

On the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for the group of p -adic numbers

Gennadiy Feldman

G.M. Feldman, B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics
and Engineering of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
Kharkov, Ukraine feldman@ilt.kharkov.ua

Abstract

Let Ω_p be the group of p -adic numbers, ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in Ω_p and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Let α_j, β_j be topological automorphisms of Ω_p . Assuming that the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2$ are independent, we describe possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 depending on the automorphisms α_j, β_j . This theorem is an analogue for the group Ω_p of the well-known Skitovich–Darmois theorem, where a Gaussian distribution on the real line is characterized by the independence of two linear forms.

Keywords Group of p -adic numbers, Characterization theorem

Mathematics Subject Classification 60B15 · 62E10 · 43A35

1 Introduction

The classical characterization theorems of mathematical statistics were extended to different algebraic structures such as locally compact Abelian groups, Lie groups, quantum groups, symmetric spaces (see e.g. [1]–[3], [6]–[13], and also [4], where one can find necessary references). In particular, much attention has been devoted to the study of the Skitovich–Darmois theorem, where a Gaussian distribution is characterized by the independence of two linear forms, for some classes of locally compact Abelian groups, and the Heyde theorem, where a Gaussian distribution is characterized by the symmetry of the conditional distribution of one linear form given another. In these cases coefficients of linear forms are topological automorphisms of a group. The article is devoted to the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for the group of p -adic numbers Ω_p . To the best of our knowledge the characterization problems for the group Ω_p have not been studied earlier.

We recall that according to the classical Skitovich–Darmois theorem, if $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, are independent random variables, α_j, β_j are nonzero constants, and the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n\xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n\xi_n$ are independent, then all random variables ξ_j are Gaussian. This theorem was generalized by Ghurye and Olkin to the case when ξ_j are independent vectors in the space \mathbb{R}^m and the coefficients α_j, β_j are non singular matrices. They proved that the independence of L_1 and L_2 implies that all random vectors ξ_j are Gaussian ([15, Ch. 3]).

Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, $\text{Aut}(X)$ be the group of topological automorphisms of X , $\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_j . Consider the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n\xi_n$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \dots + \beta_n\xi_n$, where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \text{Aut}(X)$. In the earlier papers the main attention was paid to the following problem: For which groups X the independence of L_1 and L_2 implies that all μ_j are either Gaussian distributions, or belong to a class of distributions which we can consider as a natural analogue of the class of Gaussian distributions. This problem was

studied for different classes of locally compact Abelian groups ([4, Ch. V]). It turned out that in contrast to the classical situation, the cases of $n = 2$ and an arbitrary n are essentially different. For $n = 2$ this problem was solved for the class of finite Abelian groups in [1], for the class of compact totally disconnected Abelian groups in [8], and for the class of discrete Abelian groups in [9]. We also note that group analogues of the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for $n = 2$ are closely connected with the positive definite functions of product type introduced by Schmidt (see [17], [5]).

In the article we continue these investigations. On the one hand, we prove that the Skitovich–Darmois theorem, generally speaking, fails for the group of p -adic numbers Ω_p . On the other hand, we give the complete descriptions of all automorphisms $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$ such that the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2$ implies that μ_1 and μ_2 are idempotent distributions, i.e. shifts of the Haar distributions of compact subgroups of Ω_p . We note that since Ω_p is a totally disconnected group, the Gaussian distributions on Ω_p are degenerated ([16, Ch. 4]).

2 Definitions and notation

We will use some results of the duality theory for the locally compact Abelian groups (see [14]). Before we formulate the main theorem we recall some definitions and agree on notation. For an arbitrary locally compact Abelian group X let $Y = X^*$ be its character group, and (x, y) be the value of a character $y \in Y$ at an element $x \in X$. If K is a closed subgroup of X , we denote by $A(Y, K) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ its annihilator. If $\delta : X \mapsto X$ is a continuous homomorphism, then the adjoint homomorphism $\tilde{\delta} : Y \mapsto Y$ is defined by the formula $(x, \tilde{\delta}y) = (\delta x, y)$ for all $x \in X, y \in Y$. We note that $\delta \in \text{Aut}(X)$ if and only if $\tilde{\delta} \in \text{Aut}(Y)$. Denote by I the identity automorphism of a group.

