

# A More General Maximal Bernstein-type Inequality

Péter Kevei \*

MTA-SZTE Analysis and Stochastics Research Group  
Bolyai Institute, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, 6720 Szeged, Hungary  
e-mail: kevei@math.u-szeged.hu

David M. Mason†

University of Delaware  
213 Townsend Hall, Newark, DE 19716, USA  
e-mail: davidm@udel.edu

May 24, 2012

## Abstract

We extend a general Bernstein-type maximal inequality of Kevei and Mason (2011) for sums of random variables.

*Keywords:* Bernstein inequality, dependent sums, maximal inequality, mixing, partial sums.

*AMS Subject Classification:* MSC 60E15; MSC 60F05; MSC 60G10.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables, and for any choice of  $1 \leq k \leq l < \infty$  we denote the partial sum  $S(k, l) = \sum_{i=k}^l X_i$ , and define  $M(k, l) = \max\{|S(k, k)|, \dots, |S(k, l)|\}$ . It turns out that under a variety of assumptions the partial sums  $S(k, l)$  will satisfy a generalized Bernstein-type inequality of the following form: for suitable constants  $A > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$  and  $0 < \gamma < 2$  for all  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{|S(m+1, m+n)| > t\} \leq A \exp\left\{-\frac{at^2}{n+bt^\gamma}\right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Kevei and Mason [2] provide numerous examples of sequences of random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , that satisfy a Bernstein-type inequality of the form (1.1). They show, somewhat unexpectedly, without any additional assumptions, a modified version of it also holds for  $M(1+m, n+m)$  for all  $m \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Here is their main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that for constants  $A > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ , inequality (1.1) holds for all  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Then for every  $0 < c < a$  there exists a  $C > 0$  depending only on  $A, a, b$  and  $\gamma$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{M(m+1, m+n) > t\} \leq C \exp\left\{-\frac{ct^2}{n+bt^\gamma}\right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

---

\*Supported by the TAMOP-4.2.1/B-09/1/KONV-2010-0005 project.

†Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0503908.

There exists an interesting class of Bernstein-type inequalities that are not of the form (1.1). Here are two motivating examples.

**Example 1.** Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , is a stationary Markov chain satisfying the conditions of Theorem 6 of Adamczak [1] and let  $f$  be any bounded measurable function such that  $Ef(X_1) = 0$ . His theorem implies that for some constants  $D > 0$ ,  $d_1 > 0$  and  $d_2 > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$P\{|S_n(f)| \geq t\} \leq D^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{Dt^2}{nd_1 + td_2 \log n}\right), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $S_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$ , and  $D/d_1$  is related to the limiting variance in the central limit theorem.

**Example 2.** Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , is a strong mixing sequence with mixing coefficients  $\alpha(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , satisfying for some  $d > 0$ ,  $\alpha(n) \leq \exp(-2dn)$ . Also assume that  $EX_i = 0$  and for some  $M > 0$ ,  $|X_i| \leq M$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ . Theorem 2 of Merlevède, Peligrad and Rio [4] implies that for some constant  $D > 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$P\{|S_n| \geq t\} \leq D \exp\left(-\frac{Dt^2}{nv^2 + M^2 + tM(\log n)^2}\right), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $v^2 = \sup_{i>0} \left(Var(X_i) + 2 \sum_{j>i} |cov(X_i, X_j)|\right)$ .

The purpose of this note to establish the following extended version of Theorem 1.1 that will show that a maximal version of inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) also holds.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that there exist constants  $A > 0$  and  $a > 0$  and a sequence of non-decreasing non-negative functions  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , such that for all  $t > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n(t) \leq g_{n+1}(t)$  and for all  $0 < \rho < 1$*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{t^2}{g_n(t) \log t} : g_n(t) > \rho n \right\} = \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

where the infimum of the empty set is defined to be infinity, such that for all  $m \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$P\{|S(m+1, m+n)| > t\} \leq A \exp\left\{-\frac{at^2}{n + g_n(t)}\right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Then for every  $0 < c < a$  there exists a  $C > 0$  depending only on  $A, a$  and  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 0$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$P\{M(m+1, m+n) > t\} \leq C \exp\left\{-\frac{ct^2}{n + g_n(t)}\right\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that condition (1.5) trivially holds when the functions  $g_n$  are bounded, since the corresponding sets are empty sets. However, in the interesting cases  $g_n$ 's are not bounded, and in this case the condition basically says that  $g_n(t)$  increases slower than  $t^2$ .

