On linear periods

Abstract

Let π' be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$, which is assumed to be the Jacquet-Langlands transfer from a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $\operatorname{GL}_{2m}(D)(\mathbb{A})$, where D is a division algebra so that $\operatorname{GL}_{2m}(D)$ is an inner form of GL_{2n} . In this paper, we consider the relation between linear periods on π and π' . We conjecture that the non-vanishing of the linear period on π would imply the non-vanishing of that on π' . We illustrate an approach using a relative trace formula towards this conjecture, and prove the existence of smooth transfer over non-archimedean local fields.

1 Introduction

Goal of this article Let k be a number field, A its ring of adeles, and D a central division algebra over k of index d, that is, $\dim_k D = d^2$. Let $\mathbf{G} = \operatorname{GL}_{2m}(D)$, viewed as an algebraic group over k, which is an inner form of $\mathbf{G}' = \operatorname{GL}_{2n}$ with n = md. Let π be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, and π' the irreducible automorphic representation of $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A})$ associated to π by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, which is assumed to be cuspidal. For the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence involving general linear group and its inner forms, we refer to [7], [3] and [4] for more details. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate a relation between certain automorphic periods under the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

To be more precise, let \mathbf{Z} be the center of \mathbf{G} , which is identified with the center \mathbf{Z}' of \mathbf{G}' via the obvious identifications of \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{Z}' with \mathbb{G}_m over k. Let $\mathbf{H} = \operatorname{GL}_m(D) \times \operatorname{GL}_m(D)$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}' = \operatorname{GL}_n \times \operatorname{GL}_n$) be embedded into \mathbf{G} (resp. \mathbf{G}') diagonally. The periods considered in this paper are given by

$$\ell(\phi) := \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(h) \, \mathrm{d}h, \quad \phi \in \pi,$$

and

$$\ell'(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbf{H}'(k)\mathbf{Z}'(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(h) \, \mathrm{d} h, \quad \varphi \in \pi'.$$

We call them *linear periods*. In the context of general linear groups (hence applying to $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ above), this notion was introduced by [8]. We say that π is **H**-distinguished or has a linear period if $\ell|_{\pi} \neq 0$. Of course, as a special case we get an analogous definition in the context of $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$. Conjecturally, such a period has a close relation with an L-value. For instance, it was shown in [8] that π' is **H**'-distinguished if and only if the L-value $L^S(\frac{1}{2}, \pi') \operatorname{res}_{s=1} L^S(s, \pi', \wedge^2)$ is nonzero, using an integral representation of the L-function $L^S(s_1, \pi') \cdot L^S(s_2, \pi', \wedge^2)$.

What happens if π is **H**-distinguished? The partial L-functions attached to π and π' should be the same, while there is no integral representation for the ones associated to π . However, since **H** is an inner form of **H**', it is natural to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. If π is **H**-distinguished, then π' is **H**'-distinguished.

Remark 1.2. As pointed out by D. Prasad, the converse of the above conjecture should also hold. In other words, if π' is **H**'-distinguished, then π should be **H**-distinguished too. Moreover, Conjecture 2 of [21] may be viewed as the local analog of Conjecture 1.1 together with its converse.

In this paper, we illustrate an approach towards this conjecture using a relative trace formula. One of the key steps in this approach is establishing the existence of smooth transfer over the non-archimedean places. This is accomplished by Theorem 5.13. Note that there is no need to prove the fundamental lemma, since $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v)) \simeq (\mathbf{G}'(k_v), \mathbf{H}'(k_v))$ for almost all places v. Roughly speaking, let v be a finite place of k. Then the smooth transfer at v is a "transfer" λ_v from $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$ to $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}'(k_v))$ (in fact a map from $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$ to a suitable quotient of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}'(k_v))$) such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$, the orbital integrals of f and $\lambda_v(f)$ "match". Here the orbits are those of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ on \mathbf{G} (resp., $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{H}'$ on \mathbf{G}') by left and right translation. See Section 5 for a precise definition.

Our proof of the existence of smooth transfer is mainly inspired by Wei Zhang's work [30] on the smooth transfer conjecture for the Jacquet-Rallis relative trace formula towards the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups, and by Waldspurger's work [25] [26] on endoscopic transfer which inspired [30]. The first step is to reduce the question of the existence of smooth transfer to Lie algebras, that is, to linearize the question. The second step is to show that, roughly speaking, the Fourier transform commutes with smooth transfer. We will use a global method to show such a property.

Some related work Conjecture 1.1 is motivated by the conjecture of H. Jacquet and K. Martin [15] on Shalika periods. We briefly recall it. Now let d = n and $\mathbf{G} = \operatorname{GL}_2(D)$. Denote by \mathbf{S} the Shalika subgroup of \mathbf{G} . To review its definition, consider the parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{MN}$ of \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{M} \simeq D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$ is the obvious Levi subgroup and $\mathbf{N} \simeq D$ is the unipotent radical. Let ψ be a nontrivial character of \mathbb{A}/k , which defines a nondegenerate character (still denoted by ψ) of $\mathbf{N}(k) \setminus \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A})$ given by $\psi(x) := \psi(\operatorname{tr}_D(x))$ for $x \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A}) \simeq D(\mathbb{A})$, where tr_D is the reduced trace map on D. Then its stabilizer in \mathbf{P} is the Shalika subgroup $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{LN}$, where \mathbf{L} is ΔD^{\times} (i.e., D^{\times} embedded diagonally in $\mathbf{M} \simeq D^{\times} \times D^{\times}$). We can extend ψ to a character of $\mathbf{S}(k) \setminus \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A})$ by $\psi(l \cdot n) = \psi(n)$ for $l \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{A})$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A})$. One can define the Shalika subgroup \mathbf{S}' of \mathbf{G}' similarly, where the corresponding parabolic subgroup is $\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{M}'\mathbf{N}'$ with Levi factor $\mathbf{M}' \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_n$. Then the Shalika period \mathcal{S} is a linear form on π given by

$$\mathcal{S}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbf{S}(k) \setminus \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(u) \psi^{-1}(u) \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

and the Shalika period S' on π' is defined similarly. In [15], Jacquet and Martin conjectured that if π is distinguished with respect to S then π' is also distinguished with respect to S'. Under some hypotheses, using relative trace formulae, Jacquet and Martin showed that this is true if n = 2. However, they did not prove the smooth transfer for the full space $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$ of Bruhat-Schwartz functions. Of course, if one aims to completely prove this conjecture using the method of the relative trace formula, one has to show the existence of smooth transfer for the full space $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$. In the case n = 2, this conjecture (together with its converse) was completely proved by W. T. Gan and S. Takeda [10] using theta correspondence. However, this method cannot be generalized to the higher rank cases. Separately, D. Jiang, C. Nien and Y. Qin [18] proved this conjecture, under some conditions, for general n using the method of automorphic descent.

There is a relation between the linear period and the Shalika period on π' . In fact, by the criterion for **H'**-distinction from [8] recalled earlier, **H'**-distinction implies **S'**-distinction, since π' is **S'**-distinguished if and only if the exterior L-function $L(s, \wedge^2, \pi')$ has a simple pole at s = 1. Locally, it was shown in [16] that if π'_v is **S'**(k_v)-distinguished then it was **H'**(k_v)-distinguished, and it is conjectured that if π'_v is generic then **S'**(k_v)-distinction is equivalent to **H'**(k_v)distinction. Recently, Gan [9] proved this local conjecture using local theta correspondence for dual pairs of type II. Therefore one can ask whether there are such relations between linear and Shalika periods on π , both globally and locally. Such a conjectural relation together with the conjecture of Jacquet and Martin motivates Conjecture **1.1**.

As we have said before, our proof of the existence of smooth transfer is inspired by [30] and [26]. However, there are still some significant differences between our method and that of either of [30] or [26]. It is fair to say that ours is a combination of theirs. We follow [30] in reducing the question of smooth transfer at the level of groups to showing Theorem 5.15, namely, the assertion that the Fourier transform commutes with smooth transfer (up to an explicit constant). However, we could not follow [30] for the rest of the proof, since the absence of a suitable partial Fourier transform in our situation meant that the inductive arguments in [30, §4] could not be applied. We follow [26] in using a global method to prove Theorem 5.15. This requires us to study harmonic analysis on the corresponding *p*-adic symmetric spaces, and prove several results analogous to ones appearing in [25] and [26], and others that are analogues of more classical results in [13] and [14]. We just state these results and explain them briefly, since they are direct generalizations of those that have been proved in the case of (**G'**, **H'**) in [29].

In [29], we studied the relation between similar periods (involving an additional twist with a character) for the symmetric pairs $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ and (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) , where $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ is as before and $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) = (\mathrm{GL}_n(D), \mathrm{GL}_n(k'))$ with D being a quaternion algebra over k and k' being a quadratic field extension of k included in D. However, in [29], we could prove only "half" of the property that the Fourier transform commutes with smooth transfer, due to the fact that there are "fewer" regular semisimple orbits associated to the pair (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) than to $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$. We encounter a similar problem in this paper, though, fortunately, it turns out that this hurdle can be circumvented. The point is that we have a nice description for the orbits of $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ that can be matched with ones of (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) .

Sometimes the existence of smooth transfer for functions belonging to a proper subspace of $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$ suffices to prove partial results towards Conjecture 1.1. This is the case, for instance, in the work of Jacquet-Martin [15].

Structure of this article In §3, we introduce the relative trace formulae considered in this paper, which are natural for the conjecture concerned. The contents of this section are more or less routine and informal. The main purpose of this section is to show the motivation for the study of smooth transfer.

To factor the global linear periods into local ones, we need to study the property of multiplicity one for the symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ at each place vof k, or, in other words, to study the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}(k_v)}(\pi_v, \mathbb{C})$ for any irreducible admissible representation π_v of $\mathbf{G}(k_v)$. If dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}(k_v)}(\pi_v, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$ for each irreducible admissible representation π_v of $\mathbf{G}(k_v)$ we call $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ a Gelfand pair. We have not been able to show $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ to be a Gelfand pair, but we can show that it satisfies a weaker variant of that property, which is enough for our purpose of factoring the global period. In §4, we systematically follow the approach developed by [1] to study questions of this kind, i.e. using generalized Harish-Chandra descent to study $\mathbf{H}(k_v) \times \mathbf{H}(k_v)$ -invariant distributions on $\mathbf{G}(k_v)$. This will also be important further into the paper (§5 and §6), while studying smooth transfer.

In §5, we introduce the notion of smooth transfer explicitly, both for groups and Lie algebras. After several reduction steps, we show the existence of smooth transfer (Theorem 5.13) assuming Theorem 5.15 on the commutativity of Fourier transform with transfer. Theorem 5.15 is proved in §6.

