some thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . Such a thermodynamic force will lead to its associated conjugate microscopic flux tensor i.e. microscopic flux due to thermal gradient vector or stress tensor. Adopting the approach of McCourt *et al.* [52] we can express the linearized collision operator in term of flux and its conjugate thermodynamic force such that  $\mathbb{J}_i \Phi_i = \tilde{\Upsilon}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$ , where  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_i$  is the reduced microscopic flux tensor associated with its conjugate thermodynamic force,  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . As already described operator  $\odot$  denotes full tensor contraction of forces and fluxes, which are of the same tensorial order following Curie principle. The subscript "i" is not the index notation of the tensor, it signifies the type of thermodynamic force e.g  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  or  $\mathbf{X}_q$  associated due to stress tensor or thermal gradient vector. The inverse operator  $\mathbb{J}_i^{-1}$  is defined on the non-hydrodynamic subspace orthogonal to the collisional invariants such that

$$\Phi = \sum_i (\mathbb{J}_i^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i ((\mathbb{J}^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon})_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i) \quad (24)$$

Linearized Boltzmann equation leads to thermodynamic flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  in a linear phenomenological form as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_i &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \tilde{\Upsilon}_i f_0 \Phi d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = \langle \tilde{\Upsilon}_i, f_0 \Phi \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \tilde{\Upsilon}_i, f_0 \sum_j ((\mathbb{J}^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon})_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j) \right\rangle = \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}$  is the phenomenological tensor of transport coefficients defined as

$$\mathbf{L}_{ij} = \langle \tilde{\Upsilon}_i, f_0 (\mathbb{J}^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon})_j \rangle \quad (26)$$

The phenomenological tensor obeys Onsager's reciprocal relationship  $\mathbf{L}_{ij} = \mathbf{L}_{ji}$ . Casimir [11] generalized this reciprocal relationship for thermodynamic force of arbitrary parity to include larger class of irreversible phenomena such that  $\mathbf{L}_{ij} = \eta_j \eta_i \mathbf{L}_{ji}$  where parity  $\eta_j = -1$  when flux changes sign under microscopic motion reversal. If the reduced microscopic flux tensors  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_r$  and  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_s$  do not couple then no cross effects will be present and phenomenological tensor of transport coefficients  $\mathbf{L}_{rs} = \mathbf{L}_{sr}$  will vanish. For example in case of fluid flow described by Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations we have  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_\tau$  due to thermodynamic force associated with stress tensor and  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_q$  due to thermodynamic force associated with thermal gradient vector. For such a case  $\mathbf{L}_{\tau q} = \mathbf{L}_{q\tau}$  vanish as  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_\tau$  and  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_q$  are of different tensorial order and hence do not couple. We get only two tensors  $\mathbf{L}_{\tau\tau}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{qq}$  of transport coefficients which are equivalent to scalars because of isotropy due to the rotational invariance of the collision operator<sup>3</sup>. Viscosity and thermal conductivity coefficients can be extracted from the reduced matrix element  $L_{\tau\tau}$  and  $L_{qq}$  respectively, where reduced matrix element  $L_{ii}$  for any tensor  $\mathbf{L}_{ii}$  of rank  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined as

$$L_{ii} = \frac{\langle \tilde{\Upsilon}_i \odot, f_0 (\mathbb{J}^{-1} \tilde{\Upsilon})_i \rangle}{2\mathcal{T} + 1} \quad (27)$$

<sup>3</sup>The operator  $\mathbb{J}$  has rotational invariance if  $\mathbb{J} = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \mathbb{J} \mathcal{R}$  for any rotational operator  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Consider a binary mixture of non-reacting gas where macroscopic fluxes are generated because of thermodynamic forces associated due to stress tensor, thermal gradient vector and chemical potential gradient vector due to species distribution. Since the thermodynamic forces due to thermal gradient vector,  $\mathbf{X}_q$  and chemical potential gradient vector,  $\mathbf{X}_d$  are of the same tensorial order we get equality in Soret and Dufour coefficients due to Onsager symmetry. *Onsager-Casimir symmetry relationship* is a consequence of positive semi-definiteness and self-adjoint property of the linearized collision operator  $\mathbb{J}$  arising from the microscopic reversibility condition due to the equality of the differential cross sections for direct and time reversed collision processes. Entropy production density can be derived using moment  $\Psi_e = -\ln f$  for density based distribution function,  $f$  as

$$\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{j}_s) = \langle \ln(f), f_0 \mathbb{J} \Phi \rangle \quad (28)$$

where  $\rho_s$  is the entropy density,  $\vec{j}_s$  is the flux of entropy density and  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$  is the entropy production density. Since we deal with the linearized distribution, hence  $\ln(f)$  can be approximated as

$$\ln(f) = \ln(f_0) + \ln(1 + \Phi) \approx \ln(f_0) + \Phi \quad (29)$$

There is no contribution from the term  $\langle \ln(f_0), f_0 \mathbb{J} \Phi \rangle$  as it is a collisional invariant. Positive semi-definiteness of the linearized collision operator  $\langle \Phi \mathbb{J} \Phi \rangle \geq 0$  leads to non-negative entropy production as  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) \approx \langle f_0 \Phi \mathbb{J} \Phi \rangle \geq 0$  establishing the connection with linear irreversible thermodynamics as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) \approx \langle f_0 \Phi \mathbb{J} \Phi \rangle &= \left\langle f_0 \sum_j (\mathbb{J}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{Y}})_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \sum_i \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i \right\rangle \geq 0 \\ &= \sum_i \left( \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \right) \odot \mathbf{X}_i \geq 0 = \sum_i \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The present approach of defining linearized collision operator as  $\mathbb{J}_i \Phi_i = \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$  leads to non-equilibrium flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  in a linear phenomenological form complying with Onsager's principle. *The flux follows Onsager's form hence entropy production for linearized Boltzmann equation described by Equation (30) will also be in accordance with maximum entropy production (MEP) principle.* Figure 2 shows the schematic of Onsager reciprocity principle linking the macroscopic non-equilibrium thermodynamics of entropy production due to microscopic collisions described by kinetic theory. This exercise gives us the following guidance and directions:

- Kinetic model replacing linearized collision operator  $\mathbb{J}_i \Phi_i$  should be formulated based on the principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics.
- The perturbation term  $\Phi$  in such a case can be written as a sum of perturbation components  $\Phi_i$  for each thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . The perturbation components  $\Phi_i$  can be expressed as tensor contraction of reduced microscopic flux tensors with its conjugate thermodynamic force following Onsager's relationship and maximum entropy production principle.
- Once the distribution function is formulated in the Onsager's form at the microscopic level it will also comply with the principles on non-equilibrium thermodynamics when it is projected to macroscopic Navier-Stokes-Fourier level.




Figure 2: Onsager reciprocity principle linking non-equilibrium thermodynamics and kinetic theory.

### 3 Onsager's variational principle based kinetic model

Boltzmann equation being a nonlinear integro-differential equation becomes difficult to handle. This requires some alternative simpler model to replace the collision term. In kinetic models, the Boltzmann collision term  $J(f, f)$  is substituted by a relaxation expression  $J_m(f, f_{ref})$  in terms of suitable reference distribution function,  $f_{ref}$  and mean collision frequency,  $\nu$  or relaxation time,  $t_R$ . These models should preserve following basic properties and characteristics of the Boltzmann equation [47] enumerated as

1. Locality and Galilean invariance

Since the Boltzmann equation is invariant under Galilean transformation hence the collision term  $J_m(f, f_{ref})$  should depend only on peculiar velocity  $\vec{c} = \vec{v} - \vec{u}$ .

2. Additive invariants of the collision integral

This property ensures conservation of mass, momentum and energy and is represented as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} J_m(f, f_{ref}) \Psi d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = 0 \quad (31)$$

3. Uniqueness of equilibrium

The zero point of kinetic model  $J_m(f, f_{ref}) = 0$  representing collision term implies uniqueness of equilibrium.

4. Local entropy production inequality and Boltzmann H-theorem

This property represents non-negative Boltzmann entropy production obtained using moment  $\Psi_e = -\ln f$ .<sup>4</sup> by the kinetic model representing collision term

$$\sigma(\vec{v}, t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \ln f J_m(f, f_0) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = - \frac{dH}{dt} \geq 0 \quad (32)$$

<sup>4</sup>When distribution function is expressed in terms of number density as  $\check{f}$  then entropy production per molecule is  $\sigma(\vec{v}, t) = -k_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \ln \check{f} J_m(\check{f}, \check{f}_0) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = -k_B \frac{dH}{dt} \geq 0$  where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann's constant.

where H-function is given by

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} f \ln f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (33)$$

5. Positive distribution

The H-function of the kinetic model should decay monotonically such that Boltzmann equation gives positive distribution leading towards the unique equilibrium solution.

6. Correct transport coefficients in the hydrodynamic limit

In the hydrodynamic limit the kinetic model should generate correct transport coefficients such as viscosity,  $\mu$  and thermal conductivity,  $\kappa$  and Prandtl number,  $Pr$  should be close to 2/3.

7. Onsager's relation for entropy production

Close to equilibrium for a prescribed irreversible force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  a non-equilibrium flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  is generated due to collisions such that  $\mathbf{J}_i = \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j$  leading to maximization of the entropy production given by  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$ .

The simplest of all the kinetic models which satisfies the Boltzmann H-theorem is the non-linear Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) model [7]. Here the reference distribution function is simply Maxwellian i.e.  $f_{ref} = f_0$ . With this the BGK kinetic model can be represented as

$$J_m(f, f_0) = -\nu(f - f_0) = -\frac{(f - f_0)}{t_R} \quad (34)$$

where  $\nu$  is the collision frequency and  $t_R$  is the relaxation time. BGK is a non-linear function of the moments of  $f$  whereas the Boltzmann collision integral is non-linear in the distribution function itself. BGK model preserves most of the property of the collision integral but its evaluation in the hydrodynamic limit generates transport coefficients which cannot be adjusted to give the correct Prandtl number of 2/3. A more generalized form of BGK model is Gross and Jackson[26] constant collision frequency kinetic model which retains sufficient eigenfunctions of the collision operator, while doing so it introduces scaling or free parameter due to certain cut-off in the intermolecular potential [69] that affects the thermal creep slip. The incorrect value of the Prandtl number due to BGK like model, can be corrected by kinetic models like Shakhov's model [66], the ellipsoidal statistical BGK (ES-BGK) model [29, 2]. The ellipsoidal statistical BGK (ES-BGK) model and the Shakhov's model is a generalization of the BGK model equation with correct relaxation of both the heat flux and stresses, leading thus to the correct continuum limit in the case of small Knudsen numbers. Both the models are computationally expensive in comparison with BGK model. Literature review also reveals Liu model [39], the BGK model with velocity dependent collision frequency  $\nu(c)$ -BGK model of Mieussens and Struchtrup [54] which yield the proper Prandtl number. Zheng and Struchtrup [83] have carried out detailed study on kinetic models. Gorban and Karlin [23] have used specific thermodynamic parametrization of an arbitrary approximation of reduced description to construct kinetic model.

Kinetic model in lattice Boltzmann (LB) method is represented as scattering matrix between various discrete-velocity distributions e.g. in lattice BGK (LBGK) scattering

matrix is in diagonal form with single relaxation parameter. Kinetic model associated with multiple relaxation time (MRT) LB[17, 18] uses multiple relaxation to address the issue of fixed Prandtl number and fixed ratio between the kinematic and bulk viscosity while providing stability. Revised matrix LB model [31] uses a two-step relaxation BGK like model to strike a balance between enhanced stability and simplicity. Yong [81] proposed Onsager like relation as a requirement and guide to construct stable LB models.

Almost all the kinetic models have focused on Prandtl number fix and satisfaction of H-theorem or stability, and have ignored the crucial aspect of *non-equilibrium thermodynamics i.e. satisfaction of Onsager's variational principle*. In order to derive a thermodynamically correct distribution function the kinetic model itself requires its foundation based on the principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Task of development of such a kinetic model will require casting it in the Onsager's form at the microscopic level i.e. representing it terms of microscopic flux and its associated thermodynamic forces. The first step towards the development of such a kinetic model will require identification of thermodynamic forces and microscopic flux tensors associated with the polyatomic gas.

### 3.1 Identification of thermodynamic forces and microscopic tensors

Important linkages with non-equilibrium thermodynamics can be drawn from the expression of the first order velocity distribution function,  $f_1$  based on Morse-BGK model [56] of a polyatomic gas. In Morse's model [56] relaxation time for elastic and inelastic collision is considered separately. Due to inelastic collision particles relax to equilibrium distribution in internal and translational state at same temperature as there is equipartition of energy between the internal and translational degree of freedom. Consider inelastic collisions and assumption of molecular level thermodynamic equilibrium with internal and translational mode<sup>5</sup> such that the particles in non-equilibrium are replaced exponentially by particles in equilibrium with characteristic time  $t_{R(f)}$  and  $t_{R(f_0)}$  respectively. Morse-BGK [56] kinetic model for polyatomic gas with an assumption  $t_{R(f)}=t_{R(f_0)}=t_R$  is given by

$$J_m(f, f_0) = -\frac{f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_{R(f)}(\vec{x}, t)} + \frac{f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_{R(f_0)}(\vec{x}, t)} = -\frac{f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) - f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_R(\vec{x}, t)} \quad (35)$$

Using Chapman-Enskog expansion, velocity distribution function  $f_1$  is derived as

$$f_1 = f_0 - \sum_j \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j = f_0 - (\Upsilon_\tau : \mathbf{X}_\tau + \Upsilon_q \cdot \mathbf{X}_q) \quad (36)$$

where  $\Upsilon_j$  is the microscopic flux tensor and  $\mathbf{X}_j$  is the conjugate thermodynamic force tensor. Using the definition of perturbation term  $\Phi$  the microscopic tensor  $\Upsilon_j$  can be expressed in terms of reduced microscopic tensor as follows

$$\Upsilon_j = -f_0(\mathbb{J}^{-1}\bar{\Upsilon})_j = -f_0 t_R \bar{\Upsilon}_j \quad (37)$$

The linearized collision operator  $\mathbb{J}$  is described by a single relaxation time  $t_R$  which is not affected by thermodynamic force. The microscopic reduced tensor associated with the

---

<sup>5</sup>For polyatomic gas, vibrational relaxation may require several thousand collisions for relaxation to achieve molecular level thermodynamic equilibrium. For simulating effect of high frequency sound waves the present assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium with internal and translational mode may not hold.

