

# BOUNDED ELEMENTS OF C\*-INDUCTIVE LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

GIORGIA BELLOMONTE, SALVATORE DI BELLA, AND CAMILLO TRAPANI

ABSTRACT. The notion of bounded element of C\*-inductive locally convex spaces (or C\*-inductive partial \*-algebras) is introduced and discussed in two ways: the first one takes into account the inductive structure provided by certain families of C\*-algebras; the second one is linked to natural order of these spaces. A particular attention is devoted to the relevant instance provided by the space of continuous linear maps acting in a rigged Hilbert space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Some locally convex spaces exhibit an interesting feature: they contain a large number of C\*-algebras that often contribute to their topological structure, in the sense that these spaces can be thought as *generalized* inductive limits of C\*-algebras. These objects were called *C\*-inductive locally convex spaces* in [8] and their structure was examined in detail, also taking in mind that they arise naturally when one considers the operators acting in the *joint topological limit* of an inductive family of Hilbert spaces as described in [7]. Indeed, a typical instance of this structure is obtained by considering the space  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\times})$  of operators acting in the rigged Hilbert space canonically associated to an O\*-algebra of unbounded operators acting on a dense domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . In [8] a series of features of this structure were studied giving a particular attention to the order structure, positive linear functionals and representation theory. The space  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\times})$  contains a subspace isomorphic to the \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  whose elements can be in natural way considered as the *bounded elements* of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\times})$ . The notion of bounded element of a locally convex \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  was first introduced by Allan [1] with the aim of developing a spectral theory for topological \*-algebras: an element  $x$  of the topological \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}[\tau]$  is

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L60, 47L40.

*Key words and phrases.* bounded elements, inductive limit of C\*-algebras, partial \*-algebras.

*Allan bounded* if there exists  $\lambda \neq 0$  such that the set  $\{(\lambda^{-1}x)^n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathfrak{A}[\tau]$ . This definition was suggested by the successful spectral analysis for closed operators in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ : a complex number  $\lambda$  is in the resolvent set  $\rho(T)$  of a closed operator  $T$  if  $T - \lambda I$  has an inverse in the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of bounded operators.

There are, however, several other possibilities for defining bounded elements. For instance, one may say that  $x$  is bounded if  $\pi(x)$  is a bounded operator, for every (continuous, in a certain sense)  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  defined on a dense domain  $\mathcal{D}_\pi$  of some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ . This could be a reasonable definition in itself, provided that  $\mathfrak{A}$  possesses sufficiently many  $*$ -representations in Hilbert space.

Moreover some attempts to extend this notion to the larger set-up of locally convex quasi  $*$ -algebras [18, 20, 21, 11] or locally convex partial  $*$ -algebras [4, 5, 6] have been done. But in these cases, Allan's notion cannot be adopted, since powers of a given element  $x$  need not be defined.

In the case of  $*$ -algebras, bounded elements in purely algebraic terms have been considered by Vidav [23] and Schmüdgen [17] with respect to some (positive) wedge.

The aim of this paper is to extend the notion of bounded element to the case of  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex spaces  $\mathfrak{A}$  with defining family of  $C^*$ -algebras  $\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$  ( $\mathbb{F}$  is an index set directed upward). There are also in this case several possibilities: the first one consists in taking elements that have *representatives* in every  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  of the family whose norms are uniformly bounded; the second one consists into taking into account the order structure of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , in the same spirit of the quoted papers of Vidav and Schmüdgen.

The paper is organized as follows. After some preliminaries (Section 2), we study, in Section 3, how *bounded elements* of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  can be derived from its  $C^*$ -inductive structure and from its order structure. We show that these two notions are equivalent and that an element  $X$  is bounded if and only if  $X$  maps  $\mathcal{D}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\overline{X} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Finally, in Section 4, we consider the same problem for abstract  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex spaces and give conditions for some of the characterizations proved for  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  maintain their validity. Some of these results are then specialized to the case where  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex partial  $*$ -algebra.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

For general aspects of the theory of partial \*-algebras and of their representations, we refer to the monograph [2]. For the convenience of the reader, however, we repeat here the essential definitions.

A partial \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a complex vector space with conjugate linear involution  $*$  and a distributive partial multiplication  $\cdot$ , defined on a subset  $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A}$ , satisfying the property that  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$  if, and only if,  $(y^*, x^*) \in \Gamma$  and  $(x \cdot y)^* = y^* \cdot x^*$ . From now on, we will write simply  $xy$  instead of  $x \cdot y$  whenever  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$ . For every  $y \in \mathfrak{A}$ , the set of left (resp. right) multipliers of  $y$  is denoted by  $L(y)$  (resp.  $R(y)$ ), i.e.,  $L(y) = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} : (x, y) \in \Gamma\}$ , (resp.  $R(y) = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} : (y, x) \in \Gamma\}$ ). We denote by  $L\mathfrak{A}$  (resp.  $R\mathfrak{A}$ ) the space of universal left (resp. right) multipliers of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In general, a partial \*-algebra is not associative.

The *unit* of partial \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , if any, is an element  $e \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $e = e^*$ ,  $e \in R\mathfrak{A} \cap L\mathfrak{A}$  and  $xe = ex = x$ , for every  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space and  $\mathcal{D}$  a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  the set of all (closable) linear operators  $X$  such that  $D(X) = \mathcal{D}$ ,  $D(X^*) \supseteq \mathcal{D}$ . The map  $X \rightarrow X^\dagger = X_{|\mathcal{D}}^*$  defines an involution on  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$ , which can be made into a partial \*-algebra with respect to the *weak* multiplication [2]; however, this fact will not be used in this paper.