The main aim of $\S6$ is to prove Theorem 5.15. We first recall some results on *p*-adic harmonic analysis developed in [29] and give their generalizations to our situation. With the aid of these results, we prove Theorem 5.15 at the end of this section.

2 Notations and conventions

Actions of algebraic groups Let k be a number field or a p-adic field. Let π be an action of a reductive group **M** on a smooth affine variety **X**, all defined over k. Write $M = \mathbf{M}(k)$ and $X = \mathbf{X}(k)$. Recall that for $x \in X$, we say that x is **M**-semisimple or M-semisimple if $\mathbf{M}x$ is Zariski closed in **X** (or, equivalently, if k is p-adic, Mx is closed in X for the analytic topology). We say x is **M**-regular or M-regular if the stabilizer \mathbf{M}_x of x has minimal dimension. We denote by $\mathbf{X}_{rs}(k)$ or X_{rs} (resp. $\mathbf{X}_{ss}(k)$ or X_{ss}) the set of M-regular semisimple (resp. M-semisimple) elements in X. If k is p-adic, we call an algebraic automorphism τ of **X M**-admissible if (i) τ normalizes $\pi(M)$ and $\tau^2 \in \pi(M)$, (ii) for any closed M-orbit $O \subset X$, $\tau(O) = O$.

Analysis on ℓ -spaces Now let k be a p-adic field. For a locally compact totally disconnected topological space X, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(X)$ the space of locally constant and compactly supported \mathbb{C} -valued functions on X, and by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the space of distributions on X, namely, the dual of $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(X)$. If there is an action of an ℓ -group M on X, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)^M$ the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of M-invariant distributions.

Fourier transform and Weil index Now suppose that k is a local field of characteristic 0. Let X be a finite dimensional vector space over k with the natural topology induced from that of k, ψ a nontrivial continuous additive character of k, and q a nondegenerate quadratic form on X. We always equip

X with the self-dual Haar measure with respect to the bi-character $\psi(q(\cdot, \cdot))$. Define the Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ of the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of Bruhat-Schwartz functions on X by

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \int_X f(y)\psi(q(x,y)) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Then $\hat{f}(x) = f(-x)$. We write $\gamma_{\psi}(q)$ for the Weil index associated to q and ψ , which is an 8th root of unity. For the definition and some properties of the Weil index, see [27].

3 Relative trace formulae

Let (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) and $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ be as defined in §1. Fix a Haar measure on $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$, define the kernel function

$$K_f(x,y) = \int_{\mathbf{Z}(k) \setminus \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{G}(k)} f(zx^{-1}\gamma y) \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

We consider the partially defined distribution on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$

$$I(f) = \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} K_f(h_1, h_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2,$$

defined on the subspace of all $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ such that the above expression is absolutely convergent. Choose the Haar measure on $\mathbf{Z}'(\mathbb{A})$ to be compatible with that on $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})$. For $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}))$ we define the kernel function $K_{f'}(x, y)$ similarly. In the same way, we obtain a partially defined distribution $J(\cdot)$ on $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A})$. The art of relative trace formula is to compare $I(\cdot)$ with $J(\cdot)$.

Very informally, we have two ways to decompose I(f) - the so called spectral expansion and the so called geometric expansion. On the spectral side, spherical characters $I_{\pi}(f)$ associated to irreducible cuspidal representations π of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ are involved. On the geometric side, orbital integrals $I_{\gamma}(f)$ associated to $\mathbf{H}(k) \times \mathbf{H}(k)$ -regular semisimple orbits γ in $\mathbf{G}(k)$ are involved. We give precise definitions of $I_{\pi}(f)$ and $I_{\gamma}(f)$ below. Similarly, J(f') can be decomposed in these two ways.

Fix a Haar measure on $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})$. If π is an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, let

$$K_{\pi,f}(x,y) = \sum_{\varphi} \left(\pi(f)\varphi \right)(x)\overline{\varphi(y)},$$

where φ runs over an orthonormal basis for the space of π . Define the spherical character I_{π} to be

$$I_{\pi}(f) = \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} \int_{\mathbf{H}(k)\mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A})\backslash\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})} K_{\pi,f}(h_1,h_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$. Both $K_{\pi,f}(x, y)$ and $I_{\pi}(f)$ are well defined, and we refer the reader to [12, §5] for a detailed explanation. Thus, by definition, we have

$$I_{\pi}(f) = \sum_{\varphi} \ell\left(\pi(f)\varphi\right) \overline{\ell(\varphi)}.$$

Notice that I_{π} is a distribution of positive type. In other words, if $f = f_1 * f_1^*$ where $f_1^*(g) := \bar{f}_1(g^{-1})$, then

$$I_{\pi}(f) = \sum_{\varphi} \ell\left(\pi(f_1)\varphi\right) \overline{\ell(\pi(f_1)\varphi)}$$

Hence π is **H**-distinguished if and only if I_{π} is nonzero as a distribution on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$. Therefore, the spectral expansion of I(f) in terms of $I_{\pi}(f)$ can reflect the property of **H**-distinction. Similarly, we define the spherical character $J_{\pi'}(f')$ associated to an irreducible cuspidal representation π' of $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A})$.

It is believed that, if we can compare the distributions I and J in some ways, I_{π} and $J_{\pi'}$ are closely related, where π' is the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence of π . To compare I with J, we consider their geometric expansions.

If $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}(k)$ is $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ -regular semisimple, we fix a Haar measure $d_{\gamma}h$ on $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A})$, where

$$\mathbf{H}_{\gamma} = \{(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}; \ h_1 \gamma h_2^{-1} = \gamma\}$$

is the stabilizer of γ under the action of $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$, define the orbital integral of f at γ to be

$$I_{\gamma}(f) = \int_{\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}) \setminus (\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A}))} f(h_1^{-1} \gamma h_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2.$$

This is well defined, since the semisimple orbit is closed in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ and therefore the above integral is absolutely convergent. We fix a Haar measure dh_v on $\mathbf{H}(k_v)$ at each place v of k so that $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1$ for each unramified place v and set $dh = \prod_v dh_v$. We also fix a Haar measure $d_\gamma h_v$ on $\mathbf{H}_\gamma(k_v)$ at each place v of kso that $\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{H}_\gamma(\mathcal{O}_{k_v})) = 1$ for each unramified place v and set $d_\gamma h = \prod_v d_\gamma h_v$. If $f = \otimes' f_v$ is a pure tensor, we have

$$I_{\gamma}(f) = \prod_{v} \int_{\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}(k_{v}) \setminus (\mathbf{H}(k_{v}) \times \mathbf{H}(k_{v}))} f_{v}(h_{1}^{-1}\gamma h_{2}) \mathrm{d}h_{1} \mathrm{d}h_{2}, \qquad (1)$$

since the integrals in the product are absolutely convergent and equal to 1 at almost all places (cf. [11, Proposition 12.21]). For $f_v \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(k_v))$, set

$$O_{\gamma}(f_v) = \int_{\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}(k_v) \setminus (\mathbf{H}(k_v) \times \mathbf{H}(k_v))} f_v(h_1^{-1}\gamma h_2) \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2$$

Of course, the discussions above contain the case $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$. We define $J_{\delta}(f')$ for $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{H}'$ -regular semisimple elements $\delta \in \mathbf{G}'(k)$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}))$, and define $O_{\delta}(f'_{v})$ for $f'_{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbf{G}'(k_{v}))$ in the same way. Thus we have the relation

$$J_{\delta}(f') = \prod_{v} O_{\delta}(f'_{v}) \tag{2}$$

if $f' = \otimes' f'_v$.

From now on, when we say "regular semisimple", we mean " $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H}$ -regular semisimple" or " $\mathbf{H}' \times \mathbf{H}'$ -regular semisimple" if there is no confusion. If $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}(k)$ is regular semisimple, there exists a regular semisimple $\delta \in \mathbf{G}'(k)$ matching γ (see Proposition 5.3 for more details). It turns out that \mathbf{H}_{γ} is isomorphic to \mathbf{H}'_{δ} (see Remark 5.7). We equip $\mathbf{H}'_{\delta}(\mathbb{A})$ with the Haar measure compatible with that on $\mathbf{H}_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A})$. To compare the regular parts of the distributions I with J on the geometric side, we need to show the following conjecture on smooth transfer. **Conjecture 3.1.** For each f in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}))$ there exists f' in $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}))$ such that for each $\delta \in \mathbf{G}'(k)_{rs}$

$$J_{\delta}(f') = \begin{cases} I_{\gamma}(f), & \text{if there exists } \gamma \in \mathbf{G}(k)_{\rm rs} \text{ matching } \delta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that D is split at all archimedean places. Then Conjecture 3.1 holds.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the relations (1) and (2) between global and local orbital integrals, and Theorem 5.13 on the existence of smooth transfer at the non-archimedean places.

4 Multiplicity one

The global linear period ℓ belongs to the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathbb{C})$. To factor it into local ones, we need to study the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}(k_v)}(\pi_v, \mathbb{C})$ for each place v of k. We expect the so-called multiplicity one property to hold at each place v, that is, if π_v is an irreducible admissible representation of $\mathbf{G}(k_v)$, then dim $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{H}(k_v)}(\pi_v, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1$. If $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ satisfies this multiplicity one property, we call it a Gelfand pair. It was proved in [16] in the non-archimedean case and in [1] in the archimedean case that $(\mathbf{G}'(k_v), \mathbf{H}'(k_v))$ is a Gelfand pair. When m = 1, v is non-archimedean and D is a general division algebra, $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ is also a Gelfand pair, as was proved by Prasad [20]. We have not been able to show $(\mathbf{G}(k_v), \mathbf{H}(k_v))$ to be a Gelfand pair for general m and D. However, we can prove a weaker result which is enough for us to factor the global period ℓ , namely Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.4.

From now on, and until the end of the paper, we fix a p-adic field F. We follow the same line as that of [1] where an effective way to prove results like multiplicity one for symmetric pairs is systematically studied, and we refer the reader to [1] for more details.

Symmetric pairs Now let D be a division algebra over F of index d. Let \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} be as defined in §1 associated to D, both viewed as algebraic groups over F now. Write $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ and $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$. Let $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_m & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_m \end{pmatrix}$ and define an involution θ on \mathbf{G} by $\theta(g) = \epsilon g \epsilon$. Then $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}^{\theta}$, that is, $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ is a symmetric pair. When there is no confusion, we write (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) instead of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ for simplicity. Let ι be the anti-involution on \mathbf{G} defined by $\iota(g) = \theta(g^{-1})$. Write $\mathbf{G}^{\iota} = \{g \in \mathbf{G}; \ \iota(g) = g\}$ and define a symmetrization map

$$s: \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}^{\iota}, \ s(g) = g\iota(g).$$

Via this map we can identify the *p*-adic symmetric space S = G/H with its image in $\mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$. Since $H^{1}(F, \mathbf{H})$ is trivial, we also have $S = (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})(F)$.