stress tensor for  $D$  degree of freedom in terms of Morse-BGK model is derived as

$$\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_\tau = - \left[ \bar{\mathbf{c}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{c}} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(2+D)\gamma - (4+D)}{2\beta} - \frac{\mathbb{I}(\gamma-1)}{\mathbb{I}_o\beta} - c^2(\gamma-1) \right\} \mathbf{I} \right] \quad (38)$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{c}} = \vec{v} - \vec{u}$  is the peculiar velocity vector and  $\mathbf{I}$  is the rank- $D$  identity invariant tensor. The microscopic reduced vector associated with heat transport is

$$\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_q = - \left[ \frac{4+D}{2\beta} - \frac{\mathbb{I}}{\mathbb{I}_o\beta} - c^2 \right] \bar{\mathbf{c}} \quad (39)$$

The thermodynamic force associated with stress tensor,  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and thermal gradient vector,  $\mathbf{X}_q$  is

$$\mathbf{X}_\tau = \beta[(\nabla \otimes \vec{u}) + (\nabla \otimes \vec{u})^T] \quad , \quad \mathbf{X}_q = \nabla\beta \quad (40)$$

### 3.2 Onsager-BGK kinetic model

Consider non-equilibrium phenomenon due to two thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_q$ . The particles that are in non-equilibrium due to thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  are replaced exponentially by particles in equilibrium with characteristic time  $t_{R(f,\tau)}$  and  $t_{R(f_0,\tau)}$  respectively. Similarly, particles in non-equilibrium due to thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_q$  are replaced exponentially by particles in equilibrium with characteristic time  $t_{R(f,q)}$  and  $t_{R(f_0,q)}$  respectively. In most cases the state of gas is not varying rapidly in the interval of relaxation time so  $f-f_0$  is small, and  $t_{R(f,\tau)} = t_{R(f_0,\tau)} = t_{R(\tau)}$  and  $t_{R(f,q)} = t_{R(f_0,q)} = t_{R(q)}$ , with this the new kinetic model called *Onsager-BGK model* becomes

$$J_m(f, f_0) = - \left( \frac{f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) - f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_{R(\tau)}(\vec{x}, t)} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_q=0} - \left( \frac{f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) - f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_{R(q)}(\vec{x}, t)} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_\tau=0} \quad (41)$$

In this proposed new kinetic model only inelastic collisions are considered that are in non-equilibrium due to thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and  $\mathbf{X}_q$ . The part of distribution which is in non-equilibrium due to thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  first relaxes to Maxwellian  $f_0$  in characteristic time  $t_{R(\tau)}$ . Simultaneously, the part of distribution which is in non-equilibrium due to thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_q$  relaxes to Maxwellian  $f_0$  in characteristic time  $t_{R(q)}$ . Figure 3 shows the relaxation of non-equilibrium distribution function based on the new kinetic model in the phase plane of thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and  $\mathbf{X}_q$ . The relaxation step can be cast as an eigenvalue problem  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathcal{X} = 0$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a tensor with components  $\{\mathbf{X}_\tau, \mathbf{X}_q\}$  such that positive semi-definiteness of the collision operator ensures non-negative entropy production, providing a Lyapunov criterion for the stability towards a equilibrium distribution. In a more generalized form Onsager-BGK model can be written as

$$J_m(f, f_0) = - \sum_j \left( \frac{f(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) - f_0(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)}{t_{R(j)}(\vec{x}, t)} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, \forall i \neq j} \quad (42)$$

where  $t_{R(j)}$  is the relaxation time for thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_j$ . Distribution function,  $f(\Delta t)$  after time interval  $t = \Delta t$  relaxes as

$$f(\Delta t) = \sum_j [f(0)Exp(-\Delta t/t_{R(j)}) + f_0(1 - Exp(-\Delta t/t_{R(j)}))]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq j} \quad (43)$$




Figure 3: Schematic of relaxation of non-equilibrium distribution  $f$  to equilibrium distribution  $f_0$  in the phase plane of thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and  $\mathbf{X}_q$ .

where  $f(0) = f(t = 0)$  is the initial non-equilibrium distribution just after streaming or convection step while  $f_0$  is the final equilibrium distribution to be reached after sufficient collisions<sup>6</sup>. Generalized Onsager-BGK model that accounts for elastic and inelastic collision is expressed as

$$J_m(f, f_0)_{el, in} = - \sum_j \left( \frac{f - f_{0,el}}{t_{R(j),el}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, \forall i \neq j} - \sum_j \left( \frac{f - f_0}{t_{R(j)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, \forall i \neq j} \quad (44)$$

Kinetic model which accounts inelastic and elastic collisions is parameterized by elastic and inelastic relaxation times i.e.  $t_{R(j),el}$  and  $t_{R(j)}$  for each thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_j$ . Elastic collisions do not contribute to equipartition of energy between translational and internal states. Due to elastic collision particles relax to equilibrium  $f_{0,el}$  for translational state at a temperature which is different from the temperature at which internal state attains its equilibrium.

### 3.3 Distribution function for Onsager-BGK kinetic model

Distribution function using Chapman-Enskog method[14] for single particle distribution function is build on two fundamental assumptions namely i) distribution function can be expanded as a power series around a local equilibrium state using Knudsen number as a parameter, ii) distribution is time independent function of locally conserved variable. On the other hand Grad's moment method follows the framework and structure of extended irreversible thermodynamics (EIT) as it expands the distribution function in terms of tensorial Hermite polynomials around a local equilibrium state. Grad's method does not have measure of order of magnitude for truncation procedure [74]. Sharipov [67]

<sup>6</sup>It is not guaranteed that this approximate distribution function will be able to meet all the requirements of thermodynamics. The present analysis can be made more physically meaningful by using modified moment method of Eu [20] in which the distribution function also depends on entropy derivatives called as Gibbs variables.

method expands the distribution function for an arbitrary Knudsen number as a power series with respect to thermodynamic force. For a generic Onsager-BGK kinetic model, the distribution function for an arbitrary Knudsen number is generated using iterative refinement as follows

$$f = f_0 - \sum_j \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j + \sum_{k,j} \Upsilon_{kj} \odot \mathbf{X}_k \odot \mathbf{X}_j - \sum_{m,k,j} \Upsilon_{mkj} \odot \mathbf{X}_m \odot \mathbf{X}_k \odot \mathbf{X}_j + \dots \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j &= t_{R(j)} \left[ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_0) \right]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq j} \\ \Upsilon_{kj} \odot \mathbf{X}_k &= t_{R(k)} \left[ \frac{\partial \Upsilon_j}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} \Upsilon_j) \right]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq k} \\ \Upsilon_{mkj} \odot \mathbf{X}_m &= t_{R(m)} \left[ \frac{\partial \Upsilon_{kj}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} \Upsilon_{kj}) \right]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq m} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The higher order distribution follows Onsager's reciprocity principle and accounts for the terms due to Onsager's cross coupling e.g. Soret and Dufour effects on Burnett distribution. The first order velocity distribution function,  $f_1$  is

$$f_1 = f_0 - \sum_j t_{R(j)} \left[ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_0) \right]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq j} = f_0 - \sum_j \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \quad (47)$$

The microscopic flux tensor  $\Upsilon_j$  in terms of reduced microscopic flux tensor  $\bar{\Upsilon}_j$  is expressed as follows

$$\Upsilon_j = -f_0(\mathbb{J}^{-1} \bar{\Upsilon})_j = -f_0 t_{R(j)} \bar{\Upsilon}_j \quad (48)$$

where linearized collision operator  $\mathbb{J}$  has a dependence on the thermodynamic force i.e. it depends inversely with  $t_{R(j)}$  which is the relaxation time associated with the thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_j$ . The perturbation terms satisfies the additive invariants property, expressed as

$$\sum_j \langle \Psi, \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \rangle = \sum_j \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi \Upsilon_j d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \right) \odot \mathbf{X}_j = 0 \quad (49)$$

A generalized form of Onsager-BGK model can also be expressed as

$$J_m(f, f_0) = \sum_j \left( \frac{\Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j}{t_{R(j)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, \forall i \neq j} = -f_0 \sum_j \bar{\Upsilon}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \quad (50)$$

The non-equilibrium thermodynamic flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  expressed in a linear phenomenological form similar to equation (25) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_i &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \bar{\Upsilon}_i f_0 t_{R(j)} \sum_j \bar{\Upsilon}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = \left\langle \bar{\Upsilon}_i, f_0 t_{R(j)} \sum_j \bar{\Upsilon}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}$  is the phenomenological tensor of transport coefficients defined as

$$\mathbf{L}_{ij} = \langle \bar{\Upsilon}_i, f_0 t_{R(j)} \bar{\Upsilon}_j \rangle \quad (52)$$






Figure 4: Components of entropy as physical state evolves from time  $t$  to time  $t + \Delta t$  because of thermodynamic force due to stress tensor, thermal gradient vector and chemical potential gradient vector.

Onsager-BGK kinetic model for single temperature binary mixture of non-reacting gas becomes

$$J_m(f, f_0) = - \left( \frac{f-f_0}{t_{R(\tau)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_q=0, \mathbf{X}_d=0} - \left( \frac{f-f_0}{t_{R(q)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_\tau=0, \mathbf{X}_d=0} - \left( \frac{f-f_0}{t_{R(d)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_\tau=0, \mathbf{X}_q=0} \quad (53)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_q$  and  $\mathbf{X}_d$  are the thermodynamic force terms associated with stress tensor, thermal gradient vector and chemical potential gradient vector with  $t_{R(\tau)}$ ,  $t_{R(q)}$  and  $t_{R(d)}$  as their associated relaxation time. Refer appendix A for kinetic model of multi-temperature binary mixture of non-reacting gas. Following equation (50), kinetic model is written as

$$J_m(f, f_0) = -f_0 (\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_\tau : \mathbf{X}_\tau + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_q \cdot \mathbf{X}_q + \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_d \cdot \mathbf{X}_d) \quad (54)$$

The three non-equilibrium thermodynamic fluxes namely  $\mathbf{J}_q$ ,  $\mathbf{J}_\tau$ , and  $\mathbf{J}_d$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_q &= \mathbf{L}_{qq} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q + \mathbf{L}_{qd} \cdot \mathbf{X}_d \\ \mathbf{J}_d &= \mathbf{L}_{dq} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q + \mathbf{L}_{dd} \cdot \mathbf{X}_d \\ \mathbf{J}_\tau &= \mathbf{L}_{\tau\tau} : \mathbf{X}_\tau \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The thermodynamic forces  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  is of different tensorial order with respect to  $\mathbf{X}_q$  and  $\mathbf{X}_d$  hence the phenomenological tensors of transport coefficient  $\mathbf{L}_{\tau q}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{\tau d}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_{d\tau}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{q\tau}$  do not exist. Whereas,  $\mathbf{X}_q$  and  $\mathbf{X}_d$  are of same tensorial order, hence Onsager's cross coupling [9] gives the Dufour effect because of the heat flux driven by chemical potential gradient vector and Soret effect due to diffusive flux driven by thermal gradient vector. Figure 4 shows the components of entropy as the physical state evolves from time  $t$  to time  $t + \Delta t$ . The entropy generation follows Onsager's principle[27] and it is expressed as follows

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_j \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\mathbf{L}_{\tau\tau} : \mathbf{X}_\tau : \mathbf{X}_\tau}_{\sigma_{\tau\tau}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{L}_{qq} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q \cdot \mathbf{X}_q}_{\sigma_{qq}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{L}_{dd} \cdot \mathbf{X}_d \cdot \mathbf{X}_d}_{\sigma_{dd}} \\ + \underbrace{\mathbf{L}_{qd} \cdot \mathbf{X}_d \cdot \mathbf{X}_q}_{\sigma_{qd}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{L}_{dq} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q \cdot \mathbf{X}_d}_{\sigma_{dq}} \end{array} \right. \quad (56)$$

The Dufour and Soret coefficients can be extracted from reduced matrix elements obtained from  $\mathbf{L}_{qd}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{dq}$ . Onsager symmetry tells us that heat flux  $\mathbf{J}_q$  generated due to thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_d$  exactly equals the diffusion flux  $\mathbf{J}_d$  generated due to thermodynamic

force  $\mathbf{X}_q$ , so

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{J}_q}{\mathbf{X}_d}\right)_{\mathbf{X}_q} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{J}_d}{\mathbf{X}_q}\right)_{\mathbf{X}_d} \quad (57)$$

The postulate of Onsager's symmetry relationship implies equality of phenomenological tensors given by

$$\mathbf{L}_{qd} = \mathbf{L}_{dq} \quad (58)$$

Since  $\mathbf{L}_{qd} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_q, f_0 t_{R(d)} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_d \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{dq} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_q, f_0 t_{R(d)} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_d \rangle$  as described by equation (52), and equality of phenomenological tensors establishes

$$t_{R(d)} = t_{R(q)} \quad (59)$$

The relaxation time associated with thermal gradient vector,  $t_{R(q)}$  can be derived as

$$t_{R(q)} = \frac{\kappa(\gamma - 1)}{R\gamma p} \quad (60)$$

where  $\kappa$  is thermal conductivity. The relaxation time associated with stress tensor,  $t_{R(\tau)}$  is derived as

$$t_{R(\tau)} = \frac{\mu}{p} = \frac{\mu_{ref}}{p} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right)^\omega \quad (61)$$

where  $\mu_{ref}$  is the viscosity of the gas at reference temperature  $T_{ref}$ ,  $\omega$  is the exponent of the viscosity law and  $p$  is the pressure. The Prandtl number can be extracted from the ratio of reduced matrix elements  $L_{\tau\tau}/L_{qq}$  as Pr can also be interpreted as the ratio of the relaxation time [77] associated with thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_\tau$  and  $\mathbf{X}_q$ , which are of different tensorial order

$$\text{Pr} = \frac{t_{R(\tau)}}{t_{R(q)}} \quad (62)$$

### 3.4 Statistical representation of Onsager-BGK kinetic model

In statistics there are many varied approaches to measure divergence between two generalized probability density function  $f_g(x)$  and  $f_h(x)$ . Kullback-Leibler divergence [33] is one such measure which provides relative entropy [75, 21]. Kullback-Leibler divergence is defined as follows

$$D(f_g \| f_h) = \int f_g(x) \ln \frac{f_g(x)}{f_h(x)} dx \quad (63)$$

Kullback-Leibler divergence is asymmetric, always non-negative and becomes zero if and only if both the distributions are identical. Kullback-Leibler symmetric divergence can be written as

$$D(f_g, f_h) = D(f_g \| f_h) + D(f_h \| f_g) = \int (f_g(x) - f_h(x)) \ln \frac{f_g(x)}{f_h(x)} dx \quad (64)$$

Kullback-Leibler symmetric divergence  $D(f_g, f_h)$  equals Mahalanobis distance [45] when  $f_g(x)$  and  $f_h(x)$  are multivariate normal distributions with common variance-covariance matrix. Mahalanobis distance uses Galilean transformation and evaluates equivalent Euclidean distance under standard normal distribution. In the kinetic theory context  $D(f, f_{ref})$  can be interpreted as Mahalanobis distance between two distributions  $f$  and  $f_{ref}$ .