Essentially the same proof shows that the statement of Theorem 1.2 remains true if in the numerator of (1.6) and (1.7) the function  $t^2$  is replaced by a regularly varying function at infinity  $f(t)$  with a positive index. In this case the  $t^2$  in condition (1.5) must be replaced by  $f(t)$ . Since we do not know any application of a result of this type, we only mention this generalization.

*Proof.* Choose any  $0 < c < a$ . We prove our theorem by induction on  $n$ . Notice that by the assumption, for any integer  $n_0 \geq 1$  we may choose  $C > An_0$  to make the statement true for all  $1 \leq n \leq n_0$ . This remark will be important, because at some steps of the proof we assume that  $n$  is large enough. Also since the constants  $A$  and  $a$  in (1.6) are independent of  $m$ , we can without loss of generality assume  $m = 0$ .

Assume the statement holds up to some  $n \geq 2$ . (The constant  $C$  will be determined in the course of the proof.)

**Case 1.** Fix a  $t > 0$  and assume that

$$g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n, \quad (1.8)$$

for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$  be specified later. (In any case, we assume that  $\alpha n \geq 1$ .) Using an idea of [5], we may write for arbitrary  $1 \leq k < n$ ,  $0 < q < 1$  and  $p + q = 1$  the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} P\{M(1, n+1) > t\} &\leq P\{M(1, k) > t\} + P\{|S(1, k+1)| > pt\} \\ &\quad + P\{M(k+2, n+1) > qt\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$u = \frac{n + g_{n+1}(qt) - q^2 g_{n+1}(t)}{1 + q^2}.$$

Note that  $u \leq n - 1$  if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is chosen small enough depending on  $q$ , for  $n$  large enough. Notice that

$$\frac{t^2}{u + g_{n+1}(t)} = \frac{q^2 t^2}{n - u + g_{n+1}(qt)}. \quad (1.9)$$

Set

$$k = \lceil u \rceil. \quad (1.10)$$

Using the induction hypothesis and (1.6), keeping in mind that  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P\{M(1, n+1) > t\} &\leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{ct^2}{k + g_k(t)} \right\} + A \exp \left\{ -\frac{ap^2 t^2}{k + 1 + g_{k+1}(pt)} \right\} \\ &\quad + C \exp \left\{ -\frac{cq^2 t^2}{n - k + g_{n-k}(qt)} \right\} \\ &\leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{ct^2}{k + g_{n+1}(t)} \right\} + A \exp \left\{ -\frac{ap^2 t^2}{k + 1 + g_{n+1}(pt)} \right\} \\ &\quad + C \exp \left\{ -\frac{cq^2 t^2}{n - k + g_{n+1}(qt)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Notice that we chose  $k$  to make the first and third terms in (1.11) almost equal, and since by (1.10)

$$\frac{t^2}{k + g_{n+1}(t)} \leq \frac{q^2 t^2}{n - k + g_{n+1}(qt)}$$

the first term is greater than or equal to the third.

First we handle the second term in formula (1.11), showing that whenever  $g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n$ ,

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{ap^2 t^2}{k + 1 + g_{n+1}(pt)} \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{ct^2}{n + 1 + g_{n+1}(t)} \right\}.$$

For this we need to verify that for  $g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n$ ,

$$\frac{ap^2}{k+1+g_{n+1}(pt)} > \frac{c}{n+1+g_{n+1}(t)}, \quad (1.12)$$

which is equivalent to

$$ap^2(n+1+g_{n+1}(t)) > c(k+1+g_{n+1}(pt)).$$

Using that

$$k = \lceil u \rceil \leq u + 1 = 1 + \frac{1}{1+q^2} [n + g_{n+1}(qt) - q^2 g_{n+1}(t)],$$

it is enough to show

$$\begin{aligned} & n \left( ap^2 - \frac{c}{1+q^2} \right) + ap^2 - 2c \\ & + \left[ g_{n+1}(t)ap^2 - g_{n+1}(pt)c - \frac{c}{1+q^2} (g_{n+1}(qt) - q^2 g_{n+1}(t)) \right] > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if the coefficient of  $n$  is positive, then we can choose  $\alpha$  in (1.8) small enough to make the above inequality hold. So in order to guarantee (1.12) (at least for large  $n$ ) we only have to choose the parameter  $p$  so that  $ap^2 - c > 0$ , which implies that