Let θ act by its differential on $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$. Write $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{H})$. Thus, $\mathfrak{h} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \ \theta(X) = X\}$. Write $\mathfrak{s} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \ \theta(X) = -X\}$. \mathfrak{s} can be viewed as a sort of "Lie algebra" for \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} , on which \mathbf{H} acts by adjoint action. This action can be described more concretely as follows. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{s} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_m(D) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_m(D)$, and modulo this isomorphism, the action of **H** on \mathfrak{s} is given by

$$(h_1, h_2) \cdot (X_1, X_2) = (h_1 X_1 h_2^{-1}, h_2 X_2 h_1^{-1})$$

for $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbf{H}$ and $(X_1, X_2) \in \mathfrak{s}$.

We fix the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form \langle , \rangle on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(XY), \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}(F),$$

where tr is the reduced trace map on $\mathfrak{gl}_{2m}(D)$, identified with the space $\operatorname{End}_D(D^{2m})$. Notice that the form \langle , \rangle is both *G*-invariant and θ -invariant. When we want to emphasize the index *m*, we write $\mathbf{G}_m, \mathbf{H}_m, \theta_m, \mathfrak{s}_m$. Notice that the case m = nand D = F is just the case denoted by $(\mathbf{G}', \mathbf{H}')$ in §1.

We will consider the action of $H \times H$ on G by left and right translation, and the adjoint action of H on S or $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. These actions are related by

$$s\left((h_1, h_2) \cdot g\right) = h_1 \cdot s(g),$$

for $(h_1, h_2) \in H \times H$ and $g \in G$.

Now we recall some notions attached to a general symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$. We refer the reader to [1] and [2] for more details. Define $Q(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{s}^{\mathbf{H}}$. Since \mathbf{H} is reductive, there exists a unique \mathbf{H} -equivariant splitting $Q(\mathfrak{s}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{s}$. Denote by $\phi : \mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{s}/\mathbf{H}$ the standard projection. Let \mathcal{N} be the set of elements of \mathfrak{s} that belong to the null-cone of \mathfrak{g} . We call \mathcal{N} the null-cone of \mathfrak{s} , since $\mathcal{N} = \phi^{-1}(\phi(0))$ by [1, Lemma 7.3.8]. Note that $\mathcal{N} \subset Q(\mathfrak{s})$. Let $R(\mathfrak{s}) = Q(\mathfrak{s}) - \mathcal{N}$. We call an element $g \in G$ admissible if (i) $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ commutes with θ and (ii) $\operatorname{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is \mathbf{H} -admissible. Notice that, in our case, $Q(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{s}$.

A symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ is called *good*, if for any closed $H \times H$ orbit O in G, $\iota(O) = O$.

A symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ is called *regular* if for any admissible $g \in G$ such that $\mathcal{D}(R(\mathfrak{s})(F))^H \subset \mathcal{D}(R(\mathfrak{s})(F))^{\mathrm{Ad}(g)}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}(Q(\mathfrak{s})(F))^H \subset \mathcal{D}(Q(\mathfrak{s})(F))^{\mathrm{Ad}(g)}.$$

For each nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$, there exists a group homomorphism $\phi : \operatorname{SL}_2(F) \to G$ such that $X = \mathrm{d}\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), Y := \mathrm{d}\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ belongs to \mathcal{N} , and $\mathbf{d}(X) := \mathrm{d}\phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{h}(F)$ and is semisimple (cf. [1, Lemma 7.1.11]). We call $(X, \mathbf{d}(X), Y)$ an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. Such a triple depends on the choice of ϕ . However, the choice does not really matter (cf. [1, Notation 7.1.12]).

We say that a symmetric pair $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ is of *negative defect* if for any nilpotent $X \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{ad}(\operatorname{\mathbf{d}}(X))|_{\mathfrak{h}_X}) < \dim Q(\mathfrak{s}),$$

where \mathfrak{h}_X is the centralizer of X in \mathfrak{h} . By [1, Proposition 7.3.5, Proposition 7.3.7, Remark 7.4.3], we see that if a symmetric pair is of negative defect it is regular.

Let $g \in G$ be $H \times H$ -semisimple and x = s(g). Then x is semisimple both as an element of G and with respect to the H-action (cf. [1, Proposition 7.2.1]). The triple $(\mathbf{G}_x, \mathbf{H}_x, \theta | \mathbf{G}_x)$ is still a symmetric pair (clearly θ preserves \mathbf{G}_x for $x \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$). A symmetric pair obtained this way is called a descendant of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$. Notice that the "Lie algebra" of $\mathbf{G}_x/\mathbf{H}_x$ can be identified with \mathfrak{s}_x , where \mathfrak{s}_x is the set of elements in \mathfrak{s} commuting with x. It was shown in [1, Theorem 7.4.5] that if $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ is a good symmetric pair such that all its descendants are regular then it is a GK-pair. Here, the statement that (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) is a GK-pair means:

$$\mathcal{D}(G)^{H \times H} \subset \mathcal{D}(G)^{\iota}.$$

Descendants Now we return to the specific symmetric pair that concerns us in the paper. To study the property of multiplicity one, as we have explained, it is important to know all descendants of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$. The following proposition gives a list of all possible descendants.

Proposition 4.1. All descendants of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ are products of symmetric pairs of the following types

- 1. $(\operatorname{R}_{L/F}(\operatorname{GL}_r(D') \times \operatorname{GL}_r(D')), \Delta(\operatorname{R}_{L/F}\operatorname{GL}_r(D')), \delta)$ for some field extension L/F and some central division algebra D' over L,
- 2. $(\mathbb{R}_{L'/F}\mathrm{GL}_r(D'\otimes_L L'), \mathbb{R}_{L/F}\mathrm{GL}_r(D'), \gamma)$ for some field extension L/F, a quadratic extension L'/L and some central division algebra D' over L,
- 3. $(\operatorname{GL}_{2r}(D), \operatorname{GL}_r(D) \times \operatorname{GL}_r(D), \theta).$

Remark 4.2. Here we use Δ to denote the diagonal embedding and use $\mathbb{R}_{L/F}$ to denote the Weil restriction with respect to the field extension L/F. The involution δ in (1) of the above proposition is $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$, γ in (2) is induced by the nontrivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}(L'/L)$, and θ in (3) is the one introduced before.

Proof. In the case D = F, this proposition was first proved in [16, Proposition 4.3] and reproved in [1, Theorem 7.7.3]. Our proof is similar to that of [1, Theorem 7.7.3].

Let $x \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$ be a semisimple element. Put $V = D^{2m}$ and view x as an element of $\operatorname{GL}_D(V)$. Let $m(t) = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i(t)$ be the minimal polynomial of x, where $p_i(t) \in F[t]$ is a monic irreducible polynomial and $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$. Set $L_i := F[t]/(p_i(t))$, which can be viewed as a field extension of F. Then $F[x] \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s L_i$. V is an (F[x], D)-bimodule, and has a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s V_i$ where V_i is a D-submodule and L_i acts faithfully on it. Thus V_i is a $D \otimes_F L_i$ -module. Since $D \otimes_F L_i$ is a central simple algebra over L_i , $D \otimes_F L_i = \operatorname{M}_{c_i \times c_i}(D_i)$ for some central division algebra D_i over L_i . Set $V_i = W_i^{\oplus t_i}$ where $W_i \simeq D_i^{\oplus c_i}$. The above discussion on linear algebra over D can be found in [28, §3].

Therefore, $\mathbf{G}_x \simeq \prod_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{L_i/F} \mathbf{GL}_{t_i}(D_i)$. The rest of the proof is the same as that of [1, Theorem 7.7.3]. We remark that only the condition $x \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$ is used in the proof of [1, Theorem 7.7.3]. By this condition, we can only deduce a weaker result, namely that all descendants of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \theta)$ are products of symmetric pairs of types 1, 2 or 3, where a type 3 symmetric pair is of the form

$$(\operatorname{GL}_{q+r}(D), \operatorname{GL}_q(D) \times \operatorname{GL}_r(D), \theta_{q,r}),$$

where $\theta_{q,r}(g) = \epsilon_{q,r}g\epsilon_{q,r}$ with $\epsilon_{q,r} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_q & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_r \end{pmatrix}$ and q may not equal r. Since x lies in $S \subset \mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$, the list of possibilities for \mathfrak{s}_x computed in Proposition 5.1

below lets us eliminate factors of the form $(\operatorname{GL}_{q+r}(D), \operatorname{GL}_q(D) \times \operatorname{GL}_r(D), \theta_{q,r})$ with $q \neq r$.

Multiplicity one From the above classification of the descendants, we can see that, for any $H \times H$ -semisimple $g \in G$, $H^1(F, \mathbf{H}_{s(g)})$ is trivial. By [1, Corollary 7.1.5], this implies that the symmetric pair (**G**, **H**) is good.

This classification also implies that all the descendants of (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) are regular. The reason is that, after base change to some extension field F', they are of negative defect over F' (proved in [1, Theorem 7.6.5] for symmetric pairs of types 1 and 2 and in [1, Lemma 7.7.5] for symmetric pairs of type 3), and hence they are of negative defect over F by [2, Lemma 4.2.7].

Therefore (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) is a GK-pair. In particular, by [1, Corollary 8.1.6], it satisfies the following property, which is a weaker variant of the property defining Gelfand pairs.

Proposition 4.3. For any irreducible admissible representation π of G we have

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\widetilde{\pi}, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1,$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the contragredient of π .

Corollary 4.4. If π is an irreducible unitary admissible representation of G, we have

 $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$

Proof. The following "well known" argument was pointed out by Prasad to the author. If π is unitary, then $\bar{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}$, where $\bar{\pi}$ denotes the complex conjugate of π . Observe that if π has an *H*-invariant form ℓ , taking the complex conjugate of the form, one can obtain an *H*-invariant form $\bar{\ell}$ on $\bar{\pi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}$.

Remark 4.5. In general, we expect that (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) is a Gelfand pair. When D = F, this is the main theorem of [16]. For m = 1 and general D, it was proved by Prasad in [20, §7]. For general m and D, we do not know how to prove it, because we do not know a Gelfand-Kazhdan type realization for the contragredient representation of an irreducible admissible representation of G. However, if D is a quaternion algebra, there is an anti-automorphism τ of G such that $\tau^2 \in \mathrm{Ad}(G(F))$, τ preserves any closed conjugacy class in G(F), and $\tau(H) = H$ (cf. [23, Theorem 3.1]). Thus, by [2, Proposition 2.1.6], we have the following result.