### 3.4.1 Mahalanobis speed and H-function

Consider Boltzmann H-function given by

$$H = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} f \ln f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (65)$$

The time derivative of H-function can be cast as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} J_m(f, f_0) \ln f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (66)$$

Based on the additive invariant property due to conservation of mass, momentum and energy we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} J_m(f, f_0) \ln(f_0) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} = 0 \quad (67)$$

Using the above relationship the time derivative of Boltzmann H-function can also be written as

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} J_m(f, f_0) \ln \frac{f}{f_0} d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (68)$$

The Boltzmann H-function can be interpreted as a summation of components of H-function belonging to each thermodynamic force i.e. each thermodynamic force will have its own H-theorem. For a generalized Onsager-BGK kinetic model described by equation (44) the time derivative of Boltzmann H-function can be written as summation of components for each thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} &= \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} \\ &= - \sum_i \frac{1}{t_{R(i)}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} (f - f_0) \ln \frac{f}{f_0} d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} \\ &= - \sum_i \frac{D(f, f_0)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i}}{t_{R(i)}} \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where  $D(f, f_0)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i}$  is the Mahalanobis distance between distribution  $f$  and  $f_0$  associated with thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . This statistical representation helps us to draw analogy with Mahalanobis distance and its positivity property shows

$$\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} = - \frac{D(f, f_0)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i}}{t_{R(i)}} \leq 0 \quad (70)$$

this establishes  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \leq 0$ , proof of H-theorem for the new kinetic model.

### 3.4.2 Mahalanobis speed and entropy production

The Boltzmann entropy production rate can be written as

$$\sigma(f, f_0) = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \sum_i \frac{D(f, f_0)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i}}{t_{R(i)}} = \sum_i \dot{M}_i \quad (71)$$

where  $\dot{M}_i$  is defined as Mahalanobis speed, it gives the component of entropy production rate,  $\sigma_i$  associated with the thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . Mahalanobis speed  $\dot{M}_i$  associated with thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  for first order distribution function can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{M}_i &= - \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} \geq 0 \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \left( \frac{\mathbf{Y}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i}{t_{R(i)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} \ln f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \geq 0 \\
&= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} f_0 \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_i \ln f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_j=0, \forall j \neq i} \odot \mathbf{X}_i \geq 0 \\
&= \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i = \sigma_i(f, f_0) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where  $\mathbf{J}_i$  is the entropy flux associated with the thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  satisfying Onsager's relationship as the physical state evolves with Mahalanobis speed  $\dot{M}_i$  which is Onsager's component of entropy,  $\sigma_i(f, f_0)$  associated with its conjugate thermodynamic force. Based on first order expansion the entropy generation is always positive and looks independent of velocity and temperature gradients involved in thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}$ . This is an incorrect interpretation as the very validity of first order Chapman-Enskog distribution is not ensured at higher gradients or when conditions described by  $\|\frac{\mathbf{Y}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i}{f_0}\| \leq 1$  is violated.

### 3.5 Derivation of Euler and Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations

Consider  $\Psi$ -moments of a Boltzmann equation for a two dimensional case as follows

$$\left\langle \Psi, \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_1) = 0 \right\rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Psi \left( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_1) = 0 \right) d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \tag{73}$$

Substitution of the first order distribution for the polyatomic gas leads to

$$\left\langle \Psi, \frac{\partial f_0 - \sum_j \mathbf{Y}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial v_x (f_0 - \sum_j \mathbf{Y}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j)}{\partial x} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial v_y (f_0 - \sum_j \mathbf{Y}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j)}{\partial y} \right\rangle = 0 \tag{74}$$

After solving we get Navier-Stokes equation as follows

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{75}$$

where  $\mathbf{U} = (\rho, \rho u_x, \rho u_y, \rho E)^T$  represent the conserved vector. As described earlier inviscid or Euler fluxes  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I, \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I$  are based on Maxwellian,  $f_0$  and viscous fluxes  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V, \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V$  are based on perturbation  $K n \bar{f}_1 = -(\sum_j \mathbf{Y}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j)$ . The mass, momentum and energy components of inviscid fluxes are

$$[\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I, \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I] = [\langle \Psi v_x f_0 \rangle, \langle \Psi v_y f_0 \rangle] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Psi \vec{v} f_0 d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \tag{76}$$

where  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are the Cartesian components of molecular velocity  $\vec{v}$ . The mass, momentum and energy components of viscous fluxes are obtained as

$$[\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V, \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V] = [-\sum_j \Lambda_j^{x, \Psi} \odot \mathbf{X}_j, -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{y, \Psi} \odot \mathbf{X}_j] \tag{77}$$

where  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi}$  and  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi}$  are the macroscopic tensors associated with moment function,  $\Psi$  and its conjugate thermodynamic force due to thermal gradient vector and stress tensor, expressed as

$$[\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi}, \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi}] = [\langle \Psi v_x \Upsilon_j \rangle, \langle \Psi v_y \Upsilon_j \rangle] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Psi \vec{v} \Upsilon_j d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (78)$$

where  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi}$  and  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi}$  are expressed as

$$\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi} = [\Lambda_j^{x,\psi_1}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{x,\psi_m}]^T, \quad \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi} = [\Lambda_j^{y,\psi_1}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{y,\psi_m}]^T \quad (79)$$

where  $m$  are the total number of components of  $\psi_i \in \Psi$ . Because of isotropy due to rotational invariance of the collision operator the macroscopic tensor associated with stress tensor follows the symmetry relationship by satisfying

$$\Lambda_\tau^{x,\psi_i}(r, s) = \Lambda_\tau^{x,\psi_i}(s, r) \quad , \quad \Lambda_\tau^{y,\psi_i}(r, s) = \Lambda_\tau^{y,\psi_i}(s, r) \quad (80)$$

where  $r, s$  are the component index of the tensor such that  $s \neq r$ . The viscous fluxes are obtained as

$$\mathbf{GX}_V = -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\tau_{xx} \\ -\tau_{xy} \\ -u_x \tau_{xx} - u_y \tau_{xy} + q_x \end{pmatrix} \quad (81)$$

$$\mathbf{GY}_V = -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\tau_{xy} \\ -\tau_{yy} \\ -u_x \tau_{xy} - u_y \tau_{yy} + q_y \end{pmatrix} \quad (82)$$

Here  $u_x$  and  $u_y$  are the macroscopic velocity components in Cartesian frame,  $\rho$  is the density,  $T$  is the static temperature and  $p$  is the pressure which is calculated from equation of state.

Derivation of three dimensional case [46] shows that the heat flux vector is  $\vec{q} = -\kappa \nabla T$  and tensor of viscous stresses  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is given as  $\mathbf{\Pi} = \mu [(\nabla \otimes \vec{u}) + (\nabla \otimes \vec{u})^T - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{I} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}] + \zeta \mathbf{I} \nabla \cdot \vec{u}$  where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the rank-D identity invariant tensor,  $\zeta$  is the coefficient of bulk viscosity expressed as  $\zeta = \mu (\frac{5}{3} - \gamma)$ . From the expression of shear stress derived using kinetic theory it is evident that *Stokes hypothesis is only valid for monatomic gases* as  $\zeta = 0$  for  $\gamma = 5/3$  otherwise,  $\zeta > 0$  as  $1 < \gamma < 5/3$ . For polyatomic gas the concept of bulk viscosity term will change if elastic collisions are included e.g. when elastic and inelastic collision terms are of the same order Eucken correction to heat transfer coefficient and bulk viscosity may appear.

## 4 Non-equilibrium thermodynamics based Kinetic Scheme

As the state update moves from one time step to another time step it generates entropy which is the product of the thermodynamic forces and its conjugate fluxes. All the research in the development of upwind scheme revolves around the methodology of adding the

correct dissipation or entropy e.g. if the amount of dissipation is too less then the solver will fail to capture shocks and if the amount of dissipation is too high then natural viscous behavior will get overshadowed. The correct amount of dissipation and its distribution for each thermodynamic force depends on the physical process through which state update passes, hence it is difficult to have a single monolithic solver operating across the regime from rarefied flow to hypersonic continuum flow. In precise words the state update of a solver has to follow the path laid down by non-equilibrium thermodynamics and address the issue of correct distribution of entropy for each thermodynamic force associated with stress tensor and thermal gradient vector. The research in the development of such a solver will follows a rigorous procedure based on principles of kinetic theory incorporating phenomenological theory of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The following section of the paper presents kinetic flux vector splitting based on the Onsager-BGK kinetic model. The final aim is to have a single monolithic solver that mimics the physics by naturally adding the necessary dissipation for each thermodynamic force such that it is valid across wide range of fluid regimes.

#### 4.1 Kinetic Flux Vector Splitting Scheme

Pullin [63] initiated the development of kinetic schemes for compressible Euler system based on Maxwellian distribution using Equilibrium flux method (EFM). Deshpande [16] pioneered Kinetic Flux Vector Splitting (KFVS) scheme which was further developed by Mandal and Deshpande [49] for solving Euler problems. Around the same period Perthame [61] developed kinetic scheme and Prendergast and Xu [62] proposed a scheme based on BGK simplification of the Boltzmann equation. The gas kinetic scheme of Xu [62, 78, 79] uses method of characteristics and differs from the KFVS scheme mainly in the inclusion of particle collisions in the gas evolution stage. Chou and Baganoff [15] extended KFVS for Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations by taking moments of the upwind discretized Boltzmann equation using first order distribution function.

Non-equilibrium thermodynamics (NET) based Kinetic Flux Vector Splitting (NET-KFVS) developed in the paper involves three steps : i) in the first step the Boltzmann equation is rendered into an upwind discretized form in terms of Maxwellian distribution and its perturbation term based on microscopic tensor and its conjugate thermodynamic forces , ii) in the second step inviscid or Euler fluxes are obtained by taking  $\Psi$  moments of split Maxwellian distribution, iii) in the third step viscous fluxes are obtained by taking moments of split microscopic tensors followed by full tensor contraction with its conjugate thermodynamic force to obtain upwind scheme for macroscopic conservation equations.

#### 4.2 NET-KFVS based on microscopic tensor splitting

In order to illustrate NET-KFVS, consider two-dimensional Boltzmann equation in upwind form as follows

$$f_1^{t+\Delta t} = f_1^t - \Delta t \left[ \left( \frac{\partial v_x f_1^{+}}{\partial x} \right)^t + \left( \frac{\partial v_x f_1^{-}}{\partial x} \right)^t + \left( \frac{\partial v_y f_1^{+}}{\partial y} \right)^t + \left( \frac{\partial v_y f_1^{-}}{\partial y} \right)^t \right] \quad (83)$$

In NET-KFVS the distribution function at time  $t + \Delta t$  in a fluid domain is constructed based on half range distribution at time  $t$  where  $f_1^{+}$  is the half-range distribution function for  $0 < v_x < \infty$  and  $-\infty < v_y < +\infty$  and  $f_1^{-}$  is the half-range distribution function for

$-\infty < v_x < 0$  and  $-\infty < v_y < +\infty$ . Similarly,  $f_1^{+\cdot}$  is the half-range distribution function for  $-\infty < v_x < +\infty$  and  $0 < v_y < +\infty$  and  $f_1^{-\cdot}$  is the half-range distribution function for  $-\infty < v_x < +\infty$  and  $-\infty < v_y < 0$ . The upwind Boltzmann equation after taking  $\Psi$ -moments simplifies to

$$\langle \Psi, f_0^{t+\Delta t} \rangle = \langle \Psi, f_0^t \rangle - \Delta t \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial \langle \Psi, v_x f_0^{\pm\cdot} \rangle}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \odot \mathbf{X}_j}{\partial x} \\ + \frac{\partial \langle \Psi, v_y f_0^{\pm\cdot} \rangle}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \odot \mathbf{X}_j}{\partial y} \end{array} \right]^t \quad (84)$$

This leads to upwind equations in macroscopic form i.e. Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations in kinetic upwind form as follows

$$\mathbf{U}^{t+\Delta t} = \mathbf{U}^t - \Delta t \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{GX}_I^+}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GX}_V^+}{\partial x} \right)_{\Delta x < 0} + \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{GX}_I^-}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GX}_V^-}{\partial x} \right)_{\Delta x > 0} \\ + \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{GY}_I^+}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GY}_V^+}{\partial y} \right)_{\Delta y < 0} + \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{GY}_I^-}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{GY}_V^-}{\partial y} \right)_{\Delta y > 0} \end{array} \right]^t \quad (85)$$

The upwinding is enforced by stencil sub-division such that derivative of positive split fluxes are evaluated using negative split stencil [48]. The inviscid part of the split flux is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{GX}_I^{\pm} &= \langle \Psi, v_x f_0^{\pm\cdot} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\pm}} \Psi v_x f_0 dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \\ \mathbf{GY}_I^{\pm} &= \langle \Psi, v_y f_0^{\pm\cdot} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\pm}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi v_y f_0 dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

The viscous part of the split flux is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{GX}_V^{\pm} &= -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \odot \mathbf{X}_j = -(\Lambda_\tau^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} : \mathbf{X}_\tau + \Lambda_q^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q) \\ \mathbf{GY}_V^{\pm} &= -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \odot \mathbf{X}_j = -(\Lambda_\tau^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} : \mathbf{X}_\tau + \Lambda_q^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q) \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

for example viscous split mass flux component evaluated using  $\psi_1 \in \Psi$  is

$$GX_V^{\pm}(\psi_1) = \pm \frac{\text{Exp}(-\beta u_x^2)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\rho}{4p\sqrt{\beta}} \left\{ 2u_x \beta q_x \frac{(\gamma-1)}{\gamma} + \tau_{xx} \right\} \quad (88)$$

It contains features of non-equilibrium thermodynamics due to cross coupling of shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector. Similarly, momentum and energy flux will also contain terms due to cross coupling of shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector defined by macroscopic split tensors  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot}$  and  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot}$  given as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} &= \langle \Psi, v_x \Upsilon_j^{\pm\cdot} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\pm}} \Psi v_x \Upsilon_j dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \\ \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} &= \langle \Psi, v_y \Upsilon_j^{\pm\cdot} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\pm}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi v_y \Upsilon_j dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

The components of split macroscopic tensors  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm\cdot} = [\Lambda_j^{x,\psi_i,\pm\cdot}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{x,\psi_i,\pm\cdot}]^T$  and  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm\cdot} = [\Lambda_j^{y,\psi_i,\pm\cdot}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{y,\psi_i,\pm\cdot}]^T$  are defined for each  $\psi_i \in \Psi = [1, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2}v^2]^T$ . The

split macroscopic tensors for each moment component associated with shear stress tensor follow symmetry relationship because of isotropy due to rotational invariance of the collision operator by satisfying

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{x,\psi_i,\pm}(r,s) = \Lambda_j^{x,\psi_i,\pm}(s,r) \quad , \quad \Lambda_{\tau}^{y,\psi_i,\pm}(r,s) = \Lambda_j^{y,\psi_i,\pm}(s,r) \quad (90)$$

where  $r, s$  are the component index of the tensor such that  $r \neq s$ . Appendix B gives the expressions of these split macroscopic tensors. Expressions for split macroscopic tensors for three dimensional case is given in [46].