Let  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$  consisting of all its elements which leave, together with their adjoints, the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  invariant. Then  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  is a \*-algebra with respect to the usual operations. A \*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  is called an  $O^*$ -algebra.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be an  $O^*$ -algebra. The *graph topology*  $t_{\mathfrak{M}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  is the locally convex topology defined by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_A\}_{A \in \mathfrak{M}}$ , where

$$\|\xi\|_A = \sqrt{\|\xi\|^2 + \|A\xi\|^2} = \|(I + A^*\bar{A})^{1/2}\xi\|, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

For  $A = 0$ , the null operator of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is exactly the norm of  $\mathcal{H}$ , thus we will omit the 0 in the notation of the norm.

The topology  $t_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is finer than the norm topology, unless  $\mathfrak{M}$  does consist of bounded operators only.

If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an  $O^*$ -algebra, we write  $A \preceq B$  if  $\|A\xi\| \leq \|B\xi\|$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is directed upward with respect to this order relation.

If  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_A$  the Hilbert space obtained by endowing  $D(\bar{A})$  with the graph norm  $\|\cdot\|_A$ .

If  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $A \preceq B$ , then  $U_{BA} = (I + B^*\overline{B})^{-1/2}(I + A^*\overline{A})^{1/2}$  is a contractive map of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  into  $\mathcal{H}_B$ ; i.e.,  $\|U_{BA}\xi\|_B \leq \|\xi\|_A$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_A$ .

If the locally convex space  $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathfrak{M}}]$  is complete, then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is said to be *closed*.

If  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  then the corresponding graph topology is denoted by  $t_\dagger$  instead of  $t_{\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})}$ .

As is known, a locally convex topology  $t$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  finer than the topology induced by the Hilbert norm defines, in standard fashion, a *rigged Hilbert space* (RHS)

$$\mathcal{D}[t] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\times[t^\times],$$

where  $\mathcal{D}^\times$  is the vector space of all continuous conjugate linear functionals on  $\mathcal{D}[t]$ , i.e., the conjugate dual of  $\mathcal{D}[t]$ , endowed with the *strong dual topology*  $t^\times = \beta(\mathcal{D}^\times, \mathcal{D})$  and  $\hookrightarrow$  denotes a continuous embedding with dense range. The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is identified (by considering the form which puts  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\times$  as an extension of the inner product of  $\mathcal{D}$ ) with a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{D}^\times[t^\times]$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  denote the vector space of all continuous linear maps from  $\mathcal{D}[t]$  into  $\mathcal{D}^\times[t^\times]$ . In  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  an involution  $X \mapsto X^\dagger$  can be introduced by the equality

$$\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle = \overline{\langle X^\dagger\eta | \xi \rangle}, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  is a \*-invariant vector space.

To every  $X \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  there corresponds a separately continuous sesquilinear form  $\theta_X$  on  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$  defined by

$$\theta_X(\xi, \eta) = \langle X\xi | \eta \rangle, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

The vector space of all *jointly* continuous sesquilinear forms on  $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$  will be denoted with  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  the subspace of all  $X \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  such that  $\theta_X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  and by  $\mathfrak{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  the \*-algebra consisting of all operators of  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ , which together with their adjoints are continuous from  $\mathcal{D}[t]$  into  $\mathcal{D}[t]$ . If  $t = t_\dagger$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ . We will refer to the rigged Hilbert space defined by endowing  $\mathcal{D}$  with the topology  $t_\dagger$  as to the *canonical* rigged Hilbert space defined by  $\mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ . In this case  $(\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times), \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}))$  is a quasi \*-algebra [2].

The spaces  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  have been studied at length by several authors (see, e.g. [12, 13, 14, 22]) and several pathologies concerning their multiplicative structure have been considered (see also [2, 3] and references therein). Recently some spectral properties of operators of these classes have also been studied [10].

3. BOUNDED ELEMENTS OF  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ 

The inductive structure of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ , with  $\mathcal{D}$  endowed with the graph topology  $t_{\dagger}$ , has been discussed in [8, Section 5]. To keep the paper reasonably self-contained, we sum the main features up.

By the definition itself,  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  if, and only if, there exists  $\gamma_X > 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  such that

$$(1) \quad |\theta_X(\xi, \eta)| = |\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \gamma_X \|\xi\|_A \|\eta\|_A, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Conversely, if  $\theta \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ , there exists a unique  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  such that  $\theta = \theta_X$ .

Thus, the map

$$\mathbb{I} : X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times) \mapsto \theta_X \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces and  $\mathbb{I}(\theta^*) = X^\dagger$ , where  $\theta^*(\xi, \eta) = \overline{\theta(\eta, \xi)}$ , for every  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  the subspace of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  consisting of all  $\theta \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  such that (1) holds for fixed  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ .

If  $\theta \in \mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ , it extends to a bounded sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{H}_A \times \mathcal{H}_A$  (we use the same symbol for this extension). Hence, there exists a unique operator  $X_A^\theta \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  such that

$$\theta(\xi, \eta) = \left\langle X_A^\theta \xi \mid \eta \right\rangle_A, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_A.$$

On the other hand, if  $X_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ , then the sesquilinear form  $\theta_{X_A}$  defined by

$$\theta_{X_A}(\xi, \eta) = \langle X_A \xi \mid \eta \rangle_A, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D},$$

is an element of  $\mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  and the map

$$\Phi_A : X_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \theta_{X_A} \in \mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$$

is a \*-isomorphism of vector spaces with involution.

If  $B \succeq A$ , then, for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$|\theta_{X_A}(\xi, \eta)| = |\langle X_A \xi \mid \eta \rangle_A| \leq \|X_A\|_{A,A} \|\xi\|_A \|\eta\|_A \leq \|X_A\|_{A,A} \|\xi\|_B \|\eta\|_B,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{A,A}$  denotes the operator norm in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ . Hence, there exists a unique  $X_B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)$  such that

$$\langle X_A \xi \mid \eta \rangle_A = \langle X_B \xi \mid \eta \rangle_B, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

So it is natural to define

$$J_{BA}(X_A) = X_B, \quad \forall X_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

It is easily seen that  $J_{BA} = \Phi_B^{-1}\Phi_A$ .