Let $M^1(X)$ be the convolution semigroup of probability distributions on X . For a distribution $\mu \in M^1(X)$ denote by

$$\widehat{\mu}(y) = \int_X (x, y) d\mu(x)$$

its characteristic function (Fourier transform), and by $\sigma(\mu)$ the support of μ . For $\mu \in M^1(X)$, we define the distribution $\bar{\mu} \in M^1(X)$ by the formula $\bar{\mu}(E) = \mu(-E)$ for any Borel set $E \subset X$. Observe that $\widehat{\bar{\mu}}(y) = \overline{\widehat{\mu}(y)}$. Let K be a compact subgroup of X . Denote by m_K the Haar distribution on K . We note that the characteristic function of m_K is of the form

$$\widehat{m}_K(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in A(Y, K), \\ 0, & y \notin A(Y, K). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Denote by $I(X)$ the set of all idempotent distributions on X , i.e. the set of shifts of the Haar distributions m_K of the compact subgroups K of X . Let $x \in X$. Denote by E_x the degenerate distribution concentrated at the point x .

3 The main theorem

Let p be a prime number. We need some properties of the group of p -adic numbers Ω_p (see [14, §10]). As a set Ω_p coincides with the set of sequences of integers of the form $x = (\dots, x_{-n}, x_{-n+1}, \dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)$, where $x_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, such that $x_n = 0$ for $n < n_0$, where the number n_0 depends on x . We correspond to each element

$x \in \Omega_p$ the series $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x_k p^k$. Addition and multiplication of the series are defined in a natural way and they define the operations of addition and multiplication in Ω_p . With respect to these operations Ω_p is a field. Denote by Λ_k a subgroup of Ω_p consisting of $x \in \Omega_p$ such that $x_n = 0$ for $n < k$. The subgroup Λ_0 is called the group of p -adic integers and is denoted by Δ_p . We note that $\Lambda_k = p^k \Delta_p$. The family of the subgroups $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ forms an open basis at zero of the group Ω_p and defines a topology on Ω_p . With respect to this topology the group Ω_p is locally compact, non-compact, and totally disconnected. We note that the group Ω_p is represented as a union $\Omega_p = \bigcup_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} p^l \Delta_p$. The character group Ω_p^* of the group Ω_p is topologically isomorphic to Ω_p , and the value of a character $y \in \Omega_p^*$ at an element $x \in \Omega_p$ is defined by the formula

$$(x, y) = \exp \left[2\pi i \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} y_{-s} p^{-s+n-1} \right) \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where for given x and y the sums in (2) actually are finite. Each automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$ is of the form $\alpha g = x_\alpha g$, $g \in \Omega_p$, where $x_\alpha \in \Omega_p$, $x_\alpha \neq 0$. For $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$ we identify the automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$ with the corresponding element $x_\alpha \in \Omega_p$, i.e. when we write αg , we suppose that $\alpha \in \Omega_p$. We note that $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. Denote by Δ_p^0 the subset of Ω_p consisting of all invertible in Δ_p elements, $\Delta_p^0 = \{x \in \Omega_p : x_n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0, x_0 \neq 0\}$. We note that each element $g \in \Omega_p$ is represented in the form $g = p^k c$, where k is an integer, and $c \in \Delta_p^0$. Hence, multiplication on c is a topological automorphism of the group Δ_p .

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ the set of rational numbers of the form $\{k/p^n : k = 0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$. If we define the operation in $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ as addition modulo 1, then $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is transformed into an Abelian group which we consider in the discrete topology. Obviously, this group is topologically isomorphic to the multiplicative group of all p^n th roots of unity, where n goes through the set of nonnegative integers, considering in the discrete topology. For a fixed n denote by $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ consisting of all elements of the form $\{k/p^n : k = 0, 1, \dots, p^n - 1\}$. Note that the group $\mathbb{Z}(p^n)$ is topologically isomorphic to the multiplicative group of all p^n th roots of unity, considering in the discrete topology. Observe that the groups $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and Δ_p are the character groups of one another.