### 4.3 NET-KFVS in its variance reduced form

The shear amplitude for any fluid dynamic problem should be observed in the correct frame of reference with variance reduction approach. There are flows which may have high velocity gradients but may still be governed by Maxwellian distribution for example continuum Couette flow due to flat plate moving with high speed. In most of the fluid dynamic problems the flow is a perturbation over a space dependent Maxwellian as shown in figure 5(a), non-equilibrium first order distribution function for such a case is

$$f_1 = \Delta f - \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_j \odot \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j + \mathcal{O}(\Delta t_{R(j)}) \quad (91)$$

where  $f_M$  is the space dependent mean Maxwellian,  $\Delta f = f_0 - f_M$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_j$  is thermodynamic force based on velocity and temperature field relative to  $f_M$  and  $\Delta t_{R(j)} = t_{R(j),f_0} - t_{R(j),f_M}$ . When non-equilibrium effects are not very dominant the term  $\Delta t_{R(j)}$  can be neglected and first order distribution approximates as  $f_1 \approx \Delta f - \sum_j \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_j \odot \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j$ . Boltzmann equation in this perturbative form becomes

$$\frac{\partial \Delta f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \vec{v} \Delta f}{\partial \vec{x}} - \sum_j \frac{\partial \vec{v} (\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_j \odot \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j)}{\partial \vec{x}} = 0 \quad (92)$$

Taking  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$  moments of the resulting variant of Boltzmann equation leads to Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations based on Variance Reduction Kinetic Flux Vector Splitting (VRKFVS) [47] as follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Delta \mathbf{U}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\Delta (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I^{\pm}) + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V^{\pm})_{\Delta}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\Delta (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I^{\pm}) + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V^{\pm})_{\Delta}] = 0 \quad (93)$$

where  $\Delta \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}_M$  is the deviation of the state update vector  $\mathbf{U}$  over  $\mathbf{U}_M$  based on space dependent Maxwellian distribution,  $f_M$ . The inviscid fluxes are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I^{\pm}) &= \langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}, v_x (f_0^{\pm} - f_M^{\pm}) \rangle = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I^{\pm} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_{I,M}^{\pm} \\ \Delta (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I^{\pm}) &= \langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}, v_y (f_0^{\pm} - f_M^{\pm}) \rangle = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I^{\pm} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_{I,M}^{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

where  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_{I,M}^{\pm}$  and  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_{I,M}^{\pm}$  are the inviscid split fluxes based on the Maxwellian distribution,  $f_M$  associated with the chosen state of equilibrium. The viscous fluxes  $(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V^{\pm})_{\Delta}$  and






Figure 5: Kinetic scheme based on variance reduction approach: (a) space dependent mean Maxwellian in a fluid domain, (b) shaded portion shows perturbation of equilibrium and non-equilibrium variations over the space dependent Maxwellian.

$(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V^\pm)_\Delta$  are computed based on thermodynamic force  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$  relative to chosen Maxwellian  $f_M$  as follows

$$(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V^\pm)_\Delta = -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm} \odot \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j, \quad (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V^\pm)_\Delta = -\sum_j \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm} \odot \bar{\mathbf{X}}_j \quad (95)$$

In variance reduction approach we solve only for the perturbation of equilibrium and non-equilibrium variations over the space dependent Maxwellian as shown in figure 5(b). This method basically evaluates the *variance-reduced* form of the kinetic model similar to the variance reduction technique of Baker and Hadjiconstantinou [4] used in direct simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC). This variant of NET-KFVS based on variance reduction form of BGK-Boltzmann equation was found to be useful in capturing creeping flows, sub-sonic flows and weak secondary flow in a strong flow field environment.

#### 4.4 NET based Kinetic Particle Method

The exact solution [14] of Boltzmann equation with BGK model  $J(f, f_0) = -(f - f_0)/t_R$  is

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \text{Exp}(-t/t_R) f_0(\vec{v}, \vec{x} - \vec{v}t, t - t) t_R^{-1} dt \quad (96)$$

Physically it means that along the trajectory in the phase space, particles are replaced exponentially by particles in equilibrium with characteristics time  $t_R$ . Gas kinetic scheme of Xu [79] updates the distribution using this method of characteristics using BGK and Shakhov's model. Macrossan's RTSM (relaxation time simulation method) [44, 76] uses method of characteristics and BGK model to update distribution function,  $f(\Delta t)$  after time  $t = \Delta t$  as

$$f(\Delta t) = \text{Exp}(-\Delta t/t_R) f(0) + (1 - \text{Exp}(-\Delta t/t_R)) f_0 \quad (97)$$

where  $f(0) = f(t = 0)$  is the initial distribution established by the streaming or convection phase just before the simulation of collision phase. In the collision phase the distribution function relaxes towards equilibrium Maxwellian distribution,  $f_0$  after sufficient collisions with a time constant  $t_R$ . This pseudo-collision step or the relaxation step actually carries out the step of redistribution of the particles such that the resulting distribution is a mixture of the initial distribution,  $f(0)$  and the final equilibrium distribution,  $f_0$ .




Figure 6: Kinetic particle based method.

Non-equilibrium thermodynamics based kinetic particle method like RTSM is composed of two steps i) Convection step, ii) Collision or redistribution step. The convection phase happens in two sub-steps of macroscopic velocity convection followed by peculiar velocity convection. In the first sub-step the whole distribution travels with its orderly, deterministic macroscopic velocity  $\vec{u}$  followed by the second sub-step of peculiar velocity convection where distribution is converted into particles and each particle travels in a random multi-directional path simulating peculiar velocity  $\vec{c} = \vec{v} - \vec{u}$  following normal distribution,  $N(0, 1/(2\beta))$  with zero mean and variance equal to  $1/(2\beta)$ . The collision phase or redistribution phase uses the Onsager-BGK kinetic model which redistributes the particles for each thermodynamic force, using Macrossan's RTSM approach as shown in Figure 6. After time  $t = \Delta t$  the distribution function,  $f(\Delta t)$  is obtained as a mixture of the initial distribution,  $f(0)$  which is the distribution obtained just after convection and the final equilibrium distribution,  $f_0$  which is obtained by extracting a Maxwellian, this step is done for each thermodynamic force,  $\mathbf{X}_j$  when all the other thermodynamic forces are absent such that

$$f(\Delta t) = \sum_j [\alpha_j f(0) + (1 - \alpha_j)(f_0)]_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq j} \quad (98)$$

where  $\alpha_j = \text{Exp}(-\Delta t/t_{R(j)})_{\mathbf{X}_i=0, i \neq j}$  and  $t_{R(j)}$  is the relaxation time for  $\mathbf{X}_j$ .

Consider Argon shock structure simulation for shock of Mach 2.0 at 293 K with mean free path  $\lambda = 1.3442 \times 10^{-2}$  m and Prandtl number,  $\text{Pr} = 2/3$ . The computational domain spans  $-0.3$  m to  $0.3$  m, kinetic particle method is implemented with an initial uniform spread of 300 nodes using the viscosity-temperature relationship  $\mu = \mu_{ref} \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right)^\omega$  with  $\omega = 0.81$ . Figure 7 shows the normalized density and temperature profile in argon for Mach 2.0 shock using kinetic particle method. The simulated results are compared with code *DSMC1S* provided by Bird [8]. The normalized density  $\bar{\rho}$  and normalized temperature  $\bar{T}$  are defined as

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{\rho - \rho_u}{\rho_d - \rho_u}, \quad \bar{T} = \frac{T - T_u}{T_d - T_u} \quad (99)$$




Figure 7: Argon shock structure for shock of Mach 2.0 at 293 K using kinetic particle method and DSMC.




Figure 8: State update of the kinetic method follows non-equilibrium thermodynamics.




Figure 9: Evolution of flow and entropy generation for non-equilibrium thermodynamics (NET) and non-NET based kinetic upwind method.




Figure 10: Evolution of linear irreversible process and its dissipative surface of entropy for a case with two thermodynamic fluxes and forces.

## 4.5 Linkage of non-equilibrium thermodynamics with kinetic scheme

As the state update moves from one time step to the next, the path of evolution follows non-equilibrium thermodynamics i.e. maximizes the entropy under the constraint imposed due to conservation laws by satisfying the Onsager's variational principle. Kinetic model and kinetic scheme that satisfies the Onsager's variational principle will generate entropy for each thermodynamic force e.g.  $\sigma_\tau = \mathbf{J}_\tau : \mathbf{X}_\tau$  with respect to thermodynamic force associated with the stress tensor and entropy,  $\sigma_q = \mathbf{J}_q \cdot \mathbf{X}_q$  with respect to thermodynamic force associated with the thermal gradient vector as shown in Figure 8. Linkage with non-equilibrium thermodynamics ensures *correct division of entropy generation for each thermodynamic force as the state update moves from one conservation state to another*.

Figure 9 shows the schematic picture of the evolution of flow and entropy generation for two different kinetic schemes : one following non-equilibrium thermodynamics (NET) and other non-NET based. Figure 9 shows that at every time step due to thermodynamic forces the non-equilibrium flow trajectory leaves the equilibrium surface represented by infinite-dimensional manifold of locally Maxwellian distribution, as a consequence it generates irreversibility and entropy while relaxing back to equilibrium i.e. jumping back to the manifold. Each kinetic scheme will have its own path or trajectory of evolution of flow as well as entropy generation. As shown in figure 9, this trajectory can also be observed in the phase plane of thermodynamic forces where it moves with Mahalanobis speed  $\dot{M}_i = \sigma_i(f, f_0)$  i.e. generating entropy for each thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  following Onsager's relationship. The movement of this trajectory can also be interpreted in terms of entropy production in the flux space represented as surface  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$ . Figure 10 shows a linear irreversible process and a dissipative surface of entropy for a case with two thermodynamic fluxes and forces [50]. As the flow trajectory leaves the manifold the flux  $\mathbf{J}_i$  is generated corresponding to its conjugate thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$  which is orthogonal to surface  $\sigma(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_k)$  intersected by the plane  $\sum_i \mathbf{J}_i \odot \mathbf{X}_i$ .

It is the split flux not the full flux which participates in actual physical process. Split fluxes based on Onsager-BGK model also follow non-equilibrium thermodynamics, for example entropy based on the split non-equilibrium flux  $\sigma^\pm(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$  is expressed as

$$\sigma^\pm(\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_i \mathbf{J}_i^\pm \odot \mathbf{X}_i \quad (100)$$

where non-equilibrium split flux  $\mathbf{J}_i^\pm$  are kinetic non-equilibrium split flux conjugate to its thermodynamic force  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . Non-equilibrium split fluxes involve terms of higher moments  $\psi_j \notin \Psi$ , thus it modifies the idea of extended thermodynamics, refer appendix C for further details.

## 5 Kinetic wall boundary condition

Wall boundary condition is an important part in simulation of fluid flow. Experimental studies as well as theoretical analysis corroborate the efficacy of a given boundary condition. No-slip and slip condition at the wall provide a realistic boundary condition used for the solution of Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations in the continuum and rarefied regime respectively. The slip flow simulation using the continuum solver can be carried out either by using slip models or by implementing kinetic wall boundary condition. The approach

of continuum solver coupled with Maxwell’s velocity slip boundary condition [51] and von Smoluchowski’s temperature jump boundary condition [32] is the most popular as it is computationally the least expensive. Velocity slip and temperature jump can also be derived using linearized Grad’s moment method which is based on expansion of distribution function around local Maxwellian in terms of Hermite tensor polynomials. Patterson [60] carried out derivation of velocity slip and temperature jump using Grad’s moment method. Patterson’s velocity slip condition is similar to Maxwell’s velocity slip for curved surface [42]. However, Patterson’s temperature boundary condition [60] is approximate as it is derived under assumption of negligible tangential variation and negligible magnitude of velocity compared to thermal speed of the molecules. Accurate derivation of velocity slip and temperature jump using Grad’s moment method requires at least thirteen equations [71]. Li *et al* [36] have used gas kinetic upwind method to carry out slip flow modeling for hypersonic flows. Agarwal *et al.* [1], Bao and Lin [5], and Lockerby and Reese [40] have used Burnett equations coupled with slip models. Researchers [53, 80, 37] have used kinetic wall boundary condition obtained using the distribution function. It can be seen that there are large number of slip models existing in the literature, each with its own geometry specific slip coefficients and range of validity in Knudsen regime. Most of these slip models are for simple micro-channel flows and are valid only in slip flow regime when there are insignificant fluid dynamic variations in the tangential direction. Literature review has already revealed that the first order slip velocity not only depends on the velocity gradient in the normal direction but also on the pressure gradient in the tangential flow direction[68]. Slip model based approaches as well as kinetic theory based methods in general have ignored the issues of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. One of the motivations of the paper is to derive a comprehensive wall boundary condition which satisfies Onsager’s relationship and can simulate both continuum and rarefied slip flow within Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations in order to avoid extremely costly multi-scale simulation.