The space  $\mathfrak{L}_B^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times) := \mathbb{I}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$  is a Banach space, with norm

$$\|X\|^A := \sup_{\|\xi\|_A, \|\eta\|_A \leq 1} |\theta_X(\xi, \eta)|$$

and  $\mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  can be endowed with the inductive topology  $\tau_{\text{ind}}$  defined by the family of subspaces  $\{\mathfrak{L}_B^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times); A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})\}$  as in [16, Section 1.2. III].

In conclusion,

$$X_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \leftrightarrow \theta_{X_A} \in \mathbf{B}^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}) \leftrightarrow X \in \mathfrak{L}_B^A(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$$

are isometric \*-isomorphisms of Banach spaces.

Hence, to every  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  one can associate the net  $\{X_B; B \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}); B \succeq A\}$  of its representatives in each of the spaces  $\mathcal{H}_B$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We say that  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  is a *bounded element* of  $\mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  if  $X$  has a representative  $X_A$  in every  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$  and

$$\|X\|_b := \sup_{A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})} \|X_A\|_{A,A} < +\infty.$$

The space  $\mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b$  of all bounded elements of  $\mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_b$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b$  is \*-isomorphic (as Banach space) to a  $C^*$ -algebra of operators.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_\oplus$  denote the Hilbert space direct sum of the  $\mathcal{H}_A, A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ ; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\oplus &:= \bigoplus_{A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{H}_A \\ &= \left\{ \xi_\oplus = (\xi_A); \xi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A, \forall A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}) \text{ and } \sum_A \|\xi_A\|_A^2 < +\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\{X_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})}$  is a net of operators  $X_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A), A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ , we define  $X_\oplus \xi_\oplus = \{X_A \xi_A\}$  provided that  $\sum_A \|X_A \xi_A\|^2 < +\infty, \xi_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ .

The operator  $X_\oplus = \{X_A\}$  is bounded if and only if  $\sup_A \|X_A\|_{A,A} < +\infty$ . The space constructed in this way is  $\prod_A \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) = \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\oplus)$ . To every  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b$  we can associate the net  $\{X_A\}$  which we have defined above. Clearly,  $\{X_A\} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\oplus)$ . It is easily seen that the map

$$\tau : X \in \mathfrak{L}_B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b \mapsto \{X_A\} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\oplus)$$

is isometric. Thus, the statement is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** An element  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  having a representative  $X_A$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  need not be bounded in the sense of Definition 3.1. The spaces  $\{\mathcal{H}_A; A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})\}$ , together with their conjugate duals make  $\mathcal{D}^\times$  into an indexed PIP-space [3, Ch.2]. In that language, operators having representatives in every  $\mathcal{H}_A$  are called totally regular operators. For more details on their behavior see [3, Sect. 3.3.3] where also a C\*-algebra corresponding to our bounded elements has been studied.

Our next goal is to characterize bounded elements of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  in several different ways. For doing this, we need to consider the natural order structure of  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ .

We say that  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  is *positive*, and write  $X \geq 0$ , if  $\langle X\xi | \xi \rangle \geq 0$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

It is easy to see that, if  $X$  is positive, then it is *symmetric*; i.e.,  $X = X^\dagger$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X \geq 0$ .
- (ii) *There exists  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  such that  $X_B \geq 0$ ,  $\forall B \succeq A$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Since  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ , there exists  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$|\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \gamma \|\xi\|_B \|\eta\|_B, \quad B \succeq A.$$

If  $X \geq 0$ , then, for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,

$$\langle X_B \xi | \xi \rangle_B = \langle X\xi | \xi \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall B \succeq A.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , we have  $\langle X_B \xi | \xi \rangle_B \geq 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{H}_B$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $X_B \geq 0$  for every  $B \succeq A$ . Then, for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\langle X\xi | \xi \rangle = \langle X_B \xi | \xi \rangle_B \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .
- (ii)  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b$ .
- (iii) *There exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that*

$$-\lambda I \leq \Re(X) \leq \lambda I, \quad -\lambda I \leq \Im(X) \leq \lambda I$$

$$\text{where } \Re(X) = \frac{X+X^\dagger}{2} \text{ and } \Im(X) = \frac{X-X^\dagger}{2i}.$$

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): If  $X : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $X$  is bounded, then, for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ ,

$$(2) \quad |\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \|\overline{X}\| \|\xi\| \|\eta\| \leq \|\overline{X}\| \|\xi\|_A \|\eta\|_A.$$

This means that  $X$  has a bounded representative  $X_A$  in every  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ . By (2),  $\|X_A\|_{A,A} \leq \|\overline{X}\|$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ , so  $\sup_{A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})} \|X_A\|_{A,A} < +\infty$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $X \in \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)_b$ . Then, for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$

$$|\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \|X_A\|_{A,A} \|\xi\|_A \|\eta\|_A, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In particular, for  $A = 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad |\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \|X_0\| \|\xi\| \|\eta\|, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}.$$