Now we will prove the main result of the paper. We will do this for the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$, and then will show how the general case is reduced to this one.

Theorem 1 *Let $X = \Omega_p$, $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$, $\alpha = p^k c$, $c \in \Delta_p^0$. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *Assume that either $k = 0$ or $|k| = 1$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ are independent. Then*

1(i) *If $k = 0$, then $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(X)$; moreover if $c = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, c_1, \dots)$, then μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions;*

1(ii) *If $|k| = 1$, then either $\mu_1 \in I(X)$ or $\mu_2 \in I(X)$.*

2. *If $|k| \geq 2$, then there exist independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 such that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha \xi_2$ are independent whereas $\mu_1, \mu_2 \notin I(X)$.*

To prove Theorem 1 we need some lemmas. Let ξ be a random variable with values in a second countable locally compact Abelian group X and distribution μ . Taking into account

that the characteristic function of the distribution μ is the expectation $\mathbf{E}[(\xi, y)]$, exactly as in the classical case, we may prove the following statement.

Lemma 1 *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Then the independence of the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$, is equivalent to the fact that the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_1(y)$ and $\widehat{\mu}_2(y)$ satisfy the equation*

$$\widehat{\mu}_1(u+v)\widehat{\mu}_2(u+\tilde{\alpha}v) = \widehat{\mu}_1(u)\widehat{\mu}_2(u)\widehat{\mu}_1(v)\widehat{\mu}_2(\tilde{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2 *Let $X = \Omega_p$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$, $\alpha = p^k c$, $c \in \Delta_p^0$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 such that $\mu_j(y) \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. Then there exists a subgroup $B = p^l \Delta_p$ in Y such that $\widehat{\mu}_j(y) = 1$ for $y \in B$, $j = 1, 2$.*

Proof We use the fact that the family of the subgroups $\{p^l \Delta_p\}_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}$ forms an open basis at zero of the group Y . Since $\widehat{\mu}_1(0) = \widehat{\mu}_2(0) = 1$, we can choose m in such a way that $\widehat{\mu}_j(y) > 0$ for $y \in L = p^m \Delta_p$, $j = 1, 2$. Put $M = L$ if $k \geq 0$, and $M = p^{-k}L$ if $k < 0$. Then M is a subgroup of L and $\alpha(M) \subset L$. Put $\psi_j(y) = -\log \widehat{\mu}_j(y)$, $y \in L$, $j = 1, 2$.

By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_j(y)$ satisfy equation (3). Taking into account that $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$, we get from (3) that the functions $\psi_j(y)$ satisfy the equation

$$\psi_1(u+v) + \psi_2(u+\alpha v) = \psi_1(u) + \psi_2(u) + \psi_1(v) + \psi_2(\alpha v), \quad u \in L, v \in M. \quad (4)$$

Integrating equation (4) over the group L with respect to the Haar distribution $dm_L(u)$ and using the fact that the Haar distribution m_L is L -invariant, we obtain

$$\psi_1(v) + \psi_2(\alpha v) = 0, \quad v \in M.$$

It follows from this that $\psi_1(v) = \psi_2(\alpha v) = 0$ for $v \in M$, and hence $\widehat{\mu}_1(y) = \widehat{\mu}_2(\alpha y) = 1$, $y \in M$. Put $B = M \cap \alpha(M)$. Then B is the required subgroup. Lemma 2 is proved. \square

Lemma 3 ([4, §2]) *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, and $\mu \in M^1(X)$. Let $E = \{y \in Y : \widehat{\mu}(y) = 1\}$. Then E is a closed subgroup of Y , the characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}(y)$ is E -invariant, i.e. $\widehat{\mu}(y+h) = \widehat{\mu}(y)$ for all $y \in Y$, $h \in E$, and $\sigma(\mu) \subset A(X, E)$.*

An Abelian group G is called p -prime if the order of every element of G is a power of p . Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of prime numbers. The following result follows from the proof of Theorem 1 in [8] (see also [4, §13]).