### 5.1 Derivation of slip conditions for negligible tangential gradients

The net first order distribution function at time  $t$  in terms of accommodation coefficient,  $\sigma$  for Maxwell gas-surface interaction model can be written as

$$f_{\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) = \begin{cases} f_I(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) & \text{for } \vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v} < 0 \\ (1 - \sigma)f_R(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) + \sigma f_{0,W}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) & \text{for } \vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v} > 0 \end{cases} \quad (101)$$

where  $f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$  is the total distribution resulting due to Maxwell model,  $f_{1,I}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$  and  $f_{1,R}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$  are the incident and specularly reflected first order distribution respectively and  $f_{0,W}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$  is the diffuse reflected Maxwellian distribution evaluated using wall conditions and mass conservation. The specularly reflected first order distribution  $f_{1,R}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$  is written as

$$f_{1,R}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) = f_I(\vec{v} - 2\vec{i}_n\vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)$$

molecules are reflected away from the boundary ( $\vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v} > 0$ ) where  $\vec{i}_n$  is the surface normal. The specular reflected component of the distribution is constructed using  $\vec{v} - 2\vec{i}_n\vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v}$  i.e. with reverse sign of normal component of velocity.

The expression of density jump, Maxwell velocity slip and von Smoluchowski’s temperature jump available in the literature are derived under conditions of negligible fluid dynamical variations in the tangential directions. This subsection revisits the derivation of density jump, Maxwell velocity slip and von Smoluchowski temperature jump using

the non-equilibrium thermodynamics based distribution function obtained using Onsager-BGK kinetic model under conditions of negligible fluid dynamical variations in the tangential directions.

### 5.1.1 Derivation of density jump

Let us consider an infinitesimal area  $ds$  on the surface of the wall and an elementary strip of gas extending above the wall in the  $y$ -direction from the elementary area  $ds$  such that the strip reaches the imaginary plane set at  $y = \Delta y$ . The conservation of mass at the wall for two-dimensional geometry can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_y f_{1,I} dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_y f_{0,W} dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} = 0 \quad (102)$$

where  $f_{1,I}$  is the incident Chapman-Enskog distribution function and  $f_{0,W}$  is the Maxwellian distribution function based on the wall conditions. Solving the mass conservation using first order distribution at the boundary  $f_{1,I} = f_{0,I} - \sum_j \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{I,j} \odot \mathbf{X}_j$  leads to density jump at the wall as

$$\rho_w = \frac{\rho \sqrt{\beta_w}}{\sqrt{\beta}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\tau_{yy}}{2p} \right] = \rho \sqrt{\frac{T}{T_w}} \left( 1 - \frac{\tau_{yy}}{2p} \right) \quad (103)$$

where  $\beta_w = 1/2RT_w$  is based on wall temperature  $T_w$ .

### 5.1.2 Derivation of velocity slip

The momentum flux,  $\mathbb{M}$  passing through the imaginary plane set at  $y = \Delta y$  based on linearized distribution  $f_1$  is given as

$$\mathbb{M}_{y=\Delta y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_0 - \sum_j \mathbf{\Upsilon}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j) v_x v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} = \rho u_x u_y - \tau_{xy} \quad (104)$$

The contributing momentum component at the wall where  $y=0$  is expressed as

$$\mathbb{M}_{y=0} = \sigma \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_{0,I} - \sum_j \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{I,j} \odot \mathbf{X}_j) v_x v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{0,W} v_x v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \right] \quad (105)$$

The momentum flux on the infinitesimal surface  $ds$  at the wall  $y = 0$  can be equated with the momentum flux on the infinitesimal surface  $ds$  as  $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$ . The conservation of momentum flux gives the velocity slip for stationary wall as

$$u_x = \left[ \left( \frac{2-\sigma}{\sigma} \right) \frac{\tau_{xy}}{2p} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta} - \frac{q_x \eta}{2p} \right] \phi = \left[ \left( \frac{2-\sigma}{\sigma} \right) \tau_{xy} \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \left( \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \right) \frac{q_x}{2p} \right] \phi \quad (106)$$

where  $\eta = (\gamma - 1)/\gamma$  and  $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{2p} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}}$  is the viscosity based mean free path. This new expression of velocity slip which is named in this paper as *Onsager-Maxwell slip velocity*, differs from Maxwell's expression [42] by an extra term  $\phi = (1 - \tau_{yy}/2p)^{-1}$  due to density jump.

### 5.1.3 Derivation of temperature jump

Similarly, we can carry out energy conservation by equating the energy flux on the strip  $ds$  at  $y = \Delta y$  and  $y = 0$ . The energy flux,  $\mathbb{Q}$  at  $y = \Delta y$  based on linearized distribution is given as

$$\mathbb{Q}_{y=\Delta y} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_0 - \sum_j \mathbf{r}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j) (\mathbb{I} + \frac{v^2}{2}) v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \quad (107)$$

The energy flux at the wall where  $y = 0$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{Q}_{y=0} = \sigma \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_{0,I} - \sum_j \mathbf{r}_{I,j} \odot \mathbf{X}_j) (\mathbb{I} + \frac{v^2}{2}) v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{0,W} (\mathbb{I} + \frac{v^2}{2}) v_y dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \right] \quad (108)$$

For small temperature jump we can replace pressure in terms of mean free path,  $\lambda$  using relation  $p = \mu\sqrt{\pi}/(2\lambda\sqrt{\beta})$ . After substituting the value of slip velocity  $u_x$ , wall density  $\rho_w$  and carrying out the conservation such that energy flux at the wall,  $y = 0$  is being balanced by the energy flux as  $\Delta y \rightarrow 0$  leading to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\beta^2(\beta_w\eta^2(\gamma-1)q_x^2 + (\gamma+1)\tau_{yy}^2)\lambda^2\sigma^2 + 4\sqrt{\beta}\beta_w\gamma\sqrt{\pi}\tau_{yy}\lambda\mu\sigma^2 - \beta_w(\gamma+1)\pi\mu^2\sigma^2 \\ & + \beta\sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{\pi}\lambda\sigma(4\beta_w\eta((\gamma-1)q_x\tau_{xy} - \gamma q_y\tau_{yy})\lambda(\sigma-2) - 3(\gamma+1)\tau_{yy}\mu\sigma) + \beta(\gamma+1)\pi\mu^2\sigma^2 \\ & + \beta\beta_w\lambda(- (3\gamma-1)\tau_{yy}^2\lambda\sigma^2 + 2\pi(\sigma-2)((\gamma-1)\tau_{xy}^2\lambda(\sigma-2) + 2\eta\gamma q_y\mu\sigma)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

This expression can be further simplified by neglecting terms associated with  $\tau_{yy}$  and  $q_x$  to get

$$T = T_w + \left(\frac{2-\sigma}{\sigma}\right)^2 \frac{\gamma\lambda^2\tau_{xy}^2}{c_p(\gamma+1)\mu^2} - \left(\frac{2-\sigma}{\sigma}\right) \frac{2\gamma\lambda q_y}{c_p(\gamma+1)\mu} \quad (110)$$

We get a new expression of temperature jump which is named in this paper as *Onsager-von Smoluchowski's temperature jump* as it contains both the terms of heat flux vector and shear stress tensor following Onsager's reciprocity relationship. With an additional assumption that shear stress term  $\tau_{xy}$  is negligible we get the von Smoluchowski's temperature jump boundary condition in terms of Prandtl number  $\text{Pr}$  as

$$T = T_w - \left(\frac{2-\sigma}{\sigma}\right) \frac{2\gamma\lambda q_y}{c_p(1+\gamma)\mu} = T_w + \frac{(2-\sigma)}{\sigma} \frac{2}{\text{Pr}} \frac{\gamma}{(\gamma+1)} \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \quad (111)$$

This condition is obtained with an assumption that tangential variations, terms  $q_x$ ,  $\tau_{yy}$  and  $\tau_{xy}$  are negligible and temperature jump is mild. These expressions are valid only in slip flow regime when there are insignificant fluid dynamic variations in the tangential direction.

## 5.2 NET-KFVS based kinetic wall boundary condition

When the variation in the tangential direction is substantial then a more generic kinetic split flux based boundary condition can be derived. The total distribution satisfies Boltzmann equation and at time  $t + \Delta t$  it is constructed as follows

$$f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t + \Delta t) = f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t) - \Delta t \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{v} f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t)) \quad (112)$$



The distribution at the boundary after upwind discretization for the two dimensional case is

$$f_{1,\Sigma}^{t+\Delta t} = f_{1,\Sigma}^t - \Delta t \left[ \frac{\partial v_x f_{1,\Sigma}^{+-}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_x f_{1,\Sigma}^{--}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_y f_{1,\Sigma}^{--}}{\partial y} \right]^t \quad (113)$$

where  $f_{1,\Sigma}^{+-}$  is the half-range total distribution function for  $0 < v_x < \infty$  and  $-\infty < v_y < 0$  and  $f_{1,\Sigma}^{--}$  is the half-range total distribution function for  $-\infty < v_x < 0$  and  $-\infty < v_y < 0$ . After taking  $\Psi$  moment we can obtain state update equation expressed as

$$\mathbf{U}^{t+\Delta t} = \mathbf{U}^t - \Delta t \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{+-}}{\partial x} \right)_{\Delta x < 0}^t + \left( \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{--}}{\partial x} \right)_{\Delta x > 0}^t + \left( \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--}}{\partial y} \right)_{\Delta y > 0}^t \right] \quad (114)$$

where  $\mathbf{U} = [\rho, \rho \mathbf{u}, \rho E]^T$  is the state vector and  $\Delta t$  is the time step. The  $y$  component of state vector is not updated as  $U_{i=3} = \rho u_y = 0$ .  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{\pm-}$  represents the split flux based on half range distributions  $f_{1,\Sigma}^{\pm-}$ .  $\widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--}$  is the split flux resulting from half range distribution  $f_{1,\Sigma}^{--}$ . Derivatives of  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{+-}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{--}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--}$  are evaluated using points on the left, right and upward side of the stencil. The mass, momentum and energy components of  $x$ -directional flux  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{\pm-}$  can be written as sum of inviscid or Euler part  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}_I^{\pm-}$  and viscous part  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}_V^{\pm-}$  as follows

$$\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{\pm-} = \widehat{\mathbf{GX}}_I^{\pm-} + \widehat{\mathbf{GX}}_V^{\pm-} = \langle v_x \Psi f_{0,\Sigma}^{\pm-} \rangle - \sum_j \Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm-} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \quad (115)$$

Similarly, components of  $y$ -directional flux  $\widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--}$  can be written as sum of inviscid part and viscous part as follows

$$\widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--} = \widehat{\mathbf{GY}}_I^{--} + \widehat{\mathbf{GY}}_V^{--} = \langle v_y \Psi f_{0,\Sigma}^{--} \rangle - \sum_j \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,--} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \quad (116)$$

where macroscopic split tensors  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm-}$  is defined as

$$\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm-} = \langle \Psi, v_x \Upsilon_j^{\pm-} \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\pm} \Psi v_x \Upsilon_j^{\pm-} dv_x dv_y d\mathbb{I} \quad (117)$$

The viscous fluxes are obtained using macroscopic tensors  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm-}$  and  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,--}$  associated with shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector following Onsager's reciprocal relationship so as to maximize the entropy production. The fluxes  $\widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{\pm-}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--}$  can also be written in alternative form as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{GX}}^{\pm-} &= (2 - \sigma)(\mathbf{GX}_I^{\pm-} + \mathbf{GX}_V^{\pm-}) + \sigma \mathbf{GX}_{I,(0,W)}^{\pm-} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{GY}}^{--} &= (2 - \sigma)(\mathbf{GY}_I^{--} + \mathbf{GY}_V^{--}) + \sigma \mathbf{GY}_{I,(0,W)}^{--} \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

where  $\mathbf{GX}_I^{\pm-}$ ,  $\mathbf{GX}_V^{\pm-}$ ,  $\mathbf{GY}_I^{--}$  and  $\mathbf{GY}_V^{--}$  are evaluated based on fluid conditions while  $\mathbf{GX}_{I,(0,W)}^{\pm-}$  and  $\mathbf{GY}_{I,(0,W)}^{--}$  are the inviscid flux corresponding to Maxwellian distribution,  $f_{0,W}$  based on the wall conditions.

The kinetic wall boundary condition can also be extended for rarefied regime bordering transition flows using Onsager-BGK Knudsen layer model described in appendix D.

## 6 Results and Discussions

The present kinetic solver uses meshless approach to solve the NET-KFVS formulation. Consider distribution  $f$  given at point  $P_o$  and  $m$  points surrounding it called its connectivity  $N(P_o) = \{\forall P_i : d(P_o, P_i) < h\}$ . Finding the derivative at point  $P_o$  is a least squares problem where error norm  $\|E\|_2$  is to be minimized with respect to derivatives  $f_{x_o}$ ,  $f_{y_o}$  and  $f_{z_o}$  using stencil  $N(P_o)$ . Least square based method using normal equations approach fails to handle highly stretched distribution of points. More-so-ever not all ill effects inherent in the normal equation approach can be avoided by using orthogonal transformation as condition number is still relevant to some extent [22]. The meshless kinetic solver[48] uses split least square approach by minimizing  $\|\mathbf{A}_{N_x}\mathbb{F}_o - \Delta\mathbf{f}_{N_x}\|_2$ ,  $\|\mathbf{A}_{N_y}\mathbb{F}_o - \Delta\mathbf{f}_{N_y}\|_2$  and  $\|\mathbf{A}_{N_z}\mathbb{F}_o - \Delta\mathbf{f}_{N_z}\|_2$  with respect to  $f_{x_o}$ ,  $f_{y_o}$  and  $f_{z_o}$  respectively for each carefully selected sub-stencils  $N_x(P_o) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_x}$ ,  $N_y(P_o) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_y}$ ,  $N_z(P_o) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_z}$  such that the cross-product matrix is diagonally dominant and well conditioned. Split-stencil based least squares approach retains the simplicity of normal equations while avoiding the ill-conditioning of the matrix. Based on the formulations described split stencil least square kinetic upwind method or SLKNS in short uses NET-KFVS for fluid domain and implements NET-KFVS based kinetic wall boundary condition for non-continuum slip flow as well as continuum flow. SLKNS solver was validated for variety of test cases including continuum flows and non-continuum slip flows considering formulation based on inelastic collisions.