By (3), for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $F(\eta) = \langle X\xi | \eta \rangle$  is a bounded conjugate linear functional on  $\mathcal{D}$ , so by Riesz's lemma  $X\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is, finally easily seen that  $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose first that  $X = X^\dagger$ . Note that the operator  $X$  satisfies the following:  $0 \leq \frac{X+\lambda I}{2\lambda} \leq I$ ; so  $\frac{X+\lambda I}{2\lambda}$  is a positive operator and  $\langle \frac{X+\lambda I}{2\lambda} \xi | \xi \rangle \leq \langle \xi | \xi \rangle$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathcal{D}$ ; this implies that

$$(4) \quad \left| \left\langle \frac{X + \lambda I}{2\lambda} \xi | \eta \right\rangle \right| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$$

and by Riesz's lemma there exists  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(5) \quad \left\langle \frac{X + \lambda I}{2\lambda} \xi | \eta \right\rangle = \langle \zeta | \eta \rangle, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}$$

and then  $\frac{X+\lambda I}{2\lambda} \xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . This implies that  $X\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  too. Moreover,  $X$  has a representative for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ . Indeed,

$$|\langle X\xi | \eta \rangle| \leq \gamma \|\xi\| \|\eta\| \leq \gamma \|\xi\|_A \|\eta\|_A \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}),$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ . From (4) it follows that  $X$  is bounded and  $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . In the very same way one can prove the boundedness of  $X$  if  $X^\dagger = -X$ . The result for a general  $X$  follows easily.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): this is a standard result of the C\*-algebras theory.  $\square$

#### 4. BOUNDED ELEMENTS OF C\*-INDUCTIVE LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

The results obtained in Section 3 have an abstract generalization to locally convex spaces that are inductive limits of C\*-algebras in a generalized sense. These spaces were called *C\*-inductive locally convex spaces* in [8]. We begin with recalling the basic definitions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a set of indices directed upward and consider, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , a Banach space  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{A}$  such that:

$$(I.1) \quad \mathfrak{A}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_\beta, \text{ if } \alpha \leq \beta;$$

$$(I.2) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{A}_\alpha;$$



- (I.3)  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists a C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  (with unit  $e_\alpha$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ ) and a norm-preserving isomorphism of vector spaces  $\phi_\alpha : \mathfrak{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ ;  
(I.4)  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha^+ \Rightarrow x_\beta = (\phi_\beta^{-1}\phi_\alpha)(x_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{B}_\beta^+$ , for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

We put  $j_{\beta\alpha} = \phi_\beta^{-1}\phi_\alpha$ , if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

If  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , there exist  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$  and (a unique)  $x_\beta \in \mathfrak{B}_\beta$  such that  $x = \phi_\beta(x_\beta)$ , for all  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

Then, we put

$$j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha) := x_\beta \quad \text{if } \alpha \leq \beta.$$

By (I.4), it follows easily that  $j_{\beta\alpha}$  preserves the involution; i.e.,  $j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha^*) = (j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha))^*$ .

The family  $\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha, j_{\beta\alpha}, \beta \geq \alpha\}$  is a *directed system of C\*-algebras*, in the sense that:

- (J.1) for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\beta \geq \alpha$ ,  $j_{\beta\alpha} : \mathfrak{B}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_\beta$  is a linear and injective map;  $j_{\alpha\alpha}$  is the identity of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ ,  
(J.2) for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $\phi_\alpha = \phi_\beta j_{\beta\alpha}$ .  
(J.3)  $j_{\gamma\beta} j_{\beta\alpha} = j_{\gamma\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ .

We assume that, in addition, the  $j_{\beta\alpha}$ 's are Schwarz maps (see, e.g. [15]); i.e.,

$$\text{(sch)} \quad j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)^* j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha) \leq j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha^* x_\alpha), \quad \forall x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \alpha \leq \beta.$$

For every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\alpha \leq \beta$ ,  $j_{\beta\alpha}$  is continuous [15] and, moreover,

$$\|j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)\|_\beta \leq \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha, \quad \forall x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha.$$

An involution in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined as follows.. Let  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , i.e.,  $x = \phi_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ , for a unique  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . Put  $x^* := \phi_\alpha(x_\alpha^*)$ . Then if  $\beta \geq \alpha$ , we have

$$\phi_\beta^{-1}(x^*) = \phi_\beta^{-1}(\phi_\alpha(x_\alpha^*)) = j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha^*) = (j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha))^* = x_\beta^*.$$

It is easily seen that the map  $x \mapsto x^*$  is an involution in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Moreover, by the definition itself, it follows that every map  $\phi_\alpha$  *preserves the involution*; i.e.,  $\phi_\alpha(x_\alpha^*) = (\phi_\alpha(x_\alpha))^*$ , for all  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A locally convex vector space  $\mathfrak{A}$ , with involution  $*$ , is called a *C\*-inductive locally convex space* if

- (i) there exists a family  $\{\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a direct set and, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  is a C\*-algebra and  $\phi_\alpha$  is a linear injective map of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  into  $\mathfrak{A}$ , satisfying the above conditions (I.1) - (I.4) and (sch), with  $\mathfrak{A}_\alpha = \phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{B}_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ;

- (ii)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is endowed with the locally convex inductive topology  $\tau_{\text{ind}}$  generated by the family  $\{\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$ .

The family  $\{\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$  is called *the defining system of  $\mathfrak{A}$* . We notice that the involution is automatically continuous in  $\mathfrak{A}[\tau_{\text{ind}}]$ .

A  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex space has a natural positive cone.

An element  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  is called *positive* if there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\phi_\alpha^{-1}(x) \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha^+, \forall \alpha \geq \gamma$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{A}^+$  the set of all positive elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Then,

- (i) Every positive element  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  is hermitian; i.e.,  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_h := \{y \in \mathfrak{A} : y^* = y\}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{A}^+$  is a non empty convex pointed cone; i.e.  $\mathfrak{A}^+ \cap (-\mathfrak{A}^+) = \{0\}$ .
- (iii) If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha^+$ ,  $\phi_\alpha(x_\alpha)$  is positive.

Moreover, every hermitian element  $x = x^*$  is the difference of two positive elements, i.e. there exist  $x^+, x^- \in \mathfrak{A}^+$  such that  $x = x^+ - x^-$ .