$$ap^2 - \frac{c}{1+q^2} > 0 \quad (1.13)$$

holds, and then select  $\alpha$  small enough, keeping mind that we assume  $\alpha n \geq 1$  and  $k \leq n - 1$ . Next we treat the first and third terms in (1.11). Because of the remark above, it is enough to handle the first term. Let us examine the ratio of  $C \exp\{-ct^2/(k+g_{n+1}(t))\}$  and  $C \exp\{-ct^2/(n+1+g_{n+1}(t))\}$ . Notice again that since  $u+1 \geq k$ , the monotonicity of  $g_{n+1}(t)$  and  $g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} n+1-k & \geq n-u = n - \frac{n+g_{n+1}(qt) - q^2 g_{n+1}(t)}{1+q^2} \\ & \geq \frac{q^2 n - (1-q^2)g_{n+1}(t)}{1+q^2} \\ & \geq n \frac{q^2 - \alpha(1-q^2)}{1+q^2} \\ & =: c_1 n. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we need that  $0 < c_1 < 1$ . Thus we choose  $\alpha$  small enough so that

$$q^2 - \alpha(1-q^2) > 0. \quad (1.14)$$

Also we get using  $g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n$  the bound

$$(n+1+g_{n+1}(t))(k+g_{n+1}(t)) \leq 2n^2(1+\alpha)^2 =: c_2 n^2,$$

which holds if  $n$  large enough. Therefore, we obtain for the ratio

$$\exp \left\{ -ct^2 \left( \frac{1}{k+g_{n+1}(t)} - \frac{1}{n+1+g_{n+1}(t)} \right) \right\} \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{cc_1 t^2}{c_2 n} \right\} \leq e^{-1},$$

whenever  $cc_1 t^2 / (c_2 n) \geq 1$ , that is  $t \geq \sqrt{c_2 n / (cc_1)}$ . Substituting back into (1.11), for  $t \geq \sqrt{c_2 n / (cc_1)}$  and  $g_{n+1}(t) \leq \alpha n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P\{M(1, n+1) > t\} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{2}{e}C + A\right) \exp\{-ct^2 / (n+1 + g_{n+1}(t))\} \leq C \exp\{-ct^2 / (n+1 + g_{n+1}(t))\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds for  $C > Ae / (e - 2)$ .

Next assume that  $t < \sqrt{c_2 n / (cc_1)}$ . In this case choosing  $C$  large enough we can make the bound  $> 1$ , namely

$$C \exp\left\{-\frac{ct^2}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)}\right\} \geq C \exp\left\{-\frac{cc_2 n}{cc_1 n}\right\} = Ce^{-c_2/c_1} \geq 1,$$

if  $C > e^{c_2/c_1}$ .

**Case 2.** Now we must handle the case  $g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n$ . Here we apply the inequality

$$P\{M(1, n+1) > t\} \leq P\{M(1, n) > t\} + P\{|S(1, n+1)| > t\}.$$

Using assumption (1.6) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{M(1, n+1) > t\} & \leq C \exp\left\{-\frac{ct^2}{n + g_n(t)}\right\} + A \exp\left\{-\frac{at^2}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)}\right\} \\ & \leq C \exp\left\{-\frac{ct^2}{n + g_{n+1}(t)}\right\} + A \exp\left\{-\frac{at^2}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that the right side  $\leq C \exp\{-ct^2 / (n+1 + g_{n+1}(t))\}$ . For this it is enough to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left\{-ct^2 \left(\frac{1}{n + g_{n+1}(t)} - \frac{1}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)}\right)\right\} \\ & + \frac{A}{C} \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2(a-c)}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)}\right\} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

Using the bound following from  $g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n$  and recalling that  $\alpha n \geq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we get

$$\frac{t^2}{(n + g_{n+1}(t))(n+1 + g_{n+1}(t))} \geq \frac{\alpha^2 t^2}{(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)g_{n+1}(t)^2} =: c_3 \frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)^2},$$

and

$$\frac{t^2(a-c)}{n+1 + g_{n+1}(t)} \geq \frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)} \frac{\alpha(a-c)}{1+2\alpha} =: \frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)} c_4.$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  so small such that  $0 < x \leq \delta$  implies  $e^{-cc_3 x^2} \leq 1 - \frac{cc_3}{2} x^2$ .

For  $t/g_{n+1}(t) \geq \delta$  the left-hand side of (1.15) is less than

$$e^{-cc_3 \delta^2} + \frac{A}{C},$$

which is less than 1, for  $C$  large enough.