Lemma 4 *Let X be a group of the form*

$$\mathbf{P}_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta_p^{n_p} \times G_p),$$

where n_p is a nonnegative integer, and G_p is a finite p -prime group, may be $G_p = \{0\}$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . If the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$, are independent, then $\mu_j = m_K * E_{x_j}$, where K is a compact subgroup of X , and $x_j \in X$, $j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 5 ([4, §13]) *Let X be a second countable locally compact Abelian group, ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent identically distributed random variables with values in X and distribution m_K , where K is a compact subgroup of X . Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent;*
- (ii) *$(I - \alpha)(K) \supset K$.*

Proof of Theorem 1 Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in X and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. By Lemma 1 the characteristic functions of the distributions μ_j satisfy equation (3). It is obvious that the characteristic functions of the distributions $\bar{\mu}_j$ also satisfy equation (3). This implies that the characteristic functions of the distributions $\nu_j = \mu_j * \bar{\mu}_j$ satisfy equation (3) as well. We have $\widehat{\nu}_j(y) = |\widehat{\mu}_j(y)|^2 \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$. Hence, when we prove Statements 1(i) and 1(ii) we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu_j(y) \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$, because μ_j and ν_j are either degenerate distributions or idempotent distributions simultaneously. Moreover, if it is necessary we can consider new independent random variables $\xi'_1 = \xi_1$ and $\xi'_2 = \alpha\xi_2$, and hence, we can assume that $k \geq 0$. Note also that the only nonzero proper closed subgroups of Ω_p , are the subgroups $\Lambda_k = p^k\Delta_p$, $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots$ [14, (10.16)].

Statement 1(i) We can assume that $\alpha \neq I$. In the opposite case, obviously, μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions. Since by the condition $k = 0$, we have $\alpha = c$, $c \in \Delta_p^0$, and hence, the restriction of the automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(X)$ to any subgroup $p^m\Delta_p$ is a topological automorphism of $p^m\Delta_p$. By Lemma 2 there exists a subgroup $B = p^l\Delta_p$ such that $\widehat{\mu}_j(y) = 1$, $j = 1, 2$, for $y \in B$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $\sigma(\mu_j) \subset A(X, B)$. Put $G = A(X, B)$. It is easy to see that $G = p^{-l+1}\Delta_p$. We have $G \cong \Delta_p$, and the restriction of α to the subgroup G is a topological automorphism of G . Thus, we get that the independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_1 take values in a group $G \cong \Delta_p$, they have distributions μ_1 and μ_1 , and the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$, are independent. Applying Lemma 4, and taking into account that $\mu_j(y) \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2$, we obtain that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = m_K$, where K is a compact subgroup of G . Thus, we proved the first part of Statement 1(i). On the other hand, we have independent identically distributed random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in X and distribution m_K such that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. Hence, by Lemma 5 $(I - \alpha)(K) \supset K$. Suppose that $c = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, c_1, \dots)$, and $K \neq \{0\}$. It is obvious that in this case $(I - \alpha)(K)$ is a proper subgroup of K . The obtained contradiction shows that $K = \{0\}$, i.e. μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions. We also proved the second part of Statement 1(i).

In particular, it follows from this reasoning that in the case, when $X = \Omega_2$, μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions, because if $c \in \Delta_2^0$, then $c_0 = 1$.