A linear functional  $\omega$  is said to be *positive* if  $\omega(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . As shown in [8, Prop. 3.9, 3.10],  $\omega$  is positive if, and only if,  $\omega_\alpha(x_\alpha) := \omega(\phi_\alpha(x_\alpha)) \geq 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . We write, in this case,  $\omega = \varinjlim \omega_\alpha$ .

#### 4.1. Bounded elements.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex space. An element  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{A}$ , with  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ , is called *bounded* if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_\alpha$ , for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha < \infty$ . The set of bounded elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}_b$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *The set  $\mathfrak{A}_b$  is a Banach space under the norm  $\|x\|_b = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove the completeness. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{A}_b$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  the sequence  $\{x_n^\alpha\}$ , with  $x_n^\alpha := (x_n)_\alpha$ , is Cauchy in  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  so it converges to some  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . Since the  $j_{\beta\alpha}$ 's are continuous, one easily proves that the family  $\{x_\alpha\}$  defines an element  $x = (x_\alpha)$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . From the Cauchy condition, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(6) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_n^\alpha - x_m^\alpha\|_\alpha < \epsilon$$

If  $m > n_\epsilon$ ,

$$\|x_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \|x_\alpha - x_m^\alpha\|_\alpha + \|x_m^\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \epsilon + \|x_m^\alpha\|_\alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \epsilon + \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_m^\alpha\|_\alpha < \infty.$$

Thus  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ .

Fix now  $n > n_\epsilon$  and let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (6). Then,

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_n^\alpha - x_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \epsilon.$$

This proves that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .  $\square$

In what follows we will consider \*-representations of a C\*-inductive locally convex space. We recall the basic definitions.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a set directed upward by  $\leq$ . A family  $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha, U_{\beta\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha\}$ , where each  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is a Hilbert space (with inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_\alpha$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ ) and, for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , with  $\beta \geq \alpha$ ,  $U_{\beta\alpha}$  is a linear map from  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  into  $\mathcal{H}_\beta$ , is called a *directed contractive system of Hilbert spaces* if the following conditions are satisfied

- (i)  $U_{\beta\alpha}$  is injective;
- (ii)  $\|U_{\beta\alpha}\xi_\alpha\|_\beta \leq \|\xi_\alpha\|_\alpha, \quad \forall \xi_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ;
- (iii)  $U_{\alpha\alpha} = I_\alpha$ , the identity of  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ ;
- (iv)  $U_{\gamma\alpha} = U_{\gamma\beta}U_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ .

A directed contractive system of Hilbert spaces defines a conjugate dual pair  $(\mathcal{D}^\times, \mathcal{D})$  which is called the *joint topological limit* [7] of the directed contractive system  $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha, U_{\beta\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha\}$  of Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the C\*-inductive locally convex space defined by the system  $\{\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$  as in Definition 4.1.

For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , let  $\pi_\alpha$  be a \*-representation of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  in Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . The collection  $\pi := \{\pi_\alpha\}$  is said to be a \*-representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  if

- (i) for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  there exists a linear map  $U_{\beta\alpha} : \mathcal{H}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\beta$  such that the family  $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha, U_{\beta\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha\}$  is a directed contractive system of Hilbert spaces;
- (ii) the following equality holds

$$(7) \quad \pi_\beta(j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)) = U_{\beta\alpha}\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha)U_{\beta\alpha}^*, \quad \forall x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha.$$

In this case we write  $\pi(x) = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha(x_\alpha)$  for every  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{A}$  or, for short,  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha$ .

The  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  is said to be *faithful* if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}^+$  and  $\pi(x) = 0$  imply  $x = 0$  (of course,  $\pi(x) = 0$  means that there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 0$ , for  $\alpha \geq \gamma$ ).

**Remark 4.5.** With this definition (which is formally different from that given in [8] but fully equivalent),  $\pi(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , is not an operator but rather a collection of operators. But as shown in [8],  $\pi(x)$  can be regarded as an operator acting on the joint topological limit  $(\mathcal{D}^\times, \mathcal{D})$  of  $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha, U_{\beta\alpha}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha\}$ . The corresponding space of operators was denoted by  $\mathbf{L}_\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$ ; it behaves in the very same way as the space  $\mathfrak{L}_\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  studied in Section 3 and reduces to it when the family of Hilbert spaces is exactly  $\{\mathcal{H}_A; A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})\}$ . The main difference consists in the fact that the  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ 's need not be all subspaces of a certain Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha$  be a faithful  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\pi_\alpha$  is a faithful  $*$ -representation of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha^+$  with  $\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  be the unique element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x = \phi_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ . Then  $\pi_\beta(x_\beta) = \pi_\beta(j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)) = U_{\beta\alpha}\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha)U_{\beta\alpha}^* = 0$ . Hence  $\pi(x) = 0$  and, therefore  $x = 0$ . Thus there exists  $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $x_\gamma = 0$ , for  $\gamma \geq \bar{\gamma}$ . Let  $\beta \geq \alpha, \bar{\gamma}$ . Then  $0 = x_\beta = j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)$ . Hence, by the injectivity of  $j_{\beta\alpha}$ ,  $x_\alpha = 0$ .  $\square$

As shown in [8, Proposition 3.16], if a  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex space  $\mathfrak{A}$  fulfills the following conditions

- (r<sub>1</sub>) if  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  and  $j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha) \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq \alpha$ , then  $x_\alpha \geq 0$ ;
- (r<sub>2</sub>)  $e_\beta \in j_{\beta\alpha}(\mathfrak{B}_\alpha)$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha$ ;
- (r<sub>3</sub>) every positive linear functional  $\omega = \varinjlim \omega_\alpha$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  satisfies the following property

- if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\omega_\beta(j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha^*)j_{\beta\alpha}(x_\alpha)) = 0$ , for some  $\beta > \alpha$  and  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ , then  $\omega_\alpha(x_\alpha^*x_\alpha) = 0$ ;

then,  $\mathfrak{A}$  admits a faithful representation. The conditions (r<sub>1</sub>), (r<sub>2</sub>), in fact, guarantee that  $\mathfrak{A}$  possesses sufficiently many positive linear functionals, in the sense that for every  $x \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ ,  $x \neq 0$  there exists a positive linear functional  $\omega$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\omega(x) > 0$  [8, Theorem 3.14].