### 6.1 Continuum transonic flow over NACA0012 airfoil

Consider transonic continuum flow of air at Mach 0.8 past a NACA0012 airfoil at 10 degrees angle of attack at  $Re=500$ . The Knudsen number,  $Kn = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\gamma}{2}} \frac{M}{Re}$  evaluated for such a case is  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  based on chord length. This test case is simulated using the kinetic boundary condition with fully diffuse reflecting wall i.e. the accommodation coefficient,  $\sigma = 1$ . The kinetic boundary condition treats the continuum region in the same way as the non-continuum region admitting velocity slip and temperature jump which becomes negligibly small in the continuum region. Figure 11 (a) shows the plot of coefficient of friction compared with SLKNS solver with kinetic wall boundary condition, SLKNS with no-slip boundary condition and fluctuation splitting LDA scheme [12] using no-slip boundary condition. Dip in coefficient of friction near the leading edge can be observed due to slip flow. Figure 11 (b) shows the small velocity slip existing on the surface. Temperature jump for this case was found to be very negligible. This continuum flow test case using kinetic wall boundary condition confirms the observation made by Struchtrup[70] that temperature jump and velocity slip will be present for all dissipative walls even in continuum regime.

### 6.2 Rarefied transonic flow over NACA0012 airfoil

Consider free stream rarefied transonic flow at Mach,  $M = 0.8$ , density  $1.116 \times 10^{-4} \text{ kg/m}^3$  and temperature 257 K past a NACA0012 airfoil at zero angle of attack [72]. The Reynolds number based on the airfoil chord is 73 and Knudsen number is 0.014. The chord length is  $0.04m$  and wall of the airfoil is at 290 K. The density contours shown in figure 12(a) reveals rise of density near stagnation point and rarefaction towards the tail where the density




Figure 11: Continuum transonic flow past NACA0012 airfoil with kinetic wall boundary condition: (a)Coefficient of friction plot, (b) velocity slip along the surface of NACA0012 airfoil.




Figure 12: Rarefied flow past NACA0012 airfoil at Mach=0.8, Re=73., Kn=0.014 (a)Contours of  $\frac{\rho}{\rho_{\text{wall}}}$ , (b)Comparison of the slip velocity distribution with DSMC

drops down. The viscosity based mean free path depends on the density,  $\rho$  as  $\lambda = \mu \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\rho p}}$ . The rise in the mean free path near the tail makes the slip influence more pronounced which results in sudden rise of slip velocity. Figure 12(b) shows the comparison of the slip velocity distribution for rarefied flow past NACA0012 airfoil based on SLKNS solver and Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC)[8] using  $120 \times 60$  points. DSMC gave better results for nearly same grid size.

### 6.3 Hypersonic rarefied flow over a flat plate

Hypersonic rarefied flow over a flat plate is one of the fundamental problem as it generates wide range of flow phenomena extending from highly non-equilibrium flow near the leading edge through the merged layer to strong and weak interaction regimes to a classical boundary layer flow at the downstream. Kinetic flow region exists very near the leading edge caused by collisions between free stream and body reflected molecules. Near the leading edge non-continuum non-equilibrium viscous region exists where molecule-molecule and molecule-body collisions dominate the flow and as a consequence the distribution function is far away from Maxwellian. Further downstream in the transition region molecule-molecule collisions dominate the flow, this is followed by merged layer region in which wall boundary layer merges with the a non-Rankine-Hugoniot shock [64]. Consider a test case of hypersonic flow of argon at free stream velocity of  $(1893.7, 0, 0)$  m/s, with pressure of 3.73 Pascal at temperature of 64.5 K over a flat-plate held at uniform temperature  $T_w = 292$  K at 0 deg angle of attack [25]. The test case used in this paper consists of flat plate 45 cm long placed along the x-axis in a flow domain of 25 cm  $\times$  50 cm as shown in Figure 13(a). The simulation used a mesh of  $70 \times 100$  graded from  $\Delta y \approx 0.13$  mm at the plate surface to  $\Delta x \approx 0.21$  mm ahead of the plate tip. Figure 13(b) shows the profile of the density in the boundary layer at  $x=25$  mm from the plate tip. Figure 14(a) shows the profile of the tangential velocity and Figure 14(b) shows the profile of the temperature in the boundary layer at  $x=25$  mm from the plate tip. All of these boundary conditions are compared with the flux based kinetic boundary condition and results of DSMC[8]. Figure 15(a) shows the plot of velocity slip for DSMC, kinetic boundary condition, Maxwell slip and Onsager-Maxwell slip. Figure 15(b) shows the plot of temperature jump for DSMC, kinetic, von Smoluchowski, Onsager-von Smoluchowski boundary condition and results of Greenshields and Reese[25]. Temperature boundary condition based on von Smoluchowski using NET-KFVS gave unphysical temperature jump near the leading edge, hence they were evaluated based on DSMC field data to compare it with kinetic boundary condition. Kinetic boundary condition was found to give better agreement with the results of DSMC. As also observed by Greenshields and Reese[25] that there is discrepancy between the results of DSMC and boundary conditions of Maxwell, von Smoluchowski and Patterson. This discrepancy is because of two factors: i)missing features of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, ii)as well as due to the fact that these expressions are derived under condition of negligible tangential variations. The mass flux due to slip on the surface of the plate is governed both by the tangential as well as normal components of shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector. In order to estimate the order of importance of this *cross phenomenon due to thermodynamic forces* pertaining to shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector a new dimensionless term called reciprocity number,  $Rp$  was derived. Dimensionless number based on the ratio of viscous split slip fluxes due to the




Figure 13: Hypersonic flow over a flat plate (a)Mach contours based on NET-KFVS based SLKNS solver, (b)density at cross-section  $x=25$  mm from the plate tip.




Figure 14: Hypersonic flow over a flat plate (a)Tangential velocity (b) profile of the temperature in the boundary layer at cross-section  $x=25$  mm from the plate tip.

contribution of thermodynamic forces can be a good measure of the non-equilibrium cross phenomenon. In this paper  $Rp$  is derived as the ratio of slip mass flux due to shear stress tensor and thermal gradient vector based on half range distribution as follows

$$Rp = \frac{\Lambda_{1,\tau}^{+-} \cdot \mathbf{X}_\tau}{\Lambda_{1,q}^{+-} \cdot \mathbf{X}_q} = \frac{2\tau_{xy}A_1^+ - \tau_{xx}B_1^+}{2\beta\eta u_{slip}(q_y A_1^+ - q_x B_1^+)} \quad (119)$$

where expressions of  $A_1^+$  and  $B_1^+$  are given in appendix B. The plot of  $Rp$  in Figure 16 shows a sudden variation in the ratio of contribution of shear stress tensor and heat flux vector near the leading edge. Near the leading edge the flow is dominated by the cross-coupling due to thermodynamic forces based on thermal gradient vector and shear stress tensor. Tangential variations become insignificant as we move away from the zone of sudden dip.




Figure 15: Variation of (a) Velocity slip and (b) Temperature jump along the surface of flat plate for various boundary conditions.




Figure 16: Variation of the  $Rp$  term along the surface of the flat plate.




Figure 17: SLKNS simulation on  $r-\theta$  plane (a) comparison of the non-dimensional tangential velocity with  $r-z$ , DSMC and analytical result [73], (b) contours of temperature based on simulation on  $r-\theta$  plane.

#### 6.4 Couette rarefied flow confined in a concentric cylinder

Couette flow between concentric inner rotating and outer stationary cylinders is one of a classical fluid dynamics problem. Consider rarefied flow with a mean free path,  $\lambda$  of 0.00625 m confined in a rotating inner cylinder of radius  $3\lambda$  and stationary outer cylinder of radius  $5\lambda$ . The motive gas chosen is argon with initial uniform density of  $1.867819 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kg/m}^3$  and inner cylinder held at 300 K, rotates at frequency of  $1000\pi$  radians/sec.

A cloud of size  $120 \times 200$  was used to carry out simulation using SLKNS with NET-KFVS based kinetic wall boundary condition for tangential momentum accommodation coefficient,  $\sigma = 0.1$ . Fig.17(a) shows the plot of the non-dimensional tangential velocity with respect to non-dimensional radial distance for SLKNS solver using  $r-\theta$ , and its comparison with  $r-z$  formulation based on axi-symmetric Boltzmann equation [48], results of DSMC[73] and analytical solution[73] using isothermal condition and uniform density. The viscous dissipation may generate faint temperature variations which are difficult to capture using DSMC. One of the objective of the test case was to resolve such weak features associated with viscous dissipation. Figure 17(b) shows the contour of temperature which breaks the symmetry. It should be noted that any DSMC simulation as well as analytical derivation based on axi-symmetric approach may no longer be accurate as symmetry breaks down due to slip flow. When the outer cylinder is specularly reflecting then no circumferential momentum is transferred to the outer cylinder [3]. As a consequence the gas accelerates and tries to reach the stationary state of rigid body rotation (for which the distribution function is a Maxwellian), satisfying the Onsager's principle of least dissipation of energy valid for processes close to equilibrium. *For non-inertial flows slip can exist even for non-dissipative specular walls.*

## 7 Conclusions and Future Recommendations

Most of the research in kinetic theory have focused more in the issues related to entropy generation and ignored the crucial aspect of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Kinetic models and kinetic scheme should comply with the requirements of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics being a phenomenological theory gives the symmetry relationship between kinetic coefficients as well as general structure of non-equilibrium phenomenon derived using kinetic theory. The Onsager's symmetry relationship is a consequence of microscopic reversibility condition due to the equality of the differential cross sections for direct and time reversed collision processes.

For a prescribed irreversible force the actual flux which satisfies Onsager's theory also maximizes the entropy production. The solution of the Boltzmann equation is in accordance with the principle of maximum entropy production (MEP). Linearized collision operator and non-equilibrium part of the distribution function can be expressed in terms of microscopic tensors and its associated thermodynamic forces. Each thermodynamic force generates non-equilibrium distribution which relaxes with its own specific relaxation time satisfying Onsager relation for entropy production. A new kinetic model called Onsager-BGK model was formulated based on these principles of non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The Boltzmann H-function in such a case can be interpreted as a summation of components of H-function belonging to its thermodynamic force i.e. each thermodynamic force will have its own H-theorem. H-theorem can also be understood as a ratio of Mahalanobis distance between non-equilibrium and equilibrium distribution and its associated relaxation time. The positivity property of Mahalanobis distance quickly establishes an effortless proof of H-theorem for the Onsager-BGK kinetic model. The non-equilibrium part of the distribution function resulting from the new kinetic model can also be expressed in Onsager's form i.e. as full tensor contraction of thermodynamic forces and its associated microscopic tensors. Kinetic scheme and boundary conditions should also follow the principle of non-equilibrium thermodynamics by addressing the issue of correct generation and distribution of entropy for each thermodynamic force associated with its non-equilibrium state. Velocity slip and temperature jump follow Onsager's variational principle. The simulation results based on the Onsager-BGK model and non-equilibrium thermodynamics based kinetic scheme were validated with analytical as well as the results of Direct Simulation Monte Carlo (DSMC). A new term called reciprocity number  $Rp$  was also derived using the contribution of thermodynamic forces on viscous split fluxes in order to estimate the order of importance of cross phenomenon. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics based kinetic schemes, kinetic wall boundary condition and kinetic particle method can simulate continuum as well as rarefied slip flows within Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations in order to avoid costly multi-scale simulations.

The future course of action will require validation of Onsager-BGK model for multi-component gas mixtures and further investigation on collision probability function based Onsager-BGK model for Knudsen layer. Onsager-BGK model also opens up a possibility of its metamorphosis as a lattice Boltzmann model for compressible, non-isothermal flows.

## Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Shri G. Gouthaman and Shri T.K. Bera for help and support. First author is grateful to Prof. S.M. Deshpande for being a mentor and a source of inspiration.



## A Extending Onsager-BGK model for gas-mixture

In case of two fluid approximation we will have kinetic models for each species. The kinetic model will not only depend on relaxation time associated with self-collisions but also on relaxation time associated with cross collisions of specie  $i$  with specie  $j$ . The kinetic model  $J_m(f, f_0)(i)$  for specie  $i$  and  $J_m(f, f_0)(j)$  for specie  $j$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(f, f_0)(i) &= - \left( \frac{f(i)-f_0(i)}{t_{R(\tau,ii)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{q=0}} - \left( \frac{f(i)-f_0(i)}{t_{R(q,ii)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{\tau=0}} \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{f(i)-\tilde{f}_0(i)}{t_{R(\tau,ij)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{q=0}} - \left( \frac{f(i)-\tilde{f}_0(i)}{t_{R(q,ij)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{\tau=0}} \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(f, f_0)(j) &= - \left( \frac{f(j)-f_0(j)}{t_{R(\tau,jj)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{q=0}} - \left( \frac{f(j)-f_0(j)}{t_{R(q,jj)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{\tau=0}} \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{f(j)-\tilde{f}_0(j)}{t_{R(\tau,ji)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{q=0}} - \left( \frac{f(j)-\tilde{f}_0(j)}{t_{R(q,ji)}} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_{\tau=0}} \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

where  $f(i)$  and  $f_0(i)$  are the non-equilibrium and equilibrium distribution for specie  $i$ . Similarly,  $f(j)$  and  $f_0(j)$  are the non-equilibrium and equilibrium distribution for specie  $j$ . Maxwellian distribution  $\tilde{f}_0(i)$  and  $\tilde{f}_0(j)$  are based on free temperature parameter[55]. Parameters  $t_{R(\tau,ii)}$ ,  $t_{R(q,ii)}$  are the relaxation time due to self collisions of specie  $i$  while  $t_{R(\tau,jj)}$ ,  $t_{R(q,jj)}$  are the relaxation time due to self collisions of specie  $j$ . Parameters  $t_{R(\tau,ij)}$ ,  $t_{R(q,ij)}$  are the relaxation time due to cross collisions of specie  $i$  with specie  $j$  while  $t_{R(\tau,ji)}$ ,  $t_{R(q,ji)}$  are the relaxation time due to self collisions of specie  $j$  with specie  $i$ . The cross collision relaxation time  $t_{R(\alpha,ij)}$  and  $t_{R(\alpha,ji)}$  are related to number density as  $n_j t_{R(\alpha,ij)} = n_i t_{R(\alpha,ji)}$  for  $\alpha \in \{\tau, q\}$  where  $n_i$  and  $n_j$  is the number density of specie  $i$  and specie  $j$ .