Statement 1(ii) Put $f(y) = \widehat{\mu}_1(y)$, $g(y) = \widehat{\mu}_2(y)$. Taking into account that $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$, we rewrite equation (3) in the form

$$f(u+v)g(u+\alpha v) = f(u)g(u)f(v)g(\alpha v), \quad u, v \in Y. \quad (5)$$

Put

$$E = \{y \in Y : f(y) = g(y) = 1\}. \quad (6)$$

Obviously, we can assume that μ_j are nondegenerate distributions, and hence $E \neq \Omega_p$. By Lemma 2 $E \neq \{0\}$, and by Lemma 3, E is a closed subgroup of Ω_p . Thus, E , as a nonzero proper closed subgroup of Ω_p , is of the form $E = p^l\Delta_p$. Since $k \geq 1$, we have $\alpha(E) \subset E$ and

hence, α induces a continuous endomorphism $\widehat{\alpha}$ on the factor-group $L = Y/E$. Taking into account that by Lemma 3

$$f(y+h) = f(y), \quad g(y+h) = g(y),$$

for all $y \in Y$, $h \in E$, we can consider the functions $\widehat{f}(y)$ and $\widehat{g}(y)$ induced on L by the functions $f(y)$ and $g(y)$. It follows from (6) that

$$\{y \in L : \widehat{f}(y) = \widehat{g}(y) = 1\} = \{0\}. \quad (7)$$

Passing from equation (5) on the group Y to the induced equation on the factor-group $L = Y/E$, we obtain

$$\widehat{f}(u+v)\widehat{g}(u+\widehat{\alpha}v) = \widehat{f}(u)\widehat{g}(u)\widehat{f}(v)\widehat{g}(\widehat{\alpha}v), \quad u, v \in L. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that $L \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and $\widehat{\beta} = (I - \widehat{\alpha}) \in \text{Aut}(L)$. Putting in (8) first $u = -\widehat{\alpha}y$, $v = y$, and then $u = y$, $v = -y$, and taking into account that $\widehat{f}(-y) = \widehat{f}(y)$ and $\widehat{g}(-y) = \widehat{g}(y)$, we get

$$\widehat{f}((I - \widehat{\alpha})y) = \widehat{f}(\widehat{\alpha}y)\widehat{g}^2(\widehat{\alpha}y)\widehat{f}(y), \quad y \in L, \quad (9)$$

$$\widehat{g}((I - \widehat{\alpha})y) = \widehat{f}^2(y)\widehat{g}(y)\widehat{g}(\alpha y), \quad y \in L. \quad (10)$$

Obviously, equation (9) implies that

$$\widehat{f}(\widehat{\beta}y) \leq \widehat{f}(y), \quad y \in L. \quad (11)$$

We note now that any element of the group L belongs to some subgroup H , $H \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^m)$, moreover, $\widehat{\beta}(H) = H$. Since H is a finite subgroup, $\widehat{\beta}^n y = y$ for any $y \in H$, where n depends generally on y . Then (11) implies that

$$\widehat{f}(y) = \widehat{f}(\widehat{\beta}^n y) \leq \dots \leq \widehat{f}(\widehat{\beta}y) \leq \widehat{f}(y), \quad y \in L.$$

Thus, on each orbit $\{y, \widehat{\beta}y, \dots, \widehat{\beta}^{n-1}y\}$ the function $\widehat{f}(y)$ takes a constant value. The similar statement for the function $\widehat{g}(y)$ follows from the equation induced by equation (10).

Assume that $\widehat{f}(y_0) \neq 0$ at a point $y_0 \in L$, $y_0 \neq 0$. Then $\widehat{f}(\widehat{\beta}y_0) = \widehat{f}(y_0) \neq 0$, and equation (9) implies that

$$\widehat{f}(\widehat{\alpha}y_0) = \widehat{g}(\widehat{\alpha}y_0) = 1. \quad (12)$$

It follows from (7) and (12) that $\widehat{\alpha}y_0 = 0$. By the condition $\alpha = pc$, where $c \in \Delta_p^0$. This implies that $\widehat{\alpha} = p\widehat{c}$, where \widehat{c} is an automorphism of the group L , induced by the automorphism c . Hence, y_0 is an element of order p . Reasoning similarly we get from equation (10) that if $\widehat{g}(y_1) \neq 0$, $y_1 \in L$, $y_1 \neq 0$, then $\widehat{f}(y_1) = \widehat{g}(\widehat{\alpha}y_1) = 1$.