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex space and  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The following statements hold.*

(i) If  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ , then, for every \*-representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , one has

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha)\|_{\alpha\alpha} < \infty,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha\alpha}$  denote the norm of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ .

(ii) Conversely, if  $\mathfrak{A}$  admits a faithful \*-representation  $\pi^f = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha^f$  and

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|\pi_\alpha^f(x_\alpha)\|_{\alpha\alpha} < \infty,$$

then  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ .

*Proof.* (i): For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\pi_\alpha$  is a \*-representation of the C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . Hence

$$\|\pi_\alpha(x_\alpha)\|_{\alpha\alpha} \leq \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha.$$

Thus if  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$  the statement follows immediately from the definition.

(ii): Let  $\pi^f(x) = \varinjlim \pi_\alpha^f(x_\alpha)$ . Then, by Lemma 4.6, for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\pi_\alpha^f$  is a faithful representation of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . The \*-representation  $\pi_\alpha^f$  is an isometric isomorphism of C\*-algebras, for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ; hence

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|\pi_\alpha^f(x_\alpha)\|_{\alpha\alpha} < \infty.$$

This proves that  $x$  is a bounded element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Order bounded elements.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-inductive locally convex space. If  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , we put

$$\Re(x) = \frac{x + x^*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Im(x) = \frac{x - x^*}{2i}.$$

Both  $\Re(x)$  and  $\Im(x)$  are symmetric elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has an element  $u = u^*$  such that  $\|u_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq 1$ , for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , and there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $u_\beta = j_{\beta\gamma}(e_\gamma) \forall \beta \geq \gamma$ , ( $e_\gamma$  is the unit of  $\mathfrak{B}_\gamma$ ). For shortness we call the element  $u$  a *pre-unit* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Remark 4.8.** The pre-unit  $u \in \mathfrak{A}$ , if any, is unique. Indeed, let suppose there is another  $v \in \mathfrak{A}$  satisfying the same properties as  $u$ . Then,

$$\exists \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{F}; u_\beta = j_{\beta\gamma}(e_\gamma), v_{\beta'} = j_{\beta'\gamma'}(e'_{\gamma'}), \quad \forall \beta \geq \gamma, \beta' \geq \gamma'$$

so, if  $\delta \geq \gamma, \gamma'$ , one has  $u_\lambda = v_\lambda, \forall \lambda \geq \delta$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-inductive locally convex space with pre-unit  $u$ . We say that  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  is *order bounded* (with respect to  $u$ ) if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$-\lambda u \leq \Re(x) \leq \lambda u \quad -\lambda u \leq \Im(x) \leq \lambda u.$$

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -inductive locally convex space satisfying condition  $(r_1)$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a pre-unit  $u$ .*

*Then,  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$  if, and only if,  $x$  has a representative for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  (i.e. for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists  $x_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  such that  $x = \phi_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ ) and  $x$  is order bounded with respect  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $x = x^* \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ . Then,  $x$  has a representative  $x_\alpha$ , with  $x_\alpha^* = x_\alpha$ , in every  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$  and  $\lambda := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \|x_\alpha\|_\alpha < \infty$ . Hence, we have

$$-\lambda e_\alpha \leq x_\alpha \leq \lambda e_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F},$$

where  $e_\alpha$  denotes the unit of  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . By the definition of  $u$ , there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $u_\beta = j_{\beta\gamma}(e_\gamma)$  for  $\beta \geq \gamma$ . Hence, taking into account that the maps  $j_{\beta\alpha}$  preserve the order, we have

$$-\lambda u_\beta \leq x_\beta \leq \lambda u_\beta, \quad \forall \beta \geq \gamma.$$

This implies that  $-\lambda u \leq x \leq \lambda u$ .

Now, let us suppose that for some  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $-\lambda u \leq x \leq \lambda u$ . Then, there exists  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$(8) \quad -\lambda u_\beta \leq x_\beta \leq \lambda u_\beta, \quad \forall \beta \geq \gamma.$$

Let now  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, there is  $\delta \geq \alpha, \gamma$  such that (8) holds for  $\delta \geq \alpha$ . Hence, by using  $(r_1)$ , we conclude that

$$-\lambda u_\alpha \leq x_\alpha \leq \lambda u_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}.$$

This implies that,  $\|x_\alpha\|_\alpha \leq \lambda$ , for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ . Thus,  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ .  $\square$

From the proof of the previous theorem it follows easily that

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $x = x^* \in \mathfrak{A}_b$  and put*

$$p(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0; -\lambda u \leq x \leq \lambda u\}.$$

*Then,  $p(x) = \|x\|_b$ .*

## 5. $C^*$ -INDUCTIVE PARTIAL $*$ -ALGEBRAS

As shown in [8], a partial multiplication in  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be defined by a family  $w = \{w_\alpha\}$ ,  $w_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . Let  $w = \{w_\alpha\}$  be a family of elements, such that each  $w_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}_\alpha^+$  and  $j_{\beta\alpha}(w_\alpha) = w_\beta$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The partial multiplication  $x \cdot y$  is defined by the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \gamma \in \mathbb{F} : \phi_\beta(\phi_\beta^{-1}(x)w_\beta\phi_\beta^{-1}(y)) &= \phi_{\beta'}(\phi_{\beta'}^{-1}(x)w_{\beta'}\phi_{\beta'}^{-1}(y)), \quad \forall \beta, \beta' \geq \gamma \\ x \cdot y &= \phi_\beta(\phi_\beta^{-1}(x)w_\beta\phi_\beta^{-1}(y)), \quad \beta \geq \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an *associative* partial \*-algebra with respect to the usual operations and the above defined multiplication (see [2, Sect. 2.1.1] for the definitions) and we will call it a *C\*-inductive partial \*-algebra*.