## B Expressions of split macroscopic tensors

The components of split macroscopic tensors  $\Lambda_j^{x,\Psi,\pm} = \left[ \Lambda_j^{x,\psi_i,\pm}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{x,\psi_i,\pm} \right]^T$  defined for each  $\psi_i \in \Psi = \left[ 1, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2}v^2 \right]^T$  are

$$\Lambda_\tau^{x,\psi_1,\pm} = \langle \psi_1, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_\tau^\pm \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{B_1^\mp(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{B_1^\mp(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (122)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{x,\psi_1,\pm} = \langle \psi_1, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^\pm \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{1}{pR} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{B_1^\mp u_x \rho}{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (123)$$

$$\Lambda_\tau^{x,\psi_2,\pm} = \langle \psi_2, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_\tau^\pm \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{A_1^\pm(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\beta^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{A_1^\pm(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\beta^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (124)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{x,\psi_2,\pm} = \langle \psi_2, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^\pm \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{1}{pR} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{B_1^\mp \rho}{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (125)$$

$$\Lambda_\tau^{x,\psi_3,\pm} = \langle \psi_3, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_\tau^\pm \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{B_1^\mp u_y(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & \frac{A_1^\pm \rho}{8\beta^2} \\ \frac{A_1^\pm \rho}{8\beta^2} & \frac{B_1^\mp u_y(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (126)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{x,\psi_3,\pm} = \langle \psi_3, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^\pm \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{1}{pR} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{B_1^\mp u_x u_y \rho}{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & \frac{B_1^\mp \rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (127)$$

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{x,\psi_4,\pm} = \langle \psi_4, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\tau}^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{(\gamma-3)C_1^{\pm}\rho}{32\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}(\gamma-1)} & \frac{A_1^{\pm}u_y\rho}{8\beta^2} \\ \frac{A_1^{\pm}u_y\rho}{8\beta^2} & -\frac{C_1^{\pm}\rho}{32\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (128)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{x,\psi_4,\pm} = \langle \psi_4, v_x \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{\kappa}{pR} \left[ -\frac{(B_1^{\mp}\sqrt{\beta}u_x(3+2u_y^2\beta(\gamma-1)-\gamma)+2A_1^{\pm}\sqrt{\pi}\gamma)\rho}{16\sqrt{\pi}\beta^3(\gamma-1)} \quad \frac{B_1^{\mp}u_y\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \right] \quad (129)$$

Similarly components of  $\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi,\pm} = [\Lambda_j^{y,\Psi_i,\pm}, \dots, \Lambda_j^{y,\Psi_i,\pm}]^T$  are

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{y,\psi_1,\pm} = \langle \psi_1, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\tau}^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{B_2^{\mp}(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{B_2^{\mp}(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (130)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{y,\psi_1,\pm} = \langle \psi_1, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{\kappa}{pR} \left[ 0 \quad -\frac{B_2^{\mp}u_y\rho}{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \right] \quad (131)$$

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{y,\psi_2,\pm} = \langle \psi_2, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\tau}^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{B_2^{\mp}u_x(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} & \frac{A_2^{\pm}\rho}{8\beta^2} \\ \frac{A_2^{\pm}\rho}{8\beta^2} & \frac{B_2^{\mp}u_x(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (132)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{y,\psi_2,\pm} = \langle \psi_2, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{\kappa}{pR} \left[ \frac{B_2^{\mp}\rho}{8\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \quad -\frac{B_2^{\mp}u_xu_y\rho}{4\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{3/2}} \right] \quad (133)$$

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{y,\psi_3,\pm} = \langle \psi_3, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\tau}^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{A_2^{\pm}(\gamma-1)\rho}{8\beta^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{A_2^{\pm}(\gamma-3)\rho}{8\beta^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (134)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{y,\psi_3,\pm} = \langle \psi_3, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{\kappa}{pR} \left[ 0 \quad \frac{B_2^{\mp}\rho}{4p\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \right] \quad (135)$$

$$\Lambda_{\tau}^{y,\psi_4,\pm} = \langle \psi_4, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{\tau}^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{\mu}{p} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{C_2^{\pm}\rho}{32\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} & \frac{A_2^{\pm}u_x\rho}{8\beta^2} \\ \frac{A_2^{\pm}u_x\rho}{8\beta^2} & -\frac{(\gamma-3)C_2^{\pm}\rho}{32\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \end{bmatrix} \quad (136)$$

$$\Lambda_q^{y,\psi_4,\pm} = \langle \psi_4, v_y \mathbf{\Upsilon}_q^{\pm} \rangle = \frac{(\gamma-1)\kappa}{\gamma} \frac{\kappa}{pR} \left[ \frac{B_2^{\mp}u_x\rho}{8p\sqrt{\pi}\beta^{5/2}} \quad \frac{(-B_2^{\mp}u_y\sqrt{\beta}(3+2u_x^2\beta(\gamma-1)-\gamma)+2A_2^{\pm}\sqrt{\pi}\gamma)\rho}{16\sqrt{\pi}\beta^3(\gamma-1)} \right] \quad (137)$$

where  $B_1^{\pm} = \pm \text{Exp}[-\beta u_x^2]$ ,  $B_2^{\pm} = \pm \text{Exp}[-\beta u_y^2]$ ,  $A_1^{\pm} = 1 \pm \text{Erf}[\sqrt{\beta}u_x]$ ,  $A_2^{\pm} = 1 \pm \text{Erf}[\sqrt{\beta}u_y]$ ,  $C_1^{\pm} = 4A_1^{\pm}u_x\sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{\pi}(\gamma-1)+B_1^{\mp}(1-2u_y^2\beta(\gamma-1)-3\gamma)$  and  $C_2^{\pm} = 4A_2^{\pm}u_y\sqrt{\beta}\sqrt{\pi}(\gamma-1)+B_2^{\mp}(1-2u_x^2\beta(\gamma-1)-3\gamma)$

## C Non-equilibrium split fluxes and extended thermodynamics

The moments of the Boltzmann equation satisfy an infinite hierarchy of balance laws, the macroscopic state vector at the  $k+1^{\text{th}}$  hierarchy is based on the flux vector  $\mathbf{J}_i^k = \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij}^k \odot \mathbf{X}_j$  in the  $k^{\text{th}}$  hierarchy where Onsager's phenomenological tensor at  $k^{\text{th}}$  hierarchy,  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}^k$ , is obtained using  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}^{k-1}$  as  $\mathbf{L}_{ij}^k = \langle \Psi, \vec{v} \mathbf{L}_{ij}^{k-1} \rangle$ . Higher order transport equations can be seen as a fractal entity based on Onsager's phenomenological tensor emerging through iteration of extended thermodynamics. Navier-Stokes equations is a limiting case of extended thermodynamics (ET) when relaxation times of diffusive fluxes are neglected[57].

In actual physical process it is split fluxes which participates in the conservation hence the moments of the Boltzmann equation should satisfy an infinite hierarchy of balance laws in terms of split fluxes. At the  $k^{th}$  hierarchy the macroscopic state vector  $\mathbf{U}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}$  and split flux vector  $\mathbf{G}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^\pm$  are based on the  $k^{th}$  component of the vector of collision invariant,  $\Psi_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}$  given as

$$\mathbf{U}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^D} \Psi_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (138)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^\pm = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D^\pm}} \Psi_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k} \vec{v} f d\vec{v} d\mathbb{I} \quad (139)$$

Unlike the idea of rational extended thermodynamics[57] it is the split flux terms in an equation which becomes the density in the next one as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_{m_1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{m_1}^\pm}{\partial \vec{x}} &= 0 \\ &\swarrow \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}_{m_1 m_2}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_{m_1 m_2}^\pm}{\partial \vec{x}} &= 0 \\ &\swarrow \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

The state vector  $\mathbf{U}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k m_{k+1}}$  in the  $(k+1)^{th}$  hierarchy is based on the split flux  $\mathbf{G}_{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}^\pm$  based on half range distribution function defined in  $k^{th}$  hierarchy. For example, consider one dimensional case where split mass flux composed of inviscid and viscous contribution,  $G X^\pm(\psi_1)$  evaluated using  $\psi_1 \in \Psi$  is given as

$$G X^\pm(\psi_1) = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( A^\pm u_x + \frac{B^\pm}{\sqrt{\pi\beta}} \right) - \frac{\rho}{4p\sqrt{\beta}} \frac{B^\pm}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( 2u_x \beta q_x \frac{(\gamma-1)}{\gamma} + \tau_{xx} \right) \quad (141)$$

where  $A^\pm = 1 \pm \text{Erf}(u_x \sqrt{\beta})$  and  $B^\pm = \pm \text{Exp}(-\beta u_x^2)$ . The split mass flux contain terms of momentum, shear stress tensor and heat flux vector. These terms involve i)  $\vec{v}$ , ii)  $\vec{v} \otimes \vec{v}$ , and iii)  $(\mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^T \vec{v}) \vec{v}$  components of collision invariants. Thus, 5 moments based on  $\Psi = [1, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^T \vec{v}]^T$  are inadequate as vector of collision invariant should include  $\Psi = [1, \vec{v}, \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^T \vec{v}, \vec{v} \otimes \vec{v}, (\mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^T \vec{v}) \vec{v}]^T$  giving rise to at-least 13 moment equations such that split mass flux becomes density in the second step and split momentum flux becomes density in the third step. This set of 13-moment Grad like system[24] includes evolution of pressure tensor and heat flux vector. However, the present 5 moments based formulation is adequate for the simulation of most of the engineering slip flow problems which lie in the regime of linear irreversible thermodynamics. The present approach will not be adequate for cases that involve large Mach number in shock waves, high frequencies for sound waves, etc. It should also be noted that the approach based on non-equilibrium thermodynamics may also modify Levermore [35] procedure which generates hierarchy of closed systems of moment equations that ensures every member of the hierarchy is symmetric hyperbolic with an entropy, and formally recovers to Euler limit. The finite dimensional linear subspace  $\Xi$  of functions of  $\vec{v}$  in Levermore procedure should ensure that entropy generation follows Onsager's reciprocity principle.

In real media it is the split flux which participates in any physical process and for non-equilibrium flows the split fluxes contain dissipative terms. For example split flux

associated with mass flow contains contribution of viscous terms. It is also interesting to note that the presence of dissipative terms due to thermodynamic forces in the split fluxes brings out its relationship and difference with the hydrodynamic theory of Brenner [10] and Quasi-gas dynamics [19] where dissipative terms were introduced in un-split flux terms such that time-spatial averages are invariant under Galileo transform.

## D Onsager-BGK model for the Knudsen layer

Near the wall at a normal distance of order  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda) = \lambda_e$  there exists a Knudsen layer parametrized by  $\lambda_e$  which is the effective mean free path depending on the effective viscosity and wall conditions. In the Knudsen layer some molecules may collide more with the wall and may not suffer as much collisions with the molecules as compared to the molecules above the Knudsen layer. For modeling slip near transition regime ideally we require an approach which is computationally cheap includes higher moments thereby terms of order  $\text{Kn}^2$ . It should be noted that validity of Chapman-Enskog expansion procedure can only be said for  $\text{Kn} \leq 1$ , the more correct way to obtain non-linear distribution has to be based on extended irreversible thermodynamics (EIT) by expanding the distribution function in terms of microscopic tensors and thermodynamic forces. From non-equilibrium thermodynamics point of view linear irreversible thermodynamics (LIT) is no longer valid in the Knudsen layer as fluxes are no longer linear functions of its conjugate force, regime shifts to extended irreversible thermodynamics (EIT) described by  $\mathbf{J}_i = \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jk} \mathbf{L}_{ijk} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \odot \mathbf{X}_k + \dots$ . Simplest approach may be to approximate EIT based flux as a function of LIT based flux by using suitable scaling function. Consider a function  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y})^7$  as a measure of probability of collision at any normal distance  $\bar{y} = \frac{y}{\lambda_e} \leq 1$  such that non-equilibrium EIT based flux,  $\mathbf{J}_i$  can be approximated in terms of  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y})$  for curvature free surface as  $\mathbf{J}_i \approx \mathbb{P}(\bar{y}) \sum_j \mathbf{L}_{ij} \odot \mathbf{X}_j$ . In such a case the single particle velocity distribution  $f_1(\bar{y})$  in the Knudsen layer at any normal dimensionless distance  $\bar{y} = \frac{y}{\lambda_e} \leq 1$  can be expressed as

$$f_1(\bar{y}) = f_0 - \mathbb{P}(\bar{y}) \sum_j \Upsilon_j \odot \mathbf{X}_j \quad (142)$$

where  $f_0$  is the Maxwellian distribution. The total distribution function,  $f_{1,\Sigma}$  at the wall based on Maxwell gas-interaction model in terms of accommodation coefficient  $\sigma$  can be written as

$$f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0) = \begin{cases} f_{1,I}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0) & \text{for } \vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v} < 0 \\ (1 - \sigma)f_{1,R}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0) + \sigma f_{0,W}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0) & \text{for } \vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v} > 0 \end{cases} \quad (143)$$

where  $f_{1,\Sigma}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0)$  is the total,  $f_{1,I}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0)$  is the incident Knudsen layer Chapman-Enskog distribution,  $f_{1,R}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0) = f_{1,I}(\vec{v} - 2\vec{i}_n \vec{i}_n \cdot \vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0)$  is the specular reflected Knudsen layer Chapman-Enskog distribution and  $f_{0,W}(\vec{v}, \mathbb{I}, t, \bar{y} = 0)$  is the diffuse reflected Maxwellian distribution based on wall conditions and conservation. At the wall  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y}) = 0$  so the non-equilibrium part of the incident Chapman-Enskog distribution

---

<sup>7</sup>For two dimensional symmetry problems collision probability function,  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y})$  will also depend on the curvature of the surface as it is a volume dependent parameter related to Onsager's dissipation function.

vanishes and the temperature as well as velocity gradients are singular similar to the findings of Lilley and Sader [38]. This can also be interpreted as a Onsager-BGK Knudsen layer model,  $J(f, f_0)_{\text{Kn}}$  valid in the Knudsen layer with varying relaxation time expressed as

$$J(f, f_0)_{\text{Kn}} = - \left( \frac{f - f_0}{\tilde{t}_{R(\tau)}(\bar{y})} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_q=0} - \left( \frac{f - f_0}{\tilde{t}_{R(q)}(\bar{y})} \right)_{\mathbf{X}_\tau=0} \quad (144)$$

The relaxation time  $\tilde{t}_{R(j)} = \mathbb{P}(\bar{y})t_{R(j)}$  varies with the normal distance from the wall based on the collision probability function,  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y})$ . The state update equations in the macroscopic form in the Knudsen layer becomes

$$\mathbf{U}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{U}(t) - \Delta t \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_I^\pm(t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{X}}_V^\pm(t)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_I^\pm(t)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_V^\pm(t)}{\partial y} \right] \quad (145)$$

The viscous part of the flux component,  $\mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{X}}_V^\pm$  and  $\mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_V^\pm$  are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{X}}_V^\pm &= \mathbb{P}(\bar{y})\mathbf{G}\mathbf{X}_V^\pm = -\mathbb{P}(\bar{y}) \sum_j \Lambda_j^{x, \Psi, \pm} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \\ \mathbf{G}\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_V^\pm &= \mathbb{P}(\bar{y})\mathbf{G}\mathbf{Y}_V^\pm = -\mathbb{P}(\bar{y}) \sum_j \Lambda_j^{y, \Psi, \pm} \odot \mathbf{X}_j \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

This kinetic model is quite easy to implement as the viscous fluxes are just multiplied by the collision probability function,  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{y})$ .