Let w be an arbitrary element of L . Denote by $\langle w \rangle$ the subgroup of L generated by w . It follows from $\widehat{f}(y_1) = 1$ that $\widehat{f}(y) = 1$ for all $y \in \langle y_1 \rangle$. Since $L \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ and $\langle y_1 \rangle$ is a subgroup of L , we have $\langle y_1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^m)$ for some m , and hence $\widehat{\alpha}(\langle y_1 \rangle) \subset \langle y_1 \rangle$. Moreover, $\widehat{f}(\widehat{\alpha}y_1) = 1$. Thus the equalities

$$\widehat{f}(\widehat{\alpha}y_1) = \widehat{g}(\widehat{\alpha}y_1) = 1 \quad (13)$$

hold true. It follows from (7) and (13) that $\widehat{\alpha}y_1 = 0$, and hence y_1 is also an element of order p . Since $L \cong \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$, the group L contains the only subgroup A topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}(p)$. So, we proved that the functions $\widehat{f}(y)$ and $\widehat{g}(y)$ vanish for $y \notin A$.

Consider the restriction of equation (8) to the subgroup A . Taking into account that $\widehat{\alpha}y = 0$ for all $y \in A$, we obtain

$$\widehat{f}(u+v)\widehat{g}(u) = \widehat{f}(u)\widehat{g}(u)\widehat{f}(v), \quad u, v \in A. \quad (14)$$

If $\widehat{g}(u_0) \neq 0$ at a point $u_0 \in A$, $u_0 \neq 0$, then we conclude from (14) that

$$\widehat{f}(u_0+v) = \widehat{f}(u_0)\widehat{f}(v), \quad v \in A.$$

Putting here $v = (p-1)u_0$, we get $\widehat{f}(u_0) = 1$. Since p is a prime number, we have $A = \langle u_0 \rangle$, and hence, $\widehat{f}(y) = 1$ for $y \in A$. If $\widehat{g}(y) = 0$ for any $y \in A$, $y \neq 0$, then, obviously, $\widehat{f}(y)$ may be an arbitrary positive definite function on A . Thus, we proved that either

$$\widehat{f}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in A, \\ 0, & y \notin A, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

or

$$\widehat{g}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y = 0, \\ 0, & y \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Return from the induced functions $\widehat{f}(y)$ and $\widehat{g}(y)$ on L to the functions $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ on Y . Taking into account (1) and the fact that a distribution is uniquely defined by its characteristic function, we obtain from (15) and (16) that either $\mu_1 \in I(X)$, or $\mu_2 \in I(X)$. Statement 1(ii) is proved.

Statement 2 It is easy to see that without loss of generality we can assume that $k \geq 2$. Consider on the group Ω_p the distributions

$$\mu_1 = am_{\Lambda_1} + (1-a)m_{\Lambda_{-k+2}}, \quad \mu_2 = am_{\Lambda_{-k+2}} + (1-a)m_{\Lambda_{-k+1}},$$

where $0 < a < 1$. As has been noted earlier, $A(Y, \Lambda_m) = \Lambda_{-m+1}$. Therefore (1) implies that the characteristic functions $f(y) = \widehat{\mu}_1(y)$ and $g(y) = \widehat{\mu}_2(y)$ are of the form

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p, \\ a, & y \in \Delta_p \setminus p^{k-1}\Delta_p, \\ 0, & y \notin \Delta_p, \end{cases} \quad g(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in p^k\Delta_p, \\ a, & y \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p \setminus p^k\Delta_p, \\ 0, & y \notin p^{k-1}\Delta_p. \end{cases}$$

Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group Ω_p and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . It is obvious that $\mu_1, \mu_2 \notin I(X)$. We will check that the characteristic functions $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ satisfy equation (5). Then, by Lemma 1, the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent, and Statement 2 will be proved.