The partial \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a unit  $e$  (that is, an element  $e$  which is a left- and right universal multiplier such that  $x \cdot e = e \cdot x = x$ , for every  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ ) if, and only if, every element  $w_\alpha$  of the family  $\{w_\alpha\}$  defining the multiplication is invertible and

$$(9) \quad j_{\beta\alpha}(w_\alpha^{-1}) = w_\beta^{-1}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, \beta \geq \alpha.$$

In this case,  $e = \phi_\alpha(w_\alpha^{-1})$ , independently of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

The element  $e$  is called a *bounded unit* if it is a bounded element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\|e\|_b = 1$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-inductive partial \*-algebra with the multiplication defined by a family  $\{w_\alpha\}$ . Assume that  $e = (w_\alpha^{-1})$  is a bounded unit of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}_b$  is a Banach partial \*-algebra; that is,  $\mathfrak{A}_b[\|\cdot\|_b]$  is a Banach space with isometric involution  $*$  and there exists  $C \geq 1$  such that the following inequality holds*

$$(10) \quad \|x \cdot y\|_b \leq C \|x\|_b \|y\|_b, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_b \text{ with } x \cdot y \text{ well-defined.}$$

**Remark 5.2.** The constant  $C$  in (10) can be taken equal to 1 if  $w_\alpha^{-1} = e_\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is the unit of the C\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ . Under the same assumption, the norm of  $\mathfrak{A}_b$  satisfies the C\*-property, which in our case reads

$$\|x^* \cdot x\|_b = \|x\|_b^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{A}_b \text{ with } x^* \cdot x \text{ well-defined.}$$

This is no longer true in the general case.

**Remark 5.3.** In Example 5.3 of [8] two of us tried to construct a family  $\{W_A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A); A \in \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})\}$  so that the partial multiplication defined in  $\mathfrak{L}_\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times)$  by the method mentioned above would reproduce the quasi \*-algebra structure of  $(\mathfrak{L}_\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^\times), \mathcal{L}^\dagger(\mathcal{D}))$  (see Section 2). Unfortunately, the conclusion of that discussion is incorrect (see, [9] for more details).

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-inductive partial \*-algebra with the multiplication defined by a family  $\{w_\alpha\}$  as above. The spaces  $R\mathfrak{A}$  and  $L\mathfrak{A}$  of the right-, respectively, left universal multipliers (with respect to  $w$ ) of  $\mathfrak{A}$  are algebras. Hence,  $\mathfrak{A}_0 := L\mathfrak{A} \cap R\mathfrak{A}$  is a \*-algebra and, thus,

- (i)  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}_0)$  is a quasi \*-algebra.
- (ii) If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is endowed with  $\tau_{\text{ind}}$ , then the maps  $x \mapsto x^*$ ,  $x \mapsto a \cdot x$ ,  $x \mapsto x \cdot b$ ,  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  are continuous.

It is easily seen from the very definition that, if  $a \in R\mathfrak{A}$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ , then  $a^*xa \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ . Hence, if  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$  denotes the family of all positive linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , we have in particular  $\omega(a^*xa) \geq 0$ , for every  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -inductive partial  $*$ -algebra with the multiplication defined by a family  $\{\omega_\alpha\}$  and with pre-unit  $u$ . Assume, moreover, that the following condition (P) holds:*

$$(P) \quad y \in \mathfrak{A}, \omega(a^*ya) \geq 0, \forall \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}) \text{ and } a \in R\mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow y \in \mathfrak{A}^+;$$

then, for  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , the following conditions are equivalent.

(i)  $x$  is order bounded with respect to  $u$ .

(ii) There exists  $\gamma_x > 0$  such that

$$|\omega(a^*xa)| \leq \gamma_x \omega(a^*ua), \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad \forall a \in R\mathfrak{A}.$$

(iii) There exists  $\gamma_x > 0$  such that

$$|\omega(b^*xa)|^2 \leq \gamma_x \omega(a^*ua) \omega(b^*ub), \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad \forall a, b \in R\mathfrak{A}.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to consider the case  $x = x^*$ ;

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ . By the hypothesis,  $-\gamma u \leq x \leq \gamma u$ , for some  $\gamma > 0$ ; then  $\omega(\gamma u - x) \geq 0$  and  $\omega(a^*(\gamma u - x)a) \geq 0$ ,  $\forall a \in R\mathfrak{A}$ . On the other hand, similarly, one can show that  $\omega(a^*(x - \gamma u)a) \geq 0$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume now that  $u$  is a pre-unit and there exists  $\gamma_x > 0$  such that

$$|\omega(a^*xa)| \leq \gamma_x \omega(a^*ua), \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad a \in R\mathfrak{A}.$$

Then

$$\gamma_x \omega(a^*ua) \pm \omega(a^*xa) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \omega(a^*(\gamma_x u \pm x)a) \geq 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), a \in R\mathfrak{A}.$$

So, by (P),  $\gamma_x u \pm x \geq 0$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): By the assumption, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $-\gamma u \leq x \leq \gamma u$ . Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then, the linear functional  $\omega_a$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ , defined by  $\omega_a(x) := \omega(a^*xa)$ , is positive. Hence, if  $x = x^*$

$$-\gamma \omega_a(u) \leq \omega_a(x) \leq \gamma \omega_a(u);$$

i.e.,

$$|\omega(a^*xa)| \leq \gamma \omega(a^*ua).$$

Now, let  $x \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ ,  $a, b \in R\mathfrak{A}$ . Let us define  $\Omega_\omega^x(a, b) := \omega(b^*xa)$ . Then, it is easily checked that  $\Omega_\omega^x$  is a positive sesquilinear form on  $R\mathfrak{A} \times R\mathfrak{A}$ . Using



the the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(b^*xa)| &\leq \omega(a^*xa)^{1/2}\omega(b^*xb)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \gamma\omega(a^*ua)^{1/2}\omega(b^*ub)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The extension to arbitrary  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  goes through as in the proof of Proposition 4.3 of [8].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) It is trivial.  $\square$

The previous proof shows that if  $x = x^* \in \mathfrak{A}$  is order bounded with respect to  $u$  then

$$p(x) \leq \sup\{|\omega(b^*xa)|; \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}); a, b \in R\mathfrak{A}; \omega(a^*ua) = \omega(b^*ub) = 1\}.$$

where  $p(x)$  is the quantity defined in Proposition 4.11.

The following statement is an easy consequence of Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 5.4.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-inductive partial \*-algebra with the multiplication defined by a family  $\{\omega_\alpha\}$  and pre-unit  $u$ . Assume that conditions  $(r_1)$  and  $(P)$  are satisfied. For an element  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , having a representative in every  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_b$ .
- (ii)  $x$  is order bounded with respect to  $u$ .
- (iii) For every  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$

$$|\omega(b^*xa)|^2 \leq \gamma_x \omega(a^*ua)\omega(b^*ub), \quad \forall a, b \in R\mathfrak{A}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.R. Allan, *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London. Math. Soc. **15** (1965) 399–421.
- [2] J-P. Antoine, A. Inoue, C. Trapani, *Partial \*-algebras and their operator realizations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [3] J-P. Antoine, C. Trapani, *Partial Inner Product Spaces — Theory and Applications*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1986, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [4] J-P. Antoine, C. Trapani, F. Tschinke, *Spectral properties of partial \*-algebras* Mediterr. j. math. **7** (2010) 123–142.
- [5] J.-P. Antoine, C. Trapani and F. Tschinke, *Bounded elements in certain topological partial \*-algebras*, Studia Math. **203** (2011), 223-251.
- [6] J-P. Antoine, G. Bellomonte, C. Trapani, *Fully representable and \*-semisimple topological partial \*-algebras*, Studia Mathematica, **208** (2012), 167-194.

- [7] G. Bellomonte, C. Trapani, *Rigged Hilbert spaces and contractive families of Hilbert spaces* Monatshefte f. Math., **164**, (2011) 271-285 (published on line on October 2010 DOI 10.1007/s00605-010-0249-1).
- [8] G. Bellomonte, C. Trapani, *Quasi  $*$ -algebras and generalized inductive limits of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Studia Mathematica **202** (2011), 165-190.
- [9] G. Bellomonte, C. Trapani, *Erratum/Addendum to the paper "Quasi  $*$ -algebras and generalized inductive limits of  $C^*$ -algebras"*, [Studia Mathematica **202** (2011), 165-190], to appear.
- [10] G. Bellomonte, S. Di Bella, C. Trapani, *Operators in Rigged Hilbert spaces: some spectral properties*, preprint, Palermo 2013.
- [11] M. Fragoulopoulou, C. Trapani and S. Triolo, *Locally convex quasi  $*$ -algebras with sufficiently many  $*$ -representations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **388** (2012), 1180-1193.
- [12] K-D. Kürsten, *The completion of the maximal  $O_p$ - $*$ -algebra on a Fréchet domain*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ. **22** (1986), 151-175.
- [13] K-D. Kürsten, *On algebraic properties of partial algebras*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Ser.II, Suppl. **56** (1998), 111-122.
- [14] K-D. Kürsten and M. Läufer, *An extreme example concerning factorization products on the Schwartz space  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*  Note Mat. **25** (2005/06), 31-38.
- [15] T.W. Palmer, *Banach Algebras and the General Theory of  $*$ -Algebras, Volume 2*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [16] K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded operator algebras and representation theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- [17] K. Schmüdgen, *A strict Positivstellensatz for the Weyl algebra*, Math. Ann. **331** (2005) 779-794.
- [18] C. Trapani, *Bounded elements and spectrum in Banach  $*$ -algebras*, Studia Mathematica **172** (2006) 249-273.
- [19] C. Trapani, *Unbounded  $C^*$ -seminorms, biweights and  $*$ -representations of partial  $*$ -algebras: a review*, International J. Math. Math.Sci., Volume 2006 (2006), Article ID 79268, 34 pages.
- [20] C. Trapani, *Bounded and strongly bounded elements of Banach  $*$ -algebras*, Contemporary Math. **427** (2007) 417-424.
- [21] C. Trapani,  *$*$ -Representations, seminorms and structure properties of normed quasi  $*$ -algebras*, Studia Mathematica, **186** (2008), 47-75.
- [22] C. Trapani and F. Tschinke, *Partial multiplication of operators in rigged Hilbert spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **51** (2005), 583-600.
- [23] I. Vidav, *On some  $*$ -regular rings*, Acad. Serbe Sci. Publ. Inst. Math. **13** (1959) 73-80.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PALERMO, I-90123  
PALERMO, ITALY

*E-mail address:* bellomonte@math.unipa.it

*E-mail address:* salvatore.dibella@math.unipa.it

*E-mail address:* camillo.trapani@unipa.it