Corollary 4.6. If D is a quaternion algebra, for any irreducible admissible representation π of G we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \leq 1.$$

Remark 4.7. The results of this section also hold when $F = \mathbb{R}$, and they can be proved by the same arguments. All the notions we have introduced and all the propositions and theorems we have quoted hold in the archimedean case.

5 Smooth transfer

We keep the notations as before, and continue to let F be a p-adic field. In this section, we will show the existence of the smooth transfer with respect to the relative trace formulae concerned in this paper. Our strategy here follows the somewhat standard procedure, that was also used to study smooth transfer in other cases (cf. [30] or [29] for more details). This strategy arises from the work of Waldspurger on endoscopic transfer (cf. [26]). After several reduction steps, we reduce the question to proving the property that "the Fourier transform commutes with smooth transfer". We refer the reader to Theorem 5.15 for the exact statement. This property will be proved in Section 6.

The local orbital integrals that we consider are

$$O(g, f) = \int_{H_g \setminus (H \times H)} f(h_1^{-1}gh_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \, \mathrm{d}h_2, \tag{3}$$

where $g \in G$ is $H \times H$ -regular semisimple, and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(G)$. The quotient map $q: G \to G/H = S$ gives rise to a surjection $\tilde{q}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(G) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S)$ defined by

$$(\widetilde{q}f)(\overline{y}) = \int_{H} f(yh) \, \mathrm{d}h$$

(cf. [5, Lemma in Section 1.21]). Let $\tilde{f} = \tilde{q}(f)$. We identify S with its image in $\mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$ under the symmetrization map s, and view \tilde{f} as a \mathcal{C}_{c}^{∞} -function on the image of S. Thus, by definition, $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(\bar{g})$ if x = s(g). Now let $g \in G$ be $H \times H$ -regular semisimple. Then x = s(g) is H-regular semisimple (cf. [1, Proposition 7.2.17]) and we have the relations

$$H_g \setminus (H \times H) \twoheadrightarrow H_g(\{1\} \times H) \setminus (H \times H) \cong H_x \setminus H,$$

and $H_g \cap (\{1\} \times H) = \{1\} \times \{1\}$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{split} O(g,f) &= \int_{H_g(\{1\} \times H) \setminus (H \times H)} \int_{\{1\} \times H} f(h_1^{-1}xh_2) \, \mathrm{d}h_2 \, \mathrm{d}h_1 \\ &= \int_{H_x \setminus H} \widetilde{f}(h^{-1}xh) \, \mathrm{d}h. \end{split}$$

Henceforth it suffices to consider the orbital integrals for $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(S)$ with respect to the *H*-action. Eventually, we also have to consider the orbital integrals for $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ with respect to the *H*-action.

Orbits First, we classify all *H*-semisimple orbits of *S* and $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. We remark that the results here on the orbits also hold when we replace *F* by a number field *k*. For each semisimple element *x* in *S* or $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, it is also important to determine the couple $(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x)$ which is also called the descendant of $(\mathbf{H}, \mathfrak{s})$ at *x*. The reason for considering a semisimple element *x* of *S* (resp. $\mathfrak{s}(F)$) and the descendant of $(\mathbf{H}, \mathfrak{s})$ at *x* is the following. We can reduce the study of the orbital integrals of an element *f* in $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$) at regular semisimple elements near *x* to the study of orbital integrals for the H_x -action of an appropriate element $f_x^{\#}$ in $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}_x(F))$ at regular semisimple elements close to 0 in $\mathfrak{s}_x(F)$. Here $f_x^{\#}$ is obtained from *f* by a process called semisimple descent. We refer the reader to [30, Proposition 3.11] for more details.

1. Each semisimple element x of S is H-conjugate to an Proposition 5.1. element of the form

$$x(A,m_1,m_2) := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{m_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{m_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A^2 - \mathbf{1}_r & 0 & 0 & A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{m_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{m_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $m = r + m_1 + m_2$, $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)$ being semisimple without eigenvalues ± 1 and unique up to conjugation. Moreover, $x(A, m_1, m_2)$ is regular if and only if $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ and A is regular in $\mathfrak{gl}_m(D)$.

2. Let $x = x(A, m_1, m_2)$ in S be semisimple. Then the descendant $(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x)$ is isomorphic to the product

$$(\operatorname{GL}_r(D)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A) \times (\mathbf{H}_{m_1}, \mathfrak{s}_{m_1}) \times (\mathbf{H}_{m_2}, \mathfrak{s}_{m_2}).$$

Here $\operatorname{GL}_r(D)_A$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A$ are the centralizers of A in $\operatorname{GL}_r(D)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_r(D)$ respectively, and $\operatorname{GL}_r(D)_A$ acts on $\mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A$ by the adjoint action.

Proof. The first assertion was proved in [16, Proposition 4.1] in the case D = F. The reader can check that the same proof goes through for general D without difficulty. We only provide some steps in the proof.

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix}$ be a semisimple element of S inside $\mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$, with A, B, P, Q

in $\mathfrak{gl}_m(D)$. We claim that A, Q, BP, PB and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ P & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are semisimple matrices. This is the case when D = F by [16, Lemma 4.2]. Actually, in the proof of [16, Lemma 4.2], one can assume that F is algebraically closed, since the condition of being semisimple does not depend on the ground field. Hence the claim for any general D follows. Since $x \in \mathbf{G}^{\iota}(F)$, we have the relations

$$A^{2} = \mathbf{1}_{m} + BP, \quad Q^{2} = \mathbf{1}_{m} + PB, \quad AB = BQ, \quad QP = PA.$$
(4)

Replacing x by a conjugate under H, we may assume $B = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ P & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is semisimple, by [16, Proposition 2.1] (which is valid here), x is

H-conjugate to an element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} C_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & D \end{pmatrix}$ where $C_r \in \operatorname{GL}_r(D)$ is semisimple. The relations (4) f

 $\operatorname{GL}_r(D)$ is semisimple. The relations (4) force such an *H*-conjugate of x to be

of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \mathbf{1}_r & 0\\ 0 & A' & 0 & 0\\ A^2 - \mathbf{1}_r & 0 & A & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Q' \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)$ is semisimple without

eigenvalues ± 1 , A' and Q' are elements of order 2. By the same discussion as in the proof of [16, Proposition 4.1], we see that x is *H*-conjugate to some $x(A, m_1, m_2).$

Conversely, by similar discussions as in the proofs of [16, Lemma 4.3, Proposition 4.1], we see that each $x(A, m_1, m_2)$ is semisimple and lies in S. The remaining assertions such as the uniqueness of A up to conjugation are straightforward.

For the second assertion, since descendants $(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x)$ can be obtained by computing the centralizers of x in \mathbf{H} and \mathfrak{s} , we leave the details to the reader.

Proposition 5.2. 1. Each semisimple element X of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ is H-conjugate to an element of the form

$$X(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1}_r & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ A & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $A \in \operatorname{GL}_r(D)$ being semisimple and unique up to conjugation. Moreover, X(A) is regular if and only if r = m and $A \in \operatorname{GL}_m(D)$ is regular.

2. Let X = X(A) in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ be semisimple. Then the descendant $(\mathbf{H}_X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ is isomorphic to the product

$$(\operatorname{GL}_r(D)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A) \times (\mathbf{H}_{m-r}, \mathfrak{s}_{m-r}).$$

Proof. This proposition was proved in [16, Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.2] in the case D = F. The proof is simpler than that of Proposition 5.1 and can be applied to our more general situation directly. We leave the details to the reader.

Matching between the orbits Now we give a description for the matching between *H*-semisimple orbits in *S* or $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ and *H'*-semisimple orbits in *S'* or $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. Let *L* be a field extension of *F* with degree *d* contained in *D*. Since *D* is a *d*-dimensional *L*-vector space, we can obtain an embedding $D \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_d(L)$. This induces embeddings $(G, H) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{G}'(L), \mathbf{H}'(L)), S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}'(L)/\mathbf{H}'(L)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(F) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{s}'(L)$. We identify $\mathbf{G}'(L)/\mathbf{H}'(L)$ with its image in $\mathbf{G}''(L)$.

- **Proposition 5.3.** 1. For each semisimple element x of S, there exists $h \in \mathbf{H}'(L)$ such that hxh^{-1} belongs to S'. This establishes an injection from the set of H-semisimple orbits in S into the set of H'-semisimple orbits in S'. This injection carries the orbit of $x(A, m_1, m_2)$ in S to the orbit of $x(B, m_1d, m_2d)$ in S' such that $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_{m-m_1-m_2}(D)$ and $B \in \mathfrak{gl}_{(m-m_1-m_2)d}(F)$ have the same characteristic polynomial.
 - 2. For each semisimple element X of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, there exists $h \in \mathbf{H}'(L)$ such that hXh^{-1} belongs to $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. This establishes an injection from the set of H-semisimple orbits in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ into the set of H'-semisimple orbits in $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. This injection carries the orbit of X(A) in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ to the orbit of X(B) in $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$ such that $A \in \mathrm{GL}_r(D)$ and $B \in \mathrm{GL}_{rd}(F)$ have the same characteristic polynomial.

Proof. We only prove the matching between the orbits in $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ and $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$. The proof of the matching between the orbits in S and S' is similar. It is harmless

to assume that x is of the form X(A) with $A \in \operatorname{GL}_r(D)$. We view A as an element in $\operatorname{GL}_{rd}(L)$. Since the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of A are in F, there exists $h_0 \in \operatorname{GL}_{rd}(L)$ such that $B = h_0^{-1}Ah_0$ is in $\operatorname{GL}_{rd}(F)$. Let $/h_0$

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h_0 & & \\ & \mathbf{1}_{(m-r)d} & & \\ & & h_0 & \\ & & & \mathbf{1}_{(m-r)d} \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then } h^{-1} \cdot X(A) \cdot h = X(B).$$

Definition 5.4. We say that $x \in S_{ss}$ (resp. $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{ss}(F)$) matches $y \in S'_{ss}$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{ss}(F)$), and write $x \leftrightarrow y$ (resp. $X \leftrightarrow Y$), if the above map sends the orbit of x (resp. X) to the orbit of y (resp. Y).

Now we discuss some properties of the above matching. These properties will be used in Section 6.

Remark 5.5. For a regular semsimple element $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$, suppose we wish to know whether there exists $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Note that Y is H'-conjugate to X(AB). It is well known that there exists $C \in \operatorname{GL}_m(D)$ such that C and AB have the same characteristic polynomial if and only if the degree of every irreducible factor (over F) of the characteristic polynomial of AB can be divided by d. Hence there exists $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$ if and only if the degree of every irreducible factor (over F) of the characteristic polynomial of AB is divisible by d.

Remark 5.6. Suppose that $x \in S_{ss}$ and $y \in S'_{ss}$ match. We want to compare $(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x)$ with $(\mathbf{H}'_y, \mathfrak{s}'_y)$. It is harmless to assume that $x = x(A, m_1, m_2)$ and $y = x(B, m_1d, m_2d)$. Then, according to Proposition 5.1

$$(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x) \simeq (\mathrm{GL}_r(D)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A) \times (\mathbf{H}_{m_1}, \mathfrak{s}_{m_1}) \times (\mathbf{H}_{m_2}, \mathfrak{s}_{m_2}),$$

and

$$(\mathbf{H}'_{y},\mathfrak{s}'_{y})\simeq (\mathrm{GL}_{rd,B},\mathfrak{gl}_{rd,B})\times (\mathbf{H}'_{m_{1}d},\mathfrak{s}'_{m_{1}d})\times (\mathbf{H}'_{m_{2}d},\mathfrak{s}'_{m_{2}d}).$$

Note that $(\operatorname{GL}_r(D)_A, \mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A)$ is an inner form of $(\operatorname{GL}_{rd,B}, \mathfrak{gl}_{rd,B})$. Also note that the other factors are related in a similar manner as $(\mathbf{H}, \mathfrak{s})$ and $(\mathbf{H}', \mathfrak{s}')$ are. For $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{ss}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{ss}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$, according to Proposition 5.2, the descendants $(\mathbf{H}_X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ and $(\mathbf{H}'_Y, \mathfrak{s}'_Y)$ satisfy a similar relation as above.

Remark 5.7. Suppose that x in S_{rs} (resp. $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$) and y in S'_{rs} (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$) match. By Propositions 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_x \simeq \mathbf{H}'_y$$

Remark 5.8. Recall that a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{s} is by definition a maximal abelian (with respect to the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g}) subspace consisting of semisimple elements. An element $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ is regular semisimple if the centralizer of X in \mathfrak{s} is a Cartan subspace (cf. [24, page 471]). For a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c} , we denote by $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ the subset of regular elements in $\mathfrak{c}(F)$. For a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{s} and a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c}' of \mathfrak{s}' , we say that \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{c}' match and write $\mathfrak{c} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{c}'$ if there exist $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Note that if $\mathfrak{c} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{c}'$, there is an isomorphism $\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{c} \to \mathfrak{c}'$ defined over F such that $X \leftrightarrow \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}}(X)$ for

any $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$. To see this, suppose that $X \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{c}'_{reg}(F)$ match. We may assume that X = X(A) and Y = Y(B). Then we have

$$\mathfrak{c}(F) = \mathfrak{s}_X(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C \\ AC & 0 \end{pmatrix}; C \in \mathfrak{gl}_m(D), \ AC = CA \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_m(D)_A.$$

We also have

$$\mathfrak{c}'(F) = \mathfrak{s}'_Y(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ BD & 0 \end{pmatrix}; D \in \mathfrak{gl}_n, \ BD = DB \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}_{n,B}.$$

Since A and B are regular semisimple and have the same characteristic polynomial, there is an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{gl}_m(D)_A \to \mathfrak{gl}_{n,B}$ over F such that $\varphi(A) = B$. The isomorphism φ_c can be obtained from φ .

Smooth transfer Now we can introduce the notion of smooth transfer and state the main theorem of the paper. We first fix Haar measures on H and H', and fix a Haar measure on H'_y for each y in S'_{rs} or $\mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$. We may and do assume that for y_1, y_2 in S'_{rs} or $\mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ that lie in the same H'-orbit, the Haar measures on H'_{y_1} and H'_{y_2} are compatible in the obvious sense. For any x in S_{rs} or $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$, choose any y in S'_{rs} or $\mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ respectively so that $x \leftrightarrow y$. Then $H_x \simeq H'_y$. We choose the Haar measure on H_x compatible with that on H'_y .

Definition 5.9. For $x \in S_{rs}$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$), and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$), we define the orbital integral of f at x to be

$$O(x,f) = \int_{H_x \setminus H} f(h^{-1}xh) \, \mathrm{d}h,$$

which is convergent since any semisimple orbit is closed.

Definition 5.10. For $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$), we say that $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S')$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$) is a smooth transfer of f if for each $y \in S'_{rs}$ (resp. $y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$)

$$O(y, f') = \begin{cases} O(x, f), & \text{if there exists } x \in S_{\rm rs} \text{ (resp. } x \in \mathfrak{s}_{\rm rs}(F) \text{) such that } x \leftrightarrow y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Sometimes we will write transfer instead of smooth transfer for short. If f' is a transfer of f, we write $f \leftrightarrow f'$ for simplicity.

Remark 5.11. Conversely, for $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S')$ (resp. $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$) satisfying the following condition

O(y, f') = 0 if there does not exist x in S_{rs} (resp. $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$) such that $x \leftrightarrow y$,

(6)

we say that $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(S)$ (resp. $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$) is a smooth transfer of f' if for each $x \in S_{rs}$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$)

$$O(x,f) = O(y,f'),$$

where y in $S'_{\rm rs}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{\rm rs}(F)$) is such that $x \leftrightarrow y$.

Remark 5.12. For semisimple $x \in S$ (resp. $x \in \mathfrak{s}(F)$) and semisimple $y \in S'$ (resp. $y \in \mathfrak{s}'(F)$) such that $x \leftrightarrow y$, we can also define smooth transfer from $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}_x(F))$ to $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'_y(F))$ determined by the orbital integrals with respect to the action of H_x on $\mathfrak{s}_x(F)$ and the action of H'_y on $\mathfrak{s}'_y(F)$. According to Remark 5.6, there are two types of smooth transfer to consider. The first type is associated to $(\mathbf{H}_{m'},\mathfrak{s}_{m'})$ and $(\mathbf{H}'_{m'd},\mathfrak{s}'_{m'd})$ with $m' \leq m$. The second type is associated to $(\mathrm{GL}_r(D)_A,\mathfrak{gl}_r(D)_A)$ and its inner form $(\mathrm{GL}_{rd,B},\mathfrak{gl}_{rd,B})$. In this case, the orbital integrals are with respect to the adjoint action and the existence of smooth transfer is known (cf. [26]).

Our main theorem on the smooth transfer is the following.

Theorem 5.13. For each $f \in C_c^{\infty}(S)$, there exists $f' \in C_c^{\infty}(S')$ that is a smooth transfer of f.

Showing the existence of smooth transfer essentially is a local issue. Via the Luna Slice Theorem and descent of orbital integrals, we can reduce to proving the existence of smooth transfer between the descendants $(\mathbf{H}_x, \mathfrak{s}_x(F))$ and $(\mathbf{H}'_y, \mathfrak{s}'_y(F))$ for each semisimple $x \in S$ and $y \in S'$ such that $x \leftrightarrow y$. According to Remark 5.12, we reduce to proving the following Lie algebra version of smooth transfer. We refer the reader to [30, §3] or [29, §5.3] for more details of such reduction steps. The arguments there can be applied for our situation without modification.

Theorem 5.14. For each $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, there exists $f' \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ that is a smooth transfer of f.

To prove Theorem 5.14, the following theorem, which roughly says that the Fourier transform commutes with smooth transfer, is the key input.

Theorem 5.15. There exists a nonzero constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying that: if $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ is a transfer of $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, then \widehat{f}' is a transfer of $c\widehat{f}$.

Remark 5.16. We now briefly recall why Theorem 5.15 implies Theorem 5.14. We use induction and assume that Theorem 5.14 holds for functions in $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}_{m'}(F))$ for every m' < m. Via the Luna Slice Theorem and descent of orbital integrals again, we can reduce to proving the existence of smooth transfers on the descendants for each semisimple $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{ss}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{ss}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. If X is nonzero, the factor $(\mathbf{H}_{m'}, \mathfrak{s}_{m'})$ in the descendant $(\mathbf{H}_X, \mathfrak{s}_X)$ satisfies that m' < m. Thus, by Remark 5.12 and the inductive hypothesis, smooth transfers exist for functions whose supports are contained in a neighborhood of X. Moreover, this shows the existence of smooth transfer for $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N})$, where \mathcal{N} is the null-cone of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. We have explained that the symmetric pair (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) is of negative defect, which implies that (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) is special (cf. [1, Proposition 7.3.7]). The speciality means the following statement. If T is an H-invariant distribution on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(T) \subset \mathcal{N}$, then T must be zero. This fact has the following direct consequence. Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \bigcap \ker(T)$ where T runs over all H-invariant distributions on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Then each $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ can be written as $f = f_0 + f_1 + \hat{f}_2$ with $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N})$ for i = 1, 2. Therefore, it remains to prove the existence

and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N})$ for i = 1, 2. Therefore, it remains to prove the existence of the smooth transfer for \hat{f} with f belonging to the space $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F) - \mathcal{N})$, which is exactly what Theorem 5.15 shows. **Remark 5.17.** To prove the existence of smooth transfer in the converse direction, in the sense of Remark 5.11, it suffices to prove that each $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ satisfying condition (6) can be written as $f = f_0 + f_1 + \hat{f}_2$. Here f_0 is in \mathcal{C}'_0 which is defined similarly as \mathcal{C}_0 for \mathfrak{s} , and $f_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'(F) - \mathcal{N}')$, for i = 1, 2, is also required to satisfy condition (6) (here \mathcal{N}' is the null-cone of $\mathfrak{s}'(F)$). However we do not know how to prove such a decomposition.

6 Local orbital integrals

Let F be a p-adic field as before. This section is devoted to proving Theorem 5.15. We employ several techniques used by Waldspurger on endoscopic smooth transfer (cf. [25] and [26]). These techniques also involve some more classical results of Harish-Chandra on harmonic analysis for p-adic groups (cf. [13] and [14]). We also establish various analogous results for the p-adic symmetric spaces considered here. A large part of this section can be viewed as a generalization of the results in [29].

6.1 Preparations

Inequalities Fix a nonzero X_0 in the null-cone \mathcal{N} of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. Let (X_0, \mathbf{d}, Y_0) be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple with $\mathbf{d} \in \mathfrak{h}(F)$ and $Y_0 \in \mathcal{N}$. We set $\mathbf{r} = \dim_F \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}$ and $\mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} (\operatorname{ad}(-\mathbf{d})|_{\mathfrak{s}_{Y_0}})$. The inequalities below are used to bound the orbital integrals for elements of $\mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ along a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{s} .

Proposition 6.1. We have the relations

1. $\mathbf{r} \ge n$, 2. $\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m} > n^2 + \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Let L be an extension field of F with degree d contained in D. Then

$$(\mathbf{G} \times_F L, \mathbf{H} \times_F L) \simeq (\mathbf{G}' \times_F L, \mathbf{H}' \times_F L) =: (\mathbf{G}'', \mathbf{H}'').$$

Denote by \mathfrak{s}'' the "Lie algebra" associated to $\mathbf{G}''/\mathbf{H}''$. We can, in a canonical way, view X_0 and Y_0 as elements of $\mathfrak{s}''(L)$ and also \mathbf{d} as an element of $\mathfrak{h}''(L)$ ($\mathfrak{h}'' := \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbf{H}'')$). Let $\mathbf{r}' = \dim_L \mathfrak{s}''_{Y_0}$ and $\mathbf{m}' = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{ad}(-\mathbf{d})|_{\mathfrak{s}''_{Y_0}}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{s}''_{Y_0} \simeq \mathfrak{s}_{Y_0} \otimes_F L$, it is not hard to see that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$ and $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}'$. Therefore the required inequalities follow immediately by [29, Proposition 4.4].

Representability With the aid of Proposition 6.1, we can generalize all the results in [29, §5, §6, §7] when d = 1 to the more general case at hand. We will only state the results and omit the proofs since they are obtained as almost verbatim reproductions of those in [29].

Let $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ lie in a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{s} . Then the centralizer \mathbf{T} of \mathfrak{c} in \mathbf{H} equals \mathbf{H}_X . Thus T is a torus by Proposition 5.2. Write $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}(\mathbf{T})$. We define the normalizing factor $|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F$ to be

$$|\det(\operatorname{ad}(X);\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{c})|_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is also equal to $|\det(\operatorname{ad}(X); \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_X)|_F^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We consider the normalized orbital integral:

$$I(X,f) = |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}O(X,f), \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F)),$$

which is a distribution on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$. We also consider its Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{I}(X, f) := I(X, \widehat{f}), \quad \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F)).$$

If $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ are such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$, viewed as elements of $M_{2m \times 2m}(D)$ and $M_{2n \times 2n}(F)$ respectively, they have the same characteristic polynomial (cf. Proposition 5.3). Since the normalizing factor is determined by characteristic polynomial, we see that

$$|D^{\mathfrak{s}}(X)|_F = |D^{\mathfrak{s}'}(Y)|_F$$

Hence it does not matter if we consider the smooth transfer with respect to the normalized orbital integrals.

The following theorem is a generalization of [29, Theorem 6.1]. Its proof can be copied word for word from that of [29, Theorem 6.1]. The ingredients of its proof are the analogues of parabolic induction and Howe's finiteness theorem for our symmetric spaces, together with bounds for normalized orbital integrals along Cartan subspaces of $\mathfrak{s}(F)$.

Theorem 6.2. For each $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$, there exists a locally constant *H*-invariant function \hat{i}_X defined on $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{s}(F)$, such that for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ we have

$$\widehat{I}(X,f) = \int_{\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)} \widehat{i}_X(Y) f(Y) |D^{\mathfrak{s}}(Y)|_F^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}Y.$$

We will need a proposition that shows up in the course of the proof. Recall that an element $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ is called elliptic if its centralizer \mathbf{H}_X is an elliptic torus. Denote by $\mathfrak{s}_{ell}(F)$ the set of elliptic elements in $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$. Suppose that $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_m \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Also suppose that X is not elliptic. Then $A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ is not elliptic in the usual sense. Then there exists a proper Levi subgroup \mathbf{M}_0 of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ such that $A \in M_0 := \mathbf{M}_0(F)$. Set $\mathfrak{m}_0 := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{M}_0)$. Identify \mathfrak{s}^+ (resp. \mathfrak{s}^-) with $\mathfrak{gl}_m(D)$, and let $\mathfrak{r}^+ \subset \mathfrak{s}^+$ (resp. $\mathfrak{r}^- \subset \mathfrak{s}^-$) be the subspace that corresponds to \mathfrak{m}_0 under this identification. Finally, set $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{r}^-$. Then X lies in $\mathfrak{r}(F)$ and is regular semisimple with respect to the adjoint action of $M = M_0 \times M_0$ on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$. Choosing a Haar measure on M, we also consider the orbital integral with respect to the action of M on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$. Note that \mathfrak{t} is contained in \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{c} is contained in \mathfrak{r} . The normalizing factor $|D^{\mathfrak{r}}(X)|_F$ is defined to be

$$|\det(\operatorname{ad}(X);\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{c})|_{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The normalized orbital integral $I^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, f')$, for $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{r}(F))$, is defined to be

$$|D^{\mathfrak{r}}(X)|_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{H_X \setminus M} f'(m^{-1}Xm) \, \mathrm{d}m,$$

which is convergent since X is semisimple with respect to the action of M. $I^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, \cdot)$ is a distribution on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$. We also consider its Fourier transform

$$\widehat{I}^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, f') := I^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, \widehat{f'}).$$

Then, with suitable choices of Haar measures, there is a relation between the orbital integrals $I(X, \cdot)$ and $I^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, \cdot)$, the so-called parabolic descent of orbital integrals,

$$I(X, f) = I^{\mathfrak{r}}(X, f^{(\mathfrak{r})}), \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F)).$$

Here $f^{(\mathfrak{r})} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{r}(F))$ is a sort of "constant term" of f. We refer the reader to [29, §6.1] for the precise definition. The exact same formula for $f^{(\mathfrak{r})}$ as there still works in our situation.

Applying Theorem 6.2 to lower rank situations, we see that there exists a locally constant *M*-invariant function $\hat{i}_X^{\mathfrak{r}}$ defined on $\mathfrak{r}_{rs}(F)$ which is locally integrable on $\mathfrak{r}(F)$, such that for any $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{r}(F))$ we have

$$\widehat{I}^{\mathfrak{r}}(X,f') = \int_{\mathfrak{r}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)} \widehat{i}^{\mathfrak{r}}_X(Y) f'(Y) |D^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y)|_F^{-\frac{1}{2}} \, \mathrm{d}Y.$$

Not surprisingly, there is a relation between \hat{i}_X and \hat{i}_X^r . The following formula for \hat{i}_X in terms of \hat{i}_X^r will be needed.

Proposition 6.3. Keep the notations and assumptions above. We have

$$\widehat{i}_X(Y) = \sum_{Y'} \widehat{i}_X^{\mathfrak{r}}(Y'), \quad Y \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(F),$$

where Y' runs over a set of representatives for the finitely many M-conjugacy classes of elements in $\mathfrak{r}(F)$ which are H-conjugate to Y. In particular, if there is no element in $\mathfrak{r}(F)$ which is H-conjugate to Y, we have

$$\widehat{i}_X(Y) = 0.$$

Limit formula We also write $\hat{i}(X, Y)$ for $\hat{i}_X(Y)$. There is a limit formula for $\hat{i}(X, Y)$ shown in [29, Proposition 7.1], which takes care of a situation where d = 1 (and where there is an additional quadratic character present to deal with the more general, twisted, periods considered there). A similar formula still holds for the case at hand and will be stated below. Notice that changing the Haar measures on H and H_X multiplies $\hat{i}(X, Y)$ by a nonzero scalar. We do not specify the Haar measures, and instead refer the reader to [29] for more details. Results that follow this limit formula (Proposition 6.4 below) do not depend on the choices of the measures.

Let \mathfrak{c} be a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{s} , \mathbf{T} the centralizer of \mathfrak{c} in \mathbf{H} , and \mathfrak{t} the Lie algebra of \mathbf{T} . For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$, we define a bilinear form $q_{X,Y}$ on $\mathfrak{h}(F)/\mathfrak{t}(F)$ by

$$q_{X,Y}(Z,Z') = \langle [Z,X], [Y,Z'] \rangle,$$

where the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the one introduced before. One can check that $q_{X,Y}$ is nondegenerate and symmetric. One can also verify that $q_{X,Y} = q_{Y,X}$. We will write $\gamma_{\psi}(X,Y) = \gamma_{\psi}(q_{X,Y})$ for simplicity. **Proposition 6.4.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\mu \in F^{\times}$ satisfies $v_F(\mu) < -N$, we have the equality

$$\widehat{i}(\mu X, Y) = \sum_{h \in T \setminus H, h \cdot X \in \mathfrak{c}} \gamma_{\psi} \left(\mu h \cdot X, Y \right) \psi \left(\langle \mu h \cdot X, Y \rangle \right).$$

Proof. One can make an obvious modification of the proof of [29, Proposition 7.1] to apply it here. \Box

Construction of test functions For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{c}_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)$, there is a formula for $\gamma_{\psi}(X, Y)$, which is exhibited in [29, Proposition 7.3] when d = 1. The formula for general d has the same form. We will not state it here, since it involves much more notation. The following lemma is used to construct certain test functions required in Proposition 6.6 below.

Proposition 6.5. Let \mathfrak{c} be a Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{s} . Fix a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c}' of \mathfrak{s}' such that $\mathfrak{c} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{c}'$. Then for any $X, X' \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$ we have the equality

$$\gamma_{\psi}(X, X') = \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(F))\gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(F))^{-1}\gamma_{\psi}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{c}}(X), \varphi_{\mathfrak{c}}(X')).$$

Here φ_{c} is an isomorphism from c to c' as in Remark 5.8.

The following proposition is an analogue of [29, Proposition 7.6], and its proof involves Propositions 6.4 and 6.5. It plays an important role in proving the existence smooth transfer using the global method that we are following here.

Proposition 6.6. Let $X_0 \in \mathfrak{c}_{reg}(F)$ and $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{c}'_{reg}(F)$ be such that $X_0 \leftrightarrow Y_0$. Then there exist functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ and $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_c(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ satisfying the following conditions.

- 1. If $X \in \text{Supp}(f)$, X is H-conjugate to an element in $\mathfrak{c}_{\text{reg}}(F)$. If $Y \in \text{Supp}(f')$, there exists $X' \in \mathfrak{c}_{\text{reg}}(F)$ such that $X' \leftrightarrow Y$.
- 2. f' is a transfer of f.
- 3. There is an equality

$$\widehat{I}(X_0, f) = c\widehat{I}(Y_0, f') \neq 0,$$

where $c = \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(F))\gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(F))^{-1}$.

Proof. The same proof as that of [29, Proposition 7.6] applies.

6.2 Proof of Theorem 5.15

In this subsection, we fix two \mathcal{C}_c^{∞} -functions $f' \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$ such that $f \leftrightarrow f'$. The proof of Theorem 5.15 can be divided into two parts:

- 1. the first part is to prove that $\widehat{I}(Y, f') = 0$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ such that there exists no element in $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ matching Y;
- 2. the second part is to search for a nonzero constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$, independent of f and f', such that

 $\widehat{I}(Y, f') = c\widehat{I}(X, f)$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F), Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$.

First part of the proof Now we fix a $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ such that there exists no element in $\mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F)$ matching Y_0 . Suppose that Y_0 belongs to a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c}'_0 of \mathfrak{s}' . By Theorem 6.2 (in the case where d = 1) and the Weyl integration formula, we have

$$\widehat{I}(Y_{0}, f') = \int_{\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(F)} \widehat{i}_{Y_{0}}(Z) f'(Z) |D^{\mathfrak{s}'}(Z)|_{F}^{-\frac{1}{2}} dZ$$

$$= \sum_{\mathfrak{c}'} \frac{1}{w_{\mathfrak{c}'}} \int_{\mathfrak{c}'_{\mathrm{reg}}(F)} \widehat{i}_{Y_{0}}(Z) I(Z, f') dZ,$$
(7)

where \mathfrak{c}' runs over a set of representatives for the finitely many H'-conjugacy classes of Cartan subspaces in \mathfrak{s}' and $w_{\mathfrak{c}'}$ is the cardinality of the relative Weyl group associated to \mathfrak{c}' . For the Weyl integration formula in the setting of symmetric spaces, we refer the reader to [22, page 106].

We denote by \mathscr{C}^D the set of Cartan subspaces \mathfrak{c}' of \mathfrak{s}' such that there exists a Cartan subspace \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{s} with $\mathfrak{c} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{c}'$. By the condition on Y_0 , we see that $\mathfrak{c}'_0 \notin \mathscr{C}^D$.

For any $\mathfrak{c}' \notin \mathscr{C}^D$, we automatically have I(Z, f') = 0 for each $Z \in \mathfrak{c}'_{reg}(F)$ by the condition on f'. If $\mathfrak{c}' \in \mathscr{C}^D$, we claim that $\widehat{i}_{Y_0}(Z) = 0$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{c}'_{reg}(F)$. We can assume that Y_0 is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_n \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $A \in \operatorname{GL}_n(F)_{rs}$. By the condition on Y_0 , there exists an irreducible factor (over F) of the characteristic polynomial of A with degree r such that $d \nmid r$. Then there exists a subspace \mathfrak{r} of \mathfrak{s} of the form $(\mathfrak{gl}_r \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n-r}) \bigoplus (\mathfrak{gl}_r \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{n-r})$ such that $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{r}(F)$ (see Proposition 6.3 for the notation). Since $\mathfrak{c}' \in \mathscr{C}^D$, there exists no element in $\mathfrak{r}(F)$ which is H'-conjugate to any $Z \in \mathfrak{c}'_{reg}(F)$. Thus the claim follows from Proposition 6.3. Therefore, in any case, we have showed that the terms appearing in the sum of (7) are zero, thus obtaining that $\widehat{I}(Y_0, f') = 0$.

Second part of the proof The arguments in this part are almost the same as those in [29, §8]. We shall explain them briefly.

Now, we fix $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}(F))$, and $f' \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{s}'(F))$ which is a transfer of f, and fix $X_{0} \in \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(F), Y_{0} \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(F)$ such that $X_{0} \leftrightarrow Y_{0}$. Next, we choose some global data as follows.

• Fields. We choose a number field k and a central division algebra $\mathbb D$ over k so that:

- 1. k is totally imaginary;
- 2. there exists a finite place w of k such that $k_w \simeq F$ and $\mathbb{D}(k_w) \simeq D$;
- 3. there exists another finite place u of k such that \mathbb{D} does not split over k_u . By conditions 1 and 2, such a finite place u exists.

Such a number field k and a division algebra \mathbb{D} do exist. See [26, Proposition in §11.1]. From now on, we identify k_w with F. We denote by \mathcal{O}_k the ring of integers of k, and by \mathbb{A} the ring of adeles of k. We fix a maximal order $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ of \mathbb{D} containing \mathcal{O}_k . We fix a continuous character on \mathbb{A}/k whose local component at w is ψ , and henceforth use the letter ψ to denote this new (global) character. • Groups. We define a global symmetric pair (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}) over k with respect to \mathbb{D} , so that the base change of (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}) to k_w is isomorphic to (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) . Thus if the index of \mathbb{D} is d', let $(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}) = (\operatorname{GL}_{2m'}(\mathbb{D}), \operatorname{GL}_{m'}(\mathbb{D}) \times \operatorname{GL}_{m'}(\mathbb{D}))$ where m'd' = n. Define the symmetric pair $(\mathbb{G}', \mathbb{H}') = (\operatorname{GL}_{2n}, \operatorname{GL}_n \times \operatorname{GL}_n)$ over k as usual. We now use \mathfrak{h} (resp. \mathfrak{h}') to denote the Lie algebra of \mathbb{H} (resp. \mathbb{H}'), and \mathfrak{s} (resp. \mathfrak{s}') to denote the "Lie algebra" of \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} (resp. \mathbb{G}'/\mathbb{H}'). Hence $X_0 \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$ and $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_w)$.

• *Places.* Denote by V (resp. V_{∞} , $V_{\rm f}$) the set of all (resp. archimedean, nonarchimedean) places of k. Fix two \mathcal{O}_k -lattices: $\mathbf{L} = \mathfrak{gl}_{m'}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{m'}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})$ in $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ and $\mathbf{L}' = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_k) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathcal{O}_k)$ in $\mathfrak{s}'(k)$. For each $v \in V_{\rm f}$, set $\mathbf{L}_v = \mathbf{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k,v}$ and $\mathbf{L}'_v = \mathbf{L}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_k} \mathcal{O}_{k,v}$. We fix a finite set $S \subset V$ such that:

- 1. S contains u, w and V_{∞} ;
- 2. for each $v \in V S$, everything is unramified, i.e. \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{G}' are unramified over k_v , and \mathbf{L}_v and \mathbf{L}'_v are self-dual with respect to ψ_v and \langle , \rangle .

We denote by S' the subset $S - V_{\infty} - \{w\}$ of S.

• Orbits. For each $v \in V_{\rm f}$, we choose an open compact subset Ω_v of $\mathfrak{s}(k_v)$ such that:

- 1. if v = w, we require that: $X_0 \in \Omega_w$ and $\Omega_w \subset \mathfrak{s}_{rs}(k_w)$, $\widehat{I}(\cdot, f)$ is constant on Ω_w , and $\widehat{I}(\cdot, f')$ is constant and hence equal to $\widehat{I}(Y_0, f')$ on the set of $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{rs}(k_w)$ which matches an element X in Ω_w ;
- 2. if v = u, we require $\Omega_u \subset \mathfrak{s}_{ell}(k_u)$;
- 3. if $v \in S$ but $v \neq w, u$, choose Ω_v to be any open compact subset of $\mathfrak{s}(k_v)$;
- 4. if $v \in V_{\rm f} S$, let $\Omega_v = \mathbf{L}_v$.

Recall that a semisimple regular element $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ is called elliptic if its centralizer \mathbb{H}_X is an elliptic torus. Denote by $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$) the set of elliptic regular semisimple elements in $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'(k)$). Then by the strong approximation theorem, there exists $X^0 \in \mathfrak{s}(k) \subset \mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A})$ such that for each $v \in V_{\mathrm{f}}$ we have $X^0 \in \Omega_v$. Furthermore, by the condition (2) on the Ω_v 's, $X^0 \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$. Take an element $Y^0 \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ such that $X^0 \leftrightarrow Y^0$.

• Functions. For each $v \in V$, we choose Bruhat-Schwartz functions $\phi_v \in S(\mathfrak{s}(k_v))$ and $\phi'_v \in S(\mathfrak{s}'(k_v))$ in the following way:

1. if v = w, let $\phi_v = f$ and $\phi'_v = f'$;

2. if $v \in S'$, by Proposition 6.6, we can require that:

- if $X_v \in \text{Supp}(\phi_v)$, there exists $X'_v \in \mathfrak{c}_{X^0}(k_v)$ such that X_v and X'_v are $\mathbb{H}(k_v)$ -conjugate, where \mathfrak{c}_{X^0} is the Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{s} containing X^0 ;
- if $Y_v \in \text{Supp}(\phi'_v)$, there exists $X_v \in \mathfrak{c}_{X^0}(k_v)$ such that $X_v \leftrightarrow Y_v$;
- ϕ'_v is a transfer of ϕ_v ;
- $\widehat{I}(X^0, \phi_v) = c_v \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_v)$, where $c_v = \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(k_v))\gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v))^{-1}$;

- 3. for $v \in V-S$, since we required \mathbb{G} to be unramified over k_v , that is to say, \mathbb{D} to be split over k_v , we can make suitable identifications $\mathbb{G}(k_v) = \mathbb{G}'(k_v)$, $\mathbf{L}_v = \mathbf{L}'_v$, and set $\phi_v = \phi'_v = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{L}_v}$; moreover, since \mathbf{L}_v is self-dual with respect to ψ_v and $\langle , \rangle, \phi_v = \hat{\phi}_v$;
- 4. for $v_0 \in V_{\infty}$, identifying $(\mathbb{H}(k_{v_0}), \mathfrak{s}(k_{v_0}))$ with $(\mathbb{H}'(k_{v_0}), \mathfrak{s}'(k_{v_0}))$, we can choose $\phi_{v_0} = \phi'_{v_0} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(k_{v_0}))$ such that:
 - $\widehat{I}(X^0, \phi_{v_0}) = \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_{v_0}) \neq 0;$
 - if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ is $\mathbb{H}(k_v)$ -conjugate to an element in the support of $\widehat{\phi_v}$ at each place $v \in V$, then X is $\mathbb{H}(k)$ -conjugate to X^0 ;
 - if $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$ is $\mathbb{H}'(k_v)$ -conjugate to an element in the support of ϕ'_v at each place $v \in V$, then Y is $\mathbb{H}'(k)$ -conjugate to Y^0 .

The condition 4 can be satisfied, and was discussed in [26, Lemma in §10.7] in the endoscopic case. The key point is that we have a morphism $\mathfrak{s}/\mathbb{H} \to \mathbf{A}_k^\ell$ where $\mathbf{A}_k^\ell = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{s})^{\mathbb{H}})$ is an affine space. Then the discussion is the same as in [26, Lemma in §10.7].