Consider 3 cases: **1.** $u, v \in \Delta_p$; **2.** $u \notin \Delta_p$, $v \in \Delta_p$; and **3.** $v \notin \Delta_p$.

1. $u, v \in \Delta_p$. Note that since $k \geq 2$, we have $p^{k-1}\Delta_p \subset \Delta_p$. Consider 3 subcases.

1a. $u \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, $v \in \Delta_p$. Since $u \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, we have $f(u) = 1$, and hence $f(u+v) = f(v)$. Since $\alpha v \in p^k\Delta_p$, we have $g(\alpha v) = 1$, and hence $g(u+\alpha v) = g(u)$. Equation (5) takes the form $f(v)g(u) = f(v)g(u)$, and it is obviously true.

1b. $u \in \Delta_p \setminus p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, $v \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p$. Since $v \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, we have $\alpha v \in p^{2k-1}\Delta_p \subset p^k\Delta_p$. This implies that $g(\alpha v) = 1$, and hence, $g(u + \alpha v) = g(u)$. Since $v \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, we have $f(v) = 1$, and hence $f(u + v) = f(u)$. Equation (5) takes the form $f(u)g(u) = f(u)g(u)$, and it is obviously true.

1c. $u \in \Delta_p \setminus p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, $v \in \Delta_p \setminus p^{k-1}\Delta_p$. Since $v \in \Delta_p$, we have $\alpha v \in p^k\Delta_p$. This implies that $g(\alpha v) = 1$, and hence $g(u + \alpha v) = g(u)$. Since $u \notin p^{k-1}\Delta_p$, we have $g(u + \alpha v) = g(u) = 0$. Thus, both sides of equation (5) vanish.

2. $u \notin \Delta_p$, $v \in \Delta_p$. This implies that $u + v \notin \Delta_p$, and hence $f(u) = 0$ and $f(u + v) = 0$. Thus both sides of equation (5) vanish.

3. $v \notin \Delta_p$. This implies that $f(v) = 0$ and hence, the right-hand side of equation (5) vanishes. If the left-hand side of equation (5) does not vanish, then the following inclusions

$$\begin{cases} u + v \in \Delta_p, \\ u + \alpha v \in p^{k-1}\Delta_p \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

hold true. On the one hand, since $k \geq 2$, it follows from (17) that $(I - \alpha)v \in \Delta_p$. On the other hand, since $k \geq 2$, we have $(I - \alpha) \in \text{Aut}(\Delta_p)$. Hence $v \in \Delta_p$. The obtained contradiction shows that the left-hand side of equation (5) vanishes as well.

We showed that the characteristic functions $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ satisfy equation (5). Thus, we proved Statement 2 and hence, Theorem 1 is completely proved. \square

Remark 1 As follows from the proof of Statement 1(i) if $k = 0$, then $\mu_j = m_K * E_{x_j}$, where K is a compact subgroup of Ω_p , $x_j \in \Omega_p$, $j = 1, 2$.

As a corollary from Theorem 1 and Remark 1 we derive the Kac-Bernstein theorem for the group Ω_p (see [4, §7]).

Corollary 1 Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in Ω_p and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 - \xi_2$ are independent. If $p = 2$, then μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions. If $p > 2$, then $\mu_j = m_K * E_{x_j}$, where K is a compact subgroup of Ω_p , $x_j \in \Omega_p$, $j = 1, 2$.

Remark 2 Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent random variables with values in the group Ω_p and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 . Assume that the linear forms $L_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1 + \alpha_2\xi_2$ and $L_2 = \beta_1\xi_1 + \beta_2\xi_2$, where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$, are independent. We can consider new independent random variables $\xi'_1 = \alpha_1\xi_1$ and $\xi'_2 = \alpha_2\xi_2$ and reduce the problem of describing possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 to the case, when $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $L_2 = \delta_1\xi_1 + \delta_2\xi_2$, where $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$. Since L_1 and L_2 are independent if and only if L_1 and $L'_2 = \delta_1^{-1}L_2$ are independent, the problem of describing possible distributions μ_1 and μ_2 is reduced to the case when $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$, where $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$, i.e. it is reduced to Theorem 1.