## References

- [1] R.K. Agarwal, K.-Y Yun, and R. Balakrishnan. Beyond Navier-Stokes: Burnett equations for flows in the continuum-transition regime. *Physics of Fluids*, 13:3061–3085, 2001.
- [2] P. Andries and B. Perthame. *The ES-BGK model equation with correct Prandtl number*. In: *Rarefied Gas Dynamics: 22nd International Symposium*, ed. T.J. Bartel and A.A. Gallis, pages 30–36. AIP, 2001.
- [3] K. Aoki, H. Yoshida, T. Nakanishi, and A.L. Garcia. Inverted velocity profile in the cylindrical Couette flow of a rarefied gas. *Physical Review E*, 68:016302(1)–016302(11), 2003.
- [4] L.L. Baker and N.G. Hadjiconstantinou. Variance reduction for Monte Carlo solutions of the Boltzmann equation. *Physics of Fluids*, 17:051703(1)–051703(4), 2005.
- [5] F-B. Bao and J-Z. Lin. Burnett simulations of gas flow in microchannels. *Fluid Dynamics Research*, 40:679–694, 2008.
- [6] G.P. Beretta. Nonlinear model dynamics for closed-system, constrained, maximal-entropy-generation relaxation by energy redistribution. *Physical Review E*, 73:026113(1)–026113(11), 2006.
- [7] P.L. Bhatnagar, E.P. Gross, and M. Krook. A model for collision processes in gases. I. Small amplitude processes in charged and neutral one-component systems. *Physical Review*, 94:511–525, 1954.

- [8] G.A. Bird. *Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation of Gas Flows*. Oxford Science, Oxford, 1994.
- [9] B.I.M.ten Bosch, J.J.M. Beenakker, and I. Kušćer . Onsager Symmetries in field-dependent flows of rarefied molecular gases. *Physica*, 123A:443-462, 1984.
- [10] H. Brenner. Navier-Stokes revisited. *Physica A*, 349:60–132, 2005.
- [11] H.B.G. Casimir. On Onsager’s Principle of Microscopic reversibility. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 17:343-350, 1945.
- [12] L.A. Catalano, P. De Palma, M. Napolitano, and G. Pascazio. *Genuinely multidimensional upwind methods for accurate and efficient solutions of compressible flows*. In: *Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanism*, pages 221–250. Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [13] C.S. Wang Chang and G.E. Uhlenbeck. *On the propagation of sound in monatomic gases, Project M999*. Engg. Research Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1952.
- [14] S. Chapman and T.G. Cowling. *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [15] S.Y. Chou and D. Baganoff. Kinetic flux-vector splitting for the Navier-Stokes equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 130:217–230, 1996.
- [16] S.M. Deshpande. A second order accurate, kinetic-theory based method for inviscid compressible flows. *NASA Technical Paper No. 2613*, 1986.
- [17] D. d’Humières. Generalized lattice Boltzmann equations. in rarefied gas dynamics: theory and simulations (ed. B. D. Shizgal & D. P. Weaver). *Prog. Astronaut. Aeronaut.*, 159:450–458, 1992.
- [18] D. d’Humières, I. Ginzburg, M. Krafczyk, P. Lallemand, and L-S. Luo. Multiple-relaxation-time lattice Boltzmann models in three dimensions. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, 360:437–451, 2002.
- [19] T.G. Elizarova. *Quasi-Gas Dynamic Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [20] B.C. Eu. On the modified moment method and irreversible thermodynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 85:1592–1602, 1986.
- [21] A.K. Ghosh. *Robust Least Squares Kinetic Upwind Method for Inviscid Compressible Flows*. PhD thesis, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 1996.
- [22] G.H. Golub and M.A.Saunders. *Linear least squares and quadratic programming, Technical report no. CS 134*. Stanford University, 1969.
- [23] A.N. Gorban and I.V. Karlin. General approach to constructing models of the Boltzmann equation. *Physica A*, 206:401-420, 1994.

- [24] H. Grad. On the kinetic theory of rarefied gases. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math*, 2:331–407, 1949.
- [25] C.J. Greenshields and J.M. Reese. Rarefied hypersonic flow simulations using the Navier-Stokes equations with non-equilibrium boundary conditions. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 52:80–87, 2012.
- [26] E.P. Gross and E.A. Jackson. Kinetic models and the linearized Boltzmann equation. *The physics of fluids*, 2:432–441, 1959.
- [27] S.R. de Groot and P.Mazur. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1962.
- [28] I. Gyarmati. *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics: Field Theory and Variational Principle*. Springer, New York, 1970.
- [29] H. Holway. *Kinetic theory of shock structure using an ellipsoidal distribution function*. In: *Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Vol. I (Proc. Fourth International Symposium University of Toronto, 1964)*, pages 193–215. Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [30] E. Ikenberry and C. Truesdell. On the pressures and the flux of energy in a gas according to Maxwell’s kinetic theory I. *J. of Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 5:1–54, 1956.
- [31] I. Karlin, P. Asinari, and S. Succi. Matrix lattice Boltzmann reloaded. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 369:2202–2210, 2011.
- [32] E.H. Kennard. *Kinetic Theory of Gases*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1938.
- [33] S. Kullback and R.A. Leibler. On information and sufficiency. *The annals of mathematical statistics*, 22:79–86, 1951.
- [34] H. Lang. Second order slip effects in Poiseuille flow. *Physics of Fluid*, 19:366–371, 1976.
- [35] C.D. Levermore. Moment closure hierarchies for kinetic theories. *Journal of statistical Physics*, 83(5/6):1021–1065, 1996.
- [36] Q. Li, S. Fu, and K. Xu. Application of gas-kinetic scheme with kinetic boundary conditions in hypersonic flow. *AIAA Journal*, 43:2170–2176, 2005.
- [37] Z. Li and H. Zhang. Gas kinetic algorithm using Boltzmann model equation. *Computers & Fluids*, 33:967–991, 2004.
- [38] C.R. Lilley and J.E. Sader. Velocity profile in the Knudsen layer according to the Boltzmann equation. *Proc. of the Royal Society A*, 464:2015–2035, 2008.
- [39] G. Liu. A method for constructing a model form for the Boltzmann equation. *Physics of Fluid A*, 2:277, 1990.
- [40] D.A. Lockerby and J.M. Reese. High-resolution Burnett simulations of micro Couette flow and heat transfer *Journal of Computational Physics*, 188:333–347, 2003.

- [41] D.A. Lockerby, J.M. Reese, and M.A. Gallis. The usefulness of higher-order constitutive relations for describing the Knudsen layer. *Physics of Fluids*, 17:100609(1)–100609(9), 2005.
- [42] D.A. Lockerby, J.M. Reese, D.R. Emerson, and R.W. Barber. Velocity boundary condition at solid walls in rarefied gas calculations. *Physical Review E*, 70:017303–1–017303–4, 2004.
- [43] S.K. Loyalka. Kinetic theory of thermal transpiration and mechanocaloric effect I. *The journal of Chemical Physics*, 55:4497–4503, 1971.
- [44] M.N. Macrossan. *A particle simulation method for the BGK equation. In: Rarefied Gas Dynamics: 22nd International Symposium, Sydney. Eds. T.J. Bartel and M.A. Gallis, pages 426–433. AIP, 2001.*
- [45] P.C. Mahalanobis. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proc. Nat. Inst. of Sciences of India*, 2:49–55, 1936.
- [46] A.K. Mahendra. *Meshless method for Slip Flows*. PhD thesis, Homi Bhabha National Institute, 2011.
- [47] A.K. Mahendra, G. Gouthaman, and R.K. Singh. Viscous compressible slip flows. part 1: Kinetic flux vector splitting and its variance reduction form. *Int. Jou. of Emerging Multi. Fluid Sciences*, 3:21–47, 2011.
- [48] A.K. Mahendra, R.K. Singh, and G. Gouthaman. Meshless kinetic upwind method for compressible viscous rotating flows. *Computers & Fluids*, 46:325–332, 2011.
- [49] J.C. Mandal and S.M. Deshpande. Kinetic flux vector splitting for euler equations. *Computers & Fluids*, 23(2):447–478, 1994.
- [50] L.M. Martyushev and V.D. Seleznev. Maximum entropy production principle in physics, chemistry and biology. *Physics Reports*, 426:1–45, 2006.
- [51] J.C. Maxwell. On stresses in rarefied gases arising from inequalities of temperature. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society of London*, 170:231–256, 1879.
- [52] F.R.W. McCourt, J.J.M. Beenakker, W.E. Köhler, and I. Kušćer. *Nonequilibrium Phenomenon in Polyatomic Gases*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [53] L. Mieussens. Discrete-velocity models and numerical schemes for the Boltzmann-BGK equation in plane and axisymmetric geometries. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 162:429–466, 2000.
- [54] L. Mieussens and H. Struchtrup. *Numerical solutions for the BGK-Model with velocity-dependent collision frequency. In: Rarefied Gas Dynamics: 23rd International Symposium, eds. A. D. Ketsdever and E. P. Muntz, volume CP 663. AIP, 2003.*
- [55] T.F. Morse. Kinetic model equations for a gas mixture. *The physics of fluids*, 7:2012–2013, 1964.



- [56] T.F. Morse. Kinetic model for gases with internal degrees of freedom. *The physics of fluids*, 7:159–169, 1964.
- [57] I. Müller and T. Ruggeri. *Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy 37 2nd ed.– Rational Extended Thermodynamics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [58] L. Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes i. *Phys. Rev.*, 37:405–426, 1931.
- [59] L. Onsager. Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes ii. *Phys. Rev.*, 38:2265–2279, 1931.
- [60] G.N. Patterson. *Molecular flow of Gases*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1956.
- [61] B. Perthame. Boltzmann-type scheme for gas dynamic and the entropy property. *SIAM journal of numerical analysis*, 27:1405–1421, 1991.
- [62] K. Prendergast and K. Xu. Numerical hydrodynamics from gas-kinetic theory. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 109:53–66, 1993.
- [63] D.I. Pullin. Direct simulation methods for compressible inviscid gas flow. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 34:231–244, 1980.
- [64] D.I. Pullin and J.K. Harvey. A numerical simulation of the rarefied hypersonic flat-plate problem. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 78:689–707, 1976.
- [65] L. Saint-Raymond. *Hydrodynamic Limits of the Boltzmann Equation. (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1971)*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [66] E.M. Shakhov. Generalization of the Krook kinetic relaxation equation. *Fluid Dynamics*, 3:95–96, 1968.
- [67] F. Sharipov. Power-series expansion of the Boltzmann equation and reciprocal relations for nonlinear irreversible phenomena. *Physical Review E*, 84:061137(1)–061137(6), 2011.
- [68] S. Shen, G. Chen, R.M. Crone, and M. Ananya-Dufresne. A kinetic-theory based first order slip boundary condition for gas flow. *Physics of Fluids*, 19:086101(1)–086101(6), 2007.
- [69] T. Soga. On the convergence of the solution to the Gross-Jackson’s kinetic model equation. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 55:3863–3871, 1986.
- [70] H. Struchtrup. What does an ideal wall look like? *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 19:493–498, 2008.
- [71] H. Struchtrup and M. Torrilhon. Regularization of Grad’s 13 moment equations: derivation and linear analysis. *Physics of Fluids*, 15:2668–2680, 2003.
- [72] Q. Sun and I.D. Boyd. A direct simulation method for subsonic, microscale gas flows. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 179:400–425, 2002.

- [73] Y. Sun, R.W. Barber, and D.R. Emerson. *The Impact Of Accommodation Coefficient On Concentric Couette Flow*. In: *Rarefied Gas Dynamics: 24th International Symposium*, ed. M. Capitelli, volume CP762, pages 707–712. AIP, 2005.
- [74] R.M. Velasco and L.S. Garcia-Colin. The kinetic foundations of extended irreversible thermodynamics revisited. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 69:217–229, 1992.
- [75] C. Villani. *Convergence to Equilibrium: Entropy Production and Hypocoercivity*. In: *Rarefied Gas Dynamics: 24th International Symposium, Monopoli (Bari), Italy*, ed. Capitelli Mario, pages 18–25. AIP Conference Proceedings Volume 762, 2004.
- [76] M. Wang, M. Macrossan, and Z. Li. Relaxation time simulation method with internal energy exchange for perfect gas flow at near-continuum conditions. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation.*, 12:1277–1282, 2007.
- [77] L.C. Woods. Frame-indifferent kinetic theory. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 136:423–433, 1983.
- [78] K. Xu. A gas-kinetic BGK scheme for the Navier-Stokes equations and its connection with artificial dissipation and Godunov method. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 171:289–335, 2001.
- [79] K. Xu and J. C. Huang. A unified gas-kinetic scheme for continuum and rarefied flow. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 229:7747–7764, 2010.
- [80] K. Xu and Z. Li. Microchannel flow in the slip regime: gas-kinetic BGK-Burnett solutions. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 513:87–110, 2004.
- [81] W-A. Yong. An Onsager-like relation for the lattice Boltzmann method. *Journal Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 58:862–866, 2009.
- [82] V.M. Zhdanov and V.I. Roldughin. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics and kinetic theory of rarefied gases. *Physics-Uspekhi*, 41(4):349–378, 1998.
- [83] Y. Zheng and H. Struchtrup. Ellipsoidal statistical Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook model with velocity-dependent collision frequency. *Physics of fluids*, 17:127103(1)–127103(17), 2005.
- [84] H. Ziegler. *An Introduction to Thermomechanics*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.