Now we set $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}(\mathbb{A}))$ and $\phi' \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{s}'(\mathbb{A}))$ to be:

$$\phi = \prod_{v \in V} \phi_v, \quad \phi' = \prod_{v \in V} \phi'_v.$$

• The end of the proof. As shown in [29, Theorem 8.2] the following integrals $I(\phi)$ and $I(\phi')$ are absolutely convergent:

$$I(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{H}(k) \setminus \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\text{ell}}(k)} \phi(X^h) \, \mathrm{d}h, \quad I(\phi') = \int_{\mathbb{H}'(k) \setminus \mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})^1} \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\text{ell}}(k)} \phi'(Y^h) \, \mathrm{d}h,$$

where

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})^1 = \bigcap_{\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G}_m)} \ker |\chi|, \quad \mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})^1 = \bigcap_{\chi \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(\mathbb{H}', \mathbb{G}_m)} \ker |\chi|.$$

Here $|\chi|$ is the function on $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})$ or $\mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})$ defined in the usual way. Actually [29, Theorem 8.2] only treats the case of $(\mathbb{G}', \mathbb{H}')$, but the arguments also work for (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}) . It is obvious that

$$I(\phi) = \sum_{X \in [\mathfrak{s}_{\text{ell}}(k)]} \tau(X) \prod_{v} I(X, \phi_{v}),$$

and

$$I(\phi') = \sum_{Y \in [\mathfrak{s}'_{\text{ell}}(k)]} \tau(Y) \prod_{v} I(Y, \phi'_{v}),$$

where $[\mathfrak{s}_{ell}(k)]$ denotes the set of $\mathbb{H}(k)$ -orbits in $\mathfrak{s}_{ell}(k)$,

$$\tau(X) = \operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{H}_X(k) \setminus (\mathbb{H}_X(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})^1)),$$

and the definitions of $[\mathfrak{s}'_{\text{ell}}(k)]$ and $\tau(Y)$ are similar. If $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\text{ell}}(k)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\text{ell}}(k)$ are such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$, then $\mathbb{H}_X \simeq \mathbb{H}'_Y$ (the justification is the same as in the

local field case). We choose Haar measures on $\mathbb{H}_X(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathbb{H}'_Y(\mathbb{A})$ so that they are compatible. In particular,

$$\tau(X) = \tau(Y).$$

According to the conditions on ϕ_u (resp. ϕ'_u), we know that if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$) is such that $X \in \operatorname{Supp}(\phi)^{\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})}$ (resp. $Y \in \operatorname{Supp}(\phi')^{\mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})}$), then $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{\operatorname{ell}}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\operatorname{ell}}(k)$). Here we use $\operatorname{Supp}(\phi)^{\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})}$ to denote the union of all $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})$ -orbits intersecting $\operatorname{Supp}(\phi)$. We have a similar defined set $\operatorname{Supp}(\phi')^{\mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})}$. By the conditions on ϕ'_v at each place v, we know that, if $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'_{\mathrm{ell}}(k)$ is such that $I(Y, \phi'_v) \neq 0$ for each $v \in V$, then there exists $X_v \in \mathfrak{s}_{\mathrm{rs}}(k_v)$ such that $X_v \leftrightarrow Y$ at each place $v \in V$ and hence there exists $X \in \mathfrak{s}_{ell}(k)$ such that $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Therefore we have

$$I(\phi) = I(\phi'),$$

since ϕ_v is a transfer of ϕ'_v at each place $v \in V$ by the requirements we have imposed.

On the other hand, according to the conditions on $\widehat{\phi_v}$ and $\widehat{\phi'_v}$, we know that if $X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)$) is such that $X \in \operatorname{Supp}(\widehat{\phi})^{\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A})}$ (resp. $Y \in \mathbb{A}$) $\operatorname{Supp}(\widehat{\phi'})^{\mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A})}), X \text{ is } \mathbb{H}(k) \text{-conjugate to } X^0 \text{ (resp. } Y \text{ is } \mathbb{H}'(k) \text{-conjugate to } Y^0).$

$$\sum_{X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)} \phi(X^h) = \sum_{X \in \mathfrak{s}(k)} \widehat{\phi}(X^h), \quad \forall \ h \in \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{A}),$$

and

$$\sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)} \phi'(Y^h) = \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{s}'(k)} \widehat{\phi}'(Y^h), \quad \forall \ h \in \mathbb{H}'(\mathbb{A}).$$

Actually, by the conditions on ϕ and ϕ' , we can replace $\mathfrak{s}(k)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'(k)$) by $\mathfrak{s}_{\rm ell}(k)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{s}'_{\rm ell}(k)$) on both sides of the above two equations. Thus, we have

$$I(\phi) = I(\widehat{\phi}), \quad I(\phi') = I(\widehat{\phi'}).$$

Hence we have

$$I(\widehat{\phi}) = I(\widehat{\phi'}),$$

which amounts to saying,

$$\tau(X^{0}) \prod_{v \in V} \widehat{I}(X^{0}, \phi_{v}) = \tau(Y^{0}) \prod_{v \in V} \widehat{I}(Y^{0}, \phi'_{v}).$$

For $v \in V - S$ or $v \in V_{\infty}$, we have

$$\widehat{I}(X^0,\phi_v) = \widehat{I}(Y^0,\phi'_v) \neq 0.$$

For $v \in S'$ we have

$$\widehat{I}(X^0, \phi_v) = c_v \widehat{I}(Y^0, \phi'_v) \neq 0.$$

Therefore

$$c\widehat{I}(X^0, f) = \widehat{I}(Y^0, f'),$$

where

$$c = \prod_{v \in S'} c_v = \prod_{v \in S'} \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(k_v))\gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v))^{-1}.$$

Notice that if $v \in V_{\infty}$ or $v \in V - S$,

$$\gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(k_v)) = \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v)) = 1.$$

Also notice that

$$\prod_{v \in V} \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(k_v)) = \prod_{v \in V} \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(k_v)) = 1.$$

Therefore

$$c = \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}(k_w))^{-1} \gamma_{\psi}(\mathfrak{h}'(k_w)).$$

Since

$$\widehat{I}(X_0, f) = \widehat{I}(X^0, f), \quad \widehat{I}(Y_0, f') = \widehat{I}(Y^0, f'),$$

we complete the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Key Basic Research Program of China (No. 2013CB834202). The author would like to thank Dipendra Prasad for his valuable comments, and Wen-Wei Li for his long list of useful comments and suggestions. He also thanks Dihua Jiang and Binyong Sun for helpful discussions. He expresses gratitude to Ye Tian and Linsheng Yin for their constant encouragement and support. The anonymous referee pointed out a gap and numerous mathematical and grammatical inaccuracies, made a lot of useful comments, and helped the author to greatly improve the exposition. The author is grateful to him or her.

References

- A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch, Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an Archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis's theorem. Duke Math. J. 149, no. 3, 509–567 (2009)
- [2] A. Aizenbud and D. Gourevitch, Some regular pairs. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362, 3757–3777 (2010)
- [3] A. I. Badulescu, Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations. Invent. Math. 172, 383–438 (2008)
- [4] A. I. Badulescu and D. Renard, Unitary dual of GL(n) at Archimedean places and global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. Compositio Math. 146, no. 5, 1115–1164 (2010)
- [5] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, Representations of the group GL(n, F), where F is a local non-Archimedean field. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 31, no. 3, 5–70 (1976)
- [6] D. Bump and S. Friedberg, The exterior square automorphic L-functions on GL(n). Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Part II, Israel Math. Conf Proc. 3, 47–65 (1990)

- [7] P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan and M.-F. Vigneras, Représentations des algèbres centrales simples p-adiques. *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur corps local*, 33–117, Herman, Paris (1984)
- [8] S. Friedberg and H. Jacquet, Linear periods. J. Reine Angew. Math. 443, 91–139 (1993)
- [9] W. T. Gan, Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi Models of the Weil Representation. Forthcoming.
- [10] W. T. Gan and S. Takeda, On Shalika periods and a theorem of Jacquet-Martin. American Journal of Math. 132, no. 2, 475–528 (2010)
- [11] J. R. Getz, An introduction to automorphic representations. Available at website: http://www.math.duke.edu/~jgetz/aut_reps.pdf.
- [12] H. Hahn, A simple twisted relative trace formula. International Mathematics Research Notices 2009, no. 21, 3957–3978 (2009)
- [13] Harish-Chandra, (notes by G. van Dijk) Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 162, Springer (1970)
- [14] Harish-Chandra, Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p-adic groups. Notes by S. DeBacker and P. J. Sally, University Lecture Series, vol. 16, AMS (1999)
- [15] H. Jacquet and K. Martin, Shalika periods on $GL_2(D)$ and GL_4 . Pacific Journal of Math. 233, no. 2, 341–370 (2007)
- [16] H. Jacquet and S. Rallis, Uniqueness of linear periods. Compositio Math. 102, 65–123 (1996)
- [17] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, Exterior square L-functions. Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties, and L-functions, Vol II, Perspectives in Mathematics 11, 143–225, Academic Press (1990)
- [18] D. Jiang, C. Nien and Y. Qin, Local Shalika models and functoriality. Manuscripta Math. 127, 187–217 (2008)
- [19] R. Kottwitz, Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic grups and Lie algebras. Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 393-522, Clay Math. Proc., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2005)
- [20] D. Prasad, Some remarks on representations of a division algebra and of the Galois group of a local field. Journal of Number Theory, 74, 73–97 (1999)
- [21] D. Prasad and R. Takloo-Bighash, Bessel models for GSp(4). J. Reine Angew. Math., 655, 189–243 (2011)
- [22] C. Rader and S. Rallis, Spherical characters on *p*-adic symmetric spaces. Amer. J. Math. 118, no. 1, 91–178 (1996)
- [23] A. Raghuram, On representations of *p*-adic GL₂(*D*). Pacific J. Math., 206, no. 2, 451–464 (2002)

- [24] E. B. Vinberg, The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 10 463–495 (1976)
- [25] J.-L. Waldspurger, Une formule des traces locale pour les algebres de Lie p-adiques. J. Reine Angew. Math. 465, 41–99 (1995)
- [26] J.-L. Waldspurger, Le lemme fondamental implique le transfert. Compositio Math. 105, 153–236 (1997)
- [27] A. Weil, Sur certaines groupes d'opérateurs unitaires. Acta Math. 111, 143– 211, (1964).
- [28] C.-F. Yu, Characteristic polynomials of central simple algebras. Taiwanese J. Math. 17, no. 1, 351–359 (2013)
- [29] C. Zhang, Towards the smooth transfer for certain relative trace formulae. arXiv:1302.1639.
- [30] W. Zhang, Fourier transform and the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture for unitary groups. To appear in Annals Math.

Chong Zhang

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China. E-mail address: zhangchong@bnu.edu.cn