Remark 3 Consider the group Ω_p , where $p > 2$. Let ξ_1 and ξ_2 be independent identically distributed random variables with values in Ω_p and distribution m_{Δ_p} . Let $\alpha = (0, 0, \dots, 0, x_0, x_1, \dots) \in \text{Aut}(\Omega_p)$, where $x_0 \neq 1$. It is easy to verify that the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_1(y) = \widehat{\mu}_2(y) = \widehat{m}_{\Delta_p}(y)$ satisfy equation (3). This implies by Lemma 1 that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent. Thus, for the group

Ω_p , where $p > 2$, Statement 1(*i*) can not be strengthened to the statement that both μ_1 and μ_2 are degenerate distributions.

Remark 4 Statement 1(*ii*) can not be strengthened to the statement that both μ_1 and μ_2 are idempotent distributions. Namely, if $k = 1$, then there exist independent random variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 with values in the group $X = \Omega_p$ and distributions μ_1 and μ_2 such that the linear forms $L_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and $L_2 = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$ are independent, but one of the distributions $\mu_j \notin I(X)$. We get the corresponding example if we put $\mu_1 = m_{\Lambda_1}$ and $\mu_2 = am_{\Lambda_1} + (1 - a)m_{\Lambda_0}$, where $0 < a < 1$. The proof is similar to the reasoning given in the proof of Statement 2.

References

1. Feldman, G.M.: More on the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for finite Abelian groups. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **45**, 507–511 (2000).
2. Feldman, G.M.: A characterization of the Gaussian distribution on Abelian groups. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **126**, 91–102 (2003).
3. Feldman, G.M.: On a characterization theorem for locally compact abelian groups. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **133**, 345–357 (2005).
4. Feldman, G.M.: Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups. *EMS Tracts in Mathematics* **5**. Zurich: European Mathematical Society 2008.
5. Feldman, G.M.: On a theorem of K. Schmidt. *B. London Math. Soc.* **41**, 103–108 (2009).
6. Feldman, G.M.: The Heyde theorem for locally compact Abelian groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**, 3977–3987 (2010).
7. Feldman, G.M.: Independent linear statistics on \mathfrak{a} -adic solenoids. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **54**, 375–388 (2010).
8. Feldman, G.M., Graczyk, P.: On the Skitovich–Darmois theorem on compact Abelian groups. *J. of Theoretical Probab.* **13**, 859–869 (2000).
9. Feldman, G.M., Graczyk, P.: On the Skitovich–Darmois theorem for discrete Abelian groups. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **49**, 527–531 (2005).
10. Feldman, G.M., Myronyuk, M.V.: Independent linear statistics on the two-dimensional torus. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **52**, 78–92 (2008).
11. Feldman, G.M., Myronyuk, M.V.: Independent linear forms on connected Abelian groups. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **284** 255–265, (2011).
12. Franz, U., Neuenschwander, D., Schott, R.: Gauss laws in the sense of Bernstein and uniqueness of embedding into convolution semigroups on quantum groups and braided groups. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **109**, 101–127 (1997).
13. Graczyk, P., Loeb, J.-J.: A Bernstein property of measures on groups and symmetric spaces. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **20**, 141–149 (2000).

14. Hewitt, E. and Ross, K.A.: Abstract Harmonic Analysis. **1**. Berlin Gottingen Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag (1963).
15. Kagan, A.M., Linnik, Yu.V., Rao, C.R.: Characterization problems of mathematical statistics, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, New York/London/Sydney, (1973).
16. Parthasarathy, K.R.: Probability measures on metric spaces. New York and London: Academic Press (1967).
17. Schmidt, K.: On a characterization of certain infinitely divisible positive definite functions and measures. J. London Math. Soc. **(2) 4**, 401–407 (1971/72).