For  $t/g_{n+1}(t) \leq \delta$  by the choice of  $\delta$  the left-hand side of (1.15) is less than

$$1 - \frac{cc_3}{2} \frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)^2} + \frac{A}{C} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)} c_4 \right\},$$

which is less than 1 if

$$\frac{cc_3}{2} \frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)^2} > \frac{A}{C} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)} c_4 \right\}.$$

By (1.5), for any  $0 < \eta < 1$  and all large enough  $n$ ,  $g_{n+1}(t) \mathbb{1}\{g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n\} \leq \eta t^2$ , so that for all large  $n$ , whenever  $g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n$ , we have

$$\frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)^2} \geq t^{-2},$$

and again by (1.5) for all large  $n$ , whenever  $g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n$ ,  $t^2/g_{n+1}(t) \geq (3/c_4) \log t$ . Therefore for all large  $n$ , whenever  $g_{n+1}(t) > \alpha n$ ,

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{g_{n+1}(t)} c_4 \right\} \leq t^{-3},$$

which is smaller than  $t^{-2} \frac{Ccc_3}{2A}$ , for  $t$  large enough, i.e. for  $n$  large enough. The proof is complete.  $\square$

By choosing  $g_n(t) = bt^\gamma$  for all  $n \geq 1$  we see that Theorem 1.2 gives Theorem 1.1 as a special case. Also note that Theorem 1.2 remains valid for sums of Banach space valued random variables with absolute value  $|\cdot|$  replaced by norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Theorem 1.2 permits us to derive the following maximal versions of inequalities (1.3) and (1.4).

**Application 1.** In Example 1 one readily checks that the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied with  $A = D^{-1}$  and  $a = D/d_1$

$$g_n(t) = \left( \frac{td_2}{d_1} \right) \log n.$$

We get the maximal version of inequality (1.3) holding for any  $0 < c < 1$  and all  $n \geq 1$  and  $t > 0$

$$P \left\{ \left| \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} S_n(f) \right| \geq t \right\} \leq C \exp \left( -\frac{cDt^2}{nd_1 + td_2 \log n} \right), \quad (1.16)$$

for some constant  $C \geq D^{-1}$  depending on  $c$ ,  $D^{-1}$ ,  $D/d_1$  and  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

**Application 2.** In Example 2 one can verify that the assumptions of the Theorem 1.2 hold with  $A = D$  and  $a = D/v^2$  and

$$g_n(t) = \frac{M^2}{v^2} + \left( \frac{tM}{v^2} \right) (\log n)^2,$$

which leads to the maximal version of inequality (1.4) valid for any  $0 < c < 1$  and all  $n \geq 1$  and  $t > 0$

$$P \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |S_m| \geq t \right\} \leq C \exp \left( -\frac{cDt^2}{nv^2 + M^2 + tM (\log n)^2} \right) \quad (1.17)$$

for some constant  $C \geq D$  depending on  $c$ ,  $D/v^2$  and  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . See Corollary 24 of Merlevède and Peligrad [3] for a closely related inequality that holds for all  $n \geq 2$  and  $t > K \log n$  for some  $K > 0$ .

**Remark** There is a small oversight in the published version of the Kevei and Mason paper. Here are the corrections that fix it.

1. Page 1057, line -9: Replace “ $1 \leq k \leq n$ ” by “ $1 \leq k < n$ ”.
2. Page 1057, line -7: Replace this line with  

$$\leq \mathbf{P}\{M(1, k) > t\} + \mathbf{P}\{S(1, k+1) > pt\} + \mathbf{P}\{M(k+2, n+1) > qt\}.$$
3. Page 1058: Replace “ $k + bp^\gamma t^\gamma$ ” by “ $k + 1 + bp^\gamma t^\gamma$ ” in equations (2.4) and (2.5), as well as in line -13.
4. Page 1058: Replace “ $ap^2 - c$ ” by “ $ap^2 - 2c$ ” in line -9.

## Acknowledgment

We thank a referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and a number of useful comments.

## References

- [1] R. Adamczak, *A tail inequality for suprema of unbounded empirical processes with applications to Markov chains*. Electron. J. Probab. **13** (2008), 1000–1034.
- [2] P. Kevei and D.M. Mason, *A note on a maximal Bernstein inequality*. Bernoulli **17** (2011), 1054–1062.
- [3] F. Merlevède and M. Peligrad, *Rosenthal-type inequalities for the maximum of partial sums of stationary processes and examples*. Ann. Probab. To appear.
- [4] F. Merlevède, M. Peligrad, M. and E. Rio, *Bernstein inequality and moderate deviations under strong mixing conditions*. In: High Dimensional Probability V: The Luminy Volume, C. Houdré, V. Koltchinskii, D. M. Mason and M. Peligrad, eds., (Beachwood, Ohio, USA: IMS, 2009), 273–292.
- [5] F.A. Móricz, R.J. Serfling and W.F. Stout, *Moment and probability bounds with quasisuper-additive structure for the maximum partial sum*. Ann. Probab. **10** (1982), 1032